A DIGEST OF INDIAN LAW CASES;

CONTAINING

HIGH COURT REPORTS, 1862-1886,

AND

PRIVY COUNCIL REPORTS OF APPEALS FROM INDIA, 1836-1886,

WITH AN INDEX OF CASES.

COMPILED UNDER THE ORDERS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

BY

JOSEPH VERE WOODMAN,

OF THE MIDDLE TEMPLE, BARRISTER-AT-LAW, AND ADVOCATE OF THE HIGH COURT, CALCUTTA.

IN FIVE VOLUMES.

VOLUME V. T—Z, AND INDEX OF CASES.

CALCUTTA:
PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT OF GOVERNMENT PRINTING, INDIA.
1888.

[Price Ten Rupees.]

ORDER OF THE LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF BENGAL ★ FORWARDED
LIBRARY

346 5
W 892

3408

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
HIGH COURT, CALCUTTA, 1862—1886	iv
HIGH COURT, MADRAS, 1862—1886	vii
HIGH COURT, BOMBAY, 1862—1886	viii
HIGH COURT, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, 1866—1886	ix
MEMBERS OF JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF PRIVY COUNCIL, 1836—1886	x
TABLE OF HEADINGS, SUB-HEADINGS, AND CROSS-REFERENCES	xii
CORRIGENDA	xv
DIGEST OF CASES	5987
TABLE OF CASES IN DIGEST	6291

HIGH COURT, CALCUTTA, 1862—1886

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable Sir Barnes Peacock, Kt.
" Sir Richard Couch, Kt.
" Sir Richard Garth, Kt.
" Sir W. Comer Petheram, Kt.

JUDGES.

Honourable Sir Charles R. M. Jackson, Kt.
" Sir Mordaunt L. Wells, Kt.
" H. T. Raikes.
" C. B. Trevor.
" G. Loch.
" H. V. Bayley.
" C. Steer.
" J. P. Norman.
" W. Morgan.
" F. B. Kemp.
" W. S. Seton-Karr.
" L. S. Jackson, C.I.E.
" Edward de Latour (*Offg.*).
" G. Campbell.
" Sumbhoonath Pundit.
" E. P. Levinge.
" A. A. Roberts (*Offg.*).
" H. Mills, Q.C.
" E. Jackson.
" R. J. Scott.
" A. T. T. Peterson.
" J. B. Phear.
" A. G. Macpherson.
" W. Markby.
" Dwarkanath Mitter.
" F. A. B. Glover.
" Sir C. P. Hobhouse, Bart.
" G. C. Paul (*Offg.*).
" Onoocool Chunder Mookerjee.
" C. Pontifex.
" W. Ainslie.
" E. G. Birch.
" G. G. Morris.
" Romesh Chunder Mitter.
" J. S. White.
" H. S. Cunningham.
" W. F. McDonell.
" H. B. Lawford.
" H. T. Prinsep.
" J. Pitt-Kennedy (*Offg.*).
" A. Wilson.
" L. R. Tottenham.
" L. P. D. Broughton (*Offg.*).

JUDGES—*continued.*

Honourable A. T. MACLEAN.
" C. D. FIELD.
" J. F. NORRIS.
" J. Q. PIGOT.
" J. O'KINEALY.
" M. L. BOSE (*Offg.*).
" W. MACPHERSON.
" C. J. WILKINSON (*Offg.*).
" E. J. TREVELYAN.
CHUNDER MADHUB GHOSE.
" H. BEVERLEY.
" W. F. AGNEW (*Offg.*).
" J. P. GRANT (*Offg.*).
" G. E. PORTER (*Offg.*).

ADVOCATES GENERAL.

Honourable T. H. COWIE.
" J. GRAHAM.
" G. C. PAUL, C.I.E.

STANDING COUNSEL.

J. GRAHAM.
H. A. EGLINTON.
J. PITT-KENNEDY.
C. J. WILKINSON.
A. PHILLIPS (*Offg. A. G.*).
J. D. BELL (*Offg. A. G.*).
W. C. BONNERJEE (*Offg.*).

HIGH COURT, MADRAS, 1862—1886.

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable Sir Colley Harman Scotland, Kt.
" Sir Walter Morgan, Kt.
" Sir Charles A. Turner, Kt., C.I.E.
" Arthur J. H. Collins, Kt.

JUDGES.

Honourable Sir Adam Bittleston.
" T. L. Strange.
" H. D. Phillips.
" H. Frere.
" W. Holloway.
" L. C. Innes.
" C. Collett.
" G. H. Ellis (*Offg.*).
" D. F. Carmichael (*Offg.*).
" J. Kernan.
" J. R. Kindersley.
" L. Forbes.
" Muttusami Ayyar, C.I.E.
" T. M. Bustred (*Offg.*).
" H. J. Tarrant (*Offg.*).
" P. P. Hutchins.
" F. Brandt.
" G. A. Parker (*Offg.*).
" J. W. Handley (*Offg.*).

ADVOCATES GENERAL.

Honourable T. Sydney Smyth.
" J. H. Norton.
" J. D. Mayne.
" H. S. Cunningham.
" P. O'Sullivan.
" H. H. Shephard (*Offg.*).

HIGH COURT, BOMBAY, 1862—1886.

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable Sir Matthew R. Sausse, Kt.
" Sir Richard Couch, Kt.
" Sir Michael R. Westropp, Kt.
" Sir Charles Sargent, Kt.

JUDGES.

Honourable Sir Joseph Arnould, Kt.
" H. Hebbert.
" A. K. Forbes.
" C. J. Erskine.
" R. Couch.
" H. Newton.
" M. R. Westropp.
" H. P. Tucker.
" A. B. Warden.
" James Hore (*Offg.*).
" Janardhan Vasudevjee.
" T. C. Anstey (*Offg.*).
" J. Gibbs.
" Sir C. Sargent, Kt.
" L. H. Bayley.
" F. Lloyd.
" M. Melvill.
" C. G. Kemball.
" J. P. Green.
" R. West.
" R. H. Pinhey.
" J. Marriott (*Offg.*).
" Nanabhai Haridas.
" Baron De H. Larpent (*Offg.*).
" G. Atkinson (*Offg.*).
" F. D. Melvill (*Offg.*).
" F. L. Latham (*Offg.*).
" J. Scott.
" H. M. Birdwood.
" W. E. Hart (*Offg.*).
" Sir W. Wedderburn, Bart. (*Offg.*).
" J. Jardine.
" C. F. Farran (*Offg.*).

ADVOCATES GENERAL.

Honourable A. J. Lewis.
" L. H. Bayley.
" J. S. White.
" C. J. Mayhew (*Offg.*).
" A. R. Scoble.
" J. Marriott.
" F. L. Latham.
" B. Lang (*Offg.*).
" C. F. Farran (*Offg.*).
" H. M. Starling (*Offg.*).
" J. Macpherson (*Offg.*).

HIGH COURT, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, 1866—1886.

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY.

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable SIR WALTER MORGAN, KT.
" SIR ROBERT STUART, KT., Q.C.
" SIR WOOMER PETHERAM, KT., Q.C.
" SIR JOHN EDGE, KT., Q.C.

JUDGES.

Honourable A. ROSS.
" W. EDWARDS.
" W. ROBERTS.
" F. B. PEARSON.
" C. A. TURNER.
" R. SPANKIE.
" W. JARDINE (*Offg.*).
" G. D. TURNBULL (*Offg.*).
" R. C. OLDFIELD.
" D. STRAIGHT.
" M. BRODHURST.
" W. DUTHOIT (D.C.L.) (*Offg.*).
" W. TYRRELL.
" SAIYAD MAHMUD.

MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL WHO HAVE TAKEN PART IN THE DECISIONS CONTAINED IN THIS DIGEST, 1836—1886.

The Right Honourable LORD WYNFORD.
" LORD BROUGHAM.
" LORD DENMAN.
" LORD LANGDALE.
" SIR JOHN NICHOLL, KT.
" SIR LANCELOT SHADWELL, KT.
" THOMAS ERSKINE.
" SIR JAMES PARKE, KT. (afterwards LORD WENSLEYDALE).
" SIR JOHN B. BOSANQUET, KT.
" SIR JOHN VAUGHAN, KT.
" SIR HERBERT JENNER, KT. (afterwards SIR HERBERT JENNER FUST KT.).
" STEPHEN LUSHINGTON, D.C.L.
" LORD WHARNCLIFFE (Lord President of the Council).
" SIR JAMES LEWIS KNIGHT-BRUCE, KT.
" SIR JAMES WIGRAM, KT.
" T. PEMBERTON LEIGH (afterwards LORD KINGSDOWN).
" SIR FREDERICK POLLOCK, KT.
" LORD LANSDOWNE (Lord President of the Council).
" DUKE OF BUCCLEUGH (Lord President of the Council).
" LORD CRANWORTH.
" LORD TRURO.
" LORD CAMPBELL.
" SIR EDWARD RYAN, KT.
" SIR JOHN JERVIS, KT.
" SIR JOHN ROMILLY, KT. (afterwards LORD ROMILLY).
" SIR GEORGE JAMES TURNER, KT.
" SIR JOHN PATTESON, KT.
" SIR JOHN DODSON, KT.
" LORD WENSLEYDALE.
" SIR WILLIAM H. MAULE, KT.
" LORD CHELMSFORD.
" LORD KINGSDOWN.
" SIR CRESSWELL CRESSWELL, KT.
" SIR JOHN TAYLOR COLERIDGE, KT.
" SIR WILLIAM ERLE, KT.
" LORD WESTBURY.
" SIR JAMES WILLIAM COLVILE, KT.
" SIR EDWARD VAUGHAN WILLIAMS, KT.
" SIR FITZROY KELLY, KT.
" SIR WILLIAM PAGE WOOD, KT. (afterwards LORD HATHERLEY).
" SIR RICHARD TORIN KINDERSLEY, KT.
" LORD CAIRNS.
" LORD ROMILLY.
" SIR JOHN ROLT, KT.
" SIR ROBERT PHILLIMORE, KT.
" SIR CHARLES JASPER SELWYN, KT.
" SIR JOSEPH NAPIER, BART.
" SIR GEORGE MARKHAM GIFFORD, KT.
" SIR WILLIAM MILBOURNE JAMES, KT.

MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL.

The Right Honourable Sir George Mellish, Kt.
" Sir Barnes Peacock, Kt.
" Lord Hatherley.
" Sir John Stuart, Kt.
" Sir James Shaw Willes, Kt.
" Sir Montague Edward Smith, Kt.
" Sir Robert Porrett Collier, Kt. (afterwards Lord Monkswell).
" Lord Selborne.
" Sir John Barnard Byles, Kt.
" Sir Henry Singer Keating, Kt
" Lord Blackburn.
" Lord Watson.
" Lord Fitzgerald.
" Sir Richard Couch, Kt.
" Sir John Mellor, Kt.
" Sir Arthur Hobhouse, Kt. (afterwards Lord Hobhouse).
" Lord Monkswell.
" Lord Hobhouse.
" Lord Halsbury.

PRIVY COUNCILLORS, ASSESSORS.

The Right Honourable Sir Edward Hyde East, Bart.
" Sir Alexander Johnston, Kt.
" Sir Edward Ryan, Kt.
" Sir Lawrence Peel, Kt.
" Sir James William Colvile, Kt.

TABLE

OF

HEADINGS, SUB-HEADINGS, AND CROSS-REFERENCES.

The headings and sub-headings under which the cases are arranged are printed in this table in capitals, the headings in black type, and the sub-headings in small capitals. The cross-references are printed in ordinary type.

Tacking.
Talook, *Meaning of*—
Talookdar.
Tank, Order to destroy—
Tanks, Maintaining ancient—
TAX.
TAXATION OF BILL OF COSTS.
TAZI MANDI CHITTIS.
Tehsildar.
Temple, Suit for declaration of trusts of—
Tenant.
TENDER.
Tenure created under Court of Wards.
Territorial jurisdiction, Effect of cession on—
TERRITORIAL LAW OF BRITISH INDIA.
TERRITORY, TRANSFER OF—
Testator.
Thakbust award.
THEFT.
Thekadar.
Ticket, Refusal to produce—
Timber, Right to—
Time for appearance of defendant, Extension of—
Time bargain.
TITLE.
1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE—
(*a*) GENERALLY.
(*b*) LONG POSSESSION.
2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES.
Title of suit, Irregularity in—
Title-deeds.
Titles of honour.
Toda garas haq.
Toddy.
TOLLS.
Torts.
Torture.
Total loss.
Towage, Lien for—
Towage contract.
Towing, Rules for—
TOWN DUTIES, BOMBAY.
Trade, Contract in restraint of—
TRADE MARK.
Trader.
Tradesman's account.
Transfer by endorsement.
TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE.
1. GENERAL CASES.
2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13.
3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER.
TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE.
1. GENERAL CASES.
2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 26.
3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER.
TRANSFER OF PROPERTY.
TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882).
——— s. 2.
——— s. 10.
——— s. 52.
——— s. 54.
——— s. 131.
——— s. 135.
Transfer of Shares, Registration of—
TRANSFER OF TENURE.
Transfer, Registration of—
Transferable tenure.
Trans-shipment permit.
Transportation.

Treason.
TREASURE TROVE.
TREATIES.
Trees.
TRESPASS.
1. General Cases.
2. House-trespass.
Trespasser.
TROVER.
TRUST.
Trust-deed for benefit of creditors.
Trust-property.
TRUSTEE.
TRUSTEE AND CESTUI QUE TRUST.
TRUSTEE ACT (XXIV OF 1841).
TRUSTEE ACT (XXVII OF 1866).
——— s. 3.
——— ss. 20 and 32.
——— s. 35.
TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGEES ACT (XXVIII OF 1866, s. 43).
Turn of worship of idol, Right to—

Umpire, Incapacity to act as—
Unchastity.
Undertaking not to sue.
Under-tenure.
Underwriter, Liability of—
UNDUE INFLUENCE.
UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.
Unliquidated damages.
Unprofessional conduct.
UNNATURAL OFFENCE.
Unworthiness.
UNSETTLED POLLIAM.
Unstamped documents, Admissiblity of, in evidence
Upan chowki tenure.
USER.
Usufructuary mortgage.
Usury.

Vacation, Closing of Court for—
Vakalatnama.
Vakil.
Vakil and client.
Valeation of appeal:
Valuation of right, title, and interest created by mortgage.
VALUATION OF SUIT.
1. Suits.
2 Appeals.
Value of property, Statement in will as to—
Variance between bought and sold notes.
VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF.
1. General Cases.
2. Special Cases.
3. Admission of Part of Claim.
Vatan.
Vatandar's Act (Bombay Act III of 1874).
Vendor, Petition by, to have document registered.
VENDOR AND PURCHASER.
1 Bills of Sale.
2. Breach of Covenant.
3. Breach of Warranty.
4. Caveat Emptor
5. Completion of Transfer.
6 Conditional Sales.
7. Consideration.
8. Fraud.
9. Invalid Sales.
10. Lien.
11. Notice.
12. Possession.
13. Purchase of Mortgaged Property.
14. Purchase-money and other Payments by Purchaser.
15. Purchasers, Rights of—
16. Setting aside Sales.
17. Vendor, Rights and Liabilities of—
18. Miscellaneous Cases.
Verbal contract.
Verdict of Criminal Court.
VERDICT OF JURY.
1. General Cases.
2. Power to interfere with Verdicts.
Vested interests.
Vesting order.
Vicinage.
Village accountant.
Village cattle.
Village chowkidar.
Village Munsiff.
Village Munsiff's peon.
Voluntary assignment.
VOLUNTARY CONVEYANCE.
Voluntary payment.
Voluntary settlement, Breach of covenants in—

Wagering contract.
Wages.
Waging war.
WAGING WAR AGAINST THE QUEEN.
WAIVER.
Wakf
WARRANT.

WARRANT OF ARREST.
1. Civil Cases.
2. Criminal Cases.

WARRANT OF ATTORNEY.

WARRANT OF COMMITMENT.

WARRANT OF EXECUTION.

Warranty, Breach of—

Warranty of title.

Washerman.

WASTE.

WASTE LANDS.

Water, Escape of, Liability for damage done by—

Water-course, Right to use of—

Water-supply, Causing diminution of—

WEIGHTS AND MEASURES, FRAUDULENT USE OF—

Wharfinger.

WHIPPING.

Widow.

Widows inheriting jointly.

Wife.

WILD ANIMALS.

WILL.
1. Execution.
2. Attestation.
3. Form of Will.
4. Nuncupative Will.
5. Validity of Will.
6. Inspection of Will.
7. Renunciation by Executor.
8. Construction.

WILLS ACT (XXV OF 1838).

Windows or doors, Suit to close—

Withdrawal from prosecution.

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES.
1. Person competent to be Witness.
2. Summoning Witnesses.
3. Expenses of Witnesses.
4. Absconding Witnesses.
5. Swearing or Affirmation of Witnesses.
6. Examination of Witnesses—
   (a) Generally.
   (b) Cross-examination.
7. Consideration and Weight of Evidence.
8. Privileges of Witnesses.

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES.
1. Person competent to be Witness.
2. Summoning Witnesses.
3. Avoiding Service.
4. Swearing or Affirmation of Witnesses.
5. Examination of Witnesses—
   (a) Generally.
   (b) Examination by Court.
   (c) Cross-examination.
6. Consideration and Weight of Evidence.

Workman.

WRITTEN STATEMENT.

WRONGFUL CONFINEMENT.

Wrongful conversion.

Wrongful dismissal.

WRONGFUL DETENTION.

WRONGFUL DISTRAINT.

Wrongful loss.

WRONGFUL POSSESSION.

Wrongful seizure in execution.

WRONGFUL RESTRAINT.

Year, Agricultural—

Zanzibar.

ZEMINDAR.

ZEMINDAR, POWER OF—.

ZEMINDAR, RIGHTS OF—

Zemindar and ryot.

ZEMINDARI DAKS.

Zemindari dues and cesses, Suit for—

APPENDIX.

ABATEMENT OF SUIT.

ABETMENT.

ACCOMPLICE.

Accumulations.

Act—1842—IV.

Act—1856—XV.

Act—1861—IX.

Act—1863—VI (N.-W. P. Municipal Improvement).

CORRIGENDA.

VOL. I.

COL. 22.—*Under heading* "ABSENCE BY REASON OF TRANSPORTATION" *for* "LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 13" *read* "LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 7."

COL. 153.—*Under heading* "AGENT, AUTHORITY OF" *for* "B. L. R., 195" *read* "5 B. L. R., 195".

COL. 208.—*Case 222, reference, for* "8 B. L. R." *read* "7 B. L. R."

COL. 469.—*Under heading* "BENAMI TRANSACTION" *insert reference,* "*See* ESTOPPEL—ESTOPPEL BY CONDUCT, 19 W. R., 292."

COL. 722.—*Line 12 from top, reference, for* "10 W. R., 57" *read* "10 W. R., Cr., 7".

COL. 773.—*Case 2, under* "s. 138" *for* "3 I. L. R." *read* "3 B. L. R.".

COL. 823.—*Line 28 from top, reference, for* "53 All., 33" *read* "3 All., 533".

COL. 1360.—*Case 46, reference, for* "7 W. R., 169" *read* "2 N. W., 169".

COL. 1463.—*Line 24 from top, reference, for* "I. L. R., 7 Bom., 11" *read* "I. L. R., 6 Bom., 11".

COL. 1488.—*Case 169, reference, for* "2 W. R., 230" *read* "2 N. W., 230".

VOL. II.

COL. 1588.—*Case 89, reference, for* "10 Moore's I. A." *read* "11 Moore's I. A.", *and for* "W. R., P. C." *read* "9 W. R., P. C".

COL. 1621.—*Under case 202, reference, for* "16 W. R., 471" *read* "14 W. R., 471".

COL. 1785.—*Case 341, reference, before* "11 W. R., P. C." *insert* "2 B. L. R., P. C., 85".

COL. 1856.—*Line 1 from top, after* "EXCISE ACT" *omit* "1856".

COL. 1888.—*Line 8 from top, name of case should be* "BALAJI RANCHODDAS, IN RE."

COL. 2057.—*Line 7 from top, for* "12 W. R., 33" *read* "12 W. R., P. C., 33".

COL. 2066.—*Case 1, reference, for* "5 I. L. R." *read* "5 B. L. R."

COL. 2075.—*Case 17, reference, for* "Ind. Jur., N. S." *read* "Ind. Jur., O. S."

COL. 2103.—*Case 7, reference, for* "Calc." *read* "Bom."

COB. 2221.—*Case 18, reference, omit* "16 W. R., 142".

COL. 2306.—*Case 145, reference, for* "12 B. L. R." *read* "14 B. L. R.", *and for* "29 W. R., 490" *read* "22 W. R., 496".

COL. 2538.—*Line 7 from top, for* "7 Bom." *read* "1 Bom."

COL. 2559.—*Case 73, immediately before name of case insert* "Held also that the trust for maintenance and the religious trusts, as being mere perpetual trusts for the benefit of the family, were likewise void."

COL. 2768.—*Case 21, reference, for* "6 B. L. R." *read* "4 B. L. R."

COL. 2772.—*Under case 15, reference, for* "2 W. R., 142" *read* "2 N. W., 142".

COL. 2793—*Line 9 from top, the name of case should be* "BALAJI RANCHODDAS, IN RE."

COL. 2795.—*Case 22, for* "4 B. L. R." *read* "3 B. L. R.", *and for* "634" *read* "434"

COL. 2855.—*Case 92, reference, for* "4 B. L. R." *read* "6 B. L. R."

VOL. III.

COL. 3102.—*Line 13 from top, reference, for* "3 All." *read* "2 Mad."
COL. 3112.—*Case 8, name of case, for* "HYDER SINGH" *read* "HYDER JUNG BAHADOOR."
COL. 3515.—*Case 167, line 11, after* "89" *insert* "followed."
COL. 3650.—*Case 7, reference, for* "24 W. R." *read* "2 Hay".
COL. 3868.—*Case 1, name of case, for* "MITTER" *read* "MOZUMDAR."
COL. 4100.—*Line 11 from top, reference, for* "2 B. L. R." *read* "3 B. L. R."
COL. 4118.—*Case 1, line 4 of heading, for* "*defence*" *read* "*reference*".
COL. 4126.—*Case 6, reference, for* "13 Agra" *read* "3 Agra".

VOL. IV.

COL. 4398.—*Case 7, reference, for* "8 B. L. R." *read* "7 B. L. R."
COL. 4615.—*Case 20, reference, for* "2 A. C." *read* "2 B. L. R., A. C."
COL. 4853.—*Case 23, reference, for* "2 B. L. R." *read* "3 B. L. R."
COL. 4907.—*Case 68, reference, for* "4 N. W." *read* "5 N. W."
COL. 4913.—*Case 93, reference, for* "20 W. R." *read* "9 W. R."
COL. 5951.—*Line 18 from top, reference, for* "2 All." *read* "7 All."

VOL. V.

COL. 6144.—*Line 13 from top, reference, for* "10 Bom." *read* "9 Bom."
COL. 6240.—*Line 23 from top, reference, after* "2 Moore's I. A., 424" *omit* "6 W. R., P. C., 46".
COL. 6248.—*Case 1, reference, for* "6 B. L. R." *read* "4 B. L. R."

REFERENCES OMITTED IN TABLE OF CASES.

Jivi *v.* Ramji, I. L. R., 3 Bom., 207	3 Bom., 207	3373
Khemkor *v.* Umiashankar Ranchchor	10 Bom., 381	2436
Leelanund Singh *v.* Hamidooddin	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 576	987
Paluckdhary Roy *v.* Radha Purshad Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 50 : 4 C. L. R., 342.	204

NATIONAL SCIENCE ... LIBRARY

A DIGEST

OF

THE HIGH COURT REPORTS

1862—1886

AND OF

THE PRIVY COUNCIL REPORTS OF APPEALS FROM INDIA,

1836—1886.

T

TACKING.

See CASES UNDER MORTGAGE—TACKING.

TALOOK, MEANING OF—

See GRANT—CONSTRUCTION OF GRANT.
[18 W. R., 469
22 W. R., 326

See LEASE—CONSTRUCTION.
[22 W. R., 326

TALOOKDAR.

——— **Conduct of, as indicating his successor.**

See OUDH ESTATES ACT, 1869, s. 22.
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 626

——— **Registered, Interest of—**

See OUDH ESTATES ACT, 1869.
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 522

See CASES UNDER OUDH TALOOKDARS' RELIEF ACT.

TANK, ORDER TO DESTROY—

See NUISANCE—UNDER CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODES . 1 B. L. R., S. N., 27

TANKS, MAINTAINING ANCIENT—

See ZEMINDAR . 14 B. L. R., P. C., 209

TAX.

——— **illegally levied.**

See MADRAS TOWNS IMPROVEMENT ACT, 1871, s. 85 . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 158

See STATUTE, CONSTRUCTION OF—
[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 158
8 Bom., A. C., 213

TAX—*continued.*

——— **Muhtarafa.**—*Trade tax, Zemidar's right to collect.—Mad. Reg. XXV of 1802, s. 4.—Mad. Reg. XXV of 1832.*—The right of collecting the muhtarafa or trade tax from artisans in his zemindari has not been delegated by Government to the Zemindar of Karvaitnagar, and cannot be legally exercised by his assignees. *Quære,*—Whether it was competent for Government to delegate the collection of the muhtarafa to zemindars for their own use. VEDANTA *v.* KANNIYAPPA
[I. L. R., 9 Mad., 14

TAXATION OF BILL OF COSTS.

See ATTORNEY AND CLIENT.
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 473

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 84 (1871, ART. 85) . . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 253
[I. L. R., 7 Mad., 1

1. ——— **Attendance before taxing officer.**—*Attorney.—Appearance for several parties.—Summons to attend taxation.—Practice.*—Any work which an attorney does jointly for several parties together he can only make one charge for, and where he appears for any number of parties before the taxing officer at the taxation of the costs of a suit, he must be taken to represent them jointly. The taxing officer should not issue separate summonses to different parties who appear by one attorney. KENNY *v.* ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL . . . 7 B. L. R., Ap., 50

2. ——— **Accountants employed not under order of Court.**—*Useful and necessary expense.*—In a suit to set aside a settlement, two accountants were employed at the plaintiff's instance, and not by order of Court, to examine the settlor's books and give evidence. *Held* that the investigation being most useful to the Court, and adapted to the ends of justice, the taxing master was right in allowing their expenses. MACNAIR *v.* HOGG
[2 Hyde, 89

TAZI MANDI CHITTIS.

See CONTRACT—WAGERING CONTRACTS.
[4 Moore's I. A., 339
5 Moore's I. A., 109
6 Moore's I. A., 251

——— **Principal and agent.**—*Gambling Act (XXI of 1849).*—Where the plaintiff had expended money at the request of the defendant in the purchase or settlement of tazi mandi chittis,—*Held*, he was entitled to recover notwithstanding Act XXI of 1848. KANAYALAL *v.* CHAGMAL BATTIA
[8 B. L. R., 412

BHAIRABNATH KHETTRI *v.* JUMANRAM DHANDARIA . . . 8 B. L. R., 415; note

TEHSILDAR.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 7 (1859, s. 1, CL. 2).
[1 B. L. R., S. N., 20 : 10 W. R., 260

TEMPLE, SUIT FOR DECLARATION OF TRUSTS OF—

See ACT XX OF 1863.
[5 B. L. R., Ap., 55

TENANT.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT.

——— **Suit against, for share of rent.**

See CASES UNDER CO-SHARERS—SUITS BY CO-SHARERS WITH RESPECT TO THE JOINT PROPERTY—KABULIATS.

See CASES UNDER CO-SHARERS—SUITS BY CO-SHARERS WITH RESPECT TO THE JOINT PROPERTY — ENHANCEMENT OF RENT.

See CASES UNDER CO-SHARERS—SUITS BY CO-SHARERS WITH RESPECT TO THE JOINT PROPERTY—RENT.

TENDER.

See BENGAL RENT ACT, 1869, s. 46.
[1 B. L. R., S. N., 7 : 10 W. R., 101
16 W. R., 79
2 W. R., Act X, 88

1. ——— **Validity of tender.**—*Contract Act, s. 38.—Tender of interest on mortgage-debt.*—Under a mortgage-deed taken to secure the repayment within three years of a sum of R16,000 advanced by the plaintiff, with interest at 15 per cent. from the 2nd July 1874, the date of the mortgage, it was stipulated that interest should be paid every six months, but that if a year's interest should be unpaid, then the whole amount due for principal and interest should become payable at once; and also that the mortgagor might, after payment of interest, pay towards satisfaction of the principal any sum not less than R1,000. The first year's interest was allowed to get into arrear, but in September 1875 the defendant went to the plaintiff with R19,000, a greater sum than was due for principal and interest, and told him to repay himself from that sum. The

TENDER.—Liability of tender—*continued.*

offer was refused, and the plaintiff thereupon brought a suit on the 8th July 1877 for R16,000, with interest from the date of the mortgage to the date of the suit and subsequent interest. *Held* that the tender made by the defendant, although not valid according to English law, was valid under section 38 of the Contract Act. *Per* WILSON, *J.*—Section 38 of the Contract Act substantially requires that there should be a genuine and unconditional offer, in case of payment, to pay unconditionally at a proper place, made by a person in a position to pay. KANYE LALL KHAN *v.* KHETTERMONEY DOSSEE
[5 C. L. R., 105

2. ——— *Unconditional tender.—Costs.*—In a suit to recover R1,323-15-6, the balance of the price of goods sold, on which an account had been come to between the parties, it appeared that the defendant had tendered before suit a sum of R1,043-5, stating in the letter of tender that the sum so tendered was the only sum due. At the trial, the plaintiff obtained a decree for the full amount claimed by him. *Held*, both in the Court below and on appeal, that the tender was bad, and therefore the plaintiffs were entitled to their costs. *Held per* KENNEDY, *J.*, that the tender was bad, being a tender of part of an entire debt. *Held per* GARTH, *C. J.* (MARKBY, *J.*, concurring), that the tender was also bad, as the plaintiffs could not have accepted the sum tendered without giving up the remainder of their claim. CHUNDER CANT MOOKERJEE *v.* JODOONATH KHAN
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 468 : 1 C. L. R., 470

3. ——— *Agent.—Cheque in payment of debt for rent.—Suit for rent.—Costs.*—The landlord of a house through his agent sent in rent-bills to his lessee. The lessee gave the agent a cheque payable to her attorney for the amount demanded. The attorney realised the amount of the cheque and gave the money to the agent, who tendered it to the landlord's attorney, who refused to accept, and the money was returned to the lessee's attorney. *Held*, in a suit for the rent, that, under the circumstances, the tender amounted to payment. *Held*, further, that although, as a general rule, the amount of a tender not accepted ought to be paid into Court in order to entitle the defendant to costs, yet that, as the tender in this case amounted to payment, the defendant was entitled to have the suit dismissed with costs. BOLYE CHUND SING *v.* MOULLAD . . . . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 572

TENURE CREATED UNDER COURT OF WARDS.

See BENGAL ACT IV OF 1870.
[15 B. L. R., 343

TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION, EFFECT OF CESSION ON—

See CESSION OF BRITISH TERRITORY IN INDIA I. L. R., 1 Bom., 367
[10 Bom., 37

TERRITORIAL LAW OF BRITISH INDIA.

——— *Nature of territorial law.—English law.*—The territorial law of British India is a modified form of English law. SECRETARY OF STATE v. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL [I.R. L. R., O. C., 87

TERRITORY, TRANSFER OF—

——— *District of Kanara.—16 and 17 Vict., c. 95; 21 and 22 Vict., c. 106.—Councils Act, 24 and 25 Vict., c. 67.*—The power given by 16 and 17 Victoria, Cap. 95, to alter the distribution of territories among the presidencies, was vested by 21 and 22 Victoria, Cap. 106, in the Secretary of State for India, by whose order of 28th of February 1862 North Canara was annexed, the new arrangement of territory to take effect from such date as the Governor General of India in Council should by proclamation appoint for the purposes of the Councils Act, 1861, which Act has reference solely to the constitution and functions of the Legislative Councils, and does not purport to affect in any way the exercise of the general powers of Government, or the administration of justice, and the jurisdiction and authority of the Courts of Justice, the annexation for those purposes being made by the Secretary of State, and not being qualified or controlled by the proviso in section 47 of 24 and 25 Victoria clause 67, which cannot be construed as a substantive enactment, or as qualifying or restraining the power vested in the Secretary of State. REG. v. VYANKATSVAMI [2 Bom., 112 : 2nd Ed., 106

TESTATOR.

——— Acknowledgment of signature by—

See WILL—EXECUTION. [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 547

——— Application for probate of will of Hindu.

See PROBATE—OPPOSITION TO AND REVOCATION OF GRANT. [I. L. R., 2 Calc., 208

——— Debts of Hindu.

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—NOTICE. [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 897

——— Power of—

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WILL—POWER OF DISPOSITION. [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561

See MAHOMEDAN LAW—WILL. [I. L. R., 2 Calc., 184

THAKBUST AWARD.

See ACT XIII OF 1848. [2 B. L. R., P. C., 111 : 12 W. R., P. C., 6

THEFT.

See PARTNERSHIP PROPERTY. [13 B. L. R., 307, 308, note; 310, note

THEFT—*continued.*

——— committed out of British territory.

See JURISDICTION OF CRIMINAL COURT—OFFENCES COMMITTED ONLY PARTY IN ONE DISTRICT . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 171

1. ——— Penal Code, s. 378.—*Definition of theft.*—As to what constitutes theft as defined in the Penal Code. QUEEN v. MADARES [3 W. R., Cr., 2

2. ——— *Moving property and severing it.*—The moving by the same act which effects the severance may constitute a theft. ANONYMOUS . . . . . 5 Mad., Ap., 36

3. ——— *Removal of property against wish of ostensible purchaser thereof.—Apparent title or colour of right to property.*—To constitute theft it is sufficient if property is removed against his wish, from the custody of a person who has an apparent title, or even a colour of right, to such property. *Cape* v. *Scott, L. R., 2 Q. B., 269,* followed. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. GANGARAM SANTRAM [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 135

4. ——— *Giving up right of possession in property by owner.*—A conviction for theft under the Penal Code is illegal if the owner has given up all property in and all possession of the subject of the alleged theft. ANONYMOUS [4 Mad., Ap., 30

5. ——— *Making away with property lawfully possessed.*—The making away with property of which a person has been put in lawful possession by superior authority is not theft, but criminal breach of trust. QUEEN v. BHAEUT CHUNDER . . . . . 1 W. R., Cr., 2

6. ——— *Unexplained possession of rice.—Meaning of corpus delicti.*—Where a prisoner was found in possession of rice not thrashed in the usual way, and having no paddy land of his own, he failed to account satisfactorily for his possession of the rice,—*Held,* he could not be convicted of theft without more evidence. The meaning of the term "*corpus delicti*" explained. ANONYMOUS [7 Mad., Ap., 19

7. ——— *Dishonest taking, Omission of allegation of.*—The prisoner was convicted of theft on his own confession. The charge to which the prisoner pleaded did not allege the taking out of the possession of some person dishonestly, and there was no evidence of such taking. *Held* that the conviction was bad. ANONYMOUS . 5 Mad., Ap., 37

8. ——— *Abetment of theft.—Receiving stolen property.—Joint undivided Hindu family.*—A Hindu, intending to separate himself from his family, emigrated to Demerara as a coolie. After an absence of thirty years he returned to his family, bringing with him money and other moveable property which he had acquired in Demerara by manual labour as a coolie. On his return to his family he lived in commensality with it, but he did

THEFT.—Penal Code, s. 378—*continued.*

not treat such property as joint family property but as his own property. *Held* that such property was his sole property, and his brother was not a joint owner of it, and could properly be convicted of theft in respect of it. It is irregular to convict and punish a person for abetment of theft, and at the same time to convict and punish him for receiving the stolen property. EMPRESS *v.* SITA RAM RAI [I. L. R., 3 All., 181

9. ——— *Mahomedan married woman.—Husband and wife.—Taking property of husband.*—A Mahomedan married woman may be convicted of theft, or abetment of theft, in respect of the property of her husband. REG. *v.* KHATABAI [6 Bom., Cr., 9

10. ——— *Hindu woman removing stridhan from possession of her husband.*—A Hindu woman who removes from the possession of her husband, and without his consent, her palla or stridhan, cannot be convicted of theft, nor can any person who joins her in removing it be convicted of that offence. REG. *v.* NATHA KALYAN . 8 Bom., Cr., 11

11. ——— *Removal of family jewels by wife and persons coming to commit adultery with her.*—Two persons were committed for trial, the first prisoner for adultery, enticing away a married woman, and theft, and the second prisoner for abetment of the enticing away and theft. The first prisoner was acquitted of the charges of adultery and enticing away. The case for the prosecution was that the prosecutor's wife left her husband's house in company with the first prisoner, and that previous to her departure she, by means of false keys supplied to her by the second prisoner, opened the room where the family jewels and money were kept and removed them. The jewels were deposited with the second prisoner for safe custody. Part of the money was handed to the first prisoner. *Held* that, notwithstanding the acquittal, the prisoners were not entitled to be discharged without trial on the charge of theft. ANONYMOUS [5 Mad., Ap., 23

12. ——— and s. 114.—*Forcibly carrying off crop.—Want of consent of owner.*—Where a Court finds that parties came with a number of armed men, and carried off a crop, the finding amounts to that of a forcible carrying off without the consent of the owner. Even if they took no part in the actual taking, they must, with reference to section 114, Penal Code, be considered guilty of the substantive offence under section 378. QUEEN *v.* SHIB CHUNDER MUNDLE . 8 W. R., Cr., 59

13. ——— *Person acting under ill-founded claim of right.*—A person acting under a claim of right (however ill-founded such claim may be) is not guilty of theft by asserting it. QUEEN *v.* RAM CHUNN SINGH . . 7 W. R., Cr., 57

14. ——— *Assertion of right by accused.—Defence to charge of theft.*—A bare assertion by an accused charged with committing theft of a proprietary right in the alleged stolen property

THEFT.—Penal Code, s. 378—*continued.*

is no reason for a Magistrate to refuse to entertain a charge of theft. QUEEN *v.* KALIOHARAN MISSER [7 B. L. R., Ap., 55

S. C. RUNNOO SINGH *v.* KALI CHUNN MISSER [16 W. R., Cr., 18

See KHETTER NATH DUTT *v.* INDRO JALIA [16 W. R., Cr., 78

HURIS CHUNDRA DAS *v.* BOLAI AUDHICARRE [16 W. R., 75

15. ——— *Plunder of crops.—Assertion of right to crops.*—The mere assertion of a fair claim of property or right, or the mere existence of a doubt as to right, is not sufficient to justify an acquittal in a case of plunder of crops. The claim to the property must be proved by evidence to be fair and good. NASSIB CHOWDRY *v.* NANNOO CHOWDHRY . . . . 15 W. R., Cr., 47

16. ——— and s. 442.—*Boat. Moveable property.*—A boat may be the subject of theft. Although, under section 442 of the Penal Code, it is for certain purposes classed with houses, it does not cease to be moveable property under section 378. QUEEN *v.* MEHAR DOWALIA [16 W. R., Cr., 63

17. ——— *Intention to convert to his own use, Want of.—Temporary use.*—When an accused charged with murder was alleged to have taken a boat from a place where it had been secured by its owner, and after proceeding some distance in it had abandoned it, and when he was charged with the theft of the boat,—*Held* that the charge was unsustainable, inasmuch as it was evidently not his intention to convert it to his own use, and make it permanently his own property, but merely to make use of it for the purpose of aiding him in escaping. ADU SHIKDAR *v.* QUEEN-EMPRESS [I. L. R., 11 Calc., 635

18. ——— *Property removed with criminal intent but with consent of owner.—A.* sought the aid of *B.* with the intention of committing a theft of the property of *B.'s* master. *B.* with the knowledge and consent of his master, and for the purpose of procuring *A.'s* punishment, aided *A.* in carrying out his object. On the prosecution of *A.* for theft,—*Held* that as the property removed was so taken with the knowledge of the owner, the offence of theft had not been committed. EMPRESS *v.* TROYLUKHONATH CHOWDHRY [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 366 : 3 C. L. R., 525

19. ——— *Possession of wood by forest inspector.—Removal of wood without payment of fees.*—Possession of wood by a forest inspector, who is a servant of Government, is possession of the Government itself; and a dishonest removal of it, without payment of the necessary fees, from his possession, albeit with his actual consent, constitutes theft within the meaning of section 378 of the Penal Code, if that consent was unauthorised or fraudulent. REG. *v.* HANMANTA . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 610

THEFT.—Penal Code, s. 378—*continued.*

20. ——— and s. 95.—*Valueless produce.—Property almost valueless.*—Conviction and sentence by a Magistrate reversed, as the act of which the accused was convicted—taking pods (almost valueless) from a tree standing upon Government waste ground—came within the meaning of section 95 of the Penal Code, and did not therefore amount to theft. REG. v. KASYA BIN RAVJI. [5 Bom., Cr., 35

21. ——— *Retaining possession of nets of poachers.*—The prisoner, acting *bonâ fide* in the interests of his employers, and finding a party of fishermen poaching on his master's fisheries, took charge of the nets, and retained possession of them, pending the orders of his employers. *Held* that the prisoner was not guilty of theft. QUEEN v. NOBIN CHUNDER HOLDAR . . 6 W. R., Cr., 79

22. ——— *Taking fish in navigable river.*—The taking fish in that portion of a navigable river over which a right of julkur exists in another person does not fall within section 378 of the Penal Code. HURI MOTI MODDOOK v. DENONATH MALO . . . 19 W. R., Cr., 47

BHUSUN PARUI v. DENONATH BANERJEE [20 W. R., Cr., 15

23. ——— *Taking fish from creek.*—The wrongful taking of fish from a creek is not theft. QUEEN v. REVU POTHADU [I. L. R., 5 Mad., 390

24. ——— s. 379.—*Possession.—Fish in an enclosed tank.*—Where the accused were found fishing without permission in an enclosed tank belonging to the municipality of the town of Sirsi, it was held that they could be convicted of theft, as the tank from which the fish were taken was apparently an enclosed tank, and the fish were, therefore, restrained of their natural liberty, and liable to be taken at any time according to the pleasure of the owner, and were, therefore, subjects of theft. *Bhurun Parui* v. *Denonath Banerjee, 20 W. R., Cr., 15*; and *Queen* v. *Revu Pothadu, I. L. R., 5 Mad., 390,* distinguished. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. ADAM VALAD SHAIK FARID . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 193

25. ——— *Illegal seizure and impounding of cattle.*—The illegal seizure and impounding of cattle is not theft within the meaning of the Penal Code, even if effected with the malicious intent of subjecting the owners to additional expense, inconvenience, and annoyance. ARADHUN MUNDUL v. MYAN KHAN TAKADGEER [24 W. R., Cr., 7

26. ——— *Removal of salt naturally formed.—Bom. Salt Acts, XXVII of 1837 and XXXI of 1850.*—Dishonest removal of salt naturally formed in a creek which was, under the supervision of an officer belonging to the Customs Department constitutes theft, the salt having been legally appropriated by such officer. *Per* BAYLEY and WEST, *JJ.*—But removal for one's own use from a creek of such salt not legally appropriated constitutes no offence under the Penal Code or the Salt Acts, though the salt becomes liable to detention. REG. v. MANSANG BHAVSANG . . . . . 10 Bom., 74

27. ——— *Taking salt from swamp surrounded by police.—Possession.*—A swamp, the property of Government, having been surrounded with police guards by Government to prevent salt being removed,—*Held* that the taking against the will of Government, and with the intention of obtaining an unlawful gain, of salt which had been spontaneously produced on the swamp, was theft. QUEEN v. TAMMA GHANTAYA . [I. L. R., 4 Mad., 228

28. ——— and s. 204.—*Secreting document produced before arbitrator.—Intention.—Remoteness of object.*—Where the plaintiff in a suit referred to arbitration by consent, with a view to prevent a witness from referring to an endorsement on a bond (which tended to show that defendant had paid more than it was alleged had been paid by him) snatched up the bond which was lying beside the arbitrator, ran away, and refused to produce it,—*Held* that the offence committed was not theft, but secreting a document under section 204 of the Penal Code. SUBRAMANIA GHANAPATI v. QUEEN [I. L. R., 3 Mad., 261

29. ——— s. 380.—*Theft in a building.—Requisites for offence.*—All that is necessary in order to constitute the offence of theft in a building, is that the property should be under the protection of the building; it is not necessary to show unlawful entrance into the building. QUEEN v. ISHREE PERSHAD . . 24 W. R., Cr., 49

30. ——— and s. 409.—*Constables taking property from house under their charge.*—Theft by constables of property from the house they were employed to guard is punishable under section 380, and not section 409, Penal Code. QUEEN v. BOIDNATH SINGH . . 3 W. R., Cr., 29

31. ——— s. 381.—*Theft by servant.—Hired boatman.*—A hired boatman does not come within the definition of a clerk or servant under section 381 of the Penal Code. Theft by such a person on board a boat comes under section 380. QUEEN v. BAWOOL MANJEE [8 W. R., Cr., 32

32. ——— ss. 381, 409.—*Stealing money in accused's charge.—Criminal breach of trust.*—The prisoners were charged with having stolen a sum of money shut up in a box and placed in the police treasury buildings, over which they, as burkundauzes, were placed on guard. *Held* that the charge should have been made under section 381 of the Penal Code (theft by servant in possession of property), and not under section 409 (criminal breach of trust by public servant). QUEEN v. JUGGURNATH SINGH . . . 2 W. R., Cr., 55

THEKADAR.

1. ——— **Meaning of term.**—The term "thekadar" is properly applicable to hereditary culti-

THEKADAR.—Meaning of term—*continued.*

vators only when they have also a theka or lease of a share in, or the whole of, the profits of an estate. RAJ NATH *v.* MUNGLEE . . 2 N. W., 411

2. ——— *Mode of creation of thekadar's interest.—Effect of accepting theka.*—A thekadar is ordinarily a person who holds a theka or lease of the whole of a zemindar's interest in a village. There is nothing in the law which renders a writing necessary to the creation of such an interest. It is not to be inferred from the mere circumstance that persons accepted a theka that they forewent their existing right. LEELA DHUR *v.* BHUGWUNT . . . . . 3 N. W., 39

TICKET, REFUSAL TO PRODUCE—

See RAILWAY ACT, 1871, s. 2. [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 25

See RAILWAY ACT, 1879, ss. 17, 31. [I. L. R., 1 Calc., 192

TIMBER, RIGHT TO—

See CUSTOM—EVIDENCE OF CUSTOM. [9 W. R., 97

TIME FOR APPEARANCE OF DEFENDANT, EXTENSION OF—

See NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS, SUMMARY PROCEDURE ON— [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 395 3 B. L. R., O. C., 83

See PRACTICE—CIVIL CASES—LEAVE TO SUE OR DEFEND. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 539

TIME BARGAIN.

See EVIDENCE—PAROL EVIDENCE—VARYING OR CONTRADICTING WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS . I. L. R., 9 Calc., 791

TITLE.

Col.

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE . 6000
   (*a*) GENERALLY 6000
   (*b*) LONG POSSESSION 6005
2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES 6007

See CHUR LANDS . 2 B. L. R., P. C., 4 [17 W. R., 73

See CASES UNDER CLAIMS TO ATTACHED PROPERTY.

See CASES UNDER ONUS PROBANDI—POSSESSION AND PROOF OF TITLE.

See PARTITION—JURISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT IN SUITS RESPECTING PARTITION . 8 B. L. R., Ap., 73, note

See CASES UNDER POSSESSION—EVIDENCE OF TITLE.

See CASES UNDER POSSESSION—SUITS FOR POSSESSION—PROOF OF PARTICULAR TITLE.

TITLE—*continued.*

See CASES UNDER RESISTANCE OR OBSTRUCTION TO EXECUTION OF DECREE.

——— Acknowledgment of—

See CASES UNDER LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 19 (1871, ART. 148)—ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF OTHER RIGHTS.

——— Breach of covenant for—

See DAMAGES—SUITS FOR DAMAGES—BREACH OF CONTRACT. [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 273

——— Decision of Revenue Court as to—

See CASES UNDER RES JUDICATA—COMPETENT COURT—REVENUE COURTS.

——— Declaration of, Suit for—

See DECLARATORY DECREE, SUIT FOR—DECLARATION OF TITLE.

See CASES UNDER ONUS PROBANDI—DECLARATION OF TITLE.

See POSSESSION—SUITS FOR POSSESSION—PROOF OF PARTICULAR TITLE. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 224 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 699

——— Denial of—

See CASES UNDER ESTOPPEL—LANDLORD AND TENANT, DENIAL OF TITLE.

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT—FORFEITURE—DENIAL OF TITLE.

——— Disclaimer of—

See ADMISSION—ADMISSION IN STATEMENTS AND PLEADINGS. [15 B. L. R., 10

——— Extinction of—

See CASES UNDER LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 28.

See RELINQUISHMENT OF TENURE. [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 91, 208

——— Investigation of—

See CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 236 (1859, s. 214) . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 413

——— Notice of—

See CASES UNDER VENDOR AND PURCHASER—NOTICE.

——— Obstruction to—

See DECLARATORY DECREE, SUIT FOR—SUITS CONCERNING DOCUMENTS. [I. L. R., 1 All., 688

——— Proof of—

See ESTOPPEL—ESTOPPEL BY DEEDS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 397

TITLE.—Proof of—*continued.*

See LANDLORD AND TENANT—MIRASDARS. [I. L. R., 3 Bom., 340

See CASES UNDER POSSESSION—EVIDENCE OF POSSESSION.

See CASES UNDER POSSESSION—EVIDENCE OF TITLE.

See SANAD I. L. R., 3 Bom., 340
[12 B. L. R., 120

——— Question of—

See APPEAL—NORTH-WEST PROVINCES ACTS . . I. L. R., 1 All., 366

See CASES UNDER BENGAL RENT ACT, 1869, s. 102.

See CERTIFICATE OF ADMINISTRATION—PROCEDURE . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 616
[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 303

See INSOLVENT ACT, s. 26.
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 434

See JURISDICTION OF CRIMINAL COURT—GENERAL JURISDICTION.
[2 N. W., 202

See JURISDICTION OF REVENUE COURT—BOMBAY REGULATIONS AND ACTS.
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 624

See PROBATE—OPPOSITION TO AND REVOCATION OF GRANT.
[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 1

See RES JUDICATA—COMPETENT COURT—GENERAL CASES.
[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 832

See CASES UNDER RES JUDICATA—COMPETENT COURT—REVENUE COURTS.

See CASES UNDER RES JUDICATA—COMPETENT COURT—SMALL CAUSE COURT CASES.

See CASES UNDER SMALL CAUSE COURT, MOFUSSIL — JURISDICTION — TITLE, QUESTIONS OF—

See CASES UNDER SMALL CAUSE COURT, PRESIDENCY TOWNS—JURISDICTION—RECOVERY OF IMMOVEABLE PROPERTY.

See SPECIAL APPEAL—SMALL CAUSE COURT SUITS—TITLE, QUESTION OF—
[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 470

——— Slander of—

See DECLARATORY DECREE, SUIT FOR—DECLARATION OF TITLE.
[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 65

——— Warranty of—

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—PURCHASERS, TITLE OF GENERALLY.
[4 Bom., A. C., 114
6 Bom., A. C., 256
12 W. R., 41
I. L. R., 2 All., 108, 828

TITLE.—Warranty of—*continued.*

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—SETTING ASIDE SALE—RIGHTS OF PURCHASERS—RECOVERY OF PURCHASE-MONEY . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 258

See CASES UNDER VENDOR AND PURCHASER.

——— by long possession.

See ACCRETION—RE-FORMATION AFTER DILUVIATION . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 766

See BOMBAY REGULATION V OF 1827, s. 1.
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 352

See CASES UNDER POSSESSION—EVIDENCE OF TITLE.

——— on sale of goods.

See CONTRACT—BREACH OF CONTRACT.
[8 B. L. R., 581

——— to land between high and low water marks.

See SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE—SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE ALLOWED.
[9 B. L. R., 128

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE.

(a) GENERALLY.

1. ——— Evidence of title.—*Oral evidence.*—Where the Principal Sudder Ameen, reversing the decision of the Munsif, dismissed a claim to the possession of certain land, on the ground that "mere oral evidence unsupported by any documentary evidence was not admissible to establish a man's title to landed property," the High Court on appeal reversed his decision and held that oral evidence, if believed, may be as good for proving a man's title as documentary evidence. DURBAN FAKEER *v.* NOBIN-CHANDRA MAZUMDAR
[1 B. L. R., S. N., 16: 10 W. R., 217

2. ——— *Documentary evidence in India.*—The presumption in favour of the genuineness of documents offered in evidence in India is very weak, but it must not be held that the presumption is in favour of forgery, and when a long series of documents is produced showing a reasonable origin of title nearly a century ago, a regular deduction of that title and a possession consistent with it, confirmed by the fact of such possession existing at the time of the commencement of the respondents' title by purchase in 1833, the evidence of extrinsic improbability should be very strong indeed to counterbalance the weight of such testimony. WISE *v.* BHOOBUN MOYEE DEBIA
[8 W. R., P. C., 5: 10 Moore's I. A., 165

3. ——— *Pottah granted by Collector.*—A pottah of land in Calcutta granted by the Collector is not a muniment of title, but only evidence of a holding according to a local and fiscal regulation. FREEMAN *v.* FAIRLIE
[1 Moore's I. A., 305

4. ——— *Forged documents.*—If a party put in evidence in support of his title,

TITLE—*continued.*

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —*continued.*

(*a*) GENERALLY—*continued.*

Evidence of title—*continued.*

documents proved to be forged, but the other evidence adduced by him is not impeached, the Court, in rejecting the forged documents, will take the unimpeached evidence into consideration, and, if satisfied, adjudicate thereon. SEVVAJI VIJAYA RAGHUNADHA VALOJI KRISTNAN GOPALAR *v.* CHINNA NAYANA CHETTI . . . . 10 Moore's I. A., 151

5. ——— *Suit for possession.*—In a suit to recover possession of land which both the plaintiff and defendant claimed to have reclaimed from jungle and to have possessed many years, and for which each claimed to have obtained a pottah from Government, the mere fact that the land was included in plaintiff's pottah was held to be insufficient, without going into the facts to ascertain possession, to entitle him to a decree. GOLAM REZA CHOWDHRY *v.* CHANDOO MEAH LUSHKUR [15 W. R., 45

6. ——— *Possession.—Presumption.*—The ordinary presumption that possession goes with the title would be of no avail in the presence of clear evidence to the contrary; but where there is strong evidence of possession on one side opposed by evidence apparently strong also on the other side, the Privy Council held that in estimating the weight due to the evidence on both sides, the presumption might, under the circumstances of the case, be regarded, and that with the aid of it there is stronger probability that the case of the side that had possession was true than that of the party out of possession. RUNJEET RAM PANDAY *v.* GOBERDHUN RAM PANDAY . . . . 20 W. R., P. C., 25

7. ——— *Possession.—Limitation Act (XV of 1877), arts. 143, 144.—Conflicting evidence of possession.—Presumption of title.*—Where two adverse parties are each trying to make out a possession of twelve years, and the evidence is conflicting and not conclusive on either side,—*Held* that the presumption that possession goes with the title must prevail. DHARM SINGH *v.* HUR PERSHAD SINGH . . . . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 38

8. ——— *Possession, Presumption of.—Waste lands.*—In disputes as to the right to the possession of jungle lands, it is only in cases where neither party has exercised any acts of ownership over the lands in question that the Court may resort to evidence of title, and presume that the party proved to have the title has also possession. RAM BANDHU *v.* KUSU BHATTU [5 C. L. R., 481

9. ——— *Suit for possession.—Possession of title-deeds and receipts for rent.*—In a case involving the alternative question of fact whether certain land belonged to *B.* or *C.*, neither the one nor the other of the opposite party venturing to state who his opponent was, and the testimony of the witnesses on this point being doubtful,—*Held* that *B.*, who was in possession of the title-deeds and

TITLE—*continued.*

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —*continued.*

(*a*) GENERALLY—*continued.*

Evidence of title—*continued.*

of the receipts of rent, ought to succeed, unless there was something on the record to countervail such strong evidence. KHODA BUKSH KHAN *v.* CHOA [19 W. R., 162

10. ——— *Suit for declaration of title.—Onus probandi.—Production of title-deeds.*—The plaintiff sued for declaration of her title to property of which the defendant was in possession, but of which she produced the title-deeds in favour of herself. *Held*, the onus was on the defendant to disprove the plaintiff's title, and the defendant was not allowed to raise certain fresh issues; but the plaintiff was, under the circumstances of the case, entitled to rely on the title given her by the production of the title-deeds in her favour. SWARNAMAYI RAUR *v.* SRINIBASH KOYAL 6 B. L. R., 144

11. ——— *Possession.—Uninterrupted and undisputed possession.*—Uninterrupted and undisputed possession for a long time constitutes sufficient *primâ facie* evidence of title; but if this possession is admitted to be under an adoption, it will avail nothing if the adoption fails. HAIMUN CHULL SINGH *v.* GUNSHEAM SINGH [5 W. R., P. C., 69

12. ——— *Suit for possession.*—Where, in a suit to recover possession of land, the plaintiff succeeded in proving that he had been in possession up to a recent date, and that he had been forcibly dispossessed by the defendant, the lower Appellate Court threw upon the defendant the burden of proving his title, and, on his failing to do so, decreed the case. *Held* that this was a fair inference of title and of a right to be replaced in possession without going further into the title,—that is, to the mode of its acquisition. TRILOCHUN GHOSE *v.* KAILAS NATH SIDHANTO BHOWMIK BHUTTACHARJI . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. R., 175

13. ——— *Proof of title.—Suit for possession.*—In a suit to recover possession, on the allegation that plaintiff had been illegally ousted, though holding under a lease from defendant, the latter urged that, though plaintiff had been allowed to hold the tenure as a tehsildar or collector of rents, he had never been the ijaradar or farmer in possession. The Judge found that the estate was really let out in ijara to the plaintiffs by the defendant, who had recovered rents and granted him receipts on account of the ijara mehal. *Held* that this was a complete finding in favour of the plaintiff's title, and that it was not necessary for him to sue for the pottah which had been wrongfully denied him by defendant. JOHEEROODDEEN MAHOMED *v.* DABEE PERSHAD SINGH . . . . 13 W. R., 21

14. ——— *Proof of title.—Evidence of possession.*—In a suit to establish title, evidence of plaintiff's possession prior to the summary award under section 15, Act XIV of 1859,

TITLE—*continued.*

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —*continued.*

(*a*) GENERALLY—*continued.*

Evidence of title—*continued.*

under which he was dispossessed, may be good evidence of his title, and must be considered. BULLUBEE KANT BHUTTACHARJEE *v.* DOORJO DHUN SHIKDAR [7 W. R., 89

15. ——— *Possession.—Evidence Act, s. 110.—Specific Relief Act, 1877, s. 9.*—In a suit for possession, where the plaintiff proved that he had been in possession of the lands in dispute, and that he had been ousted by the defendants, who were unable to give any proof of their right so to oust him, or of a superior title,—*Held* (PRINSEP, *J., dissentiente*) that the prior possession of the plaintiff was *primâ facie* evidence of title, and that he was entitled to a decree. *Per* PRINSEP, *J.*—Proof of prior possession and of illegal dispossession are in themselves no evidence of title, except in a possessory suit under the Specific Relief Act (I of 1877). Section 110 of the Evidence Act applies only to actual and present possession, and does not declare generally that possession shall always be *primâ facie* evidence of title. KAWA MANJI *v.* KHOWAZ NUSSIO [5 C. L. R., 278

16. ——— *Possession.—Lands attached by Government as being disputed lands.*—Disputes respecting the boundaries of the zemindaris of Yettiapooram and Ramnad in the district of Madura having led to acts of violence by the ryots, the Government, in the year 1836, to preserve the public peace attached the disputed lands and took possession for the benefit of the party to whom the lands should be judicially awarded. At and before the time of the Government taking such possession, the zemindar of Yettiapooram was in possession of certain lands adjacent to, and taken as a part of, the lands in dispute. The lands remained under attachment by Government for a period of nearly twenty years, no steps having been taken regarding them till the year 1855, when the zemindar of Yettiapooram brought a suit against the Collector of Madura and the zemindar of Ramnad to recover possession of the land so formerly occupied by him, and for the mesne profits thereof while in the possession of the Government. Although no clear title in this suit was proved by either zemindar, it was held by the Courts in India, and affirmed on appeal by the Judicial Committee, that the fact of possession of the lands by the zemindar of Yettiapooram before and at the time of the attachment by Government was, under the circumstances, evidence of title, and the Government was ordered to restore the lands to him. ZEMINDAR OF RAMNAD *v.* ZEMINDAR OF YETTIAPOORAM . . 10 Moore's I. A., 47

17. ——— *Proof of possession.—Suit for possession.*—In a suit to recover possession of two plots as parcels of the plaintiff's ancestral jammai lands, the Court of first instance found that one plot was parcel, but was not satisfied that the other was so also. The lower

TITLE—*continued.*

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —*continued.*

(*a*) GENERALLY—*continued.*

Evidence of title—*continued.*

Appellate Court agreed with the first finding, but further found that there was sufficient evidence of possession to show that both plots were parcels of the jammai. *Held*, reversing the decision of FIELD, *J.*, that on second appeal the High Court was not entitled to question the sufficiency of that evidence; and, further, that one plot having been found to be parcel of the jammai, it was sufficient to give evidence of possession and ownership to prove that the other plot was also parcel. *Dadabhai Narsidas* v. *Sub-Collector of Broach, 7 Bom., A. C., 82*, distinguished. BARODA KANTO BEEPARI *v.* JODHISTEER NATH . . . . . 10 C. L. R., 99

18. ——— *Registration after proclamation.—Evidence of assertion of title.*—The act of registration after a proclamation under section 20, Regulation XXXVII of 1793, amounts to a public, open, and notorious assertion of title on the one side, and the omission to register, unexplained by proof of the ill-health of the claimant, or absence in a distant country, or ignorance, affords an equally strong presumption of the non-existence of any title on the other. USUDOOLLAH *v.* IMAMAN [5 W. R., P. C., 26: 1 Moore's I. A., 19

19. ——— *Proof of title.—Registration in Collector's books.*—Registration in the Collector's books is not of itself a proof of title. GOBIND NATH SEIN *v.* GOBIND CHUNDER SEIN [10 W. R., 393

AMEEROONISSA BIBEE *v.* WOOMAROODDEEN MAHOMED CHOWDHRY . . 14 W. R., 49

20. ——— *Entry in Collector's books.—Proof of title.*—The Collector's book is kept for purposes of revenue, not for purposes of title, and the fact of a person's name being entered in the Collector's book as occupant of land does not necessarily of itself establish that person's title, or defeat the title of any other person. FATMA KOM NUBI SAHEB *v.* DARYA SAHEB [10 Bom., 187

COLLECTOR OF POONA *v.* BHAVANRAV BALKRISHNA . . . . . 10 Bom., 192

SANGAPA MALAPA *v.* BHIMANGOWDA MARIAPA [10 Bom., 194

21. ——— *Co-proprietors.—Registration of shares in land.*—Registration of land under Bengal Act VII of 1876 is not only no conclusive proof, but no evidence at all, upon the question of title of a proprietor so registered. Such registration does not relieve a plaintiff from the onus of proving his title to land claimed by him. RAM BHUSAN MAHTO *v.* JEBLI MAHTO [I. L. R., 8 Calc., 853

See also SARASWATI DASI *v.* DHANPUT SINGH [I. L. R., 9 Calc., 431: 12 C. L. R., 12

TITLE—*continued.*

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —*continued.*

(*a*) GENERALLY—*continued.*

Evidence of title—*continued.*

22. ——————— *Mirasi title.—Payment of rent.—Presumption.*—Continuous payment of rent for about a hundred years held to give rise to a presumption that the tenant held under a mirasi title. BRAJANATH KUNDU CHOWDHRY v. LAKHI NARAYAN ADDI . . 7 B. L. R., 211

23. ——————— *Title confirmed by decree.*—Where a proprietary title is affirmed by a decree, the property is not subsequently held under the decree alone, but under the original title. AMRIT KOOER v. ROOP KOOER [2 N. W., 459 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 240

(*b*) LONG POSSESSION.

24. ——————— *Title by long possession.—Adverse possession.—Limitation.*—Twelve years' continuous possession of land by a wrong-doer not only bars the remedy and extinguishes the title of the rightful owner, but confers a good title upon the wrong-doer. *Semble.*—Such title may be transferred to a third person whilst it is in course of acquisition, and before it has been perfected by possession. GOSSAIN DASS CHUNDER v. ISSUR CHUNDER NATH . . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 224

See GOLUCK CHUNDER MASANTA v. NUNDO COOMAR ROY [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 699 : 3 C. L. R., 450

25. ——————— *Title by long possession.—Adverse possession.—Limitation.—Grant made by wife during absence of husband.*—A wife, during the prolonged absence of her husband, who was erroneously supposed to be dead, acting in excess of the limited powers of a wife in possession of her absent husband's property, made a mirasi grant of a portion of her husband's estate. The grantee entered into and remained in possession for upwards of twelve years. *Held* that the position of the grantee was not that of a lessee, and that his possession (although in its inception an act of trespass against the husband) having continued for upwards of twelve years, had perfected his title to the lands. One who holds possession on behalf of another does not, by mere denial of that other's title, make his possession adverse, so as to give himself the benefit of the statute of limitation. BEJOY CHUNDER BANERJEE v. KALLY PROSONNO MOOKERJEE . . . . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 327

26. ——————— *Declaration of title.—Adverse possession.—Variation between pleading and proof.*—A declaration of title may be made upon proof of twelve years' adverse possession. Such declaration cannot, however, be given on a title not distinctly stated in the plaint or in the issues. SHIBO KUMARI DEBI v. GOVIND SHAW TANTI . .. . . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 418

TITLE—*continued.*

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —*continued.*

(*b*) LONG POSSESSION—*continued.*

Evidence of title—*continued.*

27. ——————— *Suit for declaration of title.—Failure to prove stated title.—Title by long possession.*—In a suit for a declaration of title to a share of landed estate, although the plaintiffs fail to satisfy the Court that their title to the land has been acquired in the way they state, yet if it is admitted that they have been in possession for more than twelve years, the effect of such possession is to extinguish other titles, if these existed, and the plaintiffs ought to have the declaration sought. RAM LOCHUN CHUCKERBUTTY v. RAM SOONDER CHUCKERBUTTY . . . 20 W. R., 104

JUGGUT CHUNDER v. BANRE MADHUB BANERJEE [23 W. R., 205

28. ——————— *Proof of title.—Possession for period of limitation.*—Plaintiff stating that he was obstructed in the cultivation of certain land which belonged to him, asked that the obstruction be removed and damages granted. The damages were disallowed, but the Civil Judge made a declaration of title in the plaintiff's favour, basing that entitlement on the statute of limitations. *Held* that where a man seeks a declaration of a title other than the possession which he has, mere possession for the period of the statute will not justify the declaration, which, allowing it to be made, ought to be based upon a finding of the title alleged by plaintiff, and not upon the existence of a possession for the period required by the statute to bar the action of another. Accordingly, the lower Appellate Court was required to return a finding on the issue "whether the title asserted by plaintiff is proved." TIRUMALASAMI REDDI v. RAMA SAMI REDDI . [6 Mad., 420

29. ——— Proof of title.—*Suit for declaration of title.—Adverse possession.—Case made in plaint.*—Where a specific title has been alleged, but not proved, and the plaintiff endeavours to succeed in the first Court or second Court of Appeal upon a title by twelve years' adverse possession, he must be prepared to show that this other title by twelve years' adverse possession was raised in the Court of first instance with sufficient clearness to enable his adversary to understand that he claimed to succeed as well by twelve years' adverse possession as by the specific title alleged. KRISHNA CHURN BAISACK v. PROTAB CHUNDER SURMA . I. L. R., 7 Calc., 560

30. ——————— *Adverse possession.—Unregistered deed of sale.*—On the 18th January 1876 plaintiff became purchaser at a Court's sale of the right, title, and interest of *G.* and *N.* in a shop, and, having been obstructed by defendant in obtaining possession of it, sued to recover it from him. The plaint was filed on the 27th January 1877. Defendant answered that he purchased it from *G.* under a deed of sale dated 5th January 1865, and that he had been in possession since that day. The deed of sale was not admitted in evidence

TITLE—*continued.*

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —*continued.*

(b) LONG POSSESSION—*continued.*

Proof of title—*continued.*

for want of registration, but it was found that defendant had been in possession as owner since 5th January 1865. *Held* that, although the defendant could not prove a title by purchase, it was open to him to establish his title without the aid of the deed of sale; that his possession of the premises for more than twelve years prior to the institution of the suit was adverse both to *G.* and *N.*, and that the claim of the plaintiff, who was assignee of their interest, was consequently barred. *Balaram Nemchand* v. *Appa, 9 Bom., 121,* explained; *Somu Gurrukal* v. *Rangammal, 7 Mad., 13,* referred to and followed. SAMBHUDHAI KARSANDASS *v.* SHIVLALDASS SADASHIVDASS . . . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 89

31. ——— *Long possession.—Liability to assessment of revenue.*—A title to hold land free from assessment to revenue cannot be acquired by any length of possession revenue-free. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA IN COUNCIL *v.* RAM UGRAH SINGH . . I. L. R., 7 All., 140

2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES.

32. ——— **Right to raise question of title.**—*Boundary dispute.—Suit for possession.*—In a boundary dispute the title of the plaintiff is not, except under very peculiar circumstances, open to attack; but when the plaintiff sues for possession of property in the defendant's hands, not as forming part of another estate, but claiming a right thereto under a superior title, then the defendant has a right to call the plaintiff's title in question. RAMCHUNDER BANERJEE *v.* MUDDUNMOHUN TEWAREE [W. R., 1864, 355

33. ——— *Suit for possession of land held under superior holders.*—The plaintiff sued to recover possession of certain lands said to have been included in a taloqka pottah given him by the zemindars, alleging that the defendants were obstructing his possession. For the defence it was averred that those lands fell within a 9 annas share which belonged to one *D.*, and that by process of sale they became the right of other parties under whom defendants held as lessees. *Held* that, notwithstanding the parties to this suit held under a superior landholder, plaintiff was entitled to have his title put in issue and determined. NAGUR CHAND *v.* DOORGA DOSS CHOWDHRY [11 W. R., 137

See DINOMONEE BANERJEE *v.* GYRUTOOLLAH KHAN [2 W. R., 136

34. ——— **Onus probandi.**—*Proof of title.—Suit for confirmation of title and declaratory decree.*—When a plaintiff sues for confirmation of possession and seeks a declaratory decree, he must make out his title affirmatively. If the Indian Courts agree in holding that he has not done so, even though the High Court may not have attended to the depositions of material witnesses, the Judicial Committee will not disturb the decision of the High Court. TORAB ALLY *v.* MAHOMED TUKKEE [19 W. R., P. C., 1

TITLE—*continued.*

2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES—*continued.*

Onus probandi—*continued.*

35. ——— *Claim under particular title.—Presumption.*—Where a plaintiff claims, not under any general right of inheritance, but expressly under a deed, he must prove that deed: no legal presumption as to the contents of the deed can arise from a consideration of what the party, through whom he claims, would have been entitled to by the law of inheritance, had there been such a deed. MOOAD MULLICK *v.* BELAT MULLICK [9 W. R., 385

36. ——— *Possession.—Proof of title and possession.—Suit for injunction.—Hindu law.—K. C.*, a Hindu, died in March 1864, possessed, among certain other property, of a house, and leaving three sons, *R.*, *B.*, and *T.* He also left a will, of he which appointed *R.* executor, and declared that "the whole of my estate, both real and personal, and the existing shop, you, *R.*, are the proprietor of the whole." *R.* was directed to furnish the expenses of the household and carry on the shop, and pay for religious observances, &c. The testator then left legacies to his daughters and others, but made no mention of his sons *B.* and *T.* *R.* applied for probate of the will and a caveat was entered by *B.*, but the opposition was withdrawn on a compromise, and the will was proved; the compromise, however, was never carried out. In August 1866 *R.* died, leaving a son, *M.*, and his two brothers, *B.* and *T.*, surviving him, and having made a will appointing *T.* executor, and giving him the power of dealing with all the property. *T.* applied for probate, but was opposed by *M.*; but on 23rd May 1867 probate was granted. On 26th March 1867 *B.* and *T.* mortgaged a two-third share in the house to the defendant, and on default in payment of the mortgage-debt, the defendant obtained a decree for payment or sale on 6th January 1868. On 17th August 1867 *T.* mortgaged the whole house to the plaintiffs to secure payment of money borrowed to carry out *A.'s* will. The plaintiffs obtained a decree for foreclosure on 15th July 1869, and subsequently a decree for possession. In a suit brought by the plaintiffs in possession, alleging that the defendant's mortgage and decree threw a cloud on their title, and that they would be injured by the sale, the plaint prayed that the defendant might be restrained by injunction from proceeding to sale, and his mortgage be brought into Court and cancelled. *Held* that, to entitle them to relief, they must prove their title as well as their possession, and on failure to do so the suit must be dismissed. ROOPLALL KHETTRY *v.* MOHENDRO NATH ROY [10 B. L. R., 271, note

37. ——— **False deed set up in support of rightful claim.**—A party is not precluded from succeeding upon a title established by a genuine

TITLE—*continued.*

2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES—*continued.*

False deed set up in support of rightful claim—*continued.*

deed, because she sets up a false deed which, if treated as a conveyance and not as a mere confirmation, may be inconsistent with that title. PATTABHIRAMIRE *v.* VENCATAROW NAICKEN [7 B. L. R., P. C., 136 : 15 W. R., 35 13 Moore's I. A., 560

38. ——— **Transfer of property.**—*Relinquishment of dur-mokurrari lease.—Necessity for conveyance.*—Where a dur-mokurrari has been granted and then relinquished for valuable consideration to the grantors, no formal reconveyance is necessary to revest the title in the latter. IMAMBANDI BEGUM *v.* KUMLESWARI PERSHAD [L. R., 13 I. A., 160 : I. L. R., 14 Calc., 109

TITLE OF SUIT, IRREGULARITY IN.—

See HIGH COURT, JURISDICTION OF—HIGH COURT, CALCUTTA—CIVIL. [2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245

TITLE-DEEDS.

See CASES UNDER DEPOSIT OF TITLE-DEEDS.

——— **Delivery of, for specific purpose.**

See ATTORNEY AND CLIENT. [15 B. L. R., Ap., 15

——— **Possession of**—

See EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—MODE OF DEALING WITH EVIDENCE. [2 W. R., P. C., 167 8 Moore's I. A., 4

——— **Production of**—

See INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTS. [5 Bom., O. C., 152 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 496 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 655 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 808

See ONUS PROBANDI—DECLARATION OF TITLE . . . . 6 B. L. R., 144

See TITLE—EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE—GENERALLY . 6 B. L. R., 144 [10 W. R., 162

——— **Suit to recover**—

See JURISDICTION—SUITS FOR LAND—GENERAL CASES. [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 322

TITLES OF HONOUR.

See PLAINT—FORM AND CONTENTS OF PLAINT—DEFENDANTS. [12 B. L. R., 443; 445, note

TODA GARAS HAQ.

See DUTIES. [2 Bom., 253 : 2nd Ed., 239 7 Bom., A. C., 50

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 144—INTEREST IN IMMOVEABLE PROPERTY. [13 B. L. R., 254

See PENSIONS ACT, 1871, ss. 3 AND 4. [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 203 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 443 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 408

See PENSIONS ACT, 1871, s. 11. [I. L. R., 4 Bom., 432

TODDY.

See BOMBAY ABKARI ACT, 1878, s. 14 AND s. 24 . . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 398 [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462

See BOMBAY REVENUE JURISDICTION ACT, 1876 . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462

See JURISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT—REVENUE . . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462.

TOLLS.

1. ——— **Lessee of tolls.**—*Act VIII of 1851.*—A lessee of tolls was held not to be a person employed in the management and collection of tolls within the meaning of Act VIII of 1851. IN THE MATTER OF BANKA BIHARI GHOSE [2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17 : 11 W. R., 26

2. ——— **Illegal collection of tolls.**—*Act VIII of 1851, s. 6.—Public road.*—To justify a conviction under section 6, Act VIII of 1851, for illegal collection of a toll on a public road, it was necessary that the road should be a public road within the meaning of section 2 of the Act. IN RE NARENDRONARAIN SINGH . . . 6 W. R., Cr., 48

3. ——— **Illegal demand of toll.**—*Act VIII of 1851, s. 6.—Summary offence.*—A charge of an illegal demand of toll under Act VIII of 1851, section 6, ought not to be dealt with summarily under Chapter XVIII of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872. The power of levying tolls under Act VIII of 1851 is vested in the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, and is restricted to levying tolls only at the toll-bar: the establishment of a toll must be by some distinct resolution of the Government notified in some way or other by the Government. The word "extortionately" in section 6 of Act VIII of 1851 is not used in the same sense as it is used in the Penal Code, but as meaning an unlawful demand of toll accompanied by pressure, the pressure in this case being the exercise of the powers indicated in section 3 of the Act by seizing the complainant's horses and carts and detaining them until the toll was paid. UTTOM CHUNDER GANGOOLY *v.* ISSUR CHUNDER MOOKERJEE [22 W. R., Cr., 76

TORTS.

See CASES UNDER DAMAGES—SUITS FOR DAMAGES—TORTS.

TORTS—*continued.*

See CASES UNDER DAMAGES—MEASURE AND ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES—TORTS.

TORTURE.

See ABETMENT—TORTURE. [7 W. R., Cr., 3 21 W. R., Cr., 11

See POLICE OFFICER . 7 W. R., Cr., 3

TOTAL LOSS.

See INSURANCE—MARINE INSURANCE. [6 B. L. R., 218: 7 B. L. R., 347 3 Bom., A. C., 1 Bourke, O. C., 17, 228

TOWAGE, LIEN FOR—

See BOTTOMRY BOND . 6 B. L. R., 323

TOWAGE CONTRACT.

See ACTION IN REM. [I. L. R., 10 Calc., 865

TOWING, RULES FOR—

See STEAM-TUGS . . 1 Hyde, 293 [2 W. R., P. C., 51: 8 Moore's I. A., 103

TOWN DUTIES, BOMBAY.

——— *Act XIX of 1844.—Suit to levy a tax on cotton and cotton seeds purchased in, and exported from, Broach.—Cess illegal.—Agency.—Trust.*—The plaintiff, manager and part proprietor of a Vallabhacharya temple at Broach, sued the defendant to establish the right of the temple to levy a cess on cotton and cotton seed purchased in Broach and exported from it. The defendant denied the plaintiff's right and contended (*inter alia*) that, even if the right existed until 1844, it was then abolished by Act XIX of that year, which "enacted that from the first day of October 1844 all town duties, kusubviras, mohtarphas, baluti taxes, and cesses of every kind on trades and professions, under whatsoever name, levied within the Presidency of Bombay, and not forming a part of the land revenue, shall be abolished." *Held* that Act XIX of 1844 applied to the cess claimed by the plaintiff. The expression "cesses of every kind" included the cess on cotton and cotton seeds, and absolutely put an end to the right, if any existed, of the Government or of any private individual of levying the same. *Held*, also, the suit could not be regarded as a suit for money had and received by the defendant to the plaintiff's use, or as one to recover money received by the defendant as trustee or agent. GOSVAMI SHRI PURUSHOTAMJI MAHARAJ *v.* ROBB [I. L. R., 8 Bom., 398

TRADE, CONTRACT IN RESTRAINT OF—

See CASES UNDER CONTRACT ACT, s. 27.

TRADE MARK.

See DAMAGES—MEASURE AND ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES—TORTS. [I. L. R., 10 Bom., 617

See INJUNCTION—SPECIAL CASES—TRADE MARK . . . 3 B. L. R., Ap., 4 Cor., 150

1. ——— **Injunction to restrain use of trade marks.**—*Combination of figures.*—The plaintiffs, from 1872, imported and sold an article described as 7½lb grey shirtings, and marked as follows: "In the centre of each piece of cloth a stamp in blue colour of a turtle in a star, with the words 'trade mark;' underneath, in a semi-circular form, is the name 'Fleming, Galbraith, & Co., Manchester,' and under this the number 39 within a star, and at the bottom of each piece the number 2008." In 1877 the plaintiffs discovered that the defendants were importing from the same manufacturers, and selling cloth of a similar quality marked as follows: "A stamp in blue colour of a rose in a square; underneath are the words 'Ralli and Mavrojani' arranged in a semi-circular form, and under this the number 39 in a star, and at the bottom the number 2008." On the facts of the case the lower Court (MACPHERSON, *J.*) granted an *interim* injunction to restrain the defendants from so marking their cloth, on the ground that it was a colourable imitation of the plaintiffs' mark and calculated to mislead the public; and on appeal the Court (GARTH, *C. J.*, and MARKBY, *J.*) upheld that decision so far as to continue the injunction. *Held per* GARTH, *C. J.*—If the imitation of the plaintiffs' marks generally, or the use of the number 2008 in particular, would be calculated to deceive or mislead the public, the defendants ought to be restrained from such use or imitation. Under the circumstances the use of their marks by the defendants would be calculated to deceive the public into the belief that they were purchasing goods imported by the plaintiffs. *Per* MARKBY, *J.*—The number 2008 was not part of the plaintiffs' trade mark proper: nor on the evidence was it so associated with the plaintiffs' name as to indicate to the public that the goods bearing that number came only from the plaintiffs' firm as importers; on the evidence it was merely a quality mark, and therefore not calculated to mislead the public into the belief that they were purchasing the plaintiffs' goods while in fact they were purchasing those imported by the defendants. *Semble*,—There may be a right to exclusive use of a trade mark by traders who are importers only. RALLI *v.* FLEMING [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 417: 2 C. L. R., 93

2. ——— **Right to use of trade mark.**—*Rival traders.—Similarity of name.*—No trader importing goods can lawfully adopt a trade mark which is calculated to cause his goods to bear in the market the same name as those of a rival trader. TAYLOR *v.* VIRASAMI . . . I. L. R., 6 Mad., 108

3. ——— **Restraining use of trade mark.**—*Evidence of fraud.*—The ground upon which a person is restrained from using another's trade mark is that he is gaining an advantage by the use of a particular trade mark which is the property

TRADE MARK.—Restraining use of trade mark—*continued.*

of another. It is not necessary to prove intentional fraud, or to show that persons have been actually deceived. It is sufficient if the Court be satisfied that the resemblance is such as would be likely to cause the one mark to be mistaken for the other. EWING *v.* GRANT, SMITH, & Co. . **2 Hyde, 185**

BALFOUR & Co. *v.* KILBURN & Co. **[1 Hyde, 270**

4. ——— Possession and use of trade mark.—*User in foreign market.—Abandonment.—Estoppel by conduct.*—Such possession and use of a trade mark in one market as to constitute a right in it, establishes in the owner thereof an exclusive right to that trade mark in other markets, although the owner may not have used it in such markets. To constitute a mark a trade mark, it must have been adopted as a symbol devised to distinguish a particular class of goods as the goods of that class manufactured or selected by a particular manufacturer or merchant. Where the plaintiffs by their conduct led the defendant to believe that they claimed no right to a certain trade mark, and that it was open to the defendant to adopt it as his own, and the defendant did adopt it, and by his industry secured a wide popularity for it in the Indian market,—*Held* that the plaintiffs were estopped from denying the defendant's right to use the trade mark in the Indian market. LAVERGNE *v.* HOOPER **[I. L. R., 8 Mad., 149**

TRADER.

See INSOLVENT ACT, s. 7. **[I. L. R., 7 Bom., 411**
I. L. R., 13 Calc., 68

See INSOLVENT ACT, s. 60. **[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 1**
2 Hyde, 1, 177
7 Bom., O. C., 22

TRADESMAN'S ACCOUNT.

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, PRESIDENCY TOWNS—JURISDICTION—GENERALLY. **[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 570**

TRANSFER BY ENDORSEMENT.

See STAMP ACT, 1869, ss. 34, 41. **[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 347**

——— Instrument of—

See STAMP ACT, 1869, SCH. II, ART. 38. **[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 399**

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE.

Col.
1. GENERAL CASES 6013
2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13 6019
3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER 6022

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. ——— Power to transfer.—*Mad. Reg. IV of 1816, s. 26—Village Munsif.—Jurisdiction.—*

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—*continued.*

1. GENERAL CASES—*continued.*

Power to transfer—*continued.*

In a suit under Regulation IV of 1816, the defendant having objected to the Village Munsif trying the suit on the ground of personal hostility, the Munsif transferred the suit to another Village Munsif. *Held* that this transfer was illegal. *Per* HUTCHINS, J.—*Semble,*—In such a case the Village Munsif should report the facts to the District Court, and the District Judge should transfer the case for trial to another Village Munsif. LAKSHMAKKA *v.* BALI **[I. L. R., 8 Mad., 500**

2. ——— *Transfer to Munsif of Small Cause Court suit.*—A suit within the cognisance of the Small Cause Court cannot be lawfully transferred for trial to a Munsif's Court. JOOBRAJ CHOWKEEDAR *v.* WHELAN **[13 W. R., 399**

3. ——— *Case remanded for local inquiry.*—A case remanded to a District Judge for the purpose of a local inquiry cannot be transferred to a Subordinate Judge for disposal. CHOWDRY HAMEDOOLLAH *v.* MUTEEOONISSA BIBEE **[15 W. R., 574**

4. ——— *Power of Judge to transfer case under Act XVI of 1868.*—A Judge had no authority under Act XVI of 1868 to order a Subordinate Judge to try proceedings in execution of a decree which were a portion of the original civil suit tried by himself. AFTABOODDEEN AHMED *v.* MOHINEE MOHUN DOSS . . **15 W. R., 48**

5. ——— *Beng. Civil Courts Act, VI of 1871, s. 19.*—Though a Subordinate Judge may very properly, if he find the subject-matter of a suit to be of the value of less than R1,000, transfer it for trial to a Munsif, yet a Subordinate Judge is empowered under section 19, Act VI of 1871, to try causes of any value. SUFEEOOLLAH SIRCAR *v.* BEGUM BIBEE . . . **25 W. R., 219**

6. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.—Withdrawal of suits from subordinate Courts.—Remand by higher Court.—Fresh suit.*—The power given by section 6 of Act VIII of 1859 to a Zillah Judge for the withdrawal of suits from subordinate Courts should only be exercised upon cause shown, and ordinarily not without opportunity given to the parties to the suit to be heard upon the question. The terms of section 6 were inapplicable to suits which the subordinate Court had received by order of remand from a Court to which the District Court was itself subordinate. A suit sent by the High Court to a subordinate Court under a remand to the High Court by Her Majesty's order in Council, and in which, under the Council's remand order, the plaint has been amended, a new statement filed, and new issues framed, is substantially a new suit. MAHOMED ZAHOOR ALI KHAN *v.* RUTTA KUNWOOR . . . . . **2 N. W., 481**

7. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.—Transfer of part-heard case to be*

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—*continued.*

1. GENERAL CASES—*continued.*

Power to transfer—*continued.*

completed in another Court.—Section 6 of Act VIII of 1859 did not authorise the taking a case in progress of trial off the file of a Subordinate Judge in order that it might be completed by the Judge himself, or some other Court. It is clear that such transfer must take place on the institution of the suit. RAM NATH *v.* GOWHUR . . . . 2 N. W., 230

DUMBER SAHOO *v.* JUGDHABEE . 13 W. R., 398

ABDOOL HYE *v.* MACRAE . . 23 W. R., 1

8. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.—Transfer after evidence has been taken.—Quære,*—Whether a case could be transferred from one Court to another, under section 6, after the evidence had been taken in the former Court. ASMEDH KOONWAR *v.* TAYLER. KHORSHED ALI *v.* TAYLER . . . . . W. R., 1864, 15

9. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.—Suit brought whilst Court is closed for vacation.*—The Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Thana being closed during vacation, a plaint which, under section 6 of the Civil Procedure Code, ought to have been, instituted in that Court, was, by the order of the District Judge, referred for trial to the Assistant Judge, entered in the register of suits in the Judge's Court, and tried by the Assistant Judge. *Held*, reversing the decree of the District Court in appeal, that it was not lawful for the Judge to refer the suit, without its having first been instituted in the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court. MOTILAL RAMDAS *v.* JAMNADAS JAVERDAS . . 2 Bom., 42: 2nd Ed., 40

10. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.—District Court.—Power to receive plaint when lowest Court closed.*—Where a plaintiff presented a plaint to the District Court, the Subordinate Judge's Court, in which he ought to have presented it, being then temporarily closed, it was held that the District Court could not be considered a Court of first instance, competent to receive the plaint. The decision in *In re Ganesh Sadashiv, 5 Bom., A. C., 117*, overruled; and *Motilal Ramdas v. Jamnadas Javerdas, 2 Bom., A. C., 42*, followed. RAMAYA ELAPA *v.* MUHAMADBHAI . 10 Bom., 495

11. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 5 and 6.—Jurisdiction.—Held* that though both suits were properly cognisable by the Court at Cawnpore, yet the Sudder Court's order, which it was competent to pass under section 6, Act VIII of 1859, gave jurisdiction to the Principal Sudder Ameen of another district, whose decision was not liable to be set aside for want of jurisdiction, in reference to the provisions of section 5 of that enactment. RAM BUX *v.* GIRDHARBE LALL [1 Agra, 178

12. ——— *Evidence recorded by one officer and decision given by another.*—A suit for enhancement was filed under Act X of 1859, in the Court of a Deputy Collector. The issues were framed and the evidence recorded by an Assistant Collector, apparently not invested with the powers of a Deputy Collector, who wrote a report recommending the mode in which the suit should be disposed of. It was then disposed of by another Deputy Collector who was probably acting at the time as Collector. *Held* that there was no power to transfer the case, and that the procedure by which the suit was heard by one officer and decided by another was illegal. HURDYAL GOPUDHYA *v.* MAHOMED NASEM [1 N. W., Part II, p. 19: Ed. 1873, 79

13. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 5 and 6.*—Where a District Court had jurisdiction under section 5, Act VIII of 1859, to try a suit, and defendant made no application to the Judge, or communication to the plaintiff, with a view to its being tried in a different district, the case was held to be not one for the exercise of any special power by the High Court for that purpose. KRISTO DASS KOONDOO *v.* ISSUR CHUNDER CHOWDHRY [11 W. R., 189

14. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.—Notification giving jurisdiction as Small Cause Court.—Power to transfer pending cases.*—Where, on 3rd March 1870, the Government issued a notification under sections 4 and 5, Madras Act IV of 1863, investing the Additional Principal Sudder Ameen of Mangalore with exclusive jurisdiction to try Small Cause suits for sums under R500 within the jurisdiction of the District Munsif,—*Held* that the Munsif had no power after the notification to transfer to the Principal Sudder Ameen an application pending before himself at the date of the notification under section 6 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1859, the notification not being retrospective in its operation. NARAYANA MALYA *v.* GOVIND SHETTY . . . . . 6 Mad., 18

15. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 13.—Power of Sudder Courts.*—Section 13, Act VIII of 1859, enacted that, where a suit was brought for immoveable property situated within districts subject to different Sudder Courts, the Judge in whose Court the suit was brought should apply to the Sudder Court to which he was subject for authority to proceed, and the Sudder Court to which the application was made, with the concurrence of the other Sudder Court within whose jurisdiction the property was partly situated, might give authority to proceed. But no power was expressly given in the section cited, or elsewhere in the Act, to direct the transfer of a suit brought in a Court subordinate to one Sudder Court to a Court subordinate to another Sudder Court. *Quære*,—Whether Sudder Courts acting in concurrence had power to make such a transfer. SKINNER *alias* NAWAB MIRZA *v.* ORDE [I. L. R., 2 All., 241

16. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 13.—Family domains of the Maharaja of Benares.—Held*, following S. A. No. 969 of 1877, decided the 14th December 1877, that the

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—*continued.*

1. GENERAL CASES—*continued.*

Power to transfer—*continued.*

provisions of section 13 of Act VIII of 1859 were not applicable in a case in which a portion of the immoveable property was situate within the limits of the family domains of the Maharaja of Benares, those domains not constituting a district within the meaning of that section. RAGHU NATH DASS *v.* KAKKAN MAL . . I. L. R., 3 All., 568

17. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 24.—Place of suing.—grounds for transfer.*—Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code does not empower a High Court to transfer a suit instituted within its own jurisdiction to the jurisdiction of another High Court, but only to declare in which Court a suit shall proceed, and, if necessary, to stay all further proceedings within its own jurisdiction. The defendants in a suit instituted at Mainpuri, who resided and carried on business at Surat, applied under section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code that the suit might be tried at Surat, on the ground that it would be tried with greater convenience to them at that place. *Held* that there being no balance in favour of either justice or convenience on the side of the Surat Court, the suit should proceed at Mainpuri. TULA RAM *v.* HARJIWAN DASS [I. L. R., 5 All., 60

18. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 25.—Power of High Court.*—The High Court cannot make an order of transfer of a case under section 25 of the Code of Civil Procedure unless the Court from which the transfer is sought to be made has jurisdiction to try it. PEARY LALL MOZOOMDAR *v.* KOMAL KISHORE DASSIA [I. L. R., 6 Calc., 30

19. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 25.—Transfer from Court in which a suit has been wrongly instituted.*—A suit for the infringement of certain inventions, instead of being instituted in the Court having, by virtue of section 22 of Act XV of 1859, jurisdiction to entertain it, was instituted in a Court subordinate to such Court not having such jurisdiction. The Court having jurisdiction to entertain such suit, at the joint request of the parties, transferred it for trial to itself under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code, and tried it. *Held* in the High Court that, inasmuch as the parties had assented to the transfer of the suit, and its transfer brought it into the right Court, the fact that the suit had been originally instituted in the wrong Court did not render the transfer illegal, and the Court having jurisdiction had properly tried the suit. PETMAN *v.* BULL . . . I. L. R., 5 All., 371

But held by the Privy Council (reversing this decision) that under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code the superior Court cannot make an order of transfer of a case unless the Court from which the transfer is sought to be made has jurisdiction to try it. *Peary Lall Mozoomdar* v. *Komal Kishore Dassia, I. L. R., 6 Calc., 30,* approved. A suit having been instituted in the Court of the Subordinate Judge, who was incompetent to try it, the case was transferred by consent of parties to the Court of the District Judge for convenience of trial. *Held* that such transference was incompetent, and that such consent did not operate as a waiver of the plea to the jurisdiction which was taken in the defendant's written statement and subsequently insisted upon. LEDGARD *v.* BULL [L. R., 13 I. A., 134: I. L. R., 9 All., 191

20. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 331.—Claim below ordinary pecuniary limit.*—By virtue of section 647 of the Code of Civil Procedure, a superior Court may, for sufficient cause, transfer a claim, registered under section 331, to a subordinate Court for trial. SITHALAKSHMI *v.* VYTHILINGA . . . I. L. R., 8 Mad., 548

21. ——— *Reasons for transfer.—Amending issues.—Procedure on transfer.*—The mere transfer of a suit for the convenience of the public, or for the acceleration of business, from one subordinate Court to another, does not affect the authority of the Judge of the District Court to transfer it to his own file, or to another Court, or to retransfer it, if he see sufficient cause for so doing; nor would the circumstance that a case had been up on appeal to the High Court on a preliminary point, and been remanded for a trial on the merits, limit the authority of the District Court Judge to bring it upon his own file, or to transfer it to the file of a Court other than that in which it was instituted. The omission of the Judge to assign his reason for transferring the case does not vitiate his proceeding. When a Judge transfers a case to his own file, he is at liberty to amend the issues first laid down, and to raise additional issues, and to go into the whole case, except upon any question upon which there has been a judicial finding. TARUCKNATH MOOKERJEE *v.* GOUREE CHURN MOOKERJEE . 3 W. R., 147

22. ——— Procedure on transfer.—*Evidence of witnesses.*—Where a suit which was filed originally before a Principal Sudder Ameen, who had fixed the issues and recorded the evidence of witnesses, is transferred by a Judge to his own file, the Judge, his Court being a Court of original jurisdiction, ought to have the witnesses before him and take their evidence *de novo.* UNNOPOORNA *v.* HURBULLUB SINGH . . . . 8 W. R., 465

23. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 25.—Court to which suit is transferred not taking fresh evidence.*—Where the trial of a suit was commenced by a Subordinate Judge and then transferred by the District Judge to his own file under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code, and the latter did not retake the evidence, but dealt with the case as it came to him from the Subordinate Judge and dismissed the suit,—*Held* that the District Judge had not tried the case within the meaning of section 25 of the Code. BANDHU NAIK *v.* LAKHI KUAR [I. L. R., 7 All., 342

24. ——— *Case referred to arbitration.—Power of Judge to decide after trans-*

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—*continued.*

1. GENERAL CASES—*continued.*

Procedure on transfer—*continued.*

fer.—A case having been withdrawn by the Judge, for trial in his own Court, from the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, where it had already been referred to arbitration,—*Held* that the Judge was quite competent to decide the case himself, without necessarily being bound also to refer it to arbitration. ABOO MAHOMED *v.* KISHEN MOHUN SURMA [6 W. R., 290

25. ——— Application for transfer.—*Transfer of several separate suits.—Separate applications.*—Where it is desired to have a number of suits transferred, a separate application should be made in each case for transfer. KISHORES LAL *v.* LUCHMUN DOSS . . . 2 N. W., 147

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13.

26. ——— Transfer to High Court.—*Jurisdiction of High Court, Calcutta.—Sessions Court, Allahabad.*—The High Court at Calcutta had no jurisdiction over the Court of the Sessions Judge at Allahabad, such Court not being subject to the superintendence of the High Court under the 13th section of the Charter. GREAT EASTERN HOTEL COMPANY *v.* SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 219

27. ——— *Ground for transfer.—Prejudice to interests of party.*—A suit will not be removed from a Zillah Court in which it was instituted, to the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court, unless it be clearly shown that the interests of the party petitioning for such removal will be prejudiced by a non-removal. BORRADAILE *v.* GREGORY . . . Bourke, Ex. O. C., 1

28. ——— *Power to transfer.—Grounds for transfer.—Inconvenience.—Expense.*—The 13th section of the Letters Patent (1865) of the High Court at Fort William gives the Court power to order a suit to be transferred for trial only where the transfer is agreed on by the parties, or for the purposes of justice; and in the absence of agreement it must be made out that there will be inconvenience amounting to this, that, if the case be tried in the Court in which it was originally laid, the trial will be unsatisfactory. The mere fact that it would be less expensive to try the case in the High Court is not sufficient of itself for the Court to act upon and order the case to be transferred. OJOODHEAM KHAN *v.* NOBINMONEY DOSSEE [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 396

29. ——— *Ground for transfer.—Nature of questions for disposal.—Conduct of Judge.*—On an application under the Letters Patent, 1865, clause 13, for the removal of a suit,—*Held* that, having regard to the whole circumstances connected with the case from the beginning, the questions to be disposed of, and the conduct of the Judge before whom the proceedings were, it was proper and necessary for the purposes of justice that the suit should

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—*continued.*

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13—*continued.*

Transfer to High Court—*continued.*

be removed. THAKOOR KAPILNAUTH SAHAI DEO *v.* GOVERNMENT . . . 10 B. L. R., 168

30. ——— *Ground for transfer.—Nature of questions for disposal.—Local prejudice.*—The Court refused to transfer a case from the mofussil, where there were, among other alleged reasons, suggestions that the plaintiff's case might be prejudiced by being tried in the mofussil, and that difficult and intricate questions of law would arise in the case, the Court not being satisfied by the evidence that such reasons existed. COURJON *v.* COURJON . . . . . 9 B. L. R., 10

31. ——— *Ground for transfer.—Consent of parties.—Expense.*—A suit for an account and for other relief relating to immovable property situated without the local limits of the ordinary original civil jurisdiction of the High Court, was instituted against several defendants in the Court of the Subordinate Judge of the district within which the property was situated. Upon a petition by one of the defendants, consented to by most of the other defendants and by the plaintiff, the High Court ordered the suit to be removed from the Court in which it had been instituted, to be tried and determined by the High Court as a Court of extraordinary original jurisdiction, on the grounds that the parties and the witnesses resided in Calcutta, that it would be cheaper to try the suit in Calcutta, and that all parties appearing on the motion desired a transfer. PAYN *v.* ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL I. L. R., 6 Calc., 766 : 6 C. L. R., 221

32. ——— *Ground for transfer.—Difficult questions of English law in case.*—The Court will order a suit to be removed from the mofussil, and tried in the High Court, when difficult points of English law arise, and when generally it appears to be an unfit case to be tried in the mofussil. DOUCETT *v.* WISE . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94

33. ——— *Ground for transfer.—Questions of English law.—Parties.— British subjects and residents of Calcutta.*—Where a case was originally tried by a Zillah Judge, and on appeal to the High Court on its appellate side the Judges of that Court remanded it to the Court below for a fresh trial, intimating that it was a proper case to be transferred under clause 13 of the Letters Patent constituting the High Court; and where it appeared that questions of English law were involved in the case, that the witnesses and parties were chiefly British subjects, and the plaintiff an officer of the High Court and resident in Calcutta, the Court ordered the case to be transferred for trial to the High Court, original jurisdiction. DOUCETT *v.* WISE [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 227

34. ——— *Ground for transfer.—Sale in execution of decree.—Order winding up company.*—On 25th October 1870 a petition for the winding up of the *B. T. E.* Company of

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—*continued.*

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13 —*continued.*

Transfer to High Court—*continued.*

Assam was presented to the Court of Chancery in England by one of the shareholders of the Company, and a provisional liquidator was appointed. On 5th November, at an extraordinary meeting of the Company, it was resolved that the Company should be wound up, and liquidators were appointed. On 12th November, the petition for winding up came on for hearing, and an order was made that the voluntary winding up should continue subject to the supervision of the Court. On 18th November, by deed under the hands and seals of the liquidators, *M.* was appointed their attorney in India. On 27th October certain immoveable properties in Assam belonging to the Company were attached in execution of decrees in certain suits in the Court of the Munsif of Debroghur. On 9th December the properties were put up for sale, and purchased at prices which it was alleged were considerably under their value. Applications were made in the Munsif's Court at Debroghur by the purchasers for confirmation of the sales, which applications were opposed by *M.*, and pending the Munsif's decision an application was made to the Deputy Commissioner of Luckimpore for an order to stay all proceedings in the decree-suits, on the ground of the order for winding up the Company of 12th November, which application was refused on 15th February 1871. On 16th February 1871 the Munsif made an order confirming the sales. *M.* thereupon petitioned the High Court for the removal of the suits from Assam to the High Court, to be tried in its extraordinary original civil jurisdiction, on the ground that no appeal would lie against the order of 15th February, refusing to stay the proceedings in the suits; and that if an appeal should be preferred to the Deputy Commissioner from the order of the Munsif confirming the sales, his decision would be final. The application was opposed on behalf of the purchasers. *Held*, the Munsif not having had notice of the winding-up order of 12th November, had power to sell the property on 9th December, and the sale having actually taken place, and there being nothing to show that there was any irregularity in the proceedings, the High Court would have no power, if the cases were brought down, to set aside the sale. This, therefore, was not a proper case for the exercise of the power which the High Court possesses under clause 13 of the Letters Patent. IN THE MATTER OF DECREE-SUITS IN THE COURT OF MUNSIF OF DEBROGHUR . . 7 B. L. R., 305

35. ——————— *Law governing case.*—Where a suit was originally instituted in the Hooghly Court, and *H. S.*, who was a defendant, and not subject to the jurisdiction of that Court, joined in an application to have the case tried by the High Court in the exercise of its extraordinary original civil jurisdiction, which application was granted,—*Held per* PHEAR, *J.*, that the suit must be treated as if the plaint had been originally filed in the High Court, the proceedings in the Hooghly Court being without jurisdiction, and the cause of action having

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—*continued*

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13 —*continued.*

Transfer to High Court—*continued.*

arisen wholly within the jurisdiction of the High Court. *Held* on appeal by PEACOCK, *C. J.*, and MACPHERSON, *J.*, that the defendant *H. S.*, by joining in the application to have the suit removed to the High Court, admitted the jurisdiction of that Court to try the suit in the exercise of its extraordinary original civil jurisdiction, and could not afterwards dispute the jurisdiction. The law, therefore, to be administered by the High Court must be the same law and equity which ought to have been applied if the suit had been tried in the Court at Hooghly. *Per* MACPHERSON, *J.*—The law which would have been applicable to the case if it had been tried at Hooghly, is practically the same as the English law, whatever may be the nationality of the parties. GROSE *v.* AMRITAMAYI DASI

[4 B. L. R., O. C., 1: 12 W. R., O. C., 13

36. ——————— *Application for transfer.—Before whom application should be made.* An application to the High Court to remove a case from a District Court, and to try it as a Court of extraordinary original jurisdiction, under section 13 of the Charter, should be made to a Judge sitting on the Original Side of the Court. DOUCETT *v.* WISE . . . . . 4 W. R., Mis., 7

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER.

37. ——— **Expense, inconvenience, or other good reason.**—*Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882), s. 23.—Practice.*—Section 23 of Act XIV of 1882 is only intended to provide for those cases where, on the ground of expense or convenience, or some other good reason, the Court thinks that the place of trial ought to be changed. Parties desirous of obtaining the transfer of a case from one *forum* to another ought clearly to explain to the Court by petition and affidavit what is the nature of the claim and defence; they should further state what are the issues and the evidence required, and then satisfy the Court that, either on the ground of expense or convenience, or otherwise, the place of trial ought to be changed. KHATIJÁ BIBI *v.* TARUK CHUNDER DUTT

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 980: 13 C. L. R., 182

38. ——— **Portion of property in another jurisdiction.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 23.—Procedure.*—The fact that a portion of property, the whole of which is sued for in the Court of the Munsif of A., is of less value than the remaining portion which is within the jurisdiction of the Munsif of B., is no sufficient ground for an application under the Code of Civil Procedure, section 23, for a transfer to the latter Court. A party applying under section 23, Act X of 1877, must first of all give notice to the other party or side; the application should then be received by the Munsif and transmitted to the High Court through the District Court. PURBUNJOTE *v.* DEON PANDAY . 2 C. L. R., 352

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE.

Col.

1. GENERAL CASES 6023
2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 29 6027
3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER . . . 6028

See APPEAL IN CRIMINAL CASES—ACTS—BURMA COURTS ACT.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 667

See CASES UNDER COMPLAINT—POWER TO REFER TO SUBORDINATE MAGISTRATE.

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. ——— **Power to transfer.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 178.—Reference to High Court.—Burma Courts Act (Act XVII of 1875), s. 80.*—The Local Government has no power, under section 178 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to transfer for trial to the Court of a Commissioner a criminal case duly committed for trial to the Court of the Recorder of Rangoon; but the Local Government has the power to transfer a case from the district of Rangoon to the Sessions division of Pegu. QUEEN-EMPRESS *v.* NGA THA MOUNG

[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 643

2. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526.—District Magistrate and Civil and Sessions Judge (qua Magistrate) of Bangalore subordinate to High Court.*—The District Magistrate and the Civil and Sessions Judge of the civil and military station at Bangalore are Magistrates subordinate to the High Court at Madras within the meaning of section 526 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The High Court, therefore, has power to transfer a case from the Courts of those Judges to any other Criminal Court. Under the circumstances disclosed, the High Court transferred this case. SCOTT *v.* RICKETTS . I. L. R., 9 Mad., 356

3. ——— *Power of High Court, Bombay.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526.—Act III of 1884, s 11.—Cantonment Magistrate, Secunderabad.*—The High Court of Bombay having been vested by notification of the Governor General of India in Council, No. 178, of 23rd September 1874, with original and appellate criminal jurisdiction over European British subjects, being Christians, resident, amongst other places, at Secunderabad, outside the Presidency of Bombay and within the territories of His Highness the Nizam of Hyderabad, the Cantonment Magistrate of Secunderabad in his character of a District Magistrate is subordinate to the High Court in criminal matters relating to Christian European British subjects in Hyderabad within the contemplation of section 526 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, Act X of 1882, as amended by Act III of 1884, section 11; and the High Court possesses, by virtue of the appellate jurisdiction so vested in it, the power of transferring a criminal case pending in the Cantonment Magistrate's Court either to itself or to any Criminal Court of equal or superior jurisdiction. The High Court by an order under section 526 of the Criminal Procedure Code

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—*continued.*

1. GENERAL CASES—*continued.*

Power to transfer—*continued.*

(Act X of 1882) transferred the present case of defamation from the Court of the Cantonment Magistrate at Secunderabad to the High Court for trial, on the ground that no machinery for a trial by jury existed at Secunderabad. QUEEN-EMPRESS *v.* EDWARDS . . . . . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 333

4. ——— *Power of High Court, Bombay.—Aden Act II of 1864.—Transfer of case from Court of Political Resident at Aden.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526.*—A prisoner charged with having committed murder at Perim was committed by the Magistrate there on the 26th August 1885, for trial before the Political Resident at Aden, by whom he was convicted and sentenced to death on the 14th September 1885. On the 26th January 1886, the High Court of Bombay reversed the conviction and sentence, on the ground that the Court of the Resident had no jurisdiction over the Island of Perim, and that the Resident, not having been appointed a Judge of a Court of Session for that island, was not competent to try the prisoner. The High Court ordered a re-trial before a competent Court. On the 10th February 1886 the Government of Bombay issued the notification (No. 823) above set forth. On the 11th March 1886 an application was made to the High Court of Bombay for the transfer of the case to another Court of Session or to the High Court for trial. *Held* that Perim is a Sessions Division, and that, after the establishment, under the Code of Criminal Procedure, of a Court of Session for the Perim Sessions Division and the appointment of the Resident at Aden as Sessions Judge of that Court, the accused stood properly committed to a Court of Session. The High Court, therefore, could transfer the case from that Court, under section 526 of the Code, to any other Court of equal or superior jurisdiction, or to the High Court of Bombay. *Per* BIRDWOOD, *J.*—The High Court cannot, under section 526 of the Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1882), any more than under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882), direct the transfer of a case, which is not properly before a subordinate Court of competent jurisdiction, to receive and try it. *Peary Lall Mozoomdar v. Komul Kishore Dossia, I. L. R., 6 Calc., 30,* followed; *Queen-Empress v. Thaku, I. L. R., 8 Bom., 312,* distinguished. *Per* JARDINE, *J.*—After the High Court had annulled the proceedings in the Court of the Resident at Aden as without jurisdiction, the case could not be treated as still pending in his Court; and as there was no Court of Session in existence at the time of the commitment, it necessarily followed that the case remained in the Magistrate's Court. But, whether the case was considered as pending in the Court of a Magistrate or of a Resident, or of a Sessions Judge, the High Court had the power to transfer it, and that under the circumstances the case should be so transferred to the High Court for trial. QUEEN-EMPRESS *v.* MANGAL THEKCHAND . . . . . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 274

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—*continued.*

1. GENERAL CASES—*continued.*

5. ——— **Transfer to High Court.**—*High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, X of 1875, s. 147 (Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526) and s. 115.—"Case" referred to High Court.—Reference to Police Magistrate.—Semble,*—That the "case" mentioned in section 147 of the High Court's Criminal Procedure Act, X of 1875, must refer to some question in the nature of a criminal proceeding, and not to a matter of a *quasi*-civil character, such as the reference to a Police Magistrate contemplated in section 115. REG. *v.* RAMADAS SAMALDAS. EX PARTE MADAVJI DHARAMSI . . . . . 12 Bom., 217

6. ——— *High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147 (Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526).—"Other proceeding."—Commitment, Application to quash.—24 & 25 Vict., c. 104, ss. 13 and 15.*—The words "or other proceeding" in section 147 of Act X of 1875 did not include a commitment, and an application to have a commitment quashed could be entertained under the provisions of that section. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF CHAROO CHUNDER MULLICK. CHAROO CHUNDER MULLICK *v.* EMPRESS

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 397

7. ——— *Acquittal.—Presidency Magistrates Act (IV of 1877), s. 181.—Calcutta Municipal Act (Bengal Act IV of 1876), ss. 75, 79.*—The powers of interference given to the High Court by section 147 of the High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, were not intended to be exercised in the case of an acquittal by the Magistrate, but only in the case of convictions or other orders whereby a defendant is aggrieved or injured. CORPORATION OF CALCUTTA *v.* BHEECUNRAM NAPIT *alias* BHEECUN NAPIT . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 290

8. ——— *High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147 (Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526.—Notice to prosecutor.—Penal Code, ss. 292 and 294.—Specific charge.—Procedure on transfer to High Court.*—In an application for the transfer of a case under section 147, Act X of 1875, in which the prisoner has been convicted and is undergoing imprisonment, it is in the discretion of the Court to order, for sufficient *primâ facie* cause shown, that the case be removed without notice to the Crown. *Semble,*—A charge under sections 292 and 294 of the Penal Code should be made specific in regard to the representations and words alleged to have been exhibited and uttered, and to be obscene; and the Magistrate, in convicting, should in his decision state distinctly what were the particular representations and words which he found on the evidence had been exhibited and uttered, and which he adjudged to be obscene within the meaning of those sections. Where no such specific decision has been given, the High Court, when the case has been transferred under section 147, Act X of 1875, may either try the case *de novo*, or dismiss it on the ground that the Magistrate has come to no finding on which the conviction can be sustained. QUEEN *v.* UPENDRONATH DOSS

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 356

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—*continued.*

1. GENERAL CASES—*continued.*

Transfer to High Court—*continued.*

9. ——— *High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147.—Transfer of case before Magistrate.—Power to issue mandamus.*—A charge was made against the accused of using criminal force under section 141 of the Penal Code. The Police Magistrate heard the evidence for the prosecution, and, without disbelieving it, decided it did not amount to the offence charged. *Held* that, assuming that an error of law had been committed, the High Court had no power to issue a *mandamus* to the Magistrate to commit the defendants; it was not a case where the Magistrate had declined jurisdiction; he had exercised his jurisdiction and heard the case. *Held*, also, it was not a case which the Court could transfer under section 147 of the High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act. IN THE MATTER OF THE EMPRESS ON THE PROSECUTION OF MALCOLM *v.* GASPER . . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 276

10. ——— *High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147.—Case transferred to High Court.—Refund of fine on quashing conviction.—Notes of evidence taken by Magistrate.*—The High Court had no power, under section 147, Act X of 1875, to order a fine to be refunded on quashing a conviction. The Court in this instance decided whether the case should be transferred under section 147 on the notes of the evidence taken by the Magistrate at the trial. QUEEN ON THE PROSECUTION OF MORAD ALI *v.* JEEBUN BUX

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 354

11. ——— *High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147.—Costs.—Police Magistrates.—Notes of evidence.*—In a case transferred to the High Court under section 147, Act X of 1875, the Court had no power to give costs. *Semble,*—The case may be transferred after final determination by the Magistrate. Notes of the proceedings before them should be taken in all cases by the judicial officers of all Criminal Courts subject to the Act. IN THE MATTER OF LOUIS. IN THE MATTER OF BENGAL ACT VI OF 1866

[15 B. L. R., Ap., 14

12. ——— **Power of District Magistrate.**—*Power to call for case.—Procedure when, having called for it, he finds it out of his jurisdiction.*—The Magistrate of the district has authority to call up to his own Court any criminal case without limitation as to the stage of proceeding at which it may be called. If the Magistrate, having in the exercise of his authority withdrawn any case, finds that it did not come within the jurisdiction of his Magistracy, he would not merely be competent but bound to refuse to proceed further with the case. VILAETEE KHANUM *v.* MEHER ALI

[24 W. R., Cr., 4

13. ——— *Held* that, although the Magistrate of a district is competent to order the removal of any particular case from the

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—*continued.*

1. GENERAL CASES—*continued.*

Power of District Magistrate—*continued.*

file of a subordinate Court to his own, it is doubtful whether he can by general proceeding direct the transfer of cases which have no existence, and which are not pending before any of his subordinates. GOVERNMENT *v.* GIRDHARBE LALL

[1 Agra, Cr., 24

14. ——— **Application for transfer.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 64.—Power of Judge acting on English committee.*—An application for the transfer of a case under section 64 of the Criminal Procedure Code should be made, not by letter to the English Department of the High Court, but before the Court in its judicial capacity, and should be supported by affidavits or affirmation in the usual way. QUEEN *v.* ZUHIRUDDIN

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 219: 25 W. R., Cr., 27

15. ——— **Notice of transfer.**—*Subordinate Magistrates.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), s. 48.—Notice to the parties before the transfer is made.*—Before a Magistrate of a district can transfer a case from a Court subordinate to him to any other subordinate Court, notice of such intended transfer should be served upon the parties, so as to enable any or either of the parties to come forward and show cause why such transfer should not be made. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF TRACOTTA SHEKDAR. TRACOTTA SHEKDAR *v.* AMEER MAJEE

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 393: 10 C. L. R., 239

16. ——— **Transfer of partly-heard case.**—*Hearing of evidence.*—Where a case which has been partly heard by one officer is transferred to another officer for trial, the latter should hear all the evidence in the case before deciding it. KOPIL NATH SAHI *v.* KONEERAM . . 14 W. R., Cr., 3

QUEEN *v.* KULLIAN SINGH . 2 N. W., 468

The High Court, however, declined to interfere in a case of this sort, as the prisoners did not appeal or raise any objection to the trial on this ground. KOPIL NATH SAHI *v.* KONEERAM

[14 W. R., Cr., 3

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 29.

17. ——— **Transfer to High Court.**—*Power to transfer.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 64.*—Section 29 of the Letters Patent of 1865 empowers the High Court to transfer for trial before itself an appeal to a Court of Session from the sentence of a District Magistrate, and this power was not affected by section 64 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1872, which authorised the High Court to transfer an appeal from one subordinate Court of criminal jurisdiction to another. SITAPATHI NAYUDU *v.* QUEEN

[I. L. R., 6 Mad., 32

18. ——— *Power to transfer.—"Competency" to investigate case.*—The con-

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—*continued.*

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 29 —*continued.*

Transfer to High Court—*continued.*

struction of clause 29 of the Letters Patent, 1865, is, that the High Court has power, if in its discretion it thinks right to exercise it, to transfer the investigation or trial of any criminal offence committed in Calcutta to a mofussil Court, which is otherwise competent to try it, or to direct the trial by the High Court of an offence committed in the mofussil. "Competent to investigate it" does not include competency as regards local jurisdiction, but only competency with regard to the offender, the nature of the offence, and the punishment. QUEEN *v.* NABADWIP GOSWAMI

[I. B. L. R., O. Cr., 15: 15 W. R., Cr., 71, note

19. ——— *Power to transfer.—Power of single Judge on original side of High Court.*—On an application made for the transfer of a case from the Sessions Court at Patna for trial by the High Court at Calcutta, on the grounds mainly that all but one of the charges against the prisoners were for offences committed in Calcutta; that the selection of Patna as the place of trial was calculated to prejudice the prisoners; that the police at Patna were getting up the case against the prisoners by improper and illegal means; that by these means was created such a feeling of dread and insecurity among the witnesses and others in Patna as would prevent a fair trial from taking place there; that some of the witnesses for the defence, although willing to give evidence in Calcutta, refused to go to Patna to give evidence; and that many difficult points of law were likely to arise at the trial; but these allegations were denied by the affidavits filed in opposition to the application,—*Held* (MACPHERSON, J., doubting), the High Court had power under clause 29 of the Letters Patent to transfer the case for trial by itself. The Court, however, refused the application, on the ground that a sufficient case had not been made out for the exercise of the power of the Court. *Per* PHEAR, *J.*—A single Judge, sitting on the original side of the Court, has power to entertain an application for the removal of a criminal case from a Court in the mofussil to the High Court in the exercise of its extraordinary original criminal jurisdiction. QUEEN *v.* AMEER KHAN

[7 B. L. R., 240: 15 W. R., Cr., 69

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER.

20. ——— **Nature of grounds for transfer.**—*Transfer from one Magistrate to another.*—The High Court will not, except on very strong and very clear grounds, transfer a case from one Magistrate's Court to that of another Magistrate. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SHANKAR ABAJI HOSHING. REG. *v.* SHANKAR ABAJI HOSHING . . . . 6 Bom., Cr., 69

21. ——— **Probability of unfair trial.**—*Transfer from one Magistrate to another.*—It is only when there is reason to suppose that the prisoner

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—*continued.*

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER—*continued.*

Probability of unfair trial—*continued.*

will not have a fair trial that the High Court will transfer a case from one magisterial officer to another. QUEEN v. KISTO CHUNDER GHOSE [2 W. R., Cr., 58

22. ——— *Proof of grounds for transfer.—Grounds necessary to obtain transfer when application is opposed by accused.*—Before the transfer of a case from one Criminal Court to another can be made, in cases in which the accused objects to the transfer, the prosecution must bring forward the very best evidence to prove that a fair trial cannot be had in the district in which the case is ordinarily triable, IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF THE LEGAL REMEMBRANCER. EMPRESS v. NOBO GOPAL BOSE [I. L. R., 6 Calc., 491

23. ——— **Prosecution initiated by Magistrate.**—*Conviction before same Magistrate.—Transfer of appeal from Magistrate to Sessions Judge.*—Where the Magistrate of the district had procured the initiation of a number of prosecutions against the same person, and one of them which had resulted in conviction came up before him in appeal, the High Court, considering that it was not altogether seemly that he should hear the appeal, ordered its transfer to the Sessions Judge. RAMZAN ALI v. DURPO KOMILLA . 24 W. R., Cr., 58

24. ——— **Judge forming premature opinion.**—*Convenience.—Relieving judicial officer of case he wishes not to try.*—The High Court does not exercise its powers of transfer in a case of forgery or perjury solely on the ground that the Judge who is to try the case has formed an opinion that the document has been forged or the perjury committed. But when the transfer can be made without risk of any improper interference with the course of justice, and without much inconvenience to the parties and witnesses, the transfer would be proper, not only as a fair concession to the accused person, but as a means of relieving the Judge from a position which he would himself desire to avoid. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ARUNACHALLA REDDI . . . . . 5 Mad., 212

25. ——— **Illegal procedure by Magistrate.**—*Magistrate antagonistic to accused.—Power of High Court.*—Where the procedure in the case of a person charged with an offence was found to be irregular and illegal, and the Magistrate was prejudiced and antagonistic to the prisoner, the High Court made an order (as in the *Bancoorah case, 4 B. L. R., Ap., 1*) to transfer the proceedings to be tried by another officer appointed or deputed by the Government of Bengal to try the case. ABDOOL KADIR KHAN v. MAGISTRATE OF PURNEAH. [11 B. L. R., Ap., 8; 20 W. R., Cr., 23

26. ——— **Judicial officers interested in case.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 64.—Road Cess case.—Transfer of appeal for trial.*—

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—*continued.*

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER—*continued.*

Judicial officers interested in case—*continued.*

Where it appeared that the only officers in the district of P. otherwise competent to hear an appeal from a conviction for theft of property alleged to have belonged to the Road Cess Committee of the district were, by reason of their connection with that committee, interested in the result of the appeal, the High Court directed that the petition of appeal, together with all papers connected therewith, should be forwarded to the Sessions Judge of the 24-Pergunnahs, to be dealt with as an appeal presented in his own Court. IN THE MATTER OF DWARKA NATH BANERJEE . . . . . 6 C. L. R., 279

27. ——— **Magistrate expressing opinion unfavourable to accused.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 36.—Transfer by Magistrate.*—Although section 36 of the Code of Criminal Procedure did not require a Magistrate to state his reasons for transferring a criminal case from a Court subordinate to him to his own or to any other subordinate Court, the High Court set aside an order of a Magistrate transferring a case after the Subordinate Magistrate, before whom it was, had taken the evidence for the prosecution, and had expressed an opinion unfavourable to the prosecution. QUEEN v. NOBOCOOMAR BANERJEE . 14 W. R., Cr., 12

28. ——— **Jurisdiction.**—*Place of commission of offence.—Transfer of preliminary investigation.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, ss. 64 and 69.*—The High Court, under sections 64 and 69 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, directed the preliminary investigation in this case, in which the accused was charged with criminal breach of trust, to be held in Calcutta, the place where the offence charged was, if not wholly, at all events partly, committed. QUEEN v. MACDONALD . . . 22 W. R., Cr., 6

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY.

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—COMPLETION OF TRANSFER.

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 547
I. L. R., 5 Bom., 554

——— **Erection of building for school on land.**—*Gift of building for a school.—Position of managers of school.—Suit by managers for trover and trespass.*—Where a party who, partly with his own funds and partly with R100 subscribed by the village, erected a building for a school, never gave the property to the school, and never even acquiesced in the managers of the school entering upon it,—*Held* that the managers entered upon it as trespassers, and that although the proprietor acquiesced in their having taken possession, he did not thereby convey any property in the school to the subscribers, and was not bound to repay that portion of the money which he expended himself in building the house, or to do more than return that portion of the funds which were subscribed by the village. SREEMURRY ROY v. HILLS . . . 7 W. R., 476

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY—*continued.*

Erection of building for school on land—*continued.*

S. C. on reference on original trial [6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 21

——— while transferor is out of possession.

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—BILLS OF SALE . . 2 B. L. R., P. C., 111

1. ——— Sale while vendor is out of possession.—*Right of purchaser to sue for possession.*—It is the practice of the Courts in this country to give effect to sales of property made by persons out of possession, and to recognise the title of the purchaser to maintain a suit. RUNNOO PANDEY *v.* BUKSH ALI . . . . 3 N. W., 2

KUMUROODDEEN *v.* BHADOO . 11 W. R., 134

AULOCK MONEE DOSSIA *v.* AULOCK MONEE DEBIA . . . . . 25 W. R., 48

PRANKRISHNA DEY *v.* BISWAMBHAR SEIN [2 B. L. R., A. C., 207 11 W. R., 81

2. ——— *Right of purchaser to sue for possession.—Want of consideration.*—Alleged purchasers whose vendors were not in possession, and who have paid nothing for what is said to have been sold to them, are not competent to maintain a suit for possession of the property in dispute. BISHONATH DEY ROY *v.* CHUNDER MOHUN DUTT BISWAS . . . . . 23 W. R., 165

See TARA SOONDOREE CHOWDHRAIN *v.* COLLECTOR OF MYMENSINGH [13 B. L. R., 495: 20 W. R., 446

3. ——— *Right of purchaser to sue for possession.*—The current of High Court decisions on the question whether a purchaser from a party not in possession is competent to maintain a suit to recover the land, is in favour of the right to bring a suit. BISSESSUR DOSS *v.* JOYKISHORE DOSS . . . . . 25 W. R., 223

4. ——— *Wrongful dispossession of vendor.—Right of vendee to sue for possession.*—Where a conveyance of property was made by a person who had been in possession and enjoyment for years before she was wrongfully ousted, the conveyance was held to give a right to sue for immediate possession. BIKAN SINGH *v.* PARBUTTY KOOER [22 W. R., 99

NITTYANUND GOSSAIN *v.* SHAMA CHURN CHATTERJEE . . . . . 23 W. R., 163

See GUNGA HURRY NUNDEE *v.* RAGHUBRAM NUNDEE . 14 B. L. R., 307: 23 W. R., 131

5. ——— *Right of assignee to sue for possession.—Third parties.—Requisite proof.*—An assignee of property, of which the assignor was not in possession when the assignment was made, can only recover, even from the hands of third persons, upon showing that he would have a right to enforce specific performance of his contract against

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY—*continued.*

Sale while vendor is out of possession—*continued.*

his assignor if the property were come back to the hands of the assignor. BOODHUN SINGH *v.* LUTEEFUN . . . . . 22 W. R., 535

6. ——— *Right to specific performance after purchase of right to sue.*—Where a purchaser of a right to sue for possession brings a suit for specific performance, and it is not shown that he has left undone anything necessary to entitle him to what he claims, it must be taken in special appeal that the plaintiff is entitled to insist on specific performance of his contract with his vendor. LALLA SABIL CHAND *v.* GOODUN KHAN [22 W. R., 187

7. ——— *Suit by assignee for possession.—Effect of bill of sale.*—The assignees, *R.*, *K.*, and *G.*, of certain property brought against the assignor, *L.*, and others, a suit to obtain possession of a portion of assigned property of which he, *L.*, never had possession, and to obtain a declaration of right of ownership to the other portion already in the possession of one or more of themselves. *Held* that as *L.*, at the time when the assignments were made, was not in either actual or constructive possession, he was unable thereby to pass the property, and that the bill of sale was only evidence of a contract to be performed in future upon the happening of a contingency. RAM KHELAWUN SINGH *v.* OUDH KOOER . . . . . 21 W. R., 101

And *see* BOODHUN *v.* BOODHUN SINGH [21 W. R., 156

8. ——— *Suit by assignee for possession.—Validity of transfer.*—The plaintiffs sought to recover possession from the defendants of certain land, claiming under a kararnama executed to them by one Mutyawa. The defendants contended that Mutyawa had never been in possession of the land. The lower Appellate Court held that as Mutyawa was not in possession at the time when the kararnama was executed, the plaintiff's claim was not maintainable. On appeal to the High Court,—*Held*, reversing the decree of the lower Appellate Court, that the circumstance of Mutyawa's not having been in possession at the time the kararnama was executed did not prevent the plaintiffs from recovering possession from the defendants. *Kalidas* v. *Kashaya Lall, I. L. R., 11 Calc., 121: L. R., 11 I. A., 219*, referred to and followed. UGARCHAND MANACKCHAND *v.* MADAPA SOMANA . [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 324

9. ——— *Sale in execution of decree.—Assignment by purchaser who has not obtained possession.*—Upon a sale in execution of a decree the property in the thing sold passes to the purchaser; and there is nothing in either the Hindu or the English law which debars a third person from taking an assignment of such property from the auction-purchaser, albeit it has not been reduced into possession by him. GOVIND RAGUNATH *v.* GOVIND JAGOJI . . . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 500

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY—*continued.*

10. ——— Hypothecation of property without possession.—*Incomplete title.—Held* that the hypothecation of property to which a judgment-debtor had not acquired absolute title was incomplete and insufficient to create a valid and perfect lien in favour of the mortgagee enforceable by law against the actual possessor. HERCHUND SINGH *v.* RAMP SINGH . . . . 1 Agra, 286

11. ——— Lease granted while lessor is out of possession.—A valid lease cannot be granted by a person not in possession of the lands leased. TIBBY *v.* KRISTO MOHUN BOSE. HOVENDEN *v.* AKBAR ALI . . I. L. R., 1 I. A., 76

12. ——— *Rights of lessee.—Suit for possession.*—A transfer of property of which the transferor is not at the time of the transfer in possession, is not *ipso facto* void. Where a putnidar, while out of possession of the putni estate, granted a dur-putni thereof,—*Held* that the dur-putnidar's suit against third persons, who were in possession of the estate, to recover possession, would lie, it appearing that the plaintiff had paid an adequate consideration for the dur-putni, and that the dur-putni pottah was not evidence of a contract to be performed in future on the happening of a certain contingency, or that if it were so, that the plaintiff had done all he was bound to do to entitle him to specific performance of the agreement by the putnidar. LOKENATH GHOSE *v.* JUGOBUNDHOO ROY [I. L. R., 1 Calc., 297

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882).

See LEASE—CONSTRUCTION. [I. L. R., 7 Bom., 256

See MORTGAGE — FORECLOSURE—DEMAND AND NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE. [I. L. R., 8 All., 388

——— s. 2.

See s. 10 . . I. L. R., 7 All., 516

1. ——— *Mortgage executed before Act came into force.—Assignment of after Act in operation.*—The provisions of the Transfer of Property Act apply to the assignment of a mortgage made after that Act came into force, although the mortgage may have been made before the commencement of that Act. LALA JUGDEO SAHAI *v.* BEIJ BEHARI LAL . . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 505

2. ——— and ss. 67, 86.—*Suit for foreclosure of mortgage.—Beng. Reg. XVII of 1806, ss. 7, 8.—Procedure.—Act I of 1868 (General Clauses Act), s. 6.*—A mortgagee by conditional sale, under an instrument executed while Regulation XVII of 1806 was in force, and before the Transfer of Property Act, 1882, which repealed that Regulation, came into force, sued, after the repeal of that Regulation, for foreclosure of the mortgage, not having proceeded in accordance with the provisions of section 8 of that Regulation. *Held* (STUART, C. J., dissenting) that the procedure of that section was not saved by clause (*c*) of section 2 of the Transfer of Property Act, but the provisions of that Act were applicable to the suit. GANGA SAHAI *v.* KISHEN SAHAI . . . I. L. R., 6 All., 262

3. ——— and ss. 67, 99.—*Mortgage-decree.—Execution of decree.*—A decree-holder, who had obtained a decree in the year 1880 against his judgment-debtor, declaring his title in certain mortgaged properties and authorising a sale, sought, after several previous applications keeping the decree alive, to execute his decree again on the 15th April 1885. The judgment-debtor objected, on the ground that no suit had been instituted or decree obtained under section 67 of the Transfer of Property Act as directed by section 99. *Held* that section 99 of that Act was not intended to apply to decrees already obtained declaring a lien and authorising a sale, but even assuming that it was so intended, section 2 of the Act saved the right of the decree-holder to obtain a sale of the mortgaged properties. *Ganga Sahai v. Kishen Sahai, I. L. R., 6 All., 262,* distinguished. DINENDRA NATH SANNYAL *v.* CHANDRA KISHORE MUNSHI [I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436

4. ——— cl. (c), and s. 86.—*Mortgage.—Conditional sale.—Suit for possession on foreclosure.—Beng. Reg. XVII of 1806, ss. 7, 8.*—The procedure laid down in the Transfer of Property Act may be applied to the case of foreclosure of a mortgage executed before the Act came into operation, provided it be so applied as not to affect the rights saved by section 2, clause (*c*) of the Act. Where, therefore, under the provisions of Regulation XVII of 1806, notice of foreclosure had been served on a mortgagor by conditional sale, the mortgage having been executed and the foreclosure proceedings taken before the Transfer of Property Act came into force, and after the expiry of the year of grace the money not having been paid, the mortgagee instituted a suit for possession on foreclosure, and when such suit was defended by a third party who had purchased the mortgaged property at an execution sale and obtained possession before the commencement of the foreclosure proceedings, and the necessary notice had not been served upon him,—*Held* that it was competent to the Court to apply the procedure prescribed by the Transfer of Property Act and grant the mortgagee a decree in the terms of section 86, substituting the period of "one year" for the period of "six months" therein mentioned. *Ganga Sahai v. Kishen Sahai, I. L. R., 6 All., 622,* referred to. PERGASH KOER *v.* MAHABIR PERSHAD NARAIN SINGH . . I. L. R., 11 Calc., 582

5. ——— *Mortgage.—Foreclosure, Suit for.—Mortgage by conditional sale.—Beng. Reg. XVII of 1806.—Procedure.—Statute, Construction of.*—Where a suit is brought, after the date of the Transfer of Property Act, for the foreclosure of a mortgage dated previous to the Act, the procedure to be followed is that given by the Transfer of Property Act; the procedure of Regulation XVII, 1806, not being saved by section 2 (clause *c*)

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 2, cl. (c), and s. 86—*continued.*

of Act IV, 1882. *Ganga Sahai* v. *Kishen Sahai, I. L. R., 6 All., 262*, approved. *Per* WILSON, *J.*—It is a general rule in construing statutes that in matters of substantive right they are not to be so read as to take away vested rights, but that in matters of procedure they are general in their operation. There is nothing in the Transfer of Property Act from which it can be beyond reasonable doubt concluded that the Legislature intended to depart from this settled principle of legislation. *Per* TREVELYAN, *J.*—There is a clear distinction between "relief" and the mode or procedure for obtaining such relief. The "relief" remains unaffected by a change of procedure. The "rights and liabilities" of a mortgagor and mortgagee, and the "relief" in respect of such rights and liabilities, are the same under Act IV of 1882 as they were before. A different procedure for enforcing such rights and obtaining such relief has, however, been adopted by the Transfer of Property Act. BHOBO SUNDARI DEBI v. RAKAL CHUNDER BOSE

[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 583

1. ——— s. 10.—*Married Woman's Property Act (III of 1874), s. 8.—Restraint on anticipation.*—Section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act merely excepts from the general rule laid down in section 8 of the Married Woman's Property Act, III of 1874, the particular case of a married woman, and does not give to a restraint upon anticipation any greater force than it had before the passing of the Act, but merely preserves to it the effect it had previously, leaving the Married Woman's Property Act of 1874 and the decisions upon it untouched. HIPPOLITE v. STUART . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 522

2. ——— and s. 2.—*Condition against alienation.—Inheritance.—Deed of compromise.—Beng. Civil Courts Act, VI of 1871, s. 24.*—In a suit for possession of certain shares in certain villages, a compromise was effected between the plaintiffs and *B.*, the defendant. The terms of the compromise were embodied in a deed, the terms of which were (*inter alia*) as follows: "The said *B.* will hold possession as a proprietor, generation by generation, without the power of transferring in any shape. The following shares recorded in *B.'s* name shall not be transferred or sold in auction in payment of any debt payable by the said *B.*, and in the event of their being transferred or sold, such transfer will be invalid, and the plaintiffs will then be entitled to set aside that transfer, and to obtain possession." *B.* obtained possession of the shares allotted to him by the compromise. Subsequently, certain creditors of *B.* attached the shares referred to in the deed in execution of a decree obtained against the heirs of *B.* for money lent to *B.* on a bond, which he had executed while in possession of the shares, and in which he made a simple mortgage of them. The representatives of the plaintiffs in the suit in which the compromise was made objected to the attachment. *Held* by OLDFIELD, *J.*, that the deed of compromise passed an absolute estate to *B.* and his heirs to which the law annexed a power of transfer, and that, in reference to section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act,

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 10 and s. 2—*continued.*

the stipulation against alienation on *B.'s* part, or against sale by auction in execution of decrees against him, was void. *Per* MAHMOOD, *J.*—That the rule contained in section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act was not binding upon the Court in this case, inasmuch as the question was one of succession or inheritance, to be governed by section 24 of the Bengal Civil Courts Act; that it was for those objecting to the attachment to show that, under the Hindu law, the rights of *B.* in the property ceased to exist at his death, or that his estate devolved upon them free of his debts; that, the Hindu law being silent on this subject, the principles of justice, equity, and good conscience must be applied, to which, so far as transfer was concerned, effect was given by section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act; that the restriction imposed by the deed of compromise upon *B.'s* powers of alienating the absolute estate which it conferred upon him were opposed to the policy of the law and could not be recognised; and that *B.* must be held to have had an absolute estate which would devolve upon his heirs, and which could be sold in execution of decrees for his debts. The *Tagore Case, 9 B. L. R., 377*, referred to. BHAIRO v. PARMESHRI DAYAL . . I. L. R., 7 All., 516

——— ss. 10, 11.

See RIGHT OF SUIT — CONTRACTS OR AGREEMENTS . I. L. R., 8 All., 452

——— ss. 41, 48.

See N.-W. P. RENT ACT, s. 7.

[I. L. R., 8 All., 409

——— s. 51.

See DECREE—FORM OF DECREE—MORTGAGE . . I. L. R., 8 All., 502

——— s. 52.

See s. 135 . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 297

——— *Registered and unregistered documents.—Transfer of property "pendente lite."—Act III of 1877 (Registration Act), s. 50.*—*B.* held a decree for the sale of property which had been mortgaged to him by an instrument which was not compulsorily registrable and was not registered. *N.* purchased the same property *pendente lite* by a registered deed of sale. *Held* that there was here no competition between a registered and an unregistered instrument to which section 50 of the Registration Act could apply; and that *N.'s* purchase was, by section 52 of the Transfer of Property Act, subject to the decree passed in *B.'s* favour. BHAGWAN DAS v. NATHU SINGH . . I. L. R., 6 All., 444

——— s. 53.

See REGISTRATION ACT, 1877, s. 50.

[I. L. R., 8 All., 540

——— s. 54.

See PRE-EMPTION — CONSTRUCTION OF WAJIB-UL-URZ.

[I. L. R., 7 All., 482, 626

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 54—*continued.*

——— *Optional registration.—Per* GARTH, *C. J.*—Section 54 of the Transfer of Property Act virtually abolishes optional registration. NABAIN CHUNDER CHUCKERBUTTY *v.* DATARAM ROY . I. L. R., 8 Calc., 597: 10 C. L. R., 241

——— s. 58.

See PRE-EMPTION — CONSTRUCTION OF WAJIB-UL-URZ. [I. L. R., 7 All., 258, 343

——— s. 59.

See COMPROMISE—COMPROMISE OF SUITS UNDER CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE. [I. L. R., 9 Mad., 103

——— s. 62.

See MORTGAGE—REDEMPTION—MODE OF REDEMPTION AND LIABILITY TO FORECLOSURE . I. L. R., 8 All., 402

——— s. 65.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT—TRANSFER BY TENANT . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 443

——— s. 67.

See s. 2 . . . I. L. R., 6 All., 262
[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436

——— s. 68.

See MORTGAGE—POSSESSION UNDER MORTGAGE . . I. L. R., 6 All., 298

——— s. 76.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT—TRANSFER BY TENANT . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 443

See MORTGAGE—ACCOUNTS. [I. L. R., 6 All., 303

——— s. 84.

See MORTGAGE—REDEMPTION—MODE OF REDEMPTION AND LIABILITY TO FORECLOSURE . I. L. R., 8 All., 502

——— s. 86.

See s. 2. [I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436, 505, 583
I. L. R., 11 Calc., 582
I. L. R., 6 All., 262

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 135. [I. L. R., 12 Calc., 614

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—SETTING ASIDE SALE—IRREGULARITY—GENERAL CASES . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 346

——— s. 87.

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—SETTING ASIDE SALE—IRREGULARITY—GENERAL CASES . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 346

——— s. 88.

See EXECUTION OF DECREE—MODE OF EXECUTION—MORTGAGE. [I. L. R., 7 All., 194

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882)—*continued.*

——— s. 95.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 148. [I. L. R., 8 All., 295

——— s. 99.

See s. 2 . . . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436

——— s. 100.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 148. [I. L. R., 8 All., 295

——— ss. 106, 111.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT—EJECTMENT—NOTICE TO QUIT. [I. L. R., 7 All., 596, 899

——— s. 118.

See PRE-EMPTION — CONSTRUCTION OF WAJIB-UL-URZ . I. L. R., 7 All., 626

——— s. 123.

See ATTACHMENT—SUBJECTS OF ATTACHMENT—ANNUITY OR PENSION. [I. L. R., 6 All., 634

1. ——— s. 131.—*Transfer of debts.—Notice of transfer.—Assignment of mortgage.—Mortgagor, Liability of, to assignee of mortgagee when no notice of assignment given.*—An assignment is perfectly valid though the notice referred to in section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act has not been given, though the title of the assignee as against third parties is not complete until such notice has been given; the object of such notice being the protection of the assignee. Section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act makes no alteration in the law as it obtained in England previous to the passing of that Act and as laid down in the cases cited in the note to *Ryall* v. *Rowles*, 2 *W. & T. L. C.*, 777, the first portion of the section merely fixing the time when the section comes into operation, and the latter providing for the protection of the debtor if he deals with the debt before that time. Where, therefore, an assignee of a mortgagee brought a suit on the mortgage against the mortgagor and the mortgagee, and no notice of the assignment had been given to the mortgagor under section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act,—*Held* that the Court was wrong in dismissing the suit merely on the ground that no notice was served, as after the suit was instituted the mortgagor became aware of the assignment, and the transfer accordingly came into operation on the date when he thus became aware of it. LALA JUGDEO SAHAI *v.* BRIJ BEHARI LAL . . . . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 505

2. ——— *Decree.—Debt.*—A decree is not a "debt" within the meaning of that word as used in section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act. A "debt" under that section means an actionable claim, and not a claim which has already passed into a decree. AFZAL *v.* RAM KUMAR BHUDRA [I. L. R., 12 Calc., 610

1. ——— s. 135.—*Transferee of a claim for smaller value.—Recovery of full amount of debt.*—It

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 135—*continued.*

is not the object of section 135 of the Transfer of Property Act absolutely to prevent a transferee, who has purchased a claim at a smaller value, from recovering the full amount of the debt due from the debtor. GRISH CHANDRA *v.* KASHISAUMI DEBI [I. L. R., 13 Calc., 145

2. ——— *Right of Suit.—Suit to set aside a document.—Actionable claim.*—The co-sharers of a Hindu family, one of whom was a minor, owned certain immoveable property in Munshigunge near Dacca. In 1873 a perpetual lease of this property, executed by all the co-sharers except the minor, was granted to certain persons hereinafter called the lessees. On the minor's behalf the lease was executed by his elder brother as guardian of the minor. In May 1882, the minor, who had previously attained his majority, sued the lessees and his co-sharers for a declaration of his right to and for possession of his share in the said property, alleging that the perpetual lease was not binding on him On the day after the institution of the suit the plaintiff sold all his interest therein to *A.* for R600. *Held* that *A.'s* purchase was an actionable claim within the meaning of section 135 of the Transfer of Property Act. RAJANIKANTH NAG RAI CHOWDHURI *v.* HARI MOHAN GUHA [I. L. R., 12 Calc., 470

3. ——— and s. 52.—*Sale of immoveable property by person out of possession.—Actionable claim.*—A transfer of ownership of immoveable property is not a sale of an actionable claim, although the owner at the time of the sale may not be in possession. *A.* and *B.* being owners of an 8 annas share of certain immovable property sold it under a kobala to *C.* and *D.* At the time of the sale *X.* and *Y.* were in adverse possession of the share. *Held* that the transaction was a sale under section 52 of the Transfer of Property Act, to which the provisions of Chapter VIII of the Act, specially those of section 135, were inapplicable. *Semble.*—Section 135 refers to claims for money of some kind or the like, although the money claim may be a charge on immoveable property. MUDUN MOHUN DUT *v.* FUTTAHUNNISSA [I. L. R., 13 Calc., 297

TRANSFER OF SHARES, REGISTRATION OF—

See BANK OF BENGAL. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 392

TRANSFER OF TENURE.

See BENGAL REGULATION VIII OF 1819. [3 B. L. R., P. C., 48

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT—TRANSFER BY LANDLORD.

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT—TRANSFER BY TENANT.

See CASES UNDER RIGHT OF OCCUPANCY—TRANSFER OF RIGHT.

See STAMP ACT, 1862, s. 14. [3 B. L. R., Ap., 30

TRANSFER OF TENURE—*continued.*

1. ——— Grant for purpose of living on the land.—*Per* PEACOCK, *C. J.*—If one man grants a tenure to another for the purpose of living upon the land, that tenure, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, is assignable. BENI MADHUB BANERJEE *v.* JAI KRISHNA MOOKERJEE [7 B. L. R., 152 : 12 W. R., 495

Upholding, on appeal, KEMP, *J.*, in BANEE MADHUB BANERJEE *v.* JOY KISHEN MOOKERJEE [11 W. R., 354

2. ——— Mokurrari tenure.—It is necessary that a tenure should be mokurrari in order to be transferable. HUROMOHUN MOOKERJEE *v.* LALUNMONEE DASSEE . . . . 1 W. R., 5

3. ——— Surburakari tenure in Cuttack.—*Consent of zemindar.*—The alienation of surburakari tenure in Cuttack is not practicable without the consent of the zemindar. DOORJODHUN DOSS *v.* CHOOYA DAYE . . . 1 W. R., 322

4. ——— Ryotwari tenure.—*Consent of zemindar or talookdar.—Quære,*—Whether a transfer of a ryotwari tenure can be effected without the consent of the zemindar or talookdar, as the case might be, the immediate successor in estate. SHIBESSUREE DEBIA *v.* MOTHOORANATH ACHARJEE [13 W. R., P. C., 18 : 13 Moore's I. A., 270

TRANSFER, REGISTRATION OF—

See BENGAL RENT ACT, 1869, s. 46. [1 B. L. R., S. N., 7
10 W. R., 101
8 W. R., 138

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT—ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF TENANCY, &c.

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT—TRANSFER BY TENANT.

See SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT—DEPOSIT TO STAY SALE . 13 B. L. R., 146

See SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT—EFFECT OF SALE . . . 9 W. R., 161
[7 W. R., 409
Marsh., 212
1 W. R., 225

TRANSFERABLE TENURE.

See ACQUIESCENCE . 7 B. L. R., 152
[10 B. L. R., Ap., 5

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT—TRANSFER BY TENANT.

See PATNI TENURE. [3 B. L. R., A. C., 437

See CASES UNDER RIGHT OF OCCUPANCY—TRANSFER OF RIGHT.

TRANS-SHIPMENT PERMIT.

See SEA CUSTOMS ACT, s. 128. [I. L. R., 4 Bom., 447

TRANSPORTATION.

See SENTENCE—TRANSPORTATION

——— **Absence by reason of—**

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s 7
[1 B. L. R., S. N., 25

TREASON.

See WAGING WAR AGAINST THE QUEEN
[7 B. L. R., 63

TREASURE TROVE.

1. ——— **Beng. Reg. V of 1817.**—*Hidden treasure—Duty of finder of hidden treasure—Rights of finder, zemindar, and Government.*—Some persons while digging a field in a certain zemindari found an earthen pot containing money The finder and the zemindar both claimed to be entitled to the treasure, but the provisions of Regulation V of 1817, with regard to the finding of hidden treasure, were not complied with by them, and on this ground the Judge held they were not entitled to it The Government claimed the money on the ground that the provisions of the Regulation had not been complied with, and it was made over to the Collector, an appeal to the High Court being dismissed IN RE UMA CHARAN BANERJEE . 7 B L. R., Ap., 3

S C OMA CHURN BANERJEE v. COLLECTOR OF HOOGHLY . . . . 15 W R, 525

2 ——— **s. 6 and s. 2**—*Valuable property—Ornament*—The owner of the house where an ornament has been found concealed may, under section 6, Regulation V of 1817, retain possession of it as a "valuable property" under section 2, if no one else has substantiated any claim thereto PREM MOYEE BEWAH v. NOBIN CHUNDER CHOWDHRY
[4 W. R., Mis., 8

3. ——— **Mad. Reg XI of 1832.**—*Right to idols discovered.—Right of owner of property—Right of trespasser—Omission to give notice to authorities*—Certain idols and vessels of copper were discovered accidentally by one Shaik Mira and his brother, while digging for stones, in a masonry building underneath the ground in a rather elevated part of the bed of the tank of Anandur which belongs to the zemindari of Shivaganga No intimation of the discovery was given by the finders to any public authority, but the Subordinate Magistrate, being informed of it by the police, proceeded to the spot and recovered the idols on the third or fourth day after they were found They were then sent by the Magistracy to the Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Madura to be dealt with under the provisions of Regulation XI of 1832 Proclamation inviting claimants was made and petitions asking for possession of the idols were presented by three parties—1st, by the Rani of Shivaganga, on the ground that she was trustee of the Devastanams on her estate, on which the idols had been found, 2nd, by the Stanikam of a temple in the village of Anandur, and 3rd, by the finder The Principal Sudder Ameen adjudged the idols to be the property of the Rani, and directed that they should be delivered to her The finder appealed to the Civil Court, which re-

TREASURE TROVE.—Mad Reg. XI of 1832—*continued*

versed the decision of the Principal Sudder Ameen and directed delivery to the appellant. Against this order the Rani appealed to the High Court on the grounds—1st, that Regulation XI of 1832 only applies to cases in which the ownership of the property is undiscovered, and that, in the present case, the Rani was presumably the owner of the property found, 2nd, that a trespasser could not benefit by the finding *Held* that the Rani had no title to what had been hidden in former times in the soil now belonging to her that it had been found that these idols were hidden in a stone chamber specially appropriated to that purpose, and that she could not, therefore, claim a title as owner As to the objection that the finder, being a trespasser, could not benefit,—*Held* that it was unnecessary to consider this objection unless the Rani had some right or title to the treasure, the same as she had in the soil of the tank that she had not such right, and therefore that the contention as to the right to the property found lay between the finder and the State, which had made no claim An objection, not before taken, was allowed to be argued at the hearing, —*viz*, that the formalities prescribed by the Regulation had not been complied with *Held* that, though immediate notice had not been given by the finder, the property was within three or four days of its discovery in the hands of the authorities, who might be said, therefore, to have supplied the necessary notice KATTAMA NATCHIAR (ZEMINDARNI OF SHIVAGANGA) v MUHAMMAD MIRA RAVUTAN
[7 Mad., 150

TREATIES.

1. ——— **Tenure of territory in Bombay.**—The nature and results of Governor Aungier's Convention stated, and the origin of "pension and tax" in Bombay traced Treaty of the 23rd June 1661 between Charles II and the King of Portugal considered The treaty in 1664-65 by Mr Humphry Cook with the Viceroy of Goa was entered into without authorisation by the Crown of England or the Crown of Portugal, was not ratified by either, was expressly repudiated by the former, and never was of any force *Doe d de Silveira v Teixeira, 2 Mor Dig, 450*, observed upon NAGHOJI BHIMJI v ROGERS 4 Bom., O. C., 1

2 ——— **Cession of Bombay and Salsette to Portuguese.**—The treaty made between Sultan Bahadur of Gujarat and the King of Portugal on the cession of Bassein and its dependencies (including Bombay and Salsette) to the Portuguese referred to SECRETARY OF STATE IN COUNCIL v. BOMBAY LANDING AND SHIPPING COMPANY
[5 Bom., O. C., 22

See LOPES v LOPES . 5 Bom, O. C., 172

TREES.

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT—PROPERTY IN TREES PLANTED ON LAND

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s 28 (1871, s 29) . . I. L. R., 3 All., 435

TREES—*continued.*

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, MOFUSSIL—JURISDICTION—MOVEABLE PROPERTY.
[I. L. R., 5 All., 564
24 W. R., 394
3 Mad., 237
I. L. R., 3 All., 168

TRESPASS.

Col.
1. GENERAL CASES 6043
2. HOUSE-TRESPASS 6045

See CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 244—QUESTIONS IN EXECUTION OF DECREE.
[3 B. L. R., A. C., 413
12 B. L. R., 208, note

See CASES UNDER CRIMINAL TRESPASS.

See DAMAGES—SUITS FOR DAMAGES—TORTS . . 8 Bom., A. C., 177
[7 N. W., 47
25 W. R., 548

See DEBTOR AND CREDITOR.
[2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7

See EXECUTION OF DECREE—LIABILITY FOR WRONGFUL EXECUTION.
[3 B. L. R., A. C., 413
12 B. L. R., 208, note

See MASTER AND SERVANT.
[2 B. L. R., A. C., 227
2 B. L. R., O. C., 140

See RAILWAY ACT, 1871, s. 2.
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 25

See RIOTING . I. L. R., 6 Mad., 245
[10 C. L. R., 278
W. R., 1864, Cr., 21

See WRONGFUL DISTRAINT.
[3 B. L. R., A. C., 261

——— by cattle.

See NUISANCE—UNDER CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE . 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 45
9 B. L. R., Ap., 36

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. ——— Landlord and tenant.—*Damage to reversionary interests.—Right of landlord to sue for damage.—English law, Non-applicability of.*—Many of the tenures in India are in the nature of a partnership, in which he to whom the land belongs participates with the cultivators in the crop. Therefore the law of England, that a landlord who has parted with his possession to a tenant cannot sue in trespass for damage to the property, unless the wrongful act complained of imports a damage to the reversionary interests, does not apply to landlords in India. VENKATACHALAM CHETTI *v.* ANDIAPPAN AMBALAM . . . I. L. R., 2 Mad., 232

2. ——— Wrongful distraint of crops.—*Distraint without notice.—Penal Code, s. 79.—Resistance to wrongful distraint.*—A zemindar was held to be justified in exercising his right of private distraint of crops, if he had served the defaulters

TRESPASS—*continued.*

1. GENERAL CASES—*continued.*

Wrongful distraint of crops—*continued.*

with written notices under Act X of 1859, section 116; and, in such a case, ryots, who knowingly resisted the distraint, were held to be not protected by the Penal Code, section 79. But, if the zemindar's people enter upon crops with intention of distraining without notice, the ryot-owners are justified in considering such action as trespass. QUEEN *v.* KANHAI SHAHU
[23 W. R., Cr., 40

3. ——— Land taken by Government without formality prescribed by Beng. Reg. I of 1825.—*Right of owner to maintain suit against Government for rent.*—Lands were occupied by the Government for the purpose of making an embankment without the observance of the formality required by Regulation I of 1825. *Held* that the owner of the land was entitled to maintain a suit against Government for the rent of the land during the time he was kept out of possession. JOYNARAIN BOSE *v.* COLLECTOR OF 24-PERGUNNAHS .
[Marsh., 56

COLLECTOR OF 24-PERGUNNAHS *v.* JOYNARAIN BOSE . . W. R., F. B., 18: 1 Hay, 122

4. ——— Suit to prevent trespass.—*Suit to close doors.—Cause of action.—Possibility of injury.*—No suit can lie to close doors opened by a person in his own wall, on the ground of a possibility of his committing trespass on the land of the plaintiff, or of his having actually committed such trespass. It will only lie when the opening of the doors is in itself such an irremediable injury that the plaintiff would not be sufficiently compensated by money damages. GIBBON *v.* ABDUR RAHMAN KHAN . . . . . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 411

5. ——— Sale for arrears of rent.—*Sale under defective notice.—Reversal of sale for irregularity.—A.*, a zemindar, sold the right of *B.*, his putnidar, for arrears of rent under Regulation VIII of 1819. This sale was subsequently set aside at the suit of *B.* for irregularity. *A.* then sued *B.* for the arrears under Act X of 1859, and *B.* pleaded limitation. *Held* that *A.* was not guilty of a trespass in bringing the property to sale under a defective notice, and *A.* could not have sued for arrears pending the proceedings to set aside the sale. SWARNAMAYI *v.* SHASHI MUKHI BARMANI
[2 B. L. R., P. C., 10

S. C. SURNOMOYEE *v.* SHOSHEE MOKHEE BURMONIA . . . 12 Moore's I. A., 244
[11 W. R., P. C., 5

6. ——— Trespass on burial-ground.—*Penal Code, s. 297.—Trespass by co-owner.—A., B., C.*, and *D.* were co-owners of a plot of land in which they were accustomed to bury their dead. *A.* and *B.* opened a saw-pit close to the graves of *D.'s* relatives, but did not disturb any of the graves. *Held* that they were wrongly convicted under section 297 of the Penal Code. IN RE MUHAMMAD HAMIN KHAN
[I. L. R., 3 Mad., 178

TRESPASS—*continued.*

1. GENERAL CASES—*continued.*

7. ——— **Liability for trespass by defendants not actually committing it.**—*Committee under Act XX of 1863.—Held,* in a suit under Act XX of 1863, that where the evidence showed that certain acts of trespass by one of the defendants were for the benefit and on behalf of the members of the committee, and were afterwards adopted and taken advantage of by them when they had acquired a full knowledge of those acts, the defendants for whose benefit the acts were done were liable for the trespass. VENKATASA NAIKER *v.* SRINIVASSA CHARIYAR . . . . . 4 Mad., 410

2. HOUSE-TRESPASS.

8. ——— **Breaking open chest in house by inmate of house.**—*Penal Code, s. 457.*—T., being an inmate of his uncle's house, broke open a chest and took out property from it. He was convicted of an offence under section 457 of the Penal Code. *Held* that he could not properly be convicted under that section. QUEEN *v.* TASUDUK HOSSEIN . . . . . 6 N. W., 301

9. ——— **Breaking open door in execution of decree.**—*Penal Code, s. 456.*—Where the accused persons, execution-creditors, in company with an authorised bailiff, broke open complainant's door before sunrise with intent to distrain his property for which they were convicted on a charge of lurking house-trespass by night or house-breaking by night,—*Held* that as they were not guilty of the offence of criminal trespass, there being no finding of any such intent as is required to constitute that offence, and that as criminal trespass is an essential ingredient of either of the offences with which they were charged, the conviction must be quashed. IN THE MATTER OF JOTHARAM DAVAY [I. L. R., 2 Mad., 30

10. ——— **Cattle-yard.**—*Building used for custody of property.—Penal Code, ss. 442, 457.*—The Court inclined to hold that a cattle-yard which was originally walled on four sides, and in one side of which, fallen out of repair, there was a gap stopped with a thorn, was a building used as a place for the custody of property, within the meaning of section 442 of the Penal Code. QUEEN *v.* DULLEE . . . . . 6 N. W., 307

11. ——— **Entry into house with forged warrant of arrest.**—*Penal Code, s. 452.*—Where *A.* goes with a forged warrant of arrest into a house, and takes away one of the inmates against his will under the authority of such warrant, he is guilty of house-trespass, by putting such person in fear of wrongful restraint, under section 452 of the Penal Code. QUEEN *v.* NUNDMOHUN SIRKAR . . . . . 12 W. R., Cr., 33

12. ——— **Right of wife to enter husband's house.**—*Wife excommunicated from caste.*—Excommunication from caste *per se* does not deprive a Hindu wife of her right of joint enjoyment of her husband's house, so as to make her a trespasser

TRESPASS—*continued.*

2. HOUSE-TRESPASS—*continued.*

Right of wife to enter husband's house—*continued.*

if she enters the house to claim maintenance. QUEEN *v.* MARIMUTTU . . . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 243

13. ——— **Entering lock-up with intent to convey food to prisoner.**—*Penal Code, s. 442.*—Where a person entered into a havalat with intent to convey or attempt to convey food to a prisoner under trial, such act on his part did not amount to house-trespass within the meaning of section 442 of the Penal Code. EMPRESS *v.* LALAI [I. L. R., 2 All., 301

TRESPASSER.

——— **Suit by, to recover money paid.**

See WRONGFUL POSSESSION. [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 566

——— **Suit for mesne profits against—**

See MESNE PROFITS—MODE OF ASSESSMENT AND CALCULATION. [I. L. R., 1 All., 518

TROVER.

——— **Suit in—**

See HUSBAND AND WIFE. [I. L. R., 1 Calc., 285

1. ——— **Right of stoppage in transitu.**—*Contract for goods free on board.—Insolvency.*—Goods contracted to be sold and delivered "free on board," to be paid for by cash or bills at the option of the purchasers, were delivered on board, and receipts taken from the mate by the lighterman employed by the sellers, who handed the same over to them. The sellers apprised the purchasers of the delivery, who elected to pay for the goods by a bill, which the sellers having drawn, was duly accepted by the purchasers. The sellers retained the mate's receipts for the goods, but the master signed the bill of lading in the purchasers' names, who, while the bill they accepted was running, became insolvent. In such circumstances, held by the Privy Council (reversing the decision of the Supreme Court at Bombay) that trover would not lie for the goods, for that on their delivery on board the vessel they were no longer in *transitu* so as to be stopped by the sellers; and that the retention of the receipts by the sellers was immaterial, as after their election to be paid by a bill the receipts of the mate were not essential to the transaction between the seller and purchaser. FRAMJEE COWASJEE *v.* THOMPSON [3 Moore's I. A., 422

2. ——— **Conversion.** — *Assignment of goods in certain warehouses on advances.—Seizure of goods.—Advance and assignment not simultaneous.—Incomplete assignment.*—A bill of sale and assignment of goods described as being in certain warehouses belonging to *A.* was given by him for the loan of a sum expressed to have been paid on the day of the date thereof. Upon an action of trover

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

TROVER.—Conversion—*continued.*

brought against the assignee of *A.*, who had seized the goods, it appeared in evidence that a portion only of the goods was in the warehouse specified at the date of the sale, and that no part of the loan was paid on that day, the same being discharged by instalments a few days afterwards: whereupon the Judges of the Supreme Court held that there had been no valid transfer, and consequently no conversion, and gave an interlocutory judgment in accordance with such view. *Held* by the Judicial Committee on appeal from that decision, and from an order refusing a new trial, that the decision was not justified by the evidence, and must be reversed and a new trial granted. MUTTYLOLL SEAL *v.* O'DOWDA [4 Moore's I. A., 382

3. ——— **Suit to recover notes lost by gambling.**—*Act XXI of 1848.—Illegal consideration.—Bonâ fide holder for value.—Trust for specific purpose.*—The plaintiff, the manager of the Oriental Bank, placed in the hands of *D.*, a broker, thirteen Government currency notes for R1,000 each on *D.'s* representation that there was some Company's paper at a certain place which he could procure at a more reasonable rate than in the Calcutta market, if the money were given him to purchase it. If the Company's paper was not procurable, the notes were to be returned to the plaintiff. *D.* did not go to the place stipulated to purchase the Company's paper, but, meeting the defendant and others, he went into a house hired for gambling, and lost at cards, and paid away to the defendant some of the notes he had received from the plaintiff. The plaintiff now sued the defendant to recover the notes so entrusted to *D.*, on the allegation that they had been entrusted by him to *D.* for a specific purpose, and that the defendant was not a *bonâ fide* holder for value. He (the plaintiff) stated in evidence "that if the paper had been bought, he would either have taken the papers at the most favourable market price for the bank, or have sold them and given *D.* the profit." *Held*, the plaintiff was entitled to recover. The defendant was not a *bonâ fide* holder for value. *Per* PAUL, *J.*, in the Court below, and *per* NORMAN, *J.*, on appeal.—The notes were especially entrusted to *D.* for the purchase of the Company's paper. *Per* PHEAR, *J.*—Upon the case put forward by the plaintiff, the transaction was a short loan, and not a bailment, and did not bear the character of a trust. But upon the evidence the notes were the property of the bank, and remained so in *D.'s* hands, and therefore the plaintiff was entitled to recover on behalf of the bank. BULDEO NARAIN *v.* SCRYMGEOUR [6 B. L. R., 581

TRUST.

See ECCLESIASTICAL TRUST. [2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 12

See ENGLISH LAW—TRUST, DECLARATION OF— . . . 4 Mad., 460

TRUST—*continued.*

See HINDU LAW—WILL—CONSTRUCTION OF WILLS—SPECIAL CASES OF CONSTRUCTION—PERPETUITIES, TRUSTS, AND BEQUESTS TO A CLASS. [2 B. L. R., O. C., 1
4 B. L. R., O. C., 103

See CASES UNDER LIMITITION ACT, 1877, s. 10.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 113 (1871, ART. 113) . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 323

See WILL—CONSTRUCTION. [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 420
I. L. R., 9 Mad., 325

——— **Constructive—**

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 10. [I. L. R., 2 All., 361
I. L. R., 5 Mad., 91

——— **Disavowal of—**

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 144 (1871, ART. 145)—ADVERSE POSSESSION. [I. L. R., 1 All., 403

——— **Notice of—**

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 134 (1871, ART. 134). [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269

——— **Revocation of—**

See ONUS PROBANDI—TRUST, REVOCATION OF— . . . 10 B. L. R., 19

——— **Right to account of administration of—**

See ACCOUNT, SUIT FOR— [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 343

——— **For specific purpose.**

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 10 (1871, s. 10) . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 455, 897
[I. L. R., 6 Mad., 402

See TROVER . . 6 B. L. R., 581

1. ——— **Creation of trust.**—*Owner of property constituting himself trustee.—Father opening an account in name of his son.*—In order that the owner of a fund may constitute himself a trustee of it, he must either expressly declare himself a trustee, or must use language which, taken in connection with his acts, shows a clear intention on his part to divest himself of all beneficial interest in it, and to exercise dominion and control over it exclusively in the character of a trustee. From the single circumstance that an account has been opened by a father in his books in the name of his son, in which money is credited to the son, no presumption can be raised in India that the father intends to create a trust, in favour of his son, of the sums appearing in the account. ASHABAI *v.* TYEB HAJI RAHIMTULLA [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 115

TRUST.—Creation of trust—*continued.*

2. ——————— *Subsequent disposition by settler.—Disposition out of income.—Held* that where a trust has been once perfectly created, although there may have been no transmutation of possession, it cannot be defeated by any subsequent act of the settlor, and apparent dispositions of portions of the property afterwards made by him to particular members of a family, the individuals constituting which have, as a class, a beneficial interest in the whole, must be regarded, not as gifts to them for creations of new trusts in their favour which he had no power to make, but as the acts of a trustee, and available only to the extent of the shares to which such persons may be entitled. But this applies only to dispositions out of the principal of the fund, and not to payments made out of its income to particular members of the family for their maintenance, or other expenses, as there may be circumstances which would render it inequitable to take an account of the latter, so as to charge such persons with what they may have received beyond their respective shares. JAMSETJI JIJIBHAI v. SONABAI . . . . 2 Bom., 130: 2nd Ed., 133

3. ——————— *Invalid declaration of trust.—Intended transfer of property.—Incomplete gift.—Evidence of interested parties.*—The plaintiff, *H.*, was the daughter of one *K.*, deceased. *K.*, some two years before his death in 1866, contemplated conferring a bounty to the extent of R5,000 on each of his daughters, *M.* and *H.* For *M.* he bought a house at Zanzibar and settled it on her by a formal deed of settlement with various limitations. For *H.*, too, he at first intended to buy a house; but finding houses in Bombay were too dear, he purchased a Government promissory note of the nominal value of R5,000. The note was purchased in his own name, and a separate account of it opened in his books, headed "The account of one promissory note bearing 5 per cent. interest." This account he debited with all expenses over and above the R5,000 incurred in and about the purchase of the note, such as for premium, carriage hire, &c.,—charging, moreover, 9 per cent. interest on these items of debit (which interest he carried as a gain to his general interest account) and he credited the account with the interest collected on the note from time to time, allowing interest at 6 per cent. on these items of credit. He kept also a separate account of the proceeds of the note, headed "The account of interest on one promissory note for R5,000." The plaintiff stated that on the day when the note was bought her father *K.* brought it and showed it to her, saying, "This is your note; take it when you want it;" and that she left it in his custody, saying, "I will take the note when my sons grow up and do business." Corroborative evidence—which, however, differed in details from, and was in some respects inconsistent with, the evidence of the plaintiff—was given by the plaintiff's son and husband, as well as by a fourth witness not interested in the case. No interest was ever paid to the plaintiff by *K.* himself, although he lived for two years after the purchase of the note; but after *K.'s* death his son recognised some claim in the plaintiff

TRUST.—Creation of trust—*continued.*

to the income of the sum of R5,000 set apart by *K.*, and he paid her sums, equivalent to the proceeds of the note, with more or less regularity down to the date of his death. The note itself, however, he sold without communicating with the plaintiff, and appropriated to himself the sum realised by the sale, although he continued the account of interest on the note, and even headed that account in *H.'s* name. Later still, after the death of *K.'s* son, his grandsons, the defendants, made similar payments for some time, but irregularly, and finally they refused to pay anything further. The plaintiff sued for the note or its value, and for arrears of interest accrued due thereon, asserting that the evidence established a declaration of trust in respect of the note. *Held* that the evidence was insufficient to establish a valid declaration of trust, for while *K.'s* books of account might very well be held to corroborate the testimony of a trust which was itself of a satisfactory description, they were insufficient of themselves to establish such a trust; while the oral testimony—which, if taken together and accepted as reliable, might well suffice to establish the acknowledgment of a trust—contained such discrepancies and was so generally misty and uncertain in character that it ought not to be accepted unless corroborated by undisputed facts in the case incapable of being explained except on the hypothesis advanced by the plaintiff. *Per* SARGENT, *C. J.*—The equitable doctrine of the transfer of ownership by acknowledgment of trust, when it is sought to establish it by oral evidence, requires to be applied in this country with the greatest caution; and we cannot doubt that to allow an acknowledgment of trust to be established by the evidence of interested parties, speaking as to conversations which took place seventeen years ago without the corroboration derived from other evidence pointing irresistibly in the same direction, would be to introduce a most dangerous mode of appreciating evidence in this country, and would offer a direct encouragement to perjury. The suit dismissed, but without costs, *K.'s* intention that *H.* should have the benefit of the R5,000—to which, however, he had failed to give effect—being clear. HIRBAI v. JAN MAHOMED KHALAKDINA . I. L. R., 7 Bom., 229

4. ——————— *Gift.—Requisites to complete gift.—Donor constituting himself trustee for donee.—Enforcement of trust by representatives of donee.—Trustee, Liability of.*—The plaintiffs *M.* and *R.* were Parsis, and were married in the year 1851. The defendant was the widow of *B. M.*, who was the father of the plaintiff *R.* The plaintiffs sued to recover from the defendant certain Government promissory notes which they alleged had been presented by *B.* to *M.* at her marriage for her sole and separate use. They alleged that the said notes, then of the nominal value of R1,500, were endorsed in the name of the said *B.*, and had been deposited by him for safe custody with *M.'s* grandfather *J.*; that the said *B.* during his life used from time to time to receive the said notes from *J.* and draw the interest thereon for *M.*; that *B.* died in 1864, and that after his death the defendant, who was his widow and executrix, used to draw the interest for *M.*; that in 1869 she obtained possession of the said notes, and had

TRUST.—Creation of trust—*continued.*

ever since continued in possession thereof, informing the plaintiffs that she was duly keeping them and collecting the interest for *M.*; that the plaintiffs had been living with the defendant until shortly before the present suit, and having then separated from her, had called upon her to hand over the notes and the accumulated interest, which she refused to do. The defendant denied that her husband *B.* had ever presented *M.* with Government notes for her separate use. She alleged that the notes which had been deposited by *B.* with *J.* were her own separate property, and not *M.'s*; that she and her husband had dealt from time to time with them, and that no interest was ever paid to the plaintiffs, or either of them, or for their benefit. She further stated that some of the notes which had been deposited with *J.* had been disposed of by *B.* in his lifetime with her consent; that in 1869 she obtained the remaining notes from *J.* and sold them, and applied the proceeds to her own benefit. At the hearing it was proved that, on the occasion of the plaintiff's marriage, presents were made to *M.* both by her own family and by that of the bridegroom *B.* Two accounts were then opened in the books of the firm of *J. N. & Co.*, of which *M.'s* grandfather *J.* was a partner, one of which showed her acquisitions from her own family, and the other her acquisitions from the family of her husband. The latter account contained an entry (under date August 1854) to the effect that *B.*, the father-in-law of *M.*, had bought two Government notes for R1,500 in *M.'s* name, and had obtained the interest on them, which was duly credited to her. Other documents were produced, proved to be in the handwriting of *B.* and *J.*, in which the said Government notes were alluded to as the property of *M.*, and as having been purchased with her moneys. In 1864 *B.* died without having endorsed the notes over to *M.* or to any one in her behalf, and they remained in his name in the hands of *J.* until 1869, when the defendant got possession of them. *Held* that *B.* was liable to answer for the notes as a trustee, and after *B.* the defendant as his executrix and representative. In the documents put in evidence, *B.* alluded to the notes as *M.'s* property. His placing them, as he did, with *M.'s* grandfather, was itself an acknowledgment, according to the practice of the class to which he belonged, that the benefit was to be hers and her children's. He thus sufficiently admitted an obligation as trustee. The legal ownership was his, but he had acknowledged with sufficient clearness an obligation to hold and use the ownership for the benefit of another. Such a purpose clearly manifested constitutes a trust, and burdened with a trust the property passed from *B.* to the defendant as his representative, and could be enforced against her. *Held*, further, that having regard to the general practice among Parsis, the conduct of *B.* in relation to the notes showed that it was his intention that the property should be enjoyed in sole and separate use by *M.* and her children. MEHBAI *v.* PEROZEBAI

[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 268

5. ——— **Suit for declaration of trust.** —*Possession of trust property.—Breach of duty.*—In a suit for declaration of trust, with reference to

TRUST.—Suit for declaration of trust—*continued.*

lands, it must be shown that the party against whom the trust is prayed must have obtained a more or less rightful possession of the lands, but impressed with the obligation of a trust; that in a suit such as last mentioned, it must be shown that some duty *primâ facie* fell on the defendants, of which they were committing a breach. MUZHUR HOSSAIN *v.* DINORUNDO SEN . . . Bourke, O. C., 8: Cor., 94

6. ——— **Recognition of trust.**—*Deed of gift, Validity of.—Oudh Estates Act (I of 1869), s. 8.*—A taloukdar, deceased before annexation, had provided by will for the succession of his five widows, one at at a time, to his estate, with remainder to a son of his nephew. Settlement was made with the senior widow after the Mutiny, a sanad granted to her as taloukdar, with full power of alienation, and her name was afterwards entered in the lists prepared under section 8 of the Oudh Estates Act, 1869. But certain of her acts were not explicable except on the understanding that she was abiding by the will. *Held*, in a suit by the widow next in order, that such senior widow had undertaken the trust of carrying out the provisions of the will, and that a deed of gift made by her transferred only her interest, which was an estate for life. RAMANUND KUAR *v.* RAGHUNATH KUAR. ANUNT BAHADUR SINGH *v.* RAGHUNATH KUAR

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 769: 11 C. L. R., 149
L. R., 9 I. A., 41

7. ——— **Cessation of trust.**—*Cessation of performance by congregation of particular form of worship.—Commencement of different form of worship.*—If the congregation of a church as a body cease to follow the observances of a particular form of worship, and in preference for forty years follow those of a different form of worship, there would be no one left for whom and by whom the original form of worship can be continued, the objects of the original trust cease to exist, and the church funds and property become impressed with a trust for the performance of the later form of worship. MELLUS *v.* VICAR APOSTOLIC OF MALABAR

[I. L. R., 2 Mad., 295

8. ——— **Suit to enforce trust.**—*Suit for enforcing religious or charitable trusts.—Right of suit.—Pleading.—Security for costs.*—The representatives of a testator, who has created trusts for religious or charitable purposes, in which the representatives are not personally interested, may institute proceedings to have abuses in the trust rectified, there being no officer in this country who has such power of enforcing the due administration of religious or charitable trusts by information at the relation of some private individual, as is possessed by the Attorney General in England. A suit for this purpose should not be admitted unless the plaintiff gives sufficient security for costs. In order that a decree for an account may be made in favour of the plaintiff in such a suit, he must allege substantially in his plaint that which must be a distinct breach of trust;

TRUST.—Suit to enforce trust—*continued.*

it is not sufficient for him to make out a case of mere suspicion, or to rely on particular passages in the defendant's written statement. BROJOMOHUN DOSS *v.* HURROLOLL DOSS . I. L. R., 5 Calc., 700

9. ——— **Transfer of property on trust.**—*Transfer of property by convict sentenced to transportation.*—*B.* having been sentenced to transportation for life, presented a petition in the Revenue Court, in which, stating that he owned a certain zemindari estate, that he had been so sentenced, and that it was necessary to make arrangements for the payment of the Government revenue and the management of the estate, he prayed that his name might be removed from the revenue registers and that of *P.* be recorded in its stead. *Held* that the transfer of the property by *B.* to *P.* was in the nature of a trust. *Durga Prasad* v. *Asa Ram, I. L. R., 2 All., 361,* referred to. HAIT RAM *v.* DURGA PRASAD . . . . I. L. R., 5 All., 609

10. ——— **Property held on trust.**—*Assignment by trustees.—Limitation.*—In 1870 the purchasers and recorded proprietors of a four biswas share of a certain village caused a statement to be recorded in the village record of rights to the effect that *B.* claimed to be the proprietor of a moiety of such share, and that they were willing to admit his right whenever he paid them a moiety of the sum which they had paid in respect of the arrears of revenue due on such share. In 1848 *M.* purchased such share and became its recorded proprietor. In 1877 *K.*, the son of *B.*, sued the representative of *M.*, for possession of a moiety of such share, alleging, with reference to the statement recorded in the record of rights, that such moiety had vested in *M.*'s assignors in trust to surrender it to *B.* or his heirs on payment of a moiety of the sum they had paid on account of revenue, and paying into Court a moiety of such sum. *Held* that that statement could not be regarded as evidence of the alleged trust, and that, assuming that the alleged trust existed, the suit was barred by limitation, *M.* having purchased without notice of the trust and for valuable consideration. KAMAL SINGH *v.* HATUL FATIMA . . I. L. R., 2 All., 460

11. ——— *Holder of missing person's estate.—Possession.*—The possession by the widow or some other member of the family, of a missing person's estate may, in the absence of an indication of its being adverse, be considered to be that of a trustee until the expiry of the term fixed for his return. NARAIN SAHAI *v.* POSOO . . 2 Agra, 78

12. ——— *Absconding shareholder.—Custom for his share to be considered as held in trust for a certain time.—Failure to reclaim share.*—The plaintiffs sued to recover a share in a village on the allegation that it had been taken by the other shareholders of the village in trust for their father, according to custom, on his absconding from the village by reason of his inability to pay his quotum of Government revenue. The only evidence of the custom was a provision in a wajib-ul-urz that the share of a person who absconded should be held in

TRUST.—Property held on trust—*continued.*

trust for him for twelve years only. *Held* that, as the father of the plaintiffs did not reclaim his share within twelve years, the plaintiffs' right was forfeited. NAHANA *v.* DYA RAM . . 5 N. W., 170

13. ——— *Wajib-ul-urz.—Absconding co-sharer.*—Where a clause of the wajib-ul-urz of a village stated in general terms that absconders from such village should receive back their property on their return, and certain persons who absconded from such village before such wazib-ul-urz was framed sued to enforce such clause against the purchaser of their property from the co-sharer who had taken possession of it on their absconding, and who was no party to such wajib-ul-urz, alleging that their property had vested in such co-sharer in trust for them,—*Held* that before such co-sharer could be taken to have held their property as a trustee, there must be evidence that he accepted such trust, and this fact could not be taken as proved by the wajib-ul-arz. PIAREY LAL *v.* SALIGA [I. L. R., 2 All., 394

14. ——— *Wajib-ul-urz.—Absent shareholders.*—*Held* that a village administration-paper which provides for the surrender to absent shareholders on their return to the village of the lands formerly held by them does not necessarily constitute a valid trust in their favour, although it may be evidence of such a trust. Where a village administration-paper provided for the surrender to certain absent shareholders on their return to the village of the lands formerly held by them, but did not contain any declaration of a trust as existing between such absent shareholders and the occupiers of their lands at the time such administration-paper was framed,—*Held* that the administration-paper could not be regarded as evidence of a pre-existing trust between such persons, nor as an admission of such a trust by such occupiers. HARBHAI *v.* GUMANI [I. L. R., 2 All., 493

15. ——— *Absent co-sharer.—Wajib-ul-urz.*—*S.* and his brother owned an 8 annas share of a village, and *H.* and *D.* owned the other 8 annas share, the parties being related to each other by blood. In 1865 (Sambat 1921), at the settlement of the village, the following statement was recorded by the settlement officer in the wajib-ul-urz at the instance of *H.* and *D.*, with whom the settlement was made, *S.* and his brother being absent from the village and having been absent for some ten years: "We, *H.* and *D.*, are equal sharers of one 8 annas and *S.* and (his brother) of the other 8 annas in the village according to descent: ten years ago *S.* and (his brother) went away into Orai; their present residence is not known: they have not left woman, child, or heir of any kind in the village: on that account the entire 16 annas of the village are in possession of us, *H.* and *D.* At the time of the preparation of the khewat we made a gift of 4 annas of our own eight annas to *P.*, and have given him possession of 4 annas of the 8 annas belonging to *S.* and (his brother), keeping the remaining four annas in our own possession: when *S.* and

TRUST.—Property held on trust—*continued.*

(his brother) return to the village, we three who are in possession shall give up the 8 annas share of the aforesaid persons." In March 1880 *S.* sued *P.* for possession of the 4 annas mentioned in the wajib-ul-urz as having been made over to him by *H.* and *D.* out of the 8 annas share belonging to *S.* and his brother. He based his suit upon the wajib-ul-urz, but did not expressly state that the share in suit had been entrusted to *H.* and *D.* on the understanding that it should be returned to him when he reclaimed it. The lower Appellate Court dismissed the suit as barred by limitation, on the ground that *P.'s* possession of the share in suit became adverse in 1866 or 1867, more than twelve years before the institution of the suit, when *S.*, having returned to the village, had claimed the share and *P.* had refused to surrender it. On second appeal it was contended by *S.* that under the terms of the wajib-ul-urz *P.'s* possession was that of a trustee, and his possession could not be held to be adverse. *Per* SPANKIE, *J.*—That inasmuch as there was no direct evidence that the share in suit had been entrusted by *S.* to *H.* and *D.* on the understanding that it should be returned to him when he reclaimed it, and as such a trust could not be implied from the terms of the wajib-ul-urz, which amounted to nothing more than an acknowledgment of *S.'s* title and an offer to surrender possession when he returned, and as when he did return in 1866 or 1867 *P.* refused to surrender possession, *S.* was bound to have sued to recover the share in suit within twelve years from the date of such refusal, and as he had failed to do so, the suit was barred by limitation. *Per* PEARSON, *J.*—That although no mention was made in the wajib-ul-urz of such a trust as was contended for, yet the terms of that document strongly suggested the creation of such a trust. Having regard to the terms of the wajib-ul-urz, and to the fact that *S.* and his brother were not strangers to *H.* and *D.*, nor merely co-sharers, but near blood-relations, probably residing together on the same premises and partners in agricultural labours, further inquiry should be made with the view of elucidating the nature of the acquisition of *H.* and *D.* of the share and of their subsequent possession. SIRDAR SAINBY *v.* PIRAN SINGH . I. L. R., 3 All., 453

16. ——— **Retirement and disability of trustees.**—*Effect of, on trust.*—Where property is assigned to trustees by an insolvent trader for the purpose of having it equally distributed among his creditors, such a trust does not become inoperative by reason of the retirement of two out of three trustees, and of the inability of the third to discharge his duties properly. BAUMGARTNER *v.* STEPHENSON [8 Agra, 321

17. ——— **Creditor's trust-fund.**—*Unclaimed dividends, Suit for distribution of.*—Where a creditor's trust-deed contained no provision for redistribution of unclaimed dividends, and a suit was brought by the representatives of one of the creditors, party to the deed, for the administration and distribution of funds in the defendant's possession allotted to other creditors by way of dividends, but

TRUST.—Creditor's trust-fund—*continued.*

unclaimed by them for forty years,—*Held* that the plaintiff was not entitled to such relief. *Wilde* v. *Banning, L. R., 2 Eq., 577*, distinguished. MANICKAVELU MUDALI *v.* ARBUTHNOT & Co. [I. L. R., 4 Mad., 404

18. ——— **Resulting trust.**—*Intention of party.—Implied trust, Presumption of.*—Suit brought to recover possession of a talook, upon the alleged ground that the moneys with which the purchase was made were not the moneys of the person in whose name the property was bought, but of a lady with whom he was living as her husband, and that there was a resulting trust in her favour. The Privy Council considered that the very principle of a resulting trust was that the property had been purchased with money belonging to another, with an implied trust that it should belong to that other person to whom the money also belonged; but that if it was the intention of the person to whom the money belonged that there should be no such trust, no such implied trust could arise by implication, and the presumption would then be met by the facts. AMEEROONNISSA KHANUM *v.* ASHRUFFOONNISSA . 17 W. R., 259: 14 Moore's I. A., 433

19. ——— *Statute of Frauds.—Stat. 29 Charles II., c. 3.*—The plaintiff, who was the widow of *G.*, sued the defendant, the executrix of *J.*, to recover a sum of R7,394-9-6, part of the purchase-money of a house which had been sold by *J.* in his lifetime, and which the plaintiff alleged had been, shortly before his death, conveyed by her husband *G.* to *J.* in trust to sell and hold the proceeds in trust for *G.'s* family. The defendant denied the trust and insisted that *J.* had purchased the house from *G.* for valuable consideration. Both *J.* and *G.* were Parsis. *Held* that, even assuming that no consideration was given by *J.* to *G.* for the house, the plaintiff was not entitled to succeed. In the absence of consideration, the trust of the house, which was admittedly conveyed by *G.* to *J.*, would have resulted to *G.*, unless, under the provisions of section 7 of the Statute of Frauds (29 Charles II., Cap. 3), he (*G.*) had declared in writing some other trust which was to supersede the resulting trust in his own favour. No such declaration of trust in writing was proved. If, on the other hand, the trust did result to *G.*, he, no doubt, might, as equitable owner of the house, have disposed of his interest by will. If he did so, the plaintiff had not qualified herself to sue as his representative. Probate had not been obtained of the will, and until the will was proved it could not be said that *G.* had made a particular declaration of trust by it. Nor without probate could the plaintiff take up the position of legal representative of her deceased husband entitled to enforce his rights, and, amongst others, his rights under the supposed resulting trust. Except as executrix or as administratrix the plaintiff could not recover property, or enforce rights equitably vested in her deceased husband. BAI MANEKBAI *v.* BAI MERBAI . . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 363

TRUST—*continued.*

20. ——— Breach of trust.—*Parties.—Defaulting trustees.—Breach of trust beneficial to trust-estate.*—The Court will not, at the instance of one of two defaulting trustees, declare the liabilities arising from a breach of trust without having all the parties concerned before it. Nor will the Court pass an order which might in any way tend to be construed as an assent to a breach of trust already committed, even though the breach may have been beneficial to the trust-estate. BARRY v. STEEL [1 C. L. R., 80

21. ——— Revocation of trust.—*Voluntary settlement.*—*A.*, being at the time unmarried, executed a voluntary settlement by which he created trusts for himself for life, and after his death for his issue and widows (if any), with ultimate trusts over. The deed contained a provision empowering *A.* at any time, with the consent of the trustee, to revoke the trusts, and to declare any new or other trusts. *A.* subsequently married, and, after his marriage, executed a deed of revocation, declaring that the trust-property should be held for himself absolutely. The trustees refused to hand over the trust-property, and *A.* thereupon instituted a suit to have the trust set aside. His wife was a minor, and there was no issue of the marriage. *Held* that, although there might be cases in which, where no other person but the settlor was interested, the deed might be regarded as a mere direction as to the manner in which the settlor's property should be applied for his benefit, and as such revocable by the settlor, yet that, in the present case, there being an infant beneficiary, the deed could not be revoked. GOLAM YASSIN v. OFFICIAL TRUSTEE OF BENGAL [I. L. R., 8 Calc., 887

TRUST-DEED FOR BENEFIT OF CREDITORS.

See BILL OF EXCHANGE. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 174

See DEBTOR AND CREDITOR. [11 Moore's I. A., 317
3 Agra, 104, 321
6 Bom., A. C., 245
1 Bom., 233
I. L. R., 7 Bom., 101

TRUST-PROPERTY.

See COURT FEES ACT, SCH. I, ART. 11. [6 B. L. R., Ap., 138
11 B. L. R., Ap., 39
7 B. L. R., 57
14 B. L. R., 184

TRUSTEE.

See COSTS—SPECIAL CASES—TRUSTEES. [13 B. L. R., 363
I. L. R., 11 Calc., 628

See EXECUTOR . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 388

See HINDU LAW—ENDOWMENT—CREATION OF ENDOWMENT. [14 B. L. R., 175
I. L. R., 9 Bom., 169
I. L. R., 4 Calc., 56

TRUSTEE—*continued.*

See HINDU LAW—ENDOWMENT—SUCCESSION IN MANAGEMENT. [5 B. L. R., 181
I. L. R., 7 Mad., 499

See HINDU LAW—ENDOWMENT—TRANSFER OF RIGHT OF WORSHIP. [3 C. L. R., 112

See INSOLVENT ACT, s. 40. [I. L. R., 3 All., 799

See CASES UNDER LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 10 (1871, s. 10; 1859, s. 2).

See MALABAR LAW—JOINT FAMILY. [I. L. R., 2 Mad., 328
I. L. R., 1 Mad., 153

See OUDH ESTATES ACT. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 522, 645

See PARTIES—PARTIES TO SUITS—DEBTOR AND CREDITOR, SUITS BETWEEN— [I. L. R., 3 All., 799

See CASES UNDER TRUST.

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF— [7 B. L. R., 113

See WILL—CONSTRUCTION. [4 B. L. R., O. C., 53
I. L. R., 5 Calc., 228

——— Constructive—

See INSOLVENCY—ORDER AND DISPOSITION . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 542

——— Claim to immoveable property against—

See CERTIFICATE OF ADMINISTRATION—RIGHT TO SUE OR EXECUTE DECREE WITHOUT CERTIFICATE. [I. L. R., 2 Calc., 45

See WILL—CONSTRUCTION. [I. L. R., 2 Calc., 45

——— Distinction between trustee and director.

See COMPANY—POWERS, DUTIES, AND LIABILITIES OF DIRECTORS. [6 B. L. R., 278

——— of temple, Breach of trust by—

See JURISDICTION OF CRIMINAL COURT.—GENERAL JURISDICTION. [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 55

——— Suit by—

See HINDU LAW—WILL—CONSTRUCTION OF WILLS—SPECIAL CASES OF CONSTRUCTION—VESTED AND CONTINGENT INTERESTS . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269

1. ——— Relinquishment by one trustee.—*Effect of relinquishment.*—In a contest between three trustees or managers of an endowment,

TRUSTEE.—Relinquishment by one trustee—*continued.*

each entitled to a third share in the profits of the property, if one of them withdraws from the contest, his share is held to have been relinquished in favour of the remaining partners, and to have merged in the general account to be rendered by the trustees or managers. BUZL RUHIM v. LUTAFUT HOSSEIN. KHODEJOONNISSA BIBEE v. LUTAFUT HOSSEIN . . . . . W. R., 1864, 171

2. ——— **Breach of trustees' duty.**—*Mixing trust funds with money of trustees.—Commission on trust moneys.*—It is a grave breach of duty in trustees, or administrators taking out letters of administration, to estates in this country under powers of attorney from executors or next of kin abroad, to mix the incomes raised by them from trust properties, or the funds of the estate, in one common fund with their own moneys, and such a course of dealing may expose the trustees or administrators to criminal as well as civil liabilities. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF COWIE

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 70: 7 C. L. R., 19

3. ——— **Appointment of new trustees.**—*Probate.—Executors.—Executors alienating property of their testator's estate before obtaining probate.—Title of alienees to such property.—Right of holder of property to vote at election of trustee before obtaining probate.—Trustee elected by debenture-holders.—Meeting of debenture-holders to elect a trustee.—Exclusion from meeting of holders of debentures obtained from executors before probate.—Validity of election of trustee elected at meeting from which such debenture-holders were excluded.*—In order to secure certain money which it had borrowed by the issue of debentures, the *D.* Company on the 23rd November 1883 conveyed certain lands, &c., to three trustees, *K.*, *G.*, and *D.*, by way of mortgage. With regard to the appointment of new trustees in case any trustee should die, &c., the indenture of mortgage provided that, in certain events, the surviving or continuing trustees might convene a meeting of the debenture-holders for the purpose of nominating a new trustee; and that at such meeting the election of such new trustee should be decided by a majority of votes of the debenture-holders present in person, each party having only one vote, and in case of an equality of votes, then the chairman of the meeting should have a casting vote. *K.*, one of the trustees appointed under the deed, died on the 9th February 1886, leaving a will whereby he appointed three executors. At the time of his death *K.* was the holder of one moiety of the debentures, *viz.*, 1,400 debentures of the value of R7,00,000. The two remaining trustees, *G.* and *D.*, called a meeting of the debenture-holders for the 27th February 1886 to elect a trustee. Previously to the meeting and for the purpose of having the large interests of *K.'s* estate adequately represented, the executors of *K.* distributed some of the debentures in their hands, belonging to *K.'s* estate, among nominees for the purpose of voting at the meeting; and they also sold some of the debentures. Among the persons to whom debentures were sold were the first three plaintiffs. Pursuant

TRUSTEE.—Appointment of new trustees—*continued.*

to the notice convening the meeting, the plaintiffs and other persons, to whom debentures belonging to the estate of *K.* had been given or sold, presented themselves and claimed to attend the meeting; but none of them, except the three executors (plaintiffs 4, 5, and 6) of *K.* were allowed to attend, and they were admitted only in their capacity as executors. Defendant No. 1 was chairman of the meeting, and he ruled that the three executors had a joint right, in their capacity as executors, to give one vote upon any proposition that might be submitted to the meeting. At the meeting it was proposed that the holders of the debentures, who claimed admission to the meeting, should be permitted to attend. The chairman ruled the motion irrelevant, and would not allow it to be put. The executors, therefore, withdrew from the meeting. After they had withdrawn, the third defendant, *P.*, was elected a trustee. At the date of the meeting the executors had not obtained probate of *K.'s* will. On behalf of the defendants it was contended that *P.'s* election was valid; and that the persons to whom the executors had given or sold debentures belonging to *K.'s* estate had been properly excluded from the meeting of the 27th February, inasmuch as the executors had not at that time obtained probate, and, consequently, the title of their alienees to the debentures was still incomplete. *Held* that *P.* (defendant No. 3), had not been validly appointed a trustee to the indenture of the 23rd November 1883. Under that indenture, debenture-holders had the right to vote; and the debentures were payable to bearer. The fact that the executors had not at the date of the meeting obtained probate did not affect the rights of those to whom they had given or sold debentures, and such persons had, consequently, been improperly excluded from the meeting. MATHURADAS LOWJI v. GOOULDAS MADHOWJI

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 468

4. ——— **Breach of trust.**—*Liability of passive trustee.*—A trustee who, having accepted a trust, remains passive and takes no steps to see the trust carried into execution, is liable for losses arising from the breach of trust of his co-trustee. BAI JADAV v. TRIBHUVANDAS JAGJIVANDAS

[9 Bom., 333

5. ——— **Fiduciary relationship.**—*Assignment by married woman.*—*L. M.* died in 1856, having bequeathed certain personal property to *J. S.*, who then and at the time of the subsequently-mentioned suit was a married woman, and who executed a power of attorney, authorising *O. G. & Co.* to receive payment of the legacy, and to execute a settlement of a portion of the same according to articles contained in the power. This settlement was made, and under it a portion of the legacy was assigned to trustees, who did not execute the deed or undertake the trust, and no other trustee was substituted for them. *O. G. & Co.* at various times advanced money to *J. S.*, and in acknowledgment received promissory notes from her for a portion of such advances; and in a suit by *O. G. & Co.* to recover the amount of these advances, it was held that

TRUSTEE.—Fiduciary relationship—*continued.*

O. G. & Co., standing in a fiduciary relation to *J. S.*, before they could avail themselves of her acts, must show that she did them with a full knowledge of the circumstances of the case and of her own position with regard to it. SMITH *v.* STEWART [Bourke, O. C., 292

TRUSTEE AND CESTUI QUE TRUST.

1. ——— **Cause of action.**—*Adverse possession.—Limitation.*—When property is placed in the hands of another by way of trust, no cause of action arises to the owner until there has been a demand by the owner for the restoration of the property and a refusal by the trustee to give up the property. The period of limitation begins to run from the date of such refusal or distinct assertion of adverse right, and not from the date the trustee enters into possession. RAKHALDAS MADAK *v.* MADHUSUDHAN MADAK [3 B. L. R., A. C., 409: 12 W. R., 319

2. ——— **Suit to set aside alienations by trustee.**—*Bonâ fide purchasers.*—A suit brought by a *cestui que* trust to set aside as fraudulent certain alienations made by the trustee was dismissed by the lower Appellate Court as barred by limitation, merely on the ground that more than twelve years had, at the commencement of the suit, elapsed since the execution of such deeds of alienation. *Held* (1) that this was not sufficient, and that the Court should have tried whether the purchasers were cognisant at the time of their purchase of a subsisting trust affecting the property, for if so they would have taken it subject to the trust, and would stand in the shoes of the original trustee, and would not be *bonâ fide* purchasers from trustees entitled to the benefit of the law of limitation; (2) that if the trustee had power to make valid grants, the grantees would have a perfectly good title, if they took for valuable consideration, without notice of the trust. LUTEEFUN *v.* BEGO JAN. BEGO JAN *v.* CHERAG ALI [5 W. R., 120

3. ——— **Suit for mesne profits where estates had been under care of Court of Wards.**—*Cause of action.—Fiduciary relationship.*—Plaintiff, the zemindar of Shivaganga, sued to recover two villages which she alleged formed part of the Shivaganga zemindari. The villages originally belonged to *P.*, mother of the present defendant, *B.*, the ex-zemindar of Shivaganga. In 1856 they were purchased by the Court of Wards on behalf of *B.*, who was then a minor, with part of the rents and profits of the zemindari, and in 1860 were given by him to his mother. In 1864 *B.* was ousted by a decree of the Privy Council, and became liable to the present plaintiff for the mesne profits of the zemindari. In the account taken of mesne profits due, the amount expended on the purchase of these villages was excluded by plaintiff's consent from the sum debited to the ex-zemindar. Plaintiff now sued *P.*, and, she dying, the suit was continued against *B.* as her representative. *Held* that there being in the decree of the Privy Council nothing directly giving a

TRUSTEE AND CESTUI QUE TRUST.—Suit for mesne profits where estates had been under care of Court of Wards—*continued.*

right to maintain the suit, there was but one ground upon which the suit could be supposed to lie,—namely, the existence of the relation of trustee and beneficiary between the Collector and the plaintiff at the time of the purchase. *Held*, also, that such relation did not exist. KATTAMA NACHIAR *v.* BOTHAGURUSAMI TEVAR . . . . . 6 Mad., 293

TRUSTEE ACT.

——— **(XXIV of 1841).**—*Application for appointment of new trustees.*—Trustees were appointed for a company in 1845, and the partnership was to last twenty years, which expired on December 31st, 1864. The shareholders thereupon appointed *S.*, a new trustee, to sell the business, and he sold it to *R.* The old trustees had left the country. In an application, with the consent of all parties, under Act XXIV of 1841, that *S.* might sign the deed of transfer, the Court held that it was necessary to show that the old trustees had no lien on any other property in the concern before the order asked for could be made. IN THE MATTER OF FORT GLOUCESTER MILLS CO. . Bourke, O. C., 260

——— **(XXVII of 1866), s. 3.**—*Hindu trusts.—Equitable jurisdiction of High Court.—Appointment of new trustee.—Supreme Court Charter, 1823.*—The High Court may exercise the summary powers conferred upon it by the Trustee Act (XXVII of 1866) in the case of Hindu trusts. Section 3 of the Trustee Act, which provides that the power and authority given by the Act to the High Court shall be exercised only "in cases to which English law is applicable," cannot be intended to limit the operation of the Act only to cases to which, in their whole extent, the law prevailing in England applies without qualification or reserve, as this would virtually exclude the Act in any case on which an Act of the Indian Legislature has any bearing. The cases referred to in the section must be cases to which English law is *in some measure* applicable, but in what measure is not indicated in the Act. English law must be regarded as applicable in the sense intended if the principles recognised by the English Equity Courts are applicable. At the date of the grant of the Charter to the Supreme Court of Bombay in the year 1823, English equity had become a system which would deal with a body of *quasi*-common law in a scientific manner and in obedience to known and uniform rules. When it applied its method to the determination or the constitution of a right, even based on the Hindu or Mahomedan law, it administered English law. In this sense "English law was applicable" at the date of the passing of the Trustee Act of 1866 to all cases in which peculiarly equitable doctrines had obtained recognition in the relations between the native inhabitants of Bombay. Those doctrines could not be employed to subvert the native substantive laws, but they afforded a means of ameliorating them by a system

TRUSTEE ACT (XXVII of 1866, s. 3— *continued.*

of rules borrowed from the English Court of Equity. Trusts are recognised in the Hindu as well as in the English system of law. But while the substantive Hindu law insists strongly on the suppression of fraud and the fulfilment of promises, it fails to furnish the detailed rules by which effect is to be given to its principles in cases of trust. If the Court is called on to give effect to a trust in any given case, it looks to the Hindu law of property to determine the estate of the trustee, but with reference to the duties of trustee and the rights of beneficiaries it is governed by the rules of English equity. There are no others that it can apply. In meeting an exigency, or in taking cognisance of a form of right not directly provided for in the Shastras, the Court, in exercising its jurisdiction under section 41 of the Charter of 1823, may apply Hindu law. But, taking Hindu law as one of its data, it applies "English law" also in the form of equity to all or nearly all the questions that arise. IN RE KAHANDAS NARRANDAS . I. L. R., 5 Bom., 154

——— ss. 20 & 32.—*Appointment of person to convey property on behalf of persons out of the jurisdiction and under other disabilities.*—Where property has been, by an order of Court, directed to be sold, and where some of the parties interested in such property are either out of the jurisdiction, married women, or minors, and the place of abode of others of them is unknown, the Court will, on petition, under the Trustee Act, appoint a person to convey the interest of such persons to any purchaser, notwithstanding that, at the time the order is applied for, no contract for the sale of the property has been entered into. But the Court cannot make such an order with respect to the interest of a party who has not been served, and who has not entered appearance. LACKERSTEEN *v.* ROSTAN [I. L. R., 7 Calc., 32

——— s. 35.—*Application for removal of trustee.—Ground for removal.—Stat. 13 & 14 Vict., c. 60, s. 32.*—Where a petition was presented to the High Court praying for the removal, under section 35, Act XXVII of 1866, of certain trustees of a will, on the grounds, *inter alia*, of misappropriation, waste, and breach of trust, and for the appointment of new ones,—*Held* that the matters alleged were much too grave to be disposed of on a mere application, and that as the respondents opposed the appointment of new trustees, the petitioners should institute a regular suit. Act XXVII of 1866, section 35, is analogous to 13 and 14 Victoria, Cap. 60, section 32. The Courts in this country ought, in analogy to the rulings of the English Court of Chancery, to refuse jurisdiction under this section on a mere application alleging misconduct or any other cause, when the trustees whom it is sought to remove are willing to act, and refer the applicant to a suit. IN THE GOODS OF POWELL . . . 6 N. W., 54

TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGEES ACT.

——— **(XXVIII of 1866), s. 43.—** *Administrator General.—Taking opinion of Court on question respecting the administration.—Question*

TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGEES ACT (XXVIII of 1866), s. 43—*continued.*

affecting rights of parties inter se.—Refusal of Court to express opinion.—The Administrator General of Bombay having taken out letters of administration (having effect throughout the Bombay Presidency) to the estate of one *A. B.*, deceased, and having a balance in his hands to the credit of the said estate after having fully administered the same, was applied to by *G. B.*, the brother of the said *A. B.*, deceased, who had taken out letters of administration in England to the estate of his deceased brother, to hand over to him, the said *G. B.*, the balance in question, —the said *G. B.* claiming to be the administrator of the domicile of the deceased, and, as such, to be entitled to all the personal assets of his estate wheresoever situate. Being in doubt as to whether he might safely accede to the request, the Administrator General of Bombay, by petition under section 43 of the Trustees and Mortgagees Act, XXVIII of 1866, submitted the question to the High Court for its opinion, advice, and direction. *Held* that the question being one of considerable difficulty and importance, and involving, moreover, in its decision questions which might seriously affect the rights of parties *inter se*, it was not a question such as was contemplated by section 43 of the Trustees and Mortgagees Act, XXVIII of 1866, nor one upon which the Court ought to give any opinion merely on an *ex parte* petition of this character. IN RE THE GOODS OF BERRETON. IN THE MATTER OF THE TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGES ACT, 1866 . . . . I. L. R., 7 Bom., 381

TURN OF WORSHIP OF IDOL, RIGHT TO—

See DAMAGES—SUITS FOR DAMAGES—TORTS . . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 390

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 131 (1871, ART. 131) . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 683
[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 807
6 B. L. R., 352: 15 W. R., 29

U

UMPIRE, INCAPACITY TO ACT AS—

See ARBITRATION—APPOINTMENT OF ARBITRATORS AND UMPIRES.
[4 B. L. R., O. C., 89

UNCHASTITY.

See HINDU LAW—MAINTENANCE—RIGHT TO MAINTENANCE—WIDOW.
[12 B. L. R., 238
I. L. R., 1 Bom., 559
I. L. R., 7 Bom., 84
I. L. R., 9 Bom., 106

See HINDU LAW—STRIDHAN—EFFECT OF UNCHASTITY . I. L. R., 1 All., 46

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WIDOW—DISQUALIFICATIONS—UNCHASTITY.

UNDERTAKING NOT TO SUE.

See ARREST—CIVIL ARREST.
[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 78

UNDERTAKING NOT TO SUE—*continued*

See WARRANT OF ARREST—CRIMINAL CASES . 2 B L. R., A. Cr., 17

UNDER-TENURE

See JURISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT—REVENUE COURTS—ORDERS OF REVENUE COURTS B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 625

See CASES UNDER SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT—PORTION OF UNDER TENURE, SALE OF—

See CASES UNDER SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT—SALE OF UNDER TENURE

——— Avoidance of—

See CASES UNDER SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT—INCUMBRANCES

——— Suit to cancel—

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART 121 (1871, ART 119) . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 860

UNDERWRITER, LIABILITY OF—

See INSURANCE—MARINE INSURANCE [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 550 12 Bom., 23

UNDUE INFLUENCE.

See CHAMPERTY 13 B L. R., 509

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—INVALID SALES . 1 B. L. R., A. C., 95 [Cor., 57 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 450

See WILL—VALIDITY OF WILL [I. L. R., 7 Mad., 515

——— Onus of proof.—*Third party not in confidential relationship*—A third person who, stands in no confidential relation to a grantor who is under age is not bound in the first instance to show that undue influence was used in a transaction The subject of undue influence considered RAJ COOMAR ROY *v* ALFUZUDDIN AHMED 8 C L. R., 419

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.

1. ——— Penal Code, s. 141.—*Rioting—Assembly originally lawful*—An assembly, lawful in its inception, may become unlawful by its acts If force is used, the higher offence of rioting is committed QUEEN *v.* KHEMEE SINGH [1 W. R., Cr., 19

2. ——— *Common object.*—In order to convict of the offence of being members of an unlawful assembly, it must be shown that the accused were actuated by a common object, and that the acts done by them were of such a nature as to make them guilty under section 141 of the Penal Code QUEEN *v* DINOBUNDO RAI [9 W. R., Cr., 19

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF KOYLASH CHUNDER DASS . 20 W. R., Cr., 78

3. ——— and s. 154—*Owner of land on which unlawful assembly is held*—*Common object*—*Held* that the owner or occupier of land on which an unlawful assembly is held cannot be convicted under section 154 of the Penal Code, unless there is a finding that the riot was premeditated. Where two opposite factions commit a riot, it is irregular to treat both parties as constituting one unlawful assembly, and to try them together, inasmuch as they do not have "one common object" within the meaning of section 141 of the Penal Code QUEEN *v* SUROOP CHUNDER PAUL [12 W. R., Cr., 75

4. ——— *Affray and unlawful assembly*—There is no ground for the distinction between an unlawful assembly as a premeditated act and an affray as a sudden one, for according to section 141 of the Penal Code, an assembly which was not unlawful when it assembled may subsequently become an unlawful assembly. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF LOKENATH KAR [18 W R., Cr., 2

5. ——— *Maintenance of rights—Intention of parties*—No charge of being members of an unlawful assembly under section 141, Penal Code, can be sustained, where the intention of the parties was not to enforce a right or supposed right, but to maintain undisturbed the actual subsisting enjoyment of a right which was at that time being exercised SHUNKER SINGH *v* BURMAH MAHTO [23 W R., Cr, 25

6. ——— *Person joining it and staying to prevent mischief to property*—It cannot be said that a person intentionally joins an unlawful assembly or continues in it, when it appears from the evidence that he went to the place where the members of the unlawful assembly were gathered, to prevent mischief being done to his own property which he had a right to protect BIRJOO SINGH *v* KHUB LALL . . . . 19 W. R., Cr., 66

7. ——— *Ryots carrying away crops*—Where the defendants, ryots of a portion of a zemindari sold in execution of a decree of the Civil Court, reaped and carried away their crops despite the purchaser's people, and refused to allow the purchaser's people to seal and mark grain which had been reaped, and the ryots were assembled in such numbers and so armed that nothing could be done against them,—*Held* by the High Court that the acts of the defendants did not amount to an offence under section 141 of the Penal Code ANONYMOUS . . 4 Mad., Ap., 95

8. ——— cl. 4.—*Interrupting procession as a nuisance*—*Held* that the act of the defendants in assembling and forcibly interrupting a procession was forbidden by clause 4 of section 141 of the Penal Code, although the defendants acted upon the ground that the procession was a nuisance or annoyance to them or their community. ANONYMOUS . . 5 Mad., Ap., 6

9. ——— s. 143.—*Dispute as to possession of land*—*Assembly going with armed*

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.—Penal Code, s. 143—*continued.*

men to sow land.—On the trial of certain persons charged with being members of an unlawful assembly, it was proved that there was a dispute of long standing between the accused and certain other parties regarding the possession of certain land; that neither of the parties was in undisturbed possession of the land; that the accused went to sow the land with indigo, accompanied by a body of men armed with lattics; that they were prepared to use force, if necessary; and that the lattials kept off the opposite party by brandishing their weapons while the land was sowed. *Held* that the accused were rightly convicted of being members of an unlawful assembly, under section 143 of the Penal Code. *Shunker Singh* v. *Burmah Mahto, 28 W. R., Cr., 25,* distinguished. IN THE MATTER OF PEARY MOHUN SIRCAR. PEARY MOHUN SIRCAR v. EMPRESS

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 639

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF PEARY MOHUN SIRKAR

[13 C. L. R., 80

10. ——————— and s. 353.—*Using criminal force to public servant in execution of duty.—Resistance to search-warrant.*—Where the officer in charge of a police station required the officer in charge of another police station to cause a search to be made in a house within the limits of his station, and such officer, on being required, deputed two officers subordinate to him to make the search without delivering to them the order in writing required by section 379 of Act X of 1872, it was held that the persons resisting the search attempted could not be lawfully convicted under sections 353 and 143 of the Penal Code. QUEEN v. NARAIN

[7 N. W., 209

11. ——————— s. 147.—*Rioting.—Abating nuisance.—A.,* a joint owner of a parcel of land, erected on it an edifice without the consent of *B.,* another joint owner. A dispute arose and the Magistrate on inquiry ordered, under section 530 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, *A.* to be put in possession of the part of the land on which the edifice had been erected. *B.* subsequently brought a suit in the Civil Court to establish his title to joint possession of the whole parcel, and for a declaration that *A.* was not entitled to erect any edifice thereon; and he further prayed that such edifice should be removed. *B.* obtained a decree, whereupon his servants went on the land and pulled it down. They were charged before the Deputy Magistrate with having committed mischief, and on this conviction and fined. On the 8th October the accused, who were the servants of *B.,* found the men in the employ of *A.* were putting up this erection, a nawbat-khana, again, and accordingly protested against its erection, pulled down the bamboos, thrust aside the servants of *A.,* throwing to the ground one man who was clinging to the bamboos. On the 9th October 1877 these servants were charged before the Magistrate with rioting, and convicted under section 147, Penal Code. *Held, per* JACKSON, *J.,* that, as the accused were not on the land in question as members of an unlawful assembly, nor for any unlawful purpose, the

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.—Penal Code, s. 147—*continued.*

conviction, as well as the procedure, was illegal. *Held per* CUNNINGHAM, *J.,* that the accused were merely exercising the remedy of abating a private nuisance, and were exercising a legal right of self-defence. EMPRESS ON THE PROSECUTION OF DENONATH GHATTACK v. RAJCOOMAR SINGH

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF RAJCOOMAR SINGH . . 2 C. L. R., 62

12. ——————— and s. 105, cl. 4.—*Mischief.—Right of defence of property.—Penal Code, s. 105, cl. 4.*—Where land in the possession of *A.* was encroached on by the servants of *B.,* who committed mischief on the land, and the servants of *A.* assembled and resisted the encroachments, the High Court declined to interfere with the Magistrate's order convicting the servants of *A.* of unlawful assembly, as there was error in law in the order of the Magistrate, who found as a fact that the right of defence of private property had ceased under clause 4, section 105 of the Penal Code. QUEEN v. RAJ KISTO DOSS . . . 12 W. R., Cr., 43

13. ——————— s. 149.—*Encouraging members of unlawful assembly.*—Where persons join an unlawful assembly for the purpose of committing an assault, and instead of preventing those armed from using their weapons encourage them to do so, they are in the same position as those members of the unlawful assembly who struck the blows. QUEEN v. DUSHRUTH ROY . . . 7 W. R., Cr., 58

14. ——————— *Riot in which man was killed.—Culpable homicide.*—In a case of riot in which a man was killed, the whole of the members of the unlawful assembly, as well the victorious as the worsted, were held equally guilty of culpable homicide not amounting to murder. QUEEN v. MANA SINGH . . . . 7 W. R., Cr., 103

15. ——————— *Constructive murder under s. 34, Penal Code.—Effect on others charged under s. 149.—Per* FIELD, *J.*—Where a prisoner is constructively guilty of murder under section 34 of the Penal Code, it is doubtful if he can be said to have committed the offence of murder within the meaning of section 149, so as to make other prisoners, by a double construction, guilty of murder. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF JHUBBOO MAHTON. EMPRESS v. JHUBBOO MAHTON

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 739

S. C. JHUBBOO MAHTON v. EMPRESS

[12 C. L. R., 233

16. ——————— *Common object.*—Where a person was killed by a member of an unlawful assembly, in prosecution of the common object of that assembly, the common object being the abduction of that person's mother,—*Held* that all those who were members of the assembly at the time such person was killed were guilty of the offence of killing her. IN THE MATTER OF GOLAM ARFIN

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 47

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.—Penal Code, s. 149—*continued.*

S. C. QUEEN *v.* GOLAM AREIN [13 W. R., Cr., 33

17. ——————— and s. 300, excep. 2.—*Common object.—Murder.*—One member of an unlawful assembly, whose common object was to eject certain persons from a piece of land, the title to which was disputed, fired at and killed one of such persons. *Held* by COUCH, *C. J.*, and JACKSON, PHEAR, and PONTIFEX, *JJ.* (AINSLIE, *J.*, dissenting), that the act being sudden and unpremeditated, the other members of the assembly were not guilty of the offence of murder under section 149 of the Penal Code, but of rioting armed with a deadly weapon under section 148. QUEEN *v.* SABED ALI [11 B. L. R., F. B., 347: 20 W. R., Cr., 5

18. ——————— *Common object.—Murder.*—A large body of men belonging to one faction waylaid another body of men belonging to a second faction, and a fight ensued, in the course of which a member of the first-mentioned faction was wounded, and retired to the side of the road, taking no further active part in the affray. After his retirement a member of the second faction was killed. *Held* (by NORMAN, *J.*, whose opinion prevailed) that the wounded man had ceased to be a member of the unlawful assembly when he retired wounded, and that he could not, under section 149 of the Penal Code, be made liable for the subsequent murder. *Held* (by JACKSON, *J.*) that he remained a member of the unlawful assembly. QUEEN *v.* KABIL CAZEN . . . 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1

19. ——————— *Prosecution of common object.*—If a body of men armed with latties, and under the leadership of one who to the knowledge of the rest is armed with a gun, assemble for the purpose of forcibly carrying off another man's property; and if in effecting that purpose, any one of the party, taking the gun, shoots and kills a person who is making a lawful resistance, the whole party may properly be convicted of murder under section 149 of the Penal Code. *Queen* v. *Sabed Ali, 11 B. L. R., 347 : 20 W. R., Cr., 5,* cited. HARI SINGH *v.* EMPRESS . . . . . 3 C. L. R., 49

20. ——————— *Acts taking place after unlawful assembly is over.*—Where, after the object of an unlawful assembly had been accomplished, and the opposite party driven away, one of the members entered into an altercation with another and wounded him with a fish-spear, it was held that the act was not one done with a view to accomplish the common object of the assembly, or one which the rest knew would be likely to be committed in the prosecution of that object. QUEEN *v.* BINOD [24 W. R., Cr., 66

21. ——————— ss. 151 and 188.—*Assembly of five or more persons.—Lawful command.*—Where the object of only three persons was to draw a crowd and their action was such as was calculated to and did draw a crowd of fifty or sixty persons likely to cause a disturbance of the public peace,

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY, ss. 151 and 188—*continued.*

—*Held* that the gathering constituted an assembly of five or more persons within the meaning of section 151 of the Penal Code (Act XLV of 1860), and that a refusal to disperse after being lawfully commanded to disperse rendered every member of the gathering liable to conviction under the said section. An order given by an officer superior in rank to an officer in charge of police stations commanding an assembly of five or more persons likely to cause a disturbance of the public peace to disperse, is a lawful order within the meaning of section 480 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Act X of 1872). EMPRESS *v.* TUCKER . . . I. L. R., 7 Bom., 42

UNLIQUIDATED DAMAGES.

See INSOLVENT ACT, s. 40. [13 B. L. R., Ap., 2

See INTEREST—MISCELLANEOUS CASES—UNLIQUIDATED DAMAGES. [7 Bom., A. C., 89
9 Bom., 7

UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT.

See PLEADER—REMOVAL, SUSPENSION, AND DISMISSAL . 11 B. L. R., 312

UNNATURAL OFFENCE.

——————— Penal Code, s. 377.—*Charge.—Particulars as to time, place, and person.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 222.—Held,* where a person was tried for an unnatural offence and convicted on a charge which did not allege the time when, place where, or point to any known or unknown person with whom the offence was committed, and without any proof of these particulars, the facts proved against him only being that he habitually wore woman's clothes and exhibited physical signs of having committed the offence, that the conviction was not sustainable. QUEEN-EMPRESS *v.* KHAIRATI [I. L. R., 6 All., 204

UNSEAWORTHINESS.

See CONTRACT—CONDITIONS PRECEDENT. [2 B. L. R., O. C., 127

UNSETTLED POLLIAM.

——————— Hereditary tenure.—*Evidence of possession or receipt of rent.*—There is no long uniform current of decisions at Madras sufficient to show that every polliam, not permanently settled, is necessarily only a tenure for life, or at the will of the Government. Each case must depend upon its own particular circumstances. The existence of a proprietary estate therein, and the tenure by which it has been held, are matters judicially determinable on legal evidence. In India the proof of possession or receipt of rent by a person who pays the land revenue immediately to Government is *primâ facie* evidence of an estate of inheritance in the case of an ordinary zemindari. The evidence is still stronger if it be proved that the estate has passed on one or more occasions from ancestor to heir. There is no difference in this

UNSETTLED POLLIAM.—Hereditary tenure—*continued.*

respect between a polliam and an ordinary zemindari. OOLAGAPPA CHETTY *v.* ARBUTHNOT. COLLECTOR OF TRICHINOPOLY *v.* LEKAMANI. PEDDA AMANI *v.* ZEMINDAR OF MARUNGAPURI
[14 B. L. R., 115: 21 W. R., 358
L. R., 1 I. A., 268, 282

S. C. in High Court. ARBUTHNOT *v.* OOLAGAPPA CHETTY . . . . . 5 Mad., 303

And LEKHAMANI *v.* RANGA KRISTNA MUTTA VIRA PUCHAYA NAIKAR . . 6 Mad., 208

UNSTAMPED DOCUMENTS, ADMISSIBILITY OF, IN EVIDENCE.

See CASES UNDER APPELLATE COURT—REJECTION OR ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE ADMITTED OR REJECTED IN COURT BELOW—UNSTAMPED DOCUMENTS.

See CASES UNDER EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—SECONDARY EVIDENCE—UNSTAMPED OR UNREGISTERED DOCUMENTS.

UPAN CHOWKI TENURE.

See MESNE PROFITS—RIGHT TO AND LIABILITY FOR— . 1 B. L. R., A. C., 167

USER.

See CASES UNDER PRESCRIPTION.

See CASES UNDER RIGHT OF WAY.

Before the Limitation Act of 1871 no precise time had been laid down as sufficient to create a right of user.

See MULLICK KARIM BAKSH *v.* HARRIHAR MANDAR . 5 B. L. R., 174: 13 W. R., 440

KISTO MOHUN MOOKERJEE *v.* JUGGURNATH ROY JOOGEE . . . . . 11 W. R., 236

HURO SOONDUREE DEBIA *v.* RAM DHUN BHUTTACHARJEE . . . . . 7 W. R., 276

1. ——— Proof of right of user.—"*All along*" or "*from before.*"—A user "all along" or "from before" does not necessarily prove a right. Its existence must be proved from a time from which the right would be gained or presumed to have been gained. MOOKTARAM BHUTTACHARJEE *v.* HURRO CHUNDER ROY . . . 7 W. R., 1

2. ——— *Right to outlet for water.—Easement.*—In a suit to close up an outlet of water opened by the defendant, the lower Appellate Court found that the "outlet, or *seuch*" was used (*barabar*) all along, and that therefore the defendant had a right of user. *Held* that an enjoyment for at least twelve years is necessary to create a right by user, and that user by the defendant for that period at least had been found. KARTIK CHANDRA SIRKAR *v.* KARTIK CHANDRA DEY
[8 B. L. R., A. C., 166: 11 W. R., 522

3. ——— *Use for many years.*—In a suit for a declaration of the right of user over the water of a tank, which right was denied, the finding of the lower Appellate Court, from the evidence of witnesses adduced by plaintiff, that plaintiff had used the water for many years, was held to be sufficient to prove a continuous and uninterrupted user on the part of the plaintiff. TOOLSEE DOSS KORESRAJ *v.* BHYRUB LALL TEWAREE
[8 W. R., 311

4. ——— *Prescription.—Ancient and uninterrupted right.—Easement.*—A party claiming the right of user by prescription over the property of another, must show not only that the right has existed from ancient days, but also that it has been exercised as of right, and has not been interrupted. MALLIK JAWAD-UL-HUQ *v.* RAM PRASAD DAS . . . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 281

HEERALALL KOOER *v.* PURMESSUR KOOER
[15 W. R., 401

5. ——— *Interruption of right of easement.*—The mere fact of user for any number of years will not be sufficient to confer a right, if the user be from time to time interrupted by the owner resuming, as occasion may require, the exclusive use of his land. In such a case the user will be treated as permissive merely, and not as the exercise of a right. AUKHOY COOMAR CHUCKERBUTTY *v.* MOLLAH NOBEE NOWAZ . 13 W. R., 449

6. ——— *Wrongful interruption.—Acquiescence.*—Wrongful interruption does not destroy a right of user where steps are immediately taken to assert the right; but if this is not done for a length of time, acquiescence may safely be presumed. HEERALALL KOOER *v.* PURMESSUR KOOER . . . . . 15 W. R., 401

7. ——— *Letting house to tenant.*—Where a right of user of a drain or passage is incidental to a house, that right is not affected by the owner of the house letting the house to a tenant. AMJUDEE BEGUM *v.* AHMED HOSSEIN
[6 W. R., 314

USUFRUCTUARY MORTGAGE.

See DECREE—FORM OF DECREE—MORTGAGE . . I. L. R., 1 All., 524

See CASES UNDER LEASE—ZUR-I-PESHGI LEASE.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 19 (1859, s. 1, cl. 15)—ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF OTHER RIGHTS 13 B. L. R., 177

See CASES UNDER MORTGAGE.

USURY.

See BENGAL REGULATION XV OF 1793.
[2 B. L. R., P. C., 44, 69

See HINDU LAW—ALIENATION—ALIENATION BY FATHER.
[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 213

USURY—*continued.*

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—USURY.

See CASES UNDER INTEREST—STIPULATIONS AMOUNTING TO PENALTIES OR OTHERWISE.

See CASES UNDER MAHOMEDAN LAW—USURY.

V

VACATION, CLOSING OF COURT FOR—

See APPEAL TO PRIVY COUNCIL—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE—TIME FOR APPEALING. [I. L. R., 2 Calc., 128, 272

See CASES UNDER LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 5 (1871, s. 5).

VAKALATNAMA.

See PRISONER . . . 1 Bom., 16

See STAMP ACT, 1869, SCH. II, ART. 32. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 767

VAKIL.

See ATTORNEY AND CLIENT. [11 B. L. R., 60, note

See PAUPER SUIT—SUITS . 15 W. R., 198

See CASES UNDER PLEADER.

See PRISONER . . 6 Mad., 38

See STAMP ACT, 1879, SCH. II, ART. 11. [I. L. R., 8 Mad., 14

——— Right of, to plead on original side of High Court.

See RULES OF HIGH COURT, MADRAS. [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 24

VAKIL AND CLIENT.

See CONTRACT ACT, s. 25. [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 362
I. L. R., 5 Bom., 258

VALUATION OF APPEAL.

See CASES UNDER BENGAL CIVIL COURTS ACT, 1871, s. 22.

See CASES UNDER VALUATION OF SUIT—APPEALS.

VALUATION OF RIGHT, TITLE, AND INTEREST CREATED BY MORTGAGE.

See CASES UNDER REGISTRATION ACT, 1877, s. 17.

VALUATION OF SUIT.

Col.
1. SUITS 5074
2. APPEALS 6088

VALUATION OF SUIT—*continued.*

See APPEAL.—ACTS—COURT FEES ACT. [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 145, 219

See APPEAL TO PRIVY COUNCIL—APPEALABLE ORDERS—VALUATION OF APPEAL.

See CASES UNDER APPELLATE COURT—REJECTION OR ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE ADMITTED OR REJECTED IN COURT BELOW—VALUATION OF SUIT.

See COSTS—SPECIAL CASES—VALUATION OF SUIT . . . 14 W. R., 255
[3 Agra, Rev., 5
20 W. R., 206

See CASES UNDER COURT FEES ACT.

See JURISDICTION—QUESTION OF JURISDICTION—WRONG EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION . . . 22 W. R., 301
[I. L. R., 8 Bom., 31

See RECORDERS ACT, s. 27. [5 B. L. R., 305
8 B. L. R., Ap., 91

1. SUITS.

1. ——— Question of valuation.—*Procedure.*—Whether or not a suit has been properly valued is a preliminary question which ought to be disposed of before the case goes to trial. JOYTARA DASSEE *v.* MAHOMED MOBARUCK [I. L. R., 8 Calc., 975: 11 C. L. R., 399

2. ——— Computation of value.—*Stamp duty.—Valuation of subject-matter for purpose of determining jurisdiction.*—The valuation of a suit for the purposes of stamp duty, and the valuation of the subject-matter of the suit for the purpose of determining the jurisdiction of the Court in appeal, are two different things. The value of the suit for the purposes of stamp duty is fixed by certain rules which determine an artificial value for those purposes. The value of the subject-matter of a suit on appeal, on which depends the jurisdiction of the several grades of civil suits, is the actual value of the property in litigation. AUKHIL CHUNDER SEN ROY *v.* MOHINY MOHUN DASS [I. L. R., 5 Calc., 489: 4 C. L. R., 491

3. ——— *Valuation for purposes of jurisdiction.—Court Fees Acts.*—The valuation of suits for the purpose of jurisdiction, is perfectly distinct from their valuation for the fiscal purpose of court fees. Therefore court Fees Acts, which are fiscal enactments, are not to be resorted to for construing enactments which fix the valuation of suits for the purpose of determining jurisdiction. DAYACHAND *v.* HEMCHAND DHARAMCHAND [I. L. R., 4 Bom., 515

4. ——— *Jurisdiction of Munsif.—Mad. Reg. VI of 1816, s. 11, and III of 1833.*—The valuation of the matters of litigation for the purpose of determining the jurisdiction of Munsifs is to be made in the mode prescribed by section 11, Regulation VI of 1816, and Regulation III of 1833, and not in that prescribed in the Stamp Acts. THIAGARAJA MUDALI *v.* RAMANUJA CHARRY

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued.*

1. SUITS—*continued.*

Computation of value—*continued.*

CHINNASAMI CHETTI *v.* NANJAPPASAMY. JUNJLA VENKATARAYADU *v.* JUNJLA KAMAMMAH [6 Mad., 151

5. ——— *Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 12.—Class of suit in which particular suit ranks.*—Section 12 of the Court Fees Act, which makes the decision of a Court in which a plaint or memorandum of appeal is filed final on questions relating to valuation for the purpose of determining the amount of any fee chargeable, does not affect a question as to the class of suits in which a particular suit ranks. ANNAMALAI CHETTI *v.* CLOETE [I. L. R., 4 Mad., 204

6. ——— *Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 12.—Non-payment of sufficient court fee.*—Section 12 of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, applies merely to the valuation of property for the purpose of calculating the court fee when there is no question as to the article of the schedule of the Act with reference to which the valuation is to be made, and does not apply to a case in which it is contended that the property has been wrongly valued, but that the relief has been improperly estimated by putting it under a wrong article in the schedule of the Act. It does not contemplate a case on which the Court refuses to hear a suit on the ground that a sufficient court fee has not been paid. *See Ajoodhya Pershad Singh* v. *Gunga Pershad, I. L. R., 6 Calc., 249; 6 C. L. R., 567.* OMRAO MIRZA *v.* JONES [12 C. L. R., 148

7. ——— *Jurisdiction.*—*Market value of subject-matter, Mode of computing.—Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), ss. 6 and 12.*—For the purpose of determining the question of jurisdiction, the valuation of a suit should be computed according to the market value of the subject-matter of the suit, and not by the special rules applicable to valuation laid down in Act VII of 1870. NANHOON SINGH *v.* TOFANEE SINGH [12 B. L. R., 113 : 20 W. R., 33

JEEBRAJ SINGH *v.* INDERJEET MAHTOON [12 B. L. L. R., 115, note : 18 W. R., 109

CHUNDER NATH BHUTTACHARJEE *v.* BRINDABUN SHAHA . . . 25 W. R., 39

KALU BIN BHIWAJI *v.* VISHRAM MAWAJI [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 543

BAI MAMKOR *v.* BULAKHI CHAKU [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 538

8. ——— *Market value.*—*Valuation for stamp purposes.*—Where a Court is satisfied that the market value of the subject of a suit or appeal presented to it is of such an amount as to bring the suit or appeal within its jurisdiction, it is bound to receive it. The Court will generally assume that the value of the property in suit is that arrived at by the computation for the purposes of ascertaining the stamp duty where the Stamp Act prescribes arbitrary principles of calculation; but where it is asserted and shown to the satisfaction of the Court that the market value is in excess of the amount computed for such purposes, the Court must take notice of the actual market value. DHUNNOO *v.* DAMODUR DOSS . . . . 2 N. W., 177

9. ——— *Cases in which revenue cannot be calculated.—Market value.*—In cases where, for the purpose of the stamp on an appeal, it is impracticable to ascertain accurately what portion of permanent revenue has been assessed on the lands in dispute in a suit, the appellant should furnish to the Registrar a memorandum giving an estimate of the market value and the date on which it has been calculated. If the Registrar consider the estimate clearly insufficient, the Court will issue a commission to ascertain the proper market value. The provisions of schedule B of Act XXVI of 1867 considered. EX PARTE MOONEE RANGAPPEN [3 Mad., 352

10. ——— *Dispute as to proper valuation.*—On a dispute arising as to the proper valuation of a suit, the Court may, on the application of either party, issue a commission and make an inquiry into the market value or the net profits of the property in dispute. The final decision as to the proper valuation is vested in the Court which hears the suit. UMA SANKAR ROY CHOWDRY *v.* MANSUR ALI KHAN [5 B. L. R., Ap., 6 : 13 W. R., 327

See WAJID ALI KHAN *v.* LALA HANUMAN PRESAD [4 B. L. R., A. C., 139 : 12 W. R., 484

11. ——— *Costs.*—In estimating the value of a suit, the costs must not be included in the amount in dispute. NILMADHAB DAS *v.* BISWAMBHAR DAS [3 B. L. R., P. C. 27 : 12 W. R., P. C., 29 13 Moore's I. A., 85

12. ——— *Character of suit.—Valuation altering with wording of plaint.*—A suit should be valued according to its real character. Where a plaint is so worded as that, taken strictly, the valuation would be such that the Court in which the plaint was filed would have no jurisdiction, the mere miswording of the plaint will not oust the Court of its jurisdiction. AJOODHIA LALL *v.* GUMANI LALL . . . . 2 C. L. R., 134

13. ——— Incorrect valuation.—*Appellate Court.—Ground for dismissal of suit.*—The valuation of a suit must be taken from the statement in the plaint, and if, after going into the evidence, it is found that a particular item is improperly claimed, the Court has means of punishing the plaintiff by saddling him with costs or in any other way; but the whole suit should not be dismissed simply because, in the opinion of the lower Appellate Court, it ought to have been valued within the limit of the jurisdiction of the Small Cause Court. MOHEE LALL *v.* KHETARAM MARWARY . . . 25 W. R., 76

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued.*

1. SUITS—*continued.*

14. ——— **Valuation of amended plaint.**—*Valuation ascertained at date of filing and at date of amendment.*—The proper valuation in the case of an amended plaint is that ascertained at the date of the amendment, and not at the date of the original filing of the plaint. MORO VISHVANATH v. GANESH VITHAL . . . 10 Bom., 444

15. ——— **Valuation of plaint presented again after return of plaint.**—*Return of plaint for want of jurisdiction.—Second presentation under Court Fees Act, 1870.—Act XXVI of 1867, Plaint presented under.*—Where a plaint in a suit was originally presented, when Act XXVI of 1867 was in force, in the Court of the Munsif, and, being above the amount for which that Court had jurisdiction, was returned for presentation to the Subordinate Judge, and when presented there it was admitted and heard, and afterwards it was found that under Act VII of 1870, the Court Fees Act which was then in force, the lower standard of valuation necessary would have made it cognisable by the Munsif,—*Held* that by analogy to the cases which decide that the date of a suit for the purposes of limitation is the date when the plaint was originally presented, the suit must be assumed to have been brought when the plaint was filed in the Munsif's Court, and therefore was properly valued under Act XXVI of 1867. KHELLAT CHUNDER GHOSE v. NUSSEEBUNNISSA BIBEE . . . . . 16 W. R., 47

16. ——— **Account, Suit for.**—*Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 7, cl. f, and s. 11.*—By section 7, clause *f*, of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, the plaintiff in a suit for accounts must state the amount at which he values the relief sought; but he is free to fix it as he thinks proper, subject to the provisions of section 11 which preclude the execution of the decree in case it exceeds such value until the execution fee has been paid. GOVINDAS v DAYABHAI [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 22

17. ——— **Adoption, Suit to set aside**—*Suit by reversioner.—Jurisdiction*—For the purpose of determining the jurisdiction over a suit by a reversioner to set aside an adoption, the loss which would accrue to the adopted person, should the adoption be declared invalid, is the measure of the value of the subject-matter of the suit. KESHAVA SABABHAGA v. LAKSHMINARAYAN. [I. L. R., 6 Mad., 192

18. ——— **Annuity, Suit for declaration of right to.**—*Act XXVI of 1867.—Stamp Act, 1862, sch. A, cl. 2.*—In a suit for a declaration of right to an annuity (varshasan) it was held that the stamp for the petition of special appeal should be regulated by the market value of the annuity, and that *primâ facie* ten times the amount of the annuity might be assumed to be its market value, as enacted for analogous agreements by section 2, schedule A, Act X of 1862. NARSINVACHARYA v. SVAMI RAYA CHARYA . . . . . 5 Bom., A. C., 55

19. ——— **Attachment, Suit to set aside.**—*Suit by trustees' deed given by insolvent for benefit of creditors.*—The valuation for stamp duty of a suit brought by the trustees of an assignment by an insolvent trader for the benefit of his creditors to set aside an attachment by an execution-creditor, should be calculated on the value of the lien claimed by the judgment-creditor. STEPHENSON v. BAUMGARTNER [3 Agra, 104

20. ——— *Suit under Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 283.—Stamp.—Possession.—Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, sch. II, art. 17, cl. 1.*—When a party prefers a claim or makes any objection to the attachment of any property in execution of a decree, but fails to establish it, and brings a suit under section 283 of the Code of Civil Procedure (Act XIV of 1882) to establish his right to the property attached, his plaint is to be treated as falling under article 17, clause 1 of schedule II of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, and is chargeable with only a ten-rupee stamp, notwithstanding that the plaintiff may pray in such a suit to be awarded possession. *Parvati* v. *Kisan Sing, Judgments for 1881, p. 121,* followed; *Gunpatgir Gura Bholagir* v. *Gunpatgir, I. L. R., 3 Bom., 230,* distinguished. DHONDO SAKHARAM v. GOVIND BABAJI . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 20

21. ——— **Attachment, Suit to set aside order removing.**—*Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, ss. 6 and 12, and sch. II, art. 17, cl. 1.—Valuation by subordinate Court.—Suit to re-establish judgment-debtor's right to property on removal of attachment.*—Where, on the removal of an attachment at the instance of a third party, the judgment-creditor brought a suit to establish the right of his judgment-debtor to the property from which the attachment had been removed, and to get the summary order to remove the attachment set aside,—*Held* that the proper stamp on a plaint of that kind was R10 under section 6 and schedule II, article 171 of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870. VITAL KRISHNA v. BALKRISHNA JANARDAN [I. L. R., 10 Bom., 610

22. ——— **Award, Suit to carry out.**—A suit to carry out an arbitration award need not be valued. KHODA BUKSH v. MOWLA BUKSH [14 W. R., 255

23. ——— **Award, Application to file.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 525.*—The proper court fee upon an application to file an award under section 525 is the court fee prescribed for applications, and not the court fee upon a plaint. BIJADHUR BHUGUT v. MONOHUR BHUGUT [I. L. R., 10 Calc., 11

S. C. PALUT BHAGUT v. MONOHUR BHAGUT.

24. ——— **Charge on property, Suit to establish.**—*Mad. Civil Courts Act, 1873.—Subject-matter of suit.*—For the purposes of jurisdiction (Madras Civil Courts Act, 1873) the subject matter of a suit to establish the validity of a charge upon property is, when the property is in excess of the charge, the amount of the charge; when the charge

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued.*

1. SUITS—*continued.*

Charge on property, Suit to establish—*continued.*

is in excess of the property, the value of the property. KRISHNAMA CHARYAR *v.* SRINIVASA AYYANGAR . . . . . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 339

25. ——— **Damages, Suit for.**—In determining the jurisdiction of the Court in a suit for damages, the amount claimed, and not that eventually found due, must be taken as the valuation. JOY DOORGA DASSEE *v.* MANICK CHAND BABOO [16 W. R., 248

26. ——— **Declaratory decree, Suit for.**—*Suit to establish right to attached property.*—*Held* that, in the case where a person has preferred a claim to property attached in the execution of a decree, on the ground that such property is not liable to such attachment, and an order is passed against him, and he sues to establish his right to such property, the value of the subject-matter in dispute in such suit, for the purposes of jurisdiction, will be the amount of such decree. Second Appeal No. 320 of 1876, decided the 16th May 1876, followed. GULZARI LAL *v.* JADAUN RAI . I. L. R., 2 All., 799

27. ——— *Suit to establish right by reversal of deeds.*—When a plaintiff only sues for declaration of his title to certain lands on reversal of the kobalas said to have been illegally executed by his father, he need not be compelled to value the case at the total of the consideration mentioned in those deeds. SHEO GHOLAM SINGH *v.* BEJOYRAM PROTAB SINGH . W. R., 1864, 317

28. ——— *Plaint insufficiently stamped.*—*Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, s. 12.*—The law allows a plaintiff in some cases to rectify a mistake as to stamp duty, but this privilege is subject to qualification, and does not exist where the relief to be granted is altogether distinct from that originally sought. In such a case, the plaintiff should not be allowed to put an additional stamp on his plaint. Where a plaintiff sued on a stamp of R10 for a declaration of his title to land worth R19,000, in the possession of the defendant, it was held that the suit could not be maintained, and that the plaintiff was not entitled to put an additional stamp on the plaint and convert his suit into one for possession. CHOKALINGAPESHANA NAICKER *v.* ACHIYAR . . . . . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 40

29. ——— *Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), s. 4, and sch. II, art. 17, cls. 3 and 6.*—*Jurisdiction.*—*Bom. Civil Courts Act, XIV of 1869, s. 24.*—A Subordinate Judge of the 2nd class has no jurisdiction to entertain a suit for the declaration of the plaintiff's title where the property in respect of which the declaration is sought exceeds R5,000 in value. The law may lay down, for purposes of revenue, certain rules for the valuation of suits; but such valuation cannot be accepted as a criterion of the actual amount or value of the claim, upon which the jurisdiction of a Court depends.

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued.*

1. SUITS—*continued.*

Declaratory decree, Suit for—*continued.*

Whether a suit be merely to obtain a decree, declaratory of the plaintiff's title to, or whether it be to establish his title, coupled with a prayer for possession of, the rights of a deceased person, the inheritance is the object in dispute. The actual value of the estate to which the plaintiff claims to be entitled, and not the value which it may eventually represent to the plaintiff, is the value of the subject-matter. BAI MANKOR *v.* BULAKHI CHAKER [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 538

30. ——— *Court Fees Act, s. 12, and sch. II, art 17, cl. 3.*—*Consequential relief.*—*Appeal.*—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s 246.*—Section 12 of the Court Fees Act prohibits appeals on questions relating to valuation for the purpose of determining the amount of a fee, but does not prevent a Court of Appeal from determining whether or not consequential relief is sought in a suit, so that it may determine under what class of cases the suit falls for the purposes of the Court Fees Act. A suit by a person against whom an order has been made, under section 246 of Act VIII of 1859, disallowing his claim to the attached property, need not be valued according to the value of the property, but can be brought on a stamp of R10, under Act VII of 1870, schedule II, article 17 (iii). CHUNIA *v.* RAM DIAL [I. L. R., 1 All., 360

31. ——— *Suit to stay bátwara proceedings under Beng. Reg. XIX of 1814, after partition by private arrangement.*—An allottee, under a private partition, sued to stay subsequent partition proceedings brought under Regulation XIX of 1814, and to have his possession confirmed. The defendants objected to the valuation of the suit and to the suit being heard by the Civil Courts, no proceedings having first been instituted before the revenue authorities. *Held* that such a suit should be considered to be one for a declaratory decree, or for something in the nature of an injunction, and that, therefore, the plaint should not be stamped according to the value of the entire estate. JOYNATH ROY *v.* LALL BAHADOUR SINGH [I. L. R., 8 Calc., 126: 10 C. L. R., 146

32. ——— **Deed, Suit to set aside.**—*Suit for cancellation of bond.*—*Value of subject-matter of suit.*—*Jurisdiction.*—The value of the subject-matter of a suit for the cancellation of a bond is to be determined with reference only to the principal amount, and not that amount together with the interest payable thereon when the suit is instituted. GULAB RAI *v.* MANGLI LAL . I. L. R., 6 All., 71

33. ——— *Appeal.*—*Suit for cancellation of a document.*—*Jurisdiction.*—The plaintiffs sued for the cancellation of a bond for the payment of R6,000, together with interest thereon at the rate of 4 per cent. per mensem, alleging that they had executed such bond under the impression that it was a bond for the payment of R3,000, together with interest thereon at the rate of 1¼ per cent.

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued.*

1. SUITS—*continued.*

Deed, Suit to set aside—*continued.*

per mensem, whereas the defendants had fraudulently caused them to execute the bond in suit. The plaintiffs paid into Court R3,000, together with interest at the rate of 1½ per cent. per mensem. *Held* that the value of the subject-matter in dispute was the difference between R3,000 and R6,000 or thereabouts, and therefore an appeal from the decree of the Court of first instance preferred to the District Judge was cognisable by him. KALI CHARAN RAI v. AJUDHIA RAI . . . . I. L. R., 2 All., 148

34. ——— *Deed prejudicing title to immoveable property.*—In a suit to annul a sale-deed which prejudices the title of the plaintiffs to immoveable property, a stamp calculated on the consideration-money mentioned in the sale-deed is sufficient. THAKOOR PATHUK v. RAM SOOMRUN LAL [2 N. W., 433

35. ——— *Suit to set aside sale-deed as being forged.*—A suit to set aside a false sale-deed was held to be sufficiently valued at the sum mentioned in that sale-deed THAKOOR PATUCK v. RAMSOOMRUN LALL . 1 N. W., 17: Ed. 1873, 16

36. ——— *Suit to cancel document on ground of fraud.*—The plaintiff executed a document whereby he created a charge of R4,500 upon certain immoveable property. In a suit to cancel the document upon the ground of fraud, —*Held* that the plaintiff valued his relief at R4,500, and that the District Munsif had no jurisdiction to try the suit. NARAINA PUTTER v. AYA PUTTER [7 Mad., 372

37. ——— *Suit for possession of property alienated.—Price stated in sale-deed.*—In a suit for possession of a share of an undivided estate and to set aside a kobala by which the estate had been illegally alienated, the plaintiff is not bound to value his claim according to the price stated in the kobala. AUGOPURA CHOWDHRY v. MEAH BIBEE [10 W. R., 207

38. ——— **Ejectment, Suit for,**—*Market value of tenant-right.*—Where a landlord claims to eject a tenant, he claims to recover the tenant-rights in the holding, and the stamp duty chargeable on the plaint should be determined with reference to the market value of that right only. AJOODHYA CHOWBEY v. DAIBEE SINGH . . 3 Agra, Rev., 5

39. ——— *Suit to contest claim of occupancy ryot.—Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 7, cl. 11, and sch. II, cl. 5.*—In a suit to eject a defendant as being a tenant at will, the court fee upon the plaint or memorandum of appeal is 8 annas under schedule II, clause 5 of Act VII of 1870. Clause 11 (*d*) of section 7 of that Act applies only to suits brought by a tenant to dispute the validity of his landlord's notice to quit. NUMJAHAN v. MARFAN MUNDUL . . . . . 11 C. L. R., 91

40. ——— *Suit to have a lease set aside and buildings erected by lessees demolished.—Suit for possession of land and demolition of buildings erected thereon.—Court Fees Act.—Beng. Civil Courts Act, ss. 20, 23.*—Certain co-sharers of a village sued to have a lease of certain land, the joint undivided property of the co-sharers, which the other co-sharers had granted, set aside, and to have the building erected on such land by the lessees demolished, on the ground that such lease had been granted without their consent. They valued the relief sought at R100. The value of the buildings of which they sought demolition was R3,000. *B.* sued *N* claiming *inter alia* possession of certain land and to have certain buildings erected thereon by the defendant demolished. *Held*, with reference to the above-mentioned suits, that in estimating their value for the purposes of the Court Fees Act, 1870, or of the Bengal Civil Courts Act, 1871, the value of the buildings which might have to be demolished should not be taken into account. JOGAL KISHOR v. TALE SINGH. BINDESHRI CHAUBEY v. NANDU [I. L. R., 4 All., 320

41. ——— **Emoluments attached to office, Suit for.**—*Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 7, cls. 2, 4.—Claims for future emoluments.—Jurisdiction.—Mad. Civil Courts Act, 1873, s. 12.—Portion of claim struck out and plaint returned for presentation to inferior Court.*—In a suit filed in the Court of a Subordinate Judge, the plaintiff prayed, *inter alia*, for a decree for the payment, annually, of the emoluments attached to a certain office, or their value at a rate stated in the plaint. This portion of the claim he valued, under clause 2 of section 7 of the Court Fees Act, at ten times the amount of the value claimed for one year. The value of the claim thus stated exceeded the pecuniary limit of the jurisdiction of the District Munsif. The Subordinate Judge held that this portion of the claim was not actionable, inasmuch as the right to the emoluments was conditional upon services to be rendered, and did not fall under clause 2 of section 7 of the Court Fees Act, not being a fixed sum payable periodically, and therefore he held that the plaint was improperly valued, that the suit was not within his jurisdiction, and that the plaint should be returned to be presented to the proper Court. *Held* that this order was right. KRISHNAN v. REVI VARMA [I. L. R., 8 Mad., 384

42. ——— **Instalment bond, Suit on.**—The stamp on a plaint on an instalment bond should be estimated, not on the amount of the whole bond, but on the amount claimed in the suit. SUTTO BHAMA DOSSEA v. JAMEERUDDY KHAN [4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 12

43. ——— **Khoti estate, Suit for recovery of.**—*Act XXVI of 1867, sch. B, cl. 11. Amount of assessment.*—*Held* that a khoti estate is an estate paying revenue to Government upon which an assessment is temporarily settled, and that a suit for its recovery should be assessed at eight times the annual assessment under Act XXVI of 1867, schedule B,

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued*

1 SUITS—*continued*

Khoti estate, Suit for recovery of—*continued*

article 11, note (*a*), Sp Rule 1 for the Bombay Presidency EX PARTE VITHAL *alias* GOPAL GOVINDA BIVALKAR 4 Bom., A C., 148

44. ——— **Manager, Suit to remove**—*Court Fees Act, 1870, s 7—Suit to eject trustee—Jurisdiction—Specific Relief Act, s 42*—By an agreement between *S* and *M*, members of the same Hindu family, it was arranged that certain immoveable property dedicated to charitable uses by the family should be managed by *M*, subject to the supervision of *S*, and that *M* should render accounts to *S* and observe certain other conditions *S* sued *M* in the Court of the District Munsif, and prayed for a decree for the removal of *M* as manager and for the appointing of himself as manager of the property *M* objected that the Court had no jurisdiction, because the property exceeded in value the pecuniary limits of the jurisdiction of the District Munsif's Court as fixed by section 12 of the Madras Civil Courts Act, 1873 *Held* that *S* was not entitled to sue for the removal of *M* without praying for his ejectment from the property, and that, as the property exceeded in value R2,500, the District Munsif had no jurisdiction SONACHALA v MANIKA [I. L. R., 8 Mad., 516

45 ——— *Karnavan of Malabar tarwad—Mad Civil Courts Act, 1873, s 13*—For the purpose of jurisdiction a suit to remove the karnavan of a Malabar tarwad is not a suit for the recovery of the tarwad properties managed by the karnavan and to be valued as such, but a suit which asks for a relief that is incapable of valuation NARANJOLI CHIRAKAL KUNNI RAMAN v NARANJOLI CHIRAKAL PUTTALATHU KUNHUNNI NAMBIAR I. L. R., 4 Mad., 314

46. ——— *Suit for removal of karnavan—Court Fees Act, 1870, sch II, art 17, cl 6*—A suit for the removal of a karnavan of a Malabar tarwad on the ground of misfeasance is incapable of valuation and falls under section 6, article 17, schedule II of the Court Fees Act, 1870 GOVINDAN NAMBIAR v KRISHNAN NAMBIAR [I. L. R, 4 Mad., 146

47 ——— **Mesne profits, Suit for.**—*Denial of plaintiff's title*—In a suit for wasilat, the stamp on the plaint will be sufficient if it cover the amount claimed for wasilat, notwithstanding the defendant may deny the title of the plaintiff to the land KADIR BUKSH v WISE [Marsh, 165 1 Ind. Jur, O S, 103 1 Hay, 370

48 ——— *Suit for possession and mesne profits*—Where a suit for mesne profits is united with one for possession, no separate stamp fee is necessary in respect of mesne profits SYEDUN v ALLAH AHMED W R, 1864, 327

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued*

1 SUITS—*continued*

49 ——— **Partition, Suit for.**—*Madras Civil Courts Act, s 12—Jurisdiction—Subject-matter of suit*—In suits for partition, the value of the property of which the plaintiff claims a share, and not the value of the share claimed, determines the jurisdiction of the Court under section 12 of the Madras Civil Courts Act, 1873 VEDINATHA v SUBRAMANYA I. L. R., 8 Mad., 235

50 ——— *Hearing fee, Calculation of—Market value of property*—The ordinary rule for assessing the hearing fee according to the market value of the property in suit is not applicable to a suit for partition, and the Court in each case ought to fix the amount of such fee Generally speaking, the value of the suit is the difference between the value after partition of the plaintiff's share which he requires to be partitioned and the value of the same share not partitioned KIRTER CHUNDER MITTER v ANNATH NATH DEB [13 C L. R., 253

51. ——— *Suit for division of lands according to established custom*—A co-owner of village lands sued in 1861 to have them divided among the villagers according to a custom (last observed in 1835) that at the expiration of every twelve years the lands should be re distributed by lot among the co owners, and to have two of the shares delivered to him as one of such co owners In 1851 another co owner had, in a suit to which some only of the present defendants were parties, obtained a decree for the periodical allotment of the lands, and in 1853 such decree, which clearly recognised the existence and validity of the custom, was affirmed on appeal *Held* that the plaintiff need not pay an institution fee on the aggregate amount of the value of all the sharers in the village, and that the stamp on the plaint need only be proportioned to the value of the property actually sued for VENKATASVAMI NAYAKKAN v SUBBA RAU SANKARA SUBBAIYAN v SUBBA RAU . . . . 2 Mad., 1

52 ——— *Jurisdiction—Subject matter of suit—Act XIV of 1869, s 25*—What *primâ facie* determines the jurisdiction of a Court is the claim, or subject matter of the claim, as estimated by the plaintiff, and the determination having given the jurisdiction, the jurisdiction itself continues, whatever the event of the suit And this is so notwithstanding a *bonâ fide* error in the estimate made by the plaintiff, but plaintiff cannot oust the Court of its jurisdiction by making unwarrantable additions to the claim which cannot be sustained, and which there is no reasonable ground for expecting to sustain The subject matter of a claim, within the meaning of section 25 of Act XIV of 1869 is the specific thing sought by the plaintiff In a partition suit, where the plaintiff seeks for a division and separate possession of his share in joint property, it is the share so claimed which is the subject matter of the claim, and not the whole of the joint property which is sought to be divided LAKSHMAN BHATKAR v BABAJI BHATKAR [I. L R, 8 Bom., 31

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued.*

1. SUITS—*continued.*

53. ——— Possession, Suit for.—*Suit by auction-purchaser.—Procedure.*—In a suit for possession by an auction-purchaser, where plaintiff valued his claim at what he paid for the property,—*Held* that the valuation was *primâ facie* not incorrect, and, until rebutted by evidence and the result of a proper inquiry, should be accepted as correct. If the valuation was doubted; an inquiry should have been instituted under Act XXVI of 1867. SOOBUDRA *v.* RAM PROKASH SINGH . . 16 W. R., 5

54. ——— *Suit after foreclosure.—Court Fees Act, s. 7, cl. 9.*—Where a suit for possession is brought after a decree for foreclosure has been obtained, the valuation of such a suit, in so far as the jurisdiction of the Court is concerned, is not to be calculated according to the scale laid down in the Court Fees Act, section 7, clause 9. AHOLLYA BAI DEBIA *v.* SHAMA CHURN BOSE [1 C. L. R., 473

55. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 229, Procedure under.—Fresh suit.—Jurisdiction.*—For the purpose of jurisdiction a claim under section 229 of Act VIII of 1859 is a fresh suit and not a continuation of the suit in which the claim is made: so that where, by reason of a change in the law as to the mode of valuing suits for the purpose of jurisdiction between the date of the original suit and the claim, the Court that dealt with the original suit ceases to have jurisdiction over the subject-matter of the claim, that Court cannot try the claim. MUTTAMMAL *v.* CHINNNAN GOUNDEN . . . . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 220

56. ——— *Suit for possession of share of estate and to set aside deed.*—In a suit for possession of a share of an undivided estate, and to set aside a kobala by which the estate had been illegally alienated, plaintiff is not bound to value his claim according to the price stated in the kobala. AUGOPURA CHOWDHRIN *v.* MEAH BIBEE [10 W. R., 207

57. ——— *Suit for possession and declaration of title.*—Where a suit is for recovery of possession (with mesne profits) of a certain portion of land, and for a declaration of right in respect of the remainder, its valuation should not include the value of the latter, which is only nominal, and requires a stamp of R10. HURRO NATH BHUTTACHARJEE *v.* HARVEY . . 25 W. R., 23

58. ——— Pre-emption, Suit for.—In a suit for pre-emption, the valuation of the property sued for is to be calculated at the market value for which it would sell, and not at ten times the value of the sudder jumma. ANJUD SINGH *v.* DEFUN SINGH [3 B. L. R., Ap., 143: 14 W. R., 238, note

NAUNHOO SINGH *v.* TOFAN SINGH [14 W. R., 228

59. ——— *Jurisdiction.—Beng. Civil Courts Act (VI of 1871), s. 20.*—In a pre-emption suit, the subject-matter is the right of

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued.*

1. SUITS—*continued.*

Pre-emption, Suit for—*continued.*

pre-emption, the value of which, and not that of the property itself, determines the question of jurisdiction under section 20, Act VI of 1871. NAUN SINGH *v.* RASH BEHARY SINGH [I. L. R., 13 Calc., 255

60. ——— Redemption, Suit for.—*Value for purpose of jurisdiction.*—The purchaser of the equity of redemption of certain land sued to redeem the same. He made the mortgagor and vendor of the land a *pro formâ* defendant. *Held* that the value of the subject-matter of the suit was not the market value of the land, but the amount of the mortgage money. KUBAIR SINGH *v.* ATMA RAM [I. L. R., 5 All., 332

61. ——— *Mad. Civil Courts Act, 1873, ss. 12 and 14.—Value of improvements.—Per curiam* (TURNER, *C. J.*; and MUTTUSAMI AYYAR, *J.*, dissenting).—Where an instrument of mortgage does not expressly secure the amount to be allowed for improvements on redemption of the mortgage, the value of the improvements is not to be calculated in ascertaining the "value of the subject-matter of the suit" for the purposes of jurisdiction under section 12 of the Madras Civil Courts Act. *Per* TURNER, *C. J.* (MUTTUSAMI AYYAR, *J.*, concurring).—By the custom of Malabar a condition is attached to all kanam demises that the mortgagor shall pay the value of improvements made by the mortgagee during the term of the demise before he can redeem, and the repayment of the sums spent in improvements is thus secured by the mortgage in the same manner as the repayment of the principal advanced, and must be calculated in determining the value of the subject-matter of the suit for the purpose of jurisdiction. ZAMORIN OF CALICUT *v.* NARAYANA . I. L. R., 5 Mad., 284

ANONYMOUS CASE [I. L. R., 5 Mad., 287, note

62. ——— *Jurisdiction of Munsif.*—The integrity of a joint usufructuary mortgage having been broken in consequence of the mortgagee having purchased the right of several of the mortgagors, one of the mortgagors sued in the Munsif's Court to recover his share of the mortgaged property, alleging that the mortgage had been redeemed. The value of the mortgagee's right, *quâ* such share, was under R1,000. The mortgagee set up as a defence to such suit that a bond, under which a sum exceeding R1,000 was due, had been tacked to the mortgage, and that until such sum had been satisfied the plaintiff could not recover possession of his share. *Held*, on the question whether the Munsif had jurisdiction, that the value of the subject-matter of the suit was the value of the mortgagee's right, *quâ* the plaintiff's share; and as the value of such right did not exceed R1,000 even if it were held that the mortgaged property was further incumbered with such bond, such suit was cognisable in the Munsif's Court. The principle

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued*

1 SUITS—*continued*

Redemption, Suit for—*continued*

laid down in *Gobind Singh* v *Kallu, I L R., 2 All, 778,* followed BAHADUR v NAWAB JAN [I L R, 3 All, 822

63 ——— *Joint mortgage —Jurisdiction —Court fee —Valuation of suit — "Subject matter in dispute"—Act VII of 1870, s 7, art ix —Act VI of 1871, s 20 —Statute, Construction of*—A deed of mortgage was executed by *P*, *T*, and *S*, for R4,000 *A*, the purchaser of the share of *S*, brought a suit for recovery of possession of one third of the mortgaged property against the mortgagees who had purchased the shares of *P* and *T*, the other mortgagors *Held* by the Full Bench with reference to section 7, article ix of the Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), that the defendants mortgagees having bought up the equity of redemption of two of the mortgagors, and *pro tanto* extinguished their mortgage debt, and so by their own act empowered the plaintiff to sue for redemption of one third of the property, the principal money now secured as between them and the plaintiff must now be regarded as one third of the original mortgage amount,—namely, R1 333 5 4,—more particularly as fiscal enactments should, as far as possible, be construed in favour of the subject *Balkrishna Dhondo* v *Nagvekar, I L R, 6 Bom, 324* referred to *Held*, also, with reference to the terms of section 20 of the Bengal Civil Courts Act (VI of 1871), that the "subject matter in dispute" in suits of this kind was the amount of the mortgage debt and the mortgagee's rights which were sought to be paid off, that from the terms of the plaint it was obvious that in the present case the subject matter in dispute was R1,333 5 4, the one third of the original mortgage sum of R4,000, and that it was therefore beyond the limits of the Munsif's pecuniary jurisdiction *Per* MAHMOOD, *J*—It is a rule of construction that while in cases of taxation everything must be strictly construed in favour of the subject, in questions of jurisdiction the presumption is in favour of giving jurisdiction to the highest Court Observations by MAHMOOD *J*, as to the subject matter of suits for the redemption of mortgages, and the mode in which the value of such subject matter should be calculated for purposes of jurisdiction AMANAT BEGAM v BHAJAN LAL I L R, 8 All, 438

64 ——— *Usufructuary mortgage —Overvaluation of suit, Effect of —Jurisdiction* —The mere fact that a suit has been overvalued does not deprive the Court in which it is brought of jurisdiction, if the overvaluation was *bonâ fide* and had not the effect of altering the appellate jurisdiction —that is to say did not cause the appeal from the judgment of the Court of first instance to lie to a different Court from that to which it would have lain had the suit been instituted in a Court having a more limited jurisdiction. RAJENDRO LALL GOSSAMI v SHAMA CHURN LAHORI I L R, 5 Calc, 188 [4 C L R, 417

65 ——— *Suit to redeem mortgaged land paying revenue to Government —*

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued*

1 SUITS—*continued*

Redemption, Suit for—*continued*

The stamp duty payable under section B of Act X of 1862, on a suit to redeem mortgaged land paying revenue to Government, should be calculated on the sum for which the land is mortgaged, and not on the market value of such land NANDRAM SUNDARJI NAIK v BALAJI VITHAL 5 Bom., A C., 153

66 ——— *Suit by kanam holder against jenmi and holders of prior kanam in possession* —A suit brought by a kanam holder against the jenmi and the holders of a prior kanam in possession, to recover possession of the lands, may be properly treated for the purpose of jurisdiction, as a suit for land although it results in a decree for redemption and, if regarded as a redemption suit, would be cognisable by a Court of subordinate jurisdiction MARAKAR v. PARAMESWARAN [I L. R, 6 Mad., 140

67 ——— **Restitution of conjugal rights, Suit for** —*Burma Courts Act, 1875, s 49 —Appeal* —The proviso in section 49 of the Burma Courts Act amounts to an express declaration that it is a condition precedent to the right of appeal from the Recorder's Court that the suit shall be one which has an amount or value capable of being estimated in money, and that that amount or value must fall within certain specified limits A suit for the restitution of conjugal rights is incapable of being valued, and no appeal therefore in such a suit will lie under the Burma Courts Act from a decision of the Recorder of Rangoon GOLAM RAHMAN v FATIMA BIBI [I. L. R, 13 Calc, 232

68 ——— **Sale, Suit to set aside** —*Sale in execution of decree —Value of property sold* —In a suit to set aside an auction sale, the plaint must be stamped as if the suit were for the recovery of the property DEAPU CHOWDHRY v ISHAN CHUNDER DAS 9 C L R, 231

69 ——— **Share of land, Suit for** — *Suit relating to land —Rental of share* —In valuing a suit relating to a share of land, the rental of the share is to be the criterion of the stamp RAM BUKSH THAKOOR v AJOODHYA LAL [2 W R, Mis, 45

70 ——— **Waste lands, Suit for** —*Act XXIII of 1863 (Waste Lands) s 5, Suit under* — In a suit under section 5, Act XXIII of 1863, by a claimant to waste land proposed to be sold or otherwise dealt with on account of Government, or by an objection to the sale or other disposition of such land, the plaint must be on a stamp of R100 GREESH CHUNDER ROY v COLLECTOR OF SYLHET [7 W R, 349

2 APPEALS

71 ——— **Question of valuation** —*Appellate Court, Power of —Act XXVI of 1867* —An Appellate Court has no power to set aside a decision arrived at by the Court of first instance as to the

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued.*

2. APPEALS—*continued.*

Question of valuation—*continued.*

valuation of the property in suit. MAHIZUDDIN *v.* KARIMUNISSA BIBEE [6 B. L. R., Ap., 11: 14 W. R., 381

ISHAN CHANDRA MOOKERJEE *v.* LOKENATH ROY [6 B. L. R., Ap., 12: 14 W. R., 451

72. ——— *Jurisdiction.—Appellate Court.*—Where it appears on appeal that the suit has not been rightly valued, and if rightly valued the Court of first instance would not have had jurisdiction to try it, the Appellate Court may entertain the objection, though it had not been raised in the Court below. SHEO GOBIND RAUT *v.* ABHAI NARAIN SINGH . . . 5 B. L. R., Ap., 17

73. ——— Undervaluation.—*Ground for dismissing appeal.—Insufficient stamp.*—Where an appeal was brought on an insufficient stamp, the appeal was dismissed without prejudice to the appellant bringing a fresh appeal within twenty days on a full stamp. WALI ALAM *v.* NASRAN [3 B. L. R., Ap., 104

S. C. WOLEE ALUM *v.* MISRUN . 12 W. R., 50

74. ——— *Ground for dismissing appeal.*—When a suit has been admitted upon a certain stamp, tried, and decreed for the plaintiff, "undervaluation" is no ground for dismissing the defendant's appeal. EMAMUDDIN KHAN *v.* RAMKISHORE KOWAR . . 5 B. L. R., Ap., 30

75. ——— *Insufficiently-stamped appeal.—Deputy Registrar, Power of.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 31.*—The Deputy Registrar has no authority to make an order returning a petition of appeal when the stamp fee paid upon is insufficient. The right course for that officer, if his requirements as to stamps are not complied with, is to lay the matter before the Court. But if the appellant is ready to pay what is required, then, whether the time for filing the appeal has expired or not, the Deputy Registrar is bound to receive it if it was originally presented in time. AMBUR ALI *v.* KALI CHAND DOSS . . . 24 W. R., 258

76. ——— Overvaluation.—*Refund of stamp duty.*—Where excess stamps had been filed in consequence of an overvaluation of the appeal, the surplus amount was ordered to be refunded. IN THE MATTER OF GRANT . . . 14 W. R., 47

77. ——— Law applicable to valuation.—*Law in force at presentation of appeal.*—The valuation of an appeal must be according to the Act in force at the time of its presentation, and the original valuation under a law obsolete at the period of appeal can have no influence in the decision. ANONYMOUS . . . . 5 Mad., Ap., 44

BHUGOBUTTY KOOER *v.* KUSTOORES KOOER [15 W. R., 272

78. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 229.—Change of law between date of*

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued.*

2. APPEALS—*continued.*

Law applicable to valuation—*continued.*

original suit and date of claim, Effect of, on jurisdiction.—The subject-matter of an appeal should be valued for the purpose of jurisdiction according to the law in force at the date of the appeal, and not of the suit which has led to it. For the purpose of jurisdiction, a claim under section 229 of Act VIII of 1859 is a fresh suit, and not a continuation of the suit in which the claim is made; so that where, by reason of a change in the law as to the mode of valuing suits for the purpose of jurisdiction between the date of the original suit and the claim, the Court that dealt with the original suit ceases to have jurisdiction over the subject-matter of the claim, that Court cannot try the claim. MUTTUMMAL *v.* CHINNANA GOUNDEN . . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 220

79. ——— Computation of value.—*Valuation of appeal for jurisdiction.—Mad. Act III of 1873 (Madras Civil Courts Act).*—According to section 18 of Act III of 1873 (the Madras Civil Courts Act), it is the money value of the original suit that fixes the jurisdiction throughout the subsequent litigation in its several stages. *Held*, therefore, where the amount of the original suit was more than R5,000, and an appeal was preferred to the District Court, but the amount in dispute in the appeal did not exceed R5,000, that the District Court had no jurisdiction to hear the appeal. MUTHUSAMI PILLAI *v.* MUTHU CHIDAMBARA CHETTI [7 Mad., 356

80. ——— Appeals in measurement cases.—*Miscellaneous petitions.*—Petitions of appeal in cases to obtain an order for measurement may be written on the stamp used for miscellaneous petitions. SMITH *v.* NUNDUN LAL [6 W. R., Act X, 13

81. ——— *Right to measure valued at specified amount.*—Where a zemindar values his right to measure at a certain amount, the petition of appeal must be written on a regular stamp according to such valuation, and not upon a stamp used for miscellaneous petitions. OOMA CHUNN BISWAS *v.* SHIBNATH BAGCHEE . 8 W. R., 14

82. ——— Appeal from order declaring party to have no locus standi.—*Miscellaneous appeal.—Petition.*—An appeal from an order of the lower Appellate Court, declaring that a party who claimed to be in possession of property taken in execution of a decree to which he was no party, and with which he had no concern, had no *locus standi* in the execution case, is in the nature of a miscellaneous appeal, and should be on a stamp for an ordinary petition. MOHESH CHUNDER BANERJEE *v.* CHUNDER MONEE DABEE . 9 W. R., 139

83. ——— Appeal from order rejecting application to set aside ex parte decision.—*Summary appeal.*—The stamp required for a petition of appeal from an order rejecting an application to set aside an *ex parte* decision under section

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued.*

2. APPEALS—*continued.*

Appeal from order rejecting application to set aside ex parte decision—*continued.*

119, Act VIII of 1859, was a two-rupee stamp. Such an appeal was treated as a summary and not a regular appeal. PARBUTTY *v.* GREEDHAREE LALL [4 W. R., Mis., 15

84. ——— Appeal from order rejecting plaint for misjoinder.—*Miscellaneous appeal.—Stamp.*—An appeal from an order rejecting a plaint for misjoinder is a miscellaneous appeal; and if it is rejected, an appeal from the order of rejection is also of the nature of a miscellaneous appeal, and is to be valued and stamped as such. KOSSELLA KOER *v.* BEHAREE PATUCK . . . 12 W. R., 70

85. ——— Appeal by mortgagee on question of lien.—Where the appeal by the mortgagee was not with reference to the property, but to a mortgage lien,—*Held* that the valuation for the purpose of stamp in such appeals should be with reference to the value of the lien for the mortgage-debt of incumbrance, and not with reference to the value of the mortgaged property. MAHOMED SHEEHUN KHAN *v.* MISSER KOONDUN LALL. BHEEKA *v.* NUND KISHORE. [Agra, F. B., 158 : Ed. 1874, 119

86. ——— Appeal in suit for profits in respect of several years.—*Court fees.—Distinct causes of action.—Distinct subjects.—Act VII of 1870 (Court Fees Act), s. 17.—Civil Procedure Code, ss. 43, 44.*—In an appeal in a suit for recovery of profits under section 93 (*h*) of the N.-W. P. Rent Act in respect of several years, the proper court fee leviable on the memorandum of appeal is one calculated on the aggregate amount of the profits claimed, and not one calculated separately on the amount of profits claimed for each year MUHAMMAD MALIK KHAN *v.* NIRHAI BIBI [I. L. R., 7 All., 761

87. ——— Appeal from rejection of claim by forest settlement officer.—*Mad. Forest Act (V of 1882), s. 10.—Appeal to the District Court.—Court Fees Act, sch. II, art. 11 (a); art. 17, cl. vi.*—An appeal to the District Court from the rejection of a claim by a forest settlement officer under clause 2 of section 10 of the Madras Forest Act, 1882, falls under article 17, clause VI, and not under article 11 (*a*) of schedule II of the Court Fees Act, 1870. KAMARAJA *v.* SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA . . I. L. R., 8 Mad., 22

88. ——— Appeal from order disallowing an application to file an agreement to refer to arbitration.—*Court fee, Mode of calculation of.—Per* OLDFIELD, *J.*—The court fee payable on a memorandum of appeal from an order under section 523 of the Civil Procedure Code, disallowing an application to file an agreement to refer to arbitration, is an *ad valorem* fee computed on the value of the subject matter in dispute in the appeal. DAYA NAND *v.* BAKHTAWAR SINGH [I. L. R., 5 All., 333

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued.*

2. APPEALS—*continued.*

89. ——— Appeal from order disposing of dispute under Civil Procedure Code, s. 322B.—*Dispute as to extent of judgment-debtor's liability to claim.—Nature of appeal.—Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, sch. II, No. 11.*—An appeal from the decision of a dispute under section 322B of the Civil Procedure Code falls directly within the exception of article 11, schedule II of the Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), and the memorandum of appeal should therefore be presented as for a decree in a suit upon an *ad valorem* stamp. *Srinivasa Ayyangar* v. *Pria Tambi Nayakar, I. L. R., 4 Mad., 420,* dissented from. AHMAD KHAN *v.* MADHO DAS . . . . I. L. R., 7 All., 565

90. ——— Appeal in partition suit.—*Court Fees Act, sch. II, art. 17, cl. 6.—Stamp on memorandum of appeal in partition suit.*—The stamp fee payable on appeals to the High Court in suits asking for "partition, the separation of a share, and for khas possession of that share after separation," is that leviable under article VI, clause 17, schedule II of the Court Fees Act. For the purpose of jurisdiction the Court should be guided by the value of the property in suit, but the amount of the stamp fee should be governed by a different principle. KIRTY CHURN MITTER *v.* AUNATH NATH DEB . I. L. R., 8 Calc., 757: 11 C. L. R., 95

91. ——— Appeal from decree for possession disallowing perpetual character of leases.—A suit for possession of certain lands having been decreed on the ground of plaintiff's right of occupancy, but the perpetual (mirasi) character of the leases under which the claim had been made having been disallowed, an appeal was preferred to have it declared that the leases were perpetual. *Held* that, as the value of the claim would be the difference in the value of the land as held under a mirasi tenure at a fixed rent, or an ordinary tenure at a fluctuating rent, and as this might be an extremely difficult calculation, the stamp fee upon the appeal would be properly fixed according to the valuation put by the appellant upon the subject-matter of his claim. KEBUL RAM MUNDUL *v.* WELLS . . . . 24 W. R., 454

92. ——— Appeal in suit to enforce a right of pre-emption.—*Appeal by purchaser.—Court fee.—Act VII of 1870 (Court Fees Act), s. 7, (i) and (vi).*—Where, in a suit to enforce a right of pre-emption, a decree was passed against the vendees-defendants, and they appealed from the same on the grounds that they were entitled to receive from the plaintiffs-pre-emptors a sum larger than that found by the Court of first instance to have been the purchase-money, and also that the plaintiffs had estopped themselves from asserting the right by refusing to purchase,—*Held* that the nature of the suit was not changed in appeal, and that, on the contrary, the subject-matter of the dispute between the parties was the right of pre-emption, the value of which, for the purposes of court fee, was to be determined in manner directed by section

VALUATION OF SUITS—*continued*

2 APPEALS—*continued*

Appeal in suit to enforce a right of pre-emption—*continued*

7, clause (vi) of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870 *Ram Lakhan Rai* v *Bandan Rai* distinguished Where an appeal is preferred in a suit for pre emption on the ground that the right to pre empt has or has not been established, as the case may be no matter what other pleas may be taken the value of the subject matter in dispute, for the purposes of the Court Fees Act, must be determined as in terms provided in article (vi) of section 7 of the Act Where the question in appeal relates solely to the amount to be paid by the pre emptor, the court fee should be calculated *ad valorem* on the difference between the amounts alleged as the sale price on the one side and the other HAFIZ AHMAD v SOBHA RAM I L R, 6 All, 488

93 ——— **Appeal in suit for redemption of usufructuary mortgage**—*Beng Civil Courts Act (VI of 1871) s 22*—The plaintiffs sued for the possession of certain immoveable property alleging that they had mortgaged such property to the defendants, and that the mortgage debt had been satisfied out of the profits of the property The defendants set up a defence to the suit which raised the question of the proprietary right of the plaintiffs to the property The value of the mortgagees' interests in the property was below R5 000, the value of the mortgaged property exceeded that amount On appeal to the High Court from the original decree of the Subordinate Judge in the suit it was contended that the appeal from that decree lay to the District Court and not to the High Court *Held* that the "subject-matter in dispute," within the meaning of section 22 of VI of 1871, was the mortgage and the mortgagees' rights under it, and that, the value of this being only R2 000, the appeal should have been preferred to the District Court Second Appeal No 1039 of 1877 dissented from GOBIND SINGH v KALLU [I L. R., 2 All., 778

VALUE OF PROPERTY, STATEMENT IN WILL AS TO—

See EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—RECITALS IN DOCUMENTS I. L R., 1 Bom, 561

VARIANCE BETWEEN BOUGHT AND SOLD NOTES

See EVIDENCE—PAROL EVIDENCE—VARYING OR CONTRADICTING WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS. 9 B L R, 245

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF

		Col
1	GENERAL CASES	6094
2	SPECIAL CASES	6096
3	ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM	6114

See CASES UNDER ESTOPPEL—ESTOPPEL BY DEEDS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued*

See CASES UNDER ISSUES—FRESH OR ADDITIONAL ISSUES

See TITLE—EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE—LONG POSSESSION [I L. R, 2 Calc., 418

See WRITTEN STATEMENT [I L R, 1 Bom, 209

1 GENERAL CASES

1. ——— **Decision on point not raised in pleadings or issues**—A plaintiff must recover *secundum allegata et probata*, and no decree should be given in his favour on a point not raised in the pleadings nor embodied in an issue JOYTARA DASSEE v MAHOMED MOBARUCK [I L R, 8 Calc, 975 11 C L R, 399

JANKEE v JHANJOO . 2 N W, 407

MOOKTAKESHEE DEBEA v COLLECTOR OF BURDWAN . 12 W R, 204

TARA CHAND ROY v NOBIN CHUNDER ROY [21 W R, 132

PROTAP CHUNDER BOROOAH v COLLECTOR OF GOWALPARA 22 W R, 216

2 ——— **Amendment of case**—*Mistake or misapprehension*—A plaintiff can be allowed to amend his case only when he has an honest case but either through mistake or some misapprehension he has not placed the real facts before the Court. BHYRO DUTT v LEKHRANEE KOOER [16 W R., 123

3 ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, Operation of, as compared with old procedure in equity*—Under the Civil Procedure Code parties are not bound so strictly to the pleadings as in an equity suit under the old procedure, if their being so bound would work injustice DOSSEE v TARRACHURN COONDOO CHOWDHRY [Bourke, A O C, 48

4 ——— **Variance in plaint.**—*Dismissal of suit, Ground for*—*Held* by a majority that the Code of Civil Procedure does not require the dismissal of a suit by reason of any variance in the plaint MAHOMED REEZAOODEEN v HOSSEIN BUKSH KHAN . . 1 W. R., 300

5 ——— **Raising issues after variance is shown.**—A plaintiff will not be allowed to set up one case, and having proved another, to ask for issues to be raised to suit the proof; but when a plaint and its proof necessarily lead to one or more particular issues it is the duty of the Court, if these issues do not come by surprise on the defendant to raise such issues and to give the relief thereon to which the plaintiff is entitled OBHOYCHURN MULLICK v WOOMES CHUNDER PAUL 2 Hyde, 263

6 ——— **Proof of cause of action not alleged.**—*Dismissal of suit, Ground for*—*Claim on one cause of action, evidence showing another*—

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

1 GENERAL CASES—*continued.*

Proof of cause of action not alleged—*continued*

Where a plaintiff sues on one cause of action and in support thereof gives evidence which, if it establishes anything, establishes a different cause of action, the Court acts properly in dismissing his suit. MUDHOOSOODUN GOSSAMEE v HILLS . 10 W. R., 242

7. ——— **Amount proved exceeding amount claimed**—*Decree*—Where the amount to which the plaintiff would be entitled on the evidence exceeds that specified in the plaint, plaintiff is restricted to the amount so specified NATHOORAM v JARDINE, SKINNER, & Co . . Cor., 118

8. ——— **Presumption from failure to prove allegations.**—*Onus of proof*—An adversary is entitled to the benefit of such presumptions as naturally arise from a party's failure to prove his allegations, even though the onus was in the first instance on the former. GUNEE BISWAS v SEEB GOPAL PAUL CHOWDHRY 8 W. R., 395

9 ——— **Failure to prove precise case pleaded.**—*Decree, Right to*—A previous ruling in *Beejoynath Chatterjee* v *Lukhee Monee Dabee, 12 W R, 248*, explained not to mean that a plaintiff must either get the thing he claims or nothing at all, but that having come into Court upon one title, which he asks to have declared and fails to prove, a plaintiff cannot claim the declaration of another GOLUCK CHUNDER SIRCAR v ISHAN CHUNDER DEB 23 W. R., 437

10. ——— **Right to make party liable in different character.**—*Suit against party personally.—Representative's liability*—In a suit to recover advances made to the defendant to carry on an indigo factory under a karbarnamah, in which it was agreed that the advance should first be repaid out of the profits realised from the manufacture, where it was found that the sale of the indigo had yielded more than the amount advanced, but had been credited by the plaintiff to old debts owing him by the defendant's father instead of to the defendant's personal debt,—*Held* that the plaintiff had violated the terms of the agreement, and had not in good faith attempted to make the defendant personally liable, and he could not be allowed to proceed against the defendant as representative of his father PEREOUX v. LUCHMEEPUT SINGH . . 12 W R., 113

11. ——— **Unestablished defence.**—*Decree, Right to*—The Court should not necessarily decree the plaintiff's claim in full because the defence set up by defendant has entirely failed MUTLOOB ALI v. KIRIA . 1 Agra, 276

12. ——— **Defence not set up by defendant.**—*Inconsistent defence.*—It is not competent to a Court to set up a defence not only not made by the defendant, but inconsistent with his own statement SHURUT SOONDUREE DABEE v PURESH NARAIN ROY 13 W. R, 464

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

1 GENERAL CASES—*continued*

Defence not set up by defendant—*continued*

RADHA BINODE DUTT v KOOTABODE MUNDUL [15 W. R, 363

CHITTRA COOMARY BEBEE v RAM LALL MOOKERJEE . . . 18 W. R, 334

RAJARAM BANERJEE v SONATUN ROY [23 W R, 404

2. SPECIAL CASES

13. ——— **Account, Suit for balance of.**—*Failure to prove balance alleged—Issues—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s 141—Held*, in contravention of various rulings of the late Sudder Court, that a suit brought on an alleged settlement of accounts, and balance struck and admitted, should not be dismissed merely on account of the plaintiff's failing to prove the alleged settlement and admission of balance by defendant; but that the Court, being competent under section 141 of the Civil Procedure Code to amend or frame additional issues that may be necessary to determine the real question or controversy between the parties, ought to enter into evidence regarding the items composing the account, and decree the claim regarding such items, if they are found to be due and not otherwise barred KISHUN PERSHAD v BHAWANEE DEEN [Agra, F. B., 47 · Ed. 1874, 35

RAMSAHOY v. SEETHOO [1 N. W., 28: Ed. 1873, 26

But where the issues had been framed solely on the alleged adjustment, the suit was held to be rightly dismissed NOBIN CHUNDER KOONDOO v SREEDHUR BHUTTACHARJEE ; 15 W. R., 24

14. ——— **Alienation, Suit to set aside.**—*Variance between case in plaint and evidence—Raising ground not taken in plaint*—The plaintiff, a Hindu, sued to set aside a certain alienation, on the ground that the alienor was an illegitimate son of the plaintiff's grandfather, and therefore had no interest in the property. Not being able to substantiate this ground in the first Court, the plaintiff, on appeal, raised a new ground,—*viz*, that the alienation was bad, because, under the Mitakshara law, the owner of a share in a joint ancestral estate is not competent to alienate his share without the consent of the other heirs *Held* that such variance could not be allowed, and that the plaintiff must prove his case as laid in the plaint SRI PRASAD v RAJ GURU TRIAMBUKNATH DEO [6 B. L. R., 555: 14 W. R., 386

15. ——— *Failure to prove case—Raising fresh case on appeal.*—In a suit to set aside a sale of ancestral property by a minor's father and guardian as made without necessity and for the father's profligate expenditure, and without inquiry by the purchasers as to whether it was for the infant's benefit, the defendants alleged that the sale was made under pressure of a foreclosure suit on account of a demand under a former mortgage

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

2. SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Alienation, Suit to set aside—*continued.*

for an ancestral debt. Plaintiff having failed to establish his case, sought to go back and open the consideration for the mortgage made so long as twenty years ago, but the Privy Council, agreeing with the High Court, refused to allow him to do so. HUMEEDA *alias* KHAJOO *v.* AMATOOL MENDER BEGUM . . . . . 17 W. R., P. C., 106

16. ——— **Company.**—*Contributories. List of.—Amendment of plaint.*—Where the holder of shares in a company was described in the list of contributories, against whom a balance order by the Court of Chancery had been made, as "Devji Bhanji, cotton merchant," and as being sued "in his own right," —*Held* that the plaintiffs' company could not be allowed to give evidence that the shares were in fact held by a firm consisting of two individuals named respectively Bhanji Zutani and Devji Hemraj; nor could the plaintiffs be allowed, at the hearing of the appeal, to amend their plaint, originally framed against both partners, with a view to making the firm liable for the amount of the calls, so as to sue Bhanji Zutani only, who alone was alleged to have signed the articles and memorandum of association in the name of Devji Bhanji, and to make him personally liable as the holder of the shares. *Weikersheim's case, L. R., 8 Ch., Ap., 831,* distinguished. LONDON, BOMBAY, AND MEDITERRANEAN BANK, *v.* BHANJI ZUTANI . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 116

17. ——— **Compromise.**—*Failure to prove case.—Right to succeed on ground not alleged.*—Where the plaintiff sued to have a deed of compromise set aside as having been fraudulently entered into behind his back and without his knowledge, and failed to prove any fraud or collusion,—*Held* that he was not entitled to a decree on the ground taken on appeal, that the document was invalid as being unregistered, declaring that it did not affect his interests. MADHUB ALI KHAN *v.* HOSSAIN REZA KHAN . . . . . 4 C. L. R., 52

18. ——— **Contract.**—*Assumption of facts, Decision on.*—The determinations in a cause should be founded upon a case either to be found in the pleadings or involved in or consistent with the case thereby made. Therefore, where the relief sought by the plaint is grounded on a contract, the case must not be determined upon an alleged equity resulting from a different state of facts and inconsistent with that alleged by the plaintiff. ESHAN CHUNDER SINGH *v.* SHAMACHURN BHUTTO

[2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 87: 6 W. R., P. C., 57
11 Moore's I. A., 7

Followed in DOSS RAM DOSS *v.* MOHENDRO ROY DEOHA . . . . . 18 W. R., 274

19. ——— *Issues.—Amendment of plaint.—Variance between case in plaint and evidence.*—The plaintiffs sued the defendants for damages for breach of contract, alleging in their plaint that they had agreed to sell, and the defendants to purchase, certain indigo seed, but that the defendants had refused to take delivery, although the plaintiffs were ready and willing to deliver the same. Upon the evidence of the plaintiffs, it appeared that there was no contract as alleged in the plaint, but the contract, as stated by them, was that they (the plaintiffs) were to purchase seeds as agents for the defendants. The Judge dismissed the suit on the ground that the plaintiffs were bound to prove their case as stated in the plaint. *Held* that that the suit ought not to have been dismissed on that ground. The issues raised admitted of the true question being tried,—*viz.,* whether, under the circumstances, the defendants were liable to pay the price of the seed; and if they did not, the Court ought to have amended the issues, or framed additional ones. The object of the plaint is merely to bring the matter in dispute before the Court, but it is for the Court, upon the statements before it, to determine the real issue between the parties. ARBUTHNOT *v.* BETTS . . 6 B. L. R., 278: 14 W. R., 181

20. ——— **Ejectment, Suit for.**—*Failure to prove lease.—Reliance on general title, Right of.—Case stated in plaint.*—Where a lessor sues to eject his tenant on the expiration of the latter's term, or for breach of the conditions of his lease, and fails to prove the lease, he is not ordinarily at liberty in the same suit, ignoring the lease, to fall back upon his general title as though he had not set up and failed to prove the alleged lease. A plaintiff must be limited to the case which he puts forward in his plaint, but he may put forward an alternative case in his plaint from the commencement, as the defendant then will know that he has more than one case to meet, and will not be taken by surprise. LAKSHMIBAI *v.* HARI BIN RAVJI . . . . 9 Bom., 1

21. ——— **Fraud.**—*Failure to prove specific case of fraud.*—Where the plaintiff in his pleadings pledged himself to prove a specific case of fraud, and made his cause of action entirely dependent on that, he was not allowed to succeed, when he failed to prove fraud, on a collateral matter. SAHEB ROY *v.* GUJADHUR PERSHAD NARAIN SINGH

[22 W. R., 221

22. ——— **Mortgage.**—*Suit for redemption.—Decree on mortgage set up by defendants and not on that alleged by plaintiff.*—In a suit to redeem, the plaintiff produced a mortgage the genuineness of which the defendants denied, but they produced a mortgage from the plaintiff's ancestors to their ancestors. The Principal Sudder Ameen made a decree for the restoration of the lands according to the terms of the mortgage produced by the defendants. The Civil Judge reversed the decision. *Held,* on special appeal, that the Principal Sudder Ameen was justified in making the decree which he gave, it not being inconsistent with the relief prayed for by the plaint. UNICHA KANDYIL KUNHI KUTTI NAIR *v.* VALIA PIDIGAIL KUNHAMED KUTTI MARACCAR

[4 Mad., 359

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

2. SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Mortgage—*continued.*

23. ——————— *Suit for redemption.—Evidence given of other mortgage than the mortgage in respect of which suit brought.—Evidence Act, I of 1872, s. 35.—Statement of a survey officer as to entry as occupant how far admissible.*—The plaintiff sued to redeem certain lands alleged to have been mortgaged by his ancestor to the ancestors of the defendants in 1823. At the hearing the deed of mortgage, in respect of which the suit was brought, was produced, but another mortgage of about the same date was produced and proved by the plaintiff. The lower Courts passed a decree for the plaintiff. The defendants appealed. *Held* (reversing the decree of the lower Courts) that where a particular instrument is sued on as the basis of a right, it is incumbent on the plaintiff to establish his case on that particular cause of action, not on a cause of action merely bearing the same common name, or of the same description, and so included in the same class. Under section 35 of the Evidence Act, I of 1872, a statement by the survey officer that the name of this or that person was entered as occupant would be admissible if relevant, but it would not be admissible to prove the reasons for such an entry as facts in another case. GOVINDRAV DESHMUKH *v.* RAGHO DESHMUKH . [I. L. R., 8 Bom., 543

24. ——————— *Change of nature of suit.*—The plaintiff sued to redeem a mortgage, alleging that it was made in the year A.D. 1821 for R25. The defendant admitted the mortgage, but alleged that it was made in A.D. 1791 for R110, and contended that the suit was barred by limitation. The Subordinate Judge held that the mortgage had been made for the amount and at the date alleged by the defendant, but that the suit was not time-barred, as the mortgagor's title had been acknowledged by the mortgagee within the period of limitation. He accordingly made a decree for redemption on terms consistent with the plea of the defendant, but opposed to that of the plaintiff. On appeal, the Assistant Judge agreed with the first Court as to the merits of the case, but reversed its decree on the ground that the plaintiff was not entitled to succeed on a state of facts inconsistent with the case set forth in the plaint, observing that a plaintiff ought not to be allowed to change his cause of action. *Held* by the High Court, on second appeal, that the decree made by the first Court in favour of the plaintiff did not in any way proceed upon a cause of action different from that made in the plaint, and that the cause of action remained the same,—namely, the right of the mortgagor to redeem from a mortgagee. A plaintiff ought not to be allowed to alter his case so as to convert a suit of one character into a suit of another and inconsistent character. LAKSHMAN BHIKAJEE *v.* HARI DINKAR DESAI . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 584

25. ——————— *Alteration of case from that made in plaint.*—Upon a mortgage of land made little less than sixty years before the present suit, a decree followed in 1825 to the effect that an account having been taken of what was due on the mortgage, the mortgagor might at any time make a tender of such mortgage-money with interest up to date, and require that the land should be restored. The plaintiff, representing the interest of the original mortgagor, sued for redemption of the mortgage, treating the above decree as regulating the rights of the parties from the time when it was made. *Held* that the plaintiff not having sought by his plaint to redeem the mortgage, or alleged that there had been acknowledgment, could not in the present appeal fall back on a right to redeem such mortgage, although the latter might be within limitation, as that would be to make a case different from the one tried and decided in the Courts below. Accordingly, the suit had been properly dismissed. HARI RAVJI CHIPLUNKAR *v.* SHAPURJI HORMASJI SHET [I. L. R., 10 Bom., 461

26. ——————— *Suit for redemption of immoveable property brought as donee.—Title of plaintiff as reversioner.*—In a suit for the redemption of immoveable property brought by the plaintiff as donee from a Hindu widow of the equity of redemption, the plaintiff's right to the property as reversioner cannot be inquired into, notwithstanding an allegation in the plaint that he was a near relative of the husband of the donor. JAGANNATH VITHAL *v.* APAJI VISHNU . 5 Bom., A. C., 217

27. ——————— *Procedure.*—Where a mortgagor sues to recover possession of the mortgaged property on the ground that the loan has been paid off from the assets of the estate, and that he is entitled to recover surplus collections, and the Court finds that a large balance in favour of the mortgagee still exists, the plaintiff is not entitled to a conditional decree, but the suit should be dismissed. KUNDUN LAL *v.* SASTA KOOER. SASTA KOOER *v.* KUNDUN LAL. . . . . 8 W. R., 369

But *see* BOISTUB DOSS KOONDOO *v.* HURO NARAIN HALDAR . . . 17 W. R., 408

28. ——————— *Usufructuary mortgage.—Failure of claim to enforce lien.—Compensation for breach of contract to give mortgagee possession.*—A usufructuary mortgagee, the mortgagor having broken his agreement to give him possession of the mortgaged property, sued the mortgagor to recover the principal mortgage-money and interest by enforcement of lien. The property was not hypothecated as security for the mortgage-money. *Held* that it was inequitable to dismiss the suit for that reason, the defendant having been guilty of a breach of the contract of mortgage, for which the plaintiff was entitled to compensation; that although the plaintiff did not expressly claim such relief, yet, regard being had to the pleadings and evidence in the case, the suit might be treated as one for such relief; and that on estimating the compensation which should be awarded, the principal mortgage-

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

2. SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Mortgage—*continued.*

money with interest at the rate specified in the contract of mortgage might fairly be taken as a reasonable guide. MAHESH SINGH *v.* CHAUHARIA SINGH [I. L. R., 4 All., 245

29. ——— *Usufructuary mortgage.—Suit to enforce hypothecation.—Compensation for breach of contract.—Money lent.—Money had and received for plaintiff's use.*—An instrument of mortgage provided that the mortgagors should deliver possession of the mortgaged property to the mortgagee, and the latter should retain possession, setting off profits against interest, until the former should redeem, by payment of the principal sum, which they were at liberty to do in the month of Jaith in any year they pleased. The mortgagors having failed to deliver possession of the mortgaged property, the mortgagee sued them for the principal sum and interest, asking for enforcement of lien. The instrument of mortgage did not contain any hypothecation of the property. *Held* that, although the suit, so far as it sought enforcement of lien, wholly failed, there being no hypothecation of the property, yet it was not equitable or proper that, as regards the money-claim, the mortgagee should be relegated to a fresh suit, inasmuch as a cause of action was disclosed, whether the suit was regarded as one for compensation in damages for breach of contract, or for money had and received for the plaintiff's use, or for money lent, and the suit should be determined on its merits. SHEO NARAIN *v.* JAI GOBIND [I. L. R., 4 All., 281

30. ——— Partition.—*Failure of suit.—Right to declaration of share.*—Where the main object of a suit framed and valued as a suit for partition of a portion of the estate fails, the plaintiff is not entitled to turn round and ask for a declaration as to the extent of his share. RUTTUN MONEE DUTT *v.* BROJO MOHUN DUTT . . . 22 W. R., 333

Affirming S. C. . . . . 22 W. R., 11

31. ——— Possession.—*Moveable property.—Making different case on appeal.*—In a suit for delivery over to plaintiff of papers said to be in the possession of defendant, the answer of the latter was that he had made over the papers to the plaintiff's son. This plea was put in issue in the first Court, which found that some papers had been delivered as alleged, and made a decree ordering the delivery of certain other of the papers. On appeal, the attention of the Judge was principally directed to the point whether the receipt of the papers by the plaintiff's son was a receipt by him as plaintiff's agent. *Held* that this point was a departure wholly from the case made below, and ought not to have been entertained on appeal. PUNCHANUN ROY *v.* TROYLUCKHOMOHINEE DOSSEE . . . . . 14 W. R., 466

32. ——— *Immoveable property.—Separate acquisition.—Held* that the question of possession was not a proper one for decision when a plea of limitation was overruled, and the claim was found to be based, not on the fact of possession, but of the claimant being a member of the joint family and the property acquired by joint funds. NUND RAM *v.* CHOOTOO . . 1 Agra, 255

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

2. SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Possession—*continued.*

33. ——— Possession, Suit for.—*Accrual of cause of action.—Limitation.*—In a suit by an execution-purchaser to recover possession of landed property, where defendant pleads limitation and plaintiff proves facts from which the Court is unable to draw conclusions of law for itself, plaintiff ought not to be strictly bound to the accrual of the cause of action alleged in his plaint, so long as that arose within twelve years before commencement of the suit. MARIAM BEGUM *v.* RYE CHURN DUTT [13 W. R., 269

34. ——— *Misdescription as to situation of lands.—Identification.*—Where lands claimed under a certificate of sale as being in one village are found to be in another, it is open to the plaintiff to show that there has been a misdescription, and that, although the name of the former was used, the intention was to convey the lands he claimed situate in the latter. RAMGOPAL BARICK *v.* SHIB PERSHAD SIRCAR . . 12 W. R., 483

35. ——— *Failure to prove pottah.*—In a suit for possession by two ryots claiming under different pottahs from the same zemindar, when the defendant's pottah fails, he still has a right to have a judicial determination of his claim to occupancy. BYDNATH SHAHA *v.* JADUB CHUNDER SHAHA . . . . . 3 W. R., 208

36. ——— *Suit for possession on specific title.—Right of occupancy.*—A plaintiff who succeeds in proving the facts stated in his plaint as necessarily implying a right of occupancy, may succeed in a suit for possession even though he does not prove the title on which he specifically relied. SURJOO PERSHAD *v.* KASHEE RAWUT [21 W. R., 121

37. ——— *Decree on ground not alleged in plaint.*—The plaintiff sued for a declaration of mirasi mokurrari rights to certain lands and for mesne profits, alleging that he had been wrongfully ejected by the predecessors in title of the defendants. *Held* that the lower Courts were wrong in giving the plaintiff a decree for possession on the ground of occupancy right, he not having claimed such relief in his plaint. *Bijoya Debia* v. *Bydonath Deb, 24 W. R., 444,* followed. BRINDABUN CHUNDER SIRKAR *v.* DHUNUNJOY NUSHKUR [I. L. R., 5 Calc., 246 : 4 C. L. R., 443

38. ——— *Defendant sued as a trespasser.—Right to decree against him as a tenant.*—Where a plaintiff brings a suit for possession, alleging that the defendant is a trespasser, the

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued*

2 SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Possession, Suit for—*continued.*

moment it is shown that the defendant is not in possession as a trespasser, but holds as a tenant under the plaintiff, the suit must be dismissed, no matter what the character of that tenancy may be RAM GOLAM SINGH v HEET NARAIN SAHOO [2 C. L. R., 292

39. ——— *Failure to prove allegation of defendant's tenancy —Right to treat him as a trespasser* —Where a plaintiff sued for khas possession on the ground that the defendant was his tenant and had forfeited his tenure by denying his landlord's title, and it was found there was no relation of landlord and tenant between the parties, the plaintiff was held not entitled to succeed on the contention that the defendant was a trespasser LALJEE SINGH v BUNWARY LALL ROY [25 W. R., 448

40. ——— *Failure to prove permanent character of tenancy —Right to decree as tenants* —In a suit for possession of land on the strength of an alleged mirasi mokurrari, one of the main issues was whether the plaintiffs were or were not tenants of the land in dispute, and upon this issue it was found that the plaintiffs had acquired a title as tenants from long possession, although they failed to establish the mirasi mokurrari character of their tenure *Held* that the plaintiffs were entitled to a decree for possession *Kalee Coomar Pattur* v *Khettur Nath Baug, 17 W R 47*, and *Surjoo Pershad* v *Kashee Rawut, 21 W R, 121*, followed *Bijoya Debia* v *Bydonath Deb, 24 W R, 444*, and *Brindabun Chunder Sircar* v *Dhananjoy Luskur, I L R, 5 Calc, 346 4 C L R, 449*, distinguished SHIB CHUND LAHIRI v JOYMALA DASI . . 7 C. L. R., 103

41. ——— *Suit on ground of forcible dispossession where defendant's possession is found to be permissive* —A suit to recover possession of land on the ground of forcible dispossession, in which it was pleaded by defendant and found as a fact that the defendant's holding was of a permissive character, should be dismissed at once, the defendant's possession not being a wrongful one of the kind alleged by plaintiff The right mode of action in such a case would have been for plaintiff to serve the defendant with notice to quit the land, and thereby put an end to the permission relied upon by him PHILLIPS v NUNDOOMAR BANERJEE [8 W. R., 385

42. ——— A plaintiff's failure to prove dispossession on the particular date mentioned in the plaint is not a sufficient ground for the dismissal of the suit HURO CHUNDER CHOWDHRY v GOBIND CHUNDER MOITRO [15 W. R., 178

BOGA KOLITA v THOOLESSUR KAYASTA [24 W. R, 357

TORAB ALI v MAHOMED AMEER HOSSEIN [3 C. L R, 105

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued*

2 SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Possession, Suit for—*continued.*

43 ——— *Suit for confirmation of possession —Proof that plaintiff was out of possession —Change in form of suit* – The plaintiff sued for an adjudication of his right to, and confirmation of possession of, certain lands, on the allegation that they had been conveyed to him by one of the defendants and that he was in actual possession thereof, and that his title thereto had been impeached by the subsequent sale of the same lands by his vendor to the other defendant The Court of first instance found that the plaintiff's allegation of possession was false, and dismissed the suit *Held*, on appeal, that the suit was rightly dismissed, for though a plaintiff who brings forward a *bonâ fide* case, which he proves in substance, though not in form, would be assisted by the Court, in the absence of such special circumstances no such assistance would be afforded TERIBUTUT SINGH v GOSSAIN SUDERSAN DAS . I. L. R, 4 Calc., 46

44. ——— *Failure to prove case in plaint —Right to decree on other grounds* — At a sale held under Bengal Act VIII of 1865, the defendant purchased a shikmi tenure, and obtained possession thereof Subsequently he ousted the plaintiff from certain lands, and hence the suit by the plaintiff for recovery of possession thereof, on the ground that the property in dispute was a lakhiraj tenure created by the Raja of Tippera, and that the plaintiff was owner thereof, partly by purchase and partly by inheritance The lower Appellate Court found as a fact that the late shikmidar, and not the Raja, had granted the lands in dispute as bramatar, but not in favour of the person through whom the plaintiff claimed The Court, however, passed a decree in favour of the plaintiff, as he had been unlawfully dispossessed *Held* that the plaintiff having failed to prove the case as set up by him, and upon which he claimed, could not be entitled to a decree upon grounds other than those stated in the plaint ISWAR CHANDRA CHUCKERBUTTY v. BISTU CHANDRA CHUCKERBUTTY [3 B L. R., Ap., 97: 12 W. R., 32

45. ——— *Failure to prove case —Changing case on appeal* —Each of two proprietors, *A* and *B*, separately mortgaged the whole of the joint property to different persons *B's* mortgagee, who was prior in time, obtained a decree on his bond, sold and purchased the house In a subsequent suit for confirmation of right and possession by *A's* mortgagee he charged that the other bond and decree were fraudulent and collusive, and that *B* had no interest in the property All these allegations were found to be false by the lower Appellate Court *Held*, on special appeal, that the plaintiff could not recede from the case he had made in the lower Courts, and claim to be entitled to a decree for *A's* interest in the house DURSUN SAHOO v PEYAG RAM . . . . 2 C. L. R, 538

46 ——— *Failure to show alternative case —Right to change case in special*

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

2. SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Possession, Suit for—*continued.*

appeal.—Suit for possession of certain property as part of a joint-family property sold by a widow without authority. Plaintiff applied to appeal specially on the ground, but could cite no authority in support of it, that when the eldest member and manager of the family purchases out of his own separate funds, because the family is joint, the property must be considered as joint property. Having failed in this character, the Court declined to allow him in special appeal to come in as a reversioner, and ask for a decree declaring the widow's act void as against reversioner. MADHO PERSHAD *v.* LALLA JEETUN LALL . . . . . 17 W. R., 98

47. ——— *Joint claim.*—*Right to succeed on proof of separate title.*—Where the plaintiffs in a suit put forward a joint claim, it is not enough that one of them makes out his title; the suit should be dismissed unless the joint claim is established. RAM COMUL CHUCKERBUTTY *v.* NUND RAM COOLAL . . . 10 W. R., 262

SHEO NUNDUN PERSHAD *v.* MUKDOOM BUKSH [20 W. R., 364

48. ——— *Joint claim.*—*Right to succeed on proof of title to less share separately.*—A plaintiff suing on the ground that she was jointly entitled, was not allowed to succeed in the suit where it was shown she was only entitled to a less share in her own separate right. HURRO MONEE DOSSIA *v.* ONOOKOOL CHUNDER MOOKERJEE [8 W. R., 461

49. ——— *Claim to exclusive possession.*—*Proof of right to joint possession.*—When a plaintiff in a suit asks for one thing (*e.g.*, exclusive possession), a Court ought not to give him a decree because he proves that he is entitled to another thing (*e.g.*, joint possession). BEHJOYNATH CHATTERJEE *v.* LUCKHEE MONEE DABEE [12 W. R., 248

SEEENARAIN CHUCKERBUTTY *v.* MILLER [15 W. R., O. C., 7

50. ——— *Claim to separate possession.*—*Proof of joint possession.*—*Alteration of claim.*—When a plaintiff who claims property on the allegation that he purchased it from a person to whom it exclusively belonged, fails to prove that the property was the separate property of his vendor, he cannot have a decree for the share of the property, to which his vendor was entitled as a member of a joint family. GOUR BEHAREE RAM BHUGGUT *v.* SHEORUTTUN KOONWAR . 10 W. R., 243

51. ——— *Failure of proof of right to sole possession.*—*Decree on admission of defendant of joint possession.*—Where a plaintiff sued for sole possession and a declaration of sole title, and the defendant admitted that he was in joint possession, but the plaintiff went on with his suit in order to get a decree that he was solely entitled and in sole possession, and failed to prove his case, he was held not entitled to a decree founded on joint possession. LUKHUN SINGH *v.* NUFFUR SING . 6 W. R., 311

52. ——— *Suit for possession of share.*—*Decree for joint possession.*—In a suit to recover possession of a third part of a khanabari, where the first Court, considering that there never had been a partition in definite shares, ordered restoration to the sort of possession plaintiff had enjoyed previous to being dispossessed,—*Held* that there was no objection to the decree being in that form; and although a plaintiff does not prove the precise claim which he makes, if he is substantially right he ought to have a decree, and not be left to bring another suit. RAJKISHORE BHUDDUR *v.* HURRE MOHUN BHUDDUR . . . . . 19 W. R., 195

Dissenting from BEHJOYNATH CHATTERJEE *v.* LUCKHEE MONEE DABEE . 12 W. R., 248

53. ——— *Claim to share of property as being partitioned.*—*Relief inconsistent with allegations on plaint.*—In a suit to recover a quantity of land alleged to have formed part of a joint estate which had descended to plaintiff and his brothers, but which was subsequently divided into separate shares,—*Held* that, upon failure of proof of the allegation of partition, plaintiff might obtain relief upon the first allegation; and the Court below was not debarred by law from framing an issue as to whether plaintiff was entitled to recover to the extent of the interest which he had in the land, if found to be joint property. FUKEER DASS POOROHEET *v.* GOPAL MOOKERJEE . . 12 W. R., 107

54. ——— *Claim to property on separate title.*—*Right to decree on joint title.*—The plaintiff alleged in his plaint that the defendant had erected a hut, or chalia, upon ground to which he, the plaintiff, was separately entitled. The lower Appellate Court found that the land in dispute was the joint property of both parties, and that the defendant was not at liberty to erect the hut without the express permission of the plaintiff, and ordered the demolition of the chalia. *Held* that the plaintiff was not entitled to a judgment upon a ground which was inconsistent with the case set out in his plaint. NABIN CHANDRA MITTER *v.* RAMES CHANDRA MITTER [3 B. L. R., Ap., 111: 12 W. R., 69

55. ——— **Pre-emption, Suit for.**—*Claim to, right in different ways.*—In a suit to establish a right of pre-emption, where the plaint is framed on right of Shufeh Khuleet, the plaintiff ought not to be allowed to shift his ground and make out a new case as Shufeh Jah. GOBIND ROW *v.* GIRDHAREE SAHOO . . . . 24 W. R., 355

56. ——— **Principal and agent.**—*Suit by principal against agent.*—*Failure of suit on grounds pleaded.*—A bank sued *H.*, its agent, who

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

2. SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Principal and agent—*continued.*

had appointed *N.* to act in the matter of the agency, for money belonging to it which *H.* had paid *N.* for the purposes of the agency, and which was not accounted for by *N.*, claiming the same on the ground that *N.* had been appointed to act as a sub-agent without authority. The lower Appellate Court found that *N.* had been appointed by *H.* to act in the matter of the agency with authority, but, instead of dismissing the suit with reference to this finding, gave the plaintiff bank a decree against *H.* on the ground that he had not exercised ordinary prudence in selecting *N.* as an agent for his principal. *Held* that, inasmuch as the plaintiff bank had not claimed relief on the ground that *H.* had failed in his duty in naming *N.* as an agent for his principal, but on the ground that *N.* had been appointed without authority, and had failed to prove its case, the suit should have been dismissed. HAMILTON *v.* LAND MORTGAGE BANK OF INDIA . . . I. L. R., 5 All., 456

57. ——— Rent.—*Suit for arrears of rent.—Failure to prove contract.—Claim for use and occupation.*—Where a plaintiff sued for rent and failed to prove any contract, express or implied, to pay it, he was held not entitled to change his case and ask for compensation for use and occupation. LUCHMEEPUT DOSS *v.* ENAET ALI . . 22 W. R., 346

58. ——— *Suit for rent on unstamped lease.—Failure to prove lease.—Right to recover damages for use and occupation.*—The plaintiff alleged that he had given possession to the defendant of a certain estate, in consideration of the payment by the defendant of annual rent for a term of five years; that the defendant had paid the rent for the first three years of the term, but had neglected to pay any for the last two years, and that since the expiry of the term the defendant had remained in possession; and he claimed to recover possession of the property and a certain sum for its use and occupation by the defendant. He also claimed to recover the same sum as damages for the retention of the estate by the defendant, from the date up to which the defendant had last paid rent. The agreement between the parties was contained in certain letters which were unstamped. *Held* that although the claim to relief made by the plaintiff on the basis of the contract must fail, because there was no evidence of the contract on which the Court could act, yet he could fall back on his claim to recover damages for the use and occupation of the land, as the defendant could not defend his possession, being equally incompetent with the plaintiff to rely on the terms of a contract of which he could not give proof, and as he did not deny the use and occupation alleged, he had no answer to the claim for damages. MACGIVERON *v.* WALLACE . . . 5 N. W., 65

59. ——— *Suit for rent in kind.—Evidence of nugdi rent.*—In a suit for a balance of rent on the allegation that defendants cultivated a portion of plaintiffs' jaghir as bhowli

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

2. SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Rent—*continued.*

tenants, where defendants denied that they were such tenants and pleaded a mokurrari pottah,—*Held* that even on the defendants failing to establish their plea, the suit could not succeed, as the plaintiffs failed to make out their case, and it appeared that the defendants were holding the whole jaghir at a nukdi rent. LUCHMEENDHUR PATTUCK *v.* RUGHOOBUR SINGH . . . 24 W. R., 284

60. ——— *Suit for declaratory decree:—Suit under Beng. Rent Act, 1869.*—Where the plaintiff sued under Bengal Act VIII of 1869 for a declaration that certain land was mál, as well as for assessment of rent thereon and for arrears of rent at the rate assessed, and the suit was dismissed, and on appeal the plaintiff abandoned the two last points in his claim and asked merely for a declaratory decree,—*Held* that the lower Appellate Court ought, notwithstanding the plaintiff had elected to sue under the Rent Act, to have proceeded with that part of the case, and disposed of the appeal as to that only. ANUND MOYEE DOSSEE *v.* RAYE MOHEE DOSSEE [20 W. R., 14

61. ——— *Suit for kabuliat on allegation of holding specific quantity of land.—Failure to prove allegation.*—In a suit for a kabuliat, on the allegation that the defendant is holding a specific quantity of land under him, if the plaintiff's allegations are disproved, and the relation of landlord and tenant is not established, the plaintiff's suit must altogether fail. YAKOOB ALI *v.* KAERNOOLLAH [8 W. R., 329

62. ——— *Suit for rent.—Failure to prove kabuliat.*—The plaintiff having sued for rent upon a kabuliat, and failed to prove it, is not entitled to a decree if he shows that the defendants had paid him rent for a number of years, the Court observing that it would not be the exercise of a sound discretion to allow a party who relies upon a document to set up a fresh case when an issue as to the execution of such document is found against him, and there are good reasons for believing that the document is not genuine. NUBROHURRY MOHONTO *v.* NABAINEE DOSSEE [W. R., F. B., 23 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 9 : 1 Hay, 234

S. C. NAIRAINEE DOSSEE *v.* NUBROHURRY MOHONTO . . . . . Marsh., 70

WOODOY NARAIN *v.* DURRIAHO ROY [W. R., 1864, 187

RAM NAFFER KHARA *v.* DEGUMBER CHATTERJEE [W. R., 1864, 259

KUREEMOODDEEN BISWAS *v.* HUROCHUNDER GOOHO [1 W. R., 305

GOVIND RAMCHANDRA GOKHLE *v.* AHMED [5 Bom., A. C., 133

BHOYRUB CHUNDER CHOWDHRY *v.* HARADMUN GHOSE Marsh., 561 : 2 Hay, 666

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

2 SPECIAL CASES—*continued*

Rent—*continued*

SIMBOO KAREEGUR *v.* ANUND CHUNDER ROY [Marsh., 57: 1 Hay, 130

See also JEETOO *v.* BEETUN [Marsh., 47: 1 Ind. Jur., O S., 85: 1 Hay, 112

FATIMA BEBEE *v.* ARIF SOOKANEE [Marsh, 263: 2 Hay, 106

So also in the case of a defendant. GOOROO DOSS GHOSE *v.* SRISTRE DHUR DEY [W. R., 1864, Act X, 39

63 ——— *Suit for pottah at fixed rate of rent—Failure in proof*—In a suit brought by a ryot to obtain a pottah at a fixed rent, under section 3 of Act X of 1859, on the ground that the lands have been held at a fixed rent which has not been changed from the time of the permanent settlement, if the plaintiff fail in proving such a holding, he is not entitled in that suit to have a decree under section 5 for a pottah at a fair and equitable rate. DOORGA MAHTOOR *v* KANHYE LALL AJHA [Marsh., 371; 2 Hay, 422

64. ——— *Suit on kabuliat which plaintiff fails to prove*—Plaintiff sued upon a kabuliat, and filed a pottah in support of it The pottah having been rejected, and the kabuliat not proved, he was held not entitled to fall back on a general statement that he has a jôte pottah, that the lands in dispute are part of the same, and that he can oust the defendant, who was duly in possession GOBIND CHUNDER LAHORY *v* JARDINE, SKINNER, & Co . . . . . 7 W. R., 163

65. ——— *Failure to prove right to pottah—Right to have fair and equitable rate of rent fixed as occupancy ryots*—The plaintiffs sued as ryots to obtain a pottah corresponding with a kabuliat which they said had been taken from them by the defendants, who were 12-anna shareholders in the land, and, according to an alleged promise, to give them a pottah The plaintiffs failed to make out the ground on which they relied, but the lower Appellate Court, being of opinion that the plaintiffs had made out a right of occupancy under the rent law and were entitled to obtain a pottah from the zemindar at a fair and equitable rate of rent, and finding no evidence as to what such a rate would be, gave them a decree at the old rate *Held* that the decision was erroneous, as there was no evidence on which the question of a fair and equitable rate could be determined, and as it rested on a ground not taken by the plaintiffs, who came into Court on a special contract If the plaintiffs' right to a pottah had rested on the ground of their being occupancy ryots, they might claim a pottah from all the 16-anna shareholders, who ought to have been made parties and the case remanded for trial by the first Court UTHUR HOSSEIN *v.* RAMPHAL ROY [20 W. R., 75

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued*

2. SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Rent—*continued*

66. ——— *Suit for rent.—Failure to prove kabuliat*—Where a landlord sued a ryot for arrears of rent alleged to be due under a kabuliat, and the Court found that such kabuliat had not been executed by the ryot, although he had occupied the land, the landlord was held not entitled to have a further trial of the question whether any and what amount of rent was due on account of the ryot's occupation of the land LUKHEE KANTO DASS CHOWDHRY *v* SUMBERUDDI LUKHER [13 B. L R., F. B., 243 . 21, W. R., 208

67. ——— *Suit for enhancement of rent—Suit on kabuliat—Amendment of plaint—Decree for rent on failure to prove kabuliat.*—In a suit on a kabuliat, where no alternative claim for rent at an old rate is in words expressly asked for in the plaint (although it is disclosed by the plaint that the defendant had previously occupied the land in suit at a rate which the evidence proved to be lower than the rent mentioned in the kabuliat), and where the kabuliat is not proved, it is in the discretion of the Court to amend the plaint or the issues, and to allow an alternative claim to be tried, and when the omission to make the claim in the plaint appears to have been an inadvertence, it is right that the Court should do so. *Lukhee Kanto Dass Chowdhry* v *Sumeeruddi Lukher, 13 B. L R, 243,* commented upon ROUSHAN BIBEE *v.* HURRAY KRISTO NATH [I. L. R., 8 Calc, 926

68. ——— *Suit for enhancement of rent—Statements in plaint.*—Although in a suit for enhancement the plaintiff should not be tied down too strictly to his statements, yet he must to some extent be limited to the case made in the plaint BONOMALEE CHUREN MYTER *v* SHEROOP HOOTAIT . . . . . 14 W. R., 60

69. ——— *Suit for enhancement of rent.—Failure to prove rent as claimed*—If the plaintiff is unable to show that he is entitled to the rent exactly as he claims it, the Court is not debarred from giving him a decree for such enhanced rent as it thinks ought to be paid,—*e.g*, to divide the land into different classes and assign a separate rental to each description BHUGWAN CHUNDER ROY CHOWDHRY *v.* JEGUE KHAN [22 W. R., 456

70. ——— *Suit for enhancement of rent—Failure to prove notice*—In a suit originally treated by the plaintiff as a suit for enhancement of rent, he cannot, after failing to prove notice, treat the suit as one for enhancement, and say no notice was necessary RAM BEHARY MOOKERJEE *v.* KAMTTRO NATH ROY . 1 C. L. R., 418

71. ——— *Suit for enhancement of rent—Suppression of material fact.*—A plaintiff must state clearly in his plaint the substance

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

2. SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Rent—*continued.*

of his claim,—*i.e.*, the particular mode in which his claim arose, as well as the amount of that claim. Thus, where a plaintiff allowed the Court below to decide the case as if his contention was an ordinary case between a landlord suing to enhance and a tenant resisting his claim, and the statement of the defendant divulged the material circumstance of the case that the plaintiff's estate was let in farm, the High Court refused to allow the plaintiff on appeal to rest upon an alleged stipulation in a farming lease, the existence of which he altogether suppressed in the Court below, reserving to him the right of collecting from the tenantry an enhanced rent during the currency of the farming lease. HURRO SOONDERY *v.* MUDDUN MOHUN DUTT [W. R., 1864, Act X, 34

72. ——— Right of suit.—*Cause of action not shown in plaint but proved in course of case.*—Where a plaintiff brought a suit for confirmation of his title to an estate, in consequence of the opposition offered by defendant to an application for partition by a vendee who had purchased a portion of plaintiff's share, and the Court of first instance tried the case on its merits and gave the plaintiff a decree,—*Held* that the lower Appellate Court was not justified in reversing the decision of the first Court on the ground that no cause of action had been disclosed, because, although the plaint itself disclosed no cause of action, yet, on the trial of the suit on its merits, a cause of action had been disclosed in the opposition which defendant had offered to the partition proceedings, and which had interfered with the enjoyment of his rights by the plaintiff. LALLAH MAHTAB ROY *v.* DEBEE DUTT SINGH . . 25 W. R., 204

73. ——— Specific performance.—*Suit to enforce contract of betrothal.—Failure to prove complete betrothal.*—The plaintiff, on behalf of her infant son, sued the father and guardian of *M. B.* to recover possession of *M. B.*, alleging that *M. B.* had been betrothed to her son, and that under the Hindu law a betrothal was the same as marriage and could not be repudiated, and that the defendant had on demand refused to give up *M. B.* *Held* that the suit having been brought on the allegation of a perfect betrothal equivalent to marriage, it could not be tried and decided by the Court as if it were a suit for damages on account of breach of contract. NOWBUT SINGH *v.* LAD KOORE . . 5 N. W., 102

74. ——— Title.—*Setting up different title from that alleged.*—The plaintiff cannot be allowed to set up a different title from that on which he sues and fails to prove. ISHAN CHUNDER CHOWDHRY *v.* SHAROOA GOOPTEAH . . 12 W. R., 487

75. ——— *Suit for recognition of adoption.—Right to show title by inheritance.*—A distinct suit for the recognition of an adoption having totally failed, the plaintiff is not entitled to fall back on his right by descent. SEEEGOBIND SINGH *v.* ODIT NARAIN SINGH . . W. R., F. B., 4

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

2. SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Title—*continued.*

76. ——— *Title of separate acquisition by purchase.—Setting up inconsistent title by joint purchase.*—The plaintiff having set up a title by sole purchase, was held not at liberty to change his case entirely, and to come in and set up another and inconsistent title, founded on inheritance or joint purchase. DOSS RAM DOSS *v.* MOHENDRO ROY DEGHA . . . . . 18 W. R., 274

77. ——— *Allegation of title by purchase.—Failure to prove alleged title.—Possession, Title by.*—In a suit for declaration of title to and possession of certain property on the allegation of purchase and subsequent forcible ouster, it was held that the plaintiff, having failed to prove the purchase or the forcible dispossession, could not succeed on mere proof of twenty years' possession. A plaintiff who sues on one title cannot succeed on another entirely different. HURO SOONDUREE DEBIA *v.* UNNOPOORNA DEBIA . 11 W. R., 550

BIJOYA DEBIA *v.* BYDONATH DEB [24 W. R., 444

78. ——— *Failure to establish particular title.—Title by long possession.*—Where a plaintiff brought a suit to establish his title, and the lower Court, on a trial of the issue, thought the title was not proved, yet gave plaintiff a decree on the ground of his being in possession for a long time,—*Held* that the lower Court ought not to have given a decree upon a ground not suggested in the plaint or in the issues tried. BHAYGO MUTTY BIBEE *v.* MAHOMED WASIL [25 W. R., 315

79. ——— *Failure to prove particular title.—Title by right of occupancy.—Act X of 1859, s. 6.*—In a suit for possession of land after purchase, where defendant pleaded that he had long held under a miras pottah which both the Courts below found to be false,—*Held* that the defendant could not be allowed in special appeal to come in for the first time with an allegation of a new and separate title,—*viz.*, a right of occupancy under section 6, Act X of 1859. SOOBOJO KOOMAR *v.* GUNGADHUR ROY . . . . . 12 W. R., 80

80. ——— *Failure to prove specific title.—Title by possession.—Form of plaint.*—Where a plaintiff who fails to prove a specific title which he sets up, yet causes it to appear that he has had a clear *bonâ fide* possession from which the Court can infer a good title, the Court will not shut him out in consequence of the mere form of the plaint. KYLASH KAMINEE DOSSIA *v.* JUDOO BASHINEE DOSSIA . . . 22 W. R., 391

81. ——— *Allegation of mokurrari right and failure to prove it.*—In a suit to recover possession of land which defendant alleged himself to have held for more than twelve years under a mokurrari lease, where the lower Appellate

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

2. SPECIAL CASES—*continued.*

Title—*continued.*

Court, finding that defendant failed to prove his mokurrari right, declared he had no title to hold as a squatter,—*Held* that, notwithstanding the failure of the defendant to prove his mokurrari lease, the lower Court ought to have found what was the nature of the occupancy, and how long it had subsisted. JORAWUR SINGH v. KHYRAT ALI [10 W. R., 360

82. ——— *Suit in one capacity, proof of right to succeed in another.*—A suit was brought by a Hindu widow to recover her share, as heiress to her husband, in certain family property, of which she claimed a portion in her absolute right, and a portion as one of the joint shebaits of certain idols. Among other properties plaintiff claimed one fifth share in a talook, not as a debutter property, but, in right of her husband, as her absolute property. The first Court found that this share was the property of a certain idol, and held that she had not maintained the allegation in her plaint, and even if entitled to it in her right of joint shebait, she could not recover in that capacity, as she had not framed her plaint in that way and had not sued as shebait. The Privy Council held the High Court to be right in treating this objection as one rather of form than of substance, and in giving the relief prayed for. RADHA MOHUN MUNDUL v. JADOOMONEE DOSSEE . . 23 W. R., P. C., 369

83. ——— *Amendment of plaint.—Alternative relief.—Ejectment suit.—Failure to prove lease.—General title.*—Where, in an action of ejectment against a tenant holding over, the lease sued on was inadmissible in evidence for want of registration, and the plaint was not amended to one containing an alternative claim for partition,—*Held* that the plaintiff could not be allowed to fall back upon his general title, and obtain a decree for partition. RAMCHANDRA BAPUJI GOKHLE v. VASUDEV MORBHAT KALE [I. L. R., 10 Bom., 451

84. ——— *Right to easement in suit for right of ownership.—Decision on case not made in pleadings.*—In a suit brought to establish a right of ownership over certain land,—*Held*, it was not competent to the Court to enter into and decide upon the plaintiff's right to an easement over the same. A question not raised by the plaint ought not to be decided by the Court. LALJI RATANJI v. GANGABAM TULJABAM [2 Bom., 184: 2nd Ed., 176

85. ——— *Title by prescription.—Making case different from that in plaint.*—In a suit for the removal of a pucca building recently erected by defendant upon land lying between the premises of the two parties to the dispute, where plaintiff's claim to use the land had been put upon his title as owner,—*Held* that, having failed to make out the case originally set forth in the phint, plaintiff had no right to fall back upon a title by prescription. BHOOBUN MOHUN MUNDUL v. RASH BEHAREE PAUL . . 15 W. R., 84

3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM.

86. ——— Suit for rent.—*Failure to prove jummabundi.—Form of decree.*—The plaintiff sued for rent at R29 a year on a jummabundi, which he alleged was signed by all the ryots when he came into possession; the defendant denied that he was a party to the jummabundi, but admitted that he held some portion of the land as tenant of the plaintiff at a yearly rent of R5, and that the balance due by him to the plaintiff was R5-15. The plaintiff failed to prove the jummabundi. *Held*, the plaintiff must, if he accepted the admission of the defendant at all, accept it as a whole, and was therefore only entitled to a decree for R5-15, and not to a decree for all the years for which he claimed rent at R4-13 per annum. BONOMALEE CHURN MYTEE v. HAFIZUDDEEN . . 16 B. L. R., 247, note: 12 W. R., 317

And *see* LUKHEE KANTO DASS CHOWDHRY v. SUMEERUDDI LUSKER . [13 B. L. R., F. B., 243: 21 W. R., 208

and ROUSHAN BIBEE v. HURRAY KRISTO NATH [I. L. R., 6 Calc., 926

87. ——— *Dismissal of suit on failure to prove it.—Right to decree on defendant's admission.*—Where the plaintiff brought a suit for rent for R185, as rent for two years, which he alleged was payable in produce, and the defendants alleged that the rent was only R29 a year, and that the plaintiff had sued them on a former occasion and obtained a decree at that rate, the Judge, finding the defendant's case proved, held that as the plaintiff had set up a false claim, he was not entitled to a decree, and dismissed the suit. *Held*, on special appeal, the plaintiff was entitled to a decree for rent at the rate admitted by the defendant. KISHEN MOHUN MOOKERJEE v. RAJOO DEY [13 B. L. R., 245, note: 19 W. R., 234

BOOKHINI KANT ROY v. SHARIKATUNISSA BIBI [13 B. L. R., 246, note: 20 W. R., 64

RAJ COOMAR SINGH v. CHOTO RAJ COOMAR SINGH . . W. R., 1864, Act X, 12

HULODHUR SEN v. SEETUL CHUNDER BHOOMICK [23 W. R., 85

88. ——— *Failure to prove case.—Right to decree on admission of defendant.—Dismissal of suit.*—In a suit for rent, based upon an alleged settlement, the plaintiff failed to prove such settlement. *Held* that no issue having been raised as to what was the fair and proper value of the land, the plaintiff was not entitled to have that question determined: his suit must either be decreed at the rate admitted by defendant, or dismissed. LUTF ALI KHAN v. FIKIRA SINGH [6 C. L. R., 208

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM—*continued.*

89. ——— Suit for arrears of rent.—*Failure to prove rate.—Decree at admitted rate.*—In a suit for arrears of rent, where the plaintiff fails to prove the rate of rent claimed in the plaint, it is the duty of the Court to find the proper rate of rent payable by the tenant to his landlord, and not to give a decree merely for the rent admitted by the tenant. PUNNOO SINGH *v.* NIRGHIN SINGH [I. L. R., 7 Calc., 298: 8 C. L. R., 310

90. ——— *Suit on new agreement.—Failure to prove agreement.—Decree at admitted rate.*—The defendant held lands under the plaintiff at a certain rate per bigha. The plaintiff brought a suit for arrears of rent on a new agreement alleged to have been entered into by the plaintiff and the defendant, whereby the latter agreed to pay a higher rate per bigha. The lower Appellate Court found that the new agreement had never, in fact, been entered into, and gave a decree for the old rate of rent without going into the question whether it was a fair rent or not. *Held* that the decision was correct. SUFDAR REZA *v.* AMZAD ALI . I. L. R., 7 Calc., 703: 10 C. L. R., 121

91. ——— *Failure to prove rent in kind.—Failure to prove case.—Decree on admission of defendant for money rent.*—In a suit for arrears of rent, as bhowli rent, where the plaintiff failed to make out his title to bhowli rent or rent in kind, the first Court, finding that the evidence established a commutation of bhowli rent into rent in money, dismissed the suit, with a reservation of the plaintiff's right to sue again for nagdi rent. The lower Appellate Court, agreeing in the first Court's view of the facts, and finding that the defendant admitted that he owed rent in money, decreed the claim to the extent of the admission. *Held* that the lower Appellate Court was right, and that the reservation of right by the first Court was of doubtful operation. BIBERJAN *v.* BHAJUL SINGH [21 W. R., 438

92. ——— Omission to make alternative claim.—*Suit for rent.—Beng. Act VI of 1862, s. 10.*—In a suit for rent, where the claim was at the rate fixed by the revenue officer acting under Bengal Act VI of 1862, section 10, and was dismissed on the ground that that officer had not the power to assess such rent as he thought proper,—*Held* that the plaintiff, whose claim was not in the alternative, was not entitled to a decree at the rate previously paid. DWARKANATH BOSE *v.* RAM LOCHUN BOSE [23 W. R., 465

93. ——— Suit for ejectment.—*Entry under unregistered lease.—Holding over.—Landlord and tenant.—Proof of terms of lease.—Decree for rent upon admission of different tenure by defendant.*—The plaintiff sued in 1881 to recover certain land and arrears of rent from the defendant, alleging that the defendant's ancestor entered on the land as a tenant in 1865, under a lease for five years, which

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—*continued.*

3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM—*continued.*

Suit for ejectment—*continued.*

was not registered. The defendant denied the lease of 1865, admitted that she was the tenant of the land, but denied that she could be ejected, and claimed to deduct from the rent certain emoluments. *Held* (1) that the plaintiff could not prove the tenancy alleged in the plaint, inasmuch as the lease of 1865 was not registered, and, therefore, could not eject the defendant; (2) that the plaintiff was entitled, upon the defendant's admission, to recover from the defendant, in this suit, the amount of rent admitted to be due, and no more. NANGALI *v.* RAMAN . . . I. L. R., 7 Mad., 226

VATAN.

See CASES UNDER HEREDITARY OFFICES ACT (BOMBAY).

See SERVICE TENURE. [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 198

VATANDARS ACT (BOMBAY ACT III OF 1874).

See CASES UNDER JURISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT—OFFICES, RIGHT TO—

VENDOR, PETITION BY, TO HAVE DOCUMENT REGISTERED.

See REGISTRATION ACT, 1877, s. 73 (1871, s. 73) . . I. L. R., 1 All., 318

VENDOR AND PURCHASER.

	Col.
1. BILLS OF SALE	6117
2. BREACH OF COVENANT	6118
3. BREACH OF WARRANTY	6119
4. CAVEAT EMPTOR	6120
5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER	6122
6. CONDITIONAL SALES	6124
7. CONSIDERATION	6124
8. FRAUD	6127
9. INVALID SALES	6127
10. LIEN	6132
11. NOTICE	6134
12. POSSESSION	6141
13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY	6144
14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER	6148
15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF—	6151
16. SETTING ASIDE SALES	6154
17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF—	6155
18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES	6157

See CASES UNDER BENAMI TRANSACTION.

See CONTRACT ACT, s. 73. [15 B. L. R., 276

See CONTRACT ACT, s. 108. [12 B. L. R., 42

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

See ESTOPPEL—ESTOPPEL BY CONDUCT.
[4 B. L. R., P. C., 16

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 134 (1871, ART. 134).
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269

See PARTIES—ADDING PARTIES TO SUITS—DEFENDANTS
[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 355

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—PERSON SELLING PROPERTY OF WHICH HE IS NOT, BUT AFTERWARDS BECOMES, OWNER . . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 677

1. BILLS OF SALE.

1. ——— **Effect of execution of bill of sale without delivery.**—*Specific performance.*—It is very questionable in any case whether the effect of the execution of a bill of sale by a Hindu vendor is to pass an estate, irrespective of the actual delivery of possession. Where the vendor sells an estate of which he is not in possession, in consideration of advances to enable him to sue for its recovery, it is not open to the purchaser, after failing to complete his part of the contract, to claim specific performance and delivery of the recovered estate on tendering the balance of the purchase-money. PERHLAD SEN *v.* BUDHU SING. KALIPRASAD TEWARI *v.* PERHLAD SEN
[2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W. R., P. C., 6

S. C. PERHLAD SEIN *v.* BUDHOO SINGH. KALIPERSHAD TEWAREE *v.* PERHLAD SEIN
[12 Moore's I. A., 275, 282

2. ——— *Suit to compel transfer of property.*—When a bill of sale, though signed and registered, has not been delivered, and no part of the purchase-money has been paid, the vendor cannot be compelled to complete the transfer. LALLA INDURJEET LALL *alias* GUJADHUR PERSHAD *v.* JUMOONA . . . . . 5 W. R., 248

3. ——— *Incomplete contract.*—A bill of sale, though duly executed, was not delivered to the purchaser, but was deposited with a third party, to be held by him until the purchaser should perform certain acts, the performance of which was the consideration for the sale. The purchaser subsequently by a trick got possession of the bill of sale before he had performed all the acts in question. *Held* that, under such circumstances, no effect could be given to the bill of sale as against the vendor, so that a suit for possession of the lands covered by it would not lie. RAJ CHUNDER CHOWDHRY *v.* RAJ NATH CHOWDHRY
[W. R., 1864, 222

4. ——— **Vendor under bill of sale remaining in possession.**—*Allegation of fraud.*—*Suit to set aside bill of sale.*—When a person grants a bill of sale to another person absolute in its terms, he cannot sue to have it set aside on the ground that he has all along remained in possession; and if he alleges fraud in the contract, and adduces

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

1. BILLS OF SALE—*continued.*

Vendor under bill of sale remaining in possession—*continued.*

the fact of non-payment of the consideration-money as evidence of fraud, he will be bound to show proof of non-payment. TEKAIT MEGRAJ SINGH *v.* JOYMUNGUL SINGH . . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 78

5. ——— **Bill of sale as construed by intention of parties.**—*Right of purchaser to sue.*—Cases will often arise in which, though a bill of sale may in terms purport to convey the property itself, yet it is clear upon the face of the instrument that the intention of the parties was to convey the right of entry or the equity of redemption, and nothing more. In such cases the Court should not lay stress on the mere terms of the instrument, but give effect to the intention of the parties, and recognise the purchaser's right of action to eject the trespasser or to redeem the mortgage. BAI SURAJ *v.* DALPATRAM DAYASHANKAR . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 380

2. BREACH OF COVENANT.

6. ——— **Covenant to restore estate to original owner or heirs at fixed price before selling to another.**—*Sale under reservation to keep in actual possession or re-sell it to vendor at fixed price.*—*Subsequent alienation.*—*Right of reconveyance.*—Where a share in an estate had been sold under a stipulation that the purchaser should possess it himself as landlord, or, if desirous of parting with it, should restore it to the original owner or his heirs at a fixed price; and the purchaser, having been restrained by this agreement from selling off this property to a third person, had, on his retirement to England, given other persons a farming lease of it for fifteen years,—*Held* that as the object of the original stipulation was to secure the constant possession of the share to some one with whom the original owner or his heirs, who still retained the residue of the estate, could keep up friendly relations, the grant of the farmer's lease was a violation of the covenant; and that the heirs of the original owner were entitled to have the share in suit conveyed to them at the stipulated price. RAMNATH SEN LUSHKUR *v.* WISE . 25 W. R., 378

7. ——— **Covenant repugnant to interest created.**—*Contemporaneous "ikrarnamah."*—*Condition restraining alienation.*—*M.*, a co-sharer in a village, transferred to *A.*, another co-sharer, a 2 annas share by deed of sale. Upon the same date *A.* executed an ikrarnamah in which he agreed that he would not collect the rents of the 2 annas transferred to him, that he would not ever demand partition of that share, and that he would not alienate or mortgage it or otherwise exercise proprietary rights over it. It was further provided that in the event of *A.* committing any breach of covenant the sale should be avoided, and the proprietary rights in the 2 annas share should re-vest in *M.* *Held* that the deed of sale and the ikrarnamah must be regarded as recording one single transaction,—*i.e.*, they

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued*

2. BREACH OF COVENANT—*continued*

Covenant repugnant to interest created —*continued*

must be read together as stating the nature of the transaction entered into upon that date between the plaintiff and the defendant, which on the face of it professed to be a sale of a 2 annas share to the other by the former, and that, in this view, it was clear from the sakrarnamah that the proprietary title created by the sale deed was cut down to *nil*, and limitations placed upon it which rendered it useless as a proprietary right *Situl Pershad* v *Luchmi Pershad I L R 10 Calc, 30, referred to* MAHRAM DAS v AJUDHIA I. L. R, 8 All., 452

3 BREACH OF WARRANTY

8. ——— Suit on warranty —*Knowledge by purchaser of title being doubtful* —A purchaser aware of the doubtful character of the title to the estate he is about to purchase, is justified in taking a guarantee from the seller, who cannot successfully plead to a suit on the guarantee that the purchaser was aware of the facts which induced him to stipulate therefor PATHOO LAL v RADHIKA DOSS [3 N W, 106

9 ——— *Sale of whole title —Failure of title —Suit for money had and received* —A vendor legally conveying all his title can not be sued for money had and received, although the title prove defective Accordingly, where the plaintiff bought two kanam claims, and sued upon them unsuccessfully,—*Held* that he could not recover the purchase money from his vendor s representatives, on the ground that the consideration for the payment had failed. MUHAMMAD MOHIDIN v OTTAYIL UMMACHE . 1 Mad., 390

10 ——— Implied warranty —*Warranty of title by vendor or mortgagor —Right to sue for damages* —A seller or mortgagor must always be held impliedly to warrant the title of the property sold or mortgaged, and if it be found that the title is defective, the vendee or the mortgagee can sue for damages or loss on the breach of implied contract, although there may be no express agreement for title DWARKA DASS v RUTTUN SINGH [2 Agra, 199

11. ——— *Description published in advertisement —Warranty of title —Misrepresentation —Fraud, Proof of* —A zemindar (*A*) gave certain villages in putni to *B* and received consideration money and rent from him, but *B* never got possession of them nor derived any benefit from the putni, it having been found that the villages belonged to a third party as lakhirajdar, who obtained a decree against *A* in a suit to which *B* was made a party *A* had published an advertisement setting forth a description of the property, and calling upon intending purchasers to come forward *Held* that the advertisement published by *A* setting forth a description of the villages was substantially an implied warranty of title and would make him responsible to purchasers deceived by such misrepresentation, and fraud having been shown, the absence of a stipulation to refund would not protect *A* from refunding *Held* that, in cases like this, it would be a sufficient proof of fraud to show that the fact (of ownership) as represented was false and that the person making the representation had a knowledge of the fact contrary to it NILMONEE SINGH v GORDON, STUART, & Co . 9 W R, 371

See KHELUT CHUNDER GHOSE v KRISTO GOBIND DEB . . 18 W R., 276

12 ——— Right to sue on warranty of title.—*Right to refund of consideration* —A buyer may at once sue on a warranty of title if he can show that the seller has not a good title in accordance with his undertaking and that he has sustained loss in consequence *Semble,*—It does not follow as a matter of course that on proof of breach of warranty the buyer is entitled to receive back the whole of the consideration money, or that on its being ascertained that the seller had no title the conditional sale is nullified SAYEF ALI v MAHOMED JOWAD ALI [7 W R., 196

13 ——— Covenant against disturbance of possession —*Loss of property by third person enforcing right of pre emption —Disqualification of purchaser from buying —Covenant for good title to convey —Construction of covenant* —An instrument of sale contained the following condition "Should any person claim as a co sharer or proprietor of the property, and assert his claim against the purchaser or raise any dispute of any kind, or if from any unforeseen cause the purchaser be deprived of the possession of the property or any portion thereof, or his possession thereof is disturbed in any way, then I (vendor), my heirs and assigns, shall be liable for the purchase money, the profits of the property, and costs of litigation" The purchaser having lost the property by reason of a person having a right of pre emption having sued him to enforce such right and obtained a decree, sued the vendor to recover the costs incurred by him in defending such suit, basing his claim upon the condition set forth above *Held* that the suit was not maintainable, as such condition referred to flaws or defects in the vendor's title, and was not applicable to a loss accruing to the purchaser from his disqualification to buy GOLAM JILANI v IMDAD HUSAIN . . I. L R, 4 All., 357

4 CAVEAT EMPTOR

14. ——— Right of purchaser —*Warranty of title —Hindu law —Contract —Sale of land in Bombay* —In England the law gives to the purchaser of land a right to have a good title to it shown by the vendor No such rule appears to exist in the Hindu law and in contracts between Hindus for the purchase and sale of land in Bombay the intention of the parties must be ascertained from the terms of

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

4. CAVEAT EMPTOR—*continued.*

Right of purchaser—*continued.*

the agreement, without regard to any implication. DEVAI GHELA *v.* JIVARAJ MUKUNDAS [2 Bom., 430 : 2nd Ed., 406

15. ——— *Conditions of sale.—Defect in title previous to title shown by vendor.*—When it is provided by conditions of sale of land that the vendor shall not be bound to show any title prior to an instrument of a certain date, the purchaser may insist upon a defect of title appearing *aliunde* and before that date, and if it be proved to exist may rescind the contract and recover back earnest-money, interest, and expenses. MANCHARJI PESTANJI *v.* NARAYAN LAKSHUMANJI [1 Bom., 77

16. ——— **Land sold without warranty.**—*Purchaser with invalid title.—Liability of vendor.*—In the absence of fraud or express warranty of title in a sale of land, the vendee cannot recover from the vendor the expenses incurred in defending a suit for possession brought against him by a third party having a better title. NEELMONEE SINGH DEO *v.* GORDON, STUART, & Co. [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 356 : 6 W. R., 152

17. ——— **Liabilty of purchaser.**—*Inquiry as to title.—Eviction after purchase.*—By the rule of *caveat emptor*, the buyer is bound by law to take care of himself and to see that he buys after satisfying himself that there is a good title. The purchaser is bound to look not only to his own title, but to see that he is properly indemnified by the covenants in his deed of purchase; and if he does not choose to protect himself in this manner, he has no remedy for if a deed of purchase has been once executed, unless there is an evection by the vendor or some person claiming under him, the purchaser has no right of action against the vendor. GOUR KISHORE SHAHA *v.* CHUNDER KISHORE DUTT MOJOOMDAR . . . . . 25 W. R., 45

18. ——— **Sale of shares deposited with bank for advance.**—*Depreciation of security.—Objection to disclose position of shares.*—Where a contract has been made for the sale of shares deposited with a bank as security for an advance, the vendor is not bound to disclose the fact to the purchaser when there can be no reason to anticipate such a depreciation of value in the shares as would entitle the bank to refuse to transfer. NARAYAN SUCCARAM *v.* BHAWOO DAJEE . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 154

19. ——— **Fraudulent concealment by vendor of defect of title.**—*Absence in sale-deed as covenant for title of purchaser.—Right to damages.*—In 1881 a Hindu executed a sale-deed of a house in the mofussil. The deed contained no covenant for title. The purchaser having been ejected from a portion of the house under a decree, of which the vendor was aware at the time of the sale, sued the vendor for damages. The Munsif decreed the claim on the ground that the vendor had fraudulently concealed the existence of the decree. On appeal the

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

4. CAVEAT EMPTOR—*continued.*

Fraudulent concealment by vendor of defect of title—*continued.*

District Judge reversed this decree, holding that as the purchaser had not insisted on a covenant for title, he must be held to have accepted all risks. *Held* that, if there had been fraudulent concealment as alleged, the purchaser was entitled to damages. GAJAPATHI *v.* ALAGIA . . I. L. R., 9 Mad., 89

5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER.*

20. ——— **Oral transfer.**—*Hindu vendor and purchaser.*—Land may pass by mere parol between Hindu vendor and purchaser. MOHESH CHUNDER CHATTERJEE *v.* ISSUR CHUNDER CHATTERJEE [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 266

21. ——— **Want of registration.**—*Sale complete without payment of purchase-money or registration of deed.*—A sale might be complete, and it still might be a condition of the contract that the purchase-money was to be paid afterwards, and the deed in evidence of the contract may not be completed. The bare fact of the deed not being registered would not annul a sale if, by mutual agreement, a sale had already been made. KALEE CHUNN GIREE GOSSAIN *v.* LALLA MUDDUN KISHORE [7 W. R., 317

22. ——— **Transfer of ownership of property.**—*Decree for specific performance of contract of sale.—Conveyance.*—In the mofussil of the Bombay Presidency, the transfer of the ownership of immoveable property to a vendee who has obtained a decree ordering the specific performance of the contract of sale to himself, does not wait for the execution of a conveyance,—even if the vendor is required, as he seldom is, to execute such a conveyance,—but is effected by the passing of the decree itself, coupled with the payment of the purchase-money. DHONDIBA KRISHNAJI PATEL *v.* RAMCHUNDRA BHAGWAT [I. L. R., 5 Bom., 554

23. ——— *Possession given in execution of decree.*—The formal possession given by a Civil Court under an execution operates, in point of law and fact, as between the parties, as a complete transfer of possession from one party to the other. LOKESSUR KOER *v.* PURGUN ROY [I. L. R., 7 Calc., 418

24. ——— *Execution and registration of conveyance.—Failure to pay purchase-money and return of conveyance.*—*D.* sold a house to *P.* and executed a deed of conveyance which was duly registered. The purchase-money, however, was never paid by *P.*, who, consequently, never obtained possession. Shortly after the conveyance had been registered, *P.* returned it to *D.* with an endorsement thereon to the effect that it was returned because *P.* was unable to pay the purchase-money. The right, title, and interest of *P.* in the house was subsequently attached and sold under a decree obtained against him by the plaintiff. The plaintiff became the purchaser,

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER—*continued.*

Transfer of ownership of property—*continued.*

and sued *D.* for possession. The lower Courts threw out the claim, on the ground that the property had not passed to *P.*, the sale to him being incomplete. *Held*, the sale of the house by *D.* to *P.* was not incomplete. The deed purported to make an immediate transfer of the ownership of the house to *P*, and *P.* accordingly became the owner of the house. The endorsement on the conveyance, not having been registered, could not affect the property. The plaintiff, therefore, as purchaser of the right, title, and interest of *P.*, became legal owner of the house, but subject to all *P.'s* liabilities; and as *D.* had a lien upon the house for the amount of the unpaid purchase-money, the plaintiff could not obtain possession without paying off this charge. UMEDMAL MOTIRAM *v.* DAVU BIN DHONDIBA . . . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 547

25. ——— *Execution of deed of sale.—Failure of purchaser to perform preliminaries to possession.*—The vendor of certain immoveable property agreed to sell such property, and the purchaser agreed to purchase it on the understanding that the purchaser should retain a part of the purchase-money, and therewith discharge certain bond-debts due by the vendor, for the payment of which such property was hypothecated in the bonds. On such understanding the vendor executed a conveyance of such property to the purchaser. *Held*, in a suit by the purchaser for the possession of such property in virtue of such conveyance, that, the purchaser not having paid such bond-debts or done anything to account for such part of the purchase-money according to such understanding, the contract of sale had not been completed, and the suit was therefore not maintainable. IKBAL BEGAM *v.* GOBIND PRASAD . . I. L. R., 3 All., 77

26. ——— **Default in completing contract of sale.**—*Partial performance.*—In suits arising out of the default on both sides to complete a contract for the purchase and sale of land in the mofussil, the Court should proceed as a Court of Equity, and should look to the acts and conduct of the parties subsequent to the making of the contract as well as the language of the contract itself; and where the contract has been partially performed and the purchaser put into possession of a portion of the land and allowed by the vendor so to continue long after the period fixed for completion of the contract has elapsed, further time should be given by the Court for the performance of the contract in specie. (TUCKER, *J.*, *dissentiente.*) BALA VALAD SANKIA *v.* GABAJI BALVANT KULKARNI . [2 Bom., 175 : 2nd Ed., 168

27. ——— **Conditional contract "subject to approval of title by purchaser's solicitors."**—*Rescission.—Registration Act (III of 1877), s. 17, cl. (b).*—An agreement for the purchase and sale of certain immoveable property provided that the completion of the contract should be "subject to the approval of the purchaser's solicitors" (naming them), and that if they should not approve of the title, the vendor should refund the earnest-money and pay all costs incurred by the purchaser in investigating the title. The purchaser's solicitors disapproved of the title, and the purchaser rescinded the contract. The agreement was not registered. *Held* in a suit to recover the amount of the earnest-money and costs, that, assuming the objections to be reasonable, the purchaser was entitled to rescind the contract. *Held*, further, that the agreement did not require registration. SREEGOPAL MULLICK *v.* RAM CHURN NUSKUR [I. L. R., 8 Calc, 856 : 12 C. L. R., 125

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER—*continued.*

Conditional contract "subject to approval of title by purchaser's solicitors"—*continued.*

6. CONDITIONAL SALES.

28. ——— **Land sold on condition of re-purchase.**—*Absolute sale.*—Where land was sold on a condition of re-purchase, and no time was mentioned in the instrument of sale,—*Held* that the sale had not become absolute, and that the plaintiff, having bought the original vendor's rights, was entitled to maintain a suit for recovery of the land. GURUSAMY AIYAN *v.* SWAMINADHA AIYAN [2 Mad., 450

29. ——— **Deed of conditional sale.**—*Beng. Reg. XV of 1793.—Beng. Reg. XVII of 1806.—Usufruct.*—A deed of sale executed in 1201 (1794) was subject to the condition that if the vendors, "from the year 1202 to the year 1203, should repay the whole of the consideration-money, they should receive back the deed of sale, which shall then become null and void; and if within the said period they fail to pay the said consideration-money, this conditional sale shall become absolute, and be considered irrevocable." *Held* that Regulation XV of 1793 did not operate to prevent the assignment becoming absolute after the expiration of the time limited for repayment of the consideration, and that Regulation XVII of 1806 had not a retrospective effect, and therefore did not apply; and that even if the entire amount of the purchase-money were satisfied out of the proceeds of estate before the time for the conditional sale becoming absolute, the vendees would acquire a perfect title. BULDEO SINGH *v.* DHUKRUN SINGH . . Marsh., 632

30. ——— **Purchaser under conditional sale.**—*Incumbrances.*—A purchaser under a conditional sale takes the property with all *bonâ fide* incumbrances created by his vendor previous to the sale. RADHA MOHUN DEB *v.* NUND LAL DEY [7 W. R., 363

7. CONSIDERATION.

31. ——— **Validity of contract of sale.**—*Agreement without consideration.—Right to sell afterwards to another.*—A mere agreement to sell

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

7. CONSIDERATION—*continued.*

Validity of contract of sale—*continued.*

a certain property, without any consideration passing, cannot bar the right of the vendor on the same day to sell a portion of the property to a third party, or invalidate the third party's purchase. BHYUNKUREN DABEE *v.* TARINEE CHURN CHUCKERBUTTY [7 W. R., 38

32. ——— *Non-payment of purchase-money.—Intention to pass subject of sale.—Failure to pay consideration, Effect of.*—Although ordinarily, in a transaction of sale, it may be reasonable to suppose that the seller does not intend to pass the property to the purchaser until the purchase-money has been paid or secured, it is not an absolute rule of law that the non-receipt of the consideration-money in full entitles a vendor to make void a sale which is otherwise complete. MOHUN SINGH *v.* SHIB KOONWER . . . . . 1 Agra, 85

HEERA SINGH *v.* RAGHO NATH SUHAI. BHURTH SINGH *v.* RAGHO NATH SUHAI . 3 Agra, 30

33. ——— *Intention of parties.—Failure to pay consideration, Effect of, after execution and delivery of deed.*—The intention of the parties from their acts should be ascertained; and when a deed is executed and delivered to the purchaser, a subsequent default by the purchaser in the due payment of the purchase-money would not, in the absence of fraud, make void the sale, or give any other right to the vendor than a right to sue for the money. Further, if it be proved that the vendor intended to retain possession until full payment, the Court may pass a decree establishing the purchaser's right subject to execution or payment of consideration. MOHUN SINGH *v.* SHIB KOONWER [1 Agra, 85

34. ——— Plea of valuable consideration.—*Allegation of seisin of vendor and sale of absolute title.*—A pleading setting up as a defence a purchase for valuable consideration should aver the seisin of the vendor and the sale of his absolute title for good consideration. RADANATH DAS *v.* ELLIOT [6 B. L. R., P. C., 530

S. C. RADHANATH DOSS *v.* GISBORNE & CO. [15 W. R., P. C., 24: 14 Moore's I. A., 1

35. ——— Failure of consideration.—*Bonus paid for talook not in existence.—Right to refund of bonus.*—When a bonus is paid for a putni talook not in existence, there is an entire failure of consideration, and the person paying the bonus is entitled to a refund of it. The principle of *caveat emptor* does not apply to such a case. KRISTO LALL MOITRO *v.* NOBBO COOMAR ROY . 5 W. R., 232

36. ——— Proof of payment of consideration.—*Non-payment of consideration-money.—Burden of proof.*—In a suit for possession of land alleged to have been purchased under a registered deed of sale, the defendant-vendor admitted the execution and registration of the deed, but denied receipt of consideration. The deed was dated in

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

7. CONSIDERATION—*continued.*

Proof of payment of consideration—*continued.*

January 1876, and the suit was instituted in 1884. It was found that the vendor had been in possession during the whole of that period. The plaintiff produced no evidence in proof of the payment of consideration. *Held* that, although under ordinary circumstances the party to a deed duly executed and registered who alleges non-payment of consideration is bound to prove his allegation, the fact that the plaintiff and his predecessor had silently submitted to the withholding of possession for upwards of eight years, combined with the continuous possession of the vendor, favoured the allegation of the latter that possession had been withheld because of the non-payment of consideration, and raised such a counter-presumption as to make it incumbent on the plaintiff to give evidence that consideration had in fact passed. *Held*, therefore, that in the absence of such evidence, and of evidence to explain the fact of the plaintiff being out of possession, the suit failed. ACHOBANDIL KUARI *v.* MAHABIR PRASAD [I. L. R., 8 All., 641

37. ——— Part payment of consideration.—*Right to sue for possession.—Held* that non-payment of the consideration-money can be pleaded by the seller, and inquired into by the Court, the admission of the seller at the time of registration before the Registrar being no conclusive proof of payment of the consideration-money, with reference to the practice which obtains of preparing the sale-deed and registering it before payment. Under the ordinary rule of law, a purchaser has a right to sue for possession when a portion of the consideration-money has been paid, unless the contrary be shown to be the intention of the parties, and the seller has a right to sue for the balance of price. GOOR PERSHAD *v.* NUNDA SINGH . . 1 Agra, 160

38. ——— Right to refund of earnest-money.—*Agreement for sale of ship.—Failure of consideration.*—Plaintiff and defendants entered into an agreement for the sale by the defendants, who were thereby stated to be the absolute owners of a certain ship, to the plaintiff of the said ship. The defendants agreed with the plaintiff that they would, immediately upon payment of the purchase-money, execute to the plaintiff and another a proper bill of sale of the ship. The defendants were unable to get a properly registered bill of sale of the ship made out, owing to infirmity of their own title, but were willing, so far as they could, to convey. The plaintiff had made part payment in respect of the price of the ship. *Held* that the consideration had failed, and that the plaintiff was entitled to a refund of the money paid by him in part payment, and of sums disbursed by him under the agreement on account of the expenses of the ship. JASSIM BINSAFF *v.* ESAU AHMED . . . 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 13

39. ——— Valuable consideration, Question of.—*Assignment of chose in action.*—The question whether an assignment of any equity of

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

7. CONSIDERATION—*continued.*

Valuable consideration, Question of—*continued.*

redemption admitted by the assignor was made for a valuable consideration or not, is no material in determining the rights of the assignee against a party who holds adversely to the assignor. KACHU BAYAJI *v.* KACHOBA VITHOBA . 10 Bom., 491

8. FRAUD.

40. ——— **Evidence of fraud.**—*Inadequacy of purchase-money.*—In considering a case of alleged fraud in the purchase of an estate, it is material to inquire what relation the purchase-money paid bore to the value of the estate. SREEMUNCHUNDER DEY *v.* GOPAUL CHUNDER CHUCKERBUTTY [7 W. R., P. C., 10 : 11 Moore's I. A., 28

41. ——— *Notice of facts implying bad title.—Mala fides.—Questions of bona fides.*—Notice of fact from which the infirmity of the vendor's title might be inferred is evidence of *mala fides*, but is not itself *mala fides*, and the question of *bonâ fide* purchase is one of fact. SITHA UMMAL *v.* RUNGASAMI IYENGAR . 5 Mad., 385

42. ——— **Effect of fraud.**—*Goods obtained by fraud.—Right of vendor.*—Where goods have been obtained by means of a fraudulent purchase, the vendor has a right to disaffirm the contract so as to re-vest the property in himself, and this even if the property had passed to the vendee with the consent of the vendor. Where a vendee purchased cotton, with the preconceived design of not paying for it, the sale did not pass the property; although the cotton may have been, with the vendor's consent, allowed to be placed on the vendee's boat, still the vendee must be considered as the agent of the vendor, and his possession as that of the vendor, and the cotton as still the property of the vendor, as long as the price was not paid. DURSUN LALL PANDEY *v.* INDUR CHUNDER . . . . 6 W. R., 81

9. INVALID SALES.

43. ——— **Fraudulent concealment.**—*Knowledge of defect in title, or of incumbrance.*—Where a vendor knowing that he had no right or title to property, or being cognisant of the existence of incumbrances, or of latent defects materially lowering it in value, sold it and neglected to disclose such defects to the purchaser,—*Held* that there was a fraudulent concealment vitiating the contract. PEAREE MOHUN SOOR *v.* ABDOOL SOBHAN CHOWDHRY . . . . . 7 W. R., 258

44. ——— **Misrepresentation.**—*Right to recover purchase-money.*—Wilful misrepresentation by a vendor regarding property sold, practised in a matter within his knowledge, and concerning which the purchaser had no adequate means of knowledge, held to vitiate a sale, and to entitle the purchaser to recover the purchase-money actually paid by him. MAHOMED SHAHE KHAN *v.* BAHOO BEGUM [1 N. W., Part II, p. 26 : Ed. 1873, 84

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

9. INVALID SALES—*continued.*

45. ——— **Undue influence.**—*Fiduciary relationship.—Attorney and client.—Onus probandi.*—A contract of sale or conveyance entered into by any one with a person who stands relatively to him in a position of confidence or trust, is liable to be called in question by the vendor, and to be set aside at his instance, if it be found that the other party made an unfair use of his advantages. This rule of equity applies strongly in a case where any person, acting as an attorney, or as a legal adviser, enters into a contract with his client in respect of the subject of litigation or advice. Undue influence is presumed to have been exerted until the contrary is proved, and the purchaser is bound to show that all the terms and conditions of the contract are fair, adequate, and reasonable. PUSHONG *v.* MUNIA HALWANI [1 B. L. R., A. C., 95 : 10 W. R., 128

46. ——— *Fiduciary relationship.—Trustee and cestui que trust.*—*J.* and *M.* were named executors of the will of *H.*, who died in 1844. *M.* alone proved the will, but *J.* did not renounce probate until nine years after the death of *H.* and the commencement of litigation. The only act as an executor of *H.* proved against *J.* was that, in a deed executed by him for the conveyance of the share of *H.* in a certain estate in which *J.* was also interested in another capacity, he was described as executor of *H.*, and the deed recited that probate had been granted to him. *Held* that he was by this reason, as well as on the ground of having an unfair advantage in respect of certain property in litigation, precluded from purchasing the interest of *H.'s* sons under a decree. DHONENDRO CHUNDER MOOKERJEE *v.* MUTTY LOLL MOOKERJEE. SREEMANCHUNDEE MOOKERJEE *v.* MUTTYLOLL MOOKERJEE . Cor., 57

47. ——— **Purchase by agent or other person on fiduciary position.**—*Fiduciary relationship. — Onus probandi.—Consideration.* — An agent or person in a fiduciary position towards the owner of property purchased by him, is bound to prove that the sale was made for good and sufficient consideration, and must not only prove that the agent had authority to sell, and that the consideration alleged was in fact paid, but also that the consideration paid was a fair price for the property. If the purchase be made by a stranger, such a purchaser need not show that the consideration paid by him is a consideration equal to the value of the property; it will be sufficient for the purchaser to show that the sale was made by a person who had authority from the owner to sell; and, unless the seller can establish a fraudulent connivance between the agent employed to sell and the purchaser, the sale will be binding on the seller on proof of authority of the agent to sell. RUTTA BEBEE *v.* DUMBEE LAL . 2 N. W., 153

48. ——— **Deed of sale executed by man of weak intellect.**—*Ground for setting aside sale by Court of Equity.*—A Court of Equity will not set aside the voluntary deed of a weak man who is not absolutely *non compos*, unless the weakness as well as the facts surrounding the transaction

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

9. INVALID SALES—*continued.*

Deed of sale executed by man of weak intellect—*continued.*

and the nature of the transaction itself, be such as to satisfy the Court that the person had not at the time a mind adoquate to the business, and that he might have been imposed upon, or unless the Court is not satisfied of the good faith of all the parties to the transaction. RAJENDER CHUNDER NEWORE *v.* BHOOBUN KALEE DEBEA . . W. R., 1864, 65

49. ——— Sale by old and illiterate woman without professional advice.—*Fraud.—Undue influence.—Inadequate consideration.—Terms on which deed will be set aside.—Purchase-money declared a charge.—Funeral expenses of Hindu widow declared a charge.—No allowance for repairs and improvements.*—*C.* was the widow of one *R.*, deceased, and from the death of *R.* until her own death remained in occupation of a house and chawl which had belonged to him. *D.* was a sister of *C.'s*, and, shortly after *R.'s* death, *D.* and her son *B.*, the first and second defendants, went to live with *C.* on the said property, and lived with *C.* and were her only companions until *C.'s* death. While so living with *C.*, *D.* and *B.* advanced to *C.* at various times, on joint account, various sums of money, amounting to R3,500, for purposes such as would have justified *C.* in pledging the property of her late husband to secure the repayment of the same. *C.* became very ill, and *D.* and *B.*, fearing she might be going to die, requested her to take some steps to secure to them the repayment of the sums they had advanced to her. *C.* thereupon offered to give *D.* and *B.* an absolute deed of sale of the said house and chawl in consideration of the said sum of R3,500 already advanced to her, and of an additional sum of R500 then to be paid to her to defray her funeral expenses and the costs of the said conveyance. *D.* and *B.* consented, and called in their solicitor to take *C.'s* instructions and draw up the deed in question, which he accordingly did; and within three days of the said agreement the deed was executed. At that time *C.* was very ill, and twelve days after the execution of the deed *C.* died. *C.* was an illiterate woman, over sixty years of age, and had in this matter no independent professional or other advice. The additional sum of R500 agreed to be paid to *C.* was never so paid to her, but after her death *D.* and her son expended moneys in and about her funeral ceremonies, amounting, as they alleged, to upwards of R400. The property in question so pledged to them for R4,000 was worth at least R5,200. The plaintiff, one of the heirs of *R.*, sued to set the deed aside and for possession of the said property. *Held* that the deed of sale must be set aside as obtained under circumstances which amounted to fraud. *Held*, also, that the advances, amounting to R3,500, made to *C.* by *D.* and her son *B.*, being made for purposes for which *C.* would have been justified in pledging the said property, the deed of sale should be set aside only on the terms that the property in question should stand charged with the repayment of the sums so advanced. *Held*, also, that the property must stand charged with the repayment

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

9. INVALID SALES—*continued.*

Sale by old and illiterate woman without professional advice—*continued.*

to *D.* and *B.* of such a sum as, having regard to her position and station in life, should be found to be a reasonable sum for the funeral expenses of *C.* After *C.'s* death, *D.* and *B.* remained in possession of the said property under the deed of sale, and expended considerable sums of money in and about repairs and improvements to the same; and they now claimed that, if the sale was to be set aside, the sums so expended should be repaid to them. *Held* that no allowance could be made to *D.* and *B.* for sums so expended by them, such sums having been expended at a time when *D.* and *B.* must be taken to have known that they were fraudulently in possession of the property in question. SADASHIV BHASKAR JOSHI *v.* DHAKUBAI . . I. L. R., 5 Bom., 450

50. ——— Inadequacy of consideration.—*Actual or constructive fraud.—Concealment of sale.—Sales by expectant heirs of reversionary interests.*—In the case of a sale by a person, young indeed and in distressed circumstances, but not without advice or means of information, of an estate actually vested in him, but not to be obtained without litigation, the party seeking to set aside the sale must establish the fraud, actual or constructive, which entitles him to relief. It is not sufficient for him to show that he did not receive the full value of the estate to which the result of the litigation might ultimately show him to be entitled. The difference between that value and the purchase-money, if not too disproportionate, may be legitimately taken to represent the difference between certainty and immediate enjoyment on the one hand, and risk, worry, expense, and delay on the other. The exceptional equitable principles which, in a sale by an expectant heir of a reversionary interest, throw upon the purchaser the onus of showing that he gave a fair price, and which, on failure of such proof, entitles the expectant heir to have the sale set aside, have no application in the above case, or in that of every ignorant and improvident person. AZIMUDIN KHAN *v.* ZIA-UL-NISSA . . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 309

51. ——— Omission to register.—*Fraud.—Registration Act, XVI of 1864, s. 18.*—Where the sale-deed was executed, and consideration paid, but the deed was not registered within four months, owing to the seller's fraud,—*Held* that such fraudulent vendor could not benefit himself by pleading the provision of law (section 18, Act XVI of 1864) as a bar to the purchaser's claim. PURGAS RAI *v.* JUGGUN SINGH . . . . . 2 Agra, Pt. II, 201

52. ——— Alienation to defeat execution of decree.—*Rights of creditor without specific lien against purchaser.—Fraud.*—On the 3rd October 1865 the plaintiff filed a suit against *D.* to recover certain lands and money. While the suit was pending,—*viz.*, on the 13th October 1866,—*D.* mortgaged part of his immoveable property to defendant *E.*, and on 21st August 1871 executed a deed of sale to defendant *E.* of all the immoveable property of

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

9. INVALID SALES—*continued.*

Alienation to defeat execution of decree —*continued.*

which he (*D.*) was then possessed, for the price of R4,000. On 30th April 1872 the plaintiff obtained a decree against *D.*, and in execution thereof attached certain immoveable property other than the land mentioned in the decree. The defendant *R.* applied under section 246 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act VIII of 1859), and on the 21st August 1873 procured the removal of the attachment, whereupon the plaintiff brought the present suit to set aside the order of 21st August 1873, and to obtain a declaration that the attached property belonged to *D.* and was liable in execution. *Held* that inasmuch as neither the decree of the 30th April 1872, nor the plaint on which it was founded, established or sought to establish any claim against a specific lien upon the immoveable property, the subject of the present suit, it was perfectly competent for *D.*, at any time previously to an attachment of the property, to alienate it, and the question for decision as to that property was whether *D.* had alienated it or not. If the deed of sale by which *D.* conveyed the property on the 21st August 1871 were merely colourable, and the change of ownership ostensible only and not real,—*i.e.*, if it was the intention of the parties that the alienee should be merely a trustee for *D.* to shield the property from execution, and that *D.* should continue to be the beneficial owner of it,—there would not be any alienation, and the deed of sale would be void as against an attaching creditor of *D.* If, on the other hand, the sale were a real transaction,—*i.e.*, if it was the intention of the parties that the full ownership should pass from the vendor to the vendee,—then the sale would be valid, even though it might have been in the contemplation of the parties that future attempts to attach the property by a creditor of the vendor (not having any specific lien on the property) should be defeated by the sale. Until attachment the creditor has no right to interfere with the power of his debtor to deal with his property. RAJAN HARJI *v.* ARDESHIR HORMUSJI

[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 70

SAKHARAM MAHIPAT *v.* DAWUD VALAD JAWABHAI . . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 76, note

BALVANTRAV *v.* JIVANJI HORMASJI

[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 77

53. ——— **Sale to two successive purchasers.**—*Non-payment of purchase-money.—Right of first and second purchasers.*—The proprietor of certain immoveable property conveyed it first to one person and then to another. The first purchaser sued the vendor and the second purchaser for the possession of the property, alleging that he had been put in possession of it, but had been ousted by the second purchaser. *Held* that the first sale was not void by reason of the non-payment of the purchase-money, and that the second sale being invalid as having been made by a person who had no rights and interests remaining in the property, the second purchaser was not a representative of the vendor and entitled to receive the purchase-money found to be

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

9. INVALID SALES—*continued.*

Sale to two successive purchasers—*continued.*

still due to him from the first purchaser, and to retain possession of the property until the receipt of that purchase-money. RAM LAKHAN RAI *v.* BANDAN RAI . . . . I. L. R., 2 All., 711

54. ——— **Sale of property not belonging to vendor.**—*Loss sustained by purchaser on being dispossessed.*—Where a party sells property to others, and it afterwards appears that he had not the right to do so, and the purchasers are in consequence dispossessed, the loss ought to fall on the vendor, and not on the vendees who put faith in his act of selling. AMANOOLLAH *v.* MAHOMED NASIR

[22 W. R., 442

55. ——— **Deed of sale set aside as being fraudulent and void.**—*Right of purchaser to compensation for improvements.*—A party in possession under a deed of sale conveying real estate, the property of a defendant in a pending suit, held not entitled to any allowance for sums expended by him for improvement upon the estate, when the deed was found to be fraudulent and void as against the creditors of the vendor, and to have been executed to defeat a sequestration. MUSADEE MAHOMED CAZUM SHERAZEE *v.* ALLY MAHOMED SHOOSTRY . . . 6 Moore's I. A., 27

10. LIEN.

56. ——— **Creation of lien.**—*Title.—Notice of charge.—English law as to right of bond fide purchaser for value without notice.*—By contract and deposit of title-deeds *B.* charged certain land in favour of *A.* as a security in respect of the non-delivery of the title-deeds of an estate bought by *A.* from him. After the creation of this charge the land was transferred by *B.* to *C.* The Sudder Court having decided that the contract was not operative as an hypothecation or pledge, even between the parties to it, and that *A* had no right of suit against *C.*, to whom the land had been transferred,—*Held* by the Privy Council, reversing that decision, that the agreement created a lien on the land, and that no positive law was shown to forbid the giving effect to such agreement. The owner of property subject to a lien or charge can in general convey to another no title higher or more free than his own; it lies always on a succeeding owner to make out a case to defend such prior charge. The law in India does not enable a purchaser of land to look only to the apparent title in the Collector's books, or the presumed title of the owner in possession; and it is beyond the province of a Court of Justice to give effect to the title of such a purchaser to the extent of defeating a prior lien or charge. Conceding that a purchaser for value, *bond fide*, and without notice of the charge, would have an equity superior to *A.'s* right,—*Held* that a purchase in good faith by *C.* had not been proved. If the English doctrine on this subject be adopted, as the rule prescribed by justice, equity, and good conscience, its

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

10. LIEN—*continued.*

Creation of lien—*continued.*

qualifications and restrictions should not be rejected. VARDEN SETH SAM *v.* LUCKPATHY ROYJEE LALLAH [Marsh., 461 : 9 Moore's I. A., 303

57. ——— **Purchaser, Right of,**—*Produce of land, Sale of.—N.-W. P. Rent Act, XVIII of 1873, s. 56.—Hypothecation.*—The purchaser of the unstored produce of land in the occupation of a cultivator, with notice of the lien created on such produce by section 56 of Act XVIII of 1873, takes such produce subject to such lien. S. A. No. 1393 of 1870, decided on the 4th February 1871, and *Achul* v. *Gunga Pershad, 2 Agra, 73,* followed. KINLOCK *v.* COLLECTOR OF ETAWAH. KINLOCK *v.* COURT OF WARDS . . . . . I. L. R., 3 All., 433

58. ——— *Lien by deposit of title-deeds.—Subsequent purchase by another.*—In 1865 *C.* gave *H.* a lien on his property, by a deposit of title-deeds. In 1867 *B.* purchased the same property *bonâ fide,* and without notice of *H.'s* lien. *Held* that *B.* took the property free of the lien. BUNSEEDHUR *v.* HEERA LALL [1 N. W., Part VI, p. 74 : Ed. 1873, 166

59. ——— *Priority.—Inchoate agreement to purchase.—Deposit of earnest-money.*—The claimant entered into an agreement for the purchase of certain property, and on the execution of the agreement deposited R15,000 as earnest-money of the contract and in part payment of the purchase-money. The claimant was not satisfied at that time with the title-deeds supplied by the vendor, but afterwards entered into fresh negotiations for the purchase upon different terms. The vendor died, and the present claim was filed in a suit to administer his estate. *Held* that the claimant was entitled to be paid in full the R15,000 in priority to all other creditors; and that his lien was not lost by the failure either of the original contract or the subsequent negotiations. KENNY *v.* ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL . . . . 3 B. L. R., O. C., 75

60. ——— *Lien, Concealment of.—Estoppel.*—In execution of a money-decree, the decree-holder caused the right, title, and interest of the judgment-debtor in a certain property, which had been mortgaged to him by a registered bond, to be sold, but without notice of the existence of such lien. He afterwards obtained a decree upon the bond, and sold it to the defendants, who caused the same property to be attached. The purchaser intervened under section 246, but without success. In a suit by the purchaser to establish his absolute right,—*Held* that, as the defendants' vendor had suppressed the fact of the charge, and thereby induced the plaintiff to purchase as the absolute property of the judgment-debtor, they were now precluded from setting up his lien. DULLAB SIKKAR *v.* KRISHNA KUMAR BAKSHI [3 B. L. R., A. C., 407 : 12 W. R., 303

61. ——— **Right to enforce lien.**—*Sale subject to decree declaring lien on property.*—If a decree declares a lien over *A's* property for a certain sum in favour of *B.*, and subsequently *A.* sells part of this property to *B.* and part to *C.*, *B.* cannot sue to enforce his lien against *C.'s* purchase without bringing his own into contribution. RAM LOCHUN SIRCAR *v.* RAM NARAIN . . 1 C. L. R., 296

11. NOTICE.

62. ——— **Necessity of notice of title.**—*Equitable doctrine of secret ownership.*—It is a rule of universal equity, and not one peculiar to English Courts, that, in order to enable the real owner of property to recover from a purchaser for value from a person allowed by the real owner to hold himself out as the owner, he must prove either direct or constructive notice of the real title, or that there existed circumstances which ought to have put the purchaser on an inquiry which, if prosecuted, would have led to a discovery of the real title. RAMCOOMAR KOONDOO *v.* MCQUEEN [11 B. L. R., 46: 18 W. R., 166 L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 40

63. ——— **Purchaser without notice.**—*Secret ownership.—Fraud.*—A vendee who purchases for valuable consideration, and without notice of benami, from the ostensible owner of the property held by him under an apparently good title, will be protected from subsequent acts of the owner or his heir, both of whom were parties to the fraud; and his purchase will hold good against any subsequent sale made by them. BENNIE *v.* GUNGANARAIN CHOWDREY . . . . . 3 W. R., 10

64. ——— *Equitable relief against forfeiture.*—Remarks on the doctrine of equity as to the applicablity of the defence of purchase for valuable consideration without notice. The defence does not apply where the Court of Chancery is exercising a jurisdiction concurrent with that of the Courts of law. Where *A.* sold land to *B.*, reserving a right to re-purchase by payment of a certain sum at a specified time, and before such time had arrived *B.* re-sold to *C.* for valuable consideration without notice, and *A.* failed to make the payment and forfeited his right to re-purchase,—*Held* that he had no title unless relieved against the forfeiture, and that such relief could not be given as against *C.* SAMAKKAUNDAN *v.* PERUMAL CHETTI [2 Mad., 14

65. ——— *Purchase from joint Hindu family.—Presumption.—Semble,*—That considering the state of Hindu families a purchaser would be affected with notice by much slighter evidence than a purchaser in other countries. KOYILOTHPUTENPURAYIL MANOMI KORAN NAYAR *v.* PUTHEN PURAYIL MANOKI CHANDA NAYAR [3 Mad., 294

66. ——— *Bonâ fide purchaser.—Fraud in vendors.*—A *bonâ fide* purchaser

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

11. NOTICE—*continued.*

Purchaser without notice—*continued.*

should not be deprived of the benefit of an honest purchase, even though the sale to his vendors was fraudulent, if he had no notice of the fraud. GOLAM AHEA *v.* DIGUMBUR SINGH . W. R., 1864, 225

67. ——— *Sale of whole interest.—Subsequent purchase without notice by another.*—The plaintiff purchased from the first defendant, who purchased from the person admitted to be the owner in 1856. The resisting defendants claimed under a subsequent sale by the same person. *Held*, reversing the decree of the lower Court, that on the simple principle that after the conveyance to the first defendant the owner of the land had nothing more to convey, the resisting defendants took nothing and the plaintiff was entitled to recover. VIRABHADRA PILLAI *v.* HARI RAMA PILLAI [3 Mad., 38

See contra, CHIDAMBARA NAYINAN *v.* ANNAPPA NAYAKKAN . . . . . 1 Mad., 62

68. ——— *Bonâ fide purchaser.—Omission to make proper inquiries into title.*—In order that a purchaser of immoveable property from a Hindu in the Island of Bombay may be entitled, as against the beneficial owner of such property, to set up the defence of being a *bonâ fide* purchaser without notice, he must show that he has made all proper inquiries into the title and as to the state of the family of his vendor, and of his vendor's predecessors in title for a period of twelve years at least before the date of his purchase. SAVAKLAL KARSANDAS *v.* ORA NIZMUDDIN . 8 Bom., O. C., 77

69. ——— **Purchaser, Obligation of.**—*Joint Hindu family, Purchase from.*—When a person has notice that another has or claims an interest in property for which he is dealing, he is bound to inquire what that interest is; and if he purchases without doing so, he will be bound, although the notice was inaccurate as to the particulars or extent of such interest. Where the notice is given by the person himself who claims an interest in the property, and it is afterwards proved that he had such an interest,—*Quære*, Whether any amount of inquiry can discharge the purchaser from liability. A purchaser, therefore, from one member of a joint Hindu family is affected with notice of the claims of the other members. On the facts,—*Held* (reversing the decision of the Court below) that no sufficient inquiry had been made in this case. GOBIND CHUNDER MOOKERJEE *v.* DOORGAPERSAD BABOO [14 B. L. R., 337: 22 W. R., 248

70. ——— **Incumbrance.**—*Fraud.—Equitable mortgage.—Purchaser for valuable consideration without notice.*—The reason for the rule of equity that a purchaser of property, though for valuable consideration, yet with notice of a prior incumbrancer, purchases subject to such incumbrance, is that such purchaser is acting *mala fide* in taking away the right of the prior incumbrancer by getting the legal estate, while knowing that a prior purchaser has the right to it. But a purchaser for valuable consideration, without notice of the prior right of a third person, is not guilty of or party to a fraud upon the rights of a prior purchaser. The Courts of Equity, therefore, will not interfere with his right to the possession, enjoyment, and disposal of the property; and though, subsequently to his purchase, he may become aware of the prior incumbrance, yet he has the right to convey to a subsequent purchaser, who, at the time of such subsequent conveyance, has notice of the prior right of the third person; and such subsequent purchaser will take the property free from the incumbrance, for neither is he guilty of any fraud in accepting what his vendor had a right to convey, nor would the *bonâ fide* purchaser without notice be able otherwise freely and completely to dispose of the property which he innocently acquired. On the same principles, any subsequent purchaser, however remote, though having notice, must be protected. Where, therefore, the second defendant, having notice of the plaintiff's equitable mortgage, purchased from one who, also with such notice, had purchased from a *bonâ fide* purchaser for value without notice,—*Held* that the second defendant held the property free from the equitable mortgage. *Carter* v. *Carter*, *3 K. and Johns, 617*, distinguished. DAYAL JIVRAJ *v.* JAIRAJ RATANSI . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 273

71. ——— *Bonâ fide transferee for value of mortgaged property.—Ignorance of existing incumbrance.—Held* that a statement in answer to interrogatories, which was made by the purchaser of mortgaged property, to the effect that at the time of the purchase he was aware of the mortgage and believed that it had been satisfied, was no proof of the purchase having been made after notice of a prior mortgage, inasmuch as it was inconsistent with the knowledge of an existing incumbrance. SHEO DAYAL MAL *v.* HARI RAM [I. L. R., 7 All., 590

72. ——— *Purchaser for value.—Notice of prior mortgage.*—The plaintiff in 1867 obtained a decree against one Ramzan Mohidin for payment of a debt by him personally, or in default entitling the plaintiff to recover the amount from the sale of certain immoveable property situated in Gujarat on which the debt had been secured under a sankhat. On the attachment of the immoveable property in execution of that decree, the defendant objected under section 246 of the Civil Procedure Code, and alleged that he had purchased the property in 1865. The attachment having accordingly been raised, the plaintiff sued for a declaration of his right to sell the mortgaged property. Both the lower Courts threw out the plaintiff's claim. On special appeal the decrees of the lower Courts were reversed, and the case remanded for the trial of the issue whether the defendant was a *bonâ fide* purchaser for valuable consideration, without notice of the plaintiff's sankhat or lien on the property in dispute at or before the time of his purchase. GRIDHAR RANCHODDAS *v.* HAKAMCHAND REVACHAND [8 Bom., A. C., 75

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

11. NOTICE—*continued.*

73. ——— **Transfer of property subject to trust.**—*Purchaser for value.—Constructive notice.—Tenant in possession as object of charitable trust.*—If the purchaser of an estate for value takes with notice, actual or constructive, of a trust, he is bound by such trust to the same interest and in the same manner as the person from whom he purchased. A person purchasing an estate where there is a tenant in possession is bound to inquire into the title of such tenant, and if he neglects to do so he takes subject to such rights as the tenant may have. The equities are the same where there is a person in possession as the object of a charitable trust and under the trust. MANCHARJI SORABJI CHULLA *v.* KONGSROO [6 Bom., O. C., 59

74. ——— *Notice.—Right of purchaser.*—*B.*, having been sentenced to transportation for life, presented a petition in the Revenue Court, in which, stating that he owned a certain zemindari estate, and that he had been so sentenced, and that it was necessary to make arrangements for the payment of the Government revenue and the management of the estate, he prayed that his name might be removed from the revenue register and that of *P.* recorded in its stead. *P.* sold the property for consideration, his vendee purchasing without notice of any trust, and it was subsequently put up for sale in execution of a decree against *P.'s* vendee and was purchased without notice of any trust. *Held* that the property could not be followed into the hands of the purchaser at the execution sale. *Durga Prasad* v. *Ata Ram, I. L. R., 2 All., 361*, observed on. HAIT RAM *v.* DURGA PRASAD [I. L. R., 5 All., 603

75. ——— **Constructive notice.**—*Person in possession of subject of sale.*—Where there is a person in possession of an estate other than the nominal owner,—*i.e.*, the person in whose name the title-deed is,—a purchaser, although he may be a purchaser for value, is bound to inquire what is the nature of his possession. If he does not think fit to do so, he takes subject to the rights of the person in possession. HAKEEM MEAH *v.* BREJOY PATNEE [22 W. R., 8

MASSIM MEAH *v.* SHAM DOSS [22 W. R., 189

76. ——— *Sale in execution of decree.—Sale of property on which there is a lien.*—*Per* KENNEDY, *J.*—An execution-purchaser takes subject to all equities affecting the judgment-debtor, and will be bound by constructive notice in the same way as an ordinary purchaser. *Kinderly* v. *Jervis, 22 Beav., 1*; and *Brewer* v. *Lord Oxford, 6 De G., M. & G., 507*, cited and followed. RAM LOCHUN SIRCAR *v.* RAM NARAIN . . 1 C. L. R., 296

77. ——— *Doctrine of constructive notice.—Secrecy in transaction.*—The Court will not apply the doctrine of constructive notice where the party seeking the benefit of that doctrine has been guilty of secrecy in the transaction with

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

11. NOTICE—*continued.*

Constructive notice—*continued.*

constructive notice of which he seeks to affect a purchaser. HORMASJI TEMULJI *v.* MANKUVARBAI [12 Bom., 262

78. ——— *Notice to agent of purchaser.*—Notice to a purchaser's agent was held to be constructive notice to his principal, so as to fix the latter with a trust, or a burden relative to the subject of purchase which without notice he would have escaped. SERDEN NAZEEN ALI KHAN *v.* OJOODHYA RAM KHAN. MUNSOOR ALI KHAN *v.* OJOODHYA RAM KHAN . . 8 W. R., 399

79. ——— *Liability of land purchased from Hindu devisee for debts of his testator.—Onus probandi.—Per* PONTIFEX, *J.*—The question how far lands purchased from a Hindu devisee are liable in the hands of the purchaser for the testator's debts, stands on the same footing as a similar question would under the present English law. The creditors of the ancestor or testator may follow his lands into the possession of a purchaser from the heir or devisee, if it can be proved that such purchaser knew (1) that there were debts of the ancestor or testator left unsatisfied, and (2) also that the heir or devisee to whom he paid his purchase-money intended to apply it otherwise than in the payment of such debts. But a purchaser ignorant on either of these points has a safe title, for no duty is cast upon the purchaser from the heir or devisee to inquire whether there are any debts of the ancestor or testator, or to see to the application of his purchase-money, even when there is an express charge of debts by the testator on the devised estate—at least when the devisee is also executor; and in such a case the burden of proof is entirely on the creditor to show that the purchaser from the devisee had notice that the latter intended to misapply the purchase-money. For a purchaser to be affected with constructive notice through his solicitor, the latter himself must have actual notice. GREENDER CHUNDER GHOSE *v.* MACKINTOSH I. L. R., 4 Calc., 897: 4 C. L. R., 193

80. ——— *Fraud.—Registration Acts, Effect of.*—When a person is proved to have had a knowledge of certain facts, or to have been in a position the reasonable consequence of which knowledge or position would be that he would have been led to make further inquiry, which would have disclosed a particular fact, the law fixes him with having himself had notice of that particular fact. There may be such wilful negligence in abstaining from inquiry into facts which would convey actual notice as may properly be held to have the consequences of notice actually obtained. But if there is not actual notice, and no wilful or fraudulent turning away from an inquiry into, and consequent knowledge of, facts which the circumstances would suggest to a prudent mind, then the doctrine of constructive notice ought not to be applied. Constructive notice may apply as against third persons from a neglect to call for deeds and documents of title, but

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

11. NOTICE—*continued.*

Constructive notice—*continued.*

not to the same extent where a Registration Act is in operation, as it would where no Registration Act prevails. *Agra Bank* v. *Barry, L. R., 7 H. L., 135,* followed. If an agent authorised to sell property commits a fraud against his principal, the principal is the person who ought to suffer and not a stranger. DOORGA NARAIN SEN *v.* BANEY MADHAB MOZUMDAR . . . . I. L. R., 7 Calc., 199

81. ——— *Registration.—Possession.—Registration Acts, Effect of.—English Registry Acts, Stat. 7 Anne, c. 20, s. 1; 2 and 8 Anne, c. 4, s. 1; 6 Anne, c. 35, s. 1; 8 Geo. 2, c. 6, s. 1.—Irish Registry Act, 6 Anne, c. 2, s. 4 (Ireland).*—Neither in England nor in Ireland has mere registration been held to amount to notice to subsequent mortgagees or purchasers. In Bombay the Courts have adopted the rule which prevails in America, and have held that registration does amount to notice to all subsequent purchasers of the same property. Possession has been deemed by Hindu and Mahomedan law, as interpreted in the Presidency of Bombay, to amount to notice of such title as the person in possession may have; and any other person who takes a mortgage or other charge upon immoveable property without ascertaining the nature of the claim of him who is in possession does so at his own risk. This is the rule in England also. The Indian Registration Acts prior to the year 1864, like the Middlesex Registry Act (Stat. 7 Anne, c. 20, sec. 1); the Yorkshire Registry Acts (Stat. 2 and 3 Anne, c. 4, sec. 1; 6 Anne, c. 35, sec, 1; 8 Geo. II., c. 6, sec. 1), and the Irish Registry Act (Stat. 6 Anne, c. 2, sec. 4, Ir.), gave priority of rank to priority of registration. The later Indian Registration Acts—*viz.,* Act XVI of 1864, XX of 1866, VIII of 1871, and III of 1877—proceed upon a different principle. Under them a registered instrument operates from the time at which it would have commenced to operate if no registration had been required or made, and not from the time of its registration, which rule applies both to compulsory and optionally registrable instruments. The earlier decisions, by which registration has in India been permitted to supply the want of possession, may be attributed to this absolute preference so accorded by the earlier Registration Acts to priority of registration. In the reported cases under the Indian Registration Acts passed in and subsequently to 1864, which have not (like the previous enactments) given priority of rank to priority of registration, the Courts have also regarded registration as an equivalent for possession where the instrument *earlier in date* has been registered, but unaccompanied by possession. The Courts have gone a step further, and have held registration under Act XVI of 1864 and the subsequent Acts to amount to notice, and therefore to atone for the absence of, and to be a sufficient substitute for, possession in the validation of title. The rule, however, that registration is equivalent to possession, cannot be applied to cases where the registration of the instrument earlier in date has been effected subsequently to the execution of the instrument set up against it. LAKSHMAN DAS SARUPCHAND *v.* DASRAT

[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 168

82. ——— *Priority.—Possession.—Vendor and purchaser.—Purchaser without possession.—Subsequent purchaser with possession and without notice of prior purchase.*—The plaintiff purchased the land in dispute on the 28th February 1878, and on the same day lodged his deed of purchase with the Registrar together with the registration fee. It was registered on the 29th April 1878. He was not put in possession of the property. The defendant purchased the same property on the 1st April 1878, and on the following day lodged his deed of purchase with the Registrar together with the registration fee. It was registered on the 26th May 1878. His purchase was accompanied with possession. In a suit brought by the plaintiff against the vendor and the subsequent purchaser for possession of the property,—*Held* that the registration of the plaintiff's deed of purchase, not having been effected until after the execution of the defendant's deed, could not have operated as notice of the plaintiff's deed to the defendant, and, therefore, could not be equivalent to possession. *Held,* also, that as the defendant was a purchaser without notice, either actual or constructive, of the plaintiff's prior purchase, and had taken the precaution of obtaining possession, both parties being Hindus and innocent purchasers, the defendant could not be deprived of the benefit of his possession. HASHA *v.* RAGHO . . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 165

83. ——— Priority.—*Notice of prior contract.—Specific Relief Act, 1877, s. 27.—Oral agreement.—Sale to third person in contravention of agreement.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 261, 262.*—Where a *bonâ fide* contract, whether oral or written, is made for the sale of property, and a third party afterwards buys the property with notice of the prior contract, the title of the party claiming under the prior contract prevails against the subsequent purchaser, although the latter's purchase may have been registered, and although he has obtained possession under his purchase. CHUNDER KANT ROY *v.* KRISHNA SUNDER ROY

[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 710

See NEMAI CHARAN DHADAL *v.* KOKIL BAG

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 534: 7 C. L. R., 487

84. ——— *San-mortgage in Gujarat.—Priority.—Priority as between a purchaser at execution sale and prior mortgagee by unregistered san-mortgage.—Plea of purchase without notice.*—The general rule in the Presidency of Bombay is that, amongst Hindus, possession is necessary in order to perfect a transfer of immoveable property by mortgage or deed of sale as against subsequent incumbrancers or purchasers. The main ground of this rule is that possession is notice to all subsequent intending mortgagees or purchasers of the title of the party in possession. It is, however,

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

11. NOTICE—*continued.*

Priority—*continued.*

the established and judicially recognised custom of Gujarat that possession is not necessary in the case of a san-mortgage to validate it as against subsequent mortgagees or purchasers. The necessity of possession being thus dispensed with, it seems to follow that a san-mortgage, in other respects good, is valid as against a subsequent mortgagee or purchaser, whether or not such mortgagee or purchaser has notice of the san-mortgage. To hold that a subsequent mortgagee or purchaser for valuable consideration and without notice of a san-mortgage is entitled to priority over it, would be tantamount to depriving the san-mortgagee of the benefit of the custom that possession is unnecessary. *Per* MELVILL, *J.*—Such perfect security is now afforded by registration that there appears to be hardly room for the plea of purchase without notice. Seeing that a purchaser may secure himself against all unregistered mortgages without possession by simply taking possession or registering his conveyance, he is, if he omit to do so, in *pari delicto* with the prior mortgagee, and it is difficult to see how he is entitled to any relief. SOBHAGCHAND GULAB CHAND *v.* BHAICHAND . . . . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193

12. POSSESSION.

85. ——— **Vendor remaining in possession.**—*Presumption.*—Where a deed was executed conveying a man's entire property to his son, only two years old, and reserving to himself one rupee a day for his subsistence, and after execution the conveying party remained in possession,—*Held* that, in the absence of explanation, no other inference could be drawn than that the deed was merely intended to be used as a blind. SEEENATH SINGH CHOWDHRY *v.* HURESPREA [10 W. R., 449

86. ——— *Condition of sale.—Acceptance of security by vendor.—Suit to realise security.*—The defendant purchased certain jewels at a sale by auction, subject to a condition that, if not paid for in three days, the goods should be sold at the risk of the purchaser. Being unable to pay within the time stipulated, he gave a promissory note for the price, upon an agreement that the vendor should retain the jewels for him, but should not exercise the power of sale within three days. *Held* that the vendor could sue on the note, though he retained the jewels in his possession under the lien so created. ALLEN, HAYES, & Co., *v.* ANUNDO CHUNDER MUNDLE . Bourke, O. C., 156

87. ——— **Absence of change of possession.**—*Hindu law.—Incomplete sale.*—According to Hindu law, a change of possession is necessary to complete a sale of corporeal property, in order to prevent successive purchasers from being cheated by successive sales of the same property, and to obviate disputes as to what was really sold. A purchaser from a Hindu vendor, who buys corporeal property without possession, does not thus obtain a

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued*

12. POSSESSION—*continued.*

Absence of change of possession—*continued.*

title which, in a suit for specific performance against the vendor, he can enforce against a person actually in possession under a title adverse to the vendor by joining that person as a defendant. KACHU BAYAJI *v.* KACHORA VITHOBA . 10 Bom., 491

88. ——— **Necessity of change of possession.**—*Hindu and Mahomedan laws.—Priority.*—It is a general but not an invariable rule that possession in the grantee or assignee is deemed essential amongst Hindus and Mahomedans to the complete transfer of immoveable property, either by gift, sale, or mortgage. Exceptions to the above rule pointed out. LAKSHMAN DAS SARUPCHAND *v.* DAVRAT [I. L. R., 6 Bom., 168

SOBHAGCHAND GULABCHAND *v.* BHAICHAND [I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193

89. ——— *Hindu law.—Delivery of possession.—Notice.*—Delivery of possession of property sold is, under the Hindu law, essential to complete the title of the vendee against a third party purchasing with possession from the same vendor without notice of the prior transaction. The rule prevails as between competing conveyances both of which have been registered. Authorities and Hindu law texts on the subject reviewed. LALUBHAI SURCHAND *v.* BAI AMRIT [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 299

90. ——— **Sale when vendor is not in possession.**—*Hindu law.—Necessity of possession.—Ejectment.*—A Hindu, whose estate is in the possession of a trespasser or a mortgagee, may sell his right of entry as such, or his equity of redemption as such, and the purchaser may thereupon sue to eject the trespasser or to redeem the mortgage; but a bill of sale by a Hindu vendor, purporting to convey the estate itself, executed by a person who is not in possession, cannot operate as a present conveyance, nor enable the purchaser to sue in ejectment. *Prahlad Sen* v. *Budhu Singh, 2 B. L. R., P. C., 111;* and *Bhobosoondaree Dassiah* v. *Issur Chunder Dutt, 11 B. L. R., 86,* followed. *Bikan Singh* v. *Parbutty Koer, 22 W. R., 99; Gungahurry Nundee* v. *Raghubram Nundee, 14 B. L. R., 307;* and *Lokenath Ghose* v. *Juggobundhoo Roy, I. L. R., 1 Calc., 297,* referred to. BAI SURAJ *v.* DALPATRAM DAYASHANKAR . . . . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 380

91. ——— **Possession, Delivery of.**—*Hindu law.—Sale.*—Possession is not essentially necessary by Hindu law to give validity to a transfer by sale of immoveable property. BHUKAN BHAIBAVA *v.* BHAIJI PRAG . . . . 1 Bom., 19

92. ——— *Title.—Hindu law.*—Delivery of possession is not necessary to the transfer of ownership among Hindus. *Per* MARKBY, *J.*—As a general rule of law, when a vendee has got a document which in terms professes to make over property, and the document is registered (in case

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

12. POSSESSION—*continued.*

Possession, Delivery of—*continued.*

registration is necessary), he becomes at once the owner without actual delivery of possession. GUNGAHURRY NUNDEE *v.* RAGHUBRAM NUNDEE
[14 B. L. R., 307: 23 W. R., 131

93. ——— *Hindu law.*—*Per curiam,*—Delivery of possession is not, under the Hindu law, essential to complete the title of a purchaser for value. NARAIN CHUNDER CHUCKERBUTTY *v.* DATARAM ROY
[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 597: 10 C. L. R., 241

NAGUBAI *v.* MOTIGIR GURU . . 1 Bom., 5

94. ——— *Hindu law.*—Under the Hindu law current in the Madras Presidency, possession is not necessary to complete a sale. VASUDEVA BHALLU *v.* NARASAMMA
[I. L. R., 5 Mad., 6

95. ——— **Want of possession.**—*Hindu law.*—*Sale before Transfer of Property Act.*—*Possession.*—Under the law administered in the Madras Presidency in the case of sales of land between Hindus made before the date of the Transfer of Property Act, 1882, where all has been done that the parties contemplated to complete a sale, the title of the purchaser cannot be defeated in favour of a second purchaser merely by reason that the latter obtained and the former did not obtain possession. RAMASAMI AYYANGAR *v.* MARIMUTTU BHATTAN
[I. L. R., 6 Mad., 404

96. ——— *Sale of land by a Hindu.*—*Vendor without possession.*—*Conveyance of right of action.*—Where a Hindu vendor sold his share in certain land, but expressly stated in the deed of sale that he was out of possession; that the land was in the hands of a third party, to whom it had been mortgaged without the vendor's authority; and that he (vendor) empowered the purchaser to bring a suit against the person in possession in order to recover the vendor's share in the land, with mesne profits,—*Held* that what the deed contemplated was nothing more than the transfer of the right of entry, although, according to the invariable mode of expression in such documents, the vendor professed, in terms, to convey the property itself. *Held,* further, that the purchaser acquired the same right of action which his vendor possessed, notwithstanding that the vendor was not in possession at the date of the sale. VASUDEV HARI *v.* TATIA NARAYAN
[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 387

97. ——— *Transfer of property by a person not in possession.*—*Validity of such transfer.*—*Hindu law.*—The plaintiffs sought to recover possession from the defendants of certain land, claiming under a kararnama executed to them by one *M.* The defendants contended that *M.* had never been in possession of the land. The lower Appellate Court held that as *M.* was not in possession at the time on the kararnama was executed, the

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

12. POSSESSION—*continued.*

Want of possession—*continued.*

plaintiff's claim was not maintainable. On appeal to the High Court,—*Held,* reversing the decree of the lower Appellate Court, that the circumstance of *M.'s* not having been in possession at the time the kararnama was executed did not prevent the plaintiffs from recovering possession from the defendants. *Kalidas* v. *Kanhaya Lall, I. L. R., 11 Calc., 121: L. R., 11 I. A., 219,* referred to and followed. UGARCHAND MANACKCHAND *v.* MADAPA SOMANA . . . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 324

98. ——— *Hindu law.*—*Sale of land.*—Though, by Hindu law, on a sale of land it is not absolutely necessary that the purchaser should be put in possession, it is requisite that the vendor should at the time of sale be in possession of the property sold. GIRDHAR PARJARAM *v.* DULABHRAM . . . 7 Bom., A. C., 1

99. ——— *Mahomedan law.*—*Sale when vendor is out of possession.*—A sale among Mahomedans, unlike a sale between Hindus, is valid as against a third party, even though the vendor was not at the time of the sale in possession of the property sold. ADAMKHAN *v.* ALARAKHI
[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 645

See also MOHINUDIN *v.* MANCHERSHAH
[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 650

13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY.

100. ——— **Bonâ fide purchase without notice of prior charge.**—*Per* PEACOCK, *C. J.,* NORMAN, and PUNDIT, *JJ.* (BAYLEY and CAMPBELL, *JJ.,* dissenting).—The fact of a purchase of land under a deed of sale being *bonâ fide* and without notice of a prior charge, does not pass the land free from the prior charge. MAHESWAR BAX SING *v.* BHIKHA CHOWDHRY
[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 403
S. C. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 122: 5 W. R., 61

101. ——— **Obligation of purchaser.**—*Inquiry by intending purchaser.*—An intending purchaser of property which has been previously mortgaged, who has no reason to suppose it to be joint family property, or the vendor to be a member of a joint family, and who has inquired of and learnt from the mortgagee that there was no fraud, is not bound to make any further inquiry. KYLASH KAMINEE DOSSEE *v.* TARINEE CHURN BOSE
[20 W. R., 100

102. ——— **Priority.**—*Mortgage.*—*Possession.*—*Registration.*—A registered mortgagee, though without possession, is entitled to priority over a subsequent purchaser. SUNDAR JAGJIVAN *v.* GOPAL ESHVANT . . . 4 Bom., A. C., 68

But an unregistered mortgage without possession is not valid against a purchaser with possession. GANPAT BAJASHET *v.* KHANDU CHAUGSHET
[4 Bom., A. C., 69

BOARD OF REVENUE SECRETARIAT

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY—*continued.*

103. ——— Mortgage by member of joint Hindu family.—*Surrender of equity of redemption.—Purchaser for valuable consideration.—Pleading.*—A member of a joint Hindu family granted a usufructuary mortgage: he subsequently, without the knowledge of the co-partners, released the equity of redemption. On hearing of this, the co-partners contested the validity of the release. *Held* that the parties claiming from the person to whom the release was made, took, so far as the co-partners were concerned, a title only as mortgagees. RADHANATH DAS *v.* ELLIOTT . . 6 B. L. R., 530

S. C. RADHANATH DAS *v.* GISBORNE & CO.
[15 W. R., P. C., 24: 14 Moore's I. A., 1

104. ——— Purchase by mortgagee.—*Possession.—Priority.—Registration.*—A registered mortgage without possession has priority over a subsequent registered sale and conveyance with possession. By a duly registered deed, *D.* mortgaged land to the plaintiff with power of sale. On default made by *D.*, the plaintiff brought a suit for a sale of the mortgaged land; but, pending the suit, *D.* sold the land to the defendant, who registered his conveyance and entered into possession. The plaintiff subsequently obtained a decree, and at the execution sale became himself the purchaser. In the present suit he sought to recover possession from the defendant. *Held* that the plaintiff was entitled to recover. His rights as mortgagee included the right of bringing to sale the property as it subsisted at the date of the mortgage. The property having been so brought to sale, the purchaser acquired a right free from any created subsequently to the mortgage and subject to it. SHRINGARPURE *v.* PETHE
[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 662

105. ——— Rights of mortgagee.—*Mortgage, Sale without disclosing.—Estoppel.*—The three senior members of an undivided Hindu family—the remaining members of which had disappeared—setting forth a ground of necessity, executed to the plaintiff, in November 1870, a mortgage, duly registered, of a piece of land which formed part of the family estate. Certain judgment-creditors of the absent member subsequently attached and sold his share in the said land under their decree. The plaintiff's undivided son purchased it, and in 1872 re-sold his right, title, and interest in it to the defendant's father, without disclosing the fact of his father's mortgage, but without any active fraud on the part of himself or his father to suppress the fact from the knowledge of his purchaser. In 1874 the plaintiff obtained a decree upon his mortgage, and attached the land. In a suit by the plaintiff to establish his right as against all the land included in his mortgage,—*Held* that the mortgage being, under the circumstances, a valid one, the sale of the absent son's share was subject to the lien created thereby, which lien was not disturbed by the purchase and subsequent sale of the share by the son of the mortgagee. The origin of the son's title was stated in the deed of sale to the new purchaser, who, by the fact of its being a sale of

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY—*continued.*

Rights of mortgagee—*continued.*

a share, was put upon inquiry. The mere want of disclosure, by the plaintiff's undivided son, of his father's mortgage, was not enough to create an estoppel against his father seeking to establish his claim under the mortgage. JOSHI *v.* JOSHI
[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 650

106. ——— *Sale of equity of redemption.—Right of purchaser.—Parties.*—By two deeds, dated respectively the 22nd February 1868 and 7th September 1872, and duly registered, *A.* mortgaged the lands in dispute to *B.* for a term of years which expired in 1880. On 10th October 1873 *A.* executed a razinama in favour of *B.*, relinquishing all his right in the said lands, and *B.* next day executed a kabuliat to Government for the lands, which thenceforward were entered in *B.'s* name. Previously to the second mortgage and razinama to *B.*,—*viz.*, on 21st March 1870,—*A.* had, by a duly-registered deed, mortgaged the same lands to the plaintiff, who in 1874 brought a suit against *A.* upon his mortgage and obtained a decree, under which he sold the mortgaged property, and became himself the purchaser thereof. Before and at the time of the institution of this suit, *B.* was in possession of the mortgaged land, but was not made a party to the suit. In 1877 *B.* sold the land to *C.* by a duly registered deed. In a suit brought by the plaintiff against *B.* and *C.* to recover possession of the land so purchased by him as above mentioned, at the sale in execution of his own decree,—*Held* that *B.'s* possession at the date of the plaintiff's suit upon his mortgage was sufficient to put the plaintiff on inquiry, and to constitute legal notice to him that the equity of redemption was at that time vested in *B.*, and it was therefore the plaintiff's duty to have made *B.* a party to the suit brought by him against *A.*, who had then alienated the equity of redemption to *B.*; and not having done so, the plaintiff could not rely, in support of his own title, upon a purchase under his own irregularly-obtained decree, and could not, therefore, stand in a better position as against *B.* than if his original suit had been properly constituted,—*i.e.*, he was bound to give *B.* an opportunity of redeeming his mortgage. NARU *v.* GULABSING
[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 83

107. ——— Purchase subject to mortgage.—*Right to redeem.—Good title at time of hearing of suit.—Certificate of sale.*—The property in dispute was mortgaged by its owner to the defendant with possession on the 3rd October 1847. On the 3rd December 1861 *A.* obtained a money-decree against the son and heirs of the mortgagor. In execution of that decree the property was sold subject to the mortgage, and purchased by *B.* on the 12th August 1864. Before confirmation of the sale, *B.*, on the 1st September 1864, sold it to *C.*, who, on the 30th March 1877, conveyed it by deed to the plaintiff. On the 27th September 1877 the plaintiff brought a suit for redeeming the property, and at the hearing produced a certificate of sale, dated the 27th

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY —*continued.*

Purchase subject to mortgage—*continued.*

October 1877. The certificate was applied for in May 1877, and issued to *C.*, reciting the sale to *B.*, and the sale by *B.* to *C.* The Court of first instance allowed the plaintiff to redeem on payment of a certain sum of money to the defendant. The Assistant Judge, on appeal, reversed the decree of the first Court on the ground that the certificate of sale was not in existence at the date of the institution of the suit, and that, therefore, the plaintiff had then no complete title. On appeal to the High Court,—*Held* that the plaintiff, having purchased and paid for the equity of redemption, was entitled to redeem, although the certificate of sale was not issued until after the suit had commenced. If a party, whose title is to some extent imperfect, seeks to redeem, and is able to prove a perfect title at the hearing of his cause, he should have a decree for redemption. *Harkisandas Narandas* v. *Bai Ichha, I. L. R., 4 Bom., 155*, and *Lalbhai Lakhmidas* v. *Naval Mir Kamaludin Husen Khan, 12 Bom., 247*, explained and distinguished. KRISHNAJI RAVJI v. GANESH BAPUJI [I. L. R., 6 Bom., 139

108. ——— **Purchaser of mortgagor's interest.**—*Priority.—Purchaser of value without notice of a prior san-mortgage.—Suit by mortgagee against purchaser to establish right to attach property.—Right of purchaser to redeem.—Parties.—Form of decree.*—On the 23rd March 1869 a house was mortgaged by its owner, *P.*, to *J.* by a san-mortgage. After the death of *P.*, his heirs, *D.* and *T.*, on the 9th July 1869, executed to the plaintiff a san-mortgage of the same house for R62. That mortgage was neither registered nor accompanied with possession. On the 27th July 1869 *D.* and *T.* sold the house to the defendant. The deed of sale was not registered. A part of the purchase-money was applied to the payment of the first san-mortgage, which was then delivered up to the defendant, with a receipt on it by *J.*, who acknowledged to have received from the defendant the amount due on his mortgage. The defendant, however, omitted to take an assignment of that mortgage to himself. The plaintiff sued *D.* and *T.* on his san-mortgage of the 9th July 1869, and in 1872 obtained a decree for the recovery of the mortgage-debt out of the mortgaged property. The defendant was not made a party to that suit. The plaintiff attached the house in execution of his decree, but the attachment was raised on the application of the defendant under section 246 of the Civil Procedure Code, Act VIII of 1859. The plaintiff then sued the defendant to establish his (plaintiff's) right to attach and sell the house under his san-mortgage. The defendant answered that he was a purchaser for value, without notice of the plaintiff's mortgage. The plaintiff's claim was dismissed by the first Court, but allowed by the Appellate Court. On special appeal,—*Held* that the defendant's plea that he was a purchaser for valuable consideration, and without notice of the plaintiff's san-mortgage, would not avail to defeat that mortgage under the established usage of Gujarat in favour of san-mortgages. *Held*, further,

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY —*continued.*

Purchaser of mortgagor's interest—*continued.*

that the defendant, having become entitled by his purchase at least to the equity of redemption in the house, ought to have been made a party to the plaintiff's original suit on his mortgage, and was not bound by the decree in that suit, and was entitled to a reasonable time to redeem the house from the plaintiff's mortgage. *Sobhagchand Gulabchand* v. *Bhaichand, I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193*, followed. NARAN PURSHOTAM v. DOLATRAM VIRCHAND [I. L. R., 6 Bom., 538

14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER.

109. ——— **Non-payment of purchase-money.**—*Tender.—Payment into Court.—Suit for specific performance.*—Plaintiff had entered into a contract with one of the defendants for the purchase of certain immoveable property, and after he made a small advance the contract was written out and registered. The purchaser refusing to pay up the purchase-money unless the vendor paid the costs, or half the costs, of registration, the latter resold the property to a third person. The present suit was to compel the completion of the contract and delivery of the property. *Held* that the Court was bound to see whether it was or was not the intention that a complete and binding sale should take place, although the purchase-money was not paid. *Held*, also, that in bringing such a suit, the plaintiff was bound, if he had not previously tendered the money to the defendant, to pay it into Court. MAHADOO BEGUM v. HUBEEBOOL HOSSEIN . . 15 W. R., 44

110. ——— **Advance of purchase-money.**—*Lien on purchase.—Repayment.—Suit for possession.*—*B.* advanced money to *A.* for the purchase of an estate. The estate was purchased by *A.*, but it was conveyed to *B.* *Held* that before *A.* could maintain a suit to obtain possession of the land, he was bound to pay or tender the money advanced by *B.* BHOYRUB CHUNDER SEIN v. ANUNDMOYE CHOWDHRAIN . . . . Marsh., 494

111. ——— **Right to refund of purchase-money.**—*Failure to give possession.—Suit for purchase-money.*—A purchaser of property of which possession was contracted to be given, but the vendor is unable to fulfil the contract, is at liberty to sue for repayment of the purchase-money, and is not obliged to sue for possession of the property. MOHUN LAL v. BEHAREE LAL . . 3 N. W., 336

112. ——— *Bonâ fide purchaser.—Refund of purchase-money.*—A *bonâ fide* purchaser was held to be entitled to a refund of the purchase-money in a case where some dispute having arisen as to the purchase, the matter was referred to arbitration; and it was held that the vendor had no authority to sell. The principle of *caveat emptor*

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER—*continued.*

Right to refund of purchase-money—*continued.*

does not apply to such a case. KISHEN MOHUN SHAHA *v.* RAM CHUNDER DEY . 3 W. R., 28

113. ——— *Refusal to perform contract.—Omission to repudiate sale.—Suit for recovery of purchase-money.*—The defendants had sold certain property to the plaintiff. They afterwards refused to effect mutation of names in favour of the plaintiff, on the ground that he had not paid off a certain mortgage on the property, which he had promised in the contract of sale to do. They did not repudiate the sale or the plaintiff's title under it. *Held* that the refusal was not tantamount to a rescission of the sale, and that a suit for the recovery of the purchase-money would not lie. SIRAJ-OOD-DOWLAH *v.* NOOR AHMUD . . . . 5 N. W., 194

114. ——— *Suit to recover deposit of purchase-money.—Obligation to tender conveyance for execution.*—In a suit by a purchaser of immoveable property to recover a deposit, paid by him on account of the purchase-money to the auctioneer, the vendor having refused to convey to the purchaser, save by a deed which should describe the premises by reference to another deed, not shown to the purchaser at the auction, and of the contents of which he had not then any notice,—*Held* that the purchaser was not bound to have tendered a conveyance engrossed to the vendor for execution, together with the residue of the purchase-money, before suing to recover the deposit. ESSAJI ADAMJI *v.* BHIMJI PURSHOTAM . . . 4 Bom., O. C., 125

115. ——— *Illegal sale.—Sale by coparceners without assent of others.*—Where a sale by two coparceners in favour of another was set aside, on the ground that the sale by a coparcener without the consent of the others was illegal,—*Held*, on the suit of the vendee to recover the purchase-money from the descendants of the vendors, that the purchase-money was like a debt, and payable by the heirs, in proportion to the shares inherited by each. OOMEDEE *v.* CHEDA LALL [2 Agra, 264

116. ——— *Refund of purchase-money by heir taking after widow.—Held* that a party succeeding as heir to an estate, the sale of which, by the widow of the person from whom he inherits, has been set aside, is bound to refund the purchase-money paid to the widow for the purpose of discharging liabilities on the estate. ROOSTUM SINGH *v.* ALUM SINGH . . . 1 Agra, 291

117. ——— *Failure to register.—Suit for refund of purchase-money.—Set-off.*—The plaintiff agreed to purchase land and paid down the purchase-money, taking from the vendor an agreement that if he did not register the conveyance he would return the purchase-money. The plaintiff entered into possession, but the vendor failing to register the conveyance, he sued to recover back his

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER—*continued.*

Right to refund of purchase-money—*continued.*

purchase-money. *Held* that he was entitled to a refund of the purchase-money. The purchaser who had obtained possession might or might not, according to the particular circumstances of the case, be liable to pay the vendor a reasonable amount for the occupation of the land; but when no set-off is pleaded, the vendor could only claim such amount by a separate action. COURT OF WARDS *v.* NITTA KALI DEBI . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 353 : 12 W. R., 287

See GURU PRASAD ROY *v.* DHANPAT SINGH [5 B. L. R., Ap., 46 : 14 W. R., 20

PRABUHRAM HAZRA *v.* ROBINSON [3 B. L. R., Ap., 49 : 11 W. R., 398

118. ——— *Purchaser at revenue sale afterwards set aside.—Suit to recover purchase-money.—Voluntary payment.*—A person who, with notice, buys property subject to a contingency, which may defeat or destroy the interest which is the subject of the sale, is not entitled to be relieved from his bargain and to recover the purchase-money, merely because the contingency contemplated actually happens, and the property either does not become, or ceases to be, available for his benefit. RAMTUHUL SINGH *v.* BISSESSUR LAL SAHOO [15 B. L. R., 208 : 23 W. R., 305 L. R., 2 I. A., 131

Reversing the decision of the High Court in BISSESSUR LAL SAHOO *v.* RAMTUHUL SINGH [11 B. L. R., 121 : 19 W. R., 351

119. ——— Voluntary payment.—*Payment to prevent sale.*—A payment of money to prevent a sale about to be effected in execution of a decree cannot be called a voluntary payment, whether it is made by the judgment-debtor or by a third party claiming the property. OMRITO LALL SIRCAR *v.* RAMDHUN CHAKEE . . . 18 W. R., 503

120. ——— *Payment by purchaser at execution sale.—Purchaser looking to application of money to pay debts on estate.*—A purchaser was held entitled to recover the amount paid by him on account of previous mortgages, when, in making these payments, he merely acted for the debtor who had borrowed the money from him, and what he did was to see that that money so borrowed was properly applied in clearing off the debts which rendered his own purchase unsafe, and of the existence of which he was at that time cognisant. WAJED HOSSEIN *v.* AHMED REZA [17 W. R., 480

121. ——— *Sale whilst under attachment.—Caveat emptor.—Fraud.*—*T.* sold a mouzah, of which he was owner, to *Z.* At the time of sale the mouzah was under attachment in execution of a decree obtained against *T.* by *E.* *Z.* paid the amount of that decree to prevent the property which she had purchased being sold in execution. *Z.* was

BENGAL LEGISLATIVE LIBRARY

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER—*continued.*

Voluntary payment—*continued.*

under no obligation otherwise to pay the amount of the decree. *Held* that *Z.* was entitled to recover against *T.* the amount so paid. ZAHURAN *v.* TAYLER [2 B. L. R., A. C., 86: 10 W. R., 380

122. ——— *Purchase from Hindu widow.—Alienation set aside by heir.—Suit by purchaser to recover money paid on mortgage.*—The plaintiff purchased an estate from a Hindu widow in possession, and after his purchase he paid a debt for which the property sold had been mortgaged by the late husband of his vendor. Subsequently the daughter of the vendor claimed the property as heir of her father, and recovered possession of it from the purchaser by suit. The purchaser then sued the heir for a refund of the amount of the mortgage-debt paid by him. *Held* that the purchaser was entitled to recover. PORAN MISRA *v.* HARSARAN MISRA . . . . . 8 B. L. R., Ap., 55

15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF—

123. ——— **Purchaser from Hindu executor.**—*Inquiry by purchaser.—Semble,*—A purchaser from a Hindu executor is not bound to see to the exact amount of the debts which the testator has directed the executor to pay, or even to inquire if any such debts actually existed; he need not look further than the will itself. ROOPLALL KHETTRY *v.* MOHIMA CHURN ROY . 10 B. L. R., 271, note

124. ——— **Specific performance, Right to.**—*Sale bonâ fide but not of final character.—Priority over attaching creditor.*—A deed of sale, though not strictly of a complete and final character, yet, if genuine and duly attested, may be sufficient to bind the property and to give the purchaser the right to demand a specific performance of the contract and the execution of such further assurances as might be deemed necessary to invest him with a complete title to the property. Such a deed would necessarily prevail over any intermediate attachment of the property for debts due from the original proprietor. LALLA CHOONEELAL NAGINDAS *v.* SAWAECHUND NAMEDAS [5 W. R., P. C., 111

125. ——— **Validity of sale.**—*Sale for valuable consideration.—Intention to transfer.*—*Held* that the mere fact that the sale to the plaintiff was instigated by some discharged mortgagee does not of necessity make void the plaintiff's right as purchaser, if it be found that the vendor to the plaintiff had some right or interest in the property by inheritance, and transferred it for valuable consideration, with the intention that it should take effect as a transfer of his rights as heir. MAHOMED FAIZALI KHAN *v.* GUNGA RAM . . . 1 Agra, 112

126. ——— *Conveyance by mortgagee with power of sale.—Absence of confirmation by mortgagor.—B. & Co.,* mortgagees with power to sell, sold the mortgaged property to the defendants. No deed was executed until some years afterwards, when the mortgagor was dead. The deed was in the form followed when a mortgagee is the vendor, and the mortgagors join in the conveyance; but the words of conveyance were by the mortgagees alone, and without any confirmation by the mortgagor. *Held* that the purchaser did not by the deed acquire an indefeasable estate. DOUCETT *v.* WISE . . . . . 3 W. R., 157

127. ——— **Effect of sale.**—*Purchase of rights of Mahomedan widow.—Failure to take actual possession.*—By an order passed under Act XIX of 1841, *A.* was declared entitled to take possession of a fourth share of her deceased husband *B.'s* estate which devolved upon her according to Mahomedan law. *B.'s* nephew *C.* sued to recover this share on the ground that *A.* had been divorced and this suit was pending when the present suit was brought by the purchasers of *A.'s* rights. It being found that *A.* never took actual possession of her share under her decree and that *C.* was in possession of the whole estate,—*Held* that *A.'s* vendees could not be placed in a higher position than their vendor was when *C.'s* suit was brought against her, and all that they were entitled to was the right to represent her in the pending suit. MAHOMED GOWHUR ALI KHAN *v.* AZEEMOODDEEN. MAHOMED GOWHUR ALI KHAN *v.* GOOL BEGUM. MOHOMED GOWHUR ALI KHAN *v.* SHUREFUNISSA BEGUM . . . . . W. R., 1864, 93

128. ——— **Purchaser of fractional share of estate.**—*Right to cultivate land.—Rate of rent.*—In the absence of any reservation or restriction, the purchaser of a fraction of a share of an estate acquires a right either to cultivate a proportionate share of the lands cultivated by his vendors on the same conditions as to favourable rents as those under which they, as proprietors, cultivated it, or to claim his share of the rents of these lands just as he would from any ryot of the estate, but without any other sum as mesne profits. CHYTUN SINGH *v.* KAYESSUR KOONWUR . . . 5 W. R., 117

129. ——— **Specification of land sold.**—*Purchase of specified land with description of amount.*—A party who buys a specified talook with the additional description that that talook contains so much land, gets the whole land which the specification of his vendor covers and which was intended to be sold, although it may be more than was contained in the description. AMEEROONISSA KHATOON *v.* KUMOLA KANT ROY . . . 14 W. R., 117

130. ——— *Omission to specify area sold.—Misdescription.—Compensation for smaller area.*—The specification in a deed of sale of land of the area of the land sold *primâ facie* implies that the area was regarded as material by the parties, and, unless it is clear that the precise area was not regarded as material, proportional compensation will be awarded to the purchaser of land the real area of which is found to fall short of the area specified in

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF—*continued.*

Specification of land sold—*continued.*

the deed of sale. SULEMAN VADU *v.* TRIKAMJI VELJI . . . . . 12 Bom., 10

131. ——— *Specification in sale certificate.—Sale by purchaser at execution sale who has obtained possession under a certificate of sale more extensive than the decree.*—Where a decree-holder obtains an order for the sale of his judgment-debtor's interest in certain property, and, becoming purchaser at the sale which follows, receives a sale certificate going beyond the order, he cannot avail himself of anything in the certificate beyond the order. If, however, he obtains possession according to the certificate, and sells to a *bonâ fide* purchaser without notice of the difference between the certificate and the order of sale, the latter has a good title. GOWREE KUMUL BHUTTACHARJEE *v.* SURUT CHUNDER DOSS BISWAS . . . . 22 W. R., 408

132. ——— **Non-registration, Effect of.**—*Proof of actual contract of sale and possession on payment of purchase-money.—Held* that it does not follow from the non-registration within the time fixed for registration of a deed which was executed before the Registration Act came into operation, that the purchaser has acquired nothing by his purchase, or that the vendor is to resume possession of the property, if it be shown that there was a contract of sale, and that in pursuance of that contract the purchaser paid the money and obtained possession. RAM SURUN DASS *v.* RAM CHUND . 1 Agra, 283

133. ——— **Non-registration.**—*Sale of decree.—Decree on mortgage-bond.—Registration.—Right to execute decree.*—A decree-holder purported to sell to *A.*, by private sale, all his right, title, and interest in a mortgage-decree obtained by him in a suit on a mortgage-bond against the mortgagor. The deed of sale was not registered. Afterwards, by a registered deed of sale, *A.* conveyed all his right, title, and interest in the same decree to *B.* *Held* that the right to execute the decree as a mortgage-decree did not pass to *B.* KOOB LALL CHOWDHRY *v.* NITTYA NUND SINGH . . I. L. R., 9 Calc., 839

S. C. HUB LAL CHOWDHRY *v.* NITTYANUND SINGH . . . . . 12 C. L. R., 393

134. ——— **Assignment of indigo factory.**—*Right to rent and to indigo manufactured.*—Where a plaintiff sued on the alleged purchase by him of the rights and interests of certain parties in an indigo concern, it was held that the rents collected and appropriated, and the indigo manufactured and taken away before the date of the purchase, could not form part of the stores and assets sold to the plaintiff, unless the sale of the assets, &c., had been as from some date prior to the date of purchase. CHUNDER COOMAR ROY *v.* WILKINS [10 W. R., 311

135. ——— *Assignee, Liability of, to creditor of the factory.—Creditor, Rights of.—Dena pownna, Contract to take over*

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF—*continued.*

Assignment of indigo factory—*continued.*

—*A.*, by deed duly registered, assigned his interest in an indigo factory to *B.* In the deed was a recital that it had been agreed that *B.* should take over the dena pownna account of the factory as the same stood on the 30th September 1856. *C.* sued *A.* and *B.* jointly to recover rent in respect of lands which had been occupied under a lease from *C.* with and for the use of the factory, and which was due on the 30th September 1856. *B.* raised the defence that the debt was not included in a schedule, dated 30th September 1856, signed by *A.*, and which he alleged had been furnished to him by *A.* as containing a list of the liabilities of the factory. *Held*, if a trader or other person in this country assigns his stock in trade and effects to another, and such other person enters into a contract with the first to pay the debts of the concern, or a certain portion of such debts, the contract and assignment create a liability to the creditors in whose favour such contract is made, which they may enforce by suit; nor is the creditor bound to elect between his original debtor and the assignee, but he may join them as co-defendants in the same suit. *Held*, also, *per* PEACOCK, *C. J.*, and NORMAN and KEMP, *JJ.* (STEER and SETON-KARR, *JJ.*, *dissenting*), the case must be remanded to the lower Court to try what was the agreement between *A.* and *B.* as to *B.* taking over the dena pownna account of the factory, whether the schedule was an essential part of the contract or not. KHANNE *v.* BHAWANI CHARAN MITTER [B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 54: W. R., F. B., 167

PHOOL KOONWAR *v.* CHARDON [6 W. R., Act X, 89

136. ——— *Liability of assignee to creditor.—Bond given by former proprietor.*—When the holder of a bond from the former proprietor of an indigo factory had made no demand on it for twelve years, nor apprised the assignee of its existence as a debt due by the factory, it was held that he could not come down on the present proprietors, but must look to the obligor of the bond personally for satisfaction. HUBEEBUNISSA *v.* COX [W. R., 1864, 266

16. SETTING ASIDE SALES.

137. ——— **Ground for setting aside sale.**—*Stipulation to have mutation of names.—Refusal of revenue authorities to register name of purchaser.*—Where a person purchased certain lands under a deed of sale, in which the vendor undertook to apply to the revenue authorities for the transfer of the lands to the name of the vendee, and did so, and both persons clearly understood what they were doing,—*Held* that the refusal of the revenue authorities to enter the purchaser's name in the mutation register did not constitute a ground for cancelling the sale and recovering the purchase-money. GENDERA KOLITA *v.* DEBENDRO NARAIN KONWAR [25 W. R., 352

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

16. SETTING ASIDE SALES—*continued.*

Ground for setting aside sale—*continued.*

138. ——— *Bonâ fide purchase from guardian of Hindu widow acting collusively.*—The plaintiff was entitled, in right of her deceased husband, to the equity of redemption in a mortgaged estate. Her guardian, in collusion with the mortgagee, instituted a foreclosure suit, in which she was represented by the guardian, who submitted to a decree; and under that decree the property was sold, and the defendant became the purchaser. *Held* that the defendant being a *bonâ fide* purchaser, the sale was not liable to be set aside. KHETTERMONEE DASSEE *v.* KISHENMOHUN MITTER . Marsh., 313

S. C. as EHEN MOHUN MITTER *v.* KHETTERMONEE DASSEE . . . . . 2 Hay, 196

17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF—

139. ——— Unpaid vendor.—*Refusal to deliver under payment.—Right after delivery.*—A party selling land may refuse to give delivery until the consideration is paid; but having given delivery, he has no right to retake possession and pay himself the purchase-money out of the usufruct. PREM SOONDUREE DOSSEE *v.* GRISH CHUNDER BHUTTACHARJEE . . . . . 10 W. R., 194

140. ——— *Failure to pay whole of consideration-money.*—When a vendor of land is not paid a portion of the consideration-money, he cannot wholly disaffirm the contract, but he can establish his lien on the land as an unpaid vendor. MOHSUM ALLY *v.* BALASOO KOER . 2 Hay, 576

141. ——— *Vendor's lien for unpaid purchase-money.*—In a suit claiming possession of land purchased by the plaintiff from the defendant, the Munsif threw out the claim for want of consideration; but the District Judge found that the plaintiff was entitled to have the land, and that the defendant might sue for the purchase-money. *Held* that the equitable doctrine of the vendor's lien for unpaid purchase-money applied to the case, but as the District Judge had not decided whether the defendant had succeeded in proving that the purchase-money had not been paid, the suit should be remanded for a finding by him on that issue. YELLAPPA BIN BISAPPA *v.* MANTAPPA BIN BASAPPA [3 Bom., A. C., 102

142. ——— *Failure to pay portion of purchase-money.*—The vendees of certain land, a portion of which only was in their possession by virtue of the sale, the rest being in the possession of mortgagees, sued for a declaration of their right to such land, and to have a sale of a portion of such land, made after it had been sold to them, set aside. *Held* that, inasmuch as the sale to them had taken effect, they were entitled, notwithstanding the whole of the purchase-money might not have been paid, to a decree as claimed, and the vendors, if they had any claim in respect of the purchase-money, should be

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF—*continued.*

Unpaid vendor—*continued.*

left to seek their remedy. KESRI *v.* GANGA PRASAD . . . . . I. L. R., 4 All., 168

143. ——— *Stoppage in transitu.—Lien of unpaid vendors.—Agents for purchase of goods.—Insolvency.—Right of carriers.*—A firm at Cawnpore sent an agent to Sarun, plaintiff's residence, to effect purchases in cotton, and the plaintiff, at the instance of the person so deputed, made purchases and supplied funds, both for purchase and for their carriage and insurance, the agent doing nothing but consenting to the arrangements and giving hundis on his employer's correspondents in payment. The goods were despatched and insured, but before reaching their destination the firm became insolvent, and the plaintiff proceeded to take possession of them, but was prevented on account of the goods being previously attached by the defendant, a judgment-creditor. It was held on plaintiff's suit that the plaintiff was an unpaid vendor, and had a lien on the goods for the price, and might detain the goods till he received or was satisfied about the payment for the said goods, a completed contract for the sale of the goods notwithstanding. An unpaid vendor, in case of the vendee's insolvency, may stop the goods sold *in transitu.* Agents for the purchase of goods have a lien on the goods when purchased for the moneys paid and liabilities incurred by them in respect to such purchase, and are not bound to deliver the goods until they are reimbursed or secured for such advances and liabilities, and an agent in this character is in the position of an unpaid vendor. Where the vendor is not otherwise paid than by having received the insolvent's acceptance, he may in the event of the purchaser's insolvency stop the goods, though he have negotiated the bills, and they are still outstanding and not yet at maturity. Whilst the goods sold remain in the hands of the carrier employed to convey them to their original destination, as between buyer and seller, no case of constructive possession arises, unless when the carrier enters expressly into some new agreement, distinct from the original contract for carriage. So also the mere acts of making or sampling the goods, or giving notice to the carrier to hold the goods for the buyer, though done with intention to take possession, do not establish a constructive possession, or affect the right to stop *in transitu.* Where the right of stoppage *in transitu* vests in the consignor, it cannot be defeated by the claims of other creditors of the consignee, the unpaid vendor having an elder and preferential lien. BHOLANATH *v.* BAIJ NATH . . . . 2 Agra, 11

144. ——— *Sale of immoveable property.—Non-payment of purchase-money.—Vendor's remedy.*—A vendor of immoveable property who has given possession to the purchaser is not entitled to rescind the contract of sale and recover possession because the purchase-money is not paid. His remedy is to sue for the sum due, and he has a lien on the property for the amount. TRIMALRAV

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF—*continued.*

Unpaid vendor—*continued.*

RAGHAVENDRA *v.* MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONERS OF HUBLI . . . . I. L. R., 3 Bom., 172

145. ——— *Lien.—Creditor of vendor, Right of, to lien.—Mortgage.*—Although an unpaid vendor holds a lien upon property sold for the consideration-money, yet a creditor of that vendor cannot claim the same right. HARI RAM *v.* DENAPUT SINGH

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 167: 11 C. L. R., 339

146. ——— **Conditions of sale.**—*Sale by Government.—Auction sale of confiscated property.—Ground for setting aside sale.*—Where it was made a distinct condition of sale that the property should be sold to the highest bidder, without any restriction of the purchaser being a rebel or not, —*Held* that the Government may, like any other seller, impose any condition it pleases in reference to the property which it offers for sale, prior to sale, but is not at liberty subsequently to the sale to disaffirm or annul it on a ground not only novel but directly at variance with the terms on which it offered the property for sale. SEVA LALL *v.* MAHOMED . . . . . 2 Agra, 160

147. ——— **Vendor keeping vendee out of possession.**—*Suit for partition.—Trustee.—Mesne profits.*—Where, in a suit for partition, it appeared that the vendor of the portion sued for had kept the vendee out of possession, the vendor, though liable for mesne profits, was not in the position of trustee of the rents for the party kept out of possession. NILKAMAL LAHURI *v.* GUNOMANI DEBI

[7 B. L. R., P. C., 113: 15 W. R., P. C., 38

18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES.

148. ——— **Deed of sale, Proof of.**—*Suit for possession under deed of sale.—Delivery of deed of sale.*—In a suit for possession of land on the ground of title under a kobala, it is not enough for the plaintiff to prove the writing and signature of the kobala; he must also prove that it was delivered as a complete instrument. OMED ALI *v.* NIDHEE RAM . . . . . 22 W. R., 367

149. ——— **Fictitious sale.**—*Mortgage.—Suit by purchaser for confirmation of possession.—Issues.*—Where a sale by *A.* to the plaintiff had taken place shortly before a mortgage of the same property by *A.* to the defendant, the defendant is entitled to have raised, in a suit brought for confirmation of possession and to declare the sale valid, an issue whether the sale was *bonâ fide* and for consideration, and whether possession passed under it to the plaintiff. The proper issue is not whether the deed of sale was genuine or not. GARBHU BHAGAT *v.* RUNGLAL SING . . 7 B. L. R., Ap., 33

150. ——— **Owner standing by and seeing property sold.**—*Right to have sale set aside.*—The rule that one who, knowing his own title, stands by and encourages a purchase of property as another's, will not be allowed to dispute the validity of the sale, implies a wilful misleading of the purchaser by some breach of duty on the owner's part. In this case there was nothing more than mere quiescence. BASWANTAPA SHIDAPA *v.* RANU

[I. L. R., 9 Bom., 86

151. ——— **Purchaser from husband.**—*Acquiescence of wife.—Suit to set aside purchase as being wife's property.*—Where a husband was alleged to have given a share in some property to his wife, and the husband subsequently sold the whole property to another party, and put the said party in possession, without any objection from the wife, who for years behaved as though she had no interest in the property other than that arising from her husband's possession of it in his own right,—*Held* that a person afterwards claiming to have purchased the wife's share, and seeking to be put in possession, could not displace the *bonâ fide* purchaser from the husband, for a person in the position of the wife in this case, who chooses to stand by for years not asserting her rights, but allowing another to deal with her property as his own, has no equity to come into Court and eject any one who has purchased in ignorance of her title. SOOJAT MAHOMED *v.* MAHOMED TORAB . . . . . 25 W. R., 281

152. ——— **Grant of estate when having bad title.**—*Vendor afterwards obtaining good title.—Specific Relief Act (I of 1877), s. 18.—A.* holding a certain mehal as a ghatwal, mortgaged it to *B.* by way of a zur-i-peshgi lease for twenty-one years. Shortly after the granting of the lease the zemindar got a decree against *A.* by which *A.'s* ghatwali right was extinguished. In execution of that decree, the zemindar ousted and took khas possession of the mehal. Some years afterwards, the zemindar granted to *A.* a perpetual mokurrari lease of the same mehal. *Held*, in a suit against *A.* instituted by the assignee of *B.'s* rights in the zur-i-peshgi, that under section 18, Act I of 1877, *A.* must, out of his present estate in the mehal, make good the zur-i-peshgi. LOOT NARAIN SINGH *v.* SHOWKEE LALL

[2 C. L. R., 382

153. ——— **Separate agreement by purchaser.**—*Subsequent exercise of pre-emption.—Co-sharers.*—Where a vendor in selling his property got the vendee to execute another deed in his favour for certain bighas of land for his maintenance, and subsequently, on the completion of the bargain, a co-sharer took that property by right of pre-emption,—*Held* that the agreement, being in fact a part of the consideration for sale and *bonâ fide*, was binding on the pre-emptor, who could not claim to have the bargain made with him on more favourable terms than those offered by the stranger and accepted by the vendor, the fact that he was no party personally to the agreement notwithstanding. KHAIT SINGH *v.* HEERA DASS . . . . . 1 Agra, 75

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—*continued.*

18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES—*continued.*

154. ——— Right of pre-emption.—*Option of getting estate re-transferred.—Mortgage.*—In July 1870, *R.*, the owner of a share of a village, executed in favour of *M.* an instrument whereby he transferred by sale the share to *M.* absolutely. In November 1870 *M.* agreed to re-transfer the share to *R.*, if *R.* desired, at any time within thirteen years, to re-purchase it, on payment of the sum which *M.* had paid for it. During the term mentioned in the agreement of November 1870, *R.* not having taken advantage of the agreement, *M.* sued, as owner of the share, to enforce the right of pre-emption in respect of the sale of another share of the village. *Held* that, *M.* having become under the transfer of July 1870 the out-and-out proprietor of the share, until *R.* availed himself of the option given him by the agreement of November 1870, the full estate of an owner, carrying with it the right of pre-emption, vested in *M.*, and it was competent for him to enforce such right by suit. *Ramsaran Lal* v. *Amirta Kuar, I. L. R., 3 All., 369,* distinguished. BHAJAN v. MUSHTAK AHMAD . . I. L. R., 5 All., 324

155. ——— *Condition against alienation.*—The co-sharers of a certain estate sold it to *R.* On the same day as the vendors executed the conveyance of such estate to *R.*, the latter executed an instrument whereby he agreed that the vendors might redeem such estate or any portion thereof, within a certain term, on repayment of the purchase-money or a proportionate share thereof, and in such case the sale would be considered cancelled; provided that the vendors paid the money out of their own pockets and did not raise it by a transfer of the property, and not otherwise. The heir of one of the vendors sold his share of such estate to *A.*, and *A.* sued *R.* to redeem such share. *Held* by the Full Bench (STUART, *C. J.*, doubting) that the nature of the transaction between *R.* and his vendors must be determined by looking at both the conveyance and the agreement, and, both those documents being regarded, the transaction between them was one of mortgage, and the vendors had a right of redemption, and the proviso in the agreement was inequitable and incapable of enforcement against them or their representatives in title. *Held*, also, by PEARSON, *J.*, that the agreement was not of the nature of a personal contract enforceable only by the original vendors and not by their representatives; that, assuming that a transfer of the property was prohibited by the agreement, *R.* could not, as implied by the Full Bench ruling in *Dookhchore Rai* v. *Hidayut-oollah, Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 5,* treat as a nullity the sale which had been made to *A.*, and *A.'s* right to redeem could not be reasonably denied and resisted; and that a transfer was not positively but only implicitly prohibited by the agreement, *R.* merely declaring that he would not recognise the transferees as having acquired the equity of redemption or cancel his own sale-deed, and such a declaration was beyond his competence and had no legal effect. RAM SARAN LAL *v.* AMIRTA KUAR [I. L. R., 3 All., 369

VERBAL CONTRACT.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 75. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 619

VERDICT OF CRIMINAL COURT.

See EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS—CRIMINAL COURT, PROCEEDINGS IN— [3 B. L. R., Ap., 2
2 B. L. R., A. C., 31
10 W. R., 56
9 W. R., 77
14 W. R., 493
11 W. R., 171

See CASES UNDER RES JUDICATA—COMPETENT COURT—CRIMINAL COURTS.

VERDICT OF JURY.

Col.
1. GENERAL CASES 6160
2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS 6163

See REFERENCE TO HIGH COURT—CRIMINAL CASES. [I. L. R., 10 Bom., 497

See CASES UNDER REVISION—CRIMINAL CASES—VERDICT OF JURY AND MISDIRECTION.

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. ——— Verdict of majority.—*Want of independent opinion.*—The law requires a juryman to exercise his own understanding on the case submitted to him, and to decide on evidence, and not to follow blindly the opinion of his fellows. Where one out of three (in a jury of five) depends on the inspection and inquiries of the other two, the verdict of the three is not that of a legal majority. PETAMBUR JUGI v. NASARUDDY . 25 W. R., Cr., 4

2. ——— Ground for refusing to accept verdict.—*Verdict based on voluntary confessions.*—Wherever trial by jury exists, the verdict of the jury must be accepted, unless it is manifestly and certainly wrong. A verdict based on voluntary confessions is just as good as a verdict based on the testimony of credible witnesses. It is the province of a jury to decide as to the credibility of witnesses. QUEEN v. WUZIR MUNDUL . 25 W. R., Cr., 25

3. ——— Unanimous verdict.—*Further consideration of case by jury.—Dissent by Judge from unanimous verdict.—Procedure.*—It is only in a case where the jury are not unanimous that a Court may require them to retire for further consideration. Where a verdict is unanimous, it must be received by the Judge, unless contrary to law. Where a Judge dissents from the unanimous finding of a jury given in accordance with the law, the only procedure open to him to follow is that laid down in the fifth clause of section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. GOVERNMENT OF BENGAL v. MAHADDI . . . . I. L. R., 5 Calc., 871

S. C. EMPRESS v. MAHUDDI . 6 C. L. R., 349

VERDICT OF JURY—*continued.*

1. GENERAL CASES—*continued*

4. ——— **Dissent from verdict.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s 263, cl 4*—The "dissent" referred to in the 4th clause of section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872) must be such a complete dissent as to lead the Judge to consider it necessary for the ends of justice to submit the case to the High Court EMPRESS v BHAWANI . . . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 525

5 ——— *Reference to High Court—Statement by Judge of offence committed—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, ss 263, 464*—It is the duty of a Judge in sending up a case to the High Court under sections 263 and 464 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, when he disagrees with a verdict of acquittal, to state the offence which, in his opinion, has been committed EMPRESS v SAHAB RAE [I L R, 3 Calc, 623 2 C L R, 304

6 ——— **Questioning jury as to their verdict.**—*Questions to member of jury as to reasons for verdict*—A Judge ought not to put questions to any of the jury as to his reasons for the verdict he has given QUEEN v MEAJAN SHEIKH . . . 20 W R, Cr, 50

7. ——— *Questions as to grounds for verdict—Power of Sessions Judge—Per GARTH, C J, and PRINSEP, J (MARKBY, J contra)*—The rule laid down in *Queen* v *Wazir Mandal, 25 W R Cr, 25* goes too far PRINSEP, J (MARKBY, J, *contra*)—The law does not prevent a Sessions Judge from asking a jury regarding the grounds for their verdict, and such a course is desirable in the ends of justice See *Queen* v *Sustiram Mundul, 21 W R, Cr, 1* EMPRESS v MUKHUN KUMAR . 1 C L R, 275

8 ——— *Ambiguity in verdict—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s 263*—Under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1872, a Court was authorised to ask the jury such questions as were necessary to ascertain what their verdict really is, but where the verdict although perhaps erroneous, is not ambiguous it is the duty of the Judge to record it without further question IN THE MATTER OF DHUNUM KAZEE EMPRESS v DHUNUM KAZEE I L R., 9 Calc., 53 11 C L R., 169

9 ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s 303*—Although section 303 of the Criminal Procedure Code empowers a Judge to ask the jury such questions as are necessary to ascertain what their verdict is, it was never contemplated that, on ascertaining that the jury are not unanimous, the Judge should make minute inquiries to learn the nature of the majority and its opinion, so that he should have the opportunity of accepting or refusing that opinion as a verdict according as it coincides with his own opinion or not Whatever may be the opinion of the Judge, if he goes so far as to ask the jury what is the exact majority and what is the opinion of the majority, he ought to receive that verdict without hesitation, and if he differs from

VERDICT OF JURY—*continued.*

1 GENERAL CASES—*continued.*

Questioning jury as to their verdict—*continued*

it he should proceed as directed by section 307 HURRY CHURN CHUCKERBUTTY v EMPRESS [I L R, 10 Calc, 140. 13 C L R, 358

10. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263*—In a case in which the accused was tried on charges of murder, culpable homicide and causing grievous hurt, the jury acquitted him of murder, but convicted him on the other counts This verdict was recorded by the Sessions Judge, who then, in accordance with section 263, Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, questioned the jury as to the grounds for their verdict, and the jury eventually intimated their willingness to convict of murder The Sessions Judge differed from the first verdict of the jury, but as he had recorded that verdict, he doubted whether he could accept the second verdict, and referred the case to the High Court under section 263 *Held* that section 263 did not apply to such a case as this There could be no verdict delivered, and no verdict finally recorded, until the last of the questions put by the Judge to the jury was answered, and as it appeared from the answers of the jury that their findings of facts disclosed that the verdict ought to have been one of guilty on the charge of murder, the Judge should have entered the verdict of the jury as one of guilty of murder The case was accordingly returned to the Judge to enable him to do that, and to pass such sentence as the law directed It is only when it is necessary, in order to ascertain what the verdict of a jury really is, that a Judge is justified under section 263 in putting questions to the jury QUEEN v SUSTIRAM MANDAL . 21 W. R, Cr, 1

11 ——— *Special verdict—Question put by Judge to jury after special verdict—Penal Code s 330*—The prisoners were tried under section 330 of the Penal Code (for voluntarily causing hurt to a girl), and under section 348 (for wrongfully confining her) Circumstances of aggravation were alleged, as lifting up and using a sword, of lowering the girl into a well, and of pricking her with thorns The jury in their verdict stated that they disbelieved these allegations, and also the charge of illegal confinement, but that they believed that some slaps had been given The Judge then asked the jury whether they convicted on either, and if so, which head of charge They answered that they believed the prisoners had beaten the girl, and that they convicted them under section 330 *Held* that the question put by the Judge to the jury was a proper one, and not one of law The conviction upheld Such a case is not governed by the rules of English law as to special verdicts QUEEN v HARI PROSAD GANGOOLY [6 B L R, 557 14 W R, Cr, 59

12 ——— **Form of verdict**—*Culpable homicide—Murder—Illegal finding*—The finding of a jury that, although the accused killed the deceased, the crime was not murder because the accused

VERDICT OF JURY—*continued.*

1. GENERAL CASES—*continued.*

Form of verdict—*continued.*

had no object in killing him, is not a legal finding, and does not amount to a conviction of culpable homicide not amounting to murder. QUEEN v. UCKOOR GHOSE . . . . 1 W. R., Cr., 50

13. ——— *Penal Code, ss. 322 and 335.—Verdict of guilty under section not in charge.—Grievous hurt with provocation.*—Where a prisoner was charged under the Penal Code, sections 304, 325, and 323, and the jury brought in a verdict of guilty under section 335,—*Held* that he was not acquitted of grievous hurt, but found guilty of the offence described in section 322 with the extenuating circumstance which would confine the punishment within the limits specified in section 335. QUEEN v. LUKHINARAIN AGOORI . . 23 W. R., Cr., 61

14. ——— *Offence proved, though not independently charged.—Code of Criminal Procedure (Act X of 1872), s. 457.—Penal Code (Act XLV of 1860), ss. 149, 325.*—The accused were charged under section 149, coupled with section 325, of the Penal Code, with, while being members of an unlawful assembly, committing grievous hurt. The jury disbelieved the evidence as to the unlawful assembly, but unanimously found two of the accused guilty of grievous hurt under section 325. *Held* that such verdict was, under section 457 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, legally sustainable, although that offence did not form the subject of a separate charge. Section 457 enables a verdict to be given on some of the facts which are a component part of the original charge, provided that those facts constitute a minor offence. GOVERNMENT OF BENGAL v. MAHADDI . . . . . I. L. R., 5 Calc., 871

S. C. EMPRESS v. MAHUDDI. 6 C. L. R., 349

15. ——— **Objections to verdict.**—*Ground for thinking verdict erroneous.*—Where a party objects to the verdict of a jury, he ought to give the Magistrate reasonable *primâ facie* ground for the opinion either that the jury did not in fact apply a judicial discretion to the case, or that the verdict was such as the jury could not have arrived at by a proper exercise of their discretion upon the materials before them. BINDABUN CHUNDER DUTT v. DWARKA NATH SEN . 23 W. R., Cr., 15

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS.

16. ——— **General principle regulating interference.**—*English law.—Position of Judge in India.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.*—The Code of Criminal Procedure, section 263, casts upon the High Court the duty both of Judge and jury; but, notwithstanding this difference, which clothes it with greater powers and responsibilities than the superior Courts in England, it will, as far as may be, be guided by the principle of English law, that the verdict of a jury will not be set aside unless it be perverse and patently wrong, or may have been induced by an error of the Judge. In a proper

VERDICT OF JURY—*continued.*

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—*continued.*

General principle regulating interference—*continued.*

case, however, the High Court will rectify the verdict of a jury. REG. v. KHANDERAV BAJIRAV [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 10

17. ——— *English law.—Acquittal by jury.—Disagreement by Judge.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872) s. 263.*—Notwithstanding the large discretionary powers vested in the High Court under section 263 of Act X of 1872, the Court will adhere generally to the principle of the Courts in England,—*viz.*, that the Court will not set aside the verdict of a jury unless it be perverse and patently wrong, or may have been induced by the error of the Judge; and when the Court is asked to do so on the ground that the verdict is against the weight of evidence, the question is, not whether the learned Judge who tried the case was or was not dissatisfied with the verdict, or whether he would have come to the same conclusion as the jury, but whether the verdict was such as reasonable men ought to have come to. IN THE MATTER OF DHUNUM KAZEE. EMPRESS v. DHUNUM KAZEE [I. L. R., 9 Calc., 53 : 11 C. L. R., 169

18. ——— *Exercise of powers of High Court.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.*—The Court should exercise the powers vested in it by section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code (X of 1872) only when it finds the verdict of the jury clearly and patently wrong, and only set such verdict aside, even if the Sessions Judge disagrees with it, when it is found unsustainable by the evidence. QUEEN v. SHAM BAGDI [13 B. L. R., Ap., 19 : 20 W. R., Cr., 73

QUEEN v. NOBIN CHUNDER BANERJEE [13 B. L. R., Ap., 20 : 20 W. R., Cr., 70

QUEEN v. ISWARYA . 14 B. L. R., Ap., 1

QUEEN v. HUBBO MANJI [14 B. L. R., Ap., 2, note : 21 W. R., Cr., 4

19. ——— *Inconsistencies in evidence otherwise sufficient for conviction.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.*—Where there are reasons sufficient to warrant a jury in disbelieving the witnesses and in giving the prisoner the benefit of the doubt raised by inconsistencies in that evidence, although another jury might have come to a different conclusion, the High Court will not interfere. It must be shown that the verdict of the jury is certainly unreasonable and perverse. *Queen* v. *Sham Bagdi, 13 B. L. R., Ap., 19 : 20 W. R., 73*, cited and followed. IN THE MATTER OF HURRES NARAIN MOOKERJEA . . . . 2 C. L. R., 518

20. ——— **Exercise of power of interference.**—*Ground for setting aside verdict.—Verdict contrary to Judge's charge to jury.*—Where a jury convicted a prisoner contrary to the charge of the Sessions Judge, which charge was held by the High Court to have been a proper charge, the High

VERDICT OF JURY—*continued.*

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—*continued.*

Exercise of power of interference—*continued.*

Court refused to interfere, although it concurred with the Sessions Judge in thinking that the verdict of the jury was not correct. The case was one in which an application could be made to the Government; but as regards the Court the petitions were rejected. QUEEN v. NIDHEEREAM BAGDEE . 18 W. R., Cr., 45

Contra, QUEEN v. SHIB CHUNDER MUNDLE [18 W. R., Cr., 46

21. ——— *Omission to sum up properly.—Ground for setting aside verdict.*—The omission of the Judge to sum up the case properly to the jury is an error in law sufficient to justify the setting aside of the verdict. No general rule can be laid down as to when a prisoner is prejudiced by a defective summing up, but in general, if the finding of the jury in such a case is one that an Appeal Court would set aside if the trial had taken place with assessors, the Court will interfere and set the verdict aside. REG. v. FATTEHCHAND VASTACHAND [5 Bom., Cr., 85

22. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Ground for setting aside verdict.—Misdirection.*—The High Court set aside the verdict of a jury in this case, because the Judge in his direction to the jury omitted to point out the absence of evidence very material to the case of the prosecution, and because he directed the jury to attribute an undue importance to the statements or excuses made by the prisoner in the explanation of certain documents. QUEEN v. GUNGA GOVIND PALIT [23 W. R., Cr., 21

23. ——— *Inconsistent verdict.—Verdict of guilty.*—Where a jury found an accused person guilty of murder, but refused to convict him because there had been no eye-witness of his crime, and on a second charge from the Judge refused to find him guilty at all,—*Held* by the High Court, to whom the case was referred, that the Judge ought to have explained to the jury that the testimony of eye-witnesses was not necessary to the establishment of a charge of murder, and that the jury, if they had no doubt of the guilt of the accused, were bound to give effect to the conclusion at which they had arrived. QUEEN v. GOKOOL KAHAR [25 W. R., Cr., 36

24. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Discretion of Court.—Setting aside verdict of acquittal of murder.*—A very large discretionary power is vested in the High Court by section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. No fixed rules can be laid down for the exercise of that discretion in every instance, and the decision in each case submitted must depend upon its own peculiar circumstances. In this case the Court set aside a verdict of acquittal of murder. EMPRESS v. MUKHUN KUMAR . 1 C. L. R., 275

VERDICT OF JURY—*continued.*

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—*continued.*

Exercise of power of interference—*continued.*

25. ——— *Judge disagreeing with verdict.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Ground for setting aside verdict.*—On a trial by jury before a Sessions Judge, the jury returned a verdict of guilty. The Judge disagreed with the verdict, and submitted the case to the High Court. *Held* that the High Court had power to set aside the verdict of the jury, and to direct an acquittal. Section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872) explained. QUEEN v. KOONJO LETH [11 B. L. R., 14: 20 W. R., Cr., 1

26. ——— *Judge differing from verdict.—Acquittal by majority of jury.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.*—Where a jury are not unanimous in their finding, and the Judge dissents from the opinions expressed by them, on the case being referred under section 263 of Act X of 1872, the High Court is competent to find the prisoner guilty, notwithstanding an acquittal by the majority of the jury. EMPRESS v. SAHAB RAI [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 623: 2 C. L. R., 304

27. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Verdict of acquittal.—Power to reverse verdict of acquittal.*—Where the jury acquitted the prisoners on the charges framed, but found certain facts which amounted to another offence, and omitted to convict the prisoners of that offence, as provided by section 457 of the Criminal Procedure Code,—*Held* that the High Court could, on the case coming before them under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code, find the prisoners guilty of such offence. EMPRESS v. HARAI MIRDHA [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 189

28. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Acquittal by jury.*—The High Court, acting under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, convicted the accused in this case on the facts, notwithstanding the verdict of acquittal come to by the jury. QUEEN v. SIDHAM SIRCAR . . . . . 20 W. R., Cr., 16

29. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Acquittal by jury.—Confession.—Evidence Act, s. 29.*—The Court on a consideration of the evidence set aside the verdict of acquittal come to by a majority of the jury, holding that a confession made by the accused before the Assistant Magistrate was good, such confession, even if obtained by deception, being admissible under section 29 of the Evidence Act, 1872. QUEEN v. RAM CHUEN GHOSE . . 20 W. R., Cr., 33

30. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Acquittal by jury.*—The prisoner, who was charged with having committed murder, was found by the jury who tried him to have been of unsound mind at the time he committed the offence. The Sessions Judge differing on that point from the jury referred the case to the High Court

VERDICT OF JURY—*continued.*

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—*continued.*

Exercise of power of interference—*continued.*

under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. *Held* that in a case of this kind the High Court will not interfere without the very clearest proof that the jury were mistaken, and that the interests of justice imperatively required the Court to take action under the extraordinary powers conferred upon it by section 263, Code of Criminal Procedure. On a consideration of the medical evidence, the Court declined to interfere with the verdict of acquittal which the jury came to. QUEEN *v.* DOORJODHUN SHAMONTO *alias* DEBJOBOR . . . . 19 W. R., Cr., 45

31. ——— *Verdict of acquittal by jury.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Judge disagreeing from verdict of majority.*—A majority of the jurors (four out of five) acquitted the prisoner on a charge of attempt to commit rape. The Sessions Judge disagreed with that verdict, and referred the case to the High Court under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, because in his opinion the offence charged was proved. The High Court found that the evidence for the prosecution was fully worthy of belief and consistent with probabilities, and sentenced the prisoner. IN THE MATTER OF TILUCKDHAREE [2 C. L. R., 1

32. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Interference with verdict of majority of jury where Sessions Judge differed.*—The Sessions Judge, differing from a majority of the jury, who acquitted the accused, referred the case to the High Court under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, to be dealt with as an appeal. Before proceeding with the case, the High Court considered it fair to the accused to give him notice to bring forward any objections he might have to the Sessions Judge's recommendation. On a consideration of the evidence, the High Court convicted the accused of the offence with which he had been charged in the Court below. QUEEN *v.* OOTTUM DHOBA [19 W. R., Cr., 38

33. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Differing from verdict of acquittal by jury.*—Where the Sessions Judge did not consider a confession to have been induced by illegal pressure, the High Court, upon a reference under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, held it to have been properly admitted, and finding it to be full and clear, and supported by reliable evidence, acted upon it by convicting the person who made it, notwithstanding his retraction of it in the Court of Session, and his being found not guilty by the jury. REG. *v.* BALVANT V. PENDHARKAR . [11 Bom., 137

34. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Trial on different charges.—Discharge on some charges on which jury and Sessions Judge agree.—Reference of whole case to High Court.*—In a case in which the accused were charged with murder (section 302, Penal Code), culpable homicide not amounting to murder (section 304), and voluntarily causing grievous hurt (section 325), the Sessions Judge at the trial added a further charge of house-breaking by night in order to the commission of an offence (section 457). The jury unanimously acquitted the prisoners of the three original charges, and a majority of the jury (four out of five) acquitted them also of the last charge. The Sessions Judge agreed with the verdict of the jury as regarded the three original charges, and recorded a formal order acquitting and discharging the prisoners on these three charges. He differed from the majority as to the fourth charge, and referred the case to the High Court under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code. *Held* that where (as in this case) the Sessions Judge had approved of a verdict on certain charges, and finally acquitted and discharged the accused as to these charges, the High Court could not under section 263 convict on the facts on these very charges. That section seems to contemplate only a case in which, without recording any order of acquittal or conviction, the Sessions Judge refers the whole case. As there was nothing in this case to show on what grounds the majority of the jury acquitted the prisoners on the additional charge, and as the Sessions Judge agreed with the unanimous verdict as to the three original charges, the High Court presumed that the reason which weighed with the majority of the jury in finding the prisoners not guilty on the additional charge must have weighed with the whole jury in finding them not guilty on all the three other charges, and accordingly the Court could not set aside the verdict of the majority on the last count, without practically finding directly in the teeth of the verdict of the unanimous jury on the first three counts. QUEEN *v.* UDYA CHANGA [20 W. R., Cr., 73

35. ——— *Verdict in accordance with charge.—Verdict disagreed with by Judge.—Penal Code, ss. 302, 304, 325.—Reference under s. 307, Act X of 1882.*—A prisoner was charged under sections 302 and 304 of the Penal Code, and the Judge at the trial added a further charge under section 325. The Judge in his charge to the jury directed them that in the event of their finding the charges under sections 302 and 304 unsustainable, they might find the prisoner guilty under section 325. The jury unanimously acquitted the prisoner on the charge framed under section 302, and a majority of them acquitted him on the charge framed under section 304; but a majority of them found him guilty on the charge framed under section 325. The Judge disagreed with their finding as regarded the charge framed under section 304, and referred the case to the High Court under section 307 of the Criminal Procedure Code. The High Court refused to interfere with the verdict, on the ground that the verdict could not be said to be manifestly erroneous, the Judge having heard the evidence and having expressed his

VERDICT OF JURY—*continued.*

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—*continued.*

Exercise of power of interference—*continued.*

opinion to the jury that they might find the prisoner guilty under section 325. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. JACQUIET . . . . I. L. R., 11 Calc., 85

36. ——————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 269.—Jury wrongly treated as assessors by Judge.—Unanimous opinion of jury treated as assessors accepted as formal verdict.*—*L.* and *N.* were tried by a Sessions Court on charges of dacoity and murder. The jury returned a verdict of guilty on both charges. The Judge, contrary to the provisions of section 269 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, treated the jury as assessors in respect of the charge of murder, and, convicting *L.* and *N.* of dacoity, acquitted them of murder. *Held* that the irregular procedure of the Judge could not deprive the verdict of the jury of its proper legal effect. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. LAKSHMANA . I. L. R., 9 Mad., 42

VESTED INTERESTS.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WILL—CONSTRUCTION OF WILLS — SPECIAL CASES OF CONSTRUCTION—VESTED AND CONTINGENT INTERESTS.

See SUCCESSION ACT, s. 98.
[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304

See WILL—CONSTRUCTION.
[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304

VESTING ORDER.

See CASES UNDER INSOLVENCY—CLAIMS OF ATTACHING CREDITORS AND OFFICIAL ASSIGNEE.

See INSOLVENT ACT, s. 7.
[5 B. L. R., 309
2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 147
1 Bom., 251
11 C. L. R., 389
I. L. R., 3 Bom., 437
I. L. R., 8 Mad., 554
I. L. R., 7 Bom., 438

VICINAGE.

See MAHOMEDAN LAW—PRE-EMPTION—RIGHT OF PRE-EMPTION—CO-SHARERS.
[2 B. L. R., A. C., 63, 330
6 B. L. R., 41
14 W. R., 266
8 W. R., 2, 413
2 N. W., 100, 257
2 W. R., 261
9 W. R., 455

VILLAGE ACCOUNTANT.

See CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 45 (1872, s. 90).
[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 266

VILLAGE CATTLE.

See PASTURAGE, RIGHT TO—
[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 110

VILLAGE CHOWKIDAR.

See BENGAL REGULATION XX OF 1817, s. 21 . . . . 18 W. R., 298

VILLAGE MUNSIF.

See MUNSIF . I. L. R., 7 Mad., 220
[I. L. R., 8 Mad., 500
I. L. R., 5 Bom., 180

VILLAGE MUNSIF'S PEON.

See CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. (45 1872, s. 90).
[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 266

VOLUNTARY ASSIGNMENT.

See CASES UNDER INSOLVENCY—VOLUNTARY CONVEYANCES.

VOLUNTARY CONVEYANCE.

See CONTRACT ACT, s. 25.
[I. L. R., 2 All., 891

See CASES UNDER DEBTOR AND CREDITOR.

See CASES UNDER INSOLVENCY—VOLUNTARY CONVEYANCES.

See INSOLVENT ACT, s. 26.
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 434

——————— **Subsequent sale for value.**—*Avoidance of gift or settlement voluntarily made.—Stat. 27 Eliz., c. 4.*—Where a person who has made a voluntary gift or settlement of an estate sells the same to another for value, the conveyance operates as a conveyance of the estate which the settlor had before the voluntary settlement, the Statute 27 Elizabeth, Cap. 4, putting the settlement out of the way, so that it shall not affect the conveyance which is made to the purchaser: words showing an intention on the part of the person who made the voluntary gift to convey to the purchaser all the interest or estate that he had, are sufficient to avoid such gift. JUDAH v. ABDOOL KURREEM
[22 W. R., 60

VOLUNTARY PAYMENT.

See CASES UNDER CONTRACT ACT, ss. 69 AND 70.

See CONTRACT ACT, s. 72.
[I. L. R., 7 Calc., 573

See CASES UNDER CONTRIBUTION, SUIT FOR—VOLUNTARY PAYMENTS.

See MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED.
[8 B. L. R., 418
W. R., 1864, 205
3 N. W., 162
5 N. W., 1

See MONEY PAID . . 7 N. W., 154
[10 W. R., 400

VOLUNTARY PAYMENT—*continued.*

See MONEY PAID UNDER PROCESS OF DECREE . . I. L. R., 7 Mad., 586

See CASES UNDER PAYMENT INTO COURT.

See RES JUDICATA—ADJUDICATIONS. [13 B. L. R., 146

See CASES UNDER SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT—DEPOSIT TO STAY SALE.

See CASES UNDER SALE FOR ARREARS OF REVENUE—DEPOSIT TO STAY SALE.

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—SETTING ASIDE SALE — RIGHTS OF PURCHASERS — RECOVERY OF PURCHASE-MONEY . . 11 B. L. R., 121
[15 B. L. R., 208

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER . . 2 B. L. R., A. C., 86
[11 B. L. R., 121
15 B. L. R., 208
18 W. R., 503
17 W. R., 480
8 B. L. R., Ap., 55

VOLUNTARY SETTLEMENT, BREACH OF COVENANTS IN—

See DAMAGES — SUITS FOR DAMAGES—BREACH OF CONTRACT. [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 273

W

WAGERING CONTRACT.

See CASES UNDER CONTRACT—WAGERING CONTRACTS.

See EVIDENCE—PAROL EVIDENCE—VARYING OR CONTRADICTING WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS . I. L. R., 9 Calc., 791

WAGES.

See ATTACHMENT—SUBJECTS OF ATTACHMENT—WAGES . 1 B. L. R., S. N., 15
[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 132

See CASES UNDER MASTER AND SERVANT.

——— **of labourers.**

See BENGAL ACT VI OF 1865. [3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 39

——— **Suit for—**

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, MOFUSSIL—JURISDICTION—WAGES. [6 B. L. R., Ap., 91

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, RANGOON. [I. L. R., 10 Calc., 878

WAGING WAR.

See SENTENCE—TRANSPORTATION. [3 W. R., Cr., 16

WAGING WAR—*continued.*

See JURISDICTION OF CRIMINAL COURT—OFFENCES COMMITTED ONLY PARTLY IN ONE DISTRICT—ABETMENT OF WAGING WAR . . 9 B. L. R., Ap., 36

WAGING WAR AGAINST THE QUEEN.

——— **Conspiracy to wage war.**—*Treason.—Misprision of treason.—Limitation of period for prosecution.—Penal Code, s. 121.—7 Will. III., c. 3, s. 5.*—The offence of engaging in a conspiracy to wage war, and that of abetting the waging of war, against the Queen, under section 121 of the Penal Code, are offences under the Penal Code only, and are not treason or misprision of treason; and therefore the provisions of the Statute 7 William III., Cap. 3, section 5, as to placing a limitation on the period for prosecution, are not applicable. QUEEN *v.* AMIRUDDIN . 7 B. L. R., 63: 15 W. R., Cr., 25

WAIVER.

See CASES UNDER ACQUIESCENCE.

See BOND . . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 45

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 75.
[I. L. R., 7 Mad., 577, 583
6 N. W., 88
11 Bom., 155
I. L. R., 3 All., 514
I. L. R., 5 All., 289
I. L. R., 2 All., 857
I. L. R., 5 Calc., 97
I. L. R., 9 Calc., 857

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 179—ORDER FOR PAYMENT AT SPECIFIED DATE.
[I. L. R., 5 All., 289
I. L. R., 7 Calc., 56
13 C. L. R., 243
I. L. R., 13 Calc., 73

——— **by prisoner.**

See CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.
[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 23
I. L. R., 6 Calc., 83

——— **of irregularity.**

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 179 (1871, ART. 167)—JOINT DECREES—JOINT DECREE-HOLDERS . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 605

——— **of objection.**

See CASES UNDER APPELLATE COURT—OBJECTION TAKEN FOR FIRST TIME ON APPEAL.

See CASES UNDER JURISDICTION—QUESTION OF JURISDICTION—CONSENT OF PARTIES AND WAIVER OF JURISDICTION.

1. ——— **Waiver by conduct.**—*Appeal.—Irregularity.—Substitution of parties.—Consent.*—Where the purchaser of a plaintiff's rights was substituted for the plaintiff, the irregularity was held to be cured by the consent of the defendant, implied in his offering no opposition, but appealing

WAIVER.—Waiver by conduct—*continued.*

from the judgment on the merits, making the substituted plaintiff one of the respondents. BEER CHUNDER ROY *v.* TUMEEZOODDEEN . **12 W. R., 87**

2. ——— *Appeal.—Right of objection to proceedings taken in accordance with appeal to High Court.*—Where a party, dissatisfied with the decision of the lower Court, appeals to the High Court and reopens the whole case, he must acquiesce in the result finally arrived at by the Court below in accordance with the instructions of the High Court in his appeal. GUNGARAM DUTT *v.* CHOWDHRY JUMNAJOY MULLICK . **1 C. L. R., 144**

3. ——— *Withdrawal of objection.—Raising same objection subsequently.*—Where parties have, before the Deputy Collector, withdrawn their objections to an Ameen's report, the lower Appellate Court should not allow the same objections to be revived before it. BRUGOBUTTY BURMONEE *v.* GOUR CHUNDER MUNDUL **[9 W. R., 267**

KANTEE CHUNDER DUTT *v.* GOPEE MADHUB NEOGEE . . . . . **11 W. R., 3**

4. ——— *Objection to non-service of notice of execution.—Appearance.*—Where a judgment-debtor appears and contests the decree-holder's right to execute his decree, he cannot object that no notice was served as required by law, having impliedly waived the objection. GRISH CHUNDER BANERJEE *v.* BHANOO MOTEE CHOWDHRAIN **[11 W. R., 329**

5. ——— *Waiver by judgment-debtor of objection.—Right to deduct mesne profits.*—A judgment-debtor claiming a deduction on account of mesne profits decreed against him should make good his claim when called upon by the Court executing the decree. Failing to do so he loses his remedy. NUBO COOMAR CHATTERJEE *v.* RAMDHUN CHATTERJEE . . **15 W. R., 266**

6. ——— *Omission to take objection.—Remand.—Held* that the defendant, not having taken an objection to the suit on the ground of the minority of the plaintiffs, whilst it was pending in appeal to the High Court, were precluded from raising it on remand. BENI RAM BHUTT *v.* RAM LAL DHUKRI . . **I. L. R., 13 Calc., 189**

7. ——— **Effect of waiver.**—*Landlord and tenant.—Waiver of right of forfeiture for non-payment of rent.*—A landlord who has waived his right to sue for the cancelment of a lease on the ryot's failure to pay six successive instalments, is not barred by limitation from suing for cancelment on further breaches of the covenant. DULLI CHAND *v.* MEHER CHAND SAHOO . . **8 W. R., 138**

8. ——— *Waiver of vendor binding on purchaser.—Sale for arrears of rent.*—The Government, as auction-purchaser of the zemindari right of a pergunnah, having waived all right to cancel the tenures of the talookdars, and having admitted them to settlement and otherwise recognised

WAIVER.—Effect of waiver—*continued.*

their rights, it was held that the defendant, a purchaser, could not put in force against the talookdars any rights which his vendor had waived. OBHOY CHURN ROY *v.* ASANOOLLAH **[2 W. R., Act X, 81**

9. ——— **Waiver of rights under mortgage.**—*Resumption by Government of mortgaged land under Land Acquisition Act, and re-sale to mortgagor.—Omission of mortgagee to claim compensation.*—Government having under the Land Acquisition Act taken possession of portion of certain land which had been mortgaged by the owner subsequently, while the mortgage was still in force, re-sold the portion taken, to the mortgagor, who sold it to a third person *bonâ fide* for value. In a suit by the mortgagee (who had taken no steps to obtain any portion of the money paid by the Government for the land) praying for the sale under the mortgage of the land resumed by Government,—*Held* that the plaintiff as mortgagee had waived his rights under the mortgage, and that the purchaser from the mortgagor had acquired a title free from the plaintiff's incumbrance. *Quære,*—Whether the mortgage claim might not, but for the waiver, have re-attached, on the lands resumed by the Government again coming into the possession of the mortgagor. RAM AWTAR SINGH *v.* TULSI RAM . . **5 C. L. R., 227**

10. ——— **Waiver of grounds of enhancement.**—*Reliance on one ground only.—Presumption.*—In a suit for enhancement of rent upon different grounds, the fact that at the hearing the plaintiff relies on one of the grounds only, and that in the judgment of the first Court the whole case was rested on that ground only, is not a safe warrant for the inference that the other grounds were waived. BONOMALEE CHURN MYTER *v.* SHOROOP HOOTAIT **[14 W. R., 60**

11. ——— **Waiver of right to execute decree.**—*Agreement to give time to debtor.—New contract.*—The granting of a judgment-debtor the indulgence of a temporary stay of the warrant of execution issued to enforce the decree, does not prejudice the judgment-creditor's right to execution at a subsequent time. BUTCHENNER *v.* RAYUDU **[5 Mad., 285**

12. ——— *Waiver where decree-holder was allowed to perform act under decree in case judgment-debtor failed to do so.—H. C.* obtained a decree against *G. R.* for the reconstruction in the family house, within one month, of a veranda which had been improperly pulled down by the latter; on failure of *G. R.* to rebuild it in the specified time, the decree-holder was to be allowed to rebuild it himself at the cost of *G. R.* About a month after the reconstruction was begun, but after the lapse of the month allowed to the judgment-debtor *H. C.* applied for an injunction to stop the work as not being according to the decree, and for permission to rebuild it himself. *Held,* notwithstanding *G. R.* had made alterations and contravened the decretal order, the judgment-creditors' conduct in looking on without remonstrance for nearly a month while the

WAIVER.—Waiver of right to execute decree—*continued.*

judgment-debtor incurred considerable expense amounted to a waiver of his right to take matters into his own hands. GOPEE KISHEN GOSSAIN v. HEM CHUNDER GOSSAIN . . 16 W. R., 36

13. ——— **Waiver of right to interest on arrears of rent.**—*Receipt of arrears of rent for long time without interest.*—By the terms of a kabuliat, rent not paid when due was to bear interest. The zemindar received rent for a period of ten years without making any demand of interest in respect of arrears, and without claiming to apply any portion of the payments towards the discharge of interest. Having subsequently brought a suit for interest, the Courts below were of opinion that the zemindar had waived his claim to interest and dismissed his suit. *Held* that there were facts justifying such an inference, and that their finding could not be reviewed in special appeal. DINDOYAL POBAMANICK v. PRAN KISHEN PAUL CHOWDHRY
[Marsh., 394 : W. R., F., B., 117 : 2 Hay, 423

14. ——— *Omission to enforce interest under kabuliat.—Variation in contract.*—In order to establish variation in a written contract, it must be distinctly pleaded and proved when and how the variation took place, the mere fact of a kabuliat not having been enforced in the most stringent manner does not take away from the lessor the right to enforce it. PEAREE MOHUN MOOKERJEE v. BROJO MOHUN BOSE . . . . 21 W. R., 36

PEAREE MOHUN MOOKERJEE v. BROJO MOHUN BOSE . . . . . 22 W. R., 423

15. ——— *Omission to claim interest.—Pleading.*—Both parties stipulated for payment of rent on certain dates, and, if not so paid, of a certain rate of interest until paid. The rent not having been paid at the time agreed on,—*Held* that the landlord's omission to claim interest, instalment by instalment, for the fractional time that the rent was not paid after it became due, did not justify the plea that the interest stipulated for was not due, or warrant the belief that the plaintiff had waived his claim to interest. RUTTY KANT BOSE v. GUNGADHUR BISWAS
[W. R., F. B., 13 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 6 : Marsh., 40

16. ——— **Waiver of objection to service of notice of enhancement.**—*Omission to appeal from decision finding notice properly served.—Question of law and fact.*—Plaintiff sued to recover rents at enhanced rates after notice, and got a decree. Defendants appealed on the merits, tacitly accepting the finding of the lower Court that notice had been duly served. On appeal, the Subordinate Judge of his own motion took up the question of notice, decided that it had not been duly served, and reversed the decree of the lower Court. *Held* that the Subordinate Judge was wrong, for seeing that the defendants had not appealed from the finding of the first Court which declared that there had been good service, it might fairly be presumed that they had due notice of the claim to enhance, until evidence sufficient to rebut

WAIVER.—Waiver of objection to service of notice of enhancement—*continued.*

that presumption should be shown. An objection that notice of enhancement has not been properly served is not an objection purely of law, but a mixed objection of law and fact, which may be impliedly waived by the conduct of the parties. *Chunder Monee Dossee* v. *Dhuroneedhur Lahory*, 7 *W. R.*, 2, cited and distinguished. SHUSHEE BHOOSUN v. MUDDUN MOHUN CHUTTOPADHYA . . 2 C. L. R., 297

17. ——— **Agreement come to under mistaken belief.**—*Agreement to accept provision in satisfaction of claim to maintenance.—Mutual mistake, Effect of.—Suit by son for partition.—Relinquishment of claim.*—The plaintiff's father, a member of an undivided Hindu family, signed an agreement by which he agreed to accept a provision in satisfaction of his claim for maintenance. The agreement was signed by reason of a mistaken belief entertained by the plaintiff's father and the other members of the family that there existed an established custom in the family which rendered the property indivisible. *Held*, in a suit by the plaintiff for a partition of the family property liable to partition, that the agreement having been come to under a mutual mistake, it was no bar to the plaintiff maintaining the suit, for it would not have prejudiced the right of the plaintiff's father if he had chosen to insist upon a partition. SOOBRAMANIA TELAVER v. SOKKA TELAVER
[5 Mad., 437

18. ——— *Agreement to accept portion of property for maintenance.—Suit for full share of property on partition.*—In a suit to recover a share of family property, the Civil Judge found that the plaintiff in 1856 waived his right to a share of the family property by accepting a small portion, and dismissed the suit. The plaintiff shared with other members of the family the belief that by established family usage the property was impartible and passed at each succession to the eldest of the co-heirs according to the ordinary law, the other co-heirs being entitled only to a portion for maintenance. Under that belief the plaintiff accepted the allotment made to him in 1856 by the then eldest co-heir of a smaller portion of the property than he would be entitled to on a partition, as a sufficient provision for his maintenance. The plaintiff's younger brother instituted a suit in 1861, and succeeded in resisting the alleged custom, and obtained a decree for his full share. *Held* (reversing the decision of the Civil Judge) that the plaintiff was entitled to the relief asked for, it not appearing from the arrangement of 1856 that the parties intended the allotment to be in satisfaction and discharge of every right of the plaintiff as a coparcener. SUBBIEM PILLAY v. ARNACHALA IRUNGOL PILLAY . . . 5 Mad., 444

19. ——— **Waiver by renunciation of rights.**—*Renunciation of rights.—Law of waiver.—Privileges of office.*—It is not law that every right may be renounced. The general rule is power of renunciation, but there are two marked classes of exceptions: There can be no renunciation of rights and consequent destruction of relative duties prescribed by an absolute law; nor of things inherent in man as

WAIVER.—Waiver by renunciation of rights—*continued.*

man. A man may renounce a concrete right, but not one resulting from a natural condition. *Semble,*—A karnavan cannot part, by contract, so as to be unable to resume them, with the privileges and duties which attach to his position as a karnavan. CHERUKOMEN *alias* GOVINDEN NAIR *v.* ISMALA . 6 Mad., 145

APPUNI *v.* AYANEPALLI EKANATHA THAVAI VARIKARNAVAN SHANGUNI. APPUNI *v.* VETTUTHADATHA SHAMA . . . 6 Mad., 401

20. ——— **Effect of signing document in which there is an omission.**—*Omission in wajib-ul-urz of interest in property.—Imperfection in settlement proceedings.*—The mere signature by an agent of a wajib-ul-urz from which the record of an important interest in property was omitted, cannot be construed as a waiver of such right or claim. Still less can the imperfection or inaccuracy of settlement proceedings operate to extinguish or disallow existing rights. IMAMBUNDEE *v.* BHUGWAN DASS . . . 1 N. W., 41 : Ed. 1873, 38

21. ——— **Effect of acceptance of mortgage-money on right of purchase.**—*Condition in favour of purchase by mortgagee.*—*A.* mortgaged land to *B.*, the mortgage instrument providing that *B.* should be entitled to purchase the land if it were not redeemed by 12th July 1843. In 1845 *B.* accepted from *A.* one pagoda in part payment of the mortgage-money. *Held* that this was a waiver by *B.* of his right to purchase. VENKATACHARI *v.* ANANTACHARI [1 Mad., 69

22. ——— **Refusal to receive rent in kind.**—*Effect of refusal on right to sue for rent.*—A refusal by a landlord to accept rent in kind when it is tendered, on the ground that he is suing for a money rent, is a waiver of his right to sue his tenant (on the dismissal of his suit for a money rent) for the value of the rent in kind. NARAIN GEER *v.* GOUR SURUN DOSS . . . . . 23 W. R., 368

23. ——— **Withdrawal of objection to sale in execution of decree.**—*Effect of, on subsequent right to sue to set it aside.*—The plaintiff purchased certain property from the first and second defendants. The property was subsequently put up for sale by order of the Civil Court in execution of a decree against the first and second defendants, and was purchased by the third defendant. When the property was about to be sold under the decree, the plaintiff presented to the Court a petition objecting to the sale, but his vakil withdrew the petition with his consent before the sale. In a suit by the plaintiff for the recovery of the land,—*Held* that the plaintiff was not precluded from recovering the land by reason of his having withdrawn the petition, as he could not thereby be considered to have waived his right to sue. KUMARASAMY REDDI *v.* PANNA SOONA MOOROOGAPPA CHETTY . . . . . 7 Mad., 359

24. ——— **Relinquishment by Hindu widow.**—*Relinquishment of title to property by widow.—Petition.*—A mere petition by a widow to the effect that she has relinquished her title in certain

WAIVER.—Relinquishment by Hindu widow—*continued.*

property in favour of parties suing the lessees of the property for possession, is not a legal relinquishment of her share therein. OOMA CHURN KOONDOO *v.* BHOOBUN MOHUN PAL . . 10 W. R., 98

WAKF.

See ACT XX OF 1863, s. 18.
[15 B. L. R., 167
I. L. R., 3 Calc., 324

See CASES UNDER MAHOMEDAN LAW—ENDOWMENT.

WARRANT.

1. ——— **Warrants made by Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal.**—*Seal of Court.*—The Court will order its seal to be impressed on any warrant made by the authority of the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, even if not actually signed by him. ANONYMOUS . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106

2. ——— **Search warrant.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 114, 115.—Requisites of warrant.*—It is essential to the legality of a search warrant, under section 114 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, that the production of some specified and particular thing is desired; that the Magistrate alone shall determine that such production is necessary; and that a specified house or place only is to be searched. The warrant must, under section 115 of that Code, be directed to some other person only when a police officer is not forthcoming. QUEEN *v.* HOSSAIN ALI CHOWDHRY . . 8 W. R., Cr., 74

WARRANT OF ARREST.

	Col.
1. CIVIL CASES	6178
2. CRIMINAL CASES	6181

——— **of Governor General in Council.**

See BENGAL REGULATION III OF 1818.
[6 B. L. R., 392, 459
9 B. L. R., 36

See HABEAS CORPUS.
[6 B. L. R., 392, 459

1. CIVIL CASES.

1. ——— **Absence of warrant.**—*Discharge from custody of Sheriff.*—The Court will discharge a prisoner from custody when the jailor holds no warrant for his detention, although he has been properly in the custody of the Sheriff. IN THE MATTER OF SHAH SAHIB . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 19

2. ——— **Informality of warrant.**—*Application for discharge.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 273.—Delay in bringing up prisoner.*—*B. M.* and several other prisoners in the custody of the Sheriff of Calcutta for debt, without having been brought up to have an order for their allowance made, on being produced for that purpose by the Sheriff, applied for their discharge under section 273

WARRANT OF ARREST—*continued.*

1. CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

Informality of warrant—*continued.*

of Act VIII of 1859. Preliminary objections were taken to the validity of the warrants on which the Sheriff arrested them, on the grounds that the time for execution was not specified in them; and that even had they been originally valid their authority had expired, owing to the delay in bringing up the prisoners. Both objections were overruled. *Held* that a mere informality in a warrant, such as the omission of the time for execution, only renders it irregular, and does not invalidate it: that advantage having been taken of such irregularity to prejudice the prisoner, affords grounds for an application to the Court to set the warrant aside: and that a mere delay in bringing the prisoner before the Court after his arrest, if not for a considerable period, does not render his detention illegal. IN RE BHOLANAUTH MULLICK [Bourke, O. C., 96

3. ——— Form of warrant.—*Sufficiency of warrant.*—Where a person had been taken in execution under a *ca. sa.* directed to the Sheriff under the old procedure, it was held to be sufficient to empower the jailor to detain him. The words "ordinary civil jurisdiction" are only used to distinguish the civil from the criminal jurisdiction. IN RE ANWAR BINWAS . . . . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106

4. ——— Writ of Calcutta Small Cause Court, Form of.—*Act XII of 1865.—Fixing subsistence-money.*—A writ of the Calcutta Small Cause Court commanding its "Bailiff to take the body of *A.*, and have him before the Court on the——day of ——to satisfy *B.* in the sum of——debt and costs, ordered and decreed by the said Court on the——day of——to be paid to the said *B.* with costs of execution, and by virtue thereof to take and convey the said *A.* to the common jail of the said Court, there to be detained in safe custody for——weeks, or until he shall sooner perform the said order of the Court," is in point of form a sufficient warrant to the jailor to receive and detain *A.*, notwithstanding Act XII of 1865. It was not necessary, in the case of commitment of a debtor to prison by the Calcutta Court of Small Causes, to bring him in the first instance before the Court, as under the provision of Act VIII of 1859, in order to have his subsistence-money fixed. IN THE MATTER OF MEER NAWAUB [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 315

5. ——— Warrant directed to Nazir. —*Arrest of judgment-debtor.—Indorsement to peon. —Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 543.—Indorsement of particulars of arrest by Naib Nazir.*—Where a warrant issued by a subordinate Court, directing the Nazir to arrest a judgment-debtor in execution of a decree, was entrusted by the Nazir to a subordinate for execution by indorsing his name upon it,—*Held* that there is nothing in the Civil Procedure Code to prohibit a Nazir from authorising a deputy to execute a warrant of arrest for him, and that his indorsement must be regarded as *primâ facie* evidence of the authority of the person to whom the warrant is delivered to execute it. *Held*, also, that it is most desirable, when the Nazirs of the subordinate Courts delegate the duty of executing warrants of arrest, that they should confer the authority in more clear and explicit terms than are expressed by a mere indorsement, and that they should be careful in selecting proper persons to discharge that duty, bearing in mind, as far as circumstances permit, the position and caste of the party to be arrested, so as to avoid, through the medium of Court process, subjecting any such party to personal indignity or offence. *Held*, further, that it is important that the person chosen should be made acquainted with the contents of the warrant in order that he may be able to inform the judgment-debtor at whose suit and for what amount he is being taken into custody. Where a warrant for the arrest of the judgment-debtor had been executed, and an indorsement thereon, professedly under section 343 of the Civil Procedure Code, was irregularly made by the Naib Nazir, he not having been "the officer entrusted with the execution of the warrant,"—*Held* that such irregularity did not invalidate the arrest. ABDUL KARIM *v.* BULLEN . I. L. R., 6 All., 385

6. ——— Irregularity in warrant.—*Warrant of arrest in execution of a decree only initialled by proper officer.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 2, 251.*—A warrant issued for the arrest of a debtor under the provisions of section 251 of the Civil Procedure Code, was initialled by the Munsarim of the Court, sealed with the seal of the Court, and delivered to the proper officer for execution. The debtor forcibly resisted the officer, and was tried and convicted, under section 353 of the Penal Code, of assaulting a public servant in the execution of his duty as such. In revision, it was contended, with reference to the requirements of section 251 of the Civil Procedure Code, that the warrant of arrest, having been initialled only, was bad, and the officer could not legally execute it, and consequently no offence under section 353 of the Penal Code had been committed. *Held* that this contention could not be allowed, and although it was proper that the person signing a warrant should write his name in full, it could not be said that because the signature was confined to the initials of the name, it was not the duty of the officer to execute the warrant. QUEEN-EMPRESS *v.* JANKI PRASAD . I. L. R., 6 All., 293

7. ——— Validity of warrant.—*Liability of Nazir.—Escape of judgment-debtor.*—The plaintiff sued out a warrant for the arrest of his judgment-debtor on the 4th December 1876. The warrant was lodged with the Nazir on the 16th December and was to be in force till the 4th January 1877. On the 22nd December 1876 the Nazir was informed that the judgment-debtor was already in the civil jail under a writ of execution issued by another creditor. The Nazir then returned the warrant to the Subordinate Judge who had issued it. On the 29th December the Subordinate Judge again sent it to the Nazir's office, where it was duly received by the Nazir's karkun (defendant No. 2). This fact was not reported by the karkun to the Nazir (defend-

WARRANT OF ARREST—*continued.*

1. CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

Validity of warrant—*continued.*

ant No. 1) until the 4th January 1877. On the 1st January 1877 the judgment-debtor's debt was paid by Government, and he was released in honour of Her Majesty's assumption of the title of Empress of India. The judgment-debtor thereupon left the district and could not be found, and the plaintiff's warrant remained unexecuted. The plaintiff sued the Nazir and his karkun for allowing for his judgment-debtor to escape. *Held* that the Nazir ought not to have sent the warrant back to the Subordinate Judge, and that there was no necessity for a fresh order on it until the time for which it had to run had expired. *Held* also that, according to Act VIII of 1859, as it stood at the end of 1876 and until October 1877, the batta for the maintenance of a debtor could not become payable until he was arrested and brought before the Court, and the latter made the order for his committal to the civil jail. KASTURCHAND *v.* RAVJI SADASHIV . . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 65

2. CRIMINAL CASES.

8. ——— **Arrest in pending case.**—*Power of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 68.*—Section 68 of the Code of Criminal Procedure gave a Magistrate jurisdiction on proper evidence to issue a warrant for the arrest of persons in a pending case. IN THE MATTER OF SIDESHURY CHOWDHRAIN . . . 16 W. R., Cr., 50

9. ——— **Warrant on non-appearance to summons.**—*Lessee of tolls.—Disobedience of summons to appear.—Undertaking not to sue.—A.*, the lessee of a toll, was in arrear to Government in respect of the rent. The Magistrate issued a summons to him, whereby it was recited that a plaint had been preferred against him (*A.*) for not paying the sum of R262 for arrears of rent, and *A.* was summoned to appear before the Magistrate to answer the charge. *A.* did not appear on the day appointed, but had an application presented for postponement of the demand for arrears of rent, on the grounds therein stated. On the following day the Magistrate passed the following order: "Whereas the debtor, defendant, has not appeared in person, the summons has not been obeyed; therefore it is ordered that a warrant be issued for the arrest of the defendant." Proceedings were afterwards taken upon the warrant. *Held* that all the proceedings taken by the Magistrate were irregular and must be set aside, the defendant undertaking not to take legal proceedings for anything done under the order or warrant. IN THE MATTER OF BANKA BIHARI GHOSE [2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17: 11 W. R., Cr., 26

10. ——— **Issue of warrant.**—*Complaint on oath.—Report of police officer.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, ss. 68 and 155.*—In cases in which the police cannot arrest without a warrant, a warrant cannot legally be issued by a Magistrate except on a complaint made on oath (or under section 68 of the Criminal Procedure Code), whether such Magistrate is authorised to entertain cases either on complaint

WARRANT OF ARREST—*continued.*

2. CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

Issue of warrant—*continued.*

directly to himself or on the report of a police officer. REG. *v.* JAFAR ALI . . 8 Bom., Cr., 113

11. ——— *Arrest on report of policeman for offence for which arrest without warrant might be made.*—Where a policeman in whose sight a theft was committed arrested the thief, and being himself unable to take or send the accused to a Magistrate, sent a report, on which the Magistrate issued a warrant,—*Held* that, under these circumstances, the accused was legally brought before the Magistrate. REG. *v.* MAHIPYA VALAD BOMYA MAHAR . . . 5 Bom., Cr., 99

12. ——— *Validity of warrant.—Criminal Procedure Code (X of 1872), s. 157.—Magistrate out of jurisdiction.—Extradition.*—It was not essential to the validity of a warrant issued under section 157 of Act X of 1872 that the Magistrate issuing it should be, at the time he issues it, within the local limits of his jurisdiction. He might issue such a warrant from a place in foreign territory. REG. *v.* LOCHA KALA [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 340

13. ——— **Procedure on warrant.**—*Act XII of 1867.*—When a prisoner was arrested by the Sheriff under a writ of *ca. sa.*, it was necessary to bring him before the Court without delay, under section 14 of Act XII of 1867. IN RE RAMCOOMAR DUTT . . . . 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 340

14. ——— **Operation of warrant.**—*Detention of prisoner.*—The force of a warrant of arrest is at an end when the prisoner is brought before the Magistrate. MUTHOORA NATH CHUCKERBUTTY *v.* HEERA LALL DOSS . 17 W. R., Cr., 55

A Magistrate, therefore, is not at liberty to retain an accused person in custody, except upon a proper remand made after taking sufficient evidence given on oath or solemn affirmation. IN THE MATTER OF ZUHURUDDEEN HOSSEIN . . 25 W. R., Cr., 8

15. ——— *Warrant issued to unofficial person.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), s. 161.—Act XXV of 1861, s. 77.*—Under section 77 of the Criminal Procedure Code, a Magistrate ought not to issue a warrant to an unofficial person, except when he is without the assistance of competent police officers, and unless the urgency is imminent. The force of a warrant of arrest is at an end when the prisoner is brought before the Magistrate, and the prisoner cannot lawfully be committed to prison or remanded without sufficient grounds; and in the absence of evidence there can be no grounds. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SURENDRONATH ROY. QUEEN *v.* SURENDRONATH ROY [5 B. L. R., 274: 13 W. R., Cr., 27

16. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 68.—Act X of 1872, ss. 142 and 150.—Detention of accused.*—A warrant issued under section 68, which was a warrant

WARRANT OF ARREST—*continued.*

2. CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

Operation of warrant—*continued.*

of arrest as described under section 76 (Form B), is only for the purpose of bringing an accused person before the Magistrate. It was not a warrant for commitment, and did not authorise the detention of a person longer than is necessary for his production before the Magistrate. To detain him further there must be a fresh warrant under section 222, charging the prisoner with some offence, on evidence taken on oath or affirmation, and in the presence of the accused. IN THE MATTER OF MAHES CHANDRA BANERJEE. QUEEN v. PURNA CHANDRA BANERJEE. QUEEN v. KALI SIRKAR [4 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W. R., Cr., 1

17. ——————— *Detention of accused.—Order sanctioning detention for indefinite period.—Remand of accused.—Held* that the order of a Magistrate sanctioning the detention by the police of an accused person for an indefinite period is illegal. At the expiration of twenty-four hours from the time of arrest, the accused must be brought before a Magistrate, who could then remand for a period not exceeding fifteen days, under section 224 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861. No remand without a hearing can last for a longer period. REG. v. SUNKYA VALAD DHAKU . . 5 Bom., Cr., 31

18. ——— **Form of warrant.**—*Omission to seal warrant.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, s. 76.—Requisites of good warrant.*—A warrant issued under section 76 of the Code of Criminal Procedure should be sealed, should describe the person to be apprehended under it with reasonable particularity, so that there may be no difficulty in establishing his identity, and should be subscribed with the name and full official title of the Magistrate issuing it. Where a warrant was defective in all the above particulars, the prisoner apprehended under it was released by the High Court. IN RE HASTINGS . 9 Bom., 154

19. ——————— *Act XIII of 1856, s. 58.—Error in warrant not affecting conviction.*—A warrant issued under section 58 of Act XIII of 1856 should be addressed to some one or more inspectors, and not generally to "all constables and peace officers." Where a warrant in the latter form was executed under the direction of an inspector, it was held that the error in the form of the warrant was merely an error of procedure, and did not affect the validity of the conviction, under section 57, of persons apprehended in pursuance of the warrant so executed. REG. v. NANA MOROJI. IN RE MADHAV MORAR . . . 8 Bom., Cr., 1

20. ——————— *Warrant not containing specification of offence.*—A warrant which did not specify a punishable offence, and which had been issued upon a statement not sufficient to make out any offence, quashed. IN RE BIDHUMUKHI DEBI . . . . 6 B. L. R., Ap., 129

S. C. BIDHOOMOOKHEE DABEE v. SEEBNATH HALDAR . . . 15 W. R., Cr., 4

WARRANT OF ARREST—*continued.*

2. CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

21. ——— **Informality in warrant.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, s. 404.—Power of High Court.—Irregularity in process of arrest and attachment.*—The High Court was not empowered to interfere under the provisions of section 404 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, until there has been a judicial proceeding by a Magistrate. A person complaining of irregularity of process issued for his arrest and for the attachment of his property, before applying to the High Court under section 404 of the Criminal Procedure Code, should make application to the Magistrate issuing such process for his discharge and the release of his property, on the ground of the informality of the warrants. QUEEN v. BISHESHUR PERSHAD [2 N. W., 441: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 236

22. ——— **Mode of arrest in foreign territory or out of jurisdiction.**—*Warrant of arrest for contempt of Court.*—The High Court of Bombay will not send a special bailiff into the territories of the Gaikvar of Baroda to arrest a defendant who has been guilty of a contempt of Court, but the Court will send a special bailiff for such purpose beyond the local limits of the High Court to a place within the Presidency of Bombay. HARIVALLABHDAS KULLIANDAS v. UTAMCHAND MANIKCHAND [7 Bom., O. C., 172

WARRANT OF ATTORNEY.

1. ——— **Extent and operation of warrant.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 17 and 49.—Acceptance of service and appearance.—Act XX of 1862, s. 7.*—A warrant of attorney to the attorney of a defendant to receive a declaration or plaint, &c., in any action or suit to be brought for the recovery of certain moneys, and to confess the same action or suit, or else to suffer or consent to a judgment or decree in the said action or suit by default, or in any other way to pass or be pronounced against the defendant, empowered the attorney to accept service and appear for the defendant within the meaning of sections 17 and 49 of Act VIII of 1859. *Held* that section 7 of Act XX of 1862 referred only to warrants of attorney for the entering up of judgments in the High Court which were in existence before the 1st July 1862. KHALUT CHUNDRE GHOSE v. SAMODASOONDERY DOSSEE. Bourke, O. C., 244

2. ——— **Limitation Act, 1859.**—*Entering up judgment.*—The statute of limitation is no answer to a rule *nisi* to enter up judgment on a warrant of attorney. SOOJAN MULL v. HYDER JUNG BAHADOOR . . . 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 58

WARRANT OF COMMITMENT.

——— **Signature of Magistrate.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 303.*—The signature of a Magistrate to a warrant of commitment under section 303 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1872, should not be affixed by a stamp. SUBRAMANAYA v. QUEEN . . . . I. L. R., 6 Mad., 396

WARRANT OF EXECUTION.

1. ——— Extension of time for operation of warrant.—*Act X of 1859, s. 88.—Jurisdiction.*—Where a warrant of execution under Act X of 1859, section 88, was extended for four days after a particular day, when the original warrant was not sixty days old, in order that more moveable property might be pointed out,—*Held* that, until the time so extended had elapsed, an order for sale of immoveable property was without jurisdiction. NABI BAX *v.* DIDAR BAX SHAH [3 B. L. R., A. C., 10: 11 W. R., 326

2. ——— Return of warrant.—*Public servant.—Resistance to public servant.—Penal Code, s. 183.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 251.*—A person was convicted under section 183 of the Penal Code for offering resistance to the attachment of property by a public servant. The offence was committed on the 4th of February 1883, but the warrant under which the public servant acted was returnable on or before the previous day. *Held* that the conviction was bad. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ANAND LALL BERA. ANAND LALL BERA *v.* EMPRESS ON THE PROSECUTION OF AZIM PRON . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 18: 13 C. L. R., 209

3. ——— Irregularity in warrant.—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 222.—Civil Procedure Code, 1877, 1882, s. 251.*—An execution sale of the right, title, and interest in land was set aside by the Court, on the ground that the warrant for the execution of the decree and order of attachment of the property sold had not been signed by the Judge, but by the Munsarim of the Court; and at a second sale the property was sold to other purchasers, who, as well as the judgment-debtor, were sued by the purchaser at the first sale for a declaration of his right to have the first sale confirmed. The High Court having held that, with reference to section 222 of Act VIII of 1859, the first sale had been rightly set aside, an appeal to the Judicial Committee was dismissed with costs. RAM DAYAL *v.* MAHTAB SINGH . . . . I. L. R., 7 All., 506

WARRANTY, BREACH OF—

See CHARTER-PARTY.
[8 B. L. R., 544: 23 W. R., 136

See CONTRACT—BREACH OF CONTRACT.
[8 B. L. R., 544: 23 W. R., 136

See CONTRACT ACT, s. 78.
[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 801

See CASES UNDER VENDOR AND PURCHASER—BREACH OF WARRANTY.

WARRANTY OF TITLE.

See CASES UNDER SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—PURCHASERS, TITLE OF—GENERALLY.

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—SETTING ASIDE SALE—RIGHTS OF PURCHASERS . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 258

See CASES UNDER VENDOR AND PURCHASER—BREACH OF WARRANTY.

WARRANTY OF TITLE—*continued.*

See CASES UNDER VENDOR AND PURCHASER—CAVEAT EMPTOR.

WASHERMAN.

See MADRAS TOWNS IMPROVEMENT ACT, 1871, s. 1 . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 174

WASTE.

See HINDU LAW—ALIENATION—ALIENATION BY WIDOW—SETTING ASIDE ALIENATIONS, AND WASTE.
[1 B. L. R., A. C., 27
5 B. L. R., 508
6 B. L. R., 747
B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48
8 W. R., 273
1 W. R., 256
2 N. W., 78
24 W. R., 86
3 B. L. R., 362
10 B. L. R., P. C., 1
9 W. R., 362

See HINDU LAW—REVERSIONERS—WHO MAY SUE . I. L. R., 6 Calc., 198
[6 Moore's I. A., 433
I. L. R., 9 Calc., 817
Marsh., 622

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 125 (1859, s. 1, CL. 10) . 7 B. L. R., 131

1. ——— Limitation.—*Allegation of waste.—Prayer for protection from contemplated waste.—Held per* PHEAR, *J.*, that where a suit was one to prevent contemplated waste, it was not barred by lapse of time. GHOSH *v.* AMRITAMAYI DASI
[4 B. L. R., O. C., 1: 12 W. R., O. C., 13

BISWANATH CHUNDER *v.* KHANTAMANI DASI
[7 B. L. R., 131

2. ——— Liability for waste.—*Hindu widow; liability for waste committed by her husband as administrator.*—In a suit against a widow individually, and not in her representative capacity, to recover plaintiff's share of property alleged to have been in her possession, the suit being one wherein defendant was charged with devastation in respect of such property only,—*Held* that defendant was not liable in that suit to be made answerable out of her husband's assets for any devastation which he might have committed. STAVES *v.* DIAS . 10 W. R., 444

WASTE LANDS.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT—MIRASIDARS.
[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 205

See SETTLEMENT—RIGHT TO SETTLEMENT.
[4 Mad., 429

See SETTLEMENT—SUBJECTS OF SETTLEMENT . . . 1 Mad., 12, 407

See VALUATION OF SUIT—SUITS.
[7 W. R., 349

1. ——— Presumption from land lying waste.—*Evidence as to possession.*—The fact of

WASTE LANDS.—Presumption from land lying waste—*continued.*

land lying waste does not of itself show that no one is in possession. MAHOMED ALI *v.* SHUEUM ALI [8 W. R., 422

2. ——— **Ownership of waste land.**—*Presumption as to possession.*—Where land is waste and there is no visible sign of occupation, the possession must be taken to go with the right, and the right is *prima facie* in the zemindar of the estate to which the waste land belongs. WOODWANT MAHTOON *v.* HUNOOMAN PERSHAD SINGH [22 W. R., 419

3. ——— **Possession of waste land.**—*Limitation.—Presumption.—Proof of title.*—There may be such possession of waste lands as to protect a suit from being barred by limitation; and where the question of possession is doubtful, a presumption will arise in favour of the party who proves title. MAHOMED BASSIR *v.* KUREEM BUKSH . 11 W. R., 268

4. ——— *Possession, Presumption of, from evidence of title.*—In disputes as to the right to possession of waste and jungle lands, it is only in cases where neither party has exercised any acts of ownership over the lands in question that the Court may resort to evidence of title, and presume that the party proved to have title has also possession. RAM BANDHU *v.* KUSU BHATTU [5 C. L. R., 481

5. ——— *Title to uncultivated or jungle lands.—Adverse possession.—Limitation.—Acts of ownership.*—If adverse possession for a sufficiently long time is proved, the title of a person to uncultivated or jungle land may be barred by limitation in the same manner and to the same extent as in the case of cultivated land; the evidence of possession being the exercise of such acts of ownership as would ordinarily be exercised over property of that nature. MITTERJEET SINGH *v.* RADHA PERSHAD SINGH . . . . 23 W. R., 368

See WATSON *v.* GOVERNMENT [B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 182: 3 W. R., 73

6. ——— **Right to use of waste land.**—*Permissive use of, by tenants.—Right of landlord to erect building on.—Works of permanent character executed by licensee.—Easements Act, V of 1882, ss. 60, 61.*—In a suit by a zemindar to have his right declared to build a house on some waste land in the mouzah, the defendants, who were tenants in the mouzah, resisted the claim, on the ground that they had built wells and water courses on the land, and had a right also to use it as a threshing-floor and for stacking cow-dung. *Held* that the defendants having acquired no right adverse to the plaintiff as owners, by prescription or otherwise, in the land, their right of use could only be as licensees of the plaintiff; and although he could not interfere with their right to the wells, which were works of a permanent character, and on which the defendants had incurred expenses, he could revoke the license as to the other use claimed of the land, and his claim to build the house should therefore be decreed. LAND MORTGAGE BANK OF INDIA *v.* MOTI [I. L. R., 8 All., 64

WASTE LANDS—*continued.*

7. ——— **Act XXIII of 1863, s. 5.**—*Suit to contest sale.*—Where the Collector failed to give notice of his intention to dispose of the estates, it was not incumbent on the plaintiff to contest the sale within the period prescribed by section 5, Act XXIII of 1863. HIMMUT SINGH *v.* COLLECTOR OF BIJNOUR . . . 2 Agra, 258

8. ——— *Act to reclaim waste lands.—Suit to contest award by Board of Revenue.—Extension of time.—Institution of suit.*—The Court cannot extend the period of thirty days allowed by section 5, Act XXIII of 1863, for preferring a suit to contest an award by the Board of Revenue. The filing of a vakalutnamah is not an institution of such a suit. TARANATH DUTT *v.* COLLECTOR OF SYLHET [5 W. R., Waste Land Court Ref., 1

9. ——— **ss. 8, 18.**—*Suit for possession.—Statute, Interpretation of.*—Where an Act expressly takes away one particular remedy which would otherwise have been open for enforcing a right of property, or in any other particular interferes with proprietary rights, but does not, in express words or by necessary implication, declare that those rights shall cease, the method of interpretation which ought to be adopted is to give effect to the Act exactly so far as its words extend, and no further. There is nothing in Act XXIII of 1863 to prevent a person who has a good title and has throughout been in possession, or who has a good title, and at any time succeeds in peaceably getting possession, and is not ousted in a possessory suit, or who for any other reason is in the advantageous position of a defendant, from defending his rights, notwithstanding any sale which the Government may have professed to make under the Waste Lands Act. *Quære,*—Whether the terms of the Act are not sufficiently satisfied by making it apply to waste lands of Government, and by understanding the claims and objections mentioned in the Act as claims in respect of Government land, and objections with the same limitation. KRISTO CHUNDER DASS *v.* STEEL [I. L. R., 12 Calc., 279

10. ——— **s. 18.**—*Suit for compensation for land wrongly sold as waste.*—A purchaser of land sold as waste land under Act XXIII of 1863 cannot be compelled to grant a pottah to a person alleging himself to have been in occupation of the land before the sale. If the claimant has omitted to come in in due time to stay the sale, and the land has actually been sold, his only remedy is by a suit under section 18 for compensation, making the Collector a defendant. MAGUN POLLAN *v.* MONEY [7 W. R., 474

WATER, ESCAPE OF, LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE DONE BY—

See EMBANKMENTS. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 776

WATER-COURSE, RIGHT TO USE OF—

See CASES UNDER PRESCRIPTION—EASEMENTS—RIGHT TO WATER.

WATER-COURSE, RIGHT TO USE OF —*continued.*

See CASES UNDER RIGHT TO USE OF WATER.

WATER-SUPPLY, CAUSING DIMINUTION OF—

See MISCHIEF . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 262
[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 183

WEIGHTS AND MEASURES, FRAUDULENT USE OF—

Penal Code, s. 266.—Fraudulent intention.—The mere possession of weights in excess of the authorised standard will not support a conviction under section 266 of the Penal Code; a fraudulent intent must be charged and proved. REG. v. DAMO DHAR DALJI
[1 Bom., 181

GOVERNMENT v. KANGALEE MUDUK
[18 W. R., Cr., 7

WHARFINGER.

See BILL OF LADING.
[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 736

WHIPPING.

See SENTENCE—WHIPPING.
[Bourke, O. C., 269
5 Mad., Ap., 18
I. L. R., 5 Mad., 158

1. ——— **Juvenile offenders.**—*Act VI of 1864, s. 3.*—Section 3 of Act VI of 1864 (the Whipping Act) applies to juvenile as well as to adult offenders. REG. v. KUSA VALAD LAKSHMAN
[7 Bom., Cr., 70

2. ——— *First conviction of adults.—Substituted punishment.*—In the case of adults on a first conviction, or in the case of juvenile offenders whether for a first offence or otherwise, whipping can only be in lieu of, and not added to, any other punishment. QUEEN v. ABDOOL
[W. R., 1864, Cr., 38

QUEEN v. KANTIRAM . . 1 W. R., Cr., 24

QUEEN v. TONAOKOCH . 2 W. R., Cr., 63

QUEEN v. AMABUT . . 4 W. R., Cr., 20

3. ——— *Act VI of 1864.*—Under Act VI of 1864 (the Whipping Act), a juvenile offender means a person under the age of sixteen years. REG. v. MUHAMMAD ALI VALAD ABDUL ALI
[8 Bom., Cr., 9

4. ——— *Act XI of 1864, ss. 5, 10.—Criminal Procedure Code, s. 892.*—By the term "juvenile offender" in section 5, Act VI of 1864 (Whipping Act), is meant an offender under the age of sixteen years. *Reg.* v. *Muhammad Ali, 8 Bom., Cr., 9,* referred to. EMPRESS v. DIN ALI
[I. L. R., 6 All., 482

5. ——— **Sentence of whipping when allowable.**—*Act VI of 1864, s. 4.—Offence after previous conviction.*—The punishment of whipping under section 4, Act VI of 1864, can only be inflicted on a second conviction of a person who, having served a sentence of imprisonment, again commits a crime. QUEEN v. UDAI PATNAIK
[4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 12 W. R., Cr., 68

6. ——— *Offence after previous conviction.—Previous conviction not shown.*—On a reference by a Sessions Judge under section 434 of the Criminal Procedure Code, a sentence of whipping in addition to one of rigorous imprisonment in the case of an offence specified in section 2 of Act VI of 1864 was annulled, as the offence was not committed after previous conviction REG. v. SURYA BIN KRISHNA MANDAVKAR . 3 Bom., Cr., 38

REG. v. BABJI VALAD BAPU . 4 Bom., Cr., 5

7. ——— *Act VI of 1864, s. 3.—Second conviction for offence committed before first conviction.*—Section 3 of Act VI of 1864 (the Whipping Act) does not apply to cases in which the second conviction is for an offence committed previously to the first conviction. REG. v. KUSA VALAD LAKSHMAN . . . . 7 Bom., Cr., 70

8. ——— *Previous conviction.*—A sentence of whipping founded on a previous conviction of the prisoner is only warranted where the subsequent conviction is for the same specific offence as that in respect of which the previous conviction applied. ANONYMOUS . 5 Mad., Ap., 1

ANONYMOUS . . . 5 Mad., Ap., 39

9. ——— *Theft in dwelling-house.—Act VI of 1864, s. 3.—Previous conviction of theft.*—A prisoner convicted of "theft in a dwelling-house" who has previously been convicted of "simple theft" is not thereby rendered liable to whipping, under Act VI of 1864, section 3. REG. v. CHANGIA VALAD SHUMIA . 7 Bom., Cr., 68

10. ——— *Act VI of 1864, s. 7.—Conviction of dishonestly receiving stolen property.—Previous conviction for theft.*—*P.* was convicted by a Magistrate of the first class of dishonestly receiving stolen property. He confessed on his trial that he had twice previously been convicted of theft. He was sentenced to be whipped, to be rigorously imprisoned, and, on the expiration of the term of imprisonment, to furnish security for good behaviour. *Held* that the offence of theft not being the same offence as that of dishonestly receiving stolen property, the punishment of whipping was illegal. EMPRESS v. PARTAP
[I. L. R., 1 All., 666

11. ——— *Conviction of separate offences.—"House-breaking to commit theft," and "theft."—Whipping Act, VI of 1864, s. 2.*—Where a prisoner convicted of "house-breaking in order to commit theft," and of "theft," both offences being portions of one continuous criminal act, was sentenced on the first head of charge to one year's rigorous imprisonment, under section 457 of the Penal Code, and on the second head of charge

WHIPPING.—Sentence of whipping when allowable—*continued.*

to receive twenty stripes, under section 2 of the Whipping Act (VI of 1864), the separate sentences (though not illegal) were disapproved of, as contrary to the spirit and intention of the Whipping Act. REG. *v.* GENU BIN AKU . . 5 Bom., Cr., 83

12. ——— *Act VI of 1864, s. 7.—Conviction of theft.*—A sentence of whipping cannot, with reference to Act VI of 1864, section 7, be passed on a conviction for theft under section 379, Penal Code, as the former section only provides for sentences of imprisonment for a term not exceeding three years. QUEEN *v.* ESAN CHUNDER DEY [21 W. R., Cr., 40

13. ——— *Attempt at house-breaking with view to theft.*—In the case of a conviction of attempting to commit house-breaking by night with intent to commit theft, a sentence of whipping was annulled as being illegal. REG. *v.* YELLA VALAD PARSHIA . . 3 Bom., Cr., 37

14. ——— *Substitution of whipping for other punishment.—Sentence.—Theft.*—Whipping may be substituted for any other punishment for the offence of theft in a dwelling-house. QUEEN *v.* JUNGHOO KHAN . 3 W. R., Cr., 36

15. ——— *Act VI of 1864, s. 7.—Whipping in addition to other sentences.*—A sentence of whipping passed on a person who is already under sentence of death, or transportation, or penal servitude, or imprisonment for more than five years, is illegal. If the sentence of whipping precede, instead of follow, the other sentence, the passing of the latter sentence renders the infliction of the whipping illegal. ANONYMOUS CASE [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 56

16. ——— *Act VI of 1864.—Power of Magistrate.*—When a Magistrate, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 46 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, passed a cumulative sentence against a person convicted at one and the same time of two or more offences punishable under the Penal Code,—*Held per* PEACOCK, *C. J.*, and PHEAR and SETON-KARR, *J J.*, that he could not, in addition to the penalties prescribed by the Penal Code, sentence the prisoner to whipping under Act VI of 1864; nor could he exceed twice the extent of his ordinary jurisdiction as defined by section 22 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861. *Held*, further, *per* SETON-KARR, *J.*, that, in the case of hardened offenders, a Magistrate can award whipping in addition to the maximum of imprisonment which he is competent to award. *Held per* MACPHERSON and JACKSON, *JJ.*, that the Magistrate may, in such case, in addition to awarding double the punishment which may be awarded for a single offence, award the punishment of whipping; but only one whipping can be awarded. NASSIR *v.* CHUNDER [B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 951: 9 W. R., Cr., 41

RUTTUN BEWA *v.* BUHUR. JHOWLA *v.* BUHUR [14 W. R., Cr., 7

WHIPPING.—Sentence of whipping when allowable—*continued.*

17. ——— *Act VI of 1864.—Penal Code, ss. 325, 342, 378.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861); s. 46.—Cumulative sentences.*—Where the prisoner was convicted by the Magistrate of three distinct and separate offences, and was sentenced to a month's imprisonment for the offence of wrongful confinement under section 342, six months' imprisonment for the offence of voluntarily causing grievous hurt under section 325, and to whipping with twenty stripes for the offence of theft under section 378 of the Penal Code, it was held (KEMP and PHEAR, *JJ.*, dissenting) that the sentence was legal. Where a person is convicted at the same time of two or more offences punishable under the Penal Code,—*Held* (KEMP and PHEAR, *JJ.*, dissenting) that it is lawful for the Court, in addition to the penalties prescribed by the Penal Code, to sentence the prisoner to whipping. *Nassir* v. *Chunder, B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 951*, not followed. MANIRUDDIN *v.* GAUR CHANDRA SHAMADAR [7 B. L. R., F. B., 165: 15 W. R., Cr., 89.

18. ——— *Magistrate of second class under Criminal Procedure Code, 1872.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1882), ss. 2 and 32.*—A person appointed a Magistrate of the second class under Act X of 1872 is incompetent, since the coming into force of Act X of 1882, to pass a sentence of whipping, unless he is specially empowered so to do according to the provisions of section 32 of the latter Act. EMPRESS *v.* BHAGVANTA RAVJI [I. L. R., 7 Bom., 303

19. ——— *Whipping in addition to imprisonment.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, ss. 305, 310.*—In passing a sentence of whipping in addition to six months' imprisonment, a Deputy Magistrate ordered that the prisoner should be brought before him at the termination of the imprisonment, and that the sentence of whipping should then be carried out. On the recommendation of the Sessions Judge (who referred to sections 305 and 310, Act X of 1872), the High Court cancelled the sentence of whipping as having become inoperative and incapable of being carried out. HUR CHUNDRA KULAL *v.* JAFER ALI . . . . 20 W. R., Cr., 72

20. ——— **Grounds for sentence of whipping.**—*Statement of grounds in judgment.*—When a sentence of whipping is imposed the grounds for that punishment should be stated on the judgment. BADIYA *v.* QUEEN. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 158

21. ——— *Previous convictions, Proof of.—Kyfeut.*—As a rule, before flogging is given as an additional punishment, there ought to be formal evidence upon the record of the previous convictions relied on. The conviction and identity of the prisoner ought to be proved in the regular way: a mere kyfeut is no evidence whatever. QUEEN *v.* NUZEE NUSHYO . . . . 15 W. R., Cr., 52

22. ——— **Mode of infliction of sentence of whipping.**—*Stay of sentence, Grounds for.—Act VI of 1864, ss. 11 and 12.*—Meaning of the

WHIPPING.—Mode of infliction of sentence of whipping—*continued.*

words "execution shall be stayed" in Act VI of 1864, section 11. Sections 11 and 12 together mean that a man sentenced to whipping is not to be whipped unless in a fit state to bear it; the whipping should not be commenced, but if it be commenced it is not to be continued longer than the man is fit to bear it; and then the sentence has been satisfied, for it cannot be executed by instalments. ANONYMOUS [3 Mad., Ap., 1

23. ——— Time after sentence within which whipping may be given.—*Act VI of 1864, s. 9.*—A sentence of flogging cannot be carried out after the expiry of the limit of fifteen days from date of sentence provided in section 9 of Act VI of 1864. ANONYMOUS . 6 Mad., Ap., 38

This ruling was held to be applicable to section 310 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1872. ANONYMOUS . . . . . 7 Mad., Ap., 30

WIDOW.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—ALIENATION—ALIENATION BY WIDOW.

See HINDU LAW—INHERITANCE—SPECIAL HEIRS—FEMALES—WIDOW.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—MAINTENANCE—RIGHT TO MAINTENANCE—WIDOW.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—PARTITION—RIGHT TO PARTITION—WIDOW.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—PARTITION—SHARES ON PARTITION—WIDOW.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—REVERSIONERS.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—STRIDHAN.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WIDOW.

See PRE-EMPTION—RIGHT OF PRE-EMPTION . . . I. L. R., 1 All., 452
[I. L. R., 6 All., 17
I. L. R., 7 All., 860

——— **Power of alienation.**

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WIDOW—POWER OF WIDOW—POWER OF DISPOSITION OR ALIENATION.

——— **Power of disposition of—**

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WIDOW—POWER OF WIDOW—POWER OF DISPOSITION OR ALIENATION.

——— **Purchase by—**

See BENAMI TRANSACTION — ONUS OF PROOF . . 6 B. L. R., 303

——— **Refusal or omission to adopt.**

See HINDU LAW—WILL—CONSTRUCTION OF WILLS—SPECIAL CASES OF CONSTRUCTION—OMISSION OR REFUSAL TO ADOPT . . 3 B. L. R., O. C., 85

WIDOW—*continued.*

——— **Rights of—**

See MAHOMEDAN LAW—INHERITANCE.
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 702
17 W. R., P. C., 108
11 Bom., 104

WIDOWS INHERITING JOINTLY.

See HINDU LAW—INHERITANCE—SPECIAL HEIRS—FEMALES—WIDOW.
[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 290
1 Bom., 66
1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 59
3 Mad., 268, 424
I. L. R., 2 Mad., 194
I. L. R., 7 All., 114

See HINDU LAW—PARTITION—RIGHT TO PARTITION—WIDOW.
[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 290
3 Mad., 424
6 B. L. R., 134
I. L. R., 2 Mad., 194

WIFE.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—CONTRACT—HUSBAND AND WIFE.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—PARTITION—RIGHT TO PARTITION—WIFE.

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—PARTITION—SHARES ON PARTITION—WIFE.

See HUSBAND AND WIFE.

——— **Action for harbouring—**

See RESTITUTION OF CONJUGAL RIGHTS.
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 164

——— **Custody of—**

See HABEAS CORPUS . 13 B. L. R., 160

See HINDU LAW—GUARDIAN—RIGHT OF GUARDIANSHIP . . 23 W. R., 178

See MAHOMEDAN LAW—CUSTODY OF WIFE . . . 5 B. L. R., 557
[13 B. L. R., 160

——— **Evidence of, against husband.**

See EVIDENCE—CRIMINAL CASES—HUSBAND AND WIFE.
[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 11
7 Bom., Cr., 50

——— **Maintenance of—.**

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—MAINTENANCE—RIGHT TO MAINTENANCE—WIFE.

——— **separated from husband, Liability of, for debts.**

See HINDU LAW—CONTRACT—HUSBAND AND WIFE . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 121

WILD ANIMALS.

1. ——— Animals feræ naturæ.—*Escape of wild animals kept in confinement.—Return*

WILD ANIMALS.—Animals feræ naturæ —*continued.*

or pursuit of such animals.—Wild animals are no longer the property of a man than while they continue in his keeping or actual possession; but if they regain their natural liberty, his property ceases until they have a mind to return, which is only to be known by their usual custom of returning, or are instantly pursued by their owner, for during such pursuit his property remains. CHYTUN CHURN DOSS *v.* COLLECTOR OF SYLHET . . 21 W. R., 75

2. ——— *Capture of wild elephant.—Right of owner of land where captured. —Right of finder.*—A wild elephant having fallen into a pit made by *K. N.* in his own land, was secured, removed, and tamed by *U. M.*, without the leave of *K. N.* *Held* that *K. N.* was the captor, and that *U. M.* acquired no property in the elephant. MAKATH UNNI MOYI *v.* MALABAR KANDAPUNNI NAIR [I. L. R., 4 Mad., 268

3. ——— *Escaped elephant. —Ownership.—Recapture.*—A tame female elephant escaped from her master's field in company with a herd of wild elephants and resumed her natural wild habits. The owner-plaintiff abandoned his search after two months, and then offered a reward of R200 to any person who should recapture her. At the end of four months she was recaptured by the defendant, who was compelled to tame her in the same way as if she had been an ordinary wild elephant. Plaintiff offered the reward of R200 to the defendant and demanded the elephant, but the demand was refused. *Held* that under the circumstances the plaintiff had lost all claim to the animal. PEAL *v.* CAMPBELL [3 C. L. R., 515

WILL.

	Col.
1. EXECUTION	6196
2. ATTESTATION	6196
3. FORM OF WILL	6199
4. NUNCUPATIVE WILL	6200
5. VALIDITY OF WILL	6200
6. INSPECTION OF WILL	6201
7. RENUNCIATION BY EXECUTOR	6201
8. CONSTRUCTION	6202

See CHARITABLE BEQUEST. [I. L. R., 1 Calc., 303
L. R., 3 I. A., 32
26 W. R., 1
I. L. R., 11 Calc., 591

See DOMICILE . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 106

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WILL.

See LAND TENURE IN CALCUTTA. [1 Moore's I. A., 305, 399

See CASES UNDER MAHOMEDAN LAW—WILL.

See MORTGAGE—FORM OF MORTGAGES. [I. L. R., 1 All., 753

See CASES UNDER PROBATE.

WILL—*continued.*

——— **Exemplification of—**

See SUCCESSION ACT, s. 237. [8 B. L. R., Ap., 76

——— **Revocation of—**

See HINDU LAW—WILL—NUNCUPATIVE WILLS . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 626

See SUCCESSION ACT, s. 56. [I. L. R., 1 Calc., 158

——— **Suit by person claiming under—**

See CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 50. [I. L. R., 6 Bom., 73

——— **Statement of value of property in—**

See EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—RECITALS IN DOCUMENTS . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561

1. EXECUTION.

1. ——— **Succession Act, s. 50.**—*Signature of testator.—Nature of execution required.*—To entitle the executor to probate, the signature of the testator must be that of a conscious person, and not the results of mere mechanical movement of the hand. KALEE TARA DOSSIA *v.* NOBIN CHUNDER KUR . . . . . 21 W. R., 84

2. ATTESTATION.

2. ——— **Directions as to attestation.** —*Succession Act, s. 50.—Probate.*—An unprivileged will will not be recognised by the Court and admitted to probate unless executed in accordance with the directions contained in Part VIII, Act X of 1865, such directions being imperative and not merely declaratory. *Held* that the words "in the presence of the testator," in clause 3 of section 50 of the Succession Act, may receive the same construction that has been put upon them in the English Courts, but cannot receive larger interpretation. ESAIAS *v.* GABRIEL [3 N. W., 32

3. ——— **Presence of witnesses.**—*Succession Act (X of 1865). s. 50.*—Where the testator does not himself sign the will, but some other person signs it in his presence and by his direction, then, besides this other person, there must be two witnesses who must sign the will in the presence of the testator. *In the goods of Roymoney Dossee, I. L. R., 1 Calc., 150;* and *Hurro Sundari Dabia* v. *Chunder Kant Bhuttacharjee, I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17,* cited. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF HEMLOTA DABEE [I. L. R., 9 Calc., 226

S. C. GRISH CHUNDER BANERJEE *v.* HEMLOTA DEBI . . . . . 11 C. L. R., 359

4. ——— **Attesting witness.**—*Succession Act, s. 50.—Signature made for testator by party afterwards attesting.*—The person making the signature of a will for the testator is not competent as an attesting witness of its execution under the provisions

WILL—*continued.*

2. ATTESTATION—*c*.....

Attesting witness—*continued.*

of the Succession Act. *In the goods of Bailey, 1 Curt., 914*; and *Smith* v. *Harris, 1 Rob., 262*, distinguished. IN THE GOODS OF NANABHAI SORABJI MESTRI. AVABAI v. PESTANJI NANABHAI

[11 Bom., 87

5. ——— **Mode of attestation.**—*Execution of will.—Wills Act, XXV of 1838, s. 7.*—Section 7 of the Wills Act, XXV of 1838, enacts "that no will shall be valid unless it shall be in writing and executed in manner hereinafter mentioned: (that is to say) it shall be signed at the foot or end thereof by the testator or by some other person in his presence and by his direction, and such signature shall be made or acknowledged by the testator in the presence of two or more witnesses present at the same time; and such witnesses shall subscribe the will in the presence of the testator, but no form of attestation shall be necessary." A testator signed his will in the presence of a witness who subscribed it in his presence, and some time afterwards, upon the arrival of another witness, the testator, in the joint presence of the former witness and the other subscribing witness, acknowledged his subscription at the foot of the will. The second witness then subscribed the will, and the first witness in his and the testator's presence acknowledged his subscription but did not re-subscribe. *Held* by the Judicial Committee (affirming the decision of the Supreme Court at Calcutta) that the requirements of the Act had not been sufficiently complied with; it being necessary that both witnesses should be jointly present at the same act of the testator and jointly subscribe it in his presence. CASEMENT v. FULTON

[3 Moore's I. A., 395

6. ——— **Acknowledgment of signature by testator.**—It is a sufficient acknowledgment by a testator of his signature to his will if he makes the attesting witnesses understand that the paper which they attest is his will, though they do not see him sign it, or observe any signature to the paper which they attest, provided that the Court is satisfied that the testator's signature was on the will when the witnesses attested it. MANIOKBAI v. HORMASJI BOMANJI . . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 547

7. ——— **Sufficiency of attestation.**—*Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—Probate.—Hindu Wills Act (XXI of 1870), s. 2.*—By the Succession Act, section 50, no particular form of attestation is necessary: therefore, where, to a document purporting to be her last will and testament, the name of the testatrix was written by *A.*, and the testatrix then in his presence affixed her mark, and *A.* in her presence wrote beneath it "by the pen of *A.*," and the testatrix was then identified to the Registrar, who was present, by *B.*, who had seen her affix her mark to the document, and who in her presence put his signature as having identified her,—*Held* a sufficient attestation; and probate was granted. IN THE GOODS OF ROYMONEY DOSSEE . I. L. R., 1 Calc., 150

WILL—*continued.*

2. ATTESTATION—*continued.*

Sufficiency of attestation—*continued.*

8. ——— *Grant of probate.—Signature.—1 Vict., c. 26 (Wills Act), s. 9.—Succession Act (Act X of 1865), s. 50.*—To the will of *A.*, a British-born subject and a member of the Bengal Civil Service, who died in India possessed of personal property only, a native servant of the testator, purporting to attest the will, appended words in the Persian character signifying "this is *A.'s* signature." *Held*, on an application for probate, that this was not a sufficient subscription of the will. *Semble*,—A signature by mark would be a sufficient signature to a will by a witness under the Succession Act. IN THE GOODS OF WYNNE . . 13 B. L. R., 392

9. ——— *Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—Hindu Wills Act (XXI of 1870), s. 2.*—Section 50 of the Succession Act (X of 1865) clearly intends that the two attesting witnesses to a will shall sign their names after the testator or testatrix shall have executed the will. *Bissonath Dinda* v. *Doyaram Jana, I. L. R., 5 Calc., 738*; and *Fernandez* v. *Alves, I. L. R., 3 Bom., 382*, followed. If a testatrix admits a signature on a will to be hers before a Registrar of Assurances, and is identified before him by one of the witnesses to the signature, and both the Registrar and the identifier sign their names as witnesses to the admission made,—*Held* that such an attestation would be sufficient to satisfy section 50 of Act X of 1865. *In the goods of Roymoney Dossee, I. L. R., 1 Calc., 150*, followed. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF HURRO SUNDARI DABIA. HURRO SUNDARI DABIA v. CHUNDER KANT BHUTTACHARJEE

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17: 6 C. L. R., 303

10. ——— *Attesting witness, when he should sign.—Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.*—The signatures of two or more attesting witnesses to a will required by section 50 of the Succession Act (X of 1865) must be attached to the will after, and not before, the testator's signing or affixing his mark to it. *Quære*,—Whether a will can be properly attested by a marksman. BISSONATH DINDA v. DOYARAM JANA

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 738: 5 C. L. R., 565

11. ——— *Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—Witness.—Signature.—Mark.*—The direction contained in section 50, clause 3, of the Succession Act (No. X of 1865) as to the signature of witnesses attesting an unprivileged will, is not satisfied by the witnesses affixing their marks. It is necessary for the validity of a will that the actual signature, as distinguished from a mere mark, of at least two witnesses should appear on the face of the will. FERNANDEZ v. ALVES

[I. L. R., 3 Bom., 382

12. ——— *Will attested by marksmen.—Witness.—Signature.—Mark.—Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.*—The direction contained in section 50, clause 3 of the Succession Act, as to each of the witnesses signing the will,

WILL—*continued.*

2. ATTESTATION—*continued.*

Sufficiency of attestation—*continued.*

is not satisfied by the witnesses affixing their marks, and it is necessary for the validity of a will that the signature, as distinguished from a mere mark, of at least two witnesses, should appear on the will. *Fernandez* v. *Alves, I. L. R., 8 Bom., 882,* followed; *In the goods of Wynne, 15 B. L. R., 892,* dissented from. If a testator, on presenting his will for registration, admits a signature on the will to be his before a Registrar, and is identified before him by a witness, and both the Registrar and the identifier sign their names on the will as witnesses to the admission of the testator, such attestation is sufficient to satisfy the requirements of clause 3 of section 50, Act X of 1865. *In the matter of Hurro Sundari Dabia, I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17,* followed. NITYE GOPAL SIRCAR *v.* NAGENDRA NATH MITTER . I. L. R., 11 Calc., 429

13. ——— **Repudiation of signature by attesting witness.**—The mere fact of an attesting witness to a will repudiating his signature does not invalidate a will, if it can be proved by the evidence of other witnesses of a reliable character that he has given false evidence. If he does repudiate it uncontradicted, the mere fact of there being two witnesses purporting to have witnessed the testator's signature is not a compliance with section 50 of the Succession Act. NUBO KISHORE DOSS *v.* JOY DOORGA DOSSEE . . . 22 W. R., 189

14. ——— **Forged attestations, Effect of.**—*Effect on title given under genuine portion of will.*—*C.,* under a power given to her by the will of *L.,* her husband, a Hindu, sold certain land to *R.* After the sale certain forged attestations were added to the will. In a suit brought by the heir of *L.* to recover the property sold by *C.* to *R., R.* relied on the will which was produced by other defendants in the suit. *Held* that *R.'s* title could not be affected by the forgery. PARAMMA *v.* RAMACHANDRA . [I. L. R., 7 Mad., 302

3. FORM OF WILL.

15. ——— **Buddhist will.**—*Probate.*—*Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 331.*—Probate may be granted of the will of a Buddhist made after the 1st January 1866. It is not necessary that the will of a Buddhist should be executed according to the formalities prescribed by the Succession Act. IN THE MATTER OF KOKYA DINE [2 B. L. R., A. C., 79: 10 W. R., 417

16. ——— **Testamentary document.**—*Will to have effect on contingency.*—*Probate.*—*A.,* being ill and away from home, wrote to his brother *B.* certain directions as to the management of his property, and concluded: "Brother, if I die of this sickness and *C.* survive me, then whatever property I have you will give one half to *C.,*" &c. In another and subsequent letter he wrote to *B.*: "I don't think that the illness I am now suffering from will terminate fatally; but in case I should die, then you will give to *C.* one half of my Company's papers," &c.

WILL—*continued.*

3. FORM OF WILL—*continued.*

Testamentary document—*continued.*

"I appoint you turney (executor) in all matters relating to *C.,*" &c. *A.* recovered from the fever, but died suddenly a year later, without having made any other testamentary disposition of his property. In a suit brought by *B.* as executor of *A.,* according to the tenor of these documents, against the widow of *A.,* for the purpose of having probate of them granted to him as of the will of the deceased,—*Held* (reversing the judgment of MACPHERSON, *J.*) that the documents were only intended to have a testamentary effect in the event of *A.'s* having died of the sickness he was suffering from at the time of writing, and therefore probate which had been granted by the Court at the original hearing was ordered to be brought in and cancelled. KAMENEE DOSSEE *v.* BISSONATH GHOSE . 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 6

17. ——— **Codicil.**—*Probate, Application for.*—*Document referring to will.*—After letters of administration with the will annexed had been granted, the administrator found a book containing memoranda in the testator's handwriting, made after the date of the will, and directing certain dispositions of his property. One entry referred in express terms to the will. The testator was a domiciled Scotchman. *Held,* on a petition by the administrator, asking that the memoranda might be admitted to probate, that the memoranda were not testamentary documents, and the petition was therefore dismissed. IN THE GOODS OF WEMYSS [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 721

4. NUNCUPATIVE WILL.

18. ——— **Validity of nuncupative will.**—*Roman Catholics of Portuguese extraction.*—*Intestate succession.*—*Quære,*—Whether a Roman Catholic of Portuguese extraction can, under the law current amongst members of that church in Chittagong, take under a nuncupative will; and if not, to what is a wife entitled under the law regulating succession of intestates amongst members of that church? REBEIRO *v.* REBEIRO . 3 W. R., 63

5. VALIDITY OF WILL.

19. ——— **Military testamentary document.**—*Application for probate.*—*Lapse of time.*—*Invalidity of will.*—A military testament valid in its inception may be deprived of its privilege by lapse of time. IN RE GODBY . 1 Hyde, 198

20. ——— **Will of Cutchi Memon.**—*Will disposing of ancestral property.*—Wills made by members of the Cutchi Memon community, whereby the testators disposed of property which was proved to be ancestral,—*Held* to be invalid. MAHOMED SIDICK *v.* AHMED. ABDULA HAJI ABDSATAR *v.* AHMED . . . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 1

21. ——— **Will of East Indian testatrix out of civil jurisdiction of High Court.**—*English law.*—The provisions of the English law

WILL—*continued.*

5. VALIDITY OF WILL—*continued.*

Will of East Indian testatrix out of civil jurisdiction of High Court—*continued.*

as to the administration of and succession to the estate of a British subject dying testate apply to the will of an East Indian testatrix (the illegitimate daughter of a Mahomedan woman) who resided and died without the limits of the ordinary civil jurisdiction of the High Court. HOGG *v.* GREENWAY. GREENWAY *v.* HOGG

[Cor., 97: Bourke, A. O. C., 111

22. ——— **Will procured by importunity of wife.**—*Succession Act, s. 48.—Undue influence.*—The wife of the testator persuaded him to execute a will in supersession of a former will less favourable to her, but the influence which she exerted was not such as to deprive the testator of the exercise of his judgment and volition. *Held* that the conduct of the wife did not amount to undue influence. MORISON *v.* ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF MADRAS . . . I. L. R., 7 Mad., 515

23. ——— **Proof of genuineness of will.**—*Registration and attestation.*—When a document propounded as a will is proved to have been executed and registered by the alleged testator, it is still essential to inquire into the circumstances connected with its execution and registration, when the will is inofficious and there are other suspicious matters connected with it. KISTO CHUNN MOJOOMDAR *v.* DWARKA NATH BISWAS . . 10 W. R., 32

6. INSPECTION OF WILL.

24. ——— **Practice.**—*Application by next of kin of deceased.*—The Court, on the application of one who is next of kin of a deceased Hindu, ordered a person in possession of an alleged will of the deceased to bring in and deposit the same with the officer of the Court for the purpose of being inspected, and a copy thereof taken by such applicant. IN THE GOODS of BALKRISHNA GANPATJI . 1 Bom., 114

7. RENUNCIATION BY EXECUTOR.

25. ——— **Procedure after renunciation.**—*Proof of execution of will in Court.—Administration accounts.*—A Hindu testator empowered his executor to lay out such portion of his estate as the executor might think fit towards charitable purposes, and did not dispose of the residue of the estate. The executor renounced, and no probate of the will or letters of administration, with the will annexed, was granted. In a suit by the testator's sole heiress for construction of the will and for administration, the Court allowed the execution of the will to be proved in Court, declared that it was void for uncertainty, and directed the usual administration accounts to be taken. SUROMUNGOLA DABEE *v.* MOHENDRONATH NATH . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 508

WILL—*continued.*

8. CONSTRUCTION.

26. ——— **Rules of construction.**—*Intention of testator.—Meaning of "purchase."*—The rule of construction applicable to a will is that words in general are to be taken in their ordinary and grammatical sense unless a clear intention to use them in another can be collected. If the language of a will is perfectly unambiguous and precise, it cannot be strained for the purpose of giving effect to what possibly might have been the intention of the testator, but is not expressed or implied in the terms of the testament. *G.*, by a clause in his will, gave his wife a life-interest in the house in his possession, and in those which he might afterwards "purchase." In *G.'s* lifetime his younger brother died, and *G.* thereby acquired a house by inheritance. *Held*, there being nothing to show that *G.* had used the word "purchase" in any other than its ordinary sense, that the language of the will could not be strained in order to give effect to what possibly might have been the testator's desire had he foreseen the death of his younger brother in his own lifetime, but was not expressed or implied in the terms of the testament, and that the house did not pass, under the will, to the testator's widow. GEORGE *v.* GEORGE

[6 N. W., 219

27. ——— **Effect of words excluding from inheritance.**—*Heir-at-law.*—*A.*, a Parsi inhabitant of Surat, died there on the 13th February 1870, leaving him surviving the following relations, *viz.:* A daughter *J.* (the respondent) by his first wife, who had predeceased him; his second wife Dhanbai, who lived apart from him; his third wife, who had been divorced by him, and whose son *A.* he did not recognise as his own; and his three sisters *D.*, *S.*, and *G.*, the first-named of whom had been married to *K.*, and whose son *E.* was the appellant. By his will *A.* expressly directed that neither his daughter *J.* nor his widow Dhanbai should take any share of his property, the whole of which he bequeathed to his brother *R.*, who, however, predeceased him. *Held* that the use of mere negative words, unaccompanied by any effective disposition of his property, could not exclude his daughter *J.* or his widow Dhanbai from succeeding to their shares of the estate. ERASHA KAIKHUSRU *v.* JEBBAI

[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 537

28. ——— **Commission of manager of estate how calculated.**—*Intention of testator.*—Other questions disposed of in the Court of first instance having remained undecided by the High Court, which dealt with the question of jurisdiction alone, were considered with reference to whether there had or had not been shown any good reason for reversing or varying the order of the original Court. Among those, the question whether the manager's commission was to be calculated on the gross rental of the estate, or on the income divisible among the sharers, was held to be settled by the indication of the latter mode of calculation in the will. ORDE *v.* SKINNER

[I. L. R., 3 All., 91: 7 C. L. R., 295
L. R., 7 I. A., 197

WILL—*continued*

8. CONSTRUCTION—*continued*

29 ——— **Armenian will.**—*Devise — Absolute estate — Estate for life* —An Armenian, by his will in the Bengali language made a gift to his son in the following terms "I bequeath to *A* as salamati my taloeks (which he named) and Rs 6 000 in cash He shall enjoy the profits of the aforesaid taloeks On his demise his sons shall get The mooktears shall make over to the satisfaction of *A*" *Held* that the will was to be construed according to equity and good conscience, and not according to English law The rule applicable was that unless a contrary intention appeared, the estate given was an absolute one *A* took an absolute estate under the devise BROUGHTON *v* POGOSE
[12 B L R, 74. 19 W R., 181

30 ——— **Superstitious uses, English law against.**—*Application of English law to India —Semble,*—The English rule of law which prohibits the bequest of money for superstitious uses has no application in India JUDAH *v* JUDAH
[5 B L R, 433

31. ——— **Bequest for performance of Masses** —*Validity of bequest* —A bequest in a will of a sum of money for the performance of masses in Calcutta is valid ANDREWS *v* JOAKIM
[2 B L R., O C., 148

32 ——— *Validity of bequest —Gift to superstitious uses* —A bequest by a Roman Catholic of Portuguese descent, born and domiciled in Calcutta for the performance of masses, is not a gift to superstitious use DAS MEROES *v* CONES
[2 Hyde, 65

33 ——— **Legacy to attesting witness** —*Succession Act, s 54* —A legacy to the attesting witness of a will is void under section 54 of the Succession Act, whether or not the attestation of the witness is indispensable to the validity of the will ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL *v* LAZAR
[I L. R., 4 Mad., 244

34 ——— **Legacy to minor.**—*Absolute gift —Discretion of executor.*—Where there is an absolute bequest and power to executor to delay making over the legacy at discretion,—*Held* that, on attaining majority, the legatee should at once be put in possession DE SILVA *v* DE SILVA
[1 Ind. Jur., N. S, 16 Bourke, O C, 281

35 ——— **Domestic servant.**—*Legacy, Suit for —Strang* —The testator, a Hindu, made a will in the English form and language, in which he bequeathed (*inter alia*) as follows "To each of my domestic servants in Calcutta who shall have been in my service ten years and upwards at the time of my death Rs100 for every rupee of monthly salary drawn by them from me respectively" The plaintiff had been in the service of the testator for about forty years as strang on board a steamer which the testator kept on the river, and in which he used to visit his zemindaries and perform other journeys by water The plaintiff was in the habit of daily attending at the

WILL—*continued*

8 CONSTRUCTION—*continued*

Domestic servant—*continued*

testator's residence, and there obeying any orders that might be given him If the steamer was not needed, the plaintiff used to attend at the testator's residence from early in the morning to about one in the afternoon, returning to take his meals and stop on board the steamer *Held* that he was entitled to take under the legacy as a domestic servant of the testator DHANNO SIRANG *v* UPENDRA MOHAN TAGORE . . . 8 B L. R., 244

36 ——— *Washerman —Held* on the evidence, that the plaintiff had failed to prove he was a domestic servant of the testator, so as to entitle him to take a legacy under this clause BHIM DAS *v* UPENDRA MOHAN TAGORE
[9 B L. R, Ap, 4

37 ——— **Husband and wife** —*Trustee. —Sole use and benefit* A testator made the following bequest in his will "I give, devise, and bequeath to my dearly beloved wife all the stock in trade furniture, mourning coaches, horses belonging thereto, stones, marbles, tools, implements, and materials connected with my trade and business and all my right and interest therein, and after payment of my debts and other expenses, I give, devise and bequeath the rest and residue of my outstandings and collections for her sole use and benefit, with liberty to continue and carry on such trade and business" The testator's widow married a second husband, and they carried on the business of the deceased together. They afterwards separated and she brought a suit against her husband for a declaration of her right under the will, and for an account from her husband of the profits, &c, of the business during their marriage *Held* (reversing the decision of the Court below) that on the true construction of the will, the stock in trade &c, was not bequeathed to the wife for her sole and separate use independent of any future husband; her husband did not become a trustee for her in respect of such stock in trade or the profits of the business, and he was not bound to render an account ORD *v* ORD
[4 B L R, O C., 53

38 ——— **Charitable bequest** —*Bequest for spiritual benefit —Uncertainty —Superstitious uses* —*N E J*, a Hebrew merchant domiciled in Calcutta, and possessed of both real and personal property, died, leaving a will, by which, after appointing his mother, *K E J*, and his brother, *J E J*, executrix and executor thereof, and making various bequests and provisions, he made the following bequest of the residue of his property "And what may remain after payment of the above mentioned sums, as well as the debts, shall remain under the control of my brothers, *S E J*, and *J E J*, for the purpose of defraying therewith the expenses for the year, and making charitable distributions as commanded, and giving alms for my spiritual benefit according to their judgment" *Held*, assuming that the High Court should act in conformity with the English Court of Chancery in carrying out charitable

WILL—*continued.*

8. CONSTRUCTION—*continued.*

Charitable bequest—*continued.*

bequests, that, as far as the bequest related to giving of alms for the testator's spiritual benefit, it was void for uncertainty. The "defraying expenses and making charitable distribution" were limited by the bequest to the year within which the testator died. JUDAH *v.* JUDAH . . **5 B. L. R., 433**

39. ——————— *43 Eliz., c. 4.—Mortmain, Statutes of.—Hospital.—Clause prohibiting alienation.*—A testator left his personal property to trustees in trust to pay thereout certain annuities to his son and daughter, and, after bequeathing some pecuniary legacies, devised certain immoveable property to the trustees in perpetuity in trust for the support of hospitals in the North-West Provinces, with directions that the surplus income (if any) from his personalty during the lives of his children, and on the death of either of them, his or her annuity, and on the death of both of them, the whole income of the personalty, should be applied in support of the hospitals. The will also contained a provision that the property should never be sold. In a suit for the construction, and for declaration of the trusts, of the will, it appeared that the income of the personalty was not more than sufficient, after payment of the legacies, to pay the annuities to the testator's children, and that the immoveable property was greatly in need of repairs and did not produce enough for the support of the hospitals, or to enable the trusts of the will relating thereto to be carried out. *Held* that the devise for the support of the hospitals was a valid devise, and one to which the Court would give effect, as being a charitable trust within the scope of 43 Elizabeth, Cap. 4. The Statutes of Mortmain not applying to India, the Court will carry out such a trust when the subject is immoveable property, just as it would if it had been personal property. *Held* also that, if the prohibition against sale were a valid one, the Court could not order a sale merely because it would be advantageous to the charity that the property should be sold; but *held* that the prohibition against sale was void as being repugnant to the devise, and, notwithstanding such prohibition, the trustees had power to sell, or otherwise alienate, the property for the purpose of maintaining the hospitals. BROUGHTON *v.* MERCER **[14 B. L. R., 442**

40. ——————— *Void bequests.—Uncertainty.—"Surplus."—General residuary bequest.*—A testator by his will directed as follows: "I do hereby direct my trustee to feed the really needy and poor at Gopeenathjee out of a separate expense out of my estate, to be contributed to the worship of Lukeejonardunjee, my ancestral goddess. I do direct my trustee to spend suitable sums for the annual sradhs or anniversaries of my father, mother, and grandfather, as well as of myself after my demise, for the performance of the ceremonies and the feeding of the Brahmins and the poor; to spend suitable sums for the annual contribution and gifts to the Brahmins, Pundits holding tolls for learning in the country at the time of the

Doorga Pooja; to spend suitable sums for the perusal of Mohabharat and Pooran and for the prayer of God during the month of Kartick. Should there be any surplus after the above expenditure, then I do hereby direct my trustee to spend the said surplus in the contribution towards the marriage of the daughters of the poor in my class and of the poor Brahmins, and towards the education of the sons of the poor amongst my class, and of the poor Brahmins, and other respectable castes, as my trustee will think fit to comply." *Held* that the gifts were valid testamentary bequests, and that the words "should there be any surplus after the above expenditure" created a general residuary bequest. *Held*, on appeal (affirming the decision of the Court below), that a general residuary bequest was created by the concluding words of the clause, which would absorb any of the preceding bequests, if they should happen to be invalid. *Quære*,—Whether the bequests to pundits holding *tolls*, and for the reading of the Mohabharat and Pooran and for prayer to God, were valid. DWARKANATH BYSACK *v.* RUBRODA PERSAUD BYSACK . . . **I. L. R., 4 Calc., 443**

41. ——————— *Cypres, Doctrine of.*—A testatrix bequeathed the interest of a Government promissory note to "The Calcutta Armenian Orphans' College Funds for the Relief and Enjoyment of the Poor Families, Widows, Orphans, and Schools of the Armenian Nation," to be received half-yearly by the wardens of the funds for the time being. Although there was a charity in Madras, called "The Armenian Orphans' College," there was none in Calcutta or elsewhere answering the description of the Calcutta Armenian Orphans' College, but there were two, and only two, charitable institutions in Calcutta which provided for the relief and enjoyment of the poor families, widows, orphans, and schools of the Armenian nation. Of these, one, the Church of St. Nazareth, distributed money amongst, and gave relief to, the poor families, widows, and orphans of the Armenian community; and the other, the Armenian Philanthropic Academy, educated gratuitously the poor and orphans of the same community. The note was invested by order of the Court, and there had been a large accumulation of interest thereon. The governors of the two institutions concurred in asking that each should receive a moiety of the accrued and future interest of the fund. *Held* that the *cypres* doctrine applied; that the accumulated interest should remain invested; but that the accruing interest on the accumulated fund should be paid half-yearly, one moiety to the wardens of St. Nazareth's Church, and the other to the managers of the Armenian Philanthropic Academy. LONGBOTTOM *v.* SATOOR . . . . . **1 Mad., 429**

42. ——————— *Gift.—Cypres, Doctrine of.—Lapse of legacy.—Costs.*—Under the will of *A.*, who appointed the Administrator General of Bengal his executor, *B.* had a life-interest in the residue of the testator's estate. *B.* brought a suit against the Administrator General to have it declared

WILL—*continued.*

8. CONSTRUCTION—*continued.*

Charitable bequest—*continued.*

that a pecuniary legacy, given under the will, had lapsed and fallen into the residue. Prior to the hearing it was agreed between *B.* and the Administrator General that the costs of the suit should come out of the testator's estate; this agreement was embodied in a consent order obtained on the application of the plaintiff. The suit was dismissed, and this decision was affirmed on appeal. On the question of costs,—*Held* that the estate of the testator not being before the Court, the agreement as to costs could not be carried out, and that the plaintiff must pay the costs of all parties to the suit. MALCHUS *v.* BROUGHTON . . . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 193

43. ——— *Appointment of trustee.—Failure to carry out wishes of testator.*—Where a testator had made a bequest for charitable purposes, and had made no express provision for the management of the charitable trust so created, except by directing that, in the event of his heirs failing to carry out his wishes in respect of the trust fund, the Civil Court should take the fund and the management of the trust summarily into its own hands,—*Held* that, in the absence of misconduct, the widow, and not the Collector, was the proper person to be appointed trustee. HORI DASI DABI *v.* SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA IN COUNCIL [I. L. R., 5 Calc., 228: 4 C. L. R., 77

44. ——— **Children.**—*Domicile.—Rules for interpretation.—Accretions to property from rents.*—Where a testator has an ascertained domicile, the construction of his will must depend on the law of that domicile; but if no particular law is applicable, the will is to be interpreted by principles of natural justice. In such cases, in applying the rules of Hindu, Mahomedan, or English law to the wills of Hindus, Mahomedans, or East Indian Christians respectively, their particular habits and modes of life may be looked to as a guide to the interpretation. From the context of the will and surrounding circumstances, "children" may be interpreted as illegitimate children. Where by the will the income of estates was left to devisees for life, with a gift over of the *corpus* on their death, and a portion of the income, instead of being divided among the tenants-for-life, was applied to the purchase of other estates, —*Held*, those estates did not pass to the remainder-men, but formed the absolute property of the tenants-for-life, and passed to their devisees. BARLOW *v.* ORDE . . 5 B. L. R., 1: 13 W. R., P. C., 41 [13 Moore's I. A., 277

45. ——— **Contingent gift.**—*Puttro poutradi, Meaning of.—Absolute estate.*—A Hindu, *R. L. M.*, died in 1874, leaving a widow, *K. K. D.*, a daughter's daughter, *H. D. D.*, and a brother, *R. L. M.*, with whom he was on bad terms. By his will, which was made on the 9th of August 1870, and at a time when there was no reason to abandon all expectation of his leaving male issue of his own, *B. L. M.* directed that, in the event of his dying without leaving a son, grandson, or son's grandson, his widow

WILL—*continued.*

8. CONSTRUCTION—*continued.*

Contingent gift—*continued.*

K. K. D. should take the whole of his estate *according to the shastras*, and enjoy the profits thereof for her life, and that on her death, in the event of a daughter or daughters having been born to him, then she or they, and on the death of her or them, then her or their son or sons (the testator's daughter's sons) should in like manner take and become the owner or owners of the estate *according to the shastras*; and that in the event of there being no daughter or daughter's son of the testator living at the time of the death of his widow, then his grand-daughter (daughter's daughter) *H. D. D.* should take the whole estate absolutely from generation to generation (puttro poutradi); and that, in the event of no son or daughter being born to the testator after the execution of his will, and of his grand-daughter (daughter's daughter) *H. D. D.* dying childless, or being a barren or childless widow, or otherwise disqualified, then the whole of his property should go to the Government, to be employed by it for charitable and philanthropic purposes. The main object of the testator *B. L. M.* in making this disposition of his property was admittedly to exclude *R. L. M.* from the inheritance. *Held* that *H. D. D.*, if she survived the testator's widow *K. K. D.*, and was not then a barren or childless widow or otherwise disqualified, would take, not a life-interest, but an absolute estate, to the exclusion of *R. L. M.* *Held*, also, that the words "puttro poutradi" had generally the effect of defining the estate given as an estate of inheritance, and did not by themselves necessarily denote that the estate given was to be one descendible to heirs male only. *Held*, also, that in case of *H. D. D.* not surviving *K. K. D.*, or of her being at the time of the death of *K. K. D.* for any reason disqualified from taking the estate, then upon the death of *K. K. D.* the gift to the Government of the reversion to the exclusion of *R. L. M.* would take effect, and was a good and valid gift. HORI DASI DABI *v.* SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA IN COUNCIL [I. L. R., 5 Calc., 228: 4 C. L. R., 77

46. ——— *Gift to children on their attaining 21.*—Where words of contingency form part of the description of the class of persons to take, as in the case of a gift to those "who shall attain the age of 21," the words must receive their natural construction, and no estate vests in any one till he attains the prescribed age. In such a case there must be something in the context pointing to a different construction, or something in the will inconsistent with the literal construction, to justify a Court in adopting any but the literal construction. In the case of words of contingency occurring in the description of the class of persons to take, a mere gift over is not sufficient to change their meaning. BALLIN *v.* BALLIN [I. L. R., 7 Calc., 218: 8 C. L. R., 28

47. ——— *Period of distribution.—Survivorship.*—*A.*, a Hindu, made the following provisions by his will: "I have two sons living, *B.* and *C.*; they, and an infant son of my

WILL—*continued.*

8. CONSTRUCTION—*continued.*

Contingent gift—*continued.*

oldest son, the late *D.*, and my wife *E.* (four persons), shall succeed to the whole of my estate: these four persons will receive equal shares. If any of these four persons happen to die, which God avert, the survivor of them will receive this estate in equal shares; but if there be a son or a grandson surviving as the heir and representative of the party dying, such survivor shall succeed to his share: if there be a daughter or grand-daughter in the female line surviving, such survivor shall receive a share of the property; the expense of the marriage of such female child only shall be defrayed out of the estate:" and also provided that "so long as my infant grandson shall not have attained his majority, the whole of my estate shall remain undivided." All the persons named survived the testator. *Held* that they took absolute interests in the shares named, and that the estate became divisible on the infant son of *D.* attaining majority. ELLOKASSEE DOSSEE *v.* DURPONARAIN BYSACK . I. L. R., 5 Calc., 59

48. ——————— *Vesting of estate in executor.—Directions to executors, Effect of.*—A testatrix, after appointing certain persons to be executors of her will in respect of the whole of her property, directed that they should "take possession of the whole of her property, and keep the same under their protection;" that they should pay out of her estate the charges of interment, &c.; that they should repair four houses annually out of the income thereof, having let them out to hire, and, after paying taxes and ground-rent, divide the proceeds every three months between the testatrix's two sons; that the executors should not give the rents to the creditor, because the bequest of the income to the sons was "not an entire gift to them, but a mere provision for their support." The will proceeded as follows: "Should my son *M.* happen to die before the decease of his wife, then I give the share of *M.* to his widow *H. M.*, &c., and after the death of *H. M.*, should my son *M.* not have left any legitimate male child, then I give the above share to my son *J.*, &c. After the death of *M.* (and his wife), should he have left legitimate male children, such male children shall in the same manner receive the income once in every three months till they attain the age of 21 years, and then the amount of their share shall be divided into equal portions, and each of them having become the owner of his portion shall receive the same from my executors; but if *H. M.* die before *M.*, and *M.* die without having had legitimate male children, then I give and bequeath the shares of my son *M.* to my son *J.* as a provision for his support, &c. If my sons *M.* and *J.* die without having male issue, and if their wives—that is to say, *H. M.* and *C. J.*—die without having male issue begotten by my sons, then I give my garden, &c., actually and entirely to the sons and daughter of my daughter *G.*, begotten by her first husband, *G. A.*,—that is, to *A. M.*, *B.* and *N.*, or in case of their death, to their sons and daughters lawfully begotten, or to such of them as shall survive at the time. My said garden shall be divided into equal shares, and each of them having received his share in equal proportion as a legacy from me, shall enjoy the same." *M.*, and *H. M.* his wife, died without having left any children; *J.* died in the lifetime of *M. A.*, and one of the sons of *G.* died without leaving children in the lifetime of *H. M.* *Held, firstly*, that the direction to the executors to lease the property indefinitely and out of the income to make repairs, pay taxes and ground-rent, and apply the rent to the maintenance of the sons, was sufficient to vest the legal estate in the trustees. *Secondly*,—that such estate was an estate in fee. *Thirdly*,—that the children of *G.* took equitable estates in remainder in fee, defeasible in case of their death in the lifetime of the first taker for life, in which event there was substituted a devise to their children in fee. *Fourthly*,—that the children of *G.* took as tenants in common, and not as joint tenants, and therefore, that as there was nothing from which cross remainders between the children of *G.* could be implied, the share of *A.* reverted to the heir-at-law of the testatrix. *Fifthly*,—that wherever any estate in fee is devised to a trustee in trust without any limitation of the estate of the *cestui que trust*, the latter takes the beneficial estate in fee. SHIRCORE *v.* ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL . . . . . 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 50

49. ——————— *Gift to children.*—A testator, after providing for payment of debts, &c., directed that the whole of his property should be disposed of and the proceeds placed in the Oriental Bank, with power to the executors to invest the same in mortgages, and to leave existing mortgages untouched. The will then contained this direction: "That a monthly stipend of R15 be paid to my daughter *E. S.* for her own benefit, and R20 for the benefit of her two children (during their minority), and in the event of the demise of any of the said children occurring, the sum of R10 to cease rateably as being the allowance for each child; that on each of the children attaining their age of majority, I request that my executors pay to each of them severally and proportionably the full amount of interest accruing from my estate (the existing provision for my two daughters to continue during their natural life), and after their demise the said interest in like manner to revert to their heir or heirs in succession." *Held, firstly*,—that a direction to pay a monthly stipend to *E. S.* and *M. D.* respectively was simply a charge upon the testator's estate to pay the said stipends to *E. S.* and *M. D.* for their respective lives. *Secondly*,—that *E. S.* and *M. D.* were severally entitled during the lifetime and minority of their children to a monthly stipend of R10 in respect of each child, such payment to cease upon the death of each child or on its attaining majority, till which latter event the said children took no interest under the will. *Thirdly*,—each child upon attaining its majority took a share of the residue, proportioned to the number of children then living, and a contingent proportionate interest in the shares of each of the other children which would become vested on the death of each one dying under twenty. *Fourthly*,—

WILL—*continued.*

8. CONSTRUCTION—*continued.*

Contingent gift—*continued.*

the limitation of the gift "during their natural life and after their demise, the said interest in like manner to revert to their heir or heirs in succession," did not prevent the children from taking their several shares absolutely under the will. *Semble.*—The rule in *Wild's case, 6 Rep., 17,* is not applicable to personalty. AGNEW *v.* MATHEWS

[1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 74: 1 Mad., 17

50. ——— **Absolute gift.**—*Restriction on legatees.—Enjoyment.—Residuary estate.*—Where a testator leaves a legacy absolutely as regards his estate, but restricts the mode of the legatee's enjoyment to secure certain objects for the benefit of the legatee, and where such objects fail, the absolute gift prevails, and does not fall into the residue of the testator's estate. Therefore, where a testator gave legacies to certain of his grandsons and granddaughters, but nevertheless declared that such legacies should be held upon trust (as to the legacies to the grandsons) to invest the same and to apply the income during the minority of the legatee towards his maintenance and education, and upon his attaining the age of 21 years to pay him the income during his lifetime, and after his death to pay such income unto the widow of such grandson, and after the death of both of them to transfer the capital unto the child or children of such grandson as being a son or sons should attain the age of 21 years, or being a daughter should attain that age or marry, in equal shares as tenants-in-common; and where the testator especially provided as to the legacy left to one grandson that upon the happening of certain events it should be paid to his other grandchildren, —*Held* that the gift to the grandsons were absolute, and that the subsequent provisions were simply a qualification of the gifts for the benefit of the legatees; and that, therefore, upon the death of one of the grandsons unmarried, his legal representative was entitled to the legacy left to him. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL *v.* APCAR

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 553

51. ——— **Proviso for cesser.**—*Condition.—Conditional limitation.—Breach of condition.—Residence.*—*P. C. T.*, a Hindu, died leaving an only son, *G. M. T.*, and having first made his will in the English form, whereby, after declaring that he had already made sufficient provision for his son *G. M. T.*, and that *G. M. T.* was to take nothing under the will, he gave all his property to trustees, upon trust, as to the personal estate, "to collect and get in the same" with certain specified exceptions, and thereout to pay his funeral expenses and debts, "and such legacies as were not by the will postponed in payment;" and to invest the residue, and out of the annual proceeds of such investments, so far as the same would extend, to pay certain annuities and postponed legacies as they became due, and to pay such surplus income as might from time to time exist to the person entitled to the beneficial enjoyment of the real property or the surplus rents or profits thereof, with an ultimate trust, after all the

WILL—*continued.*

8. CONSTRUCTION—*continued.*

Proviso for cesser—*continued.*

legacies and annuities had been satisfied, for the person or persons entitled to the beneficial enjoyment of the real property. And as to the realty, upon trust, until all the debt and legacies had been paid, and all the annuities had fallen in, to receive the rents, and thereout in the first instance to pay the unsatisfied legacies and annuities, and to pay the surplus rents to the person or persons for the time being to whom the real estate (subject to the devise to the trustees) was given by the will: And as a first charge on the net income of the real property (after satisfying the expenses of establishments), the testator directed the trustees to pay R30,000 per annum to the person for the time being entitled to the beneficial enjoyment of the real property or the surplus income thereof. He further directed them, after all the annuities and legacies had fallen in and been satisfied, to convey the real estate, so far as the then condition of circumstances would permit, unto and to the use of the person entitled, under the limitations contained in the will, to the beneficial interest therein. The first limitation was to *J. M. T.* for life. At the end of the limitations of the real estate, the will contained the following proviso: "Provided always, and I hereby declare that, if any devisee, or tenant-for-life . . . . shall permit or suffer the said property so devised and limited as aforesaid, or any portion thereof, to be sold for arrears of Government revenue, or shall, after attaining his majority, cease to keep up in a due state of repair, and to use as his residence in Calcutta, the said baithakhana house and premises where I now reside, and make use of and enjoy my library, horses, carriages, farmyard, furniture in the said house, and jewels, gold and silver plates, &c., in my use or possession, then, and immediately thereupon, the devise and limitations in this my will contained and declared shall wholly cease and determine as to him, and the person next in succession to him under the limitations aforesaid shall at once succeed," as if the person committing a breach of such conditions had then died. The testator died in August 1868. In December 1868 his son *G. M. T.* instituted a suit for the purpose of avoiding and setting aside the trusts and limitations of the will, except so far as they were for payment of debts, legacies, and annuities. This suit was dismissed on the 1st of April 1869. *G. M. T.* appealed, and on the 1st September 1869 the Appeal Court declared him to be absolutely entitled to the personalty, subject to the trusts for payment of debts, legacies, and annuities, and entitled, on the death of the defendant *J. M. T.*, the tenant-for-life, to the realty. *J. M. T.* and others claiming under the limitations in the will, appealed to the Privy Council; and *G. M. T.* filed a cross-appeal, in which he claimed that the gift of the life-estate to *J. M. T.* ought to be declared void. By the order of Her Majesty in Council, which was dated the 9th August 1872, and which arrived in Calcutta in September 1872, all the limitations after the limitation to *J. M. T.* were declared void and inoperative, and it was further declared that *J. M. T.* was beneficially entitled to a life-interest in the realty, and also in the personalty directed to be conveyed or converted into a fund,

WILL—*continued.*

8. CONSTRUCTION—*continued.*

Proviso for cesser—*continued.*

subject to the payments in the will directed to be made, and to the provisions in the will not thereby declared to be void; and also, until the legacies and annuities fell in and were satisfied, to R2,500 a month out of the net rents of the realty, and also to the surplus rents of the same and the surplus interest of the personalty; and that, upon the failure or determination of *J. M. T.'s* life-interest, *G. M. T.* was entitled as heir-at-law to the real and personal property. The proviso for cessor was not among the provisions of the will which were declared void. *J. M. T.* was one of the trustees under the will. After the testator's death the business of the estate continued, as theretofore, to be carried on in a portion of the baithakhana house: and *J. M. T.*, who had a family dwelling-house of his own, used, up to November 1869, to attend at the baithakhana daily for the transaction of business. In November 1869 *J. M. T.* quarrelled with his co-trustees, and ceased to go to the baithakhana. In April 1870 he demanded from the other trustees that possession of the baitakhana should be given to him, and upon their insisting on the right to occupy the portion of the baithakana used for the purpose of the estate business, sued them for possession. In July 1870 a decree for possession was made in his favour. The trustees appealed, and ultimately, in July 1871, the Appellate Court made a declaration that it was consistent with the trusts of the will that *J. M. T.* should enter into possession; and the trustees were ordered to deliver to him possession of the baithakhana, except the portion of the ground-floor occupied for the business of the estate. After obtaining his decree, *J. M. T.* found that the baithakhana was in a very bad state of repair, and called upon the trustees to have proper repairs executed. On their refusal to do so, except under direction of the Court, *J. M. T.*, in December 1871, brought a suit to compel them to effect necessary repairs: the trustees contested the suit, but in March 1872 a decree was passed directing them to make the repairs. Subsequently repairs were begun, which were completed in October 1872. In a suit by *G. M. T.*, alleging that *J. M. T.* had committed a breach of one or more of the conditions contained in the proviso for cessor, by not residing in the baitakhana house and by neglecting to keep it in repair, and had thereby incurred a forfeiture of which the plaintiff was entitled to take advantage,—*Held*, that the clause containing the provisions for cesser and shifting of the estate was intended to come into operation as a whole and not piecemeal, and, therefore, that until *J. M. T.* came into full beneficial enjoyment of the life-estate given him by the will, or at all events until he became entitled to the surplus rents, the time had not arrived when that clause was intended to apply. *Held*, further, that, assuming that such time had arrived, the action of the plaintiff in contesting the right of *J. M. T.*, under the will, to occupy the baitakhana house and premises, debarred him from claiming that effect should be given to the clause of forfeiture for non-residence. Even apart from any action by the plaintiff, the conduct of the trustees in disputing the right of *J. M. T.* to possession of a

WILL—*continued.*

8. CONSTRUCTION—*continued.*

Proviso for cesser—*continued.*

portion of the baitakhana house, and refusing to repair, would suspend the operation of the forfeiture clause until October 1872, inasmuch as it prevented him until that time from obtaining such a possession as was contemplated by the forfeiture clause. The forfeiture clause was not brought into operation by the judgment and order of the Judicial Committee of 9th August 1872. *Held*, on the evidence, that *J. M. T.* had complied with the conditions as to residence. GANENDRO MOHUN TAGORE *v.* JUTTENDRO MOHUN TAGORE . . . . . 12 B. L. R., 1

On appeal to the Privy Council,—*Held* that as the clause provided for the cesser and determination of the life-interest of *J. M. T.* in the event of the conditions in it not being performed, his interest, notwithstanding the conditions over had been declared to be void, would cease when that event happened. *Held* that the clause could not be construed so as virtually to defeat it, and therefore it must be held to be operative before the trusts of the will were at an end, and *J. M. T.'s* estate perfected by a conveyance. But held on the evidence that there had been no breach of the condition contained in the clause. The delay in not residing before October 1872 was not unreasonable. Where, in a condition of residence, no manner or period of residence is prescribed, but residence simply, and without definition, exclusive residence is not supposed to be meant; in such cases the occasional use of a house and keeping an establishment in it with the intention of again using it as a residence, is a sufficient compliance with the condition. GANENDRO MOHUN TAGORE *v.* JUTTENDRO MOHUN TAGORE . 14 B. L. R., 60: 22 W. R., 377 [L. R., 1 I. A., 387

52. ——— **Power of appointment.**—*Execution of power.—Marriage settlement.*—A testator, after giving certain specific bequests, disposed of his property as follows: "I request that the interest of my property, invested in Government securities, be disposed of from time to time as follows:—*First*,—to my dear son *A.* two shares; to my two dear daughters *B.* and *C.* each one share; the interest to be paid to them quarterly or half yearly as may be most convenient. *Second*,—I request that these shares shall not be transferable during their lifetime. *Third*,—at the demise of any of my children without issue, any such share to be divided in the above proportion to the survivors. *Fourth*,—in the event of issue, they may bequeath their share to any one of their children they may select, subject to the above conditions." *C.* married in 1874, and, by a settlement made in consideration of the marriage, her share was assumed to be assigned to trustees upon certain trusts. In 1875 *C.* and her husband made the following joint will: "We do hereby constitute the survivor of us to be executor or executrix in our estate and sole heir of the same, together with the child or children begotten in our marriage." *C.* died shortly after the execution of the above will leaving one child. In a suit by *C.'s* husband and the trustees of the settlement of 1874 for the administra-

WILL—*continued.*

8. CONSTRUCTION—*continued.*

Power of appointment—*continued.*

tion of the testator's estate and for the construction of his will,—*Held* that the settlement of 1874 could not operate upon *C.'s* share in consequence of the direction of the testator, that it should not be transferred in the lifetime of *C.*, and that the plaintiffs took nothing under the settlement. *Held*, also, that the power of appointment given by the will of the testator had not been properly exercised by the joint will, and that the child of *C.* took the whole of her mother's share. FEIRSEN *v.* SIMPSON

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 514

53. ——— Gift in remainder expectant on termination of estate for life.—*Devise of talook.—The Oudh Estates Act, I of 1869.—Registration.—Acceleration of remainder on failure of life-estate.*—A gift in remainder expectant on the termination of an estate for life, does not fail, but is accelerated, by reason of the gift of such prior life estate not taking effect. The principle of the decision in *Lainson* v. *Lainson, 5 De Gex, M. & G., 754: 18 Beav., 1*, held applicable to a will made by a Hindu testator. A talookdar, whose talook was entered in the third of the six lists prepared in conformity with section 8 of "The Oudh Estates Act," I of 1869, devised his estate by a will, which was not registered, to one of his wives for life, and after her death to his younger son by her. *Held*, as a consequence of the above rule, that it was not necessary to decide, upon a claim by the elder son as heir-at-law, whether the widow, as a person "who would have succeeded to an interest" in the talook, if the talookdar had died intestate, would have been within the exception, in reference to the effect of non-registration of will contained in section 13 of the same Act. AJUDHIA BAKSH *v.* RAKMAN KUAR

[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 462: L. R. 11 I. A., 1

54. ——— Vesting of interest.—*Divesting.—Executory trust.—H.*, by his will, bequeathed to his daughter *A. M. H.* "on her attaining her 18th year, the sum of Company's R10,000, with any interest that may have accrued thereon, if she marries, to be settled upon herself and children solely; should she die unmarried, her money to be equally divided between her brothers; and if either of them die, the whole of deceased's money to go to the survivor." *Held* that *A. M. H.* (who had attained her 18th year) had a vested interest in the legacy subject to be divested upon her dying at any time unmarried, and further, subject to an executory trust in favour of her children, in the event of her marrying at any time, and therefore that she was not entitled to have the capital of the legacy paid to her. IN THE MATTER OF THE WILL OF HUNTER. IN THE MATTER OF ACT XXVIII OF 1866 . . . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 420

55. ——— *Interest not subject to be divested.*—A testator nominated *A. B.*, &c., "to be executors and trustees of this my will, and eventually guardians of my dear children and estate, until such time as my children shall severally attain

WILL—*continued.*

8. CONSTRUCTION—*continued.*

Vesting of interest—*continued.*

the age of 25 years, when I request the aforementioned gentlemen (my wife being dead), their heirs or executors, will divide, or cause to be divided, into shares agreeably to the number of our surviving children, giving to the boys two shares and to each of the girls one, or to their lawful issue or husband, the whole of my estate, each child to be put in possession of his or her share when they shall respectively attain the age of 25 years; and whenever either of my daughters shall enter into the holy state of matrimony, I request that a proper settlement may be made upon her and her children, and in the event of either of my children departing this life without leaving husband, wife, or lineal descendants, his or her share shall be divided equally amongst our other children or their lawful issue; but on no account shall any division of the principal of my estate take place till after the death of their mother. *Held* (reversing the decision of PEARS, *J.*) that after the mother's death, each child took a vested interest on attaining the age of 25 years,—that is, at the time when possession is to be given,—and not an interest subject to be divested in the event of the child dying without husband, wife, or lawful issue. TAYLOR *v.* PHILLOTT. PHILLOTT *v.* MORRIS

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 375

56. ——— *Divesting clause.—Gift over on legatee's death "prior to division" of the estate.—Gift not void for uncertainty.—Act X of 1865 (Succession Act) ss. 75, 91, 106.*—A testator directed his trustees and executors to hold his real and personal estate upon trust, to sell the real estate either together or in parcels, and either by public auction or private contract, and to call in, sell, and convert into money such part of his personal estate as should not consist of money, and to divide the said moneys, and the ready money which might belong to such estate, amongst the several persons named in the schedule to the will, and to pay the same to them in the shares and proportions therein mentioned, as and when they should respectively attain the age of 21 years in the case of males, or, in the case of females, when they should respectively attain that age or marry. He directed that, in the event of any of such persons dying in his life-time, or at any time thereafter "prior to the said division," leaving lawful issue, such issue should be entitled to the share which their deceased parent would have taken. One of the legatees who had attained the age of 21 years at the testator's death, died five months after him, before payment of the legacy, and left lawful issue. *Held* that the legacy vested in interest in the legatee at the testator's death, but that the legatee having died prior to the division of the estate, it became divested; that the "division" of the testator's estate meant, in this will, the ascertainment of the amounts allottable to the share of each legatee, after the conversion of the estate into money; and that the gift over in favour of the legatee's issue was not void for uncertainty, but took effect. *Johnson* v. *Crook, L. R., 12 Ch. D., 639; Collison* v. *Barber, L. R., 12*

WILL—*continued.*

8. CONSTRUCTION—*continued.*

Vesting of interest—*continued.*

Ch. D., 834; Bubb v. *Padwick, L. R., 13 Ch. D., 517; Chaston* v. *Seago, L. R., 18 Ch. D., 218; Spencer* v. *Duckworth, L. R., 18 Ch. D., 634,* referred to. BACHMAN *v.* BACHMAN. I. L. R., 6 All., 583

57. ——— *Bequest to orphan in Military Orphan Asylum.—Direction to trustees.*—A special case was stated for the opinion of the Court, as to whether *S. M.* took a vested interest in the sum of R6,325, under the following clause of will: "I paid to the M. O. Society R6,000 for *S. M.*, and invested R6,826, the interest on which I directed to be paid to the mother of *S. M.* Now I direct my trustees, after the death of the mother of *S. M.*, to realise the latter sum and pay it to the M. O. Society, for *S. M.*, in terms of the regulations of the Society." *Held* that the bequest was *primâ facie* for the benefit of the daughter. That, having regard to the regulations of the M. O. Society, the bequest was a gift for the benefit of the Society generally. That if the will had given the mother the interest for life, instead of saying it had been given, it would have vested. That the interest vested in *S. M.* at once, and formed part of her estate. IN THE MATTER OF THE GOODS OF COLLINS . . . Bourke, O. C., 104

58. ——— Trust.—*Creation of trust.—Uncertainty.*—A Hindu by his will, after appointing certain persons executors for the purpose of managing his estate after his death, gave them the following directions: "You should give my brothers, their wives and children, according to your wishes." *Held* that no trust was created by these words. KUMARASAMI *v.* SUBBARAYA

[I. L. R., 9 Mad., 325

59. ——— *Validity of trust.—Direction as to debt due from attesting witness.*—Where a testator directed that a debt due to him by an attesting witness of his will should not be claimed, demanded, or enforced, but that his wish was that the sum should be specially devoted to the education of the children of such attesting witness,—*Held* that there was no release of the debt or legacy to the attesting witness, but a valid trust in favour of the children. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL *v.* LAZAR

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 244

60. ——— *Precatory trust.*—*W. R.*, by his will, made the following gift to his wife, *M. A. R.*: "I give to my dearly beloved wife the whole of my property both real and personal (described) feeling confident that she will act justly to our children in dividing the same when no longer required by her." *M. A. R.*, by her will, left to their children certain portions of such property, leaving to their child *A. C. R.*, amongst other things, certain banking shares. These shares were attached in the execution of a decree against the executors to her estate as belonging to such estate. *Held* by the High Court that she took under her husband's will a life interest only in his property with a power of appointment in favour of the children, and that the shares belonged to *A. C. R.*, and could not be sold in execution of the decree as part of the estate of *M. A. R.* RAYNOR *v.* MUSSOORIE BANK

[I. L. R., 2 All., 55

Held by the Privy Council, reversing the decision of the High Court, that the widow took an absolute interest in the property, and that no trust for the benefit of the children was created. In order to create a precatory trust the words must be such that the Court finds them to be imperative on the first taker of the property; and the subject of the gift over must be well defined and certain. MUSSOORIE BANK *v.* RAYNOR

[I. L. R., 4 All., 500: L. R., 9 I. A., 70

61. ——— Expression of wish.—*Bequest.*—A testatrix, entitled to Government notes under a gift, coupled with the condition that she was to receive only the interest during her life, and that, after her death, the notes were to be held in trust for all her heirs, gave the following directions to *S. K.*, whom she made her principal legatee: "I direct *S. K.*, under this will, to pay every month R644-1-7 (being one third of R1,933-5-4, my monthly pay allowed by the Government for notes, which are deposited) to my dependants and personal servants, as detailed below. . . . . Be it known, that the expenses of the imambarra, &c., will be continued for ever; and also the pay of *G. K.* and *M. A.* will be defrayed for ever, *i.e.*, generation after generation. The rest of the servants will be paid for life only." *Held* that these words constituted a bequest, and were not merely the expression of a wish. Also, that the bequest was not one of legacies payable out of a specified sum and no other; the statement that the monthly payments to be made amounted to one third of the sum received monthly by the testatrix, not limiting the source of the legacies. SULEMAN KADR *v.* DORAB ALI KHAN

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 1: L. R., 8 I. A., 117

62. ——— Will confirming trust-deed.—*Construction of deed.—Forfeiture.*—*S.*, being desirous of securing and settling his property, executed a deed of trust whereby he conveyed and assigned all his real and personal property unto trustees, upon (among others) the following trusts: that immediately after his death the trustees should convey, assign, transfer, and make over all the premises mentioned in the deed unto such person or persons as *S.* should, by his last will, attested by two witnesses, direct and appoint, and in default of such direction and appointment, unto the next heirs of *S.*, their heirs and assigns, for ever, and in the meantime to pay the Government revenue out of the rents and profits of the real property, and employ the residue and accumulations as well as the produce and accumulations of the personal property "in such a manner as may procure the daily worship of the household idols" mentioned in the deed, and pay what they considered a fair and proper sum to the wives and family of *S.* living at his death and residing in the family dwelling-house. By his will, dated

WILL—*continued.*

8. CONSTRUCTION—*continued.*

Will confirming trust deed—*continued.*

the same day as the deed, *S.* declared that he ratified and confirmed the deed, subject to such provisions as were contained in his will, which were that the trustees should not charge the fund for the maintenance of those who should not live in the family dwelling-house and for the appointment of new trustees. *Held*, first, upon the authority of *Wilson* v. *Pigott*, 2 *Ves. Jun.*, that the powers of the trustees (under the deed) did not cease on the death of *S.*, and that the directions in the will, although not strictly within the words, amounted to a good appointment in equity, so that instead of the trustees of the deed conveying the property on the death of *S.* to his son, they should contrive to hold subject to the trusts of the deed as modified by the will. *Secondly*,—that the words "in such a manner as may procure the daily worship," &c., meant in such manner as shall be sufficient to procure the daily worship, &c., and that the trustees were not authorised to apply such portion of the trust funds as they in their discretion might think fit, but only such portion as was reasonably sufficient for those purposes. General debts and liabilities are not charges against property forfeited upon conviction of felony. HURRYDOSS BONERJEE v. HOGG (ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL) . . . 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 86

63. ——— **Gift of residue to a class.**—*Postponement of period of distribution.—Vested interests.—Succession Act, ss. 98, 101, 102.*—A testator gave his residuary estate to trustees upon trust to invest and "to pay, transfer, or divide the same unto, between, or among the children of my brothers *A.* and *B.* respectively, to be paid, transferred to, and divided among them in the proportions and at the times hereinafter mentioned,—that is to say, the share of each and every son of my said two brothers shall be double that of each and every daughter, and the shares of each son shall be paid to him or them respectively upon his or their attaining the age of 21 years, and the share of each daughter to be paid to her or them on her or their respectively attaining that age or previously marrying, with benefit of survivorship between and among all the said sons and daughters." The testator left him surviving his two brothers and a sister, *C. A.* and *B.* both died before the eldest of the testator's nephews or nieces attained 21 or married. In a suit instituted by the widow and executrix of *A.* to have it declared that the above bequests were void under sections 101 and 102 of the Succession Act, and the testator died intestate as to the residue of his estate, and that she as executrix of *A.* was entitled to receive a one-third share of the said estate, and the accumulations thereof,—*Held* that the legatees took vested interests subject to be divested on death before the contingencies mentioned in the will happened; and that the period of distribution alone was postponed; and that the bequests were valid. *Semble*,—Section 98 of the Succession Act applies only to vested interests. MASHYK v. FERGUSSON . . . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304

64. ——— *Postponement of period of distribution.—After-born child, Share of*

WILL—*continued.*

8. CONSTRUCTION—*continued.*

Gift of residue to a class—*continued.*

—Lapsed bequest.—Succession Act, s. 98.—A testator gave his residuary estate to trustees upon trust, to invest and "to pay, transfer, or divide the same unto, between, or among the children of my brothers *A.* and *B.* respectively, to be paid, transferred to, and divided among them in the proportions and at the times hereinafter mentioned,—that is to say, the share of each and every son of my said two brothers shall be double that of each and every daughter, and the shares of each son shall be paid to him or them respectively upon his or their attaining the age of 21 years, and the shares of each daughter to be paid to her or them on her or their respectively attaining that age or previously marrying, with benefit of survivorship between and among all the said sons and daughters." After the death of the testator, and before the period of distribution arrived, a son was born to *B.*, and one of the sons of *A.* died intestate and unmarried. *Held* that the after-born son of *B.* was entitled to a double portion as one of the male children of the testator's brother, and that the share of the son of *A.* was divisible among the surviving male and female children in equal shares. MASHYK v. FERGUSSON . . . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 670

65. ——— **Residuary estate of moveable and immoveable property.**—*Claims to, against executors and trustees.*—If a testator appoints persons to be his executors and trustees, and directs them to do certain acts which can only be done by the owners of his residuary estate, the trustees will take that estate, though there be no express devise to them. TREEPOORASOONDERY DOSSEE v. DEBENDRONATH TAGORE . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 45

WILLS ACT (XXV OF 1838).

——— s. 3.—*Application of Act.—East Indians domiciled out of the jurisdiction of High Court.*—The Wills Act, XXV of 1838, applied to the wills of East Indians, whether domiciled within or beyond the testamentary jurisdiction of the High Court. HOGG v. GREENWAY . . 2 Hyde, 3

Held, on appeal, the Wills Act only applied where the High Court had an exclusive jurisdiction analogous to that of the Ecclesiastical Court in England. It did not apply in the case of a person who was not entitled by birth or domicile to have applied to him the actual law of England. Therefore it did not apply to the case of an East Indian testatrix (the illegitimate daughter of a Mahomedan woman) who resided and died outside the limits of the ordinary civil jurisdiction of the Court. GREENWAY v. HOGG. HOGG v. GREENWAY

[Bourke, A. O. C., 111: Cor., 97

WINDOWS OR DOORS, SUIT TO CLOSE—

See CASES UNDER JURISDICTION OR CIVIL COURT—PRIVACY, INVASION OF—

See TRESPASS—GENERAL CASES.

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 411

WITHDRAWAL FROM PROSECUTION.

See PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
[I. L. R., 8 All., 291

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.

See APPELLATE COURT—OBJECTION TAKEN FOR FIRST TIME ON APPEAL—SPECIAL CASES—WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT
[I. L. R., 3 All., 526

See COMPROMISE—REMEDY ON NON PERFORMANCE OF COMPROMISE
[Agra, F B, 1

See DIVORCE ACT, s 35
[9 B. L. R., Ap, 6

See PRACTICE—CIVIL CASES—AFFIDAVITS
[3 Bom., O. C., 55

See PRACTICE—CIVIL CASES—WITHDRAWAL OF SUITS OR APPEALS Cor., 67
[I. L R., 7 Bom., 287

See RELINQUISHMENT OR OMISSION TO SUE FOR PORTION OF CLAIM
[I. L. R., 1 All., 324

It was formerly held in some cases that the power to allow withdrawal of suits given by the Civil Procedure Code (section 97 of Act VIII of 1859) was not applicable to suits under the Rent Act, 1859.

DOYAL CHUNDER GHOSE *v* DWARKANATH MISSER
[Marsh, 148: W R., F. B., 47
1 Ind. Jur., O. S, 41 1 Hay, 347

MODHOO SOODUN MULICK *v.* PANCH COWRIE MULICK . . . 7 W. R., 302

BEER CHUNDER JOOBRAJ *v* TARINEE CHURN ROY . . . . . 11 W. R., 46

RAMANATH DUTT *v* JOYKISHEN MOOKERJEE
[11 W. R., 3

In other cases rent suits were held not to be excluded RAM CHARAN BYSAK *v* HARVEY
[2 B. L. R., S. N., 11 · 10 W. R., 373

WOOMANATH ROY CHOWDHRY *v* SREENATH SINGH . . . . . 15 W. R, 260

Since the Bengal Rent Act, 1869, however, the procedure in rent suits has been, and is now, the same as in any other suits

1. ——— **Sanction for fresh suit.**—*Act VIII of 1859, s 97.*—Civil Courts had no power to sanction the bringing of a fresh suit, except under section 97, Act VIII of 1859 ARGOON SINGH *v* HUREE HUR SINGH . . 14 W R., 472

ANUND MOHUN PAUL CHOWDHRY *v* RAM KISHEN PAUL CHOWDHRY GOBIND CHUNDER PAUL CHOWDHRY *v* RAMKISHEN PAUL CHOWDHRY
[2 W. R., 297

2 ——— **Leave to one of several co plaintiffs to withdraw**—*Consent of co plaintiff*—*Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s 373*—The proviso in the third clause of section 373 of the Code of Civil Procedure does not deprive the Court of power to permit one of several co plaintiffs to withdraw unconditionally from a suit even though his co plaintiffs do not consent to his withdrawal MOHAMAYA CHOWDHRAIN *v.* DURGA CHURN SHAHA
[9 C. L. R, 332

3. ——— **Withdrawal with consent of defendant.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.*—*Right to bring fresh suit*—A plaintiff filed a plaint for an account to be taken The plaintiff withdrew the plaint, without the permission of the Court to withdraw from the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh suit This was done for the purpose of a submission to arbitration under a deed mutually executed between the plaintiff and defendant The deed was not acted upon *Held*, reversing the decision of MACPHERSON, *J*, that the plaintiff was not debarred by section 97 of Act VIII of 1859 from bringing a fresh suit to establish the agreement for reference to arbitration, and also for the account, which was a relief to which he was entitled The section only applied to cases where the plaintiff withdraws from the suit without the consent of the defendant JUGGOBUNDO CHATTERJEE *v* WATSON & Co . . . Bourke, A. O C., 162

S C. in Court below Bourke, O. C., 250

4 ——— **Withdrawal of claim under s 230, Act VIII of 1859.**—*Right to bring subsequent suit*—In a suit to recover the possession of land of which the plaintiff had been dispossessed in execution of a decree against the first defendant, it appeared that the plaintiff had applied within one month from the date of his dispossession to the Court from which the process of execution had issued under section 230 of the Code of Civil Procedure, setting up his title, and it was numbered and registered as a suit under the section. Before the claim came on for hearing, the plaintiff was allowed by the Court to withdraw the proceeding, with liberty to bring a fresh suit upon the claim set up The plaintiff subsequently brought the present suit *Held* that the former proceeding was a suit within the meaning of section 97 of the Code, and liberty having been given on its withdrawal before decree to bring another suit, the present suit was well brought. SUBBARAMIEN *v* PONNUSAWMY CHETTY . . 5 Mad., 298

5. ——— **Substitution of assignee of plaintiff's rights and allowing him to withdraw.**—*Power of Court*—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s 97*—Where the Court allowed the purchaser of the plaintiff's rights to be substituted for him and then permitted him to withdraw the suit,—*Held* it was an order not within section 97, and one which the Court had no power to make JUDOOPUTTEE CHATTERJEE *v* CHUNDER KANT BHUTTACHARJEE
[9 W. R., 309

6 ——— **Withdrawal after issue joined**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s 97*—*Failure to support claim*—A plaintiff cannot be permitted to withdraw with liberty to bring a fresh suit after issue has been joined and he has failed to

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT—Withdrawal after issue joined—*continued*

produce evidence to support his claim MUDDUN RAM DOSS v ISRAIL ALI CHOWDHRY [21 W R, 291

7 ——— *Discretion of Court—Power to interfere with discretion on appeal*—When, after issue joined, the plaintiff was permitted to withdraw his suit, with liberty to sue again,—*Held* that to allow withdrawal and fresh suit at that stage was a discretion to be exercised with great caution, but the discretion having been exercised it could not be interfered with on appeal by the Judge OMESH CHUNDER MUNDUL v THAKOOR DOSS MOOKERJEE . . 23 W. R, 345

8 ——— **Withdrawal before final judgment**—*Discretion of Court—Power to interfere with discretion on appeal—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s 97*—A lower Appellate Court had no power to interfere with the discretion of a first Court under section 97, Act VIII of 1859, in allowing a plaintiff, whether before or after the settlement of issues and before or after the acceptance of evidence upon the issues at any time before final judgment, to withdraw from the suit with liberty to bring a fresh suit in the same matter RAM KANYE DUTT v HARDO CHUNDER MOJOOMDAR . 17 W R, 229

9 ——— Section 97 of Act VIII of 1859, applied only to cases where a plaintiff, before final judgment, is permitted by the Court to withdraw from his suit, with liberty to sue again ANUND MOHUN PAUL CHOWDHRY v RAM KISHEN PAUL CHOWDHRY GOBIND CHUNDER PAUL CHOWDHRY v RAMKISHEN PAUL CHOWDHRY [2 W R., 297

10 ——— **Leave to bring fresh suit**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s 97*—Permission under section 97 to bring a fresh suit could not be given after final judgment has been pronounced SHEORAJ NUNDUN SINGH v RAJCOOMAR BABOO DEO NUNDUN SINGH . 24 W R, 23

11 ——— *Failure to prove case—Dismissal of suit—Procedure*—The power to dismiss a suit, with liberty to bring a fresh one for the same matter, is limited to cases where the suit fails by reason of some point of form such liberty should not be given where after issue joined, the plaintiff has failed to make out his case WATSON v COLLECTOR OF RAJSHAYE [3 B. L R., P C., 48 12 W R, P C, 43 13 Moore's I A, 160

See MONA BIBEE v OOMED ALI [16 W R., 276

12 ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s 373—Ground for allowing withdrawal—Quære.*—Whether under section 373 of Act X of 1877, the Court ought to permit the plaintiff to withdraw from the suit with liberty to bring a fresh suit on the ground that the defence to the suit was such that the suit must fail if proceeded with ZAHUR UN NISSA v KHUDA YAR KHAN [I L, R, 3 All, 528

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT—*continued*

13. ——— **Ground for allowing withdrawal.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s 97.—Inability to prove claim*—Where a plaintiff asked for permission to withdraw his plaint saying that it would be out of his power to adduce the evidence, which he pointed out as existing in certain records, within the period fixed by the Court for hearing the case, the Court was competent, under section 97, Act VIII of 1859, to grant him permission to withdraw the suit, POREESH NARAIN ROY v SURUT SOONDUREE DEBEE . . . 16 W R, 100

14 ——— *Insufficiency of evidence*—In a suit by one of several sharers of certain mortgaged property for contribution on account of payments made by the plaintiff to prevent foreclosure, the Court on appeal thought the plaintiff might have produced better evidence but his claim being a good one, it allowed him to withdraw the suit with leave to bring a fresh one KHATOON KOONWAR v HURDOOT NARAIN SINGH [20 W. R, 163

15 ——— **Compromise of suit on appeal.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s 97—Subsequent suit on compromise*—A suit founded on a compromise, which was entered into when special appeal was withdrawn, was not barred by section 97, Act VIII of 1859 as it was not a suit for the same matter within the meaning of that section, but if the compromise was duly made by the parties thereto, and if its terms have been broken, a party to it is entitled to maintain a suit to enforce it GOLAB SINGH v CHEDA SINGH . . 3 Agra, 135

16 ——— **Private agreement**—*Restoration of suit*—Where a plaintiff filed a petition withdrawing his claim unconditionally the suit should be at once struck off the file If the defendant had entered into some private agreement and did not fulfil the same it might give a new right of action to the plaintiff for enforcing that agreement, but was no reason for setting aside the petition for withdrawal of the suit as null and void SHUMSHER BAHADOOR v MAHOMED ALI BEG 2 Agra, 158

17. ——— **Suit for possession**—*Subsequent suit for rent—Civil Procedure Code 1859, s 97*—There was nothing in section 97, Act VIII of 1859, to prevent a suitor from instituting a claim for possession, and afterwards bringing one for rent. RAMKISHORE MUNDLE v MOOBAD MUNDLE [W R, 1864, Act X, 67

18 ——— **Suit for possession after release from attachment in execution in another suit.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s 97*—A claim to attached property made under Act VIII of 1859, section 246 was dismissed, and the claimant, in the year 1875, instituted a regular suit against the decree holder under the provisions of that section The decree holder then released the property from attachment, and the plaintiff withdrew his suit The same property was afterwards, in the year 1878, attached again and sold in execution of the same decree *Held* that a subsequent suit for possession

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Suit for possession after release from attachment in execution in another suit—*continued.*

of the property against the purchaser at the execution sale was not barred under section 97 of Act VIII of 1859. *Eshan Chunder Singh* v. *Shama Churn Bhutto, 11 Moore's I. A., 7*, cited. MUKHODA SOONDURY DASI v. RAM CHUEN KARMOKAR [I. L. R., 8 Calc., 871

19. ——— **Suit withdrawn under Regulation law.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.*—A plaintiff without leave of the Court withdrew from a suit in 1853. He filed a fresh suit in the same cause of action in 1866. *Held* that he was not debarred from doing so, as the provisions of section 97 of the Code of Civil Procedure did not apply VINAYAK JOSHI v. JANARDAN JOSHI [7 Bom., A. C., 23

20. ——— **Nature of fresh suit.**—*Fresh suit filed upon a different title in existence at date of former suit.—Civil Procedure Code (XIV of 1882), s. 373.—Practice.*—The plaintiffs, who were an English joint stock company registered under the English Companies Act of 1862, sued the defendant as a past member of the bank, upon a balance order of the High Court of Justice in England, dated 24th February 1881, to recover the sum of £678-3. In August 1882, the plaintiffs had filed a previous suit against the defendant to recover the said sum of £678-3. That suit was based upon a call order, dated 11th November 1880, which it sought to enforce. By an order made in that suit on 7th April 1883, the plaintiffs were permitted to withdraw it, with liberty to bring a fresh suit for the same cause of action. The present suit to enforce a balance order, dated the 24th February 1881, was filed on 11th February 1885. It was contended on behalf of the defendant that the present suit being based upon an order which was in existence at the date of the previous suit, the plaintiffs could not now sue upon it; that the plaintiffs could not abandon the title upon which they claimed in the first suit, and set up a different title in the second. *Held* that the plaintiffs were not precluded from bringing the second suit upon the balance order, and that the suit was properly framed. LONDON, BOMBAY, AND MEDITERRANEAN BANK, v. BURJORJI SORABJI LYWALLA . . . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 346

21. ——— **Withdrawal of suit by next friend.**—*Suit on behalf of a minor.—Civil Procedure Code (Act VIII of 1859), s. 97.—Fraud.*—Where a Court has reason to believe that a suit is lawfully brought by a party who has a right to bring it on behalf of a minor, any withdrawal of the suit by that party would have precisely the same effect as the withdrawal of a suit by a person of full age. But where a person acting for a minor has fraudulently withdrawn the minor's suit under section 97 of Act VIII of 1859, without obtaining leave to bring a fresh suit, and by such withdrawal an absolute, statutory prohibition is imposed on the minor from bringing a fresh suit, it is open to the minor to relieve himself from the consequences of the fraud in one of three ways,—*viz.*, (1) by an application to the Court in the suit in which the withdrawal took place; (2) by a regular suit to set aside the judgment founded upon the withdrawal; or (3) by bringing a fresh suit for the same purpose, and setting up the fraud as an answer to the statutory bar. ESHAN CHUNDRA SAHOOR v. NUNDAMONI DASSEE . . . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 357

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal of suit by next friend—*continued.*

22. ——— **Withdrawal wrongly allowed.**—*Arbitration.—High Court's powers of revision.—Civil Procedure Code, ss. 2, 373, 588, 622.—Practice.—Notice to show cause.—Amendment of plaint.*—An order under section 373 of the Civil Procedure Code permitting the withdrawal of a suit, with liberty to bring a fresh one, not being made appealable by section 588, or being a "decree" within the meaning of section 2, is not appealable. When the plaintiff in a suit applies for permission to withdraw it, with liberty to bring a fresh one, such permission should not be granted without the defendant being served with notice to show cause why such permission should not be granted. *L.*, claiming as heir to *H.*, a deceased Hindu, sued *K.*, his widow, and *G.*, a minor represented by his mother and guardian *B.*, to have the adoption by *K.* of *G.* set aside and for certain other reliefs. The matters in difference in the suit were referred to arbitration, and an award was made in favour of the defendants. The plaintiff preferred objections to the award. Before these were disposed of *K.* died. The Court of first instance subsequently allowed the objections and set aside the award. The minor defendant then applied to the High Court for revision of the order setting aside the award. This application was rejected, on the ground that the order might be impugned on appeal from the decree in the suit. The plaintiff subsequently applied for permission to withdraw the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh one, on the ground that, *K.* having died, he was entitled to possession of the immoveable property left by *H.* This permission was granted. The minor defendant applied to the High Court for revision. *Held* that it might have been a very good ground for allowing the plaintiff to withdraw the suit that *K.*, the adoptive mother of the minor defendant, had died *pendente lite*, had no arbitration proceedings taken place in the course of the suit; but when the parties had referred their differences to arbitration, and an award had been made in favour of the defendant, and had been set aside, and an application for revision of the order setting it aside had been refused, on the ground that the matter could be made the subject of appeal from the final decree in the suit, permission to withdraw the suit and bring a fresh one should not have been granted. The minor defendant might be seriously prejudiced by such a course, and the suit had not abated against him by the death of *K.*, while on the other hand a decree in the suit, if in his favour, would decide the litigation, and if in favour of the plaintiff, would not prevent his bringing a suit for possession on the separate cause of action which had arisen. *Stahlschmidt* v. *Walford, L. R., 4 Q. B. D., 217*, referred to. The High Court refused to allow the plaint in the suit to be amended, by the addition

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal wrongly allowed—*continued.*

of a claim for possession of the property left by *H.* KALIAN SING *v.* LEKHRAJ SINGH [I. L. R., 6 All., 211

23. ——— **Costs.**—*Power to award costs.—Withdrawal without leave.*—The High Court has no power, under the Civil Procedure Code, to award costs to the defendant when the plaintiff withdraws not having asked leave to do so with liberty to bring another suit for the same matter. BRASS *v.* TIRUVENGADA PILLAI . . . . . 1 Mad., 247

24. ——— *Power to award costs.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.*—Where the plaintiff applied, under section 97, Act VIII of 1859, to be allowed to withdraw from the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh suit for the same matter, the Court refused the application. Another application for leave, simply to withdraw from the suit, was granted, the Court dismissing the suit with costs. *Brass* v. *Tiruvengada Pallai 1 Mad., 247,* dissented from. HOSSAINI BIBI *v.* PERI KHANUM [1 B. L. R., O. C., 45

25. ——— *Form of order.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.*—A plaintiff who is permitted to withdraw from his suit under section 97, Act VIII of 1859, must pay the defendant's costs. On such withdrawal, the proper order to be recorded is not one of dismissal, but one simply permitting the plaintiff to withdraw the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh suit for the same matter on payment of costs or otherwise as the Court may direct. DOUCETT *v.* WISE . . 1 W. R., 322

26. ——— *Payment of costs not made condition precedent to fresh suit.—Power to stay suit.—A.,* having brought an action against *B.,* was allowed to withdraw, with leave to bring a fresh suit, and was also ordered to pay the costs. *Held,* that the payment of the costs not having in terms been made a condition precedent to bringing a fresh suit, the Court had no power to stay proceedings in the fresh suit, on the ground that the costs had not been paid. CHITTO *v.* MUZZUR HOSSAIN . [2 Hyde, 212

27. ——— *Small Cause Court.*—A Small Cause Court is not bound to allow a plaintiff to withdraw a suit, on the ground that he has received payment from one of the defendants in the suit, that attempt to withdraw having been made after the plaintiff had succeeded in getting a judgment against two defendants which had been set aside by the Court on various grounds, and a new trial ordered. In such a case the Court may permit the withdrawal of the suit upon the terms of plaintiff paying the first defendant's costs. RAMA CHANDRA SHASTRY *v.* PAPU AIYAN . 3 Mad., 27

28. ——— **Withdrawal of appeal.**—*Power of Appellate Court.*—An Appellate Court has authority to permit an appeal to be withdrawn. RAM PERSHAD OJHA *v.* BHUROSA KOONWAR [9 W. R., 328

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal of appeal—*continued.*

29. ——— *Permission of Court.—Notice of withdrawal.*—An appellant has no right to withdraw an appeal, which has been regularly registered, without the permission of the Court. Where the appellant had given notice of the withdrawal of the appeal before the day of the hearing, and notice of withdrawal had been given to the respondent, but not until costs had been incurred,—*Held* that the appellant was not at liberty to withdraw the appeal, and the Court ordered that the appeal be set down for hearing. KAREEM BEE *v.* BEEGAM BEE . . . . . 3 Mad., 368

30. ——— **Withdrawal of suit on appeal.**—*Act XXIII of 1861, s. 37.—Power of Appellate Court.*—Under section 37, Act XXIII of 1861, the High Court, upon appeal from a Judge sitting in the exercise of the ordinary original jurisdiction of the Court, had power, before pronouncing final judgment in appeal, to permit the plaintiff to withdraw from the suit with liberty to bring a fresh suit. GREGORY *v.* DOOLEY CHAND [14 W. R., O. C., 17

31. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—Exercise by Appellate Court of powers under s. 37, Act XXIII of 1861.*—Where application was made for leave to withdraw a suit, with leave to bring a fresh one, it being contended that the fact of a notarial protest on inland bills, and of their being in the hands of the holder without signature, was proof of dishonour; and further, that defendant being a Hindu, there was no necessity for notice of dishonour,—the Appellate Court, reversing the decision of the Court below, granted the application under the power given by section 37, Act XXIII of 1861. BOMBAY CITY BANK *v.* MOONJEE HURRYDOSS . . . . Bourke, A. O. C., 99

32. ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.*—The plaintiff having sued, and the issues having been laid down as though the suit was for separate possession, the decree of the lower Court for joint possession was set aside, with leave to plaintiff, under Act VIII of 1859, section 97, to bring a fresh suit for joint possession. JUGGUNNATH DEB NAZIR *v.* MOHEBOOLLAH [17 W. R., 164

33. ——— *Appellate Court, Powers of.—Discretion, Exercise of.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 373, 582.*—Where, on appeal from a decree dismissing a suit, the Appellate Court, being of opinion that the plaint was informally drawn and its allegations regarding the cause of action not sufficiently specific, gave the plaintiff permission, under section 373 of the Civil Procedure Code, to withdraw the suit, with leave to institute a fresh one, —*Held, per* STRAIGHT, *J.,* that with reference to the terms of section 582 of the Civil Procedure Code, the Appellate Court had power to avail itself of the provisions of section 373, and therefore had a discretion to make the order allowing the plaintiff to withdraw the suit and institute a fresh one. *Gregory* v. *Dooley Chand, 14 W. R., O. C., 17;* and *Khatoon Koonwar* v.

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal of suit on appeal—*continued.*

Hurdoot Narain Singh, 20 W. R., 163, referred to. Also *per* STRAIGHT, *J.*, that it could not be said that the Appellate Court in this case had exercised its discretion so unreasonably or erroneously as to compel the interference of the High Court with it in appeal. *Per* TYRRELL, *J.*, that it might be taken that the Appellate Court, though not so stating in express terms, meant to set aside, and did set aside, the decree of the Court of first instance, regarding it as a decree which could not have been rightly made and must be set aside, by reason of the radical defect in the plaint, the basis of the suit and the decree; and that, in this view, there was no legal objection to the exercise by the Appellate Court of the discretionary power of Chapter XXII of the Code. GANGA RAM *v.* DATA RAM . . I. L. R., 8 All., 82

34. ——— **Applications for execution of decree.**—*Civil Procedure Code, s. 374.—Withdrawal of application.*—The rule laid down in section 374 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882)—that where a suit is withdrawn with leave to bring a fresh suit, the plaintiff shall be bound by the law of limitation in the same manner as if the first suit had not been brought—does not apply to applications for execution. *Pirjade* v. *Pirjade, I. L. R., 6 Bom., 681,* dissented from. TARACHAND MEGHJI *v.* KASHINATH TRIMBAK [I. L. R., 10 Bom., 62

35. ——— **Revocation of withdrawal.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.*—A plaintiff who has withdrawn from his suit is at liberty to rescind the act of withdrawal at any time before final judgment. Section 97 of the Civil Procedure Code was held to be inapplicable to a case where the plaintiff rescinded after two days, a petition he had presented of withdrawal from his claim. The last clause of that section contemplated cases in which the withdrawal is not revoked. RAMBHUROS LALL *v.* GOPEE BEEBEE . . 6 N. W., 66

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES.

	Col.
1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS	6230
2. SUMMONING WITNESSES	6231
3. EXPENSES OF WITNESSES	6235
4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES	6236
5. SWEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES	6238
6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES	6238
(*a*) GENERALLY	6238
(*b*) CROSS-EXAMINATION	6243
7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE	6244
8. PRIVILEGES OF WITNESSES	6246

See AMEEN . 1 B. L. R., S. N., 1, 2
[9 W. R., 83
11 W. R., 423
17 W. R., 262
19 W. R., 14

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

See ARREST—CIVIL ARREST.
[14 B. L. R., Ap., 13
I. L. R., 1 Calc., 78
4 Mad., 145

See CASES UNDER CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 177 (1859, s. 170).

See CASES UNDER COMMISSION—CIVIL CASES.

See DIVORCE ACT, s. 52.
[3 B. L. R., Ap., 6

See CASES UNDER EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS—DEPOSITIONS.

See CASES UNDER EVIDENCE ACT, 1872, s. 33.

See CASES UNDER WILL—ATTESTATION.

See WILL—CONSTRUCTION.
[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 244

———**Refusal of party to attend as—**

See SUPERINTENDENCE OF HIGH COURT—CHARTER ACT, s. 15—CIVIL CASES.
[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 716

1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS.

1. ——— **Arbitrator.**—*Suit after award, is set aside.*—If an arbitration award is set aside and the matter is tried as a suit before the Court, the arbitrator cannot be examined as a witness as to the grounds of his decision, but only to prove any admission which may have been made before him in the course of the arbitration and which might be material evidence. NILMONEE BOSE *v.* MOHIMA CHUNDER DUTT . . . 17 W. R., 516

2. ——— **Attorney.**—*Advocate.—Competent witness.*—An attorney who has acted as advocate for one of the parties, and pleaded his case in Court, can be examined as a witness in the case. RAMPAL SHAW *v.* BISWANATH MANDAL [5 B. L. R., Ap., 28

3. ——— **Magistrate.**—*Suit for malicious prosecution.—Magistrate who held preliminary enquiry into criminal charge.*—Magistrates are not incapacitated to give evidence of matters which have come before them in the course of a preliminary enquiry into a criminal charge. *Held* that in a suit for a malicious prosecution the defendant had a right to the evidence of the Subordinate Magistrate, who held a preliminary enquiry into a charge of forgery preferred by the defendant against the plaintiff. RAMASAMI AYYAN *v.* RAMU MUPAN . 3 Mad., 372

4. ——— **Munsif.**—*Witness as to facts judicially before him.*—A Munsif ought not to be called on to depose as to what took place before him in the course of a trial which he was conducting as Munsif, and he is entitled to exemption. ANONYMOUS . . . . . 6 Mad., Ap., 42

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued*

2 SUMMONING WITNESSES

5. ——— **Duty of Court.**—*Securing attendance of witnesses*—Every Court is bound to render all reasonable assistance to a party to enforce the attendance of his witnesses NILMONEE BANERJEE *v.* SHURBO MONGOLA DEBEE . 6 W. R., 14

6 ——— *Application for summons to cite witnesses*—A party is entitled at any stage of the case before hearing to apply for a summons to cite witnesses without reference to the number of such applications which he may have previously made, and it is the duty of the Court to comply with such application, if any time be left before the hearing of the cause ANURUP CHANDRA MUKHOPADHYA *v.* HIRAMANI DASI . 3 B. L. R., Ap., 38

S. C. OOGOROOP CHUNDER MOOKERJEE *v.* HEERA MONEE DOSSEE . . 11 W. R., 418

HARI DAS BAISAKH *v.* MOAZAM HOSSEIN [8 B. L. R., Ap., 16: 15 W. R., 447

7. ——— **Power to summon witnesses**—*Settlement of issues*—Act VIII of 1859 conferred no authority upon a Judge to issue summonses to witnesses to attend on the settlement of issues. The written statements must be prepared with great care and deliberation, so as to dispense altogether with parol evidence at the settlement of issues ANUND CHUNDER BANERJEE *v.* WOOMESH CHUNDER ROY [1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15. 1 Hyde, 147

The subsequent Codes, however, expressly provide for the attendance of witnesses at a settlement of issues see section 159, Civil Procedure Code, 1882.

8. ——— **Discretion of Court to summon witnesses**—A Judge's discretion in not compelling the attendance of witnesses named by one of the parties, must be exercised on reasonable grounds distinctly stated in the judgment OZEER MAHOMED *v.* BYDNATH DOSS CHOWDHRY [5 W. R., Act X, 6

HARA CHAND PORAMANICK *v.* KRISHTO MONEE GIREE . . . . . 1 W. R., 298

MATUNGUNEE DABEA *v.* KALEE DABEA [2 W. R., 4

See NEEM CHUND DEY *v.* ANUND COOMAR ROY CHOWDHRY . 7 W. R., 147

9 ——— *Selection of witnesses by Court*—It is not right for the lower Court to select five out of twenty witnesses tendered for examination It is the bounden duty of the Judge to receive all the evidence tendered unless the object of summoning a large number of witnesses clearly appears to be to impede the adjudication of the case, or otherwise to obstruct the ends of justice RAMDHAN MANDAL *v.* RAJBALLAB PARAMANIK . [6 B. L. R., Ap., 10

10. ——— *Power to refuse to summon witness*—A Court has no power to refuse to summon witnesses when expressly requested by a party to do so unless the witnesses are required to be summoned in such a manner, or in such numbers, as clearly indicates a vexatious desire of obstructing the course of justice RAM PHUL PANDEY *v.* WAHED ALI KHAN . . . . . 14 W R., 66

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

2 SUMMONING WITNESSES—*continued.*

Discretion of Court to summon witnesses—*continued.*

11 ——— *Refusal to summon witnesses*—*Reasons for refusing application to enforce attendance*—It is not incumbent on a Court to give detailed legal reasons for its refusal to comply with an application to enforce the attendance of a party to a suit as a witness SIDDHESSUREE DEBIA *v.* DENOBUNDHOO KOONDOO 6 W R., 65

12. ——— **Preliminaries to summoning witness.**—*Materiality of evidence*—Before the Court makes an order compelling the attendance of a party to the suit, it must be satisfied that his evidence will be material GOPAL CHUNDER HAZRAH *v.* MOHESH CHUNDER BANERJEE 21 W. R., 44

13. ——— **Summoning plaintiff as witness.**—*Reasons for summons*—*Duty of Court.*—*Materiality of evidence*—A Court is bound, before summoning a plaintiff to give evidence, to record the reasons of its being satisfied that the evidence of the plaintiff is essential to the defendant's case Where, however, the Court does not give reasons for being satisfied that the presence of the plaintiff is necessary, it does not follow that the defendants had failed to satisfy the Court that there was sufficient ground for the application. MAHOOND ADIT *v.* SUTTOOGHUN ADIT [17 W. R., 507

14. ——— **Application to summon witnesses.**—*Witnesses declared unnecessary by Court.*—Where on a case coming on for hearing before a Court to which it had been remanded, the Judge observed that the evidence of witnesses would be unnecessary, the declaration was held to have sufficiently justified the plaintiffs in making no further application for a summons on their witnesses. RAM JEWUN SINGH *v.* RADHA PERSHAD SINGH [16 W. R., 109

15. ——— *Undertaking to bring witnesses*—*Practice*—On the 12th October 1879 the plaintiff applied to the Court for subpœnas to his witnesses The Court refused to grant them, on the ground that the plaintiff had himself originally undertaken to bring his witnesses (The Court had fixed the 28th October 1879 for the final hearing of the plaintiff's case) *Held* that the plaintiff's failure to bring his witnesses was no sufficient reason for depriving him (the plaintiff) of his right to have subpœnas issued, if he found himself unable to bring his witnesses or to detain them till they could be examined, although it might possibly be, under certain circumstances, a reason for not waiting for them, if the plaintiff's case had been in other respects finished before they could be examined PANDURANG ANPAI *v.* KESHAVJI JADHAVJI . . . . . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 742

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

2 SUMMONING WITNESSES—*continued*

16 ——— **Time for summoning witnesses** —*Duty of Court* —The Civil Procedure Code neither expressly nor impliedly declares that witnesses must be summoned before the day fixed for the first hearing of the suit So long as the hearing merely stands adjourned, and so long as the party who wishes to summon witnesses has not closed his case, the Court is bound to summon them, unless it appears that the application is made so late that the witnesses cannot be reasonably expected to attend in time to be examined before that party s case closes INDRO CHUNDER BADOO v DUNLOP

[9 W R, 530

17 ——— **Ground for refusal to summon witnesses** —*Obligation of Court to assist party —Delay in giving names of intended witnesses* —Where an appellant delayed to give in the names of his witnesses, but would yet have been within reasonable time to secure their attendance on the day of hearing if summonses had been sent through different peons by the railway,—*Held* that the lower Appellate Court was bound to have directed the issue of the summonses and to have given every assistance to the party asking for them, all additional expenses being paid by such party PEARER MOHUN MOOKERJEE v MADHUB CHUNDER GHOSAL

[9 W R., 489

18 ——— *Omission of proper steps to obtain attendance of witnesses* — Where some of the witnesses (defendants) in a suit had been examined, and plaintiff petitioned the Court to have the remaining defendants examined as witnesses, he was held not to have taken the necessary steps required by law to enforce their attendance, because he did not make any special application to the Court, or show sufficient grounds in support of his petition RAM TUHUL THAKOOR v CODIT NARAIN SINGH . . . 12 W. R., 36

19 ——— *Procedure under Dekkan Agriculturists Act, XVII of 1879, s 7 — Right of defendant to call witnesses* —The plaintiff sued, under section 3, clause (*w*) of Act XVII of 1879, for money due on a bond dated the 8th September 1877 The defendant, though duly summoned, did not appear on the day fixed in the summons, which was for the final disposal of the suit The Court therefore, proceeded with it *ex parte* The defendant, being subsequently summoned and examined as a witness under section 7 of the Act, admitted the bond sued upon, but pleaded part payment of the plaintiff s claim He then applied to the Court that his witnesses should be summoned and that their evidence be taken in support of his allegation The Subordinate Judge was of opinion that he (defendant) was not entitled to offer the evidence On his referring the case to the High Court,—*Held* that it was his duty to summon the witnesses named by the defendant DULICHAND v DHONDI

[I. L. R., 5 Bom, 184

20 ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s 137 —Summons to produce documents*

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued*

2 SUMMONING WITNESSES—*continued.*

Ground for refusal to summon witnesses —*continued*

—In all cases in which parties apply for a summons to compel the attendance of witnesses, or a summons to produce documents, or apply to have a document sent for under section 137 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the Court ought not to refuse such application merely because in its opinion the witnesses cannot be present, or the documents cannot be produced, before the termination of the trial KRISHNA CHURN BAISACK v PROTAB CHUNDER SURMA

[I. L. R., 7 Calc, 560

21 ——— **Ground for adjournment of suit** —*Delay in making application to summon witnesses —Discretion of Court* —If a party applies for summons to witnesses so late that he cannot bring the witnesses on the day of hearing it still remains in the discretion of the Court to decide whether or no the case should be adjourned A Munsif is bound under the Procedure Code to issue summonses to witnesses when asked for ABDOOL KADIR v ABIN MIRDHA . 24 W R., 260

22 ——— *Civil Procedure Code, 1882, ss 159 and 167 —Summoning witnesses —Delay in serving summonses* —Under section 159 of the Code of Civil Procedure (Act XIV of 1882), parties are entitled to summonses for their witnesses at any time before the final hearing, but if there has been delay and want of diligence in consequence of which witnesses, not having been served in good time, are not present, the Court may properly refuse to adjourn the hearing KAJI AHMED v KAJI MAHAMAD

[I. L R, 9 Bom, 308

23 ——— **Power to dismiss suit** —*Dismissal of suit on ground of there not being time after filing of list to summon witnesses —Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s 149 ; 1877 s 159* —The 20th of March 1877 having been fixed for the final hearing of a suit, the plaintiff, on the 17th of March and the defendant on the 19th, filed their lists of witnesses to be summoned Both lists were ordered merely to be put up with the record When the suit came on for hearing it was dismissed on the ground that, when the plaintiff filed his list there was not sufficient time left to summon the witnesses *Held* that the Judge was not justified in dismissing the suit on this ground, unless he found that it would have been absolutely impossible to secure the attendance of the witnesses had the summonses been granted on the 17th instant Section 149 of Act VIII of 1859, and section 159 of Act X of 1877 discussed RAJENDRO NARAIN NEOGI v KUMUD NARAIN BHUP

[3 C L. R., 569

24. ——— **Issue of fresh subpœnas to witnesses** —*Re-hearing of ex parte case under s 119, Civil Procedure Code, 1859 —Quære* —Where, either under section 119, Code of Civil Procedure, or in the exercise of a power of review a suit is restored to its original position, is the plaintiff bound to obtain and issue fresh subpœnas? BISHEN PERSHAD SINGH v RUTTUN GEER CHELA 20 W R, 3

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—*continued.*

25. ——— **Service of subpœnas.**—*Liability for non-service.*—After a list of witnesses has been filed and the tullubana paid, the Court's officers, not the applicant, are responsible for the service and return of notice. MUSSITEE KHANUM v. HOOKOOM BISEE . . . 15 W. R., 88

26. ——— **Form of summons.**—*Omission to state place of attendance.*—A summons should state the place of attendance. ANONYMOUS [7 Mad., Ap., 14

ANONYMOUS . . . 7 Mad., Ap., 43

See Section 163, Civil Procedure Code, 1882.

27. ——— **Summoning public officer as a witness.**—In fixing the time for the attendance of a public officer as a witness, or in granting an adjournment for that purpose the fullest consideration must be given to the exigencies of the public duties of the officer summoned. ANONYMOUS [6 Mad., Ap., 6

28. ——— **Issue of warrant on non-attendance of witness.**—*Warrant of arrest for witnesses not attending.—Verbal order to attend.*—A verbal order of the Court to witnesses requiring them to attend on a future day would not justify the issuing of a warrant for the apprehension of such witnesses in case they failed to attend in obedience to such verbal order. VENKATAPPAH v. PAPAMMAH . . . . . 5 Mad., 132

ANONYMOUS CASE . . 6 Mad., Ap., 10

See, however, ANONYMOUS CASE [5 Mad., Ap., 15

3. EXPENSES OF WITNESSES.

29. ——— **Right to be paid expenses.**—*Omission to apply for expenses before giving evidence.*—A witness is entitled to be paid his expenses by the party at whose instance he has been summoned, although he has not applied for them before giving his evidence. LONDON, BOMBAY, AND MEDITERRANEAN BANK, v. MAHOMED IBRAHIM PARKAR . . . . . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 619

30. ——— *Suitable expenses.*—*Persons of rank and wealth.*—People of rank and wealth when summoned as witnesses to a distance from their place of residence, are entitled to travelling and other expenses suitable to their circumstances. CHUNDER SEKHUR DEB v. JADUB CHUNDER SETT . . . . . 19 W. R., 78

31. ——— **Payment of expenses into Court.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 151.*—Under section 151, Act VIII of 1859 (extended to Revenue Courts by section 67, Act X of 1859), the defendant was not bound to pay into Court the costs of summoning and defraying the expenses of the witnesses, until the Court had fixed what was reasonable. MOHUN MUNDUR v. BEIJ BROOKUN SINGH [9 W. R., 128

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

3. EXPENSES OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

32. ——— **Power to order evidence to be taken.**—*Omission to tender expenses.—Evidence of tender of expenses.*—Where there was no proof that a defaulting witness's expenses were tendered to him by the party at whose instance he was summoned, the Court on appeal declined to order that witness's evidence to be taken or to take it itself. ISHEN CHUNDER SEN v. ONATH NATH DEB. COWELL v. ISHEN CHUNDER SEN . 18 W. R., 16

33. ——— **Amount of expenses.**—*Compensation for loss of time.*—Act VIII of 1859 made no provision for compensation to witnesses for loss of time. NAWAB NAZIM OF BENGAL v. PROSONO NARAIN DEB . . . . . 2 Hyde, 236

34. ——— **Provision for expenses.**—*Suit for expenses.—Cause of action.*—No action for the expenses of a witness will lie. Explanation of the manner of providing for the payment of such expenses. DE SARAN v. HURRISH CHUNDER BISWAS [5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 6

4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES.

35. ——— **Non-attendance of witnesses on summons.**—*Duty of parties.—Commission.*—When witnesses do not appear after service of summons, it is the duty of the party requiring their evidence, and not of the Court, to move for further measures to be taken to secure their attendance; and when a Commission is issued for the examination of witnesses, the Court must be moved to wait for the return. NUND MOHUN CHOWDHRY v. GOLUCK NATH NEOGHE . . . . . 11 W. R., 99

36. ——— *Duty of parties.*—*Issue of attachment.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 168.*—Where witnesses do not appear on summons, it is for the parties to move the Court, not for the Court to proceed, *suo motu*, to further the production of the witnesses, though the Court may issue attachment under section 168, Code of Civil Procedure, if it is shown that the witnesses are absconding or keeping out of the way. BACHMAN v. LALL BEHAREE PANDEY . . . . . 13 W. R., 324

37. ——— **Order for arrest of witness.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 168.—Proceedings against witnesses absent who have been summoned.*—Where a lower Appellate Court, by the terms of its order on a petition for the apprehension of witnesses, under section 168, Code of Civil Procedure, undertook to see that proper orders should be passed, it was bound to pass such orders as might, in its judicial discretion, be necessary under that section. MOHADEB SEAHA v. SHEO SUHOY GEER . 9 W. R., 359

38. ——— *Witness making default in appearing.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 168.—Ground for issue of warrant.*—Section 168 of the Civil Procedure Code required that there should appear to the Court to be satisfactory ground for believing that the default on the part of witnesses summoned to give evidence was without lawful excuse

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES—*continued.*

Order for arrest of witness—*continued.*

before issuing a warrant for the arrest of such witnesses. But it was not necessary for this purpose to institute a formal investigation and come to a determination on the evidence adduced. PERIYANNA CHETTY *v.* GOVINDA GOUNDEN . 5 Mad., 104

39. ——— **Issue of proclamation against absent witness.**—*Materiality of evidence.—Ground for non-attendance.*—A Court was held to be not bound to issue a proclamation against absent witnesses in a case where it was not satisfied that the witnesses were material, or that they had really absconded to avoid attendance. BHOOBUN MOYEE DOSSEE *v.* KISHOREE DOSSEE .8 W. R., 235

40. ——— **Application for process against absconding witness.**—*Ground for not granting application.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 159, 168.*—On application being made under sections 159 and 168 of Act VIII of 1859 for issue of process against an absconding witness, the Court if satisfied (as it was bound to be) that the witness had absconded, and that he was a material witness ought to grant the application unless the applicant had placed himself in such a position by his conduct that it would be inequitable to grant it. RAJOO SINGH *v.* LALLA BALGOBIND LAL . . 1 W. R., 26

41. ——— **Notice of proclamation.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 159 and 168.—Service of proclamation.*—The proclamation issuable under section 159, Act VIII of 1859, could not be legally affixed to the mal cutcherry of a defaulting witness. Before the provisions of that section can come into play, personal service of summons must be attempted. In the absence of process of legal service, the Magistrate's order of imprisonment for contempt, under section 174 of the Penal Code, and section 168 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, was quashed. QUEEN *v.* HURYNATH CHOWDHRY [7 W. R., Cr., 58

42. ——— **Discretion of Court as to issue of proclamation.**—*Proclamation against absent witness.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 159.*—Section 159, Code of Civil Procedure, gives a Civil Court a discretion as to the issue of proclamation and subsequent orders for attachment; but such Court is bound to exercise a reasonable discretion. PORAN CHUNDER GHOSE *v.* GOPEE NATH SINGH [8 W. R., 505

43. ——— *Ground for issue of proclamation.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 159.*—A Court was not authorised to issue the proclamation and attachment mentioned in section 159, Code of Civil Procedure, unless it was proved to its satisfaction that the evidence of the witness was material, and that he was avoiding the summons; and after these circumstances have been shown, it was a matter of discretion to issue the proclamation and attachment, and after issue to let the case stand over. KALEE DASS CHUCKERBUTTY *v.* ESHAN CHUNDER CHATTERJEE . . . . 13 W. R., 416

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES—*continued.*

44. ——— **Ground for postponement of case.**—*Application for process against absent witness made at late stage of case.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 159.*—Where an application was made at a very late stage of a case to enforce the provisions of section 159 of the Code of Civil Procedure, without proffer of any proof that the witness was absconding or keeping out of the way for the purpose of avoiding the service of the summons, the lower Appellate Court was held to have been justified in not postponing the case to secure the attendance of the witness, although material. AJOODHYA DOSS *v.* MISRUN . . . . . 15 W. R., 176

45. ——— **Fine for avoiding service of summons.**—*Act XIX. of 1853, s. 28.—Act X of 1861.*—Section 28 of Act XIX of 1853 having been repealed by Act X of 1861, a Judge had no jurisdiction, under Act VIII of 1859, to inflict a fine for the purpose of punishing a witness who absconded, or kept out of the way, to avoid service of summons. IN RE GAJADHAR PRASAD NARAYAN SINGH [1 B. L. R., A. C., 186

S. C. GUJADHUR PERSHAD NARAIN SINGH *v.* JUGDEO NARAIN . . . 10 W. R., 233

5. SWEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES.

46. ——— **Objection to take oath.**—*Member of Church of England.—Stat. 17 and 18 Vict., c. 125.*—A member of the Church of England is not exempt by law from taking an oath in a Court of Justice in India, although he may entertain sincere objections against taking an oath on the Bible, and is willing to make an affirmation binding upon his conscience. The English Statute 17 and 18 Victoria, Cap. 125, does not apply to India. VALU MUDALI *v.* SOWERBY . . . 2 Mad., 246

47. ——— Where a Mahomedan witness stated that he had no objection to oaths in general, but that he was suffering from a disease which disqualified him from taking an oath on the Koran until purification,—*Held* that the witness must be sworn in the regular way or not at all. ANONYMOUS CASE . . . 1 Mad., 99, note

6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES.

(*a*) GENERALLY.

48. ——— **Selection of witness.**—*Duty of parties.*—It is not the business of a Court to determine what witnesses shall be examined. The parties must select their own witnesses, and call upon the Court to examine such of them as they may offer for examination, and it is their own fault if they do not take the necessary steps to have the witnesses examined, or to compel them to be present for examination at the proper time. MORNO MOYEE DEBEE *v.* BHEEM KOOMAR CHOWDHRY . 6 W. R., 231

DEEN DYAL SINGH *v.* DANEE ROY [13 W. R., 185

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued*

6 EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued*

(*a*) GENERALLY—*continued*

49 ——— **Right to have witnesses examined**—*Ground for refusing to hear witness—Opinion of Court as to materiality of evidence*—Every party to a suit is entitled to have all the witnesses whom he desires to call, and is ready at the trial to produce heard by the Court, whatever opinion the Court may form by anticipation as to the probable value of the evidence when it shall be given LOOLOO SINGH *v* RAJENDUR LAHA [8 W. R., 364

PORAN CHUNDER GHOSE *v* GOPEENATH SINGH [8 W. R., 505

CHOWDHRY KHOORGO ROY *v* SHIB TOHUL ROY [17 W R., 172

50 ——— *Ground of special appeal—Omission of Court to examine witness*—As a general rule, all the witnesses brought forward by a party ought to be examined But when an objection is made in special appeal that the Judge below has omitted to examine certain witnesses, it ought to be shown that the evidence of those witnesses would have been material to the case NILKANTH BURMAH *v* SOOSELA DEBIA 6 W R., 324

51. ——— **Want of opportunity to adduce evidence, Proof of**—*Tender and rejection of witness*—In order to establish such a plea as that he was not allowed an opportunity to adduce evidence a party must show that he tendered witnesses or other evidence, and that his tender was rejected on the ground alleged BUKSH ALI SOWDAGUR *v* JOYANUT KHAN . . . . . 11 W R., 248

CHUNDER NATH SEIN *v* ANUNDMOYEE DOSSEE [11 W R., 289

QUEEN *v.* TOTARAM . 11 W R., Cr., 15

52 ——— **Refusal to examine witness**—*Ground for refusal—Omission from list of witnesses*—The fact of a witness not having been named in the plaintiff's list of witnesses is no ground for refusing to examine him when produced at the proper time RAKHAL DOSS MUNDUL *v* PROTAP CHUNDER HAZRAH . . . 12 W R., 455

53 ——— *Refusal of verbal request of vakil—Ground of special appeal*—Where a lower Appellate Court's refusal to examine witnesses in a suit for damages for assault is made a ground of special appeal, it is not sufficient to put in an affidavit to the effect that a verbal request of the vakil to examine the witnesses was refused by the Judge RAMESSUR BHUTTACHARJEE *v* SHIB NARAIN CHUCKERBUTTY 14 W. R., 419

54 ——— *Additional witnesses to facts already in evidence—Tender of large number of witnesses—Ground for remand*—In a suit for possession of zemindari and other estates claimed by the plaintiff as son and heir of the deceased zemindar, the defendants denied the title of the plaintiff, alleging that he was a spurious and supposititious child, and tendered fifty eight witnesses to prove that fact The Zillah Court having taken the depositions of thirty of these witnesses refused to permit the remaining twenty eight to be examined, on the ground that as they were going to prove the facts deposed to by those already examined, it was unnecessary to take their depositions, and ultimately decided in favour of the plaintiff The defendants appealed to the Sudder Court, which refused to examine the witnesses rejected by the Zillah Court, and affirmed the decree of that Court On appeal to Her Majesty in Council, the Judicial Committee remitted the case back to the Sudder Court, being of opinion, that the refusal by that Court to permit the examination of the witnesses tendered was irregular, and that no decision could be come to upon the merits under such circumstances JESWUNT SINGJEE UBBY SINGJEE *v* JET SINGJEE UBBY SINGJEE [2 Moore's I A., 424 6 W R., P C., 46

55 ——— *Ground for remand*—A lower Court having allowed some of the witnesses of the plaintiff to depart without taking their evidence, the plaintiff objected to its taking the evidence of more of the defendant's witnesses than of his own Upon this the Court allowed some of the defendant's witnesses to leave the Court without examining them The case on coming up to the High Court was remanded for examination of all the remaining witnesses and a fresh decision GOPEE OJHA *v* HUR GOBIND SINGH 12 W R., 229

56 ——— *Application to re examine after consent to allow evidence in one suit to be evidence in others*—Five suits having been brought to recover a balance of accounts from defendants, who were alleged to be partners of a trading concern, and as such liable, certain witnesses were examined in four of the cases in which the plaintiff in one of the suits was not a party, and at his request the evidence taken in those cases was allowed to be used as evidence in his case, and then the witnesses were discharged Two days after this he applied to have the witnesses re examined, giving no reason for his application, which was refused *Held* that the refusal was justified in the absence of any new reason for the re examination SREENATH ROY *v* GOLUCK CHUNDER SEIN . 15 W R., 348

57. ——— **Death before delivering legal judgment**—*Obligation to hear witnesses again—Consent of parties*—A suit was dismissed by a Deputy Collector, who died before recording a legal judgment, whereupon it was made over by the lower Appellate Court for trial to the deceased officer's successor, who decided the case in favour of the plaintiff upon the evidence as it stood on the record without any objection by either party *Held* that it was not the duty of the second Deputy Collector to remand the witnesses or to take additional evidence, unless requested to do so by the parties GOUR CHUNDER SEN *v* MANICK RAM [13 W. R., 76

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

(*a*) GENERALLY—*continued.*

58. ——— **Recall of witness.**—*Witness for plaintiff recalled for defendant.—Leave of Court.*—When a witness has been examined on behalf of the plaintiff he cannot be recalled as a witness for the defendant without leave obtained at the end of the first examination. MACKINTOSH *v.* NOBINMONEY DOSSEE . 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 160

59. ——— *Examination of witnesses by Appellate Court.*—Courts should in all cases exercise the powers with which they have been entrusted by the law in the examination of witnesses, if they see that they are not properly examined through the incompetency of those who have the management of the suits. If the Munsif fails to take proper evidence, the Appellate Court should not decide the case on such evidence as there is, but having the power to call for further evidence under section 355, Act VIII of 1859, it should take proper means for making the evidence complete. RAMGATI *v.* IMITABI BANEE . 1 B. L. R., S. N., 20 [10 W. R., 280

60. ——— **Mode of taking evidence.**—Observations on the improper manner in which the evidence in cases is generally taken in the subordinate Courts, and in which it was taken in this case. PHUL KUAR *v.* SURJAN PANDEY [I. L. R., 4 All., 249

61. ——— **Irregularity in examination of witness.**—*Witness for plaintiff examined in absence of defendant or his pleaders.—Irregularity.—Objection not taken in time.—Evidence Act, s. 167.*—The examination of a material witness of the plaintiff, in the absence of the defendant, his vakil having been removed, and no other vakil then acting for him, is such an irregularity as, if objected to at the proper time, would be fatal to the reception of such evidence. But where no objection was urged during the trial, or until an appeal was interposed, the Judicial Committee held that the objection came too late and could not be sustained, as, notwithstanding such irregularity and miscarriage, that fact did not taint the whole proceedings so as to prevent the plaintiff recovering upon the other evidence which was sufficient to establish his case. But although the other evidence rendered the evidence improperly admitted immaterial, the Judicial Committee, as there had been an irregularity in the Court below in affirming the judgment, refused to give the costs of the appeal. BOMMABAUZE BAHADUR *v.* RANGASAMY MUDALY [6 Moore's I. A., 232

62. ——— *Evidence given without cross-examination and without opportunity of cross-examination.*—Evidence given when a party never had the opportunity either to examine or to cross-examine the witnesses, or to rebut their testimony by fresh evidence, is not legally admissible for or against him, unless he consents that it should be so used. GORACHAND SIRKAR *v.* RAM NARAIN CHOWDHRY . . . . 9 W. R., 587

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

(*a*) GENERALLY—*continued.*

63. ——— **Evidence to contradict witness.**—*Contradiction of witness to collateral questions.—Right to call evidence to contradict.*—The rule limiting the right to call evidence to contradict witnesses on collateral questions excludes all evidence of facts which are incapable of affording any reasonable presumption or inference as to the principal matter in dispute, the test being whether the fact is one which the party proposing to contradict would have been allowed himself to prove in evidence. GULAM ALLI BIN KAZI ISMAIL *v.* AGA KHAN . . . 6 Bom., O. C., 93

64. ——— **Evidence of experts.**—*Proof of signatures.*—In a suit for arrears of rent for 1273 at an enhanced rate, plaintiff relied upon an agreement said to have been executed by defendant in that year. The Assistant Collector found that the agreement had not been executed by defendant. On appeal, the Judge called an expert who proved that the signatures of the attesting witnesses were not all genuine, and the decision of the Assistant Collector was affirmed. *Held* that the Judge was wrong in calling for and acting upon the evidence of the expert. BINDESSUREE DUTT SINGH *v.* DOMA SINGH [9 W. R., 88

65. ——— **Consent to be bound by particular witness.**—*Evidence not legally admissible.*—An *à priori* consent to abide by the testimony of a certain witness cannot bind the consenting party to hearsay testimony, but only to such evidence as is legally admissible,—*i.e.*, evidence as to such facts as the witness can directly speak to. LUCKEEMONEE DOSSEE *v.* SHUNKUREE DOSSEE [2 W. R., 252

MUNNOO SINGH *v.* AMRUT LALL . 5 W. R., 234

66. ——— *Witness sworn in particular manner.*—Where the plaintiff rested her claim solely on the deposition of the defendant to be taken by his placing his hand on a particular text of the Koran, and the defendant not being examined in that way but in the usual way, did not prove the claim,—*Held* that the Court was not right in allowing the plaintiff to examine further witnesses and to reopen her case. MAHOMED SALEH *v.* MURIAMOONISSA . . . . 10 W. R., 284

67. ——— *Plaintiff agreeing to be bound by defendant's evidence.—Statements obviously untrue.*—Where the defendant on examination makes statements which amount to nothing and are manifestly untrue, the plaintiff cannot be bound by them, even though he had agreed to be bound by what the defendant said. GOOROODOSS ROY *v.* GREENDHUR SEIN . . 11 W. R., 110

68. ——— *Subsequent refusal.—Duty of Court.*—Where a defendant, after asking the lower Appellate Court to summon plaintiff as a witness, and consenting to abide by his deposition, had again petitioned the Court that the plaintiff

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

(*a*) GENERALLY—*continued.*

Evidence of experts—*continued.*

should not be examined,—*Held* that defendant should not have been bound solely and absolutely by the plaintiff's deposition, but that the other evidence on the record should also have been considered. JUGDEO SINGH *v.* MOLAZIM HOSSEIN . 13 W. R., 108

(*b*) CROSS-EXAMINATION.

69. ——— **Right to cross-examine.**—*Witness called by the Court.*—A witness called by the Court is liable to be cross-examined by any of the parties to a suit. TARINI CHARAN CHOWDHRY *v.* SARODA SUNDARI DASI

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 145 : 11 W. R., 468

70. ——— *Witness called by Court.*—A party summoned by the Court to give evidence is not only required to give answers to the questions put to him by the Court, but the opposite party has a right to cross-examine him. The statement of any person examined is not admissible unless the opposite party has had the opportunity of cross-examining him. GOOROODOSS ROY *v.* GEEDHUR SEIN . . . . . 11 W. R., 110

SHUFURAZ MOLLAH *v.* DHUNOO

[16 W. R., 257

71. ——— *Co-defendant separately represented.*—One co-defendant, whose interests are separately represented, may cross-examine another. NARASIMMA *v.* KISTNAMA . 1 Mad., 456

72. ——— *Recall of witnesses.—Omission to give opportunity for cross-examination.*—A Court of first instance decreed a case *ex parte* in favour of the plaintiff, and at a rehearing did not recall the plaintiff's witnesses, whom, therefore, the defendant had no opportunity to cross-examine, and again gave a decree for the plaintiff. The lower Appellate Court rejected the evidence of plaintiff's witnesses, and reversed the decree. *Held* that the Court of first instance should have recalled the plaintiff's witnesses, and given the defendant an opportunity of cross-examination. RAM BAKS LALL *v.* KISHORI MOHAN SHAHA

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 273 : 12 W. R., 130

73. ——— *Refusal to allow cross-examination.—Act VIII of 1859, s. 170.*— A defendant failed to appear when ordered to attend under section 170, Act VIII of 1859. The Judge did not at once pass judgment against him, but called the plaintiff's witnesses, and refused to allow the defendant's vakil, who was present, to cross-examine them. *Held* that the Judge ought to have allowed the defendant's vakil to cross-examine the plaintiff's witnesses. PAKAKTAR *v.* JAKRIBAM BHAKAT . . . . . 2 B. L. R., Ap., 12

74. ——— **Refusal of witness to answer questions on cross-examination.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 169.—"Lawful excuse."*—

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

(*b*) CROSS-EXAMINATION—*continued.*

Refusal of witness to answer question on cross-examination—*continued.*

A party to a suit tendering himself as a witness, and declining without lawful excuse to answer questions put on cross-examination, was liable to be dealt with under section 169 of the Civil Procedure Code. "Without lawful excuse" means such an excuse as would in law justify the refusal to give evidence. LEKH RAJ *v.* PALKE RAM

[1 N. W., 162 : Ed. 1873, 241

7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE.

75. ——— **Credibility of witnesses.**—*Power to set aside decision on evidence.*—The credibility of witnesses is a matter altogether for the Court of first instance and the Court which hears a regular appeal; and if these Courts are satisfied that the witnesses are not to be believed, their decision cannot be set aside by the High Court on special appeal, even though upon a general view of the case it should think that, if it had tried the case originally, it might have come to a different conclusion. GOUREE PERSHAD KOONDOO *v.* PRANNATH SURMAH

[10 W. R., 365

76. ——— *Mode of testing credibility.—Several witnesses to same facts.*—In examining evidence, with a view to test whether several witnesses who bear testimony to the same facts are worthy of credit, it is important to see whether they give their evidence in the same words, or whether they substantially agree, not, indeed, concurring in all the minute particulars of what passed, but with that agreement in substance, and that variation in unimportant details, which are usually found in witnesses intending to speak the truth, and not tutored to tell a particular story. NANA NARAIN RAO *v.* HURREE PUNTH BHAO

[Marsh., 436 : 9 Moore's I. A., 96

77. ——— *Witnesses called to support case giving evidence contrary to it.*—A party who calls a witness to give evidence on his behalf is not necessarily bound by his evidence; but if the evidence is at variance with the truth of his case (*e.g.*, if a witness called to prove execution of a document swears that it was not executed and has the means of knowing the fact), it throws a suspicion on the case which renders the clearest testimony necessary to establish its truth. FUZEELUN BEEBEE *v.* OMDAH BEEBEE . . . . . 10 W. R., 469

78. ——— *Credit on other matters of witnesses supporting a false case.*—Although it does not necessarily follow that where a witness gives evidence on a particular fact in a case and that fact is found against his evidence, he is to be entirely disbelieved on the other parts of the case he has spoken to, yet where witnesses who were not merely giving an opinion upon an isolated fact in the case, but came into Court to prove the whole case

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE—*continued.*

Credibility of witnesses—*continued.*

made by the plaintiffs, and that a very special case, and it is shown to be a case false in its material features, much reliance cannot be placed on their evidence as to any particular questions in the case. HABEEBOOLLAH *v.* GOUHUR ALLY KHAN [18 W. R., P. C., 523

79. ——— **Ground for refusal to consider evidence.**—*Non-production of best evidence.*—The principle that a plaintiff is bound to produce the best evidence in his power was held not to justify a Judge in omitting to consider the weight and legal effect of the remaining witnesses, when plaintiff had failed to produce the most important witness. LATORE MISTREE *v.* AGAMUDDER NUSHYO [14 W. R., 482

80. ——— **Mode of weighing evidence.**—*Consideration of motives for bringing a suit.*—Where the evidence in support of a case is doubtful, the Court, in weighing that evidence, may properly take into consideration the motives imputed to the plaintiff as having induced him to sue. BIRCH *v.* FURZIND ALI . . . . . 3 N. W., 303

81. ——— **Estimating value of evidence.**—*Witness swearing affirmatively to fact.*—In estimating the value of evidence, the testimony of a person who swears positively that a certain conversation took place, is of more value than that of one who says that it did not. CHOWDHRY DEBY PERSAD *v.* CHOWDHRY DOWLUT SINGH [6 W. R., P. C., 55 : 3 Moore's I. A., 347

82. ——— *Credit of witness, a servant or dependant of plaintiff.*—The circumstance of a witness being a servant or a dependant of the plaintiff does not of itself discentitle him to credit. SHOOBUL CHUNDER KULLEAH *v.* KOYLASH CHUNDER MAL . . . . . 14 W. R., 23

83. ——— *Rejection of evidence unnecessarily and unjustifiably.*—*Held* by NORMAN, *J.*, that the Judge was not at liberty to reject, as matters which he could wholly leave out of consideration, any of the evidence before him in a case where the witnesses were unimpeached in their general character and uncontradicted by any testimony on the other side, and where there was no improbability in the facts which they related, and that the probative force arising from concurrent testimony was the compound ratio of the probabilities of the testimonies taken singly. RADHA KANT DEB *v.* KHEMA DOSSEE . . 7 W. R., 105

84. ——— *Evidence of witnesses found unreliable in criminal case.*—A Judge was held to have done wrong in throwing out the evidence of witnesses tendered by the defendant in a civil action merely because they have been found untrustworthy when examined with reference to a charge of breach of trust against the same defendant in a criminal case. LALL CHAND ROY *v.* BRINDABUN CHUNDER ROY . . . . 13 W. R., 226

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE—*continued.*

Estimating value of evidence—*continued.*

85. ——— *Evidence of person who has been convicted of perjury or other offence.*—The evidence of a person who has been punished for perjury or of a person who has been convicted of a criminal offence can hardly be entitled to the credit that would be given to the testimony of a person against whom no such imputation can be brought. DOONGUN RAI *v.* DOOBOA RAI [2 N. W., 97

86. ——— *Evidence of truth of witness.*—The observation that the evidence of a witness proves too much is not rebutted by the suggestion that it cannot be supposed that the witness was suborned, for, if he was possessed of common shrewdness, he would not have overdone the thing and then have given rise to such an objection. SOORIAH ROW *v.* COTAGHERY BOOCHIAH [5 W. R., P. C., 127 : 2 Moore's I. A., 113

87. ——— *Credibility of witnesses.*—*Professional witness.*—*Witnesses in former cases.*—The Privy Council, referring to the generality of the Principal Sudder Ameen's observations as to certain witnesses having given evidence in other cases, observed that, though it was a legitimate objection to a man's credit that he was a professional witness, yet to state broadly and generally that a witness had given evidence in other cases, and therefore became unworthy of credit, could only tend to increase the indisposition of respectable persons to come into Court as witnesses, which was one of the social evils of India. LALL BEHAREE LALL *v.* GOPEE BEEBEE . . 19 W. R., P. C., 285

88. ——— *Discrepancies in statements of witnesses.*—Discrepancies in an account of what took place in a conversation are not a sufficient ground for disbelieving statements made by different witnesses. BHAJU SING *v.* KAIPNATH TEWARI . . . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 332

89. ——— *Ground for discrediting witness.*—A bare allegation by a defendant in his written statement, without any proof in support of it, that a certain person is his inveterate enemy, is not sufficient to discredit that person's testimony. KASINATH SHAHA *v.* DWARKANATH SIRKAR . . 9 B. L. R., 215 : 17 W. R., 550

8. PRIVILEGES OF WITNESSES.

90. ——— **Exemption from appearance in Court.**—*Natives of rank, Prejudices of, to appear in Court.*—The prejudices of natives of rank to appear as witnesses in a Court of Justice will not be allowed to relax the rule that the best evidence must be produced of which the case is susceptible. RAM MOHUN MOOKERJEE *v.* NURSING DEB . 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 63 : W. R., F. B., 54

NURSING DEB *v.* RAM MOHUN MOOKERJEE [Marsh., 176 : 1 Hay, 379

WITNESS—CIVIL CASES—*continued.*

8. PRIVILEGES OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

Exemption from appearance in Court—*continued.*

See MANICKRAM *v.* RAMYAD RAM [2 W. R., 63

RADHA KISTO SINGH DEO *v.* GUDADHUR BANERJEE . . . . . 8 W. R., 453

KALEE CHUNDER CHOWDHRY *v.* SURUT SOONDUREE DEBIA . . . 18 W. R., 45

91. ——— Exemption from suit in respect of evidence.—*Action for damages.—False evidence.*—Witnesses cannot be sued for damages in respect of evidence given by them in a judicial proceeding. If their evidence be false, they should be proceeded against by an indictment for perjury. GUNESH DUTT SING *v.* MUGNEEBAM CHOWDHRY [11 B. L. R., P. C., 321 : 17 W. R., 283

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES.

Col.

1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS . 6248
2. SUMMONING WITNESSES 6250
3. AVOIDING SERVICE 6259
4. SWEARING, OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES 6259
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES . . 6259
   (a) GENERALLY 6259
   (b) EXAMINATION BY COURT . . 6267
   (c) CROSS-EXAMINATION . . 6267
6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE 6272

See CASES UNDER ACCOMPLICE.

See CASES UNDER APPROVERS.

See CASES UNDER COMMISSION—CRIMINAL CASES.

See CASES UNDER CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, ss. 288, 289.

See CASES UNDER EVIDENCE—CRIMINAL CASES.

See CASES UNDER EVIDENCE ACT, 1872, s. 33.

See CASES UNDER FALSE EVIDENCE.

See HOLIDAY . 8 B. L. R., Ap., 12

See JUDGE—DUTY OF JUDGE. [L. R., 3 I. A., 259

See JUDGE—QUALIFICATIONS AND DISQUALIFICATIONS . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 23

See MAGISTRATE, DUTY OF— [I. L. R., 8 All., 672

See OATHS ACT, 1873, s. 13. [14 B. L. R., 54, 294, 295, note

See PARDANASHIN WOMEN. [1 B. L. R., S. N., 5
I. L. R., 4 Calc., 20
24 W. R., 375

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

——— Cross-examination of—

See RECOGNIZANCE TO KEEP PEACE—FORFEITURE OF RECOGNIZANCES. [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 865

——— Person appearing as, Statement of—

See CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 164 (1872, s. 122). [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 643
I. L. R., 4 Bom., 15

1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS.

1. ——— Judge.—*Competent witness.*—A Judge is a competent witness, and can give evidence in a case being tried before himself, even though he laid the complaint, acting as a public officer, provided that he has no personal or pecuniary interest in the subject of the charge, and he is not precluded thereby from dealing judicially with the evidence of which his own forms a part. QUEEN *v.* MUKTA SING . 6 B. L. R., A. Cr., 15 : 13 W. R., Cr., 60

See ROUSSEAU *v.* PINTO . . 7 W. R., 190

2. ——— Magistrate.—*Evidence Act, s. 121.—Power of Sessions Judge to compel Magistrate to give evidence.—Privilege of witness.*—A Sessions Judge finding, in the course of a trial, as regards the examination of the accused person taken by the committing Subordinate Magistrate, that the provisions of section 346 of Act X of 1872 had not been fully complied with, summoned the committing Magistrate and took his evidence that the accused person duly made the statement recorded. The Magistrate of the district objected to this proceeding of the Sessions Judge, contending that it was "contrary to law." The Sessions Judge referred the question, whether or not his proceeding was contrary to law, to the High Court. *Per* STUART, *C. J.*, PEARSON, *J.*, OLDFIELD, *J.*, and STRAIGHT, *J.*—That the privilege given by section 121 of Act I of 1872 is the privilege of the witness,—*i.e.*, of the Judge or Magistrate of whom the question is asked: if he waives such privilege, or does not object to answer such question, it does not lie in the mouth of any other person to assert the privilege: the reference, the objection not having been taken by the Subordinate Magistrate but by the Magistrate of the district, should be answered accordingly. *Per* SPANKIE, *J.*—That a Sessions Judge, while trying a case, cannot compel a committing Magistrate to answer questions as to his own conduct in Court as such Magistrate. EMPRESS OF INDIA *v.* CHIDDA KHAN . I. L. R., 3 All., 573

3. ——— *Judge trying case.—Magistrate witness of facts.*—In a case in which a Deputy Magistrate took an active part in the capture of parties charged with having been members of an unlawful assembly,—parties whom he himself tried on that charge,—it was held that he was bound to state to the accused, so far as he could, what were the facts he himself observed, and to which he himself could bear testimony; and the prisoner in such

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS —*continued.*

Magistrate—*continued.*

situation had a right, if he thought it desirable, to cross-examine the Judge, whose evidence should be recorded, and form part of the record in the case. The proper course, however, for the Deputy Magistrate to have taken in this case would have been to decline to try the case, and to ask that it should be undertaken by some other Judge. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF HURRO CHUNDER PAUL [20 W. R., Cr., 76

4. ——— *Conviction, Illegality of.*—A Magistrate cannot himself be a witness in a case in which he is the sole judge of law and fact. *Per* MARKBY, *J.*—Where in such a case he has given his evidence and convicted the accused, his having so acted makes the conviction bad. *Per* PRINSEP, *J.*—The conviction is not absolutely bad. It is open to the Court to uphold the conviction, if it is of opinion that, after rejecting the Magistrate's evidence, there is other evidence sufficient, if believed, to support the conviction. EMPRESS *v.* DONNELLY . . . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 405

5. ——— *Magistrate sitting on Bench in Appellate Court.—Liability to be examined as witness.*—It is undesirable that Magistrates, whose decisions are under appeal, or who have been engaged in promoting the prosecution as police officers concerned in a case, should sit on the Bench beside or converse privately in Court with the Judge who is engaged in trying the prisoner's appeal. If the Appellate Court wishes to ascertain any facts relating to the case from the Magistrate who convicted the accused, he should examine the Magistrate on oath or solemn affirmation in the same manner as an ordinary witness. REG. *v.* KASHINATH DINKAR . . . . . 8 Bom., Cr., 126

6. ——— *Examination of Magistrate trying case.*—Case in which the High Court permitted a Deputy Magistrate to be examined on behalf of a petitioner whose case was investigated by the Deputy Magistrate. QUEEN *v.* MUDHOOSOODUN ROY . . . 16 W. R., Cr., 49

See s. 121 OF THE EVIDENCE ACT, 1872.

7. ——— **Prisoner.**—*Tendering pardon to prisoner.*—Procedure as to tendering a pardon to a prisoner before examining him as a witness, discussed. QUEEN *v.* GAGALU [6 B. L. R., Ap., 50: 12 W. R., Cr., 80

8. ——— *Co-defendants, Examination of, as witnesses.*—Where there is no community of interest, any one of a number of prisoners jointly indicted may be called as a witness either for or against his co-defendants. QUEEN *v.* ASHRUFF SHEIK . . . . 6 W. R., Cr., 91

9. ——— *Prisoners tried together jointly.—Examination of one as witness against another.*—Where two prisoners are tried together for different offences committed in the same

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS —*continued.*

Prisoner—*continued.*

transaction, it is improper and illegal to examine one prisoner as a witness against the other. IN THE MATTER OF DAVID . . . 5 C. L. R., 574

10. ——— **Person brought up with accused and not discharged.**—A person apprehended by the police and brought before the Magistrate with the accused, is, though not discharged by the Magistrate, a competent witness against the accused, provided he be not charged along with the accused. REG. *v.* NARAYAN SUNJAR [5 Bom., Cr., 1

11. ——— **Evidence of woman on charge of adultery.**—A person may call the woman with whom he is accused of having had sexual intercourse as a witness on his behalf. IN RE BICHOO [6 W. R., Cr., 92

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES.

12. ——— **Dispensing with personal attendance of witnesses.**—*Deposition.—Trial before Sessions Court.*—It is only in extreme cases of delay or expense that the personal attendance of a witness before the Court of Session should be dispensed with, and the evidence given by him before the committing Magistrate referred to. EMPRESS *v.* MULU . . . . I. L. R., 2 All., 646

13. ——— **Application to enforce attendance of witnesses.**—*Witnesses for defence.—Examination of accused.*—In a case under Chapter XV, Code of Criminal Procedure, 1861, it was incumbent on the accused either to produce their witnesses or to apply beforehand for a summons to enforce the attendance of any witness who was not likely to appear without a summons; it was not necessary in such cases to record the examination of the accused with the same formalities as in cases under Chapters XII and XIV. QUEEN *v.* CHEDEE KOONJBA [14 W. R., Cr., 76

14. ——— **Discharge of witness from attendance.**—It is incumbent upon a Court when it discharges a witness from the duty of attendance before the trial is ended to ascertain from the accused whether he has, or is likely to have, any need of the witness's testimony; and if he has such need, then to take such steps for insuring the presence of the witness at the required time as may be necessary. KHURRUCKDHAREE SINGH *v.* PERSHADEE MUNDUL [22 W. R., Cr., 44

15. ——— **Discretion of Court as to summoning witnesses.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 192.—Discretion of Magistrate as to examining witnesses.*—It is entirely within the discretion of a Magistrate conducting a trial in a warrant case to admit evidence on behalf of either side at any stage of the trial, section 192, Act X of 1872, applying to such a case; but the Magistrate, in

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—*continued.*

Discretion of Court as to summoning witnesses—*continued.*

exercising the discretion conferred on him by this section, ought to have good reason for allowing witnesses on the part of the prosecution to be interposed in the midst of the case of the accused. QUEEN v. KASSY SINGH. QUEEN v. HULKOREE SINGH [21 W. R., Cr., 61

16. ——— **Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 359.—Adjournment for appearance of witnesses for defence.*—Certain persons were charged before the Magistrate with rioting, and being called upon for their defence, named several witnesses, and summonses on the following morning were issued for their appearance, but they were not found. The accused then applied for further time for the appearance of the witnesses. This the Magistrate refused to grant, and convicted the accused. *Held, per* JACKSON, *J.*, that this being a warrant case it was the duty of the Magistrate to summon the witnesses that might be offered by the accused, and that he might at his discretion have adjourned the case. *Held*, further, *per* JACKSON, *J.*, that the meaning of section 359 of the Criminal Procedure Code is, that if among the persons named by the accused as witnesses, the Magistrate considers that any witness is included for the purpose of vexation and delay, he is to exercise his judgment and enquire whether such witness is material; but that the section is not intended to enable the Magistrate to enquire into what the defence of the accused person is to be, and to consider whether, on learning the nature of the defence, he is absolutely to abstain from summoning the whole of the witnesses cited by the accused; and further, that in the present case there was not any purpose of vexation or delay, and that by the refusal to grant further time the accused had been probably prejudiced in their defence. EMPRESS ON THE PROSECUTION OF DENONATH GHATTACK v. RAJCOOMAR SINGH . . . . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF RAJCOOMAR SINGH . . 2 C. L. R., 62

17. ——— *Obligation to summon witnesses.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, Ch. XIV.*—In a case tried under the provisions of Chapter XIV of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the accused were entitled to have their witnesses summoned, and a Magistrate had no power to refuse to summon them. QUEEN v. DOORGAGUTTY [11 W. R., Cr., 55

18. ——— *Discretion of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 262.—Held* by BAYLEY, *J.* (MARKBY, *J., dubitante*), that a Magistrate had a discretion, under section 262 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to summon a witness when he was likely to give material evidence on behalf of the accused. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF AMEER CHAND NOHATTA. QUEEN v. AMEER CHAND NOHATTA . 13 W. R., Cr., 63

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—*continued.*

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—*continued.*

19. ——— *Forcibly rescuing cattle.—Act III of 1857, s. 13.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 262.—Summoning witnesses.*—In a case of forcibly rescuing cattle under section 13, Act III of 1857, in which the accused did not summon any witness, it was held that, even if the accused wanted them summoned, the Magistrate, under section 262 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, need not have summoned them, unless persuaded that they were likely to give material evidence and that they would not attend voluntarily. AKBAR TAGUDGEER v. PUNCHOO BISWAS . 10 W. R., Cr., 42

20. ——— *Duty of parties.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 261, 262.—Attendance of witnesses.*—In a case under Chapter XV of the Code of Criminal Procedure, it was expected that parties would bring their own witnesses with them. If they required the attendance of any witness they should apply to the Magistrate to cause his attendance; and where they did not so apply, it was sufficient if the Magistrate recorded in his judgment the substance of the defendant's answer. BAGDEE MANJEE v. MOHINDRO NARAIN [10 W. R., Cr., 16

21. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 186.*—In the case of a charge of an offence triable by the Court of Sessions alone, the Magistrate was bound, under section 186 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to summon the complainant's witnesses. QUEEN v. ZAKIR ALLY [8 W. R., Cr., 4

22. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 375.—Accused person, Right of.*—An accused person is entitled to have examined as a witness any person named in his list of witnesses delivered to the Magistrate: and the Magistrate should take measures to enforce the attendance of such person. QUEEN v. ISHAN DUTT [6 B. L. R., Ap., 88: 15 W. R., Cr., 34

23. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), ss. 188, 207, 227, and 228.—Arrest and detention of witnesses.*—Section 207 of the Criminal Procedure Code gave no power to the Magistrate to call up and examine witnesses for the defence whose names have been given in a list, under section 227, when the prisoners reserve their defence for the Court of Session; but under section 228 he was bound to summon them to give evidence before the Court of Session. IN THE MATTER OF MAHESH CHANDRA BANERJEE. QUEEN v. PURNA CHANDRA BANERJEE. QUEEN v. KALI SIRKAR [4 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W. R., Cr., 1

24. ——— *Credibility of witnesses.*—It is the Magistrate's duty to summon witnesses for the accused who can speak to the facts of the case, and he ought not to determine beforehand what credit he will give to their evidence. IN

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—*continued.*

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—*continued.*

THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF MAHIMA CHANDRA SHAH

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 78: 15 W. R., Cr., 15

25. ——————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 186, 262.*—Section 186 of the Code of Criminal Procedure referred to cases under Chapter XII, which were triable by the Court of Session, and not to cases under Chapter XV, which were triable by a Magistrate. To the latter cases section 262 applied. BOIDDONATH BANIA *v.* BREKDOO DOSS . . . . . 9 W. R., Cr., 3

26. ——————— *Right of accused to have witnesses summoned.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 363.*—Under section 363, Code of Criminal Procedure, a prisoner was entitled, as a matter of right, to have any witnesses named in the list which he delivered to the Magistrate, summoned and examined. QUEEN *v.* PROSUNNO COOMAR MOITRO

[23 W. R., Cr., 56

27. ——————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 253.*—Under section 253 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, it was imperative on the Magistrate to summon the witnesses named by the prisoner. QUEEN *v.* MUDSOODDEEN

[2 N. W., 148

28. ——————— *Summoning witnesses for accused.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 253.—Per* AINSLIE, *J.*—In a trial under Chapter XIV of the Criminal Procedure Code, the Magistrate was not bound, under section 253, to summon any witness whom the accused might require. It was only discretionary with him to do so, and in the circumstances of the present case, he exercised his discretion rightly in refusing to summon the witnesses asked for. *Per* PAUL, *J.* (differing).—The right of an accused to have witnesses for his defence summoned during the pendency of the trial is an ordinary and natural right, and this right was not taken away, but affirmed, by section 253; the Magistrate was bound to summon the witnesses, though it was discretionary with him to adjourn the trial. In the present case, treating it as a matter of discretion only, the Magistrate was wrong in refusing to summon the witnesses required. QUEEN *v.* BHOLANATH MOOKERJEE

[7 B. L. R., 564: 16 W. R., Cr., 28

29. ——————— *Discretion of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 253, 262, 263.*—Section 253 of the Criminal Procedure Code did not apply to cases triable under Chapter XV of that Code; and sections 262 and 263 were applicable when the offence was not punishable with more than six months' imprisonment; and it was in the discretion of the Magistrate to summon the witnesses for the defence, if he considered their evidence essential to the just decision of the case, and incumbent on him to summon them only if it appeared to him that they were likely to give materia

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—*continued.*

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—*continued.*

evidence on behalf of either party, and that they would not voluntarily appear for the purpose of being examined at the time and place appointed for the hearing of the complaint. QUEEN *v.* MOHUREE

[2 N. W., 393

30. ——————— *Discretion of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 227, 228.*—Where a prisoner, under section 227, Code of Criminal Procedure, gave in a list of the witnesses he wished to summon, after his case had been committed, the Magistrate was bound to exercise his discretion upon the point, and to state whether he would summon the witnesses or not, and he ought to state his reasons for not doing so. If he thought the witnesses were included in the list for the purpose of delay, he should proceed under section 228 of the Code. QUEEN *v.* RAJCOOMAR MOOKERJEE

[16 W. R., Cr., 14

31. ——————— *Discretion of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, ss. 215, 362.*—It was not incumbent on a Magistrate to summon every person named as a witness by the complainant. Section 215, explanation 3 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, must be read with section 362, which vested a discretionary power in the Magistrate. JELDHARI SINGH *v.* SHUNKUR DOYAL

[23 W. R., Cr., 9

See, however, EMPRESS *v.* HEMATULLA

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 389

EMPRESS OF INDIA *v.* KASHI

[I. L. R., 2 All., 447

QUEEN *v.* PURASURAMA NAIKAR

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 329

ANONYMOUS CASE . . 8 Mad., Ap., 5

32. ——————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, Ch. XIV.*—In a case of an offence (such as hurt, under section 323, Penal Code) punishable with imprisonment exceeding six months, and therefore falling under Chapter XIV of the Code of Criminal Procedure, a Magistrate was bound to summon all the witnesses required by the accused. QUEEN *v.* BOOLAKEE . . 14 W. R., Cr., 81

33. ——————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, s. 131.—Claims to stolen property.*—Petitioner was charged with the theft of certain money found in his house and acquitted. Proclamation having been made for claimants to come in and claim the property, no one appeared, whereupon petitioner preferred his claim and asked the Assistant Magistrate to summon certain witnesses, but the Assistant Magistrate refused to do so, and disallowed his claim, the Magistrate on appeal declining to interfere. On reference by the Judge, the High Court held that the Assistant Magistrate was bound to summon the witnesses named by the petitioner, set aside that officer's order, and directed him to dispose of the case after taking due steps for securing the

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—*continued.*

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—*continued.*

attendance of the witnesses in question. SOOKHAN SAHOO *v.* GOVERNMENT . . 18 W. R., Cr., 5

34. ——————— *Issue of summons.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 318.*—Although there was no mention in Chapter XXII of Act XXV of 1861 of any particular provisions under which witnesses might be summoned, yet it was the duty of the Court, if parties could not procure the attendance of their witnesses, to issue summonses for their attendance. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SHAMASANKAR MAZUMDAR

[9 B. L. R., Ap., 45

SHAMASUNKUR MOZOOMDAR *v.* ANUNDMOYEE DASSYA . . . 18 W. R., Cr., 64

35. ——————— *Ground for postponement of case.*—A Magistrate was held to be right, under the circumstances, in not postponing the case for the purpose of summoning witnesses for one of the parties. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF GABINDA CHANDRA GHOSE. 9 B. L. R., Ap., 39

36. ——————— *Non-attendance of witnesses.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 269.—Ground for adjournment of trial.*—In a trial held under Chapter XV of the Criminal Procedure Code, it was not an irregularity to adjourn the trial, under section 269, for the purpose of allowing the accused to secure the attendance of his witnesses. As a general rule, a prisoner should have his witnesses present on the day of trial. QUEEN *v.* DINOO ROY

[16 W. R., Cr., 21

37. ——————— *Refusal of a Magistrate to summon prisoner's witnesses.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), s. 359.*—A Magistrate was not at liberty to refuse to summon a witness tendered by an accused person, except on the grounds specified in section 359 of the Criminal Procedure Code; and if he did refuse, he was bound to proceed under that section. The fact that the accused declines to examine a witness is no reason for refusing to summon him to meet fresh evidence given subsequent to the defence being closed. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF DHELA MAHTON *v.* SHEO DYAL KOMRI . I. L. R., 6 Calc., 714

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF DHELA MAHTON

[8 C. L. R., 70

38. ——————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 359.—Witness for the defence.—Failure to attend.—Refusal to re-summon.*—On the 30th March 1881 an accused person on his trial before a Magistrate asked that a certain witness might be summoned on his behalf. The Magistrate ordered a summons to be issued for the attendance of such witness on the 18th April, to which day the further hearing of the case was adjourned. There was some delay in the service of the summons, and such witness did not attend on that day. The Magistrate refused an application by the accused for

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—*continued.*

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—*continued.*

the issue of a second summons to such witness, with reference to section 359 of Act X of 1872, on the ground that such application was not made in "good faith." *Held* that the provisions of section 359 of Act X of 1872 were clearly inapplicable to the case as it stood before the Magistrate on the 18th April, and he was bound to make a further attempt—the first attempt seemed to have been nominal merely—to secure the attendance of the absent witness. EMPRESS *v.* RUKN-UD-DIN . I. L. R., 4 All., 5

39. ——————— *Witness for defence.—Refusal by Magistrate to summon witness under Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 216.—Witness summoned by Sessions Court.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 291, 540.*—Upon the committal of certain persons for trial before the Sessions Court for offences under the Penal Code, each of the prisoners, under section 211 of the Criminal Procedure Code, gave in a written list of the persons whom he wished to be summoned to give evidence at the trial. On each of these lists the name of a particular person was entered, who objected under section 216 to being summoned, on the ground that the summons was desired for vexatious purposes only, and that there were no reasonable grounds for believing that any evidence he could give would be material. Upon this objection, the committing Magistrate passed an order requiring the prisoners to satisfy him that there were reasonable grounds for believing that the objector's evidence was material, and, having heard arguments on both sides, passed an order refusing to issue the summons. The only ground stated by the Magistrate for this order was that he thought the reasons assigned for the application to have the objector summoned were insufficient. Subsequent to the order, and before the trial in the Sessions Court had begun, the Sessions Judge, upon an application filed on behalf of the prisoner, passed an order directing that the objector should be summoned to give evidence. The order assigned no reasons, and was passed in the absence of the objector or of any person representing him, and without notice to show cause being issued to him. The objector applied to the High Court for revision of the order on the ground that the Sessions Judge had no jurisdiction to make it. *Held* that when a Magistrate refuses, under section 216 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to summon a witness included in the list of the accused, he must record his reasons for such refusal, and such reasons must show that the evidence of such witness is not material; that the ground stated by the Magistrate, *viz.*, that the reasons assigned for the application to have the objector summoned were insufficient, did not show that the evidence was not material; that the Sessions Judge had jurisdiction to make the order complained of; and that, even if he had not, it would not, under the circumstances, be desirable to interfere with his order in revision. *Per* STRAIGHT, *J.*, that section 540 is not the only provision of the Criminal Procedure Code which confers on a Sessions Judge powers of the kind exercised by him in this

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—*continued.*

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—*continued.*

case. Under section 291, though the summoning of witnesses by an accused through the medium of the Sessions Judge is not a matter of right, yet the Judge has an inherent power, if he thinks proper to exercise it, to sanction the summoning of other witnesses than those named in the list delivered to the committing Magistrate. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF THE RAJAH OF KANTIT [I. L. R., 8 All., 668

40. ——————— *Refusal to summon witnesses not declared material unless expenses are paid.*—A prisoner who was about to be committed to the Sessions Court presented to the Magistrate a list of witnesses whom he desired to have summoned to give evidence on his behalf at the trial, and on being asked by the Magistrate why he desired to summon the witnesses, the prisoner declined to state his reason. *Held* that the Magistrate was at liberty to decline to summon the persons named in the list on the prisoner declining to satisfy him that they were material witnesses; but the Magistrate ought to have fixed the amount which he considered necessary to defray the cost of the attendance of the persons named, and intimated to the prisoner his readiness to issue summonses on that amount being deposited. The High Court called for the record for the purpose of seeing whether any of the persons named in the list were likely to be able to give material evidence. SUBBARAYA MUDALI *v.* QUEEN . 4 Mad., 81

41. ——————— *Omission to take steps to summon witnesses.*—A complainant in a case who mentioned the names of several witnesses on his behalf was requested to produce them on a certain date. Instead of doing that he produced only two witnesses, who were examined. *Held* that, as the complainant did not apply to the Magistrate to issue summonses on the other witnesses, or ask him to proceed under section 262, Code of Criminal Procedure, 1861, the Magistrate was not wrong in law in deciding the case on the evidence which was before him. QUEEN *v.* NOTODUR BERA . 15 W. R., Cr., 87

42. ——————— *Refusal to summon witness for accused.—Participation in charge.—Illegal conviction.*—A refusal to summon witnesses cited by an accused, on the ground of their being implicated in the charge, vitiates the trial and conviction. RAM SHAHAI CHOWDHY *v.* SANKER BAHADUR . 6 B. L. R., Ap., 65 : 15 W. R., Cr., 7

43. ——————— *Refusal to summon witnesses named for the defence.*—Where the Subordinate Magistrate convicted certain persons without allowing them a proper opportunity for the summoning and attendance of witnesses named for the defence, the High Court quashed the conviction and directed the Subordinate Magistrate to re-hear the case. ANONYMOUS . . 5 Mad., Ap., 27

44. ——————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 362.—Warrant case.—Refusal of*

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—*continued.*

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—*continued.*

Magistrate to summon witness named by accused.—Error or defect in proceedings.—Where the Magistrate trying an offence rejected an application by the accused person that a certain person might be examined on his behalf either in Court or by commission, without recording his reasons for refusing to summon such person, as required by section 362 of the Criminal Procedure Code,—*Held* that the conviction of the accused person must be set aside, and the case be reopened by such Magistrate, and the application by the accused for the examination of such person be disposed of according to law. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SAT NARAIN SINGH [I. L. R., 3 All., 392

45. ——————— *Right of accused.—Compelling attendance of witnesses.—Evidence.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1882), s. 257.*—Certain witnesses who had been summoned for the accused failed to appear on the day of trial, and the Deputy Magistrate refused to adjourn the hearing, or to issue fresh processes for the attendance of the defendant's witnesses, on the ground that they were all friends of the accused who would come to Court if the accused desired it. The prisoners were convicted. *Held*, the conviction must be set aside: the Magistrate having once granted processes he was bound to assist the accused in enforcing the attendance of his witnesses. QUEEN-EMPRESS *v.* DHANANJOI CHOWDHRY . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 931

46. ——————— *Non-attendance of witness. Enquiry into reasons for.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 221.*—It was held that an enquiry should be made into the excuse given by a person for his non-attendance as a witness before enforcing a fine for such non-attendance, in order that the Sessions Judge, or other authority, might fairly exercise the discretion given him by section 221 of the Criminal Procedure Code. QUEEN *v.* AMEER KHAN. IN RE BHUGWAN DOSS . . 2 N. W., 113

47. ——————— *Mode of summoning witnesses.—Recognisances to appear.*—A Subordinate Magistrate cannot bind over witnesses by recognisances to appear before himself. The proper course to enforce attendance is by summons, and, if that fails, by warrant. ANONYMOUS [4 Mad., Ap., 6

See ANONYMOUS . . . 4 Mad., Ap., 17

VENKATAPPAH *v.* PAPAMMAH . 5 Mad., 132

48. ——————— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 191.—Warrant to enforce attendance of witnesses.*—A Magistrate was not bound, under section 191 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to enforce the attendance of witnesses by warrant except upon proof of due service of summons. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ABDOOR RUHMAN . . . . 7 W. R., Cr., 37

QUEEN *v.* SUTHERLAND. QUEEN *v.* NARAIN SINGH . . . 14 W. R., Cr., 20

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—*continued.*

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—*continued.*

49. ——— *Issuing summons to witnesses out of jurisdiction.*—Magistrates may, under the Criminal Procedure Code, issue summonses for service upon witnesses beyond the limits of their districts. (COLLETT, J., dissenting.) ANONYMOUS CASE . . . . . 3 Mad., Ap., 5

50. ——— *Service of summons.—Affixing summons to door of house.*—Service of summons on a witness by affixing it to the door of his house was held to be no evidence of his having received it, in a charge brought against him of disobeying the summons. ANONYMOUS [6 Mad., Ap., 29

51. ——— *Service of summons.*—The mere showing to a witness of a summons issued under section 186 of the Criminal Procedure Code 1861, is not sufficient service. Either the original should be left with the witness, or it should be exhibited to him, and a copy of it delivered or tendered. REG *v.* KARSANLAL DANATRAM [5 Bom., Cr., 20

3. AVOIDING SERVICE.

52. ——— **Warrant for apprehension of witness.**—*Committal of witness in default of appearance.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 188.*—Section 188 only empowers a Magistrate to issue a warrant for the apprehension of a witness when he has reason to believe that the witness will not attend to give evidence without being compelled to do so, and it does not empower a Magistrate to commit a witness. IN THE MATTER OF MAHESH CHANDRA BANERJEE. QUEEN *v.* PURNA CHANDRA BANERJEE. QUEEN *v.* KALI SIRKAR [4 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W. R., Cr., 1

4. SWEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES.

53. ——— **Oath or affirmation.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 199.—Memorandum of deposition.*—A witness may be examined either on oath or on solemn affirmation, but he cannot both be sworn and put on solemn affirmation at the same time. The memorandum required by section 199 of the Code of Criminal Procedure should always be appended to the depositions. QUEEN *v.* HOSSEIN SIRDAR . . . . . 13 W. R., Cr., 17

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES.

(*a*) GENERALLY.

54. ——— **Power of Court to dispense with examination of witnesses.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 362.*—Section 362 of the Code of Criminal Procedure did not give a Magistrate discretion to dispense with the examination of witnesses summoned by the prosecution. QUEEN *v.* PARASURAMA NAIKAR . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 329

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

(*a*) GENERALLY—*continued.*

Power of Court to dispense with examination of witnesses—*continued.*

55. ——— *Commitment without examining witnesses.*—Where a Magistrate committed a person charged with perjury in a trial before himself to the Sessions without examining the witnesses for the prosecution,—*Held* that the commitment was illegal. QUEEN *v.* CHINNA VEDAGIRI CHETTI . . . . . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 227

QUEEN *v.* SEEENATH MOOKHOPADHYA [7 W. R., Cr., 45

DINONATH GOFE *v.* SARODA MOOKHOPADHYA [7 W. R., Cr., 47

56. ——— *Power of interference of High Court.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 363.*—Where it was not shown that there were any witnesses forthcoming for examination other than those whom the Sessions Judge did examine, the Court refused, with reference to section 363, Code of Criminal Procedure, to interfere with the Sessions Judge's proceedings. QUEEN *v.* JUMDEM SINGH . . . . 12 W. R., Cr., 73

57. ——— **Duty of defence as to calling witnesses.**—*Inference from failure to call witnesses.*—A prisoner or his counsel is at liberty to offer evidence or not as he thinks proper, and no inference unfavourable to him can be drawn because he takes one course rather than another. HURRY CHURN CHUCKERBUTTY *v.* EMPRESS [I. L. R., 10 Calc., 140: 13 C. L. R., 358

58. ——— **Duty of prosecution as to calling witnesses.**—*Inferences to be drawn on failure to call witnesses.—Misdirection*—It is *primâ facie* the duty of the prosecution to call all the witnesses who prove their connection with the transactions connected with the prosecution, and who must be able to give important information. If such witnesses are not called without sufficient reason being shown, the Court may properly draw an inference adverse to the prosecution. The only thing that can relieve the prosecutor from calling such witnesses, is the reasonable belief that, if called, they would not speak the truth. No such corresponding inference can be drawn against an accused. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF DHUNNO KAZI. EMPRESS *v.* DHUNNO KAZI . I. L. R., 8 Calc., 121

S. C. DHUNNO KAZI *v.* EMPRESS [10 C. L. R., 151

59. ——— *Obligation to call witnesses examined before Magistrate.*—Where a Sessions Judge gave it as a sufficient reason for the non-production of certain witnesses in Court on the part of the prosecution, that they had been examined by the committing Magistrate against the express wish of the police officer in charge of the prosecution,—*Held* that that was not a valid ground for the non-production of the witnesses in the Sessions Court. In conducting a case for the prosecution, all

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

(*a*) GENERALLY—*continued.*

Duty of prosecution as to calling witnesses—*continued.*

the persons who are alleged or known to have knowledge of the facts ought to be brought before the Court and examined. QUEEN-EMPRESS *v.* RAM SAHAI LALL . . I. L. R., 10 Calc. ,1070

60. ——— *Trial in Sessions Court.—Non-production of material witnesses for Crown.—Duty of public prosecutor.*—It is the duty of the Public Prosecutor at a trial before the Court of Session to call and examine all material witnesses sent up to the Court on behalf of the prosecution, and the Judge is bound to hear all the evidence upon the charge. The Public Prosecutor is not bound to call any witnesses who will not, in his opinion, speak the truth or support the points he desires to establish by their evidence; but in such circumstances he should explain to the Court that this is his reason for not calling those witnesses, and he should offer to put them in the box for cross-examination by the accused at their discretion. In the absence of any such explanation, or of other reasonable grounds apparent on the face of the proceedings, inferences unfavourable to the prosecution must be drawn from the non-production of its witnesses. QUEEN-EMPRESS *v.* TULLA . . I. L. R., 7 All., 904

61. ——— *Revival of prosecution.—Presidency Magistrates Act (IV of 1877), s. 87, expl. 2.*—A "revival of a prosecution," as mentioned in explanation 2 of section 87 of Act IV of 1877, is not a continuation of the original prosecution from which the accused has been discharged. On the revival of the prosecution, all the witnesses on whose evidence the prosecution intend to rely must be examined before the Magistrate; and if any of them were examined at the time of the original prosecution, they must be examined *de novo.* EMPRESS *v.* CHUNDER NATH DUTT

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 121: 4 C. L. R., 305

62. ——— **Witnesses for prosecution.**—*Witness examined by prosecution after defence.*—It is irregular to allow a witness to be examined on behalf of the prosecution after the prisoner has made his defence, when the witness is not one to contradict any new case set up by the prisoner. QUEEN *v.* CHOTEY LAL . . . . . 3 N. W., 271

QUEEN *v.* SHAMKISHORE HOLDAR

[13 W. R., Cr., 36

Where, however, the prisoner had full notice of the evidence which was to be given by such witness, and made his defence, in allusion to the evidence of the witness, the High Court refused to set aside the conviction, having regard to section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. QUEEN *v.* SHAM KISHORE HOLDAR . . . . . 13 W. R., Cr., 36

63. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 372.—Recalling witness for prosecution.*—Under section 372 of the Code of Criminal

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

(*a*) GENERALLY—*continued.*

Witnesses for prosecution—*continued.*

Procedure an accused should be called upon to enter upon his defence and to produce his evidence when the case for the prosecution has been brought to a close. Where, therefore, one witness for the prosecution was recalled after the prisoner had made his defence, and the prisoner had no opportunity of calling evidence with reference to the evidence of that witness, the High Court quashed the conviction and ordered a new trial. QUEEN *v.* ASSANOOLLAH

[13 W. R., Cr., 15

64. ——— **Witnesses for defence.**—*Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 372.—Duty of Court as to witnesses for defence.*—Under section 372 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the accused should be asked, at the end of the case for the prosecution, to produce his evidence, and it is at that point the duty of the Court of Session to ascertain who the witnesses are whom the prisoner desires to examine in his defence. QUEEN *v.* MOOKUN

[12 W. R., Cr., 22

65. ——— *Omission to examine witnesses for accused.*—The Court quashed the sentence which was passed upon a prisoner who had not been asked if he had any witness to call, although he was tried at the same time with others who had been so asked. BHUGWAN *v.* DOYAL GOPE

[10 W. R., Cr., 7

66. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 266.—Witnesses attending voluntarily.*—In cases coming under Chapter XXV of Act XXV of 1861, to which section 266 applied, and not section 252, the Magistrate was not obliged to call on the accused to produce his witnesses, but he was bound to hear them if they attended voluntarily, as by section 266, read with section 262, they were supposed to do. IN RE BHIKA ROY . . . . . 7 B. L. R., 568, note

S. C. BHIKHA ROY *v.* DHOTUN ROY

[10 W. R., Cr., 36

67. ——— *Obligation of Magistrate to hear witnesses.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 266.*—Section 266 of the Code of Criminal Procedure only required the Magistrate to hear such witnesses as the accused shall produce in his defence. ANONYMOUS . . 4 Mad., Ap., 29

QUEEN *v.* AMEER CHAND NOHATTA

[13 W. R., Cr., 63

68. ——— *Refusal of Court to allow witness for defence to be examined.—Illegal conviction.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 266.*—Conviction set aside on the ground of the Magistrate's irregularity in refusing, in a trial before him under Chapter XV of the Criminal Procedure Code, to allow the examination of a witness who had been tendered on behalf of the accused. QUEEN *v.* MAHIMA CHANDRA CHUCKERBUTTY

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 77 : 12 W. R., Cr., 77

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

(*a*) GENERALLY—*continued.*

69. ——— Recording evidence of witness.—*Obligation to record evidence of witnesses.*—If a person is before the Court as a witness his evidence must be recorded as the law directs; if he is not a witness, and is not examined as such, the Judge has no right to allude to his having made any statement. QUEEN *v.* PHOOLCHAND *alias* PHOLERI AHIR . . . . 8 W. R., Cr., 11

70. ——— *Note of deposition.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 195.*—A separate note of each witness's deposition was required to be taken by section 195 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1861, which was not satisfied by a statement that a witness "deposes as last witness." REG. *v.* BYNA VALAD SURJIM . . . 1 Bom., 91

71. ——— Mode of examination.—*Examination in absence of accused.*—It is illegal to examine the witnesses for the defence and to pass sentence in the absence of the accused. BIHOORAM *v.* ALLAHO KOLITA . . 1 B. L. R., S. N., 8
QUEEN *v.* RAMNATH . . 7 W. R., Cr., 45

72. ——— *Examination in absence of accused.*—Where witnesses are not examined in the presence of the accused, the conviction will be quashed. QUEEN *v.* LALLA CHOWBEY [2 N. W., 49
QUEEN *v.* RAMNATH . . 7 W. R., Cr., 45
ANONYMOUS . . . . 3 Mad., Ap., 34
QUEEN *v.* RAJCOOMAR SINGH . 8 W. R., Cr., 17
QUEEN *v.* RAMDHUN SINGH . 11 W. R., Cr., 22
QUEEN *v.* RAM DASS BOISTUB [11 W. R., Cr., 35
QUEEN *v.* RUSSICK DOSS . 24 W. R., Cr., 76
ALI MEAH *v.* MAGISTRATE OF CHITTAGONG [25 W. R., Cr., 14

73. ——— *Evidence not taken in presence of accused.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 194.*—When the accused has been arrested, the evidence of a witness for the prosecution ought, under section 194 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to be taken in the presence of the accused. QUEEN *v.* HOSSAIN ALI CHOWDHRY [8 W. R., Cr., 74

74. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 327.—Evidence taken in absence of accused.*—Under section 327, Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, the witnesses for the prosecution should be examined in the presence of the accused when practicable, notwithstanding that their statements have been previously recorded in his absence. QUEEN *v.* BOCHA CHOWKEEDAR [22 W. R., Cr., 33

75. ——— *Evidence taken in absence of accused.—Warrant cases.*—It is not irregular in a warrant case for a Deputy Magistrate to take the evidence of the complainant and certain witnesses on behalf of the prosecution in the absence of the accused. All that the accused has a right to expect after the charge has been framed is that the complainant and witnesses who had been examined in his presence before the charge was framed should be recalled for the purposes of cross-examination. QUEEN *v.* KASSY SINGH. QUEEN *v.* HULKOREE SINGH . . . . 21 W. R., Cr., 61

76. ——— *Depositions taken in absence of accused.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 327.*—Section 327 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, which permitted the depositions of a witness to be taken in the absence of an accused person who had absconded, did not apply to a deposition taken before that Code was passed. Where section 327 did apply, it was necessary to show that when the former deposition was taken the accused had absconded and after due pursuit could not be arrested. QUEEN *v.* ETWAREE DHAREE . 21 W. R., Cr., 12

77. ——— *Duty of committing Magistrate.—Examination on oath in absence of accused.—Statements of witnesses.*—The Magistrate to whom a complaint was made, examined certain persons on oath in the absence of the accused, merely for the purpose of ascertaining whether there was any, and what, case against the prisoners; and he did not take down in writing the statements of the persons so examined. *Held* that the Magistrate was wrong in examining the witnesses on oath in the absence of the accused, or for the purpose of finding out whether there was a case; but that, having done so, he was not bound to take down their statements in writing. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ASGUR HOSSEIN. EMPRESS *v.* ASGUR HOSSEIN [I. L. R., 6 Calc., 774
S. C. IN RE ASGUR HOSSEIN . 8 C. L. R., 124

78. ——— *Examination of, in absence of accused.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 327.—Power to quash commitment.*—An accused who was charged with murder not being found, the witnesses were examined under section 327 of Act X of 1872 in his absence. The accused was subsequently arrested and committed on the strength of the evidence taken in his absence. Before the Sessions Court he pleaded not guilty. *Held* that the prisoner having been put upon his trial and having pleaded, the commitment could not be quashed. *Held*, further, that if, in the course of a trial, the Sessions Judge should be of opinion that the prosecution has not laid a proper basis for the reception of evidence in the absence of the accused, his proper course is to adjourn the trial under section 264 of the Criminal Procedure Code, and then under section 351 summon such witnesses as he may deem material. *Semble.*—The mere absence of questions in the record of a prisoner's statement does not render it inadmissible. IN THE MATTER OF EMPRESS *v.* SAGAMBUR . . . . . 12 C. L. R., 120

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

(*a*) GENERALLY—*continued.*

Mode of examination—*continued.*

79. ——— *Reading deposition of witness.*—In every Sessions trial, no matter how often the case has been before the Court, the witnesses must be examined *de novo* in the same manner as if the case were entirely new and the witnesses had not been examined before. To read to a witness his deposition on a former trial is not an examination of the witness in the presence of the accused. QUEEN v. KYAMUT W. R., 1864, Cr., 1

QUEEN v. AFFAZUDDEEN W. R., 1864, Cr., 13

QUEEN v. KANYE SHEIKH [W. R., 1864, Cr., 38

QUEEN v. KALUNDAR DOSS . 2 N. W., 100

See also QUEEN v. MOHUN BANFOR [22 W. R., Cr, 38

80. ——— *Reading depositions instead of examining witnesses de novo.*—The High Court refused to interfere when the evidence of witnesses given on a previous trial was read over and used in a subsequent trial at the express request of the prisoners, instead of the witnesses being examined *de novo*. PUMMESSUR SINGH v. SOROOP AUDHI KAREE . . . 13 W. R., Cr., 40

81. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 288.—Trial before Court of Session.—Evidence given before committing Magistrate used at trial to contradict witnesses.*—Section 288 of the Criminal Procedure Code was never intended to be used so as to enable a Court trying a cause to take a witness's deposition bodily from the committing Magistrate's record, and to treat it as evidence before the Court itself. *Queen* v. *Amanulla, 12 B. L. R., Ap., 15,* referred to. A Judge is bound to put to the witnesses whom he proposes to contradict by their statements made before the committing Magistrate, the whole or such portions of their depositions as he intends to rely upon in his decision, so as to afford them an opportunity of explaining their meaning, or denying that they had made any such statements, and so forth. In a case in which the Sessions Court had neglected to apply the above rules, STRAIGHT, *J.*, quashed the conviction. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. DAN SAHAI . . . . I. L. R., 7 All., 862

82. ——— Witness offering hearsay evidence.—*Duty of Court.*—The moment a witness commences giving evidence which is inadmissible—*e.g.*, hearsay evidence—he should be stopped by the Court. It is not safe to rely on a subsequent exhortation to the jury to reject the hearsay evidence, and to decide on the legal evidence alone. QUEEN v. PITTAMBUR SIRDAR . . 7 W. R., Cr., 25

QUEEN v. KALI CHURN GANGOOLY [7 W. R., Cr., 2

83. ——— Refreshing memory of witness.—*Ground for inspecting document to refresh memory of witness.—Right to inspect documents.—Per* FIELD, *J.*—The grounds upon which the opposite party is permitted to inspect a writing, and to refresh the memory of a witness, are threefold: (i) to secure the full benefit of the witness's recollection as to the whole of the facts; (ii) to check the use of improper documents; (iii) to compare his oral testimony with his written statement. *Per* FIELD, *J.*—The opposite party has a right to look at any particular writing before or at the moment when the witness uses it to refresh his memory in order to answer a particular question; but if he then neglects to exercise his right, he cannot continue to retain the right throughout the whole of the subsequent examination of the witness. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF JHUBBOO MAHTON. EMPRESS v. JHUBBOO-MAHTON [I. L. R., 8 Calc., 739

S. C. JHUBBOO MAHTON v. EMPRESS [12 C. L. R., 233

84. ——— *Memorandum made by police officer.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 119.*—In giving evidence a police officer may refresh his memory by referring to documents in which he has, under, section 119 of Act X of 1872, reduced into writing statements of persons examined by him during an investigation, but the documents themselves cannot be used as evidence, and a Judge should not read such documents to a jury in order to point out discrepancies between the evidence and previous statements of the witnesses. ROGHUNI SINGH v. EMPRESS . I. L. R., 9 Calc., 455: 11 C. L. R., 569

85. ——— *Memorandum made by police officer.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), ss. 119 and 126.*—A prisoner on his trial is not entitled to insist that a memorandum made by a police officer under the provisions of section 119 of the Code of Criminal Procedure shall, in the course of the examination of such officer, be referred to by the latter for the purpose of refreshing his memory. *Reg.* v. *Uttamchand Kapurchand, 11 Bom., 123,* distinguished. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF KALI CHURN CHUNARI. EMPRESS v. KALI CHURN CHUNARI . . I. L. R., 8 Calc., 154

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF KALI CHURN CHUNARI [10 C. L. R., 51

86. ——— *Medical witness, Evidence of.—Experts.—Examination of medical witness examined before Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 323.*—The evidence of a medical man who has seen, and has made a *post-mortem* examination of the corpse of the person touching whose death the inquiry is, is admissible, firstly, to prove the nature of injuries which he observed; and, secondly, as evidence of the opinion of an expert as to the manner in which those injuries were inflicted, and as to the cause of death. A medical man who has not seen the corpse is only in a position to give evidence of his opinion as an expert. The proper mode of eliciting

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

(a) GENERALLY—*continued.*

Refreshing memory of witness—*continued.* such evidence is to put to the witness hypothetically the facts which the evidence of the other witnesses attempts to prove, and to ask the witness's opinion on those facts. Section 323 of Act X of 1872 did not in any way preclude the Judge at a Sessions trial from calling and examining the medical witness who had been examined before the Magistrate, and in every case in which the deposition taken by the Magistrate is essentially deficient or requires further elucidation, such witness should be called and examined by the Sessions Judge. A medical man in giving evidence may refresh his memory by referring to a report which he has made of his *post-mortem* examination, but the report itself cannot be treated as evidence, and no facts can be taken therefrom. ROGHUNI SINGH *v.* EMPRESS

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 455: 11 C. L. R., 569

87. ——— **Treatment by Court of witnesses for defence.**—When a prisoner makes a distinct defence, and calls witnesses to prove it, instead of dismissing the witnesses at once on their saying they know nothing in the prisoner's favour, a Judge should put a few questions to them in detail to see if there is any truth in the prisoner's statement or any part of it. QUEEN *v.* BHUGNEE PUTWA

[11 W. R., Cr., 9

(b) EXAMINATION BY COURT.

88. ——— **Examination of witness by Judge.**—*Evidence Act, s. 138.—Duty of Court in examining witnesses.*—At a trial before a Sessions Court, the Judge, on the examination-in-chief of the witnesses for the prosecution being finished, questioned the witnesses at considerable length upon the points to which he must have known that the cross-examination would certainly and properly be directed. *Held* that such a course of procedure was irregular, and opposed to the provisions of section 138 of the Evidence Act It is not the province of the Court to examine the witnesses, unless the pleaders on either side have omitted to put some material question or questions; and the Court should, as a general rule, leave the witnesses to the pleaders to be dealt with as laid down in section 138 of the Act. NOOR BUX KAZI *v.* EMPRESS

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 279: 7 C. L. R., 385

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION.

89. ——— **Duty of Court as to allowing cross-examination.**—*Cross-examination of witnesses by accused.*—The Judge ought, if requested, to allow the accused an opportunity of cross-examining all witnesses whose depositions have been taken for the prosecution by the committing Magistrate, but whose evidence is dispensed with by the prosecutor at the trial. His refusal to do so is, however, not an error in law. REG. *v.* FATTECHAND VASTACHAND . . . . 5 Bom., Cr., 85

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION—*continued.*

90. ——— **Right to cross-examine.**—*Right of accused to cross-examine witnesses.*—The right of an accused party to cross-examine witnesses is limited to a right to cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution called against him. If he wishes to avail himself of evidence which has been given, or which can be given, by a witness called for another of the parties accused, he must call him as his own witness. QUEEN *v.* SUBROOPCHUNDER PAUL

[12 W. R., Cr., 75

91. ——— *Evidence Act, s. 165.—Witness called by the Court.*—Witnesses summoned on behalf of the prosecution, and not called, ought to be placed in the box for cross-examination, in order that the defence may have the opportunity of exercising this right, and *à fortiori*, if such a witness is called and examined by the Court under section 165 of the Evidence Act, the prisoner should be allowed to cross-examine. IN THE MATTER OF EMPRESS *v.* GREISH CHUNDER TALUKDAR

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 614: 5 C. L. R., 364

92. ——— *Hostile witness.—Evidence Act, s. 154.*—The mere fact that at a Sessions trial a witness tells a different story from that told by him before the Magistrate does not necessarily make him hostile. The proper inference to be drawn from contradictions going to the whole texture of the story is not that the witness is hostile to this side or to that, but that the witness is one who ought not to be believed unless supported by other satisfactory evidence. KALACHAND SIRKAR *v.* QUEEN-EMPRESS I. L. R., 13 Calc., 53

93. ——— *Medical witness.*—As to cross-examination by accused of medical witness called in a professional capacity, *see* QUEEN *v.* ISHAN DUTT

[6 B. L. R., Ap., 88: 15 W. R., Cr., 34

94. ——— *Evidence Act (II of 1855), s. 34.—Cross-examination on previous statements reduced to writing.*—The complainant's pleader was held to be at liberty before the Deputy Magistrate to cross-examine the witnesses for the defence on points respecting which they had made statements before the Joint Magistrate, and he might do so as regards previous statements which were reduced to writing, without showing the writing. Section 34, Act II of 1855, explained. TUKHEYA RAI *v.* TUPSEE KOER . . . . 15 W. R., Cr., 23

95. ——— *Evidence Act, 1855, s. 23.—Cross-examination on previous statements reduced to writing.*—A witness when under examination-in-chief before the Court of Sessions should not have his attention directed to his deposition before the Magistrate. He might, under section 23, Act II of 1855, be cross-examined as to previous statements made by him in writing, when his attention might be drawn to the parts of the former writing which were to be used for the purpose of contradicting him. QUEEN *v.* RAMCHUNDER SIRCAR

[13 W. R., Cr., 18

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

(*c*) CROSS-EXAMINATION—*continued.*

96. ——— **Right of witness on cross-examination.**—*Right to qualify statements.*—A witness ought to be allowed on cross-examination to qualify or correct any statement which he has made in his examination-in-chief. QUEEN *v.* TULSI DOSADH . . . . . 18 W. R., Cr., 57

97. ——— **Right to recall witnesses for cross-examination.**—*Cross-examination of, by accused.—Witnesses for defence.—Record of evidence.*—The charge having been read to the accused person, he stated his defence to the same, upon which the Magistrate, the witnesses for the prosecution being in attendance, called upon the accused to cross-examine them. The accused refused to do so until he had examined the witnesses for the defence who were not in attendance. The Magistrate then discharged the witnesses for the prosecution and adjourned the trial for the production of the witnesses for the defence. *Held per* SPANKIE, *J.*, that the accused was not entitled to have the witnesses for the prosecution summoned, in order that they might be cross-examined by the accused on the date fixed for the examination of the witnesses for the defence. *Held*, also, *per* SPANKIE, *J.*, that the Magistrate was empowered to record both oral and documentary evidence after the witnesses for the defence had been examined. EMPRESS OF INDIA *v.* BALDEO SAHAI [I. L. R., 2 All., 253

98. ——— **Cross-examination after reading depositions.**—*Irregularity in examination.—Criminal Procedure Code, ss. 286, 288.*—At a trial before a Sessions Court, the attorney who appeared for the prisoner suggested to the Court that, to expedite the trial, certain depositions of witnesses for the prosecution, taken before the Magistrate, should be read, and that he should be allowed to cross-examine the witnesses thereupon: to this course the Government Prosecutor and the Court consented. *Held* that this procedure was illegal, but that, inasmuch as it had not occasioned a failure of justice, a new trial should not be granted. SUBBA *v.* QUEEN-EMPRESS . I. L. R., 9 Mad., 83

99. ——— **Cross-examination of witness after his examination by the Court.**—*Evidence Act (I of 1872), s. 155.*—The principle that parties cannot, without the leave of the Court, cross-examine a witness whom, the parties having already examined or declined to examine, the Court itself has examined, applies equally whether it is intended to direct the cross-examination to the witness's statements of fact, or to circumstances touching his credibility, for any question meant to impair his credit tends (or is designed) to get rid of the effect of each and every answer, just as much as one that may bring out an inconsistency or contradiction, section 155 of Act I of 1872. REG. *v.* SAKHARAM MUKUNDJI . . . . . 11 Bom., 166

10. ——— **Recalling witnesses for cross-examination.**—*Refusal to recall witness.*—

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

(*c*) CROSS-EXAMINATION—*continued.*

Recalling witnesses for cross-examination—*continued.*

When the charge had been framed and the defendant put on his defence, he had a right, under section 218 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, to have the prosecutor's witnesses recalled for the purpose of cross-examination. The claim to recall the witnesses for the prosecution was very different from the request made by the accused person to summon a witness under section 362, Act X of 1872. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF BELLIOS. BELLIOS *v.* QUEEN ON THE PROSECUTION OF KALI DOSS BANERJEE [19 W. R., Cr., 53

101. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 252.*—A Magistrate could not refuse to allow witnesses whom he allowed to be cross-examined by the accused previous to the preparation of a charge to be recalled and cross-examined after the accused had been put upon his defence, under section 252 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, treating them as witnesses for the prosecution. IN THE MATTER OF THAKOOR DYAL SEN [17 W. R., Cr., 51

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF NOBIN CHAND BANERJEE . 25 W. R., Cr., 32

102. ——— *Warrant cases.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, Ch. XVII.*—In the trial of warrant cases the accused may, after the charge is drawn up and the witnesses for the defence have been examined, recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution. TALLURI VENKAYYA *v.* QUEEN . . . . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 130

103. ——— *Right of accused to cross-examine witness.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 218.*—An accused person had, under section 218 of Act X of 1872, the right to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution at any time while he was engaged on his defence and before his trial was concluded. He is not precluded from asserting and exercising the right, by reason of his having cross-examined them before he was put on his defence, or by reason of his not having, *suo motu*, expressed his wish to do so at the time he was called upon to enter on his defence, and when the witnesses were in attendance in the Court and did not require to be re-summoned. QUEEN *v.* LALL SINGH [6 N. W., 270

104. ——— *Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 218.—Recall of witnesses for prosecution.*—Under section 218 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, a Magistrate is not competent to refuse to recall the witnesses for the prosecution to be cross-examined by the accused, and it is not necessary for the accused to show that he has reasonable grounds for his application. QUEEN *v.* AMIRUDDIN FAKEER . . . . . 21 W. R., Cr., 29

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—*continued.*

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION—*continued.*

Recalling witnesses for cross-examination—*continued.*

105. ——————— *Cross-examination previous to framing of charge.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 218.*—An accused person was held to be not deprived of the right given him by section 218, Act X of 1872, to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution after the charge had been drawn up against him, by reason of the witnesses having been cross-examined before the charge was framed. A Magistrate should not of his own motion discharge the witnesses for the prosecution until the accused person has exercised or waived the right of cross-examination given him by the section. When it becomes necessary to adjourn the hearing the Magistrate should in all cases enquire of the accused if he desires to exercise his right of recalling the witnesses for the prosecution, or consents to the discharge of all or any of them. If the accused consents to their discharge, and they are discharged accordingly, he is not entitled to have them re-summoned as a matter of right. Where it became necessary to adjourn the hearing, and the Magistrate did not call upon the accused to exercise his right under the section, and there was no sufficient proof that the accused consented to the discharge of the witnesses for the prosecution, it was held that the accused was entitled to have the witnesses, whom he desired to cross-examine at the further hearing, re-summoned. *Quere.*—If the Magistrate before granting an adjournment called upon the accused to exercise his right of recalling the witnesses for the prosecution, and the accused refused to do so at that time, whether the Magistrate would thereupon be at liberty to discharge the witnesses. QUEEN *v.* LALL MAHOMED . . . . . 6 N. W., 1284

106. ——————— *Right of accused to cross-examine witnesses.—Examination of accused.—Discretion of Magistrate.*—An accused should be allowed, at preliminary inquiries before a Magistrate, to cross-examine the witnesses; but whether the accused himself shall be examined upon the matter of the charge by the Magistrate is left entirely to the discretion of the Magistrate and such discretion should not be exercised when the Magistrate thinks that the evidence for the prosecution does not disclose any proper subject of criminal charge against the prisoner. QUEEN *v.* SHAMA SUNKUR BISWAS . . . 10 W. R., Cr., 25

107. ——————— *Right of accused to recall witnesses for prosecution.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), ss. 217, 218.*—Reading sections 217 and 218 of the Criminal Procedure Code together, it appears that, if an accused person desires to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution, the time at which he should express such desire is when the charge is read over to him and he is called upon to make his defence; and although it is in the discretion of the Magistrate to recall the witnesses at a subsequent stage of the case, the accused has no right to insist upon the witnesses being recalled. FAIZ ALI *v.* KOROMDI [I. L. R., 7 Calc., 28

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF FAIZ ALI [8 C. L. R., 325

108. ——————— *Cross-examination of witnesses for the prosecution.*—As a rule the proper and convenient time for the purpose of cross-examination of the witnesses for the prosecution is at the commencement of the accused person's defence; but it is in the discretion of the Criminal Court to allow the accused to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution at any period of the defence when the Court may think such a step right and proper. KHUBRUCKDHAREE SINGH *v.* PERSHADEE MUNDUL . . . . [22 W. R., Cr., 44

109. ——————— *Refusal to allow accused to recall witnesses for prosecution.—Waiver of right by accused.*—Where certain accused persons, who were convicted of using criminal force, had not been allowed to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution, because the trying officer believed that such witnesses could only be recalled immediately after the framing of the charge,—*Held* that accused persons always had a right to recall prosecution witnesses, which ceased only when they themselves waived it; that Magistrates could waive all inconvenience to witnesses by asking accused persons, on the drawing up of charges, whether they required the further attendance of the witnesses; and that the conviction must be set aside because the accused had not enjoyed the protection provided by the law. QUEEN *v.* RAM KISHAN HALWAI [25 W. R., Cr., 48

6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE.

110. ——— Weight of evidence.—*Single witness.—Evidence of fact.*—The evidence of one witness, if reliable, is sufficient to prove a fact. ZALEM MISSER *v.* KUNDUN KOOER . [11 W. R., 194

BALINDUR NARAIN *v.* KALLA MESSOO KOOS [18 W. R., 341

PROSONNO NARAIN DEB *v.* ROMONEE DOSSEE [10 W. R., 236

111. ——— Discrepancies in evidence of witnesses.—*Effect of discrepancies.*—Discrepancies in the evidence of witnesses are not the less destructive of their testimony because a greater sagacity on the part of the witnesses would have avoided them. REG. *v.* KALU PATIL . . 11 Bom., 146

112. ——— Consideration of evidence. —*Assumption of bad character of prisoner.*—A Judge cannot properly weigh evidence which starts

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—*continued.*

6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE—*continued.*

Consideration of evidence—*continued.*

with an assumption of the general bad character of the prisoners. QUEEN *v.* KALU MAL [7 W. R., Cr., 103

113. ——— **Value of evidence.**—*Value of evidence of medical witness.*—In trying a prisoner charged with giving false evidence, a Sessions Judge rejected facts which were proved by the evidence of certain witnesses, because a medical officer gave it as his opinion that what the witnesses deposed to could not be true. *Held* that it was not the proper way to try a case to rely on mere theories of medical men or skilled witnesses of any sort against facts positively proved. QUEEN *v.* AHMED ALLY [11 W. R., Cr., 25

WORKMAN.

See ACT XIII OF 1859. [2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 32
I. L. R., 7 Mad., 100
I. L. R., 10 Bom., 96
I. L. R., 7 Bom., 379

WRITTEN STATEMENT.

See ADMISSION — ADMISSIONS IN STATEMENTS AND PLEADINGS. [B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 904
1 B. L. R., A. C., 133
9 W. R., 83, 130, 290
22 W. R., 220
16 W. R., 257

See FALSE EVIDENCE—GENERALLY. [I. L. R., 6 All., 626

1. ——— **Form and contents of written statement.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 123.—Defendant's written statement.—Variance between pleading and proof.*—Section 123 of the Civil Procedure Code contemplated that a defendant should, in his written statement, set forth the case he intends to make at the trial. The rule followed in *Eshenchunder Singh* v. *Shamachurn Bhutto, 11 Moore's I. A., 7; Mohummud Zahoor Ali Khan* v. *Rutta Koer, 11 Moore's I. A., 468;* and *Narainee Dossee* v. *Nurrohurry Mohuto, Marsh., 70,* that a plaintiff must be held to the state of facts and equities alleged and pleaded by him in his plaint, or involved in or consistent therewith, applies also to the case made on the pleadings by a defendant. Therefore, where the defendant in a suit in ejectment averred in his written statement that the land in dispute was in fact his, but had previously to 1865 been encroached on by the plaintiff, who, in 1865, was about to erect a building thereon, and that the defendant then, in order to avoid litigation, compromised the dispute by payment to the plaintiff of a sum of money, and purchased the land, and had since then remained in possession of it,—*Held* that the only defence open to the defendant was that of purchase, and that he could not be allowed at the trial to prove a case of continuous user and possession adverse to the plaintiff commencing before 1865. CHOVA KARA *v.* ISA BIN KHALIFA [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 209

WRITTEN STATEMENT.—**Form and contents of written statement**—*continued.*

2. ——— *Argumentative statement.*—A written statement should not be argumentative. BISHEN SAHAYE SINGH *v.* BEER KISHORE SINGH . . . . 8 W. R., 296

3. ——— *Offer without prejudice.—Irrelevant matter.—Act VIII of 1859, s. 124.*—An offer without prejudice should be omitted from the pleadings. In a suit where the written statement of the plaintiff contained letters relative to an offer made by the defendants without prejudice, the Court ordered, on the application of the defendant, that the paragraphs of the written statement relating to the offer should be struck out. HALFORD *v.* EAST INDIAN RAILWAY COMPANY [12 B. L. R., Ap., 19

4. ——— *Irrelevant matter.—Application to take written statement off the file.*—Where there was in a written statement matter irrelevant and improper, the Court, on application, ordered it to be taken off the file, with leave to file a fresh one in a week. Written statements should set out the *bonâ fide* nature of the defence, and nothing else. KASUBLAL DEY *v.* TREMBEARNE [3 B. L. R., Ap., 12

5. ——— *Taking off the file for irrelevancy.—Relevant matter.—Tender of written statement.*—The Court has jurisdiction to take a written statement off the file, for irrelevancy, until it is "tendered," which is when it is produced at the hearing of the suit. "Relevancy" is to be judged by what the defendant believed to be material to his case, and not whether it did in fact disclose a good defence to the action. KESHAVJI NAIK *v.* NASARVANJI ARDESIR WADIA . 10 Bom., 425

6. ——— **Court fee on written statement.**—*Code of Civil Procedure (Act VIII of 1859), s. 120.—Act X of 1877, s. 110.—Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), s. 19.*—A written statement of his case, tendered by a party to a suit at any time before or at the first hearing of the suit, is not liable to any court fee, and may be written on plain paper (section 110 of Act X of 1877). A written statement called for by the Court after the first hearing, is also exempt from stamp duty (section 19 of Act VII of 1870). NAGU *v.* YENNATH [I. L. R., 5 Bom., 400

CHERAG ALI *v.* KADIR MAHOMED [12 C. L. R., 367

7. ——— **Verification of written statement.**—*Admission on record without verification.*—A written statement filed by the defendant should be verified, but if admitted on the record without verification its allegations should be noticed and issues framed accordingly. RADHACHURN ROY *v.* MORAN & Co. . . . . 13 W. R., 342

WRITTEN STATEMENT.—Verification of written statement—*continued.*

8. ——————— *Application to verify.—Notice.—Practice.*—Where an application is made that a written statement be verified by a person other than the plaintiff or defendant, it is always desirable that notice be given to the other side, although not absolutely necessary. FINLAY, CAMPBELL, & Co., *v.* STEELE [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 39

9. ——————— *Application to verify by agent.—Notice.*—The Court will allow a written statement to be verified by the constituted attorney of the party without notice to the other side. OVEREND, GURNEY, & Co., *v.* STEELE [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40

10. ——— **Filing written statement.**—*Time for filing.*—Under the Code of Civil Procedure a plaintiff cannot file a written statement after having seen that of the defendants, and by way of rejoinder thereto. JADUB RAM DEB *alias* JADUB CHUNDER DEB *v.* RAM LOCHUN MUDUCK [5 W. R., 56

11. ——————— *Filing and verifying written statement on behalf of plaintiff.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 28, 123.*—The plaintiff in a suit went on a pilgrimage after he had been ordered to file a written statement, but without having filed it. Not having returned when the case was on the board, his son applied, under sections 28 and 123 of Act VIII of 1859, for leave to verify and file a statement, alleging himself to be interested as a reversioner. The application was refused. *Held* that a third party will not be allowed to verify and file a written statement for a plaintiff who has culpably neglected to file one himself. DENOMOYE DOSSEE *v.* TARRACHURN COONDOO CHOWDHRY [Bourke, O. C., 153

12. ——— **Admission of written statements.**—*Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 120, 122.*—The admission of written statements of the parties on various dates, unless expressly called for by the Court, was held to be contrary to the provisions of sections 120 and 122 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1859. ALI NUKEE *alias* EMDAD ALI *v.* TORAB ALI *alias* MIRZA NAWAB . W. R., 1864, 44

13. ——— **Written statement by third party.**—*Power of Court.*—A Court has no authority to receive a written statement in a suit from one who is not a party, or to permit such a person to appear at the hearing. SUENOMOYEE *v.* BYKUNT CHUNDER MUSTOFEE . . . 25 W. R., 17

14. ——— **Defendant neglecting to put in statement.**—*Adjournment of case.—Costs.*—In the event of a defendant neglecting to furnish a written statement, the Court will examine him as to the grounds of his defence; and should it appear desirable that a written statement should be put in, the case will be adjourned for that purpose at the expense of the defaulting party. RAMBUTTON *v.* ORIENTAL INLAND STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY [2 Hyde, 89

WRITTEN STATEMENT—*continued.*

15. ——— **Additional written statement.**—*Practice.—Act VIII of 1859, s. 122.*—An application by the defendant for leave to file an additional written statement allowed on condition of the defendant paying the whole costs and furnishing a copy of the additional statement to the plaintiff free of charge. Distinction made between such an application by a plaintiff and one made by a defendant. DASMANI DASI *v.* SRINATH GHOSE [3 B. L. R., Ap., 11

16. ——————— *Supplemental statements, Filing of.*—Supplemental written statements cannot be filed after the parties have entered upon their case at the hearing. MUNCHERSHAW BEZONJI *v.* NEW DHURUMSEY SPINNING & WEAVING COMPANY . . . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 576

17. ——————— *Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 122.*—A Court was held not to have done wrong in admitting a supplemental written statement which it had called for under section 122, Civil Procedure Code, 1859, which did not add to or vary the plaintiff's claim. JAHANGEER BUKSH *v.* BHICKAREE LALL . . . . 11 W. R., 71

18. ——————— *Statement explaining plaint.*—A written statement which was in explanation of the plaint and not starting a new case was allowed to be put in by the plaintiff after evidence was taken, and the defendant not being prejudiced by its admission. LALL MAHOMED *v.* DHOOLEE RAM DOSS . . . 22 W. R., 377

19. ——— **Objections to written statement.**—*Sunday.—"Four clear days."—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 124.*—A written statement has been "four clear days" upon the file in compliance with the rule 28, although the last of such days is a Sunday. Objections to the written statement on the ground stated in section 124 of Act VIII of 1859 cannot be taken when the suit is ripe for hearing. SMALLWOOD *v.* PARRY . . . . Cor., 39

20. ——— **Raising question not raised in written statement.**—*Omission to raise equitable defence in written statement.*—A defendant is not precluded from availing himself of any equity which might arise out of the facts proved at the trial, merely because he has not raised that equity on the face of his written statement. GOUR CHUNDER BISWAS *v.* GREESH CHUNDER BISWAS [7 W. R., 120

21. ——————— *Raising question of jurisdiction.—Fresh issue.—Practice.*—Where a question of jurisdiction had not been raised in a written statement, the defence therein being limited to a statement of the merits of the case, an application to raise an issue as to jurisdiction was granted on payment of the costs of the adjournment to enable the plaintiff to meet the case set up. ROHEEMOLLA *v.* PALMER . . . . Cor., 8

WRONGFUL CONFINEMENT.

1. ——— **What amounts to imprisonment.**—*Suit for damages.*—The detaining of a

WRONGFUL CONFINEMENT.—What amounts to imprisonment—*continued.*

person in a particular place, or the compelling him to go in a particular direction by force of an exterior will overpowering or suppressing in any way his own voluntary action, is an imprisonment on the part of the person exercising that exterior will. PARAN KUSAM NARASAYA PANTULU *v.* STUART [2 Mad., 396

2. ——— **Nature of confinement.**—*Penal Code (Act XLV of 1860), s. 346.*—In an order to render a person liable under section 346 of the Penal Code, it must be shown that the wrongful confinement was of such a nature as to indicate an intention that the person confined should not be discovered. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SREENATH BANERJEE. EMPRESS *v.* SREENATH BANERJEE . . . . I. L. R., 9 Calc., 221

3. ——— **Unlawful commitment by person in authority.**—*Illegal arrest.—Penal Code, s. 220.—Presumption of malice.*—Proof of an unlawful commitment to confinement will not of itself warrant the legal inference of malice. Knowledge that such commitment is contrary to law is a question of fact and not of law, and must be proved in order to satisfy the requirements of section 220 of the Penal Code. REG. *v.* NARAYAN BABAJI [9 Bom., 346

4. ——— **Obtaining arrest of wrong person**—*Liability of person setting Court in motion.*—Where a wrong person is arrested and imprisoned under a decree to which he is no party, the person setting the Court in motion is not liable for such arrest and imprisonment if he did not obtain the process fraudulently or improperly. BHEEMA CHARLU *v.* DONTI MURTI . . 8 Mad., 38

5. ——— **Illegal arrest.**—*Malice.*—Four persons, two of them police constables and two village officers, were convicted of wrongful confinement and abetment thereof. The defendants, the village officers, maliciously directed the arrest of certain persons for resisting the detention of certain pigs found trespassing. *Held*, a good conviction. ANONYMOUS . . . . 5 Mad., Ap., 24

WRONGFUL CONVERSION.

See DAMAGES—MEASURE AND ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES—TORTS. [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 116
5 Bom., O. C., 140

See ONUS PROBANDI—WRONGFUL CONVERSION . . . 7 W. R., 286

WRONGFUL DISMISSAL.

See CASES UNDER MASTER AND SERVANT.

——— **Suit for, against Government.**

See MASTER AND SERVANT. [7 B. L. R., 688

WRONGFUL DETENTION.

——— *Detention of accused by Police Inspector.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 124.*—

WRONGFUL DETENTION—*continued.*

Per GLOVER, *J.*—Where a Sub-Inspector of Police is charged with having detained prisoners for more than twenty-four hours, it is not necessary for the Crown to prove that he detained them with a guilty knowledge, as section 124, Act X of 1872 imperatively lays down that accused persons are on no account to be detained beyond that time except under special order of the Magistrate, which was not obtained in this case. QUEEN *v.* BASOORAH DASS [19 W. R., Cr., 36

WRONGFUL DISTRAINT.

See BENGAL RENT ACT, VIII OF 1869, s 27. [3 B. L. R., Ap., 74
6 W. R., Act X, 7, 33
9 W. R., 162
Marsh., 264
15 W. R., 451
3 B. L. R., A. C., 261.
7 W. R., 41

See BENGAL RENT ACT, VIII OF 1869, s. 100. [Marsh., 470
3 W. R., Act X, 139

See PRIVATE DEFENCE, RIGHT OF— [23 W. R., Cr., 40

See RIOTING . . 8 Mad., Ap., 11

See TRESPASS—GENERAL CASES. [23 W. R., Cr., 40

1. ——— **Cutting and carrying away crops.**—*Persons put in possession in execution of decree.*—Certain putnidars who, in execution of a decree for khas possession, had been put in nominal possession of their lands, instead of ousting the ryots allowed them to cultivate, and when they had cultivated cut and carried away their crops. *Held* that the act of the putnidars was an abuse of the law of distraint, and rendered them liable for damages. ADOR MOHUN CHUCKERBUTTY *v.* THAKOOR MONEE DASEE . . . . . 10 W. R., 70

2. ——— **Crops, Seizure of.**—*Act X of 1859, ss. 142 and 143.—Trespass.*—Certain sub-lessees sued in the Collector's Court the zemindar and others employed by him for the value of crops seized and carried away, under a certificate, as was alleged by the defendants, granted to them by the Collector, but which they failed to produce. *Held* that sections 142 and 143 of Act X of 1859 applied to the case. Section 143, Act X of 1859, contemplated not only the case of a person who professes to follow the provisions of the law, though he has no power to distrain, but also the case of a person who, under colour of the Act, does distrain, but does not do so according to the provisions of the Act. Such persons were considered by that section as trespassers, and were liable to the penalty of trespass, in addition to damages which may be awarded against them by the Revenue Court. RADHA MOHAN NASKAR *v.* JADUNATH DASS [3 B. L. R., A. C., 261: 12 W. R., 68

3. ——— **Person acting without authority.**—*Act X of 1859, s. 143.—Trespasser.*—Section 143, Act X of 1859, did not apply when a

WRONGFUL DISTRAINT.—Person acting without authority—*continued.*

distrainer acted without the authority of the superior holder. In such a case he was a mere trespasser. ROWSHUN v. BHOLANATH DOSS . [5 W. R., Act X, 67

4. ——— **Persons removing property under rent law.**—*Procedure.—Penal Code, s. 379.*—Persons removing property under the provisions of the rent law relating to distraint ought not to be proceeded against under the criminal law, but the parties aggrieved by a wrongful distraint should have recourse to the remedy provided by Bengal Act VIII of 1869. IN THE MATTER OF AGHANI. AGHANI v. BHAGI HALWAI [8 C. L. R., 204

5. ——— **Right to sue to set aside wrongful distraint.**—*Right of landlord against trespasser.*—A landlord whose tenant's crops have been wrongfully distrained by a stranger, has a right to sue to set aside such wrongful distraint. HORRO NARAIN v. SHOODHA KRISHTO BEBAR [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 690 : 4 C. L. R., 32

6. ——— **Right to damages for wrongful distraint.**—*Beng. Act VIII of 1869, ss. 69, 78.—Liability to suit for damages.*—When on the one hand a ryot institutes a suit to contest the demand of a distrainer, the Court has no option but must adjudicate upon the demand. If, on the other hand, the distrainer has distrained "otherwise than according to the provisions of the Rent Act," he has done so at his peril, and rendered himself liable to an action for damages by the owner of the distrained property. TARINEE KANT LAHIREE CHOWDHRY v. RAJKISHORE TONTHY . . . 24 W. R., 334

7. ——— **Right to damages.**—*Act X of 1859, s. 142.—Suit to contest distraint.—Onus of proof.—Damages.*—In a suit to contest the demand of a distrainer, the landlord is only required to prove the fact of tenancy and the amount of jumma: if thereupon the tenant pleads payment and payment is denied, the onus is on the tenant to prove his allegation. Before a tenant can obtain any decree for damages on the ground of illegal distraint, he must prove what loss he has actually sustained. OOJAN DEWAN v. PRANNATH MUNDUL . . 8 W. R., 220

8. ——— **Onus of proof.**—*Suit for damages for wrongful distraint.—Act X of 1859, s. 143.*—In order to maintain a suit under section 143, Act X of 1859, it was necessary for the plaintiff to prove that the defendant in making the distress was acting not only without right, but without anything to justify him in supposing that he had a right to distrain—a mere trespasser without any reasonable foundation for the claim set up. RAJE KUMUL DOSSEE v. JHOBOO MOLLAH . . . . 15 W. R., 543

See JOYLOLL SHEIKH v. BROJONATH PAUL CHOWDHRY . . . . . 9 W. R., 162

9. ——— **Suit on account of property damaged by wrongful distrainer.**—*Act X of 1859, s. 142.—Damages for vexatious distraint, Power of Court to award.*—When a suit had been brought under section 142, Act X of 1859, on account of property damaged or lost by neglect of a distrainer, the Court was not competent to award damages for vexatious distraint. Such damages were properly awarded by the Collector under section 138, in a suit to contest the distrainer's demand. NONKOO RAM v. WOOJOGUR ROY . 5 W. R., Act X, 68

10. ——— **Procedure.**—*Beng. Act VIII of 1869, s. 101.—Proceedings against persons wrongfully distraining.*—When proceedings are taken before a Munsif, under Bengal Act VIII of 1869, section 101, he is bound, first, to inquire whether an offence has been committed, and if he is satisfied that it has, the only order he can make against the offenders (not being tenants) is that they shall pay the value of the crops distrained. PREM CHAND LAHA v. ADDOITO DOSS . . 20 W. R., 445

WRONGFUL LOSS.

See MISCHIEF . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573
[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 660

WRONGFUL POSSESSION.

——— **Trespasser.**—*Sums paid during wrongful possession, Right to recover.*—Where a person has wrongfully taken possession of an estate and held it adversely to the true owner, and has, during his possession, paid certain sums for Government revenue on the supposition that he was the lawful owner (being however, in reality, nothing more than a trespasser and wrong-doer), he is not entitled to recover, as against the true owner, any sums so paid, even though such payments may have enured to the benefit of the true owner, but must be content to bear the burden of his own wrong. TILUCK CHAND v. SOUDAMINI DASI
[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 566 : 3 C. L. R., 456

WRONGFUL SEIZURE IN EXECUTION.

See CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 244 (ACT XXIII OF 1861, s. 11)—QUESTIONS IN EXECUTION OF DECREE.
[3 N. W., 187
2 Agra, 105
5 Mad., 185
12 B. L. R., 201, 203, note; 207, note; 208, note
12 W. R., 85
3 B. L. R., A. C., 413

See DAMAGES—MEASURE AND ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES—TORTS . 3 Agra, 202
[3 B. L. R., A. C., 413
I. L. R., 3 Bom., 74
7 Mad., 235

See EXECUTION OF DECREE—LIABILITY FOR WRONGFUL EXECUTION.
[3 B. L. R., A. C., 413
2 B. L. R., 208, note
I. L. R., 3 Bom., 74

WRONGFUL SEIZURE IN EXECUTION—*continued.*

See CASES UNDER SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE—WRONGFUL SALES.

WRONGFUL RESTRAINT.

See MISCHIEF . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 55

1. ——— **Penal Code, ss. 339, 340, 342.**—*Police officer, Conduct of.*—In a case of a police officer charged under Penal Code, section 342, where there was no malice, no intention of doing an act of the nature spoken of in section 339 or 340, and no voluntary obstruction or restraint, though there was probably excessive and mistaken exercise of powers not civilly excusable in a police officer, the facts were held not to amount to the criminal offence of wrongful restraint. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF BUDROOL HOSSEIN . . 24 W. R., Cr., 51

2. ——— s. 339.—*Refusal to let person go until he gave bail.*—Where a police officer refused to let a person go home until he had given bail, he was held to have been guilty of wrongful restraint under section 339 of the Penal Code. SHEO SHURN SAHAI v. MAHOMED FAZIL KHAN [10 W. R., Cr., 20

3. ——— *Restraint and taking money on false plea.*—Where the accused prevented the complainants from proceeding in a certain direction with their carts and exacted from them a sum of money on a false plea,—*Held,* the accused were guilty of wrongful restraint and not theft. JOWAHIR SHAH v. GRIDHARBE CHOWDHRY [10 W. R., Cr., 35

Y

YEAR, AGRICULTURAL—

See N.-W. P. RENT ACT (ACT XVIII OF 1873), s. 94 . I. L. R., 1 All., 512

Z

ZANZIBAR.

See CONSUL'S COURT AT ZANZIBAR. [I. L. R., 3 Bom., 58

ZEMINDAR.

See GRANT—CONSTRUCTION OF GRANTS. [I. L. R., 9 Mad., 307
L. R., 13 I. A., 32.

See GRANT—POWER TO GRANT. [B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 75, 774

——— **Duty of.**—*Ancient tanks.—Negligence.—Statutory powers.—Liability for damage occasioned by overflow of tanks.*—The public duty of maintaining ancient tanks, and of constructing new ones, was originally undertaken by the Government of India, and upon the settlement of the country has, in many instances, devolved upon zemindars.

ZEMINDAR.—Duty of—*continued.*

Such zemindars have no power to do away with these tanks, in the maintenance of which large numbers of people are interested, but are charged under Indian law, by reason of their tenure, with the duty of preserving and repairing them. The rights and liabilities of such zemindars with regard to these tanks are analogous to those of persons or corporations on whom statutory powers have been conferred, and statutory duties imposed. A zemindar, if the banks of any such tank in his possession are washed away by an extraordinary flood without negligence on his part, is not liable for damage occasioned thereby. MADRAS RAILWAY COMPANY v. ZEMINDAR OF CARVETINAGARAM [14 B. L. R., 209 : 22 W. R., 279
L. R., 1 I. A., 364

S. C. in lower Courts. MADRAS RAILWAY COMPANY v. ZEMINDAR OF KAVETINUGGUR. [5 Mad., 139

and after remand . . . 6 Mad., 180

——— **Kabuliat between Government and—**

See SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE—SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE NOT ALLOWED. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 464

ZEMINDAR, POWER OF—

1. ——— **Power to grant lease.**—*Lease granted for longer term than zemindar's engagement with Government.—Operation of Act XVI of 1842.*—A lease granted by a zemindar for a longer period than the term of his own engagement with the Government was not absolutely void for the excess, but only voidable, and might be confirmed. *Semble,*—that Act XVI of 1842 applied to agricultural leases, not to *bonâ fide* leases for other purposes. NITA RAM v. NANUCK DASS [1 N. W., Part III, 47 : Ed. 1873, 103

2. ——— *Hindu law.—Authority to grant leases as manager and owner.*—Under the Hindu law the granting of a lease, though for a term, is an act within the scope of a zemindar's authority as manager and owner of the zemindari, and is, as such, binding on his successor, unless, in the circumstances in which it was made, it was otherwise than *bonâ fide.* RAMANADAN v. SRINIVASA MURTHI . . I. L. R., 2 Mad., 80

3. ——— **Power to alter boundaries.**—*Effect of arrangement altering boundary without sanction of Government.*—Zemindars have no authority, without the sanction of Government, to alter the boundaries of their permanently-settled estates, and to transfer villages from one zemindari to another. Such an arrangement is of no binding effect, except between the parties who have made it. RAMCHUNDER BANERJEE v. MUDDUNMOHUN TEWARRE . . . . W. R., 1864, 355

4. ——— **Power to charge estate with personal debts.**—A decree for possession of certain land with wasilat obtained by a zemindar of an estate, as such, cannot be pledged by him as security for a

ZEMINDAR, POWER OF.—Power to charge estate with personal debts—*continued.*

personal debt, nor for such a debt can the estate be made liable, nor his successor be held responsible. NIMAYE CHUNN SEIN *v.* RAMMONEE BEBEE [10 W. R., 152

ZEMINDAR, RIGHTS OF—

See JURISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT—RENT AND REVENUE SUITS, N.-W. P. [I. L. R., 1 All., 338

See MADRAS REGULATION XXV OF 1802. [14 B. L. R., 115

See MEASUREMENT OF LANDS. [3 B. L. R., Ap., 27

See RIGHT OF OCCUPANCY—TRANSFER OF RIGHT . . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 774

1. ——— **Nature of zemindari estate.**—*Power to deal with estate.*—A zemindar's estate is analogous to an estate-tail as it originally stood upon the statute *de donis.* The zemindar is the owner of the zemindari, but can neither encumber nor alienate beyond the period of his own life. CHINTALAPATI CHINNA SIMHADRIRAJ *v.* ZEMINDAR OF VIZIANAGRAM . . . 2 Mad., 128

2. ——— **Collections of rent.**—*Claim to intermediate tenures.—Onus of proof.*—A zemindar has, as such, a *primâ facie* right to the gross collections from all the mouzahs within his zemindari. It is for parties setting up an intermediate tenure to prove their grant. PRAHLAD SEN *v.* DURGAPRASAD TEWARI [2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W. R., P. C., 6 12 Moore's I. A., 286

3. ——— **Right to rent.**—*Payment of revenue by zemindar.*—The right of a zemindar to exact from a tenant payment of rent for a certain piece of land, in no way depends on whether he does or does not pay revenue for that land. JOTENDRO MOHUN TAGORE *v.* AYMUN BEBEE [1 C. L. R., 366

4. ——— **Liability for rent.**—*Co-sharer in talook.—Held* that a zemindar, by becoming a co-sharer in the talook, does not lose his right to the joint responsibility of all the other co-sharers for the due payment of the rent; he only becomes bound to make an allowance for that portion which he as a co-sharer ought to pay. GOBINDO COOMAR CHOWDHRY *v.* MANSON [15 B. L. R., 56: 23 W. R., 152

5. ——— **Compensation.**—*Compensation to putnidar for loss sustained by erection of works by railway company.*—A zemindar who receives his rents in full is not entitled to participate in compensation received by his putnidar for loss suffered by the latter in consequence of works being erected on land included in the putni. MAHARAJAH OF BURDWAN *v.* WOOMA SOONDUREE DOSSEE [10 W. R., 12

6. ——— **Sale in execution of decree.**—*Custom.—Charge on sale-proceeds.*—Where a sale took place in execution of a decree, and it was proved by custom that the zemindar's right extended to one fourth of the sale-proceeds in cases of involuntary sale,—*Held* that the zemindar had a right to recover the fourth share of the proceeds of sale from the judgment-creditor, who in truth reserved the sale price. The zemindar's right attached to the sale-proceeds, and was a prior charge upon the proceeds. BYJ NATH PERSHAD *v.* MAHOMED FUZL HOSSEIN [2 Agra, Part II, 204

7. ——— *Custom.—Right to share of sale-proceeds.—Calculation of amount—Zemindari huq.*—Where by custom the zemindar is entitled to a quarter share of the sale-proceeds as his huq zemindari, he is entitled to recover it on the occasion of sales, either absolute or originally conditional, but subsequently becoming absolute by foreclosure, from the vendor and the purchaser, and the latter cannot be discharged from his liability by proving that he has paid all, including zemindar's dues, to the former, it being incumbent on him to see that the zemindar is satisfied in respect of his dues. *Held,* further, that, under the circumstances, the plaintiffs, the zemindars, were entitled to one fourth from R450, the principal amount repayable, and not from the amount ascertained at the time of foreclosure to be due to the mortgagee, including interest, inasmuch as the deed made no provision for payment of any sum as interest. HEERA RAM *v.* DEO NARAIN SINGH . Agra, F. B., 63: Ed. 1874, 48

8. ——— *Sale in execution of house in mohalla.—Wajib-ul-urz.—Liability of auction-purchaser.—Right of zemindar to huq-i-chaharam.*—The zemindars of a certain mohalla claimed from the purchaser of a house situated in such mohalla, which had been sold in execution of a decree, one fourth of the sale-proceeds of such house, such purchaser being the holder of such decree. Such suit was based upon the terms of the wajib-ul-urz. That document stated, *inter alia,* that, when a house in such mohalla was sold, a cess called chaharam was received by such zemindars "according to the understanding arrived at between the seller and the zemindars." *Held* that such zemindars were not entitled under the terms of the wajib-ul-urz to one fourth of the sale-proceeds; that the decree-holder, because he happened to have become the auction-purchaser, could not be regarded as the "seller," and it was only the "seller" who was liable; that the terms of the wajib-ul-urz were applicable only to private and voluntary sales and not to execution-sales; and that, under these circumstances, the suit must be dismissed. BENI MADHO *v.* ZAHURUL-HAQ [I. L. R., 3 All., 797

9. ——— **Sale of zemindar's right.**—*Right as tenant in another house.*—Where a zemindar's right is sold by auction, it does not follow that, by the sale of the zemindari right, he forfeits his tenant-right which he had in another putti in respect of a house. RAM BUKSH SINGH *v.* PURDUMUN KISHORE [2 Agra, Part II, 202

ZEMINDAR AND RYOT.

See CASES UNDER BENGAL RENT ACT, 1869

See CASES UNDER LANDLORD AND TENANT

See CASES UNDER MADRAS RENT RECOVERY ACT, 1865

See CASES UNDER RIGHT OF OCCUPANCY

ZEMINDARI DAKS.

1. ——— Beng Act VIII of 1862—*Effect of Act on liability of putnidars.*—Bengal Act VIII of 1862 did not relieve putnidars from their liability under the old laws of paying the zemindari dak charges BISSONATH SIRCAR v SHURNOMOYEE [4 W. R., 6

2. ——— ——— *Liability of putnidar*—Where the terms of a putnee lease did not make the putneedar liable for the maintenance of the zemindari daks, it was held that the putnidar was not liable for a tax which was imposed on the

ZEMINDARI DAKS—Beng. Act VIII of 1862—*continued.*

zemindar by Bengal Act VIII of 1862 RAKHAL DOSS MOOKERJEE v SHURNO MOYEE [6 W. R., 100

3 ——— ——— *Liability of putnidar.*—The provision in a pottah that if any item is laid upon the zemindar over and above the sudder jumma the putnidar shall bear a rateable proportion of it held not to include the charges connected with the zemindari dak ROHINEE KANT ROY v. TRIPOORA SOONDUREE DASSIA . 8 W. R., 45

SARODA SOONDERY DEBIA v WOOMA CHURN SIRCAR . . 3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 17

ZEMINDARI DUES AND CESSES, SUIT FOR—

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, MOFUSSIL—JURISDICTION—CESS [I. L. R., 1 All., 444

APPENDIX.

ABATEMENT OF SUIT.

——— *Appeal.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 366.—Application by legal representative to carry on appeal.*—The appellant's father having died during the pendency of an appeal lodged by him, a notice was served upon the appellant's two adult brothers; but they having failed to apply within sixty days, the appellant, who was a minor, applied several months afterwards to be put on the record in his deceased father's place as his legal representative, which was done. The Assistant Judge who heard the appeal was of opinion that, in consequence of the omission on the part of the brothers of the appellant to apply, the appeal abated, and he passed an order accordingly. *Held* that the application having been made by the minor son within the time limited by law, the order of abatement made by the Judge was wrong. Although the complete legal representation vested in the minor son and his two brothers, section 366 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882) only required an application to be made by a person claiming to be the legal representative, in order to prevent an order of abatement being made. If neither of the brothers was willing to have his name placed on the record, the respondent was entitled to have them made defendants, so that they might be bound by the decree. The minor son could then proceed alone with the appeal. BHIKAJI RAMCHANDRA v. PURSHOTAM [I. L. R., 10 Bom., 220

ABETMENT.

——— *Penal Code, s. 107.—Act I of 1879 (Stamp Act), s. 61.—Abetment of making an unstamped receipt.*—A debtor, having paid a sum of money to his creditor, accepted from the latter an unstamped receipt promising to affix a stamp thereto. *Held* that this did not constitute abetment, within the meaning of section 107 of the Penal Code, of the offence of making an unstamped receipt. *Empress* v. *Bahadur Singh, Weekly Notes, All., 1885, p. 30*, distinguished. *Empress* v. *Janki, I. L. R., 7 Bom., 82*; and *Empress* v. *Bhairon, Weekly Notes, All., 1884, p. 87*, referred to. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. MITHU LAL . . . I. L. R., 8 All., 18

ACCOMPLICE.

See CASES UNDER APPROVER.

1. ——— Corroboration.—*Evidence of accomplices.*—It is an established rule of practice that an accomplice must be corroborated by independent evidence as to the identity of every person whom he impeaches. *Reg.* v. *Malapdi, 11 Bom., 196*; and *Reg.* v. *Nanku, I. L. R., 1 Bom., 475*, followed. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. KRISHNABHAT . [I. L. R., 10 Bom., 319

ACCOMPLICE.—Corroboration—*continued.*

2. ——— *Evidence of accomplices.*—Observations on the necessity of requiring corroboration, in material particulars, of the evidence of an accomplice. *Queen-Empress* v. *Ram Saran, I. L. R., 8 All., 306*, referred to. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. IMDAD KHAN . I. L. R., 8 All., 120

3. ——— *Evidence of accomplices.—Act I of 1872, ss. 114 (b), 133.*—The law in India, as expressed in section 133 and section 114 of the Evidence Act, and which is in no respect different from the law of England on the subject, is that a conviction based on the uncorroborated testimony of an accomplice is not illegal,—that is, it is not unlawful; but experience shows that it is unsafe, and hence it is the practice of the Judges, both in England and in India, when sitting alone, to guard their minds carefully against acting upon such evidence when uncorroborated, and, when trying a case with a jury, to warn the jury that such a course is unsafe. There must be some corroboration independent of the accomplice, or of a co-confessing prisoner, to show that the party accused was actually engaged directly in the commission of the crime charged against him. A second accomplice does not improve the position of the first, and, if there are two, it is necessary that both should be corroborated. The accomplice must be corroborated not only as to one but as to all of the persons affected by the evidence, and corroboration of his evidence as to one prisoner does not entitle his evidence against another to be accepted without corroboration. *R.* v. *Webb, 6 C. & P., 595*; *R.* v. *Dyke, 8 C. & P., 261*; *R.* v. *Addis, 6 P. P., 488*; and *R.* v. *Wilkes, 7 C. & P., 272*, referred to. The possession of property taken from a murdered person is not adequate corroboration of the evidence of an accomplice charging such person in possession with participation in the murder, though it would no doubt be corroboration of evidence that the prisoner participated in a robbery, or that he had dishonestly received stolen property. In the trial of *B.*, *S.*, and *M.* upon a charge of murder, the evidence for the prosecution consisted of (i) the confession of *P.*, who was jointly tried with them for the same offence; (ii) the evidence of an accomplice; (iii) the evidence of witnesses who deposed to the discovery in *B.'s* house of property belonging to the deceased; and (iv) the evidence of witnesses who deposed that, on the day when the deceased was last seen alive, all the prisoners were seen together near the place where the body was afterwards found. *Held* that there was no sufficient corroboration of the statements of the accomplice or of the co-confessing prisoner *P.* QUEEN-EMPRESS v. RAM SARAN [I. L. R., 8 All., 306

ACCUMULATIONS.

See HINDU LAW—WIDOW—INTEREST IN ESTATE OF HUSBAND—BY INHERITANCE . . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 478

ACT—1842—IV.

See MADRAS BOAT RULES. [I. L. R., 9 Mad., 431

See MAGISTRATE, JURISDICTION OF—POWERS OF MAGISTRATES. [I. L. R., 9 Mad., 431

ACT—1856—XV.

See HINDU LAW—MARRIAGE—VALIDITY OR OTHERWISE OF MARRIAGE. [I. L. R., 8 All., 143

——— 1861—IX.

See MAHOMEDAN LAW—GUARDIAN. [I. L. R., 8 All., 322

——— 1868—VI (N.-W. P. MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT).

See N.-W. P. AND OUDH MUNICIPALITIES ACT, 1883, SS. 69, 71. [I. L. R., 8 All., 776

Government of India Central Printing Office.—No. 56 L. D.—4 5 95.—4,000.—A. McL.

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
A		
Abad Ali Pradham *v.* Jamiruddin Mahomed	13 C. L. R., 160	1228, 1234
Abadi Begam *v.* Asa Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 162	1253
——— *v.* Inam Begam	I. L. R., 1 All., 521	3718, 3722
Abadi Hussain *v.* Jurawan Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 866	5203
Abaji bin Sankroji *v.* Niloji bin Baloji	2 Bom., 262: 2nd Ed., 342	2849
Abas *v.* Ibrahimji	5 Bom., A. C., 119	340, 752
Abas Ali Zenul Aradin *v.* Ghulam Muhammad	1 Bom., 36	1344
Abasi *v.* Dunne	I. L. R., 1 All., 598	3688
Abasu Begum *v.* Umda Khanum	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 724	4727
Abba Haji Ishmail *v.* Abba Thara	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 253	3316
Abbakku *v.* Ammu Shettati	4 Mad., 137	3372
Abbas Ali, In the matter of	18 W. R., Cr., 17	1951
Abbas Ali Chowdhry *v.* Illim Meah	14 W. R., Cr., 46	4101
Abbas Ali Khan *v.* Yadeem Ramy Reddy	3 Moore's I. A., 156	1776
Abbas Aly *v.* Nund Coomar Ghose	7 W. R., 123	4006
Abbasee Begum *v.* Rajroop Kooer	1 C. L. R., 368	1932
Abbilakh Singh *v.* Khub Lall	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1100	5513
Abboo Bibee *v.* Collector of Backergunge	1 W. R., 255	2882
Abbott *v.* Abbott and Crump	4 B. L. R., O. C., 51	1556
——— *v.* ———	5 B. L. R., 382	415
——— *v.* Crump	5 B. L. R., 109	4330
Abdool, In re	8 W. R., Cr., 32	1041
——— *v.* Lucky Narain Mundul	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 132	4096
Abdoola bin Shaik Ally *v.* Stephens	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 17	4608
Abdool Adood *v.* Mahomed Makmil	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 562	3697
Abdool Ali, In the matter of the petition of	15 B. L. R., 197	2947, 2955, 5936
——— *v.* Abdoor Rahman	21 W. R., 429	1842, 4456
——— *v.* Abidunnissa Khatoon	W. R., 1864, Mis., 41	671
——— *v.* Ashruffun	25 W. R., 215	3828
——— *v.* Banoo	2 W. R., 287	877
——— *v.* Mozuffur Hossein Chowdhry	16 W. R., P. C., 22	997, 1492, 2083, 4647
——— *v.* Mullick Sudderoodeen Ahmed	14 W. R., 493	1755, 1761
——— *v.* Ramgutty	12 W. R., 128	5108
——— *v.* Verner	23 W. R., 73, 239	2947, 2955
——— *v.* Yar Ali Khan Chowdhry	8 W. R., 467	2927
——— *alias* Shoageea *v.* Kurrumnissa	9 W. R., 153	3287, 4161

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Abdoola Rohoman Moosan v. The "Thames"	Bourke, Ad., 21	5646
Abdool Azeez v. Dorab Ally Khan	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 356	5491
——— v. Hamid Hossein	17 W. R., 113: 10 B. L. R., 45	5613
——— v. Shumsunnissa	11 W. R., 263	5751
Abdool Azim Chowdhry v. Mahomed Kabeer	11 W. R., 281	1426
Abdool Aziz Biswas v. Radha Kant Kobiraj	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 226	4774
Abdool Bareo v. Nittyanund Koondoo	21 W. R., 103	215, 3804
Abdool Bari. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 50	4736, 4737
——— v. Ramdass Coondoo	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 677	5353
Abdool Futteh Moulvie v. Zabunnessa Khatun	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 631: 8 C. L. R., 242.	3699
Abdool Ghunhee v. Goodree Rai	2 Agra, Pt. II, 192	1389, 2860
Abdool Guffoor. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 54	1853
Abdool Guffoor Khan Chowdhry v. Golam Nujuf	16 W. R., 298	5050
Abdool Gunnee v. Bhutto Sheikh	22 W. R., 350	1656, 1756
——— v. Gour Monee Debia	9 W. R., 375	4436, 5830
——— v. Kherode Chunder Roy	2 Hay, 409	2982
——— v. Kishanund Doss *alias* Kebul Ram Doss	17 W. R., 350	5029
——— v. Poorno Chunder Roy	2 Hay, 524	2982
Abdool Gunney v. Zamoorudoonissa Khanum	11 B. L. R., 203: 19 W. R., 171: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 165.	1439
Abdool Gunny v. Commissioner of the Sunderbuns	2 W. R., 239	4208
Abdool Hakim v. Doorgaproshad Banerjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 4	1096
Abdool Hamed v. Promothonath Bose	1 Ind. Jur., N. S, 218	2808
Abdool Hekim v. Ascentoollah	25 W. R., 94	3490, 3496
Abdool Hossein v. Lall Chand Mohtan	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 36: 13 C. L. R., 323	1160, 1189, 3802
——— v. Luteefoonnissa	11 W. R., 235	3748
Abdool Hossein Khan v. Gobind Chandra Shaha	11 W. R., 404	3723
Abdool Huq v. Ambur Ali	8 W. R., 422	5784
——— v. Myah Bewah	W. R., 1864, 184	3983
Abdool Huq Chowdhry v. Idrak	21 W. R., Cr., 57	490
Abdool Hyd v. Lalla Nowah Roy	1 W. R., 204	860
Abdool Hye v. Macrae	23 W. R., 1	5457, 5493, 6015
——— v. Mitterjeet Singh	23 W. R., 348	1252
——— v. Nawab Raj	9 W. R., 196	5452
——— v. Ram Churn Singh	11 W. R., 445	2897
Abdool Jaleel v. Kalee Coomar Dutt	6 W. R., Mis., 3	4535
Abdool Jubbar v. Kalee Churn Dutt	7 W. R., 81	5176
Abdool Jubbar Chowdhry v. Collector of Mymensingh	11 W. R., 65	3649
Abdool Juleel v. Kanchun Dossee	24 W. R., 143	3473
Abdool Kadir v. Abin Mirdha	24 W. R., 290	6234
Abdool Kadir Khan v. Magistrate of Purneah	11 B. L. R., Ap., 8: 20 W.R., Cr., 23.	6029
Abdool Khalek v. Poran Bibee	25 W. R., 542	3669
Abdool Khan v. Upendro Chunder Bhuttacharjee	14 W. R., 278	3956
Abdool Khyrat v. Jumalooddeen Hossein	10 W. R., 244	4913
Abdool Kureem v. Awlad	18 W. R., 141	755
——— v. Jaun Ali	18 W. R., 56	5405
——— v. Mea Jan	6 W. R., 288	2704
——— v. Munsoor Ali	12 W. R., 396	2981
——— v. Omer Chand Lahata	24 W. R., 461	3046
——— v. Ooghun Lal	6 W. R., Mis., 119	221, 5730
——— v. Suffur Ali	11 W. R., 118	1740

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Abdool Kureem Biswas *v.* Campbell	8 W. R., 172	159, 3817
Abdoollah. In the matter of the petition of	10 B. L. R., 394: 19 W. R., 303.	5115
———	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 131: 26 W. R., 50: L. R., 3 I. A., 221	4764, 4849, 5115, 5126
——— *v.* Reasut Hossein	17 W. R., 414	2709
——— *v.* ———	20 W. R., 51	1918
——— *v.* Shaha Mujeesooddeen	15 W. R., 286	4399, 4476
——— *v.* ———	16 W. R., 27	4477
——— *v.* Shokoor Ali	14 W. R., 192	3249
Abdoollah Khan *v.* Ameerun	1 Agra, 274	4559
——— *v.* Sreekanto Pershad Hajrah	15 W. R., 252	4971
Abdool Luteef. In the matter of the petition of	9 W. R., Cr., 21	4558, 5519
——— *v.* Mahomed Kamil	22 W. R., 367	3791
Abdool Mannah *v.* Baroda Kant Banerjee	15 W. R., 39	1716, 3071
Abdool Oahab Chowdhry *v.* Elias Banoo	8 W. R., 301	3747
Abdool Reza *v.* Jebunnissa Bibee	16 W. R., 34	4302
Abdool Rohoman *v.* Dataram Bashee	W. R., 1864, 367	3020
Abdool Ruhman *v.* Abdool Sobhan	12 W. R., 125	2646
Abdool Settar *v.* Makhum Kooer	25 W. R., 182	2648
Abdool Shukkoar *v.* Raheem-oon-nissa	6 N. W., 94	5096
Abdool Soban. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 63	738
Abdool Wahad *v.* Drummond	2 W. R., Act X, 48	5922
Abdoor Ruhman. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., Cr., 37	1988, 6258
——— *v.* Gunnesh Lall	23 W. R., 444	2576
——— *v.* Kisto Lal Ghose	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 598: 6 W. R., 225.	3955
Abdoos Sobhan *v.* Brahma Deo Narain	14 W. R., 140	4951
Abdooz Salam *v.* Imraloonissa Bebee	Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28	5786
Abdula Haji Abdsatar *v.* Ahmed	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 1	1149, 1342, 2282, 2369, 3632, 6200
Abdul Ahmed. In the matter of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 94: 2 C. L. R., 485.	1530
Abdul Ali *v.* Ashruffan	7 B. L. R., Ap., 30, note: 14 W. R., 62.	2707
——— *v.* Tarachand Ghose	6 B. L. R., 292	3147, 3174, 3290, 3306
Abdul Ali Ishmailji. In re	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 180	3648, 3741
Abdul Allarakhi *v.* Abdul Bacha	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 5	2608
Abdul Azim *v.* Khondkar Ahmed Ali	2 B. L. R., A. C., 63: 10 W. R., 356.	3715
Abdul Aziz *v.* Wali Khan	I. L. R., 1 All., 338	2868
Abdul Bari *v.* Rash Behari Pal	6 C. L. R., 413	2073, 3688, 3853
Abdul Cadur Haji Mahomed *v.* Official Assignee	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 158	2282, 3632, 3735
——— *v.* Turner	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 158	2282, 3632 3735
Abdul Gafoor *v.* Nurbanu	1 B. L. R., A. C., 78: 10 W. R., 111.	293, 3720, 4410
Abdul Gani *v.* Krishnaji Bhikaji	10 Bom., 416	5351
Abdul Ganne Kasam *v.* Hussen Miya Rahimtula	10 Bom., 7	3661
Abdul Guffoor. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 54	4622
Abdul Gunnee *v.* Gour Monee Debia	9 W. R., 375	241

BENGAL SECT. LIBRARY

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Abdul Gunny v. Pogose	4 B. L. R., A. C., 1: 12 W. R., 436.	3505
Abdul Hakim v. Gunesh Dutt	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 323	5286
——— v. Tej Chandar Mukarji	I. L. R., 3 All., 815	1513
Abdul Hamed Chowdry. In re	4 B. L. R., Ap., 96, note	5863
Abdul Hamid v. Dongaram Dey	3 B. L. R., Ap., 133	1593, 4925
——— v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 349	2013
Abdul Hayai Khan v. Chunia Kuar	I. L. R., 8 All., 377	1493, 1867
Abdul Hye v. Banee Pershad	21 W. R., 228	2075
——— v. Mahomed Mozaffar Hossein	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 616: L. R., 11 I. A., 10.	1396
——— v. Nawab Raj	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 911	5452
Abdul Jabel v. Khelatchandra Ghose	1 B. L. R., A. C., 105: 10 W. R., 165.	3706, 4535
Abdul Kadir v. Hurree Mohun	6 N. W., 261	5978
——— v. Salima	I. L. R., 8 All., 149	3632, 3653, 5098
Abdul Karim v. Abdul Huque Kazi	8 B. L. R., 205: 15 W. R., 21	2052, 5976
——— v. Bullen	I. L. R., 6 All., 385	6180
——— v. Manji Hansraj	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 295	3133, 3226, 4145
Abdul Kawder v. Muhammad Mera	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 410	5278
Abdul Kureem v. Chukhun	5 C. L. R., 253	3501
——— v. Jaun Ali	18 W. R., 56	2515
Abdulla v. Muhammad	1 Bom., 177	1779
Abdulla Khan v. Upendra Chandra	6 B. L. R., Ap., 53	3956
Abdulla Rawutan v. Pakkeri Mahomed Rawutan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 346	3045, 3065
——— v. Subbarayyar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 346	334
Abdulla Saiba v. Abdulla	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 8	5396
Abdul Nassia v. Doolal Doss	11 C. L. R., 303	5466
Abdul Rahim v. Racha Rai	I. L. R., 1 All., 363	5126, 5142
——— v. Ziban Bibi	I. L. R., 5 All., 593	4833
Abdul Rahiman v. Khaja Khaki Aruth	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 155	824
Abdul Rahman v. Muhammad Yar	I. L. R., 4 All., 190	204
——— v. Yar Muhammad	I. L. R., 3 All., 636	359, 5270
Abdul Razzak v. Amir Haidar	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 976: L. R., 11 I. A., 121.	4226
Abdul Rohman v. Sofy Mikhayesh Saheba	24 W. R., 293	5760
Abdul Subhan Chowdhry v. Shibkisto Daw	3 B. L. R., Ap., 15	4438
Abdul Samad v. Rajindro Kishor Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 357	232, 4423
Abdul Vidona Jonas v. Harone Esmile	7 B. L. R., Ap., 21	4791
Abdul Wahab v. Chandia	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 305	3613
Abdul Wahid Khan v. Nuran Bibee	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 597: L. R., 12 I. A., 91.	989, 3692
Abdur Ali v. Bhyea Lal Jha	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 666: 7 C. L. R., 497.	4207
Abdur Rohoman v. Sakhina	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 558: 5 C. L. R., 21.	3740
Abdur Ruhman v. Digamburee Dossee	18 W. R., 477	570
Abed Hossein v. Assud Aly	11 W. R., 29	1913
——— v. Lalla Ramsaran	13 B. L. R., 516, note: 13 W. R., 426.	704
Abedoonissa Khatoon v. Ameeroonissa Khatoon	9 W. R., 257	3679, 3732
——— v. ———	17 W. R., 464	229
Abelakh Roy v. Guggun Bhuggut	22 W. R., 269	301
Abelakh Singh v. Sirram Singh	15 W. R., Cr., 50	4359

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Aben Sha *v.* Cassirão Baba Saheb	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 260	269
Abhachari *v.* Rama Chandrayya	1 Mad., 393	2274
Abhai Charan Ghose *v.* Dasmani Dasi	6 B. L. R., 623	1544, 2544
Abhai Pandey *v.* Bhagwan Pandey	I. L. R., 3 All., 818	1164, 2871
Abhassi Begum *v.* Rajroop Koonwar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 33 : 2 C. L. R., 249.	2077, 2277
Abhaya Charan Dutt *v.* Haro Chandra Das Banik	4 B. L. R., Ap., 68	3236, 3293
Abhaya Chowdhry *v.* Brae	6 B. L. R., Ap., 148 : 15 W. R., Cr., 42.	1806, 4489, 4732
Abhaya Churn Chuckerbutty *v.* Gour Mohun Dutt	24 W. R., 26	3147, 3189
Abhaya Durga *v.* Hari Krishna Gope	1 B. L. R., S. N., 21 : 10 W. R., 285.	3162
Abhay Chandra Roy Chowdhry *v.* Pyarimohan Guho	5 B. L. R., 347	2373, 4321
Abhay Gobind Chowdhry *v.* Swarnamayi	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 7	3192
Abhiram Doss *v.* Sriram Doss	3 B. L. R., A. C., 421 : 12 W. R., 336.	2525, 4867
Abhoy Churn Pal *v.* Kally Pershad Chatterjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 949 : 6 C. L. R., 260.	1431, 4204
Abhoy Nath Bose *v.* Chairman of the Municipal Committee of Kishnagur.	7 W. R., 92	504
Abhram Ali *v.* Natha Jallam	5 Bom., A. C., 74	3830
Abid Ali *v.* Munnoo Byas	2 Agra, 183	1928, 5422
Abid Hossein *v.* Renzun	15 W. R., 302	513
Abidunnissa Khatun *v.* Amirunnissa Khatun	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 327 : L. R., 4 I. A., 66.	1917, 5054
Abilak Roy *v.* Rubbi Roy	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 293	5386
Ablakhee Kooer *v.* Oodun Singh	15 W. R., 331	361
Ablakh Rai *v.* Ahmed Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 437	3026
——— *v.* Salim Ahmed Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 437	3029
——— *v.* Udit Narain Rai	I. L. R., 1 All., 353	5200
Ablak Ram *v.* Mohendro Pershad Tewaree	20 W. R., 365	1435
Aboo Imam *v.* Benee Ram	5 N. W., 100	3520
Abool Hossein *v.* Raghu Nath Sahu	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 70	4839
Abool Mahomed *v.* Shib Doolaree Tewaree	11 W. R., 114	5482
Aboo Mahomed *v.* Kishen Mohun Surma	6 W. R., 290	6019
Aboo Sait & Co. *v.* Arnott ——— *v.* Dale	2 Mad., 439	2051, 5685
Abraham. In re	6 Bom., A. C., 170	426
——— *v.* Abraham	1 W. R., P. C., 1 : 9 Moore's I. A., 195.	1148
——— *v.* Queen	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17 : 10 W. R., 350.	395, 3559
Abubekar bin Hagada Hajisaba *v.* Maibibi	6 Bom., A. C., 77	991
Abu Hasan *v.* Ramzan Ali	I. L. R., 4 All., 381	5378
Abul Hasan *v.* Zohra Jan	I. L. R., 5 All., 299	5615
Abul Munsoor *v.* Abdool Hamid *alias* Sabhan Miah	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 98	3254
Achal Ram *v.* Udai Partab Addiya Dat Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 511 : L. R., 11 I. A., 51.	4224
Achamparambath Cheria Kunhammu *v.* Gantz	1 L. R., 3 Mad., 138	468
Achaya *v.* Ratnavelu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 253	3091
Achina Bibee *v.* Ajeejoonissa Bibee	11 W. R., 45	3696
Achobandil Kuari *v.* Mahabir Prasad	I. L. R., 8 All., 641	6126
Achoo Bayaham *v.* Dhany Ram	4 Mad., 378	4809
Achul *v.* Gunga Pershad	2 Agra, 73	4932
Achul Mahta *v.* Rajun Mahta	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 812	3231
Achumande Agath Kunhi Pathumah *v.* Makachinde Agath Makachi	4 Mad., 478	4483

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Achumbah Paurey *v.* Ram Sahoy Paurey	W. R., 1864, 136	4291
Achumbeet Tewaree *v.* Bhugwant Pandey	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 161	3890
Achumbit Jha *v.* Jewun	11 C. L. R., 11	1994, 4436
Achumbit Misser *v.* Lalla Nund Ram	11 W. R., 544	3900, 4003
Achumbit Shaha *v.* Rohimoonissa *alias* Bibee Noor Jan	24 W. R., 358	1372
Achumbit Singh *v.* Kesho Lall	20 W. R., 128	3903
——— *v.* Kunhya Lal Mohajun	7 W. R., 208	183, 5771
Achumbit Thakoor *v.* Choonee Lall Chowdhry	10 W. R., 27	3919
Achunth Singh *v.* Kishen Pershad Singh	W. R., 1864, 37	4158
Achurbur Panday *v.* Buckshee Ram	2 W. R., 38	3728
Achuta *v.* Kali	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 545	3764
Ackjoo Bibee *v.* Lallah Ram Chunder Lall Sahai	23 W. R., 400	4036
Act XI of 1849 as amended by Bengal Act III of 1873. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 250	3781
Act XIX of 1857. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 394	952
———. ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	3146
Act X of 1866. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330	945
———. ———	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 335	951
———. ———	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350	951
———. ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	953
———. ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94	943
———. ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123	945
———. ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	953
———. ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257	953
———. ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296	936
———. ———	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 888	944
———. ———	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 317	924
Act XX of 1866. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., O. C., 151: 11 W. R., O. C., 24.	4757
———. ———	3 B. L. R., O. C., 60: 12 W. R., 386, note.	4800
———. ———	6 B. L. R., 576	4764
Act XXVIII of 1866. In the matter of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 420	6215
Act XVIII of 1869. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 13	5843
———. ———	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 829: 3 C. L. R., 597.	5841
Act II of 1874. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 340	4969, 5115
Act I of 1879. In the matter of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 92: 13 C. L. R., 164.	5848
Adamkhan *v.* Alarakhi	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 645	6144
Adamson *v.* Arumugam	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 463	4272, 5260
Adanky Ramachandra Row *v.* Indukuri Appalaraju Garu	2 Mad., 451	2719
Adarji Dorabji *v.* Erakshah Dhanji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 272	1134
Adarji Edulji *v.* Manikji Edulji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 414	5116
Addaita Charan Dey *v.* Peter Das	13 B. L. R., 417, note: 17 W. R., 383.	53
Addoyto Chunder Dass *v.* Woojan Beebee	4 C. L. R., 154	5258
Adheen Misser *v.* Jograj Misser	11 W. R., 312	2775
Adhiranee Narain Coomary *v.* Shona Moleo Pat Mahadai	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 365	2430
Adhirani Narain Kumari *v.* Purikhit Rawtra	7 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 15 W. R., 426.	5918
——— *v.* Raghu Mahapatro	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 50	4893
Adi Deo Narain Singh *v.* Dukharan Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 532	1417, 2451
Adimulam *v.* Pir Ravuthan	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 424	3395
Adimulam Pillai *v.* Kovil Chinna Pillai	2 Mad., 22	5698
Adina Bibi *v.* Sububunnissa Bibi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 142	3507

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Adinarayana Setti *v.* Minchin	3 Mad., 297	305
Adjin Mullah Moodeen *v.* Cruikshank	21 W. R., 299	1477
Adjoodhia Gir *v.* Kashee Gir	4 N. W., 31	2150, 2529
Adjoodhya Pershad *v.* Middleton, Cohen, & Co.	3 N. W., 334	408
Adjoodhya Singh *v.* Girdharee	2 N. W., 199	2976, 3432
Adjudhia Prasad. In the matter of	7 B. L. R., 74: 15 W. R., O. C., 16.	2663, 2674
Administrator General of Bengal *v.* Ahmed Begg	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 33	1479
——— *v.* Anundo Chunder Bose	21 W. R., 425	4590
——— *v.* Apcar	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 553	6211
——— *v.* Juggeswar Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 192: 1 C. L. R., 107.	1037
——— *v.* Kedar Nath Moitry	4 C. L. R., 102	3137
——— *v.* Lala Dyaram Doss	6 B. L. R., 688	762
Administrator General of Madras *v.* Anandachari	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 466	1149, 2439
——— *v.* Hawkins	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 267	131
——— *v.* Lazar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 244	6203, 6217
Admulam Pillai *v.* Kovil Chinna Pillai	2 Mad., 22	5088
Adoo Mean *v.* Shibo Soondooree	2 W. R., 295	35
Adoremonee Dossée *v.* Premchund Mussaut	9 W. R., 454	1907
Ador Mohun Chuckerbutty *v.* Thakoor Monee Dabee	10 W. R., 70	6278
Adram *v.* Hurbullub	2 N. W., 58	963, 5278
Adrishappa *v.* Gurushidappa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 494: L. R., 7 I. A., 162.	1465, 2223, 4134
Adur Monee Debia *v.* Koolo Chunder Chatterjee	21 W. R., 140	4759
Adurmonee Dossee *v.* Kaminee Soonduree Debia	3 W. R., Act X, 145	5921
Adurmoni Deyi *v.* Chowdhry Sib Narain Kur	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 1	2165
Adu Shikdar *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 635	1019, 4061, 5994
Adut *v.* Naseeba Kooer	5 N. W., 238	2910
Advocate General of Bengal (Officiating). In the matter of the claim of.	Bourke, O. C., 224: A. O. C., 110.	151
Advocate General of Bengal *v.* Surnomoyee	1 W. R., P. C. 14: 9 Moore's I. A., 387.	1579
Advocate General of Bombay *v.* Fatima Sultani Begam	9 Bom., 19	3668
——— *v.* Muhammad Husen Huseni.	4 Bom., O. C., 203	5171
——— *v.* Vishvanath Atmaram	1 Bom., Ap., 9	1579, 2538, 4244
——— *v. Ex relatione* Daya Muhammad *v.* Muhammad Husen *alias* Aga Khan	12 Bom., 323	4862
Advyapa *v.* Rudrava	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 104	2336
Adyan Sing *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 121	919, 1791
Afa Khan *v.* Kishen Moonjoree Dossee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 17	536
Afatoolla Sirdar *v.* Dwarka Nath Moitry	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 814: 4 C. L. R., 95.	5306
Afrannessa Chowdhrani *v.* Sharafutullah Chowdhry	9 C. L. R., 321	790
Afsar Mundul *v.* Ameen Mundul	8 W. R., 502	3066
Afsuroodeen *v.* Akbur Ali	2 W. R., Act X, 77	536
Afsurooddeen *v.* Shoroosshee Bula Dabee	Marsh., 558: 2 Hay, 664	2, 1731, 1769
Aftabooddeen *v.* Shumsooddeen Mullick	18 W. R., 461	2881, 4311, 4404
Aftabooddeen Ahmed *v.* Mohinee Mohun Doss	15 W. R., 48	511, 6014
Aftabooddeen Mahomed *v.* Saniooollah	23 W. R., 245	5348
Afzal *v.* Ram Kumar Bhudra	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 610	190, 6038

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Afzal Ali *v.* Lala Gaurnarayan	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 519 : 6 W. R., Act X, 59.	5273
Afzal-un-nissa *v.* Tej Ban	I. L. R., 1 All., 725	304
Afzalunnissa Begam *v.* Al Ali	I. L. R., 8 All., 35	2763
Afzoolonissa Begum *v.* Parbutty Koonwar	2 W. R., Mis., 41	210
Aga Ali Khan *v.* Joydoyal Persaud	Bourke, O. C., 52	5897
Agabeg. In the matter of	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 340	2634
———	12 C. L. R., 165	2667, 3290
Aga Kurboolie Mahomed *v.* Queen	3 Moore's I. A., 164	1897
Aga Mahomed Ali Sheraji *v.* Judah	7 B. L. R., 50 : 17 W. R., 234, note.	2632
Aga Mahomed Hamadani *v.* Cohen	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 221	648, 3446
Aga Mahomed Rohim Sherazee *v.* Ally Mahomed Shoostry.	4 W. R., P. C., 106	130
Aga Mohammed Jaffer Tehrani *v.* Nazirulla	2 B. L. R., A. C., 73 : 10 W. R., 385.	869, 912
Agar Chand *v.* Viraraghavalu Chetti	3 Mad., 172	1097
Aga Syed Abdool Hossani *v.* Lenaine	13 Moore's I. A., 69	826
Aga Syud Saduck *v.* Jackariah Mahomed	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 308	2682, 2741
Ager Singh *v.* Boojhawun	4 N. W., 61	580
Aghani. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 204	6279
——— *v.* Bhagi Halwai		
Aghore Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Woomasoonderee Debea	7 W. R., 216	2887, 5682
Aghoreeram Sahoy *v.* Ramolee Sahoo	W. R., 1864, 309	4133
Aghore Lall Shamunt *v.* Gyanunund Roy	6 W. R., Act X, 11	2889
Aghore Nath *v.* Shama Sundari	I. L. R., 5 All., 615	5442
Aghore Nath Neogy *v.* Prem Chand Neogy	7 C. L. R., 274	5284
Aghore Nath Roy *v.* Radhika Pershad Bose	14 W. R., 339	3769
Aghore Nauth Banerjee *v.* Calcutta Tramways Company	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 232	1114
Aghori Ramasarag Singh *v.* Cochrane	5 B. L. R., Ap., 14	2188
Agnew *v.* Indian Carrying Company	2 Mad., 449	4609
——— *v.* Mathews	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 74 : 1 Mad., 17.	6211
Agoorce Hurrihur Churn *v.* Gungapersad Opadhya	W. R., 1864, 208	2089
Agra and Masterman's Bank. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350	951, 2669
———	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 352	953, 2669
——— *v.* Gungapershad	15 W. R., F. B., 5, note	5139
——— *v.* Minto	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 265	428
——— *v.* Robinson	6 B. L. R., Ap., 140	129
Agra Bank *v.* Abdul Rahiman	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 1	591
——— *v.* Cripps	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 455	798
Agra Savings Bank *v.* Sriram Mitter	I. L. R., 1 All., 388	820
Agrawal Singh *v.* Foujdar Singh	8 C. L. R., 346	1716
Agri Sarma Embrandri *v.* Vistun Embrandri	3 Mad., 198	107
Aguilar *v.* Woomesh Chunder Shaw	22 W. R., 209	1401, 2069
Agum Misra *v.* Pullukdharee Misra	W. R., 1864, 187	1499
Agur Mullick Mundul *v.* Debnath Chatterjee	24 W. R., 190	5681
Ahamudeen *v.* Grish Chunder Shamunt	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 350	1184
Ahed Hossein *v.* Lallaram Surun	11 W. R., 216	1072
Ahfutoonnissa *v.* Goluck Chunder Sen	22 W. R., 77	2078
Ahiloji valad Khandoji *v.* Dongar Harichand Gujar	5 Bom., A. C., 176	3212
Ahirunnissa Khatoon *v.* Komurunnissa Khatoon	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 100	227
Ahmad Ali *v.* Hafiza Bibi	I. L. R., 3 All., 514	3310
Ahmad Ata *v.* Mata Badal Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 844	187
Ahmad Bakhsh *v.* Gobindi	I. L. R., 2 All., 216	4769
Ahmad Hossein Khan *v.* Nihal-uddin-Khan	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 945 : 13 C. L. R., 340 : L. R., 10 I. A., 45	337, 3373, 4968

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ahmad Khan *v.* Madho Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 565	6092
Ahmad-uddin Khan *v.* Majlis Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 12	409, 5376
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 5 All., 438	2920, 4906
Ahmed *v.* Gunaish Pershad	2 Agra, 9	5109
Ahmedabad Municipality *v.* Mahamud Jamul	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 146	1541
Ahmed Ali *v.* Golam Gafar	3 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., 432.	1607
——— *v.* Nittyanund Roy	24 W. R., 171	215
Ahmed Ali Khan *v.* Ahmed	1 Agra, 101	4549
——— *v.* Jawahir Singh	1 Agra, 3	3968
——— *v.* Nubeea	2 Agra, 239	164
Ahmed Ally Mahomed *v.* Gladstone, Wyllie & Co.	7 W. R., 508	165
Ahmedbhai Habibhai *v.* Premchand Raichand	5 Bom., O. C., 83	4271, 5485, 5943
Ahmedbhoy Hubibhoy *v.* Vulleebhoy Cassumbhoy	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 572	2677, 4531
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 703	2025, 3087, 3736, 4663, 5045
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 323	705, 4287
Ahmed Chowdhry *v.* Khatoon	7 C. L. R., 537	1892, 5422
Ahmedee Begum *v.* Dabee Persaud	18 W. R., 287	139, 2826
Ahmed Hossein *v.* Bandee	15 W. R., 91	4149, 5091
——— *v.* Keramut	8 W. R., 353	560, 563
——— *v.* Khadija	3 B. L. R., A. C., 28, note: 10 W. R., 369.	3656, 4282
——— *v.* Kurneedan	24 W. R., 60	4324
Ahmed Mahomed *v.* Auhin	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 95	3811
Ahmed Mahomed Pattel *v.* Adjein Dooply	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 323	3339
Ahmed Mirza Saheb *v.* Thomas	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 162	1242
Ahmed Mundul *v.* Sonaoollah	8 W. R., 5	5788
Ahmedoollah *v.* Ashruff Hossein	13 W. R., 447: 8 B. L. R., Ap., 73, note	4309, 4310, 4315
——— *v.* Gour Hurree Biswas	15 W. R., 251	1700
——— *v.* Hur Churn Pandah	2 W. R., 236	3286, 3292, 5749
——— *v.* Meah Khan	14 W. R., 105	1138
Ahmed Reza *v.* Aghori	2 B. L. R., S. N., 15	2929
——— *v.* Enayet Hossein	W. R., 1864, 235	3317, 3318
——— *v.* Khajurunnissa	8 B. L. R., 93: 16 W. R., P. C., 1	1160
——— *v.* Khujoorunissa	13 W. R., 281	1934
——— *v.* Khujoorunnissa	15 W. R., 469	2707
Ahmed Rezah *v.* Enaet Hossein	1 W. R., Mis., 20	3842
Ahmed Sujad *v.* Taree Rai	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 343	319
Ahmedulla *v.* Ashruff Hossein	8 B. L. R., Ap., 73, note	2880, 4309, 4310
Ahmed Unnissa Begam Sahiba *v.* Arundel	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 63	3574
Ahmed valad Nanhubhai *v.* Khasaji valad Karimbai	6 Bom., A. C., 149	916
Ahmeenooddeen Ahmed. In the matter of the petition of	6 W. R., Mis., 5	4444
Ahmuddy *v.* Anund Mohun Mozoomdar	16 W. R., Cr., 75	974, 4629,
Ahmud Hossein *v.* Mohioodeen Ahmud	16 W. R., 193	3669
Ahmud Hossein Khan *v.* Mahomed Azeem Khan	1 N. W., 51: Ed. 1873, 48: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 175.	449
Ahmud Oollah *v.* Mithoo Lall	3 Agra, 186	2059
Ahmuty *v.* Brodie	W. R., 1864, Act X, 15	341
Ahobalasoo Chetty *v.* Venkata Kristnamma	5 Mad., 275	5703
Aholiya Bai Debia *v.* Luckhee Monee Debia	6 W. R., 37	2424
——— *v.* Shama Churn Bose	1 C. L. R., 473	6085

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ahsan Aly v. Sabokhee Bibee	10 W. R., 53	1471
Ahsan Khan v. Gangaram	I. L. R., 3 All., 185	3180
Ahsanoolah v. Jusoda	23 W. R., 79	3797
Ahsanoolah v. Kajee Aftabooddeen	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 594: 3 C. L. R., 382.	2692
——— v. Kisto Gobind Dass	2 C. L. R., 592	5628
Ahsuna Begum v. Kheerun Singh	10 W. R., 360	4848
Ain Ali v. Juggut Chunder Roy Chowdhry	25 W. R., 416	5064
Aitchison v. Nilmonee Singh Deo	20 W. R., 347	531
Aiyavu v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 61	719
Ajey Ram v. Girdharee	4 N. W., 110	2188
Ajgur Ali v. Asmut Ali	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 110: 10 C. L. R., 87.	5344
Ajhola Kooeree v. Digambur Singh	23 W. R., 206	87
Aji Ajam Tadallah Saib v. Gulam Hussain Saib	4 Mad., 44	3671, 3686
Ajimut Ali Khan v. Jowahir Singh	14 W. R., P. C., 17	2698
Ajit Singh v. Bijai Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 61: L. R., 11 I. A., 211.	1508
Ajmuddin v. Mathuradas Govardhan Das	11 Bom., 206	3147
Ajmuddin Khan v. Zia-un-nissa	12 Bom., 156	4066, 5653
Ajnas Kooer v. Luteefa	18 W. R., 21	272, 1038
Ajnasi Kuar v. Suraj Prasad	I. L. R., 1 All., 501	852
Ajodhya Prasad Sing v. Umrao Sing	6 B. L. R., 509: 15 W. R., P. C., 1: 13 Moore's I. A., 519.	1743, 3562, 4651
Ajonnissa Bibee v. Surja Kant Acharji	2 B. L. R., A. C., 181: 11 W. R., 56.	5939
Ajoodhia Lall v. Gumani Lall	2 C. L. R., 134	4299, 4403, 6076
Ajoodhia Persad v. Emambandi Begum	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 725; 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 192: 7 W. R., 528.	5197
Ajoodhia Shookool v. Jewboodh Shookool	6 N. W., 46	1485
Ajoodhya Chowbey v. Daibee Singh	3 Agra, Rev., 5	1215, 6081
Ajoodhya Dass. In the matter of	12 W. R., 354	226
Ajoodhya Doss v. Doorga Dutt Singh	17 W. R., 101	3776
——— v. Lalljee Paurey	19 W. R., 218	3819
——— v. Misrun	15 W. R., 176	6238
——— v. Muthoora Doss	23 W. R., 14	1206
——— v. Sheo Pershun Singh	11 W. R., 175	435
Ajoodhya Persad v. Collector of Durbhungah	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 419: 11 C. L. R., 550.	4303
Ajoodhya Pershad v. Gopal Dutt Misser	17 W. R., 271	5409
——— v. Bisheshur Sahai	6 N. W., 141	3188
——— v. Gobind Ram	2 Agra, 188	2814
——— v. Gunga Pershad	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 249: 6 C. L. R., 567.	165
——— v. Kristo Dyal	15 W. R., 165	4300
——— v. Moracha Kooer	25 W. R., 254	4481
Ajoodhya Pooree v. Sohun Lall	7 W. R., 428	3726
Ajoo Khan v. Kisto Pershad Lahoory	8 W. R., 477	4955, 4956
Ajudh Beharee Singh v. Ram Roy Tewari	18 W. R., 105	145
Ajudhia v. Baldeo Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 674	4547
Ajudhia Baksh v. Rakman Kuar	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 462: L. R., 11 I. A., 1.	6215
Ajudhia Bakhsh Singh v. Arab Ali Khan	I. L. R., 7 All., 892	4559
Ajudhia Nath v. Anant Das	I. L. R., 3 All., 799	2666, 4250

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ajudhia Nath *v.* Sital	I. L. R., 3 All., 567	3022
Ajudhia Prasad *v.* Bakar Sajjad	I. L. R., 5 All., 400	1122
——— *v.* Balmukand	I. L. R., 8 All., 354	200
——— *v.* Sheodin	I. L. R., 6 All., 403	5087
Ajuram Maniram *v.* Kusaji	4 Bom., A. C., 43	4902
Akashbutty Kooer *v.* Heera Ram Mundur	24 W. R., 82	1663, 2692
Akbar *v.* Khan	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 256 : 8 C. L. R., 533.	1773, 3300, 5834
Akbar Ali. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., 148	5937
——— *v.* Jezuddin	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 399	5680
Akbar Gazee *v.* Nufeezun	8 W. R., 99	3493, 3514
Akbari Begam *v.* Wilayat Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 908	4917
Akbar Khan *v.* Sheoratan	I. L. R., 1 All., 373	2870
Akbar Tagudgeer *v.* Punchoo Biswas	10 W. R., Cr., 42	6252
Akber Beg *v.* Bunda Ali	2 N. W., 419	352
Akbur Ali *v.* Bhyea Lal Jha	I. L. R. 6 Calc., 666 : 7 C. L. R., 497.	303
——— *v.* Mahomed Faiz Buksh	15 W. R., 12	475, 4286
——— *v.* Mullick Mukhdoom Buksh	25 W. R., 63	1488, 5782
Akbur Ali *alias* Aga Mirza *v.* Ameeroonissa	11 W. R., 225	3918
Akburally *v.* Hossan Ally	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 101 : 5 W. R., Mis., 29.	852, 5778
Akhe Ram *v.* Nand Kishore	I. L. R., 1 All., 236	3921, 4758, 5375
Akhil Chandra Chowdhry *v.* Nayu	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 248	1745
Akhoy Chunder Bagchi *v.* Kalapahar Haji	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 406 : L. R., 12 I. A., 198.	2136
Akhoy Ram Shahajee *v.* Ram Kant Roy	15 W. R., 223	3706, 3714
Akhoy Sunkur Chuckerbutty *v.* Indro Bhusan Deb Roy	4 B. L. R., F. B., 58	1620, 2930
Akhtaroonnissa *v.* Shariutoollah Chowdhry	7 W. R., 268	3704
Akhut Ramana *v.* Ahmed Yousaffji	7 B. L. R., 81 : 15 W. R., 538	208, 5979
Akilandammal *v.* Perissami Pillai	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 309	3284
——— *v.* Venkata Chala Mudali	6 Mad., 112	1383
Akima Bibee *v.* Azeem Sarung	9 W. R., 334	77, 84
Akjoo Bibee *v.* Koonjo Beharee Lall	19 W. R., 288	5762
Akkul Sahoo *v.* Abdool Guffoor	18 W. R., 15	5142
Akoba Dada *v.* Sakharam	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 429	2522
Akool Sircar *v.* Partama	24 W. R., Cr., 29	264
Akora Suth *v.* Boreani	2 B. L. R., A. C., 199 : 11 W. R., 82.	2524
Akram Shere *v.* Laljee Singh	24 W. R., 16	573
Akul Gaza *v.* Ameenooddeen	5 C. L. R., 41	1647
"Alabama." In the matter of the	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 139	5500
Alagaiya Tiruchittamballa *v.* Saminada Pillai	1 Mad., 264	3057
Alagirisami Naiker *v.* Innasi Udayan	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 127	3589, 5700
Alagu *v.* Abdoola	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 147	4893, 5970
Alaishmad *alias* Boolaki *v.* Nusibun	24 W. R., 70	32
Alai Ahmed *v.* Bodhoo Singh	14 W. R., 204	1706
Alamelu *v.* Rangasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 588	2463
Alangamonjori Dabee *v.* Sonamoni Dabee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 157 : 9 C. L. R., 121.	2566
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 637 : 10 C. L. R., 459.	2566, 5876
Albert Mills Company. In re	9 Bom., 438	3782
Aldwell *v.* Ilahi Bakhsh	I. L. R., 5 All., 478	487
Aleh Ahmed Sajjadanusheen *v.* Nusseebun	17 W. R., 563	782

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Aleh Ahmed Shahazadanusheen *v.* Bany Singh	18 W. R., 277	1478
Aleh Ahmud *v.* Nehal Singh	21 W. R., 88	3377
Alfatun *v.* Rao Karan Singh	7 N. W., 362	5380
Alfutunnissa *v.* Goluck Chunder Sen	15 B. L. R., 353, note	88
Ali *v.* Gopal Dass	13 W. R., 420	588, 1576, 2211, 2575, 5749
Ali Abkar *v.* Abdul Latif Shusba	12 Bom., 8	274
Ali Ahmed *v.* Doorga Roy	22 W. R., 455	5634
——— *v.* Oodhraj Ram	10 W. R., 79	5736
Ali Ashruf *v.* Chonga Gobind Roy	5 W. R., 220	5984
Ali Azim *v.* Ram Manick Roy	12 W. R., 195	5117, 5118
Ali Azim Khan *v.* Islam Khan	14 W. R., 483	4823
Aliba *v.* Nanu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 218	3382
Ali Buksh *v.* Mudun Gopal	3 Agra, 369	4168
——— *v.* Samiruddin	4 B. L. R., A. C., 31 : 12 W. R., 477.	1760, 5738
Ali Buksh Shah *v.* Nubee Buksh	9 W. R., 600	2890
Ali Bux *v.* Roop Kooer	2 N. W., 106	4211, 4459
Ali Hasan *v.* Dhiria	I. L. R., 4 All., 518	3936
——— *v.* Nandar Khan	2 N. W., 62	567
——— *v.* Ramdyal	3 N. W., 78	3212
Ali Hossein Khan *v.* Dwarka Dass	5 N. W., 134	1484
Ali Husain *v.* Nillakanden Nambudiri	1 Mad., 356	3766
Ali Khan *v.* Appadu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 304	3590
Alikhan Daudkhan *v.* Mahomad Khan Shamsher Khan Desmukh.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 658, note	3973
Alikhan Umar Khan. Ex parte	4 Bom., A. C., 92	3452
Ali Mahomed *v.* Kenaram Ghose	8 W. R., 128	4932
Ali Mazhar. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 All., 152	5672
Alim Buksh Fakir *v.* Jhalo Bibi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 48	82, 4401
Ali Meah *v.* Magistrate of Chittagong	25 W. R., Cr., 14	6263
Alimelammah *v.* Arunachellam Pillai	3 Mad., 69	2093, 5268
Ali Moidin Ravuthan *v.* Elayachanidathil Kombi Achen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 239	1743, 4994
Alimooddeen *v.* Sabir Khan	8 W. R., 60	5319
——— *v.* Wuzeer Ali	23 W. R., 52	3230
Alimooddy Chowdhry *v.* Chunder Nath Sen	24 W. R., 227	5477
Alimoodeen Moallem *v.* Syfoora Bibee	6 W. R., Mis., 125	3687
Alimuddi *v.* Kali Krishna Tagore	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 895	3807
Ali Muhammad *v.* Lalta Bakhsh	I. L. R., 1 All., 655	3443
——— *v.* Taj Muhammad	I. L. R., 1 All., 283	3721, 3722
Ali Muhammad Khan *v.* Azizullah Khan	I. L. R., 6 All., 50	3659
——— *v.* Gur Prasad	I. L. R., 5 All., 344	3525
Alimunessa Khatun *v.* Hassan Ali	8 C. L. R., 378	3698
Alimunnissa Khatoon *v.* Hossein Ali	6 C. L. R., 267	3115
——— *v.* Official Trustee of Bengal	L. R., 8 I. A., 197	3326
Ali Nukee *alias* Emdad Ali *v.* Torah Ali *alias* Mirza Nawab.	W. R., 1864, 44	6275
Ali Nuquee *v.* Bhugwan Das	1 Agra, 213	3198
Ali Reza *v.* Tarasoonderee	2 W. R., 150	3963, 4013
Ali Saib *v.* Saniyasiraz Pedda Balaiya Rasimhulu	2 Mad., 401	3350
——— *v.* Sanyasiraz Peddabaliyara Simhulu	3 Mad., 5	3374, 3579
Ali Serang *v.* Beadon	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 524	4039
Ali Shah *v.* Husain Bakhsh	I. L. R., 1 All., 588	3551
Alla Aiyappa *v.* Nundula Peraiya *alias* Perambhotlu	3 Mad., 82	360, 363
Alladinee Dossee *v.* Sreenath Chunder Bose	20 W. R., 258	4930
Alladmonee Dossia *v.* Joy Sunkur Roy	7 W. R., 408	5133

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Allahabad Trading Company. In re	1 N. W., Part 6, p. 101: Ed. 1873, 190.	923
Allah Bakhsh v. Sada Sukh	I. L. R., 8 All., 182	3991
Allah Bibee v. Joogul Mundul	25 W. R., 234	2993
Allarakia Ali v. Greach	3 Bom., O. C., 150	1402
Allarakhia Shivji v. Jehangir Hormasji	10 Bom., 391	388
Allee Shah v. Amanee Begum	19 W. R., 149	4142
Alleh Ahmed v. Nuseebun	21 W. R., 415	3165
Allender v. Dwarkanath Roy	15 W. R., 320	2978, 3000
Allen, Hayes, & Co., v. Anundo Chunder Mundle	Bourke, O. C., 156	6141
Alliance Financial Corporation, Blaney's case. In re	3 Bom., O. C., 106	921
Allij Hossein v. Muzhur Hossein	4 C. L. R., 577	820
Alli Khan v. Nasser Ali	1 W. R., 115	480
Allu Khan v. Roshan Khan	I. L. R., 4 All., 85	3954
Allum Chunder Shaha v. Bhurut Baboo	5 W. R., Act X, 92	4925
Allumuddy v. Braham	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 140: 2 C. L. R., 431.	2588
Allunni v. Kunjusha	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 264	4986
Allyat v. Juggut Chunder Roy	5 W. R., 242	1744, 5984
Ally Bebanee v. Hyder Hoosein	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 449	5583
Ally Hossein v. Ally Hossein *alias* Chotey Mirza	2 Agra, 96	4311
——— v. Dhunput Singh	W. R., 1864, Mis., 19	210
——— v. Joogulkishore	Marsh., 244: 2 Hay, 113	1881
Ally Nuckee Khan v. Anley	1 Hyde, 134	455
Almas Banee v. Mahomed Ruja	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 239: 6 C. L. R., 553.	3230
Alookoondery Goopto v. Horo Lal Roy	6 W. R., 287	2022
Altaf Ali v. Lalji Mal	I. L. R., 1 All., 518	3841
Altaf Hossein v. Grish Chunder Roy	15 W. R., 556	4051
——— v. Tasuddook Hossein	2 Agra, 87	1515
Altamoonissa Bibee v. Sage	11 W. R., 335	2024
Aluckmonee Debee v. Dino Nath Ghose	24 W. R., 421	4269
Alukmonee Dabee v. Banee Madhub Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 677: 3 C. L. R., 473.	2521, 5373
Alum Chunder Shaw Chowdhry v. Moran	W. R., 1864, Act X, 31	3027, 3030
Alum Manjee v. Ashad Ali	16 W. R., 138	1172, 5353
Alunga Bebee v. Gooroo Churn Roy	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 3	821, 849
Alup Rai v. Sheo Dyal	2 Agra, 142	1038
Alu Prasad v. Sukhan	I. L. R., 3 All., 610	2715, 5214
Alvar Chetti v. Vaidilanga Chetti	1 Mad., 9	2211
Aman Ali Chowdhry v. Kasim Ali	6 W. R., 316	5122
Aman Chinaman. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 58	5166
Amanat Ali Chowdhry . Mussen Ali	2 B. L. R. Ap., 36: 11 W. R., 145.	5078
Amanat Begam v. Bhajan Lal	I. L. R., 8 All., 438	6087
Amanatulla. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., 569: 15 W. R., 136.	577
Amanee Begum v. Koorban Ali	3 Agra, 204	5408, 5920
Aman Khan v. Hoseena Khatoon	9 W. R., 548	84
Amancollah v. Mahomed Nasir	22 W. R., 442	6132
——— v. Ram Nidhee Ghose	9 W. R., 392	1646
Aman Singh v. Jeygopal Singh	3 Agra, 164	4310, 4315
Amanut Ali v. Bindhoo	13 W. R., 138	202, 224, 2696
Amarchand Lahata v. Bukshee Pyekar	22 W. R., 228	5179
Amar Nath v. Thakurdas	I. L. R., 3 All., 131	1232
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 5 All., 248	94, 2092

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Amarni *v.* Dayal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 50	5858
Amar Singh *v.* Tika	I. L. R., 3 All., 139	3522
Amatal Fatima Khanum *v.* Taranath Chand	24 W. R., 151	4270
Amatool Rasool *v.* Luteefun	19 W. R., 302	1927
Ambala Ramasawmy Iyengar *v.* Mahamadalli Ravutan	5 Mad., 330	5783
Ambala Vaveri Manakel Raman Somayajipad *v.* Naduvakat Krishna Poduval.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 325	3213
Ambaram Harivallabhdas *v.* Himat Sing Kalianji	2 Bom., 109 : 2nd Ed., 103	748, 1867
Ambalavana Padeiyatchi *v.* Sabramania Padeiyatchi	6 Mad., 262	774
Ambica Dasi *v.* Nadyar Chand Pal	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 172	174
Ambica Pershad Singh *v.* Surdhari Lall	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 851	3522
Ambika Charan Dutt *v.* Nadir Hossein	2 B. L. R., A. C., 258	5790
Ambika Dat *v.* Sukhmani Kuar	I. L. R., 1 All., 437	2450
Ambika Debi *v.* Pranhari Das	4 B. L. R., F. B., 77	5323
Ambler *v.* Pushong	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 365	4496
Ambu *v.* Raman	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 371	3766
Ambur Ali *v.* Kali Chand Doss	24 W. R., 258	6089
Ambu valad Appaji *v.* Khanu Sakharam	6 Bom., A. C., 19, note	2838
Amodbhai Habibhai *v.* Premchand Raichand	5 Bom., O. C., 83	945, 2261
Ameena Begum *v.* Doordanah Khanum	19 W. R., 44	1569
Ameena Bibee *v.* Zeifa Bibee	3 W R., 37	3675
Ameen Ahmed *v.* Velaet Ali Khan	20 W. R., 422	1918
Ameena Khatoon *v.* Radhabenod Misser	7 Moore's I. A., 261	4638
Ameen Buksh *v.* Bhyro Mundul	22 W. R., 493	5202
Ameen Chand *v.* Oomeid Singh	1 Agra, 114	3326
——— *v.* Mendhoo Khan	1 Agra, Rev., 53	368, 370
Ameenooddeen Ahmed *v.* Khyroonissa	20 W. R., 60	1357
Ameenooddeen Khan *v.* Moozuffer Hossein Khan	3 Agra, Mis., 5	3525
Ameenooddeen Shaha *v.* Asgur Ali	8 W. R., 464	1757
Ameer Ahmud *v.* Zameer Ahmud	18 W. R., 122	3824
Ameer Ali *v.* Ameeroonissa Begum	11 W. R., 11	5634
——— *v.* Bhabo Soonduree Debia	6 W. R., 116	4538
——— *v.* Inderjeet Kooer	15 W. R., 43	4174
——— *v.* Mohendro Nath Bose	2 W. R., 271	3397
——— *v.* Pearun	W. R., 1864, 239	3705
——— *v.* Ram Bahadoor Singh	7 W. R., 84	773
——— *v.* Sahib Singh	15 W. R., 530	3496
——— *v.* Syet Ali	5 W. R., 299	1697
Ameer Ali Khan *v.* Kassim Ali Khan	13 W. R., 403	1544, 1938
Ameer Ali Sowdagur *v.* Imamooddeen	15 W. R., 145	2735
Ameer Begum *v.* Noor Begum	Agra, F. B., 1	997
Ameer Beparee *v.* Hurree Mohun Kurmokar	23 W. R., 87	5761
Ameer Bibee *v.* Tukronissa Begum	7 W. R., 332	5812
Ameer Buksh *v.* Yusoof Ali	22 W. R., 489	1754
Ameer Chand Nohatta. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., Cr., 63	6251
Ameeree Begum *v.* Gobind Pandey	15 W. R., 35	640
Ameer Hossein *v.* Sheo Suhae	19 W. R., 338	5187
Ameer Khan. In re	6 B. L. R., 392	57, 518, 2093
———. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., 456	3736
———. ———	6 B. L. R., 459 : 17 W. R., Cr., 15.	518, 2093
——— ———	18 W. R., 407, note	285
Ameer Koolee Khan *v.* Russick Lall Singh	8 W. R., 495	563, 4423
Ameer Mahomed *v.* Brass	14 W. R., Cr., 36	981
Ameerooddeen *v.* Ram Chand Sahoo	5 W. R., 53	4016
Ameeroollah *v.* Ram Doss Doss	2 Agra, 187	4022
Ameeroonissa. In the matter of	11 W. R., 297	3687

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ameeroonissa Begum v. Indurjeet Koonwar	5 W. R., Mis., 17	266
Ameeroonissa Bibee v. Woomarooddeen Mahomed Chowdhry	14 W. R., 49	6004
Ameeroonissa Khatoon. In the matter of	14 W. R., 94	4531
——— v. Dunne	14 W. R., 361	282
——— v. Juggurnath Roy	11 W. R., 113	5984
——— v. Komula Kant Roy	14 W. R., 117	6152
——— v. Mahomed Muzaffer Hossein Chowdhry.	18 W. R., 103	2695, 2710
Ameeroonnissa v. Moradoonnissa	6 Moore's I. A., 211	28, 3654
——— v. Shib Suhai	1 Agra, 271	3127
Ameeroonnissa Beebee v. Benode Ram Sein	2 W. R., 29	471, 481
Ameeroonnissa Begum v. Gopal Sahoo	22 W. R., 134	5221
Ameeroonnissa Khanum v. Ashruffoonnissa	17 W. R., 259: 14 Moore's I. A., 433.	6056
Ameeroonnissa Khatoon v. Abadoonnissa Khatoon	15 B. L. R., 67: 23 W. R., 208: L. R., 2 I. A., 87.	3676, 3747, 4648
——— v. Abedoonnissa Khatoon	16 W. R., 307	207, 1906
——— v. Juggernath Roy	11 W. R., 113	1736
——— v. Wise	24 W. R., 435	5812
Ameerun. In the goods of	15 W. R., 496	1236
——— v. Cheraj Ali	24 W. R., 343	5756
——— v. Ruheemun	2 Agra, Pt. II, 162	3657, 3694
——— v. Suujeeda	11 W. R., 226	4315
——— v. Wussehun	12 W. R., 11	2753
Ameerun Bibee v. Shibpershad Thakoor	8 W. R., 199	3495
Ameerunissa Begum v. Indurjeet Koonwur	6 W. R., Mis., 97	5116
Ameerunnissa v. Chapman	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 334: 6 W. R., 108.	4439
Ameerunnissa Khatoon v. Mahomed Hossein	2 C. L. R., 143	845
——— v. Mozuffer Hossein Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 65	799
Amina v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 277	5653
——— v. Ramzan Ali	W. R., 1864, Act X, 116	2915
Amina Bibi v. Khatija Bibi	1 Bom., 2nd Ed., 157	3682
Aminooddowlah v. Roshun Ali Khan	5 Moore's I. A., 199	3733
Amin Sahib v. Ibram Sahib	4 Mad., 112	110
Amiraddi. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 45	4100, 4361
Amir Ali v. Indurjit Koer	9 B. L. R., 460: 14 Moore's I. A., 203.	280, 1221, 1717, 4660
Amiran v. Asihun	3 B. L. R., A. C., 190	2753
Amirchand Jamnadas v. Maggan Amthu	4 Bom., A. C., 176	5825
Amir Hassan Khan v. Sheo Baksh Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 6: L. R., 11 I. A., 237.	5956
Amir Hossein v. Imambandi Begum	11 C. L. R., 443	3139, 4477
Amirjan v. Rivett-Carnac	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 350	1218
Amir Saheb Hafizulla v. Jamshedji Rustamji	4 Bom., A. C., 41	5771
Amir Singh v. Moazzum Ali Khan	7 N. W., 58	1172, 3025
Amirta v. Nund Kishore	2 Agra, 333	541
Amirto Lal Bose v. Rajonee Kant Mitter	15 B. L. R., 10: 23 W. R., 214: L. R., 2 I A., 113.	140, 2307, 3160, 3398
Amiruddaula Muhamad Kakya Hussain Khan v. Nateri Srinivasa Charlu.	6 Mad., 356	3679
Amiruddin. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 481	2757
——— v. Farid Sarkar	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 481	2757
——— v. Jiban Bibee	1 B. L. R., F. B., 101: 10 W. R., F. B., 39.	194
Amirunnessa Khatoon v. Browne	13 C. L. R., 131	5364, 5367

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Amirunnessa Khatoon *v.* Secretary of State for India in Council.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 63	5363, 5367
Amirunnissa *v.* Behary Lall	25 W. R., 529	3094
Amirunnissa Barkat *v.* Affiattunnissa	3 B. L. R., A. C., 404: 12 W. R., 307.	678
Amirunnissa Begum *v.* Amir Khan	17 W. R., 119	4468
——— *v.* Umar Khan	8 B. L. R., 540	4468
Amirunnissa Chowdharani *v.* Ahsanullah Chowdhri	13 C. L. R., 18	3502
Amir Zama *v.* Nathu Mal	I. L. R., 8 All., 396	1225, 4972 5611
Amitrav bin Yeshvantrav Deshmukh *v.* Anyaba Abaji Deshmukh.	5 Bom., A. C., 50	3368
Amjad Ali *v.* Kunku Shaw	9 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 17 W. R., 304.	903
——— *v.* Moniram Kalita	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 52	1812, 2203, 2524
Amjad Ali Khan *v.* Gholam Hyder Khan	1 W. R., 313	540
Amjad Hossein *v.* Kharag Sen Sahu	4 B. L. R., A. C., 203: 13 W. R., 299.	3723
Amjatt Ali *v.* Azhur Ali	21 W. R., 241	4195
Amjed Ali *v.* Ala Buksh	9 W. R., 537	4786
Amjud Ali *v.* Ali Buksh	2 W. R., 122	3292
Amjud Ali Khan *v.* Fazul Hossein	19 W. R., 187	5625
Amjudee Begum *v.* Ahmed Hossein	6 W. R., 314	6072
Amma *v.* Kunhunni	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 355	4904
Ammallu Ammal *v.* Subhu Vadiyar	2 Mad., 184	5700
Ammani Ammal *v.* Sellayi Ammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 426	1389
Ammiappa Mudali *v.* Mahomed Mustafa Saib	2 Mad., 443	2788
Ammu *v.* Ramakishna Sastri	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 226	1681, 3432, 3443
Ammur Singh *v.* Murdun Singh	2 N. W., 31	2480, 4468
Ammutti *v.* Kunji Keyi	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 452	3783
Amolak Ram *v.* Sahib Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 550	2605
Amra Nashya *v.* Gagan Shutar	2 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 11 W. R., 130.	3151, 5924
Amrita Kumari Debi *v.* Lukhi Narayan Chuckerbutty	2 B. L. R., F. B., 28	2300
Amrithamal *v.* Ranganadha Pillai	3 Mad., 165	3286
Amrithnath Jha *v.* Ahmed Reza	6 W. R., Cr., 61	4505
Amrith Nath Jha *v.* Dhunput Singh	20 W. R., 253	910
Amrit Kooer *v.* Roop Kooer	2 N. W., 459: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 240.	6005
Amrit Koonwar *v.* Luchmee Narain	1 Agra, 93	806
Amrit Lal *v.* Balbir	I. L. R., 6 All., 68	5082
——— *v.* Madho Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 292	5128
Amrit Lal Mansuk *v.* Maniklal Jetha	10 Bom., 375	3221
Amrit Misser *v.* Gurda Pardan	7 N. W., 183	5266
Amrit Nath Chowdhry *v.* Gauri Nath Chowdhry	6 B. L. R., 232: 15 W. R., P. C., 10: 13 Moore's I. A., 542.	2230, 2360
Amritnath Jha *v.* Roy Dhunput Singh	8 B. L. R., 44: 15 W. R., 503	761
Amritrav P. Kokdi *v.* Manaji J. Jagtap	3 Bom., A. C., 49	4175, 5130
Amur Narain *alias* Nerput Suhaye *v.* Rughoo Bunsee Koonwur.	5 W. R., 234	4410
Amutoôl Russool *v.* Jhoomuck Singh	24 W. R., 345	4561
Anaji Dathushet *v.* Morushet Bapushet	2 Bom., 354: 2nd Ed., 331	4561
Anakaran Kasmi *v.* Saidamadath Avulla	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 79	5806

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anakattil Narayana Krishnan Karthavu *v.* Kocheri Pilo Pilo.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 191	5683
Ananda Bibi *v.* Nownit Lal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 315	2308
Ananda Chandra Bose *v.* Broughton	9 B. L. R., 423	271
Ananda Krishna Bose *v.* Rajendra Narayan Deb	4 B. L. R., O. C., 231	2553
——— *v.* Verner	13 B. L. R., 300: 22 W. R., 305.	2949
Ananda Lall Dass *v.* Radhamohun Shaw	2 B. L. R., F. B., 49: 11 W. R., O. C., 1.	438
Ananda Mayi Dasi *v.* Patit Babuni Dasi	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 18: W. R., F. B., 118.	2887
Anandamayi Dasi *v.* Purna Chandra Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 506: 6 W. R., Mis., 69.	1870, 3542
Ananda Raman Vathiar *v.* Paliyil Vittil Nanu Nayar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 9	5034
Anandayi *alias* Kunjara Natchiar *v.* Parvatavardani Natchiar.	2 Mad., 206	2120
Anandayyan *v.* Devarajayyan	2 Mad., 17	1348
Anand Chandra Ghose *v.* Prankristo Dutt	3 B. L. R., O. C., 14: 11 W. R., O. C., 19.	2473
Anand Chandra Mazumdar *v.* Gobardhan Khan	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 457: 5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 19.	5708
Anand Chandra Pal *v.* Panchilal Surma	5 B. L. R., 691: 14 W. R., F. B., 33.	2631
Anand Coomari *v.* Ali Jamin	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 229	3390
Anandji Visram *v.* Nariad Spinning and Weaving Company.	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 320	922, 1073
Anand Kumari *v.* Government	9 B. L. R., 16, note: 11 W. R., 180.	1442
Anandlal Dass *v.* Mushun Ali	2 B. L. R., A. C., 98, note	1597
Anand Lall Bera. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 18: 13 C. L. R., 209.	6185
——— *v.* Empress on the prosecution of Azim Peon.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 18: 13 C. L. R., 209.	6185
Anand Mayi Dasi *v.* Dharendra Chandra Mookerjee	8 B. L. R., 122: 14 Moore's I. A., 101: 16 W. R., P. C., 19.	3389, 3547, 4470
Anandrav *v.* Ravji	2 Bom., 214	4023
Anandrav Bapuji *v.* Shekh Baba	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 562	5410
Anandrav Bhikaji Phadke *v.* Shankar Daji Charya	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 323	2840, 3276, 4273
Anandrav Chimuji *v.* Thakar Chand	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 245	790
Anandrav Sivaji *v.* Ganesh Eshvant Bokil	7 Bom., Ap., 33	2110
Ananta *v.* Ramabai	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 554	2338
Anant Bahadur Singh *v.* Raghunath Kuar	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 769: 11 C. L. R., 149: L. R., 9 I. A., 41.	1418, 4224, 6052
Anant Das *v.* Ashburner & Co.	I. L. R., 1 All., 267	1114
Ananthachárri *v.* Ananthachárri	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 169	259
Anantha Naraiyanappaiyan *alias* Asvata Aiyan *v.* Ganapaty Aiyan.	2 Mad., 469	5656
Anantha Narayana *v.* Periyana Kone	5 Mad., 101	5584, 5657
Anantharáma Ayyan *v.* Karuppanan Kalingarayen	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 119	3158
Anantharáma Patter *v.* Madhava Paniker	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 264	198
Anantha Tirtha Chariar *v.* Nagamuthu Ambalagaren	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 200	2270
Anantnath Dey *v.* Mackintosh	6 B. L. R., 571	2603
Anant Ram Chandra Lotlikar. In re	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 197	5888
Anar Mollah *v.* Hills	10 W. R., 139	327

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anarullah Shaikh *v.* Koylash Chunder Bose	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 118	2025, 5815
Anath Nath Dey *v.* Mackintosh	8 B. L. R., 60	2474
Andarji Kalyanji *v.* Dulabh Jeevan	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 88	3206
Anderson *v.* Juggodumba Dabi	6 C. L. R., 262	4688
——— *v.* McQueen	7 W. R., Cr., 12	1898
——— *v.* Rajnarain Doss	Cor., 3	2705
——— *v.* Spence's Hotel Company	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 378	930
——— *v.* Sreemunto	Cor., 5	2705
Anderson, Wright & Co., *v.* Kalagarla Surjinarain	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 339	4870
Andi Konan *v.* Venkata Subbaiyad	2 Mad., 387	3237
Andrew *v.* Larmour	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 4: 1 Hay, 309.	5325
——— *v.* Lyon	2 Hay, 362	4207
Andrews *v.* Joakim	2 B. L. R., O. C., 148	6203
Andy Chetty. In re	2 Mad., 438	17
Angelo *v.* Cargill	9 B. L. R., 417: 18 W. R., Cr., 41.	1221, 4108, 5284
Anisul Futwa *v.* Chando	8 B. L. R., Ap., 3	318
Anjud Singh *v.* Depun Singh	3 B. L. R., Ap., 143: 14 W. R., 238, note.	6085
Annada Gobind Chowdhry *v.* Swarnamayi	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 7	3192
Annagurubala Chetti *v.* Kristnaswami Nayakan	1 Mad., 457	1818
Annaji Apaji *v.* Ramji Jivaji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 348	3491
Annaji Appaji *v.* Kasi Atmaji	3 Bom., A. C., 124	3043
Annaji Rau *v.* Raghubai *alias* Sithubai	6 Mad., 400	2498
Annaji Waghuji *v.* Bapuchand Jethiram	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 520	1517
Annamalai Chetti *v.* Cloete	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 204	1242, 6075
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 189	3572
——— *v.* Muthulinga Pillai	6 Mad., 360	888, 5920
Annammah *v.* Mabbu Bali Reddy	8 Mad., 108	2142
Annapa *v.* Ganpati	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 181	4012, 4413, 4785
Annapurnabai. In re	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 630	5886
Anna Purna Dasi *v.* Joykisto Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 107	1735
Annasami *v.* Naranayen	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 12	627
Annasvami *v.* Narranaiyan	1 Mad., 144	3916
Annavunadavan *v.* Iyasawmy Pillai	6 Mad., 65	441
"Anne." In the matter of the Barque	2 Hyde, 273	5647
Annoda Churn Roy *v.* Kally Coomar Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 89: 2 C. L. R., 464.	1181
Annoda Persad Roy *v.* Dwarkanath Gangopadhya	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 754: 8 C. L. R., 321.	29, 4598
Annoda Persaud Mookerjee *v.* Kristo Coomar Moitro	15 B. L. R., 60, note: 19 W. R., 5.	545
Annodapershad Acharjee *v.* Shurbosoonderee Debia	11 W. R., 453	1135
Annoda Pershad Mookerjee *v.* Troyluckhnath Paul Chowdry	13 W. R., 123	1907
Annoda Sundari Dabi *v.* Jugut Moni Dabi	6 C. L. R., 176	4667
Annondomoyee Debee *v.* Luchmun Pershad Gogo	2 C. L. R., 264	4495
Annund Chunder Bose *v.* Broughton	17 W. R., 301	4590
Annundi Koomar *v.* Thakoor Panday	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 31: 4 W. R., Mis., 21.	3159
Annundmoyee Dassee *v.* Annund Soondur Mozoomdar	13 W. R., 237	764
Anundo Chunder Banerjee *v.* Shubhul Chundra Debea	2 Hay, 624	5437
Anonymous	Bourke, A. O. C., 144	3789
———	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 14	772, 4676
———	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 49	195

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 59	4520, 5732
——	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 58	271
——	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 68	5732
——	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 130	3024
——	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 135	2261
——	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 13	5754
——	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 8	2650
——	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 46	714, 2009
——	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106	6178
——	W. R., F. B., 126	3286
——	2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5	5655, 5663
——	3 W. R., Cr., 33	503, 504, 2766
——	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 7	5703, 5709
——	4 W. R., Cr., 2	3629
——	9 W. R., 357	4518
——	12 W. R., Cr., 34	2028
——	13 W. R., 353	5842
——	15 W. R., 237	1240
——	15 W. R., 506	959
——	18 W. R., 249	1354
——	18 W. R., 397	4742
——	23 W. R., 223	5659
——	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 886 : 9 W. R., 175.	5703
——	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 62	4919
——	4 B. L. R., F. B., 41 : 12 W. R., Cr., 59.	971
——	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 767	5843
——	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 274	5859
——	1 Mad., 99, note	6238
——	1 Mad., 127	1001
——	3 Mad., 341	5236
——	3 Mad., 374	5660
——	3 Mad., Ap., 1	6193
——	3 Mad., Ap., 2	706
——	3 Mad., Ap., 2	4238
——	3 Mad., Ap., 4	4238
——	3 Mad., Ap., 5	6259
——	3 Mad., Ap., 6	1255
——	3 Mad., Ap., 7	587
——	3 Mad., Ap., 9	1989, 5566
——	3 Mad., Ap., 10	4666
——	3 Mad., Ap., 11	1669
——	3 Mad., Ap., 23	1669
——	3 Mad., Ap., 23	4505
——	3 Mad., Ap., 24	4054
——	3 Mad., Ap., 25	99
——	3 Mad., Ap., 27	5821
——	3 Mad., Ap., 29	1962
——	3 Mad., Ap., 29	3606
——	3 Mad., Ap., 31	2598
——	3 Mad., Ap., 32	709
——	3 Mad., Ap., 33	4070
——	3 Mad., Ap., 34	1151, 6263
——	4 Mad., Ap., 1	907
——	4 Mad., Ap., 2	3606

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous	4 Mad., Ap., 2	5600
———	4 Mad., Ap., 3	998, 4114
———	4 Mad., Ap., 3	3738
———	4 Mad., Ap., 4	2907
———	4 Mad., Ap., 5	711
———	4 Mad., Ap., 6	4359
———	4 Mad., Ap., 6	4717, 6258
———	4 Mad., Ap., 7	23
———	4 Mad., Ap., 8	982
———	4 Mad., Ap., 9	3630
———	4 Mad., Ap., 11	707
———	4 Mad., Ap., 12	4484
———	4 Mad., Ap., 14	5560
———	4 Mad., Ap., 15	1791
———	4 Mad., Ap., 16	3863
———	4 Mad., Ap., 17	4717, 6258
———	4 Mad., Ap., 18	1967, 5562,
———	4 Mad., Ap., 18	4718
———	4 Mad., Ap., 19	5146
———	4 Mad., Ap., 20	4487
———	4 Mad., Ap., 21	3625
———	4 Mad., Ap., 22	343
———	4 Mad., Ap., 23	3628
———	4 Mad., Ap., 23	5543
———	4 Mad., Ap., 24	4506
———	4 Mad., Ap., 27	5550
———	4 Mad., Ap., 28	955, 2005
———	4 Mad., Ap., 29	6262
———	4 Mad., Ap., 30	5992
———	4 Mad., Ap., 31	3620
———	4 Mad., Ap., 32	1257
———	4 Mad., Ap., 34	4098
———	4 Mad., Ap., 36	5578
———	4 Mad., Ap., 38	4734
———	4 Mad., Ap., 39	400
———	4 Mad., Ap., 40	3615
———	4 Mad., Ap., 41	974
———	4 Mad., Ap., 42	5884
———	4 Mad., Ap., 43	2778
———	4 Mad., Ap., 43	4433
———	4 Mad., Ap., 44	4719
———	4 Mad., Ap., 45	4718
———	4 Mad., Ap., 47	5542
———	4 Mad., Ap., 48	21
———	4 Mad., Ap., 49	4487, 4488, 4490
———	4 Mad., Ap., 51	1044
———	4 Mad., Ap., 52	1044
———	4 Mad., Ap., 53	5496
———	4 Mad., Ap., 54	3627
———	4 Mad., Ap., 55	1
———	4 Mad., Ap., 56	2771
———	4 Mad., Ap., 58	5822
———	4 Mad., Ap., 61	3621
———	4 Mad., Ap., 62	118
———	4 Mad., Ap., 62	395, 3559
———	4 Mad., Ap., 63	1001

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous	4 Mad., Ap., 64	3627
———	4 Mad , Ap., 65	6066
———	4 Mad., Ap., 66	5544
———	4 Mad., Ap., 67	4095
———	4 Mad., Ap., 68	963
———	4 Mad , Ap., 69	4621
———	4 Mad.. Ap , 69	4741
———	5 Mad., 280	3332
———	5 Mad., 371	407
———	5 Mad , Ap., 1	5600, 6190
———	5 Mad., Ap., 2	1532
———	5 Mad., Ap., 3	5571
———	5 Mad., Ap., 4	1801
———	5 Mad , Ap , 4	2808
———	5 Mad., Ap., 5	974
———	5 Mad., Ap , 5	5561
———	5 Mad., Ap., 6	6066
———	5 Mad., Ap., 8	2072
———	5 Mad., Ap., 8	2770
———	5 Mad., Ap., 9	4530
———	5 Mad., Ap., 10	5150
———	5 Mad., Ap , 12	2778
———	5 Mad., Ap., 13	1152
———	5 Mad., Ap., 14	1951
———	5 Mad., Ap., 15	1044, 6235
———	5 Mad., Ap., 16	3570
———	5 Mad., Ap , 17	1329
———	5 Mad., Ap., 18	5576
———	5 Mad., Ap., 19	4099
———	5 Mad., Ap., 20	5146
———	5 Mad., Ap , 21	5567
———	5 Mad., Ap., 22	5885
———	5 Mad , Ap., 23	5993
———	5 Mad., Ap., 24	389
———	5 Mad., Ap , 24	6277
———	5 Mad., Ap , 25	2904
———	5 Mad., Ap., 26	3567
———	5 Mad., Ap., 27	6257
———	5 Mad , Ap., 28	1046
———	5 Mad., Ap., 28	1234
———	5 Mad., Ap., 29	3588
———	5 Mad., Ap., 30	3865
———	5 Mad., Ap., 31	1535
———	5 Mad., Ap., 32	5603
———	5 Mad., Ap., 33	3628
———	5 Mad., Ap., 34	1153
———	5 Mad , Ap., 35	5564
———	5 Mad., Ap., 36	3567
———	5 Mad , Ap., 36	5992
———	5 Mad., Ap., 37	5992
———	5 Mad., Ap., 38	1329
———	5 Mad., Ap., 39	5294
———	5 Mad., Ap , 39	6190
———	5 Mad., Ap., 40	958
———	5 Mad., Ap., 40	3867
———	5 Mad., Ap., 41	3568
———	5 Mad., Ap., 42	5553

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous	5 Mad., Ap., 43	3619
———	5 Mad., Ap., 44	5562
———	5 Mad., Ap., 44	6089
———	5 Mad., Ap., 45	1240
———	6 Mad., 135	424
———	6 Mad., Ap., 2	3619
———	6 Mad., Ap., 3	2904
———	6 Mad., Ap., 3	5572
———	6 Mad., Ap., 4	4492
———	6 Mad., Ap., 5	5838
———	6 Mad., Ap., 6	6235
———	6 Mad., Ap., 7	1152
———	6 Mad., Ap., 8	982
———	6 Mad., Ap., 8	5146
———	6 Mad., Ap., 9	3631
———	6 Mad., Ap., 9	4779
———	6 Mad., Ap., 10	1044, 6235
———	6 Mad., Ap., 11	1789
———	6 Mad., Ap., 11	3567
———	6 Mad., Ap., 12	735
———	6 Mad., Ap., 12	5830
———	6 Mad., Ap., 13	4497
———	6 Mad., Ap., 14	1041
———	6 Mad., Ap., 15	974
———	6 Mad., Ap., 16	5567
———	6 Mad., Ap., 17	3619
———	6 Mad., Ap., 18	3570, 5146
———	6 Mad., Ap., 19	1327
———	6 Mad., Ap., 20	3786
———	6 Mad., Ap., 22	5509
———	6 Mad., Ap., 23	3743
———	6 Mad., Ap., 24	101, 5563
———	6 Mad., Ap., 24	1235
———	6 Mad., Ap., 25	1325
———	6 Mad., Ap., 26	1325
———	6 Mad., Ap., 27	710
———	6 Mad., Ap., 28	1255
———	6 Mad., Ap., 29	6259
———	6 Mad., Ap., 30	4532
———	6 Mad., Ap., 31	3576
———	6 Mad., Ap., 32	2584
———	6 Mad., Ap., 34	3576
———	6 Mad., Ap., 36	5842
———	6 Mad., Ap., 37	3864
———	6 Mad., Ap., 37	5562
———	6 Mad., Ap., 38	6193
———	6 Mad., Ap., 39	4719
———	6 Mad., Ap., 40	4773
———	6 Mad., Ap., 40	5568
———	6 Mad., Ap., 41	3615
———	6 Mad., Ap., 41	3630, 5597
———	6 Mad., Ap., 42	6230
———	6 Mad., Ap., 43	3607
———	6 Mad., Ap., 44	1046
———	6 Mad., Ap., 45	5153
———	6 Mad., Ap., 47	1510
———	6 Mad., Ap., 47	2778, 5549

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous	6 Mad., Ap., 48	4355
———	6 Mad., Ap., 49	957
———	6 Mad., Ap., 50	3601
———	7 Mad., 339	1252
———	7 Mad., Ap., 1	235
———	7 Mad., Ap., 2	3615
———	7 Mad., Ap., 3	1044
———	7 Mad., Ap., 4	3576
———	7 Mad., Ap., 5	3616
———	7 Mad., Ap., 5	5159
———	7 Mad., Ap., 6	3627
———	7 Mad., Ap., 7	3576
———	7 Mad., Ap., 8	3630
———	7 Mad., Ap., 9	2030, 2033
———	7 Mad., Ap., 10	3576
———	7 Mad., Ap., 10, 11	1046
———	7 Mad., Ap., 11	2581
———	7 Mad., Ap., 12	5508
———	7 Mad., Ap., 13	99
———	7 Mad., Ap., 13	955
———	7 Mad., Ap., 14	1045, 6235
———	7 Mad., Ap., 15	655
———	7 Mad., Ap., 15	1022
———	7 Mad., Ap., 16	983
———	7 Mad., Ap., 19	5992
———	7 Mad., Ap., 22	5566, 5567
———	7 Mad., Ap., 24	1988
———	7 Mad., Ap., 25	1268
———	7 Mad., Ap., 26	4121
———	7 Mad., Ap., 27	5603
———	7 Mad., Ap., 28	1304
———	7 Mad., Ap., 28	1314
———	7 Mad., Ap., 29	261
———	7 Mad., Ap., 30	6193
———	7 Mad., Ap., 31	100
———	7 Mad., Ap., 31	978
———	7 Mad., Ap., 32	3628
———	7 Mad., Ap., 33	965
———	7 Mad., Ap., 34	985
———	7 Mad., Ap., 34	4531
———	7 Mad., Ap., 35	5290
———	7 Mad., Ap., 36	5836
———	7 Mad., Ap., 37	3743
———	7 Mad., Ap., 37	4435
———	7 Mad., Ap., 39	3866
———	7 Mad., Ap., 40	918
———	7 Mad., Ap., 41	150, 455, 4433
———	7 Mad., Ap., 41	1669
———	7 Mad., Ap., 42	263
———	7 Mad., Ap., 43	1045, 6235
———	7 Mad., Ap., 44	4354
———	8 Mad., 112	5832
———	8 Mad., Ap., 1	4703
———	8 Mad., Ap., 2	5527
———	8 Mad., Ap., 3	3602
———	8 Mad., Ap., 5	981, 6254

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous	8 Mad., Ap., 6	975
———	8 Mad., Ap., 6	2571
———	8 Mad., Ap., 7	962
———	8 Mad., Ap., 8	5577
———	8 Mad., Ap., 9	4095
———	8 Mad., Ap., 11	5290
———	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 54	3609, 5576
———	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 55	2897
———	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 56	6191
———	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 133	5840
———	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 305	1318
———	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 17	5496
———	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 335, note	5569
———	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 389, note	5716
———	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 287, note	6086
———	1 Bom., 138	4741
———	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 478	5856
———	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 298	5884
———	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 302	257
———	2 N. W., 337	419
——— v. Muttusamiya Pillai	1 Mad., 140	4247
Anoo Mundul v. Kamalooddeen	1 C. L. R., 248	1185
Anoop Chund v. Shumbhoo Mull	1 Agra, 222	2792
Anooragee Kooer v. Bhugobutty Kooer	25 W. R., 148	2164, 3255
Anoragee Kooer v. Adoollah Khan	26 W. R., 99	3448
Anpurnabai v. Sakharam Jagannath	6 Bom., A. C., 256	5660
Anrudh Singh v. Sheo Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 481	2944
Anstruther. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., Ap., 34	2657
Antaji Nilkanth v. Janardan Vasudev	10 Bom., 358	5819
Antamma v. Kaveri	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 575	2224
Anthony v. Dupont	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 217	5813, 5947
Antu v. Ghulam Muhammad Khan	I. L. R., 6 All., 110	2866
Anunda Moyee Dassee v. Mackenzie	W. R., 1864, 5	1782
Anunda Shaha Biswas *alias* Nyomuddin Sha Biswas v. Kema Bebee.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 548	886
Anund Chunder Banerjee v. Woomesh Chunder Roy	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15 : 1 Hyde, 147.	2743, 6231
Anund Chunder Chatterjee v. Rokho Tarun Chatterjee	2 W. R., 287	2843
Anund Chunder Chowdhry v. Chunder Nath Roy	5 W. R., 205	4821
Anund Chunder Chuckerbutty. In the matter of	14 W. R., 376	3846
——— v. Rutnessur Doss Sen	23 W. R., 50	5760
Anund Chunder Chund v. Gunes Gazee	25 W. R., 180	1740
Anund Chunder Deghee v. Nubocoomar Chatterjee	8 W. R., 192	7
Anund Chunder Ghose v. Komul Narain Singh	2 W. R., 219	80, 2753
Anund Chunder Goopto v. Mohesh Chunder Mozoomdar	1 W. R., 229	245
Anund Chunder Mitter v. Baney Madhub Mitter	11 W. R., 127	684
Anund Chunder Mookerjee v. Teetooram Chatterjea	5 W. R., 215	2309
Anund Chunder Paul v. Punchee Lall Soor	15 W. R., 257	2631
Anund Chunder Pooshalee v. Mookta Keshee Debia	21 W. R., 130	1507
Anund Chunder Roy v. Huronath Roy	4 W. R., 26	1768
Anund Chunder Roy v. Sidhy Gopal Misser	8 W. R., 112	5734
Anund Chunder Singh v. Gopal Chunder Dass	3 W. R., 154	179
Anund Coomar Gangooly v. Rakhal Chunder Roy	8 W. R., 278	77, 92, 2533, 2549
Anund Coomar Mookerjee v. Bissonath Banerjee	17 W. R., 416	3001
Anundee Kooer v. Bachoo Singh	20 W. R., 476	680
——— v. Soonaet Kooer	9 W. R., Cr., 64	4487, 4492

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anundee Kooer *v.* Sooneet Kooer	10 W. R., Cr., 40	4737
Anundee Koonwar *v.* Khedoo Lall	14 Moore's I. A., 412 : 18 W. R., 69.	2350
——— *v.* Takoor Pandey	4 W. R., Mis., 21	2794
Anund Kunwar *v.* Court of Wards	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 764 : 8 C. L. R., 381 : L. R., 8 I. A., 14.	2482
Anundlal Sing Deo *v.* Dheeraj Gurrood Narayan Deo	5 Moore's I. A., 82	2061
Anund Lall Doss *v.* Jullodhur Shaw	10 B. L. R., 134 : 17 W. R., 313 : 14 Moore's I. A., 543.	438
Anundloll Doss *v.* Jointee Chunder Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 93	3772
Anund Loll Mookerjee *v.* Kalika Persad Misser	12 B. L. R., 489, note : 20 W. R., 59.	5305
Anundmohey Dossee *v.* Doe.d. East India Company	8 Moore's I. A., 43 : 4 W. R., P. C., 51.	2052, 2260, 2538, 3065
Anund Mohim Ghuttuck *v.* Soorji Kanto Acharjee Chowdhry.	22 W. R., 538	1736
Anund Mohun Chatterjee *v.* Sutto Ram Mozoomdar	8 W. R., 124	5831
Anund Mohun Hajrah *v.* Shibo Soonduree Dabee	16 W. R., 256	5620
Anund Mohun Mozoomdar *v.* Gobind Chunder Mozoomdar.	W. R., 1864, 173	2117
Anund Mohun Mullick *v.* Indro Monee Chowdrain	16 W. R., 214	684, 1418
Anund Mohun Paul Chowdhry *v.* Ram Kishen Paul Chowdhry.	2 W. R., 297	6221, 6223
——— *v.* Shamasoondurée	W. R., 1864, 352	2370
Anund Mohun Roy *v.* Chunder Monee Dasee	Marsh., 547 : 2 Hay, 648	3396
——— *v.* Lamb	Marsh., 169 : 1 Hay, 374	2347
Anund Mohun Surma Mojoomdar *v.* Huro Chunder Bhuttacharjee.	5 W. R., Mis., 16	5620
Anund Moyee Chowdhrain *v.* Boykant Nath Roy	8 W. R., 193	2454
——— *v.* Chunder Monee Dossia	3 W. R., Act X, 139	1438
——— *v.* Sheeb Chunder Roy	2 W. R., P. C., 19 : 9 Moore's I. A., 287 : Marsh., 455.	1687, 4647
Anund Moyee Dabi *v.* Grish Chunder Myti	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 772 : 9 C. L. R., 327.	3168
Anund Moyee Dassee *v.* Hameedonissa	Marsh., 85 : 1 Hay, 152	1362
Anundmoyee Debia *v.* Khirodhur Haldar	2 W. R., Act X, 46	4932
Anundmoyee Dossee *v.* Dhurundro Chunder Mookerjee	1 W. R., 103	3547
——— *v.* Mohendro Narain Dass	15 W. R., 264	2518, 3009
——— *v.* Poorno Chunder Roy	9 Moore's I. A., 26	4643
——— *v.* Raj Coomar Roy	23 W. R., 34	2999
Anundmoyee Dossee *v.* Raye Monee Dassee	20 W. R., 14	6108
Anundmoyee Dossia *v.* Kalee Coomar Rokheet	6 W. R., 18	5145
Anund Moyee Goopto *v.* Gopal Chunder Banerjee	W. R., 1864, 310	2427
Anund Moyi Dabia *v.* Shurnomoyi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 179	4484
Anund Nath Roy. In the matter of the petition of	4 W. R., Cr., 12	4493
——— *v.* Junmejoy Biswas	8 W. R., 240	587
——— *v.* Thakoor Doss Mozoomdar	2 Hay, 472	1739
Anundolall Chowdhry *v.* Hills	4 W. R., Act X, 33	1617
Anundo Lall Dass *v.* Boycaunt Ram Roy	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 283 : 4 C. L. R, 473.	1381
Anundo Rai *v.* Kaliprosad Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 677	2041
Anund Roy *v.* Munorut Singh	Marsh., 611	1458, 5240
Anungo Mohun Deb Roy *v.* Muddun Mohun Mozoomdar.	1 C. L. R., 147	2692
Anunt Dass *v.* Kelly	1 N. W., Part 7, p. 107 : Ed., 1873, 194.	1150, 3788
Anunt Manjhee *v.* Joy Chunder Chowdhry	12 W. R., 371	3804

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anunto Doss Sein *v.* Ram Joy Sein	11 W. R., 435	247
Anunto Ram Seal *v.* Brojo Bullub Seal	11 W. R., 136	4454
Anuntram Agurwalla *v.* Nuthall	21 W. R., 62	2211, 2575
Anurageo Kunwar *v.* Ramrachya Dass	25 W. R., Cr., 16	4499
Anurup Chandra Mukhopadhya *v.* Hiramani Dasi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 38	320, 6231
Anusuyabai *v.* Sakharam Pandurang	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 464	5024
Anwar Biswas. In re	1 Ind., Jur., N. S., 106	6179
Anwar Jan Bibee *v.* Azmut Ali	15 W. R., 26	244
Apach Ojha *v.* Ram Dulari Koer	4 C. L. R., 18	161
Apaji Bhivrav *v.* Kavji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 64	3944
Apaji Chintaman Devdhar *v.* Gangabai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 632	2424, 5684
Apaya *v.* Rama	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 210	2736, 2747
Apear *v.* Howah Bye	1 Ind., Jur., N. S., 237	2685, 5140
Apee *v.* Heera Nund	2 N. W., 44	245
Appaji Bhivrav *v.* Shivlall Khubchand	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 204	3099, 5975
Appana *v.* Nagia	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 512	5692
——— *v.* Tangamma	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 106	423
Appa Pillai *v.* Ranga Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 71	1109
Appa Rao *v.* Court of Wards	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 236	1461
Apparau *v.* Anumukonda Rangaya Nayudu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 41	757
Appasami *v.* Aghilanda	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 113	3137
——— *v.* Manikam	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 103	1001
——— *v.* Nagappa	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 499	2246
——— *v.* Ramasami	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 279	4869
——— *v.* Rama Subba	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 262	3586
——— *v.* Scott	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 5	410
Appasami Mudali *v.* Rangappa Nattan	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 367	2952
Appasamy Pattar *v.* Govinen Nambiar	4 Mad., 145	394
Appa valad Kashinath v. Vithoba valad Tukaram	6 Bom., A. C., 88	296
Appavu Nayak *v.* Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 245	5291
Appavu Pillai *v.* Subraya Muppen	2 Mad., 474	5089
Appaya *v.* Collector of Vizagapatam	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 155	3462
Appiah Chetty *v.* Chengadoo	4 Mad., 76	5899
Appoovier *v.* Rama Subba Aiyan	8 W. R., P. C., 1 : 11 Moore's I. A., 75.	2445
Appundy Ibram Sahib *v.* Sam	4 Mad., 297	3263
Appuni *v.* Vettuthadatha Shama	6 Mad., 401	6177
Appuni *alias* Ayampally Raman Kumaran *v.* Ayanepalli Ekanatha Thavai Varikarnavan Shangunni.	6 Mad., 401	3761, 6177
Apu Budgavda *v.* Narbari Annajee	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 21	4763, 4794
Aradhun Dey *v.* Golam Hossein	8 W. R., 487	5076
——— *v.* Golam Hossein Maloom	7 W. R., 461	5829
Aradhun Mundul *v.* Myan Khan Takadgeer	24 W. R., Cr., 7	464, 5995
Arathoon *v.* Protap Chunder Dass	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 455 : 10 C. L. R., 443.	1717
Arbuthnot *v.* Betts	6 B. L. R., 273 : 14 W. R., 181	4609, 6098
——— *v.* Daigre	2 Mad., 88	730
——— *v.* Norton	3 Moore's I. A., 435	5298
——— *v.* Oolagappa Chetty	5 Mad., 303	2236, 6071
Archakam Srinivasa Dikshatulu *v.* Udayagiry Anantha Charlu.	4 Mad., 349	2849, 5094
Archer *v.* Watkins	8 B. L. R., 372	2586, 3749
Ardaseer Curtsetjee *v.* Perozeboye	4 W. R., P. C., 91 : 6 Moore's I. A., 348.	2834, 2847, 5095
Ardesar Hormasji Wadia *v.* Secretary of State for India in Council.	9 Bom., 177	348
Ardesar Jahangir Framji *v.* Avabai	9 Bom., 290	5101

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ardeshir Dhangibhai v. Collector of Surat	3 Bom., A. C., 116	296, 1691
Ardesir Jehangir v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 398	603
Ardesir Jehangir Framji v. Hirabai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 474	4674
Ardesir Limji v. Sorabji Postanji	1 Bom., 70	1205
Ardesir Nasarvanji v. Muse Natha Amiji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 601	607
Aree Murdun Bhuggut v. Jannath Bhuggut	15 W. R., 328	674
Arfunnessa v. Peary Mohun Mookerjee	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 378 : 25 W. R., 209.	4203
Arfunnissa Chowdhrain v. Rokibunnissa Chowdhrain	24 W. R., 444	3832
Argoon Singh v. Haree Hur Singh	14 W. R., 472	6221
Arjan Bibi v. Asgar Ali Chowdhuri	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 200	2729
Arjuna valad Bhiva v. Bhavan valad Nimbaji	4 Bom., A. C., 133	3430
Arlapa Nayak v. Narsi Keshavji & Co.	8 Bom., A. C., 19	1348, 4586
Armugam v. Sabapathi	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 12	5387
Arroonachella Gramany v. Velliappa Gramany	8 Mad., 103	2950
Arrathoon v. Cochrane	4 W. R., P. C., 66	5804
Arruth Misser v. Juggurnath Indraswamee	18 W. R., 439	2254
Arub v. Ashruf	24 W. R., 335	2995
Arulu Mastry v. Wakuthu Chinnayen	2 Mad., 205	2719
Arumugam v. Tulukanam	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 187	3739
Arumugam Chetty v. Perriyannan Servai	25 W. R., 81	4458
Arumugam Mudali v. Ammi Ammal	1 Mad. 400	2561
Arumugam Pillai v. Tijayammal	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 338	3580
Arunachala v. Ayyu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 318	2652
——— v. Munaivami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 39	2172
——— v. Panchanadam	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 348	4992
——— v. Zemindar of Sivagiri	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 328	807
Arunachala Pillai v. Ramasamya Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 402	3170, 3297
——— v. Vythialinga Mudaliyar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 27	4254
Arunachala Roddi. In the matter of the petition of	5 Mad., 212	6029
Arunachella Mudaly v. Vencatachella Mudaly	5 Mad., 269	779
Arunachella Pillai v. Appava Pillai	3 Mad., 188	848
Arunachella Tevar v. Venkatasami Naik	7 Mad., 123	4043
Arunachella Thudayan v. Veludayan	5 Mad., 215	3480, 3542
Arunachellum Chetty v. Gangatharam Aiyan	5 Mad., 287	5656
——— v. Olagappah Chetty	4 Mad., 312	53, 1771, 5823
Arun Chandra Mandal v. Ramanath Rakhit	1 B. L. R., S. N., 20	3236
Arundadhi v. Natesha	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 391	831
Arundadi Ammal v. Kuppammal	3 Mad., 283	2119
Arut Sahoo v. Prandhone Pykura	I. L. R. 10 Calc., 503	3005
Aruth Soar v. Juggunnath Mohapattur	23 W. R., 460	3917
Arzan v. Rakhal Chunder Roy Chowdhry	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 214	3231, 5281
Asad Ali Mirdha v. Toyfan Bibi	13 C. L. R., 328	4472
Asanaib Ravuthan v. Vamana Rau	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 223	3966
Asapal Singh v. Nunkoo Singh	3 Agra, 216	3955
Asdutdowlah Reza Hossein Khan v. Hamisadowlah Abed Khan.	6 B. L. R., 575 : 15 W. R., 204	2647
Asecmoonnissa v. Ameeronnissa	15 W. R., 285	4945
Asgar Ali Chowdhry v. Mahabhat Ali	13 B. L. R., Ap., 34 : 22 W. R., 403.	1078
Asgur v. Goluck Chunder Chowdhry	8 W. R., 383	4172
Asgur Ali v. Asgur Ali	20 W. R., 373	4954
——— v. Nugendro Chunder Ghose	23 W. R., 463	1206, 2696
——— v. Woomakant Mookerjee	25 W. R., 318	4932
Asgur Ali Shikdar v. Mothoora Nath Ghose	15 W. R., 354	4793
Asgur Beparee v. Hurree Mohun Mojoomdar	23 W. R., 56	5751

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Asgur Hossein. In re	8 C. L. R., 124	6264
———. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 774 : 8 C. L. R., 124.	1836, 6264
Asgur Mahomed v. Nuzeema Bibee	14 W. R., 272	5041
Ashabai v. Tyeb	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 390	2755
——— v. Tyeb Haji Rahimtulla	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 115	2282, 2446, 2493, 3632, 6048
Ashadoollah v. Shaeba Jhasors	2 Hay, 345	3684
Ashanoollah v. Kadir	25 W. R., 92	3799
——— v. Kisto Gobind Dass	2 C. L. R., 592	1634
——— v. Ramdhone Bhuttacharjee	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 325	543
Ashanulla v. Karoonamoyi Chowdhry	4 C. L. R., 125	273, 285
Ashanulla Khan Bahadur v. Rajendra Chandra Rai	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 464	5311
Ashanullah v. Kali Kinkur Kur	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 675	4313
Ashanullah Khan Bahadur v. Trilochun Bagchee	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 197	4190, 5294
Ashburner v. Currie	13 W. R., 27	4743
——— v. Keshav valad Tuku Patil	4 Bom., A. C., 150	2785, 4106
Asheeroodeen *alias* Kalla Miah v. Drobo Moyee	25 W. R., 557	3668
Ashgar Ali v. Delroos Banee Begum	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 324	113, 4233
Ashgar Ali Shah v. Jhanda Mal	I. L. R., 2 All., 839	5084
Ashidharee Chowdhry v. Juggessur Kumar	14 W. R., 430	633
Ashidhari Chowdhry v. Jagessur Kumar	6 B. L. R., Ap., 32	633
Ashik Ali v. Mathura Kandu	I. L. R., 5 All., 187	3354, 5214
Ashootosh Chuckerbutty v. Teetoo Haldar	W. R., 1864, 293	5279
Ashootosh Dutt v. Doorga Churn Chatterjee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 584 : 8 C. L. R., 23.	758, 3543
Ashraf Ali v. Jagannath	I. L. R., 6 All., 497	5238
Ashrof Ali v. Empress	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 281	1954
Ashruf v. Ramkishore Ghose	23 W. R., 288	4171, 5180
Ashruf Ali v. Ashad Ali	16 W. R., 260	3637, 3647
——— v. Lutchmiput Singh	2 C. L. R., 223	5407
——— v. Vilaet Hossein	24 W. R., 356	1612
Ashruff Hossein. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 67	5518
Ashruffoodowlah Ahmed Hossein v. Hyder Hossein Khan.	7 W. R., P. C., 1 : 11 Moore's I. A., 94.	3634
Ashruffunnissa v. Azeemun	1 W. R., 17	3684, 3703
——— v. Lehareaux	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 272 : 10 C. L. R., 502.	198
Ashruffunnissa Begum v Khanum Jaun	6 W. R., 285	3879, 5254
Ashruf Hossein v. Hazara Begum	18 W. R., 396	5926
Ashrufoonissa v. Umung Mohun Deb Roy	5 W. R., Act X, 48	4151
Ashrufoonissa Begum v. Stewart	7 W. R., 303	1377, 5804
——— v. ———	9 W. R., 438	4916
——— v. Tosudduck Hossein	22 W. R., 260	2982
Ashruf Sirdar v. Bhubo Soondurée	25 W. R., 40	1680, 2024
Ashumande Agath Kunhi Pathumah v. Makachinde Agath Makachi.	4 Mad., 478	4198
Ashutosh Bose v. Huree Churn Nag	16 W. R., 305	2816
Ashutosh Dutt v. Doorga Churn Chatterjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 438 : 5 C. L. R., 296 : L. R., 6 I. A., 182.	2541
"Asia." In re the proceeds of the	5 Bom., O. C., 64	2833
Asiatic Banking Corporation v. Viegas	8 Bom., O. C., 20	131, 5904
Asima Krishna Deb v. Kumara Krishna Deb	2 B. L. R., O. C., 11	2552
Askar v. Ram Manick Roy	5 B. L. R., Ap., 12 : 13 W. R., 344.	4560
Askar Mea v. Sabdar Mea	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 137	4106

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Askery Khan v. Ashrufunnissa	6 C. L. R., 112	3320
Aslem v. Kalla Durzi	2 C. L. R, 344	5281
Asloo v. Umdutoonissa	20 W. R., 297	26, 3695
Asma Bibee v. Ramkant Roy Chowdhry	19 W. R., 251	4758
Asmal Saleman v. Collector of Broach	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 135	642, 2859
Asman Singh v. Abeedoddeen	23 W. R., 460	535
——— v. Ajnas Koer	2 C. L. R., 406	1696
——— v. Doorga Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 284 : 7 C. L. R., 94.	5737
Asmedh Koonwar v. Tayler	W. R., 1864, 15	1962, 6015
Asmedh Koonwur v. Joykurun Lall	1 W. R., 349	3129
Asmed Kooer v. Indurjeet Kooer	9 W. R., 445	3834
Asmudh Kooer v Joykurun Lall	5 W. R., 125	3336
Asmut Kooer v. Inderjeet Kooer	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1003	3834
Asmutoonissa Bebee v. Atta Hafiz	8 W. R., 468	135
Asmutoonnissa Bibee v. Khudemoonnissa Bibee	17 W. R., 278	5468
Asmutullah Dalal v. Kally Churn Mitter	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 56	3534
Asrafannissa Begum v. Inaet Hossein	5 B. L. R., 316 : 13 W. R., 439	5939
Assam Tea Company v. East Indian Railway Company	Bourke, O. C., 39	4707
Assamathem Nessa Bibee v. Lutchmeeput Singh	I. L. R., 4 Calc, 142 : 2 C. L R., 223.	3640, 4943, 5407
Assanoollah v. Kalee Mohun Mookerjee	18 W. R., 469	2053, 4969
——— v. Obhoy Churn Roy	13 W. R., P. C., 24 : 13 Moore's I. A., 317.	541, 5348
——— v. Saffer Ali	21 W. R., 135	2046
Assanullah v. Bussarat Ali Chowdhry	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 920	4150
——— v. Hafiz Mahomed Ali	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 932	2776
Assa Ram v. Kashmeeree Dass	Agra, F. B., 90 : Ed. 1874, 68	5770
Assaram Burteah v. Commercial Transport Association	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 113	1203, 1524, 2732
Assaruddee Khan v. Baloo Khan	1 W. R., Cr., 6	957
Assenoolla Joo v. Abdool Aziz	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 923	4524
Assur Ali v. Woolfutoonissa	13 W. R., 33	5132
Asud Ali Khan v. Akbar Ali Khan	1 C. L, R, 364	1170
Asudooddeen Hyder v. Abdool Kureem	6 W. R., 110	5121
Asudoolah v. Akbur Ali	7 W. R., 60	4464
Asudoollah v. Monohur Doss	22 W. R., 531	5325
Asu Mia v. Raju Mia	1 B. L. R., A. C., 34 : 10 W. R., 76.	3419, 3446
Atahur Ali v. Altaf Fatima	10 W. R, 370, note	3656
Atchama v. Ramanadha Baboo	7 W. R., P. C., 57 : 4 Moore's I A, 1.	2135
Atchamma v. Subba Rayudu	5 Mad., 428	3397
Athiappa v. Ayanna	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 300	4292
Athilakshmi Ammal v. Sivananaja Perumal Sethurayer	3 Mad., 75	2318
Athur Ali Khan v. Sekundar Ali Khan	4 W. R., 28	5285
Athuroonissa v. Rughoonath Banerjee	W. R., 1864, 322	5431
Atmakuri Bhavana Setti v. Sanyasi Setti	3 Mad, 222	2810
Atmaram v. Balkishen	I. L R., 5 All., 266	4289
——— v. Chundun Singh	2 Agra, 77	1026
——— v. Madho Rao	I. L. R., 6 All., 276	2111
Atmaram Ballal Kagji v. Sadashiv Hari Mahajani	2 Bom., 1	5744
Atmaram Gulabhai v. Amirchand Rupchand	3 Bom., A. C., 92	5821
Atmaram Kaliandas v. Fatma Begum	5 Bom., A. C., 67	1901
Atri Bai v. Arnopoorna Bai	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 838 : 12 C. L. R., 409.	5727
Atta Oollah Mundle v. Sukeeooddeen Turupdar	W. R., 1864, 271	4677

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Attapyee Mowlah *v.* Sukhawut Ally	Marsh., 102: W. R., F. B., 30: 1 Hay, 240.	2988
Attermoney Dossee *v.* Hurrydoss Dutt	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 74: 9 C. L. R., 357.	5170, 5239
Attimoollah *v.* Saheboollah	15 W. R., 149	3016
Attipakula Munappa *v.* Dasinani Chenchu Nayudu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 188	3597
Attorney and Proctor. In the matter of an	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 305	456
Attorney General *v.* Brodie	4 Moore's I. A., 190	151, 5974
Attorneys. In the matter of the petition of the	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 24	5297
Attotram Doss *v.* Balunkee Doss	14 W. R., 357	4184
Attulakshmi Ammal *v.* Sivanaranja Perumal Sethurayar	3 Mad., 75	2264
Aubinash Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Martin	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 832	5728, 5954
Auchterlony *v.* Bill	4 Mad., 77	1110
Audh Beharee Singh *v.* Dost Mahomed	22 W. R., 185	1644
Audh Kumari *v.* Chandra Dai	I. L. R., 2 All., 561	2305
Audhur Chundra Shaw. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 250	3781
Aughore Nath Ghossal *v.* Roop Chand Mundul	13 W. R., 97	4993
Augopura Chowdhry *v.* Meah Bibee	10 W. R., 207	306, 6081, 6085
Augudh Nath *v.* Doorga Gir	1 Agra, 241	3263
Augur Singh *v.* Mohinee Dutt Singh	2 W. R., Act X, 101	3024
Augustin *v.* Augustin	I. L. R., 4 All., 374	1548
Auhin *v.* Ahmed Mahomed	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 241	416
Auhindro Bhoosun Chatterjee *v.* Chunnoo Lall Johurry	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 101	4013
Aukhil Chunder *v.* Tripoora Soonduree	22 W. R., 525	80, 3855
Aukhil Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Delawar Hossein	6 C. L. R., 93	3284, 4181
Aukhil Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Chunder Coomar Mitter	22 W. R., 414	5308
——— *v.* Shib Narain Ghose	15 W. R., 527	5020
Aukhil Chunder Sen Roy *v.* Mohiny Mohun Dass	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 489: 4 C. L. R., 491.	2798, 6074
Aukhoy Coomar Chuckerbutty *v.* Mollah Nobee Nowaz	13 W. R., 449	6072
Aukur Chunder Roy Chowdhry *v.* Madhub Chunder Ghose.	21 W. R., 1	1774, 5833, 5835
Aulock Monee Dossia *v.* Aulockmonee Debia	25 W. R., 48	6031
Aunath Nath Deb *v.* Bistu Chunder Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 783	1715
Aunjona Dasi *v.* Prahlad Chandra Ghose	6 B. L. R., 243: 14 W. R., 132, 403.	2843
Aunungo Mohun Deb *v.* Unnoda Dossee	17 W. R., 351	5003
Aurokiam. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 38	5149, 5150, 5152
Aussemoonissa Khatoon *v.* Ameeroonissa Khatoon	22 W. R., 204	1928
Aushootosh Chandra *v.* Taraprasanna Roy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 612	992
Autoo Misree *v.* Bidhoomookhee Dabee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 605	3539
"Ava" and the "Brenhilda." In re the	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 453: 5 C. L. R., 307.	3812
Avabai *v.* Jamasji Jamshedji	3 Bom., A. C., 113	4241
——— *v.* Pestanji Nanabhai	11 Bom., 87	6197
Avala *v.* Kuppu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 77	5021
Avudh Beharee Singh *v.* Ram Raj Tewaree	18 W. R., 105	325
Avul Khadar *v.* Audhu Set	2 Mad., 423	4438
Awadh Kuari *v.* Raktu Tiwari	I. L. R., 6 All., 109	227
Awkinfee *v.* Meenay	8 W. R., 1	668
Ayesha Beebee *v.* Kanhye Mollah	12 W. R., 146	4457
Ayetun *v.* Ramsebuck Poddar	12 W. R., 156	134
Aymel Islam *v.* Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	8 W. R., 501	2997
Ayyasami *v.* Samiya	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 82	3251
Ayyavayyar *v.* Shastram Ayyar	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 506	2708

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ayyavu Muppanar *v.* Niladatchi Ammal	1 Mad., 45	2146, 2419, 2465, 3366
Azadee *v.* Ajmere Koonwer	1 Agra, 282	5376
Azam Bhuyan *v.* Faizuddin Ahamed	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 594	3401
Azeegunnissa *v.* Ruhmanoollah	10 W. R., 306	3693
Azeem Ali *v.* Hurnam Dass	24 W. R., Cr., 9	1533
Azeem Khan *v.* Ameerun	12 W. R., 38	671
Azeemun *v.* Asgur Ali	2 Agra, Pt. II, 167	3660
Azeezoollah Gazee *v.* Bunk Beharee Roy	7 W. R., 48	2844
Azeezoonissa *v.* Baqur Khan	10 B. L. R., 205 : 17 W. R., 393	4139
Azgur Howladar *v.* Asaruddin	17 W. R., Cr., 1	958
Azhar Ali *v.* Altaf Fatima	4 B. L. R., P. C., 1 : 13 W. R., P. C., 1.	476
Azim Ali Khan Bahadoor *v.* Surusutty Debia	23 W. R., 93	158
Aziman Bibi *v.* Amir Ali	I. L. R., 7 All., 343	4552
Azim Molla *v.* Satoo Poramanick	10 C. L. R., 523	4485
Azim Mullick *v.* Gunga Dhur Banerjee	5 W. R., Act X, 58	1655
Azimnullah Moodeen *v.* Cruikshank	11 B. L. R., 67	1490
Azim Sarung *v.* Almooddeen	17 W. R., 270	1758
Azim-ud-din *v.* Baldeo	I. L. R., 3 All., 554	5274
Azimudin Khan *v.* Zia-ul-nissa	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 309	4405, 6130
Azimunnissa Begum *v.* Dale	6 Mad., 455	3683
Azimut Ali Khan *v.* Jowahir Singh	14 W. R., P. C., 17 : 13 Moore's I. A., 404.	2698, 3968
Azizoonnessa Khatoon *v.* Gora Chand Dass	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 163	5307
——— *v.* Kally Churn Sen	8 C. L. R., 498	5307
Azizullah Khan *v.* Ahmad Ali Khan	I. L. R., 7 All., 353	141, 3656
Azizunnissa Khatun *v.* Shashi Bhushan Bose	2 B. L. R., Ap., 47 : 11 W. R., 343.	3537
Azmuddin *v.* Mathuradas Govardhandas Gulabdas	11 Bom., 206	3475, 3481, 3525
Azmut Ali Khan *v.* Hurdwaree Mull	14 W. R., P. C., 14 : 13 Moore's I. A., 395.	478
Azroal Singh *v.* Lalla Gopeenath	8 W. R., 23	5215
Azuddin Hossein *v.* Lloyd	13 C. L. R., 112	2081, 3206, 3304
Azur Ali *v.* Kali Kumar Chuckerbutty	2 B. L. R., A. C., 315	4895
Azurroodeen *v.* Mohur Singh	2 Agra, 165	3029

B

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Baba *v.* Timma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 357	2156
——— *v.* Vishvanath Joshi	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 228	3033, 3047
Baba Chowdhry *v.* Abedooddeen Mahomed	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 69	3801, 3802, 3805
Babaji *v.* Anna	10 Bom., 479	3263, 3285
——— *v.* Balaji Ganesh	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 660	2307
——— *v.* Dhuri	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 305	2410
——— *v.* Lakshmibai	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 266	2799, 4426
——— *v.* Maruti	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 310	2074
——— *v.* Narayan	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 340	3057, 4462, 5506
——— *v.* Sheshgeri	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 593	688
——— *v.* Vithu	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 734	1518, 3980
Babaji bin Kusaji *v.* Maruti	11 Bom., 182	687
Babaji bin Ramji *v.* Kasimbhai valad Azambhai	3 Bom., A. C., 60	4919

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Babaji Hari v. Rajaram Ballal	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 75	4345 4390
Babaji Jivaji v. Bhagirthibai	6 Bom., A. C., 70	1412
Babaji Lakshman v. Vasudev Vinayak	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 95	1469
Babaji Mahadaji v. Krishnaji Devji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 666	2158
Babaji Parshram v. Kashibai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 157	2453
Babaji Sakhoji v. Ramshet Pandushet	2 Bom., 23	4155
Baba Mohamed v. Webb	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 786: 8 C. L. R., 36.	808, 838
Baban Mayacha v. Nagu Shravucha	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 19	1995. 2843, 4976
Babashet bin Gobindshet v. Jirshet bin Yesshet	5 Bom., A. C., 71	2365
Baboojan v. Mahomed Nurool Huq	10 W. R., 375	3732
Baboojan Jha v. Byjnath Dutt Jha	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 474: 7 C. L. R., 539.	3844
Baboo Lall v. Luttoo Ram	18 W. R., 233	4656
Baboolall Jha v. Juma Buksh	2 W. R., 116	2343
Baboonath Jha v. Khugput Doss	19 W. R., 226	3517
Babooram v. Gajadhur Singh	Agra, F. B., 86: Ed. 1874, 65	1469
Babshetti v. Venkataramana	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 154	1092, 2968, 5746
Babulal v. Ishri Parsad Narain Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 582	5020
Babun Mundle v. Shib Koomaree Burmonee	21 W. R., 404	3
Babur Ali v. Dowlut Ali	15 B. L. R., 242: 19 W. R., 217.	5074
——— v. Gokul Lall	24 W. R., 62	5938
Baburav Amrit Pethe v. Ganpatrav Damodar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 69	5893
Babur Meah v. Jumun Meah	2 C. L. R., 362	169
Bacharam Chowdry v. Mahtab Beebee	W. R., 1864, 377	3165
Bacharam Mundul v. Peary Mohun Banerjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 813: 12 C. L. R., 475.	4205
Bachchu v. Madad Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 649	1495
Bachebi v. Makhan Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 55	2192. 2283, 5905
Bachha Jha v. Jugmon Jha	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 348	2497
Bachiraju v. Venkatappadu	2 Mad., 402	2308
Bachman v. Bachman	I. L. R., 6 All., 583	6217
——— v. Lall Beharee Pandey	13 W. R., 324	6236
Bachubai v. Shamji Jadowji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 536	3870, 4322
Bachun v. Hamid Hossein	10 B. L. R., 45: 17 W. R., 113: 14 Moore's I. A., 377.	3657, 4654, 5613
Bachun Ali v. Dewan Ali	11 W. R., 376	1424
Bachu Ramesam v. Nukala Bhanappa	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 182	3589
Bada v. Hussu Bhai	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 236	5265
Badam v. Imrit	I. L. R., 3 All., 675	4917
——— v. Michel	1 Agra, 266	3037
Badami Kuar v. Dinu Rai	I. L. R., 8 All., 111	5963
Badamoo Koowar v. Wuzeer Singh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 144: 5 W. R., 78.	2470, 2514
Badaranissa Bibee v. Mafiattala	7 B. L R., 442: 15 W. R., 555.	3646
Badaruth Tewary v. Jagarnath Dass	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 75	2444
Badaum Koowur v. Lalla Situl Pershad	5 W. R., 126	5359
Badi v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 579	1025
Badiya v. Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 158	713, 5576, 6192
Badrinath v. Bhajan Lal	I. L. R., 5 All., 191	2917, 3064
Badri Nath v. Parbat	I. L. R., 5 All., 121	5199

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Badri Prasad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 All., 359	5443
——— v. Daulat Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 700	5815
——— v. Muhammad Yusuf	I. L. R., 1 All., 382	3248
——— v. Murli Dhar	I. L. R., 2 All., 593 : L. R., 7 I. A., 51.	4025
——— v. Saran Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 359	5443
Badri Roy v. Bhugwat Narain Dobey	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 649 : 11 C. L. R., 186	2467, 4310
Badrunnissa v. Muhammad Jan	I. L. R., 2 All., 671	4411, 5680
Badul Singh v. Chutterdharee Singh	9 W. R., 558	878, 2349
Badurul Huq v. Court of Wards	12 W. R., 498	3376
Bagaishree Dyal v. Government	2 Agra, 81	5254
Bagdee Manjee v. Mohindro Narain	10 W. R., Cr., 16	463, 6252
Baggot. In re	Bourke, Ins., 5	2670
Baghelin v. Mathura Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 430	3149
Bagoo Jan v. Chowdhry Zuhoorul Huq	13 W. R., 69	1944, 3162, 5138
Bagram. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 52	983
———	20 W. R., 10	5930
——— v. Collector of Bhulloos	W. R., 1864, 243	1994, 4457
——— v. Khettranath Karformah	3 B. L. R., O. C., 18	4566
——— v. Moses	1 Hyde, 284	2796, 2828
——— v. Wise	1 B. L. R., F. B., 91 : 10 W. R., F. B., 46.	1881
Bagubai v. Nizmuddin	6 Bom., A. C., 205	5732
Bahadoor v. Shadee Ram	2 Agra, 3	52
Bahadoor Ally v. Domun Singh	7 W. R., 27	2744, 3556
Bahadoor Khan v. Kora Mull	1 Agra, 281	3022
Bahadur v. Nawab Jan	I. L. R., 3 All., 822	6087
Bahadur Ali v. Bhabo Soonduree Debia Chowdhrain	7 W. R., 425	236
Bahadur Singh v. Bhugwan Dass	1 Agra, 23	243
——— v. Hura	3 N. W., 73	580
——— v. Jowahir Kuar	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 887 : L. R., 11 I. A., 75.	5104
——— v. Lucho Koer	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 406 : 7 C. L. R., 251.	5067
Bahal Singh v. Gauri	7 N. W., 284	3182
——— v. Shibo Ram Singh	W. R., 1864, 76	382
Bahar Shah v. Pero Shah	24 W. R., 385	3376
Baharulla v. Majan	3 B. L. R., Ap., 61	4163
Bahooria Doohma Kowar v. Lalla Juwahur Lall Paurey	20 W. R., 52	1940
Bahori Lal v. Gauri Sahai	I. L. R., 8 All., 626	835
Bahraichi Chaudhri v. Surju Naik	I. L. R., 4 All., 257	4887
Bahur Ali v. Sookea Bibee	13 W. R., 63	2082, 3117
Bai Amrit. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 380	1544, 4426
——— v. Bai Manik	12 Bom., 79	2077, 2817
Bai Anope v. Mulchand Girdhar	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 355	309, 1443, 4417, 4429
Bai Baiba v. Bai Daguba	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 728	3858
Baichoo v. Howard	3 Agra, 15	3434, 5380
Baichoo Ram v. Dowlut Ram	2 N. W., 8	1602
Bai Daya v. Natha Gobindlal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 279	2421
Bai Devkore v. Amritram Jamiatram	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 372	2287
Bai Ganga v. Dullabh Parag	5 Bom., A. C., 179	3043
Bai Jadav v. Tribhuvandas Jagjivandas	9 Bom., 333	6060
Bai Jamna v. Bai Ichha	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 604	3187, 3270
——— v. Bai Jadav	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 168	3774

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bai Javer v. Hathi Sing	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 56	4292
Baijnath v. Lachman Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 888	4837
——— v. Mahabir	I. L. R., 1 All., 608	2308
——— v. Mahomed Iradat	7 N. W., 185	4801
——— v. Munglee	2 N. W., 411	5997
Baij Nath Chatterjee v. Lakhimani Debi	5 B. L. R., 514, note	1405
Baijnath Sahai v. Deputty Singh	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 208 : 25 W. R., 489.	4669
Baij Nath Sahay v. Rugho Nath Pershad Singh	12 C. L. R., 186	480
Baij Nath Sahu v. Lala Sital Prasad	2 B. L. R., F. B., 1 : 10 W. R., F. B., 66.	2891, 5367
Baijoo Baul v. Gugun Misser	8 W. R., Cr., 61	2006
Baijoo Lall. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 450	1314
Baijun Doobey v. Brij Bhookun Lall Awusti	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 133 : 24 W. R., 306 : L. R., 2 I. A., 275	2519
Bai Kanku v. Bai Jadav	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 15	2419
Baikanthanath Chatterjee v. Naziruddin	1 B. L. R., S. N., 11 : 10 W. R., 171.	351
Baikanthanath Kumar v. Chandra Mohan Chowdhry	1 B. L. R., A. C., 133 : 10 W. R., 190.	138, 4194
Baikantha Nath Singh v. Dhiraj Mahatab Chand	9 B. L. R., 87 : 17 W. R., 447.	5330
Bai Kashi v. Bai Jumna	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 449	3262
Bai Kesar v. Bai Ganga	8 Bom., A. C., 31	2078, 2275
Bai Kesserbai v. Naranji Walji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 353	459
Bai Khedu v. Dasu Lall	5 Bom., A. C., 123	1344
Baikunt Kumar. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 134	4508
Bai Kushal v. Lakhma Mana	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 452	2263
Bai Kuvar v. Vendidas Gangaram	8 Bom., A. C., 127	1898
Bai Lakshmi v. Lakhmidas Gopal Das	1 Bom., 13	2422
Bailur Krishna Raw v. Lakshmana Shanbhogue	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 302	413, 896, 1693
Bai Mahkor v. Bulakhi Chakee	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 538	4424, 6075, 6080
Bai Mancha v. Narotamdas Kashidas	6 Bom., A. C., 1	2342, 2371
Bai Maneckbai v. Bai Merbai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 363	4239, 5879, 6056
Bai Manekbai v. Manekji Kavasji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 213	3463
Bainee v. Mahomed Ali Khan	2 Agra, 307	4925
Bainee Pershad v. Mahomed Ukber Hossein	3 Agra, Rev., 3	1645
Bainee Singh v. Bhurth Singh	1 Agra, 162	2345
Bai Premkuvar v. Bhika Kallianji	5 Bom., A. C., 209	5099
Bai Suraj v. Dalpatram Dayashankar	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 380	6118, 6142
——— v. Government of Bombay	8 Bom., A. C., 83	5591
Bai Ude Kuvar v. Mulji Naran	3 Bom., A. C., 177	3130, 3131
Bai Ujam v. Valiji Rasulbhai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 456	620
Baiva Khan Daud Khan v. Bhiku Sazba	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 475	3139
Bai Vijkor v. Fakirbhai	2 Bom., 335 : 2nd Ed., 317	4902
Bai Vijli v. Nana Nagar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 152	1099
Baji Dev v. Sadashiv Bhnishankar	5 Bom., A. C., 158	1897
Bakar Halsana v. Dinobandhu Biswas	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17	3865
Bakas Ram Sahoo v. Chummun Ram	7 W. R., 11	2843
Bakatram Badrinath. In the matter of the petition of	6 Bom., O. C., 131	4758
Baker v. Sutherland	8 B. L. R., 298 : 16 W. R., 90	1157
Bakhat Ram v. Wazir Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 448	2861, 3821
Bakhir Mohammed v. Doorga Churn Shaha	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 39 : 13 C. L. R., 200.	417, 5296
Bakhshi Nand Kishore v. Malak Chand	I. L. R., 7 All., 289	5466

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bakranath Mandal *v.* Binodram Sen	1 B. L. R., F. B., 25: 10 W. R., F. B., 33.	1621, 3015, 4148
Bakshiram Gangaram *v.* Darku Tukaram	10 Bom., 309	4023 4885,
Baksu Lakshman *v.* Govinda Kanji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 594	1825
Bakubai *v.* Manchhabai	2 Bom., 5	2304, 2334
Bakur Ali Chowdhry *v.* Ashkur Ali	5 W. R., 156	144
Balaji *v.* Ramasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 284	5979
Balaji Anant Rajadiksha *v.* Ganesh Janardan Kamati	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 499	1468
Balaji Baikaji Pinge *v.* Gopal bin Raghu Kuli	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 23	1188, 1639
Balaji Ganesh *v.* Khusalji	11 Bom., 24	3546
Balaji J. Rahalkar *v.* Narayan Bhat	3 Bom., A. C., 63	2055
Balaji Mahadev *v.* Krishnaji bin Chimnaji	6 Bom., A. C., 95	5822
Balaji Narayan Kolatkar *v.* Ram Chandra Ganesh Kelkar	11 Bom., 37	3941, 4828, 5311
Balaji Narji *v.* Babu Deoli	5 Bom., A. C., 159	4185
Balaji Ramchandra *v.* Gajanan Babaji	11 Bom., 159	442, 5417
Balaji Ranchhoddas. In re	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 680	1888, 2793, 3140
Balaji Sitaram. In re	11 Bom., 34	5511, 5514
Balaji Sitaram Naik Salgavkar *v.* Bhikaji Soyare Prabhu Kanolekar.	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 164	3036, 3039, 4893
Balaji Vishvanath Joshi *v.* Dharma	2 Bom., 385: 2nd Ed., 363	4902, 5044
Bala Kadar *v.* Gulam Mohidin	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 424	5442
Balak Tewari *v.* Kansil Misr	I. L. R., 4 All., 491	246
Balam Das *v.* Dwarka Das	7 N. W., 88	1502, 5681
Balaram Chatruklal *v.* Magistrate of Igatpuri	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 672	4422
Balarami Reddi *v.* Pera Reddi	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 267	2218, 2289
Balaram Mullick *v.* Solano	8 B. L. R., 335	426
Balaram Nemchand *v.* Appa valad Dalu	9 Bom., 121	4822
Bala Thakoor *v.* Meghburn Singh	14 W. R., 269	1764, 3805
Bala valad Sankia *v.* Gabaji Balvant Kulkarni	2 Bom., 175: 2nd Ed., 168	1075, 6123
Balbhadar *v.* Bisheshar	I. L. R., 8 All., 495	2407
Bal Chand *v.* Raghunath Das	I. L. R., 4 All., 155	794
Baldeo Das *v.* Gobind Shankar	I. L. R., 7 All., 914	82, 5962
——— *v.* Sham Lal	I. L. R., 1 All., 77	2370
Baldeo Das Agarwalla *v.* Kaich	3 B. L. R., O. C., 80	4325
Baldeo Panday *v.* Gokul Rai	I. L. R., 1 All., 603	2716
——— *v.* Jhari Kuar	7 N. W., 334	4081
Baldeo Prasad *v.* Grish Chandra Bose	I. L. R., 2 All., 754	4261, 5245
Baldeo Sahai *v.* Bateshar Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 75	5040
Balfour & Co. *v.* Kilburn & Co.	1 Hyde, 270	6013
Balgobind *v.* Bhawani Deen Sahoo	1 Agra, Mis., 16	1025
——— *v.* Ramkumar	I. L. R., 6 All., 431	2484
Bal Gobind Muhtoon *v.* Lutafut Hossein	7 W. R., 142	5809
Balgobind Ram *v.* Hivusranee	2 W. R., 255	2481
Bal Gobind Tewaree *v.* Hureenath Pershad Sahoo	16 W. R., 183	4289
Balindur Narain *v.* Kalla Messoo Koos	18 W. R., 341	1570, 6272
Balkishen *v.* Jasoda Kuar	I. L. R., 7 All., 765	5759
——— *v.* Sita Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 731	437, 2402
Balkishen Das *v.* Run Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 305. 13 C. L. R., 392: L. R., 10 I. A., 162.	1478, 2731
Bal Kishen Mahapattur *v.* Bistoo Churn	22 W. R., 532	3870
Balkishoon *v.* Mahommed Tazam Allee	4 N. W., 90	796, 1926
Balkissen Dass *v.* Luchmiput Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 91	185
Balkrishna *v.* Ganesh	11 Bom., 116, note	2032, 3133, 3460

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Balkrishna *v.* Kisansing	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 505, note	5665
——— *v.* Lakshman	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 219	5892
——— *v.* Masuma Bibi	I. L. R., 5 All., 142: L. R., 9 I. A., 182: 13 C. L. R., 232	1251
——— *v.* Nagvekar	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 324	1229
Balkrishna Bhalchandra *v.* Gopal Raghunath	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 73	2499
Balkrishna Bhasakar Gupte. Ex parte	2 Bom., 96: 2nd Ed., 91	178
Balkrishna Chimnaji *v.* Balaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 25	2851
Balkrishna Ganpatji. In the goods of	1 Bom., 114	2678, 6201
Balkrishna Gopal *v.* Bal Joshi Sadashiv Joshi	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 663	3455
Balkrishna Govind Gadjil *v.* Narayan Sakharam	8 Bom., A. C., 180	4864
Balkrishna Moreshvar Kunte *v.* Municipality of Mahad	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 32	4218
Balkrishna Pandurang *v.* Govind Saivaji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 578	3316
Balkrishna Shaligram. In re the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 96	99
Balkrishna Trimback *v.* Govind Pand Naik	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 297	5843
Balkrishna Trimbak Tendulkar *v.* Savitribai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 54	2285, 2297
Balkrishna Vasudev *v.* Madharav Narayan	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 73	2892, 4417, 5341, 5367
Balkrishna Vithal *v.* Hari Shankar	8 Bom., A. C., 64	4881
Ball *v.* Stowell	I. L. R., 2 All., 322	3313
Ballabh Das *v.* Sunder Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 429	2399
Ballabh Shankar *v.* Narain Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 173	4982
Ballin *v.* Ballin	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 218: 8 C. L. R., 28.	6208
Ballodeb Lall Bhagat *v.* Anadi Mohapattro	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 410	212
Bally Dobey *v.* Ganei Deo	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 388	2041
Balmakund *v.* Janki	I. L. R., 3 All., 403	3852
——— *v.* Sheojatan Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 125	5947, 5962
Balmer, Lawrie, & Co., *v.* Huree Narain Poddar	24 W. R., 292	5981
Balmokoond Brojobasi. In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., Cr., 65	4307
Bal Mokoond Lall *v.* Jirjudhun Roy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 271: 11 C. L. R., 466.	4252, 5364
Balmokund *v.* Lekhraj	3 N. W., 156, note	5665
——— *v.* Ramhit Dass	13 W. R., 134	438
——— *v.* Sheo Dyal	L. R., 3 I. A., 259: 26 W. R., 55.	2215, 2216, 2762, 4224
Balnia Kundu Duboye *v.* Adikunda Punda	7 C. L. R., 560	4163
Baloji Tamaji Pothar *v.* Tamangonda bin Ghanasyam Gonda.	6 Bom., A. C., 97	4885
Baluk Doss *v.* Nimaye Chunder Sircar	17 W. R., 511	5376
Balum Bhutt *alias* Ram Bhuth *v.* Bhoobun Lall	6 W. R., 78	3334
Balund Khan *v.* Janee	2 N. W., 319	3657
Balvantrav *v.* Jivanji Hormasji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 77	6131
Balvantrav *alias* Tatiaji Bapaji *v.* Purshotam Sidheshvar	9 Bom., 99	3362, 3408
Balvantrav Bhaskar *v.* Bayabai	6 Bom., O. C., 83	2132
Balvantrav Oze *v.* Ganpatrav Jadhav	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 330	5236
Balvant Santaram *v.* Babaji bin Sambhapa	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 602	1718, 2385, 4949, 5251
Balwant Rao *v.* Puran Mal	I. L. R., 6 All., 1	3171
Balwant Rao Bishwant Chandra Chor *v.* Puran Mal Chaube.	L. R., 10 I. A., 90	3171
Balwant Singh *v.* Daulat Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 315	883
——— *v.* Gumani Ram	I. L. R., 5 All., 591	635, 3153
Bam *v.* Survey Commissioner and the Collector of Ratnagiri.	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 134	609
Bama Churn Ghosal. In re	2 C. L. R., 553	2777, 3881

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bamakallee Dossee. In the matter of	10 W. R., 4	667
Bama Nath Chuckerbutty *v.* Romanath Roy	12 W. R., F. B., 25	4143
Bamandas Banerjee *v.* Harolal Shaha	1 B. L. R., S. N., 10: 10 W. R., 140	1098
Bamanji Manikji *v.* Naoraji Palanji	1 Bom., 233	422, 1400
Bama Soonderee Dabea Chowdhranee *v.* Bhugruttee Debea Chowdhranee.	1 Hay, 495	5986
Bama Soonderee Dossee *v.* Radhika Chowdhrain	1 W. R., 339	4150
Bamasoonderee Dossee *v.* Verner	13 B. L. R., 189: 22 W. R., 136.	2950
Bamasoondery *v.* Nilmoney Chunder	Cor., 56	3090
Bamasoondery Debea *v.* Samasoondery Debea	W. R., 1864, 13	3371
Bamasoondery Debia *v.* Rogers	7 W. R., 127	1209
Bamasoonduree Dabee *v.* Tarineekant Lahooree	20 W. R., 415	3829
Bama Soonduree Dabee Chowdhrain *v.* Kashee Kissore Roy Chowdhry.	22 W. R., 245	4252
Bamasoonduree Debee *v.* Kaminee Bewa	10 W. R., 352	5682
Bama Soonduree Debia *v.* Anundmoyee Debia	3 W. R., 170	1453
Bama Soonduree Dossee *v.* Bama Soonduree Dossee	10 W. R., 133	2487, 5786
——— *v.* ———	10 W. R., 301	2479, 4027
——— *v.* Kaloo Peadah	10 W. R., 395	1641
——— *v.* Mudhoo Soodun Biswas	25 W. R., 156	814
——— *v.* Radhika Chowdhrain	13 W. R., P. C., 11: 13 Moore's I. A., 248.	1595, 4150
Bama Soonduree Dossia *v.* Madhub Chunder Goohoo	8 W. R., 269	4754, 4764
Bama Soondury Debia *v.* Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	9 W. R., 367	3342
Bamasundari Dasi *v.* Ramnarayan Mitter	7 B. L. R., Ap., 59	5207, 5534
——— *v.* ———	8 B. L. R., Ap., 65	1214, 4526
Bamasundari Dassi *v.* Krishna Chandra Dhur	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 424	4839.
Bamma Sundry Dossee *v.* Anundololl Doss	Bourke, O. C., 44	1213
Bamun Doss Mookerjee *v.* Brojo Kishore Mitter Mozoomdar.	6 W. R., 130	159
Bamundoss Mookerjea *v.* Omeish Chunder Rae	6 Moore's I. A., 289	2693
Bamundoss Mookerjee *v.* Tarinee	7 Moore's I. A., 169	2145
Banapa *v.* Sunderdas Jagjivandas	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 333	1823
Banarsi Das *v.* Bhikari Das	I. L. R., 3 All., 717	4891
——— *v.* Maharani Kuar	I. L. R., 5 All., 27	1930
Banchanidhi Mahanty. In re	17 W. R., Cr., 6	3882
Banda Ali *v.* Banspat Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 352	1110
Banda Hasan *v.* Abadi Begum	I. L. R., 4 All., 180	4887
Bandam Settah *v.* Bandam Maha Lakshmy	4 Mad., 180	672, 687, 2308
Bandaru Swami Naidu *v.* Atchayamma	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 125	2711
Bandey Karim *v.* Romesh Chunder Bundopadhya	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 65: 11 C. L. R., 145.	4982
Bandhan Singh *v.* Solhu	I. L. R., 8 All., 191	191, 5951
Bandhu Naik *v.* Lakhi Kuar	I. L. R., 7 All., 342	6018
Bandhu Roy *v.* Hanuman Singh	3 B. L. R., A. C., 320: 14 W. R., 406, note.	5455
Bandi Subbayya *v.* Madalapalli Subanna	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 96	3137, 4905
Bandy Ali *v.* Madhub Chunder Nag	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 932	5464
Banee Kant Ghose *v.* Haran Kisto Ghose	24 W. R., 405	3181
Banee Krishun *v.* Koondun Lall	2 N. W., 221	4033
Banee Lall *v.* Ram Bhurose Chowbey	1 N. W., Ed., 1873, 63	2979
Banee Madhub Banerjee *v.* Bhagat Pal	20 W. R., 466	1607, 1733
——— *v.* Joy Kishen Mookerjee	4 W. R., Act X, 16	532, 5206
——— *v.* ———	11 W. R., 354	6040

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Banee Madhub Bose *v.* Kalee Churn Singh	24 W. R., 387	5123
——— *v.* Soodha Madhub Bose	2 Hay, 333	2346
Banee Madhub Bukshee *v.* Radha Madhub Mozoomdar	22 W. R., 196	3255, 5305
Banee Madhub Chatterjee *v.* Bholanath Banerjee	10 W. R., 164	5750
Banee Madhub Chowdhry *v.* Tara Prosunno Bose	21 W. R., 33	1626
Banee Madhub Dutt *v.* Nund Lall Mozoomdar	22 W. R., 123	4954, 4955
Banee Madhub Ghose *v.* Thakoor Doss Mundul	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 588 : 6 W. R., Act X, 71.	668, 1682, 2207
——— *v.* Woomanath Roy Chowdhry	21 W. R., Cr., 26	4102, 5946
Banee Madhub Koondoo. In the matter of the petition of	21 W. R., Cr., 23	2029
Banee Madhub Laheree *v.* Bipro Doss Dey	15 W. R., 69	3191
Banee Madhub Mookerjee *v.* Bhagobutty Churn Banerjee	8 W. R., 370	2357
——— *v.* Nilambur Banerjee	8 W. R., 876	182
Banee Madhub Roy. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., 431	4959
Banee Muhtoon *v.* Gopee Bhuggut	12 W. R., 285	1908
Banee Pershad *v.* Court of Wards	15 W. R., 192	2147
——— *v.* Lalla Joggessur Doss	11 W. R., 47	300
——— *v.* Mahaboodby	7 W. R., 292	2313
——— *v.* Maun Singh	8 W. R., 67	1697
——— *v.* Radha Pershad Singh	15 W. R., 143	5134
——— *v.* Reet Bhunjun Singh	10 W. R., 325	5393
Banee Ram *v.* Hossein Ali	11 W. R., 184	5141
Banceram *v.* Newaz Bibee	8 W. R., 511	5121
——— *v.* Ram Nath Shah	10 B. L. R., Ap., 2 : 18 W. R., 412.	568
Baneshur Doss *v.* Banee Madhub Doss	18 W. R., 256	1823
Baney Madhub Roy *v.* Hurry Mohun Roy	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16	357
Baney Madhub Shaw. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 207 : 10 C. L. R., 389.	501
Bangaraiya *v.* Balabhadra Razu	2 Mad., 386	1568
Bani Madhub Mitter *v.* Matungini Dassi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 104	3178
Bank of Bengal *v.* Currie	3 B. L. R., 396 : 12 W. R., 432.	1026, 5708
——— *v.* Fagan	5 Moore's I. A. 27	4513
——— *v.* Golam Azim	12 W. R., 185	4743
——— *v.* Macleod	5 Moore's I. A., 1	4513, 4648
——— *v.* Mendes	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 654 : 5 C. L. R., 586.	2050
——— *v.* Newton	12 B. L. R., Ap., 1	2628
——— *v.* Nundo Lall Doss	12 B. L. R., 509	5426
——— *v.* Radha Kissen Mitter	3 Moore's I. A., 19	4617
Bank of Hindustan, China, and Japan, *v.* Eastern Financial Association.	3 B. L. R., P. C., 8 : 12 W. R., P. C., 27 : 13 Moore's I. A., 15.	951
——— *v.* Nundo Loll Sen.	11 B. L. R., 301	2824, 4000
——— *v.* Premchand Raichand	5 Bom., O. C., 83	945, 2261, 4271, 5485, 5943
——— *v.* Sedgwick	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 107	5825
——— *v.* Shoroshibala Debee.	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 311	4006
——— *v.* Wilson	1 B. L. R., O. C., 41	2704
Bank of India *v.* Ragho Narayan	4 Bom., A. C. 164	5460, 5468
Banka Bihari Ghose. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17 : 11 W. R., Cr., 26.	5947, 6010, 6181

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Banno v. Pir Muhammad	I. L. R., 2 All., 688	4769
Bannoo v. Kashee Ram	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 315	2356
Bans Bahadur Singh v. Mughla Begam	I. L. R., 2 All., 604	5980
Bansidhar v. Bu Ali Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 260	2725, 5834
——— v. Har Sahai	I. L. R., 3 All., 340	3136, 3138
Bansi Lal v. Mercer	7 N. W., 331	422
Banu v. Narayan Sahu	4 B. L. R., Ap., 82 : 13 W. R., 233.	5736
Banwari Lal Sahu v. Girdhari Singh	8 B. L. R., Ap., 23 : 16 W. R., 275.	3776
Bany Madhob Mookerjee v. Sridhur Deb Ghuttuck	10 C. L. R., 555	4170
Bany Madhob Trivadi v. Ram Gopal Sircar	3 C. L. R., 523	2698
Bapirazu v. Kamarazu	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 26	3896
Bapooram Aham v. Gungaram Kacharee	17 W. R., Cr., 54	5519
Bapubhai Khushaldas v. Bai Suraj	8 Bom., A. C., 83	5591
Bapu bin Ishvar v. Lakshuman Baji	10 Bom., 19	3174
Bapu Daldi v. Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 23	2898
Bapuji v. Pandurang	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 616	2333, 2335,
Bapuji Apaji v. Senavaraji Marvadi	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 231	3899
Bapuji Auditram v. Umedbhai Hathesing	8 Bom., A. C., 245	1400, 2795, 4945
Bapuji Balal v. Satyabhamabai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 490	2726, 5403
Bapuji Balvant v. Raghunath Vithal	6 Bom. A. C., 72	2858
Bapuji Jagjivay v. Magistrate of Kheda	4 Bom., A. C., 153	4494, 4500
Bapuji Pitambhar. Ex parte	3 Bom., A. C., 175	3130, 3131
Bapu Ram Parbhu v. Visaji Chando Saktanekar	8 Bom., A. C., 182	4564
Bapurav Krishna v. Madhavrav Ramrav	5 Bom., A. C., 214	3480, 3541
Bapusa bin Sadashiv v. Ramji bin Gopalji	2 Bom., 220	4023
Baradnkant Rai v. Bhagwan Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 344	509, 1464
Baradakant Roy v. Chundrakumar Roy	2 B. L. R., P. C., 1 : 11 W. R., P. C., 1 : 12 Moore's I. A., 145.	4483
Baradakant Roy v. Commissioner of the Sunderbuns	2 B. L. R., P. C., 33 : 11 W. R., P. C., 14.	5916
Baranje v. Basdeo Misser	7 N. W., 241	5202
"Bardot", The, v. The "Augusta"	10 Bom., 110	2833, 3088
Barhamdeo Narain Singh v. Mackenzie	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1095	2749
Bari Babu v. Gulab Chand	I. L. R., 7 All., 454	2874
Barkat v. Daulat	I. L. R., 4 All., 187	3167
Barkat-ul-lah Khan v. Rennie	I. L. R., 1 All., 17	5527
Barlow v. Cochrane	2 B. L. R., O. C., 56	2638, 2662
——— v. Orde	18 W. R., 175	1870
——— v. ———	5 B. L. R., 1 : 13 W. R., P. C., 41 : 13 Moore's I. A., 277.	6207
Barmutollah. In re	10 B. L. R., 156, note	5116
Barnet v. Skinner	2 W. R., 208	4590
Baroda Debia v. Sreeram Chowdhry	5 W. R., Mis., 21	3132
Baroda Kant Banerjee v. Koomodinee Kant Banerjee	17 W. R., 467	51
Baroda Kant Bhuttacharjee v. Pearee Mohun Mookerjee	23 W., R., 57	243
Barodakant Mookerjee v. Kali Bhuttacharjee	9 W. R., Cr., 21	972
Baroda Kanto Beepari v. Jodhisteer Nath	10 C. L. R., 99	6004
Barodakant Roy v. Karimuddi Moonshee	4 C. L. R., 452	1295
——— v. Prankrishna Paroi	3 B. L. R., A., C., 343 : 12 W. R., 192.	4468
——— v. Radha Churn Roy	13 W. R., 163	1581
——— v. Sookmoy Mookerjee	1 W. R., 29	3182, 3374
Baroda Kooery v. Ashruffunnissa	1 W. R., 17	3684, 3703

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Baroda Prosad Mostafi *v.* Gora Chand Mostafi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 295 : 12 W. R., 160.	2955
Baroda Prosonno Chuckerbutty. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 348	490
Baroda Soondree Dassee. In the matter of the petition of.	B. L. R., Sup., Vol., 609 : 6 W. R., Mis., 114.	4526
Baroda Sundari Dabia *v.* Fergusson	11 C. L. R., 17	794, 1941
Baroo Mull *v.* Chujjoo Mull	4 N. W., 125	3160
Baroo Sircar *v.* Massim Mundul	21 W. R., 206	4033
Barracho *v.* DeSouza	7 Mad., 72	378
Barrow *v.* Archer	Cor., 9	2615
——— *v.* ———	2 Hyde, 125	4569
——— *v.* Pollock	1 Ind., Jur., O. S., 57 : 1 Hyde, 149.	1001
——— *v.* Stewart	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 226	1063
Barrut Chunder Doss *v.* Damjee Pittumber	Bourke, O. C., 7 : Cor, 150	355
Barry *v.* Abdool Ali	W. R., 1864, Act X, 64	2, 530
——— *v.* Steel	1 C. L. R, 80	6057
Barton *v.* Brijonath Surmah	3 W. R., 65	5375
Basa Mal *v.* Maharaj Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 205	5391
Basant Lal *v.* Batul Bibi	I. L. R., 6 All., 23	3462
——— *v.* Najmunissa Bibi	I. L. R., 6 All, 14	3484
——— *v.* Tapeshri Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 1	4770
Basant Rai *v.* Kanauji Lall	I. L. R., 2 All., 455	3959
Basant Ram *v.* Kolahal	I. L. R., 1 All., 392	2577
Basapa. In re the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 172	1263, 2767, 3604
——— *v.* Marya	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 433	3463, 4464, 5416
Basapa bin Murtiapa *v.* Lakshmapa bin Maritamapa	I. L. R., 1 Bom, 624	2914
Basappa *v.* Dundaya	I. L. R., 2 Bom, 540	5437
Basappah *v.* Yenkatappah	4 Mad, 70	2859
Basaruddin Bhuiah *v.* Bahar Ali	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 8	4105
Basawa Gurbasawa *v.* Kalkapa	I. L. R., 2 Bom, 489	327, 4783
Basdeo *v.* Gopal	I. L. R., 8 All., 644	3349, 3402
Basdeo Singh *v.* Mata Din Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 276	4012
Baser Mahomed *v.* Sudder Ghazee	7 W. R., 97	5074
Bashetiappa bin Baslingappa *v.* Shivlingappa bin Ballappa.	10 Bom., 268	2115, 2127
Bashiyagarula Nayadu. Ex parte	1 Mad., 254	5129
Basit Ali *v.* Man Singh	2 N. W., 140	2004
Baskarasami *v.* Sivasami	I. L. R., 8 Mad, 196	3587, 3595
Baso Koer *v.* Hurry Dass	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 495 : 12 C. L. R., 292.	2399, 5388
Basoo Mad Furash *v.* Huree Pandey	11 W. R., 479	5826
Bassunt Lall Dass *v.* Pana Ali	3 C. L. R., 432	1638
Bastian *v.* Tireman	2 Mad., 383	5686
Bastiram *v.* Fattu	I. L. R., 8 All., 146	836
Basudeb Bhat *v.* Narayan Daji Damle	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 131	4838
Basudeb Surma Gossain. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 14 Calc., 834	5886
——— *v.* Naziroddeen	I. L. R., 14 Calc., 834	5886
Basu Dhul *v.* Kissen Chunder Geer Gossain	13 W R., 200	2254
Basunt Kawal *v.* Forth	7 N. W., 47	1358
Basvantrav Kidingappa *v.* Mantappa Kidingappa	1 Bom., Ap., 42	2230
Baswantapa Shidapa *v.* Ranu	I., L R., 9 Bom., 86	1707, 5439, 6158
Batahee Begum *v.* Khooshal	3 Agra, 221	1172
Batai Ahir *v.* Bhuggobutty Koer	11 C. L. R., 476	4192

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bata Krishna Naik *v.* Chintamani Naik	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 262	2354
Batasi *v.* Mahesh	I. L. R., 5 All., 555	5787
Bates *v.* Municipal Commissioners for the Town of Bellary.	7 Mad., 249	3599
Bateshar Nath *v.* Faizulhasan	I. L. R., 5 All., 280	5083
Batool Bebee *v.* Jagut Narain	4 N. W., 172	565
Batool Nashyo *v.* Bhugloo Chowkeedar	10 W. R., Cr., 50	973, 4749
Battas Kuar *v.* Lachman Singh	7 N. W., 117	2128
Baumgartner *v.* Stephenson	3 Agra, 321	1399, 6055
Bavachi Packi *v.* Pierce, Leslie, & Co.	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 219	229, 2652
Bavah Meah Saib *v.* Khajee Meah Saib	4 Mad., 218	2821
Bawa Misser *v.* Bishen Prokash Narain Singh	10 W. R, 287	2528
Bawan Das *v.* Mulchand	I. L. R., 6 All., 173	407
Bawani Sankara Pandit *v.* Ambabay Ammal	1 Mad., 363	2145, 2420
Bayabai *v.* Bala urf Venkatesh Rama Kaut	7 Bom., Ap., 1	2120
Bayi Saiba *v.* Jijoyiamba Bayi Saiba	3 Mad., 424	2316, 2464
Bayley *v.* Taruknauth Poramanic	Bourke, O. C., 263	5647
Bazayet Hossein *v.* Dooli Chund	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 402: L. R., 5 I. A. 211.	3640
Bazloor Rahim *v.* Abdullah	2 B. L. R., S. N., 5: 10 W. R., 359.	4006
Beake. In the goods of	13 B. L. R., Ap., 24: 21 W. R., 397.	1238
Beake & Co. *v.* Davis	I. L. R., 4 All., 530	3179
Beard *v.* Egerton	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 179	421
Beattie *v.* Jetha Dungarsi	5 Bom., O. C., 152	1436, 2678
Bebee *v.* Mahomed Ghousi	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 31	550
Becharam Banerjee *v.* Thakurmani Debi	8 B. L. R., 53, note: 10 W. R., 114.	2842
Becharam Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the petition of.	15 W. R., Cr., 67	4105
Becharam Chowdhry *v.* Puhubnath Jha	2 B. L. R., Ap., 53	1362
Becharam Doss *v.* Brojonath Pal Chowdhry	9 W. R., 369	2707, 3840
Becharam Dutta *v.* Abdul Wahed	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 55	3136, 3472, 3508
Becharam Ghorooee *v.* Boistubnath Bhooyan	14 W. R., 177	4105
Becharam Mookerjee *v.* Isur Chunder Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 4	5338
Becharam Paul *v.* Bhugwan Chunder Ghose	5 C. L. R., 522	1489
Bechar Bhagavan *v.* Bai Lakshmi	1 Bom., 56	2510
Bechoo. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 92	6250
Bechoo Singh *v.* Roy Sheo Sahoy	1 N. W., 56: Ed. 1873, 111	4028
Bechu Lal *v.* Oliullah	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 338	4251
Bechun *v.* Mahomed Yakoob Khan	3 W. R., 225	3237
Beckwith *v.* Kishto Jeebun Buckshee	Marsh., 278: 2 Hay, 286	225, 2691
——— *v.* Noorjumma	2 W. R., Act X, 11	1390
——— *v.* Umesh Chunder Roy	3 W. R., 110	3918
Bedar Bukht Mohummud Ali *v.* Khurrum Bukht Yahya Ali Khan.	19 W. R., 315	3655
Bedhoo Koolanee *v.* Bonomalee Gurain	11 W. R., 496	5582
Beechook Pasban *v.* Kullar Singh	20 W. R., 369	5594
Beedar Hossein *v.* Zuhoorounnissa	2 Moore's I. A., 441	508
Beedhun Bibee *v.* Fuzuloollah	20 W. R., 411	3687
Beegoo Roy *v.* Bal Mokund Misser	17 W. R., 421	4476
Beejoy Chunder Banerjee *v.* Bhyrub Chunder Banerjee	15 W. R., 427	366
Beejoy Gobind Bural *v.* Jannobee Bromonya	8 W. R., 252	336, 1629
Beejoy Gobind Burral *v.* Bheekoo Roy	10 W. R., 291	1745
Beejoy Gobind Sircar *v.* Radha Benode Misser	10 W. R., 348	760

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Beejoynath Chatterjee v. Luckhee Monee Dabee	12 W. R., 248	1405, 6105, 6106
Beekun Koer v. Maharajah Bahadoor	Marsh., 66 : 1 Hay, 134	3117
Beelasmonee v. Nusseeboolah	Marsh., 410 : 2 Hay, 510	556
Beema Shunkur v. Jamasjee Shaporjee	5 W. R., P. C., 121 : 2 Moore's I. A., 23.	2096, 3408
Beem Churn Sein v. Heeralall Seal	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 225	2125
Beemul Doss v. Shikhur Chand	24 W. R., 211	666
Beenarussee Doss. In the matter of	Cor., 123	2650
Beenodeeny Dossee. In the matter of	2 Hyde, 152 : Cor., 78	910
Beepin Beharee Biswas v. Judoonath Hazrah	21 W. R., 367	5320
Beepin Chunder Shickdar v. Purreshnath Biswas	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 98	5476
Beer v. National Bank of India	19 W. R., 67	4515
Beer Chunder Jobraj v. Deputy Collector of Bhullooah	13 W. R., P. C., 23	4175
Beer Chunder Joobraj v. Mohamed Asgur	W. R., 1864, 145	3177
——— v. Neelkissen Thakoor	1 W. R., 177	2227
——— v. Ram Coomar Dhur	6 W. R., Mis., 26	2708
——— v. Ram Gutty Dutt	8 W. R., 209	3279, 4128
——— v. Shibjoy Thakoor	W. R., 1864, 8	4203, 5105
——— v. Tarinee Churn Roy	11 W. R., 46	6221
——— v. Umakant Sein	W. R., 1864, 232	5105
Beer Chunder Manick v. Hossein	17 W. R., 29	3002
Beer Chunder Manickhya v. Hurro Chunder Burman	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 211 : 12 C. L. R., 329.	559
Beer Chunder Manik v. Ramkishen Shaw	14 B. L. R., 370 : 23 W. R., 128.	1734
Beer Chunder Manikkya v. Raj Coomar Nobodeep Chunder Deb Burmono.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 535 : 12 C. L. R., 465.	2411, 2802, 2895
Beer Chunder Manikya v. Ishan Chunder Burdhun	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 136	872
——— v. Maymana Bibee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 786	1882
Beer Chunder Roy v. Tumeezooddeen	12 W. R., 87	1731, 4289, 6173
Beer Chund Gossai v. Hogg	Cor., 56	2603
Beer Kishore Lall v. Kunbooly Lall	W. R., 1864, Act X, 109	1605
Beer Kishore Sahoy v. Government of Bengal	17 W. R., 497	4583
Beer Kishore Suhye Singh v. Hur Bullub Narain Singh	7 W. R., 502	2155, 2188, 3747
Beer Narain Sircar v. Teencowrie Nundee	1 W. R., 316	2342, 2346
Beeroppo Setty v. Hursmull Ramchund	2 Hyde, 74	2682
Beer Persad v. Doorgaprsad	W. R., 1864, 310	2171
Beer Pershad v. Doorga Pershad	W. R., 1864, 215	2188, 5770
Beerpertab Sahee v. Rajender Pertab Sahee	9 W. R., P. C., 15 : 12 Moore's I. A., 1.	2326, 2535
Beet Bhunjun Singh v. Jowhur Doss	4 W. R., Mis., 17	208
Begee Begum v. Yusuf Ali	6 N. W., 139	3143
Bego Jan v. Cherag Ali	5 W. R., 120	6061
Begoo Jaun v. Gashee Bebee	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 19	3332
Begum v. Doolee Chund	20 W. R., 93	3660
Begum Bibee. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 168	2893
——— v. King of Oudh	11 W. R., 116	5256
Behala Bibi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 789 : 8 C. L. R., 207.	4365
Beharee Lal v. Baboo	2 Agra, 80	2849
Beharee Lall v. Rajah Ram	6 N. W., 291	5436
Beharee Lall Dey v. Kaminee Soonduree	14 W. R., 319	1815
Beharee Lall Doss v. Radhanath Doss	22 W. R., 229	4294
Beharee Lall Mookerjee v. Modhoo Soodun Chowdhry	8 W. R., 474	2977

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Beharee Lall Naek *v.* Sreeram Roy	20 W. R., 259	5753
Beharee Lall Nundee *v.* Troyluckho Moyee Burmonee	12 W. R., 223	298
Beharee Lall Roy *v.* Kalee Doss Chunder	8 W. R., 451	4206
Beharee Lal Roy *v.* Lall Chand Roy	25 W. R., 307	2370
Beharee Lall Sahoo *v.* Juggernath Pershad	1 Agra, 41	1507
Beharee Ram *v.* Shoobhudra	9 W. R., 455	3715, 4261
Behari Bhagat *v.* Begam Bibi	I. L. R., 3 All., 75	185
Behari Das *v.* Kalian Das	I. L. R., 8 All., 543	373
Behari Hadji. In the matter of	5 C. L. R., 238	1012
Behari Lal *v.* Beni Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 408	3850, 4001
——— *v.* Habiba Bibi	I. L. R., 8 All., 267	4235
——— *v.* Khub Chand	I. L. R., 6 All., 48	1873
——— *v.* Salik Ram	I. L. R., 1 All., 676	3527
Behari Lall Mookerjee *v.* Mungalanath Mookerjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 110 : 4 C. L. R., 371.	3155
Behari Lal Mullick *v.* Indramani Chowdhrani	13 B. L. R., 401 : 21 W. R., 285.	2112
Behari Mahton *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 106	719, 4621
Behari Patak *v.* Mahomed Hyat Khan	4 B. L. R., F. B., 46 : 12 W. R., Cr., 60.	4202, 4725, 4732
Behary Koomaree *v.* Mohendro Nath Bose	2 W. R., 271	3397
Behary Lall *v.* Goberdhun Lall	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 446 : 12 C. L. R., 431.	2032, 3130, 3133, 3135, 3471
Behary Lall Bose. In the matter of	9 W. R., Cr., 69	1799
Behary Lall Mohurwar *v.* Madho Lall Shir Gyawal	13 B. L. R., 222 : 21 W. R., 430.	1419
Behary Lall Sandyal *v.* Juggo Mohun Gossain	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 1 : 2 C. L. R., 422.	4667
Behary Loll Doss *v.* Tej Narain	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 764	1817, 2731
Behary Shaha *v.* Nubby Khan	9 W. R., Cr., 13	2004
Bejoy Chunder Banerjee *v.* Kally Prosonno Mookerjee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 327	6005
Bejoy Gobind Deb *v.* Muddun Ram Pal	18 W. R., 454	5705
Bejoy Keot *v.* Goria Keot	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 437 : 9 C. L. R., 218.	2857
Bejoy Nath Chatterjee *v.* Bengal Coal Company	23 W. R., Cr., 45	4498
Bekon Singh *v.* Deen Dyal Lall	24 W. R., 47	3968, 5394
Belaêt Khan *v.* Rash Beharee Mookerjee	22 W. R., 549	1746
Belas Koer *v.* Bhowanee Buksh	Marsh., 641	2356
Belchambers *v.* Ashootosh Dhur	7 C. L. R., 308	5037
Belilios. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 53	259, 5155, 6270
———	12 B. L. R., 249	4628
——— *v.* Queen on the prosecution of Kalidass Banerjee.	19 W. R., Cr., 53	259, 5155, 6270
Bell *v.* Gurudas Roy	1 B. L. R., A. C., 50	1450
Bellasis. In the goods of	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 139	3085
Belsaree Coomaree *v.* Soobrun Singh	2 W. R., Act X, 12	534
Bemola Dossee *v.* Mohun Dossee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 792 : 6 C. L. R., 34.	2376
Bemola Moyee Debea *v.* Kishtomonee Debea	W. R., 1864, Mis., 10	670
Bemola Soondury Chowdhrain *v.* Punchanun Chowdhry	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 705	5061
Bemul Doss *v.* Ikbal Narain	25 W. R., 249	3221, 3527
Benarsee Doss *v.* Khooshal Chund	2 Agra., Pt. II, 170	3222, 3301
——— *v.* Phool Chund	1 Agra, 243	3707
Benarsi Das *v.* Bhikhari Dass	I. L. R., 3 All., 717	1773, 5834
Benda Bibee *v.* Lalla Ramsurun Singh	10 W. R., 299	1378

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bengal Act VI of 1866 In the matter of	15 B. L. R., Ap., 14	6026
Bengal Banking Corporation v. Makertich	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 315	4792
Bengal Coal Company v. Dareembah Dabea	Marsh., 105 : 1 Hay, 181	1696, 2700
——— v. Elgin Cotton Company	2 N. W., 13	2817, 5258
——— v. Hurdyal Marwaree	25 W. R., 245	2059
——— v. Mahtab Chund Bahadoor	12 W. R., 340	2952
Bengal Indigo Company v. Koylash Chunder Doss	10 W. R., 293	3205
——— v. Tarinee Pershad Ghose	3. W. R., Act X, 149	1724
Beni Madhab Banerjee v. Jai Krishna Mookerjee	7 B. L. R., 152 : 12 W. R., 495	53, 6040
Beni Madhab Das v. Ramjoy Rokh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 213 : 10 W. R., 316.	52
Beni Madhab Ghose v. Ganga Gabind Mandal	5 B. L. R., 345, note : 15 W. R., F. B., 3, note.	5139
Beni Madho v. Zahurul Haq	I. L. R., 3 All., 797	6284
Beni Narain v. Achraj Natu	I. L. R., 5 All., 607	4486
Beni Prasad v. Lachman Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 131	4952
Beni Ram v. Hidayat Hossein	7 N. W., 82	4040
——— v. Nanhu Mal	I. L. R., 7 All., 102 : L. R., 11 I. A., 181.	1694
Beni Ram Bhutt v. Ram Lal Dhukri	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 189	191, 741, 3857, 6173
Bennett v. Bennett	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 354	1554
Benode Beharee Mookerjee v. Beer-Narain Roy	5 W. R., Act X, 52	403
Benode Behari Nundi v. Mohesh Chunder Ghose	12 C. L. R., 331	5488
Benode Koomaree Debee v. Purdhan Gopal Sahee	2 W. R., 176	2305
Benode Mohini Chowdhrain v. Sharat Chunder Dey Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 837 : 10 C. L. R., 449 : 12 C. L. R., 421.	186, 3466, 5966
Benode Putnaik v. Doyanidhee Bullior Singh	9 W. R., 493	323, 331
Benoderam Sen v. Brojendro Narain Roy	13 B. L. R., 169 : 21 W. R., 97	3496
Benodhee Lall Roy v. Dulloo Sircar	Marsh., 620	1814
Benodi Lal Ghose v. Tamizuddin	7 C. L. R., 115	4774, 4819
Benud Behary Mullick. In the matter of	10 B. L. R., 291 : 19 W. R., 110	3746
Bepin Beharee Roy v. Issur Chunder Sen	24 W. R., 13	1439
Bepin Beharee Sircar v. Nilmoni Singh Deo	25 W. R., 125	1678
Bepinbehari Bundopadhya v. Brojonath Mookhopadya	I. L.R., 8 Calc., 357	1462, 2506, 5055
Bepin Behari Moduck v. Lalmohun Chattopadhya	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 209	192, 2459
Bepin Behari Singh v. Government	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 325	5257
Bepin Behary Shaha v. Empress	13 C. L. R., 110	1269, 1291
Bepin Chunder Shickdar v. Modhoo Sudun Chowdhuri	12 C. L. R., 316	5476
Beputoolla v. Najim Sheikh	2 C. L. R., 374	1533, 5910
Beresford. In the goods of	7 B. L. R., 57 : 15 W. R., 456	1239
——— v. Hurst	I. L. R., 1 All., 772	2587, 3081
Berkeley v. Chittur Kooar	5 N. W., 2	4436
Berunja Koeree v. Hurpershad Lall	3 Agra, 235	1715
Besharutoollah v. Ajoo	14 W. R., 195	5050
Beshoondyal Singh v. Sarub Narain Singh	4 N. W., 32	4940
Bessessur Bhugut v. Murli Sahu	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 163 : 11 C. L. R., 409.	3241, 3355, 3433, 4977
Bessessur Chuckerbutty v. Woomachurn Roy	7 W. R., 44	1617, 4169
Bessunessooree Dabea v. Tarasoonderee Brahminee	Marsh., 201 : 1 Hay, 577	3837
Betts. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 83 : 15 W. R Cr., 6.	1790
——— v. Arbuthnot	19 W. R., 65	4609
——— v. Bonsi Mundul	25 W. R., 343	5132 5174
——— v. Jamie Sheikh	23 W. R., 271	3050
——— v. Mahomed Ismael Chowdhry	25 W. R., 521	954, 3437

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bevis v. Turner	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 484	1207
Beychan Sahoo v. Ganesh Sahoo	2 N. W., 439	678, 684
Bhabatarini Dasi v. Grey	2 B. L. R., A. C., 152	4923, 4925, 4937
Bhabosoonderee v. Bhugwan Chunder Mozoomdar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 88	4868
Bhadeshwar Chowdhry v. Gourikant Nath	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 834	4428
Bhadreswari Chowdhrain. In the matter of the petition of.	7 B. L. R., 329	1806, 4489
Bhadu Mahomed v. Radha Churn Bolia	4 B. L. R., A. C., 219	3717
Bhaee Chund v. Purtab Chund	5. W. R., P. C., 31: 1 Moore's I A., 154.	3123
Bhee Koonwar v. Zahoor Ali	1 Agra, 258	3705
Bhagabati Charan Bhuttacharjee v. Kali Kumar Chuttah	10 C. L. R., 441	5451
Bhagabati Dasi v. Kanai Lall Mitter	8 B. L. R., 225; 17 W. R., 433, note.	2430
Bhagabat Prasad Sing v. Durg Bijai Sing	8 B. L. R., 73: 16 W. R., 95	3015
Bhagabatti Debi v. Chowdry Bholanath Thakoor	9 B. L. R., 93: 15 W. R., 63	2190
Bhagabuti Churn Bhuttacharjee Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of. Bhagabuti Churn Bhuttacharjee Chowdhry v. Bishesh-war Sen.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 367	5451
Bhagabut Khan v. Puddo Bewa	3 N. W., 192	2772
Bhagain Megh Ranee Koer v. Gooroo Pershad Singh	25 W. R., 68	1843
Bhagavatamma v. Pampana Gaud	2 Mad., 393	2485
Bhagawan Das v. Balgobind Singh	1 B. L. R., S. N., 9	2233
Bhagawani Kunwar v. Lala Baijnath Prasad	2 B. L. R., A. C., 84: 10 W. R., 380.	5621
Bhagbat Panda v. Bamdob Panda	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 557	5609
Bhagbut Chunder Dey v. Huro Gobind Pal	20 W. R., 269	470
Bhagbut Dey. In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., Cr., 64	5495
Bhagbut Doss v. Buloram Doss	3 W. R., Mis., 20	4338
Bhagbutti Deyi v. Bholanath Thakoor	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 104: 24 W. R., 168: L. R., 2 I. A., 256.	49, 2506
Bhageeruth v. Mohun	2 Agra, 129	4596
Bhageeruth Bishee v. Gokul Chunder Mundul	18 W. R., 58	2856
Bhageeruth Moodee v. Jabur Jummah Khan	18 W. R., 91	2950
Bhageeruttee Dabea v. Greesh Chunder Chowdhry	2 Hay, 541	35
Bhaggu Lal v. DeGruyther	I. L. R., 4 All., 74	4220
Bhaghelin v. Mathura Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 430	3988
Bhagian v. Palmer	20 W. R., 267	12
Bhagirath v. Naubat Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 115	3971
Bhagirath v. Ram Ghulam	I. L. R., 4 All., 283	176
Bhagirath Adhikari v. Tarini Chandra Pakrasi	7 B. L. R., 35: 15 W. R., 413	5982
Bhagirathi Panda v. Padala Gopaludu	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 121	3595
Bhagirthibai v. Baya	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 264	2310, 4519
——— v. Radhabai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 298	2128, 2148
Bhago Bibee v. Ramkant Roy Chowdry	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 293	5344
Bhagoti v. Chandan	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 386: L. R., 12 I. A., 67.	365, 1685
Bhagoutee Charun v. Shiva Pershad	1 Agra, Rev., 38	4471
Bhagruth Doss v. Mahasoop Roy	6 W. R., Act X, 34	1654
Bhagtidas Bhagvandas v. Olivor	9 Bom., 418	1033, 4328
Bhagu Jetha v. Malek Bawasaheb	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 318	795
Bhaguji v. Aniaba	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 25	3285
Bhagvan Dallabh v. Kala Shankar	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 641	2534
Bhagvandas v. Hathibhai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 25	408
Bhagvandas Kishordas v. Abdul Huscin Mahomed Ali	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 49	419, 5842

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhagvandas Tejmal *v.* Rajmal *alias* Hiralal Lachimandas.	10 Bom., 241	2116, 2216
Bhagvan Dayalji *v.* Balu	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 230	1888, 5893
Bhagvan Jayaram *v.* Vithoba Govind	4 Bom., A. C., 140	4799
Bhagvansang *v.* Bechardas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 73	749
Bhagvatsangji Jalamsangji *v.* Partabsangji Ajjabhai	4 Bom., A. C., 105	2772, 5775
——— *v.* ———	4 Bom., A. C., 109	5775
Bhagwan Das *v.* Nathu Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 444	4843, 6036
Bhagwan Das Hurjivan. In re	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 511	2676
Bhagwan Doss *v.* Luchmee Narain	2 W. R., Mis., 19	2513
Bhagwanee Koonwar *v.* Parbutty Koonwar	2 W. R., Mis., 13	92
Bhagwan Prasad *v.* Sheo Sahai	I. L. R., 2 All., 856	1938
Bhagwan Singh *v.* Khuda Bakhsh	I. L. R., 3 All., 397	4851
——— *v.* Mahabir Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 184	4201
——— *v.* Mitarjit Singh	6 B. L. R., 382 : 17 W. R., 169	1430
——— *v.* Murli Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 459	5201
——— *v.* Secretary of State for India	L. R., 2 I. A., 38	59
Bhagwanti *v.* Rudr Man Tewari	I. L. R., 2 All., 145	5200
Bhagwant Singh *v.* Tej Kuar	I. L. R., 8 All., 91	5065
Bhagwat Dassa *v.* Gouri Kunwar	7 C. L. R., 218	5388
Bhagwati Prasad Gir *v.* Bindeshri Gir	I. L. R., 6 All., 106	4036
Bhaichand bin Khemchand *v.* Fulchand Harichand	8 Bom., A. C., 150	412, 3126
Bhairab Chandra Chuckerbutty *v.* Mahendra Chandra Chuckerbutty.	4 B. L. R., Ap., 59 : 13 W. R., 118.	5739
Bhairab Chandra Madak *v.* Nadyar Chand Pal	3 B. L. R., A. C., 357 : 12 W. R., 291.	1143, 1401, 1462
Bhairabchundra Chowdhri *v.* Alek Jan	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 268	5848
Bhairabnath Khettri *v.* Jumanram Dhandaria	8 B. L. R., 415, note	5989
——— *v.* Kishori Mohun Shaw	3 B. L. R., Ap., 1	4791
Bhairabnath Sye *v.* Mahesh Chandra Bhadury	4 B. L. R., A. C., 162 : 13 W. R., 168.	2112
Bhairo *v.* Parmeshri Dayal	I. L. R., 7 All., 516	6036
Bhairon Din Singh *v.* Ram Sahai	I. L. R., 3 All., 316	222, 5140
Bhairon Singh *v.* Lalman	I. L. R., 7 All., 23	3719
Bhairo Singh *v.* Bechoo	3 Agra, 393	4759
——— *v.* Udikaran Singh	3 B. L. R., Ap., 139	5076
Bhairow Deen *v.* Mookta Ram	1 Agra, 13	3020
Bhai Shankar *v.* Collector of Kaira	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 77	605
Bhaishankar Narbheram *v.* Harivallabh	1 Bom., 20	4247
Bhai Trimbakji *v.* Tomu valad Kutur	2 Bom., 200 : 2nd Ed., 192	2795
Bhajan *v.* Mushtak Ahmad	I. L. R., 5 All., 324	6159
Bhajan Lal *v.* Moti	I. L. R., 9 All., 177	1175
Bhaju Sing *v.* Kaifnath Tewari	3 B. L. R., A. C., 332	1722, 6246
Bhala Nahana *v.* Parbhu Hari	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 67	2116, 2513
Bhally Mahomed Bukshee *v.* Nobin Chunder Roy Chowdhry.	15 W. R., 269	780
Bhalu Roy *v.* Jakhu Roy	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 667	4843
Bhama *v.* Mohur Singh	2 Agra, Rev., 2	1644
Bhandu valad Rajaram *v.* Damaji valad Jivaji	6 Bom., A. C., 59	4804
Bhanoomutty Chowdrain *v.* Premchand Neogee	15 B. L. R., 28 : 23 W. R., 96	4005
Bhanushankar Gopalram *v.* Raghunath Ram Mangalram	2 Bom., 106 : 2nd Ed., 101	1487
Bhaobunessury. In the matter of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 869	3449
——— *v.* Judobendra Narain Mullick	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 869	3449
Bhao Narain Deo *v.* Court of Wards	15 W. R., 59	2891
Bhaoni *v.* Maharaj Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 738	2229, 2440, 3279
Bhao Singh *v.* Het Ram	7 N. W., 17	5019

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bharada Dasi. In the matter of the petition of	8 B. L. R., Ap., 13	686
Bharat Chandra Aitch v. Gaur Mani Dasi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 266, note: 11 W. R., 31.	1598
Bharat Chandra Mazumdar v. Ramgunga Sen	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 362: 5 W. R., 59.	5120
Bharat Chandra Sen. In re	8 B. L. R., 423, note: 14 W. R., Cr., 74.	4854
Bharat Lal Bhagat v. Gopal Saran Lal Bhagat	3 B. L. R., A. C., 1: 11 W. R., 286.	3913
Bharatsangji Mansangji v. Navanaidharaya Mansukhram	1 Bom., 186	3377
Bharmangavda v. Rudrapgavda	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 181	2513
Bharotea Debea v. Kurrona Moyee Dassia	10 W. R., 229	3495, 3496
Bharrut Chunder Roy v. Kallydas Dey	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 574: 5 C. L. R., 545.	1189
Bharut Chunder Bose v. Dwarkanath Chowdry	15 W. R., 86	5151
Bharut Chunder Dutt v. Dengar Gope	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 691: 7 W. R., 386.	5675
Bharut Chunder Roy v. Issur Chunder Sircar	2 W. R., Act X, 78	3064
——— v. ———	8 W. R., 141	883
——— v. Nazir Ali Khan	10 W. R., 354	796, 839
Bharut Chundor Sein v. Oseemooddeen	6 W. R., Act X, 56	524
Bharut Chunder Sircar v. Gouree Parshad Roy	18 W. R., 34	2694
Bharuth Chunder Roy v. Bepin Beharee Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., 495	2691, 3071
Bharut Roy v. Gangaparain Mohapattur	14 W. R., 211	1754, 2980, 3010
Bharut Singh v. Sadut Ali	6 W. R., Mis., 20	3492
Bhaskarappa v. Collector of North Kanara	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 452	2967
Bhaskar Trimbak Acharya v. Mahadeb Ramji	6 Bom., O. C., 1	2195, 2493
Bhau Babaji Gholap v. Gopal	2 Bom., 183	2913
Bhau Balaji v. Hari Nilkanthrav	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 377	4886, 5043
Bhau Nanaji Utpat v. Sundrabai	11 Bom., 249	2225
Bhauram Madan Gopal v. Ramnarayan Gopal	12 Bom., 208	5837, 5851
Bhavanamma v. Ramasami	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 193	2062
Bhavan Badhar. In re	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 691	5862
Bhavani v. Hasan Miya	1 Bom., 45	4563
Bhavanibai. In re	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 194	5858
Bhavani Sadashiv v. Bhavani Manaji	12 Bom., 232	2850, 5591
Bhavani Shankar Shevakram v. Parsadri Kalidas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 202	1997, 5240, 5683
Bhavan Mulji v. Kavasji Jasawala	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 542	2630
Bhawabal Singh v. Rajendra Pratap Sahoy	5 B. L. R., 321: 13 W.R., 157	1496, 5059, 5139
Bhawanee Deen Sahoo v. Mohun Sahoo	1 N. W., 188: Ed. 1873, 273	3837
Bhawani v. Abdulla Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 365	1602
——— v. Mahtab Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 171	2526
Bhawani Das v. Daulat Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 388	787
Bhawani Ghulam v. Deo Raj Kuari	I. L. R., 5 All., 542	2223, 2325, 2392
Bhawani Gir v. Dalmardan Gir	I. L. R., 3 All., 144	2920
Bhawani Kuar v. Ghulab Rai	I. L. R., 1 All., 348	5375
——— v. Rikhi Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 354	3294
Bhawani Prasad v. Damru	I. L. R., 5 All., 197	4558
Bhawani Prasad Singh v. Bisheshar Prasad Misr	I. L. R., 3 All., 846	3324
Bhawan Lal v. Akeedun	1 W. R., 319	1395
Bhawun Doss v. Mahomed Hossein	13 W. R., P. C., 38: 13 Moore's I. A., 346.	480
Bhaygo Mutty Bibee v. Mahomed Wasil	25 W. R., 315	6112

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bheechuck Singh *v.* Lutchminarain Singh	1 Hay, 182	4015
Bheeka *v.* Nund Kishore	Agra, F. B., 158: Ed. 1874, 119	6091
Bheekalall *v.* Bhuggoo Lall	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 23	5012
Bheekoo *v.* Omar Khan	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 236	1189
Bheekumbur Singh *v.* Raj Chunder Ghose	15 W. R., 196	3817
Bheekun Khan *v.* Bechun Khan	3 N. W., 35	4002
Bheema *v.* Pahlad	2 Agra, 38	4471
Bheema Charlu *v.* Donti Murti	8 Mad., 38	6277
Bheemangowda *v.* Eeranah	7 Mad, 358	3205
Bheem Goyallee *v.* Khoobun Sahoo	17 W. R., 429	3403
Bheem Ram Chuckerbutty *v.* Huree Kishore Roy	1 W. R, 359	2300, 2480
Bheem Sein *v.* Hur Gobind	3 Agra, Rev., 12	1635, 1645
Bheemul Mahtoon *v.* Olimussa *alias* Begum Jan	8 W. R., 423	4848, 4853
Bheemuck Lall Mahtoon *v.* Rung Lall Mahtoon	11 W. R, 369	5737
Bhekaree Singh *v.* Muhossein Ally	1 Hay, 482	402
Bhekdharee Singh *v.* Kishen Pershad Singh	15 W. R, 106	5209
Bhekhan Dobey *v.* Rajroop Kooer	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 912	3304
Bheknarain Singh *v.* Januk Singh	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 438	2172
Bhekraj Koeri *v.* Gendh Lal Tewari	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 878	5472, 5941
Bhenuck Singh *v.* Tugger Singh	10 W. R., 299	1378
Bheree Dorayya *v.* Maddipatu Ramayya	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 35	1115
Bhichuk Singh *v.* Nageshar Nath	I. L. R., 2 All., 112	5734
Bhickaree Mullick. In re	10 W. R., Cr., 50	3618
Bhikaiji Ramchandra Oke *v.* Nijamali Khan	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 525	2936
Bhikaji *v.* Vaillabhdas	2 Bom., 209	4821
Bhikaji Apaji *v.* Jagannath Vithal	10 Bom., 351	2480, 3363
Bhikaji Ramchandra *v.* Purshotam	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 220	187, 6287
Bhikaji Ramchandra Oke *v.* Yashvantrav Shripat Khopkar.	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 489	2410, 5391
Bhikaji Sabaji *v.* Bapu Saju	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 550	921, 2608
Bhikaji Vithal Ambikar. Ex parte	4 Bom., A. C., 119	208
Bhikambhat *v.* Fernandez	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 673	166, 3130, 3458, 3467
Bhikaree Pandah *v.* Ajoodhya Pershad	3 W. R., 176	5080
Bhika Roy. In re	7 B. L. R., 568, note	6262
Bhikdharee Singh *v.* Kanhya Lall	14 W. R, 24	4829
Bhikha *v.* Sakarlal	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 440	3252, 4960
Bhikham Das *v.* Pura	I. L. R., 2 All., 141	2259
Bhikhan Khan *v.* Ratan Kuar	I. L. R., 1 All., 512	2920, 4088
Bhikha Roy *v.* Dhotun Roy	10 W. R., Cr, 36	6262
Bhikki Singh *v.* Nivath Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 649	5758
Bhiknarain Singh *v.* Necot Koer	Marsh., 373: 2 Hay, 446	1752
Bhikoo Mollah *v.* Rashmonee Dossee	9 W. R., 357	4752, 5864
Bhikoo Sahoo *v.* Teik Ali Khan	9 W. R., 86	1758
Bhikun *v.* Elahi Khanum	8 B. L. R., Ap., 14, note: 11 W. R., 153.	686
Bhikun Singh *v.* Jumeela Koonwar	W. R., 1864, 62	1499
Bhiku Singh *v.* Government	8 B. L. R., 529, note: 13 B. L. R., 119, note: 10 W. R., 296.	5629
Bhiloo Mundul *v.* Motee Lall Ghose Mundul	9 W. R., 251	4173
Bhimacharya bin Vyanakatacharya *v.* Fakirappa bin Annandappa.	4 Bom., A. C., 206	759
Bhimaji Govind *v.* Rakmabai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 338	1497
Bhimappa *v.* Mariappa	3 Bom., A. C., 128	5592
Bhima valad Krishnappa *v.* Ningappa bin Shidappa Tuse	5 Bom., A. C., 75	1032
Bhimavarapu Balaramaya *v.* Hodson	3 Mad., 370	5260
Bhim Das *v.* Upendra Mohan Tagore	9 B. L. R., Ap., 4	6204

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhimji Gridhar *v.* Morgan	3 Bom., O. C., 63	4530
Bhimrav Jivaji *v.* Bhimrav Govind	11 Bom., 194	5670, 5916
Bhimul Doss *alias* Lall Baboo *v.* Choonee Lall	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 379	2296
Bhinji Bechar *v.* Bawaji Daji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 448	642
Bhinji Govindji *v.* Monohar Dass	5 B. L. R., Ap., 31: 14 W. R., 303.	465
Bhinuk Chowdhree *v.* Collector of Jounpore	2 Agra, 271	2841
Bhiro Chandra Mozoomdar *v.* Bamundas Mookerjee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 88: 11 W. R., 461.	3836
Bhiroogee Misser *v.* Oolfut Ali	2 N. W., 311	3991
Bhistoo Chunder Roy Chowdhry *v.* Parbutty Dabea	Marsh., 274: 2 Hay, 213	1001
Bhisto Shankar Patil *v.* Ramchandrarav Jahagirdar	8 Bom., A. C., 89	4986
Bhobanee Chunder Mitter *v.* MacNair	10 W. R., 464	3073
Bhobani Mahto *v.* Shibnath Para	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 113	4789
Bhobosoondree Dasseah *v.* Issur Chunder Dutt	11 B. L. R., 36: 18 W. R., 140	701, 5802
Bhobosoonduri Dabee. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 460	4669
Bhobosundari Dasi *v.* Makhun Lal Dey	8 B. L. R., 128	379
Bhobo Sundari Debi *v.* Rakal Chunder Bose	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 583	6035
Bhobo Tarinee Dossee *v.* Prosonnomoye Dassee	13 B. L. R., 150, note	5337
Bhobotarini Debi *v.* Sree Ram Paul	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 629	4528
Bhodo Mahomed *v.* Radha Churn Bolia	13 W. R., 332	3717
Bhogeeruth Adhikaree *v.* Tarinee Chunder Pakrassee	14 W. R., 174	5982
Bhogi Lal *v.* Chutter Singh	6 N. W., 323	3869
Bhogilal *v.* Popatbhai	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 125	1228
Bhogoban Chunder Sen *v.* Badsa Ally Sha	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 103	4609
Bhogobutty Koonwar *v.* Anuragee Koonwar	23 W. R., 459	4527
Bhoiharinee Dabee *v.* Nilmonee Singh Deo Bahadoor	24 W. R., 422	900
Bhoiro Mundul. In the matter of the petition of	14 W. R., Cr., 28	4506
Bhoirub Chunder Doss *v.* Hafezunissa Khatoon	2 C. L. R., 414	5616
Bhojohuree Bunick *v.* Aka Golam Ali	16 W. R., 97	3009
Bhojraj *v.* Gulshan Ali	I. L. R., 4 All., 493	3229, 3407
Bhoj Singh *v.* Maha Konwer	3 Agra, Mis., 1	193, 4342
Bhokteram *v.* Heera Kolita	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 184	1959
Bhola *v.* Gobind Dayal	I. L. R., 6 All., 186	177
—— *v.* Ramdhin	I. L. R., 7 All., 894	2871
Bholabhai *v.* Adesang —— *v.* Collector of Kaira	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 75	5068
Bhola Dut *v.* Ahmed	3 Agra, 397	3243
Bholagir Guru Manjir *v.* Hormasji Sorabji	6 Bom., A. C., 80	52
Bholai *v.* Kali	I. L. R., 8 All., 70	1420
—— *v.* Rajah of Bansi	I. L. R., 4 All., 174	3029
Bholai Mandal *v.* Jarif Gazi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 93	4477
Bholanath *v.* Baij Nath	2 Agra, 11	6156
—— *v.* Baldeo	I. L. R., 2 All., 198	4833
—— *v.* Bisheshur Tewaree	2 Agra, Pt. II, 165	4266
—— *v.* Fateh Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 63	2728
Bholanath Bose *v.* Komuruddin	20 W. R., Cr., 53	4101
Bholanath Chatterjee *v.* Inder Chand Doogur	14 W. R., 373	4405
Bhola Nath Das. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 427	4448
Bhola Nath Dass. In the matter of the petition of —— *v.* Sonamoni Dasi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 273	192
Bholanath Ghose *v.* Mothoor Mundle	7 C. L. R., 516	4501
Bholanath Ghossal *v.* Kedarnath Banerjee	19 W. R., 106	5316
Bhola Nath Khan *v.* Ram Chunder Sircar	7 W. R., 62	534
Bholanath Khettri *v.* Kaliprasad Agurwalla	8 B. L. R., 89	1823
Bholanath Mahta *v.* Ajoodhia Persad Sookul	12 B. L. R., 336: 20 W. R., 65	2362
Bholanath Mitter *v.* Kaloo	25 W. R., 222	2979

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bholanath Mookerjee *v.* Grish Mohinee Debia	15 W. R., 259	3561
Bholanath Mullick *v.* Heyshum	11 B. L. R., 230: 17 W. R., 221	2949
Bholanath Roy *v.* Nurendro Nath Roy	I L. R., 9 Calc., 380: 12 C. L. R., 58.	573
——— *v.* Rakhal Dass Mukherji	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 69	2296
Bholanath Sandyal *v.* Gouree Pershad Moitro	16 W. R., 310	4591
Bholanath Sircar *v.* Baharam Khan	10 W. R., 392	3074
Bholanauth Mullick. In re	Bourke, O. C., 96	6179
Bholan Misser *v.* Kanhiya Lal	7 N. W., 302	908
Bhola Singh *v.* Bulraj Singh	1 Agra, 233	4969
Bholi *v.* Imam Ali	I. L. R., 4 All., 179	3241
Bhono Bibee *v.* Pallan Gazee	11 W. R., 131	295
Bhooboona Alumbahi Koer *v.* Jobraj Singh	11 C. L. R., 277	2027, 3543
Bhoobun Chunder Burral *v.* Nagoree Dossia	15 W. R., 15	475, 1503
Bhoobun Chunder Sen *v.* Ram Soonder Surma Mozoomdar.	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 300	3329
Bhoobun Chunder Shaw. In the matter of	11 C. L. R., 464	4217
Bhoobun Dass Mundul *v.* Bilashmoney Dassee	1 C. L. R., 415	4899
Bhoobunessuree Chowdhrain *v.* Manson	22 W. R., 160	3828, 3833
Bhoobunessuree Dabea *v.* Goureedoss Turkopunchanun	11 W. R., 535	2338
Bhoobunessuree Debia *v.* Chunder Monee Debia	21 W. R., 243	3535
Bhoobunessur Roy Chowdhry *v.* Juggessuree Chowdhrani	22 W. R., 413	474
Bhoobuneswar Dutt. In re	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 621: 2 C. L. R., 80.	4353
Bhoobun Joy Acharjee *v.* Anund Lall Chowdhry	22 W. R., 47	3910
Bhoobunjoy Acharjee *v.* Ram Narain Chowdhry	9 W. R., 449	5187
Bhoobun Mohinee Debee *v.* Poornoo Chunder Banerjee	17 W. R., 99	85
Bhoobun Mohinee Debia *v.* Gobind Chunder Mojoomdar	19 W. R., 82	1484
Bhoobunmohinee Dossee *v.* Dhonaye Karigur	15 W. R., 434	1600
Bhoobun Mohinee Dossee *v.* Kedarnath Bose	12 W. R., 141	1634
Bhoobun Mohini Debia *v.* Hurrish Chunder Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 23: 3 C. L. R., 339: L. R., 5 I. A., 138.	5504
Bhoobun Mohun *alias* Prolad Sandyal *v.* Bhubo Soonderee Debia Chowdhrain.	8 W. R., 452	4937
Bhoobun Mohun Banerjee *v.* Thakoordoss Biswas	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 277: 15 W. R., F. B., 18, note.	413
Bhoobun Mohun Bose. In the matter of	15 W. R., 571	2649
——— *v.* Chundernath Banerjee	17 W. R., 69	5698
Bhoobun Mohun Dey *v.* Dinonath Dey	1 Hyde, 122	1205
Bhoobun Mohun Mundul *v.* Nobin Chunder Bullub	10 B. L. R., Ap., 12: 18 W. R., 282.	852
——— *v.* Rash Beharee Paul	15 W. R., 84	1567, 6114
Bhoobun Mohun Sircar *v.* Watson & Co.	W. R., 1864, 64	34
Bhoobun Moye Debia *v.* Ramkishore Acharjee	3 W. R., P. C., 15: 10 Moore's I. A., 279.	2142, 2286 2528, 2536
Bhoobun Moyee Debea *v.* Mooty	1 W. R., Mis., 11	214
Bhoobun Moyee Dossee *v.* Kishoree Dossee	6 W. R., 235	6237
——— *v.* Ruffick Mundle	17 W. R., 17	4402
——— *v.* Umbica Churn Sett	23 W. R., 343	1838
Bhoobun Moyi Dabea *v.* Shurut Sundery Dabea	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 275	192
Bhoobun Singh *v.* Queen	9 W. R., Cr., 36	5598
Bhoocha *v.* Elahi Bux	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 574	3687
Bhoodun *v.* Jan Khan	13 W. R., 265	679
Bhoojunga Thakoor *v.* Luchmee Narain Sahee	9 W. R., 80	2885
Bhoolloo *v.* Ram Narain Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 129	5437
Bhoondur Mul *v.* Gunga Pershad	2 W. R., Mis., 50	209

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhoopal Chunder Biswas *v.* Mahomed Mollah	6 W. R., 286	3297
Bhoopendra Narain Roy. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 539 : 8 C. L. R., 30.	2462, 3566
——— *v.* Greesh Narain Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 539 : 8 C. L. R., 30.	2462, 3566
Bhoopendro Nath Chowdhry *v.* Kalee Prosunno Ghose	24 W. R., 205	844, 2708
Bhoopnarain Chowbey *v.* Rughoonath Gobind Roy	18 W. R., 230	1358
Bhoopnarain Sahoo *v.* Mahomed Hossein	4 W. R., 60	5747
Bhoop Narain Singh *v.* Keramut Ali	6 W. R., 99	5285
Bhoop Singh *v.* Nursingh Rai	3 Agra, 144	4257, 4994
——— *v.* Phool Kower	3 Agra, Part II, 168	2457
——— *v.* Sunker Dutt Jha	6 W. R., Mis., 47	1880
Bhoeputtee Roy *v.* Umbica Churn Banerjee	17 W. R., 198	527
Bhooputty Lall Tewaree *v.* Soochee Seekhur Mookerjee	12 W. R., 255	2943
Bhoorum Koer *v.* Sahebmadee	6 W. R., 149	2189
Bhoothnath Chatterjee *v.* Kedarnath Banerjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 125	4177
Bhoot Nath Dey. In the matter of	4 C. L. R., 405	2923
Bhora Roy *v.* Abilack Roy	10 W. R., 476	3998
Bhowabul Singh *v.* Rajendro Protab Singh	13 W. R., 157	1496, 5059, 5139
Bhowan Doss *v.* Mahomed Hossein	13 Moore's I. A., 346 : 13 W. R., P. C., 38.	480
Bhowanee Dutt *v.* Lokhoo Singh	W. R., 1864, 60	3723
Bhowanee Dutt Singh *v.* Beer Singh	2 N. W., 196	908, 3556
Bhowanee Koonwer *v.* Thakoor Dass	2 Agra, 277	5709
Bhowanee Pershad *v.* Oheedun	5 W. R., 177	2020
——— *v.* Purshunno Singh	11 W. R., 282	3717
Bhowna *v.* Roop Kishore	5 N. W., 89	2156, 2200
Bhowun Doss *v.* Mahomed Hossein	13 W. R., P. C., 38 : 13 Moore's I. A., 346.	480
Bhoyrub Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Haradhun Ghose	Marsh., 561 : 2 Hay, 666	6108
Bhoyrub Chunder Doss *v.* Chundi Churn Mitter	Bourke, O. C., 238	1214, 4521
——— *v.* Madhub Chunder Poramanick	1 Hyde, 281	4254
——— *v.* Wajedunnissa Khatoon	6 C. L. R., 294	1203, 5952
Bhoyrub Chunder Ghose *v.* Nubo Chunder Gooho	5 W. R., 111	415
Bhoyrub Chunder Roy *v.* Madhub Chunder Sen	6 C. L. R., 19	407
Bhoyrub Chunder Sein *v.* Anundmoye Chowdhrain	Marsh., 494	6148
Bhoyrub Dass Johurry *v.* Doman Thakoor	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 139 : 4 C. L. R., 374.	10, 3464
Bhoyrubnath Khettry *v.* Doyal Chunder Laha	Bourke, O. C., 159	5484
Bhoyrubnath Sandyal *v.* Mutty Mundul	W. R., 1864, Act X, 100	1608
Bhoza Rughbur Singh *v.* Bhoza Raj Singh	3 N. W., 319	1897, 2695
Bhrigoo Roy *v.* Bhagruth Upadhya	W. R., 1864, Act X, 41	351
Bhuban Chandra Shome *v.* Ramdyal Shamanta	5 B. L. R., Ap., 62 : 14 W. R., 55.	321
Bhubaneswari Debi *v.* Dinanath Sandyal	2 B. L. R., A. C., 320 : 11 W. R., 232.	841
——— *v.* Harisaran Surma Moitra	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 720 : 8 C. L. R., 337.	1770
——— *v.* Mahendranath Chowdhry	13 B. L. R., Ap., 33	3509
——— *v.* Nilcomul Lahiri	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 18 : L. R., 12 I. A., 137.	2144
Bhuban Mohan Banerjee *v.* Elliott	6 B. L. R., 85	4567
——— *v.* Sadu Charan Sirkar	6 B. L. R., 339 : 15 W. R., O. C., 5.	843
Bhuban Mohan Chattopadhya *v.* Saudamini Debi	5 B. L. R., Ap., 59	5751
Bhuban Pari *v.* Shamanand Dey	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 699	2968

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhubo Soonderee Chowdhrain *v.* Kasheenath Acharjee	22 W. R., 351	1663
Bhubo Soonduree Debia *v.* Jynul Abdin	8 W. R., 393	4924
Bhubo Tarinee Dossia *v.* Prosunno Moyee Dossia	10 W. R., 304	565, 4266
Bhubotarinee Ghosany *v.* Juggernath Tewary	16 W. R., 58	5690
Bhudeswar Chowdhry *v.* Gauri Kant Nath	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 834	315
Bhudressory Chowdhrain *v.* Goberdhun Majhee	16 W. R., Cr., 17	4489
Bhugeeruth Berah *v.* Moneeram Banerjee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 855	5306
Bhugeeruth Dass Koyburto *v.* Chunder Churn Koyburto	22 W. R., 463	2856
Bhugeeruth Shikdar *v.* Ramnarain Mundur	9 W. R., 299	692
Bhuggoban Chunder Ghose *v.* Shumbhoo Chunder Ghose	Marsh., 503	5771
Bhuggobutty *v.* Motee Chand Puteedundo	6 W. R., Mis., 97	3526
Bhuggobutty Churn Haldar *v.* Niropunah Dabee	1 W. R., Mis., 8	1488
Bhuggobutty Churn Mookerjee *v.* Huro Mohun Mookerjee	2 W. R., Act X, 55	531
Bhuggobutty Debia. In the matter of	3 W. R., Mis., 18	685
——— *v.* ———	14 W. R., 62	271
Bhuggobutty Dossee *v.* Kishen Nath Roy	3 W. R., 30	2021
——— *v.* Nobin Chunder Bose	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 99	1041
——— *v.* Shamachurn Bose	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 337	3928
Bhuggobutty Goopta *v.* Saroda Soonduree Debea	11 W. R., 337	4191
Bhuggobutty Kowar *v.* Money	2 C. L. R., 545	825, 5922
Bhugirath Patoni *v.* Ram Lochun Deb	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 275 : 10 C. L. R., 159.	4964
Bhugmunt Narain Jha *v.* Loll Jha	Marsh., 48 : 1 Hay, 114	1675
Bhugna *v.* Gungoos	2 Agra, 170	3274
Bhugoban Chunder Doss *v.* Lalla Thakoor Pershad	W. R., 1864, 359	5378
Bhugoban Chunder Poddar *v.* Mohun Chunder Chuckerbutty.	12 W. R., Cr., 49	967
Bhugoban Tantee *v.* Gobind Chunder Roy	9 W. R., 210	849, 5678
Bhugobat Singh *v.* Ramadhin Singh	22 W. R., 330	1867
Bhugobut Churn Sein *v.* Siam Ali	18 W. R., Cr., 18	971
Bhugobutty *v.* Bhikramajeet Singh	8 W. R., 477	5774
Bhugobutty Burmonee *v.* Gour Chunder Mundul	9 W. R., 267	6173
Bhugobutty Churn Rajpaye *v.* Sharoda Pershad Sookul	22 W. R., 298	5679
Bhugobutty Kooer *v.* Bholanath Thakoor	8 W. R., 317	667, 682
——— *v.* Gunesh Dutt	21 W. R., 308	4344
——— *v.* Kustooree Kooer	15 W. R., 272	6089
Bhugobutty Misrain *v.* Domun Misser	24 W. R., 365	2343
Bhugun *v.* Rumjan	24 W. R., 380	2847
Bhugwan *v.* Doyal Gope	10 W. R., Cr., 7	1264, 6262
——— *v.* Purmeshree	5 N. W., 179	181
Bhugwan Acharjee *v.* Gobind Sahoo	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 234 : 11 C. L. R., 355.	3998
Bhugwan Bhagut *v.* Jug Mohun Roy	20 W. R., 308	5181
Bhugwan Chunder Banerjee *v.* Dukhina Debia	8 W. R., 356	2744, 5762
Bhugwan Chunder Bose *v.* Bindoobashinee Dossee	6 W. R., 286	5684
Bhugwan Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Khosal	7 W. R., 271	5279
Bhugwan Chunder Dass *v.* Sudder Ally	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 41 : 2 C. L. R., 357.	5330
Bhugwan Chunder Dutt *v.* Meehoo Lall Chuckerbutty	17 W. R., 372	136
Bhugwan Chunder Ghose. In re	6 W. R., Mis., 15	1933
——— *v.* Rajeoomar Goho	13 W. R., 303	302
Bhugwan Chunder Roy *v.* Rajchunder Roy	9 W. R., 553	3327
Bhugwan Chunder Roy Chowdhry *v.* Jegur Khan	22 W. R., 456	6110
——— *v.* Manick Bibee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 383 : 11 C. L. R., 577.	4938
Bhugwan Dass *v.* Mahomed Jafer	4 N. W., 161	3887, 3964

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhugwan Dass Marwari *v.* Nund Lall Sein	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 173	193, 292 373
Bhugwandeen Doobey *v.* Myna Baee	9 W. R., P. C., 23: 11 Moore's I. A., 487.	1690, 2492 5144
Bhugwan Doss. In re	2 N., W. 113	6258
——— *v.* Behary Khan	Marsh., 191: 1 Hay, 437	3388
——— *v.* Hunnooman Pershad Sahoo	18 W. R., 184	1503
——— *v.* Nubee Buksh	7 W. R., 31	3918
——— *v.* Tekait Than Narain Deo	23 W. R., 309	2711
——— *v.* Upooch Singh	10 W. R., 185	472
Bhugwan Dutt Jha *v.* Sheo Mungul Singh	22 W. R., 256	1744
Bhugwan Dutt Misser *v.* Nowneedh Lall	25 W. R., 153	582
Bhugwantajah *v.* Ojoodhya Persad	1 Hay, 31	4964
Bhujanga Rau *v.* Ramayamma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 387	2494
Bhujang Mahadev *v.* Collector of Belgaum	11 Bom., 1	3271, 3412
Bhujangrav bin Davalatrav Ghorpade *v.* Malojirav bin Davalatrav Ghorpade.	5 Bom., A. C., 161	2322, 2323 2533
Bhujendro Bhusan Chatterjee *v.* Trigunanath Mookerjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 761	1428
Bhujhawan Lal *v.* Sukhraj Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 866	5619
Bhujjun Lall *v.* Gya Pershad	2 N. W., 446	2339
Bhujohuree Paul *v.* Huro Soonduree Debee	17 W., R., 530	3369
Bhujohurree Paramanick *v.* Sharoda Soonduree Dossee	8 W. R., 197	83
Bhujonanund Mytee *v.* Radha Churn Mytee	7 W. R., 335	1713, 2386
Bhujun Ram Tewaree *v.* Lalla Ajoodhya Pershad	2 W. R., Mis., 29	220
Bhukan Bhaibava *v.* Bhaiji Prag	1 Bom., 19	5375, 6142
Bhulabhai Gullabhai *v.* Modji Desaiji	5 Bom., A. C., 48	1787
Bhuli Singh *v.* Nehmu Behu	4 B. L. R., A. C., 29: 10 W. R., 302.	3376
Bhupal *v.* Jag Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 449	3890
Bhup Kuar *v.* Muhammadi Begam	I. L. R., 6 All., 37	3899
Bhurey Mal *v.* Nawal Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 259	4558
Bhurruck Chunder Sahoo *v.* Golam Shuruff	10 W. R., 458	2943, 3671
Bhurrut Thakoor *v.* Murtaza	21 W. R., 225	4301
Bhurth Singh *v.* Raghonath Suhai	3 Agra, 30	3955, 6125
Bhurut Pandey *v.* Munthoora Koer	23 W. R., 421	1453
Bhusun Parin *v.* Denonath Banerjee	20 W. R., Cr., 15	5995
Bhutnath Dey *v.* Ahmed Hossain	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 417	3690
Bhutoo Ram *v.* Puhul Chowdhry	7 W. R., 36	3333
Bhya Bhoopnath Sahee *v.* Kunwan	7 W. R., 134	838
Bhya Ram Singh *v.* Agar Singh	5 B. L. R., 293: 14 W. R., P. C., 1: 13 Moore's I. A., 373.	2295
Bhyranpershaud *v.* Isharee	3 N. W., 313	1363
Bhyro Dutt *v.* Lekhranee Kooer	16 W. R., 123	1697, 6094
Bhyroo Lall. In the matter of the petition of	14 W. R., Cr., 75	960
Bhyroo Raoot *v.* Anoorooded Deo Narain Singh	Marsh., 608	1197
Bhyro Pershad *v.* Basisto Narain Pandey	16 W. R., 31	2152, 5386
Bhyro Rai *v.* Golab Singh	3 Agra, 303	5636
Bhyro Singh *v.* Oodee Kurn Singh	12 W. R., 284	5076
Bhyrub Bharuttee. In the matter of the petition of	21 W. R., 340	670
Bhyrub Chunder *v.* Golap Coomary	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 517	167
Bhyrub Chunder Banerjee *v.* Ameeroodeen	17 W. R., 173	3075
——— *v.* Chairman of the Howrah Municipality.	17 W. R., 215	503
Bhyrub Chunder Bundopadhya *v.* Soudamini Dabee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 141	5416
Bhyrub Chunder Chunder *v.* Shama Soonderee Debia	6 W. R., Act X, 68	5925
Bhyrub Chunder Dass *v.* Kalee Chunder Chuckerbutty	16 W. R., 56	4782
Bhyrub Chunder Deb. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 131	3847

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhyrub Chunder Mojoomdar v. Huro Prosunno Bhuttacharjee.	17 W. R., 257	3837
——— v. ———	17 W. R., 258	1620
Bhyrub Chunder Mudduck v. Nuddiar Chand Pal	12 W. R., 291	1143, 1401, 1462
Bhyrub Chunder Surmah Chowdhry v. Madhubram Surmah.	11 B. L. R., 423 : 20 W. R., 84	5141
Bhyrub Jha v. Hunoomaun Dutt Jha	5 W. R., 123	383
Bhyrub Lall Bhukut v. Abdool Hossein	8 W. R., 93	900, 3264
Bhyrub Mundul v. Gungaram Banerjee	12 B. L. R., 290, note : 17 W. R., 408.	1183
Bhyrubnath Sandyal v. Hure Soonduree Dossee	W. R., 1864, Mis., 28	2777
Bhyrubnath Shaha v. Kunhya Lall Roy	20 W. R., 131	841
Bhyrub Nath Tye v. Kally Chunder Chowdhry	16 W. R., 112	1737, 5129
Bhyrub Roy v. Nobin Roy	9 W. R., 601	1756
Bhyrub Seal v. Queen	W. R., 1864, Cr., 27	5552
Bhyrub Sheet v. Khettur Mohun Gossain	5 W. R., 124	4911
Bhyrub Singh v. Jhogru Patnee	11 W. R., 506	5943
Bhyrub Sircar v. Sham Manjee	15 W. R., 70	4953
Bhyunkuree Dabee v. Tarinee Churn Chuckerbutty	7 W. R., 38	6125
Bibeejan v. Bhajul Singh	21 W., R., 438	6115
Bibeejaun v. Mahomed Hadee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40	2824
Bichook Nath Pandey v. Ram Lochun Singh	11 B. L. R., 135 : 19 W. R., 271.	2720
Bichook Panday v. Narain Dutt	1 N. W., 26 : Ed. 1873, 24	2977
Bichuk Aheer v. Anhuck Bhooneea	6 W. R., Cr., 5	5560
Biddell v. Chutterdharee Lall	12 W. R., 116	557
Biddomoye Dabee Dabee v. Sittaram	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 497 : 3 C. L. R., 398.	1129
——— v. Soobuldas Mullick	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 497 : 3 C. L. R., 398.	1129
Biddyadhur Mohapatro v. Syamanund Dey	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 385 : 8 C. L. R., 514.	4491
Bidhoo Beebee v. Keshub Chunder	9 W. R., 462	839
Bidhoo Bhooshun Mookerjee v. Issur Chunder Roy Chowdhry.	W. R., 1864, Mis., 4	672
Bidhoomokhee Dabee v. Sreenath Haldar	15 W. R., Cr., 4	6183
Bidhoo Mookhee Dossee v. Beejoy Keshub Roy	12 W. R., 495	1921
Bidhoomookhi Debi v. Nilmoney Sing Deo	1 C. L. R., 464	4336
Bidhu Bhushun Basu v. Komaraddi Mundul	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 864	1190
Bidhumukhi Dabea Chowdhrain v. Kefyutullah	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 93	3049, 5750
Bidhumukhi Debi. In re	6 B. L. R., Ap., 129	6183
Bidyamayi Debia Chowdhrain v. Ramlal Misser	8 B. L. R., Ap., 80 : 17 W. R., 148.	3820
Bidya Soonduree Dossee. In the matter of the petition of.	15 W. R., 267	2050
Bihans Kunwar v. Behari Lal	3 B. L. R., F. B., 15 : 11 W. R., F. B., 16 : S. C. on appeal, 10 B. L. R., 159 : 18 W. R., 157 : 14 Moore's I. A., 496.	486
Bihari Lal v. Kundan Lal	7 N. W., 103	3870, 4851
——— v. Juni	7 N. W., 108	2723
——— v. Madhusudun Kundu	2 B. L. R., O. C., 154	1054
Bihari Lal Nandi v. Trailakhomayi Barmani	3 B. L. R., A. C., 346 : 12 W. R., 223.	5125, 5145
Bihooram v. Allaho Kolita	1 B. L. R., S. N., 8	6263

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bijadhur Bhugut *v.* Monohur Bhugut	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 11	177, 1234 6078
Bijadhur Rai *v.* Empress	2 C. L. R., 211	4059
Bijai Bahadoor Singh *v.* Bhyron Bux Singh	6 C. L. R., 21	2056
Bijai Ram *v.* Kallu	I. L. R., 1 All., 592	3238
Bijee Kooer *v.* Damodur Dass	5 N. W., 55	311, 5710 5921
Bijjo Nath Sahoo *v.* Ramdour Roy	7 C. L. R., 369	583
Bijoi Kesal Roy *v.* Samasundari	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 172 : 2 W. R., Mis., 30.	1902
Bijoya Debia *v.* Bydonath Deb	24 W. R., 444	6112
Bijoy Gobind Naik *v.* Kalee Prosunno Naik	16 W. R., 294	158, 1489 3841
Bijoy Keshub Roy *v.* Obhoy Churn Ghose	16 W. R., 198	4560, 4561 4859
Bijoy Kishen Mookerjee *v.* Joy Kishen Mookerjee	12 W. R., 430	228
Bikan Singh *v.* Parbutty Kooer	22 W. R., 99	6031
Bika Singh *v.* Lachman Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 800	2182
Bikramajeet Lall *v.* Phoolbas Kooer	14 W. R., 340	902, 2346 2445, 2515 3160
Bikrmajit Singh *v.* Hussini Begam	I. L. R., 3 All., 643	246
Bikromajeet Mullo Ogalsundo Deb *v.* Court of Wards	21 W. R., 312	3858
Bilash *v.* Makroo	2 B. L. R., S. N., 15 : 10 W. R., Cr., 61.	957, 980
Bilash Koonwar *v.* Bhawanee Buksh Narain	W. R., 1864, 1	2342, 2357
Bilasmoni Dasi *v.* Sheopershad Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 664 : L. R., 9 I. A., 33 : 11 C. L. R., 215.	3069
Bilaso *v.* Dina Nath	I. L. R., 3 All., 88	2470
Bill. In re	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 390	4338
Billings *v.* Uncovenanted Service Bank	I. L. R., 3 All., 781	1109
Bimala Debi *v.* Tarasundari Debi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 101 : 14 W. R., 480.	2375, 3334
Bimaraz *v.* Papaya	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 46	4838
Bimola *v.* Daugoo Kansaree	19 W. R., 189	2457, 2462
Bimola Soondoree Dassee *v.* Kalee Kishen Mojoomdar	22 W. R., 5	197, 1879
Binayak Rai *v.* Luchmee Bai	3 Agra, 179	4536
Bindabasini Debi *v.* Patitparan Chattapadhya	3 B. L. R., A. C., 267	1165
Binda Bibee *v.* Lalla Gopeenath	14 B. L. R., 323 : 21 W. R., 66	447
Binda Bibi *v.* Lala Ramsaran Singh	1 B. L. R., S. N., 23	1378
Bindabun Chunder Dutt *v.* Dwarkanath Sen	23 W. R., Cr., 15	6163
Bindabun Chunder Shaha *v.* Janee Beebee	6 W. R., 312	3919
Bindabun Chunder Sircar *v.* Mackintosh	9 W. R., 442	1921
——— *v.* Roberts	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1004, note.	3834
Bindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdry *v.* Nobin Chunder Biswas.	17 W. G., 282	157
Bindachari *v.* Dracup	8 Bom., A. C., 202	1356, 4435
Binda Dabee *v.* Gopee Soonderee Dossia	6 W. R., Mis., 82	220
Binda Kuar *v.* Bhonda Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 660	1123
Binda Prasad *v.* Ahmad Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 368	1916
——— *v.* Madho Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 129	1495
Bindeshri Chaubey *v.* Nandu	I. L. R., 3 All., 456	232
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 4 All., 320	1227, 6082
Bindessuree Dutt Singh *v.* Doma Singh	9 W. R., 88	1620, 6242
Bindessuree Pershad *v.* Gujadhur Pershad	23 W. R., Cr., 1	4737

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bindobaseeny Dabee *v.* Bissomoye Dassee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 339	898
Bindoomadhub Mitter *v.* Woomes Chunder Paul	2 Hyde, 86	1214, 4521
Bindrabun Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Issur Chunder Biswas	W. R., 1864, Act X, 1	5175
Bindubashini Dasi *v.* Renny (Rainey)	7 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 15 W. R., 307.	3392, 4465
Binja Ram *v.* Rajmohun Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 282	1771, 5855
Binode Beharee Roy *v.* Masseyk	15 W. R., 493	1771, 3016, 3066
Binodee Laul Ghose *v.* Mackenzie	3 W. R., Act X, 157	1636
Binode Koomaree *v.* Purdhan Gopal	Marsh., 80: W. R., F. B., 26: 1 Hay, 148.	5071
Binode Lall Chatterjee *v.* Luckhee Monee Debia	4 W. R., 84	3371
Binode Lall Pakrashee *v.* Gireedhur Chuckerbutty	22 W. R., 392	900
Binode Ram Sein *v.* Deputy Commissioner of the Sonthal Pergunnahs.	6 W. R., 129: S. C. on review, 7 W. R., 178.	2038
Binoderam Sein *v.* Brohmo Moyee Debia	1 W. R., 168	777
Bipin Beharee Lukkun *v.* Ghasoo	11 W. R., 16	4191
Bipinbehari Chowdry *v.* Ram Chandra Roy	5 B. L. R., 234: 14 W. R., 12	4939
Bipin Behary Daw *v.* Sreedam Chunder Dey	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 42	1835
Bippropershad Mytee *v.* Kenae Doyee	3 W. R., 165: S. C. after remand, 5 W. R., 82.	2240
Bipro Chunder Joobraj *v.* Ram Lochun Deb	W. R., 1864, 121	186
Bipro Doss Dey *v.* Sakermonee Dossee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 38	1660
——— *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 655	2679, 4628
Biprodoss Dey *v.* Wollen	1 W. R., 223	4927
Biprodoss Gossain *v.* Chunder Sikur Buttacharjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 718: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 248: 7 W. R., 521.	3479, 3480, 3510
Bipro Pershad Mytee *v.* Kanye Deyee	1 W. R., 341	247, 3262
Bippropershad Mytee *v.* Kena Dayee	5 W. R., 82: S. C. before remand, 3 W. R., 165.	2342
Bipro Protap Sahu *v.* Deo Narain Roy	3 W. R., Mis., 16	414, 420
Birajan Kooer *v.* Ramchurn Lall Mahata	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 719: 9 C. L. R., 203.	218
Birajun Koer *v.* Luchmi Narain Mahata	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 302	2511
Birbal *v.* Tikuram	I. L. R., 4 All., 11	5086
Birch *v.* Furzind Ali	3 N. W., 303	2736, 6245
——— *v.* Ratiram	I. L. R., 4 All., 115	1481
Bir Chandra Roy Mahapatter *v.* Bansi Dhar Roy Mahapatter.	3 B. L. R., A. C., 214	326
Bir Chunder Manickya *v.* Hurrish Chunder Dass	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 383: 1 C. L. R., 585.	1734, 4964, 4966
——— *v.* Ishan Chunder Thakur	3 C. L. R., 417	2061, 2894
Bir Chunder Manikhya *v.* Ishan Chunder Tagore	12 C. L. R., 473	2895, 4968
Birchunder Manikya *v.* Mahomed Afsaroo	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 299	3915
Bireshuree Pershad. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 93	4727
Biressur Banerjee *v.* Onoodachurn Banerjee	3 W. R., 12	4468
Biressur Panrey *v.* Jogendro Chunder Deb	24 W. R., 261	4268, 4279
Birj Mohan Singh *v.* Collector of Allahabad	I. L. R., 4 All., 102	4079
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 4 All., 339	4079
Birjo Beharee Singh *v.* Wajid Hossein	14 W. R., 372	481
Birjobuttee *v.* Pertab Singh	3 W. R., P. C., 36: 8 Moore's I. A., 168.	4645
Birjoo Singh *v.* Khub Lall	19 W. R., Cr., 66	6066
Biroja Monee Barmonea *v.* Wooma Moyee Barmonea	7 W. R., 124	1890
Biru *v.* Khandu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 214	2310
Bisambhur Naik *v.* Sudasheeb Mahapattur	1 W. R., 96	2157

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bisandas valad Magniram v. Lakmichand Kisanchand	6 Bom., A. C., 150	747
Biseshur Bhuttacharjee v. Ramkishore Bhuttacharjee	1 Hay, 559	5267
Bishambhur Shaha v. Shib Chunder Shaha	22 W. R., 286	1167
Bishan Chand v. Ahmad Khan	I. L. R., 1 All., 263	3148
Bishan Dial v. Manniram	I. L. R., 1 All., 297	3998
Bisharut Ally v. Seetul Misser	1 N. W., 40	692
Bishen Chand v. Asmaida Koer	I. L. R., 6 All., 560: L. R., 11 I. A., 164.	2272, 2560
Bishen Chunder Sealah v. Gokool Chunder Lahata	5 W. R., 171	1401
Bishen Chunder Surma Chowdhry v. Munmohinee Dabee	8 W. R., 501	5417
Bishen Dayal v. Udit Narain	I. L. R., 8 All., 486	2713, 3888
Bishendyal Singh v. Khadeema	Marsh., 213: 1 Hay, 584	1786
——— v. Khudeemun	W. R., 1864, 359	5460
——— v. Probhoo Dass	1 W. R., 1	2985
Bishen Moyee Dossee v. Delshad Bibee	7 W. R., 112	4796
Bishenmun Singh v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 244: L. R., 12 I. A., 7.	1887, 4661
Bishenperkash Narain Singh v. Baboos Misser	8 W. R., 73	3147, 3249
Bishen Perkash Narain Singh v. Bawa Misser	12 B. L. R., 430: 20 W. R., 137	2151
Bishen Perkash Singh v. Ruttun Geer Chela	20 W. R., 3	313, 6234
Bishen Sahaye Singh v. Beer Kishore Singh	8 W. R., 296	4424, 6274
Bisheshar Kuar v. Hari Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 42	5969
Bisheshar Singh v. Laik Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 257	3998
——— v. Sugundhi	I. L. R., 1 All., 366	216
Bisheshur v. Matagholam	2 N. W., 300	2443
Bisheshur Bhuttacharjie v. Lamb	21 W. R., 22	1848
Bisheshur Mookerjee v. Judoonath Bose	W. R., 1864, 48	1709
Bisheshur Pershad v. Ram Churun	5 N. W., 25	3869
Bisheshur Roy Chowdhry v. Bisheshur Bose	8 W. R., 277	836
Bisheswar Shaha v. Bishwambhur Sircar	23 W. R., Cr., 65	963
Bishessuree Debia v. Mohun Chunder Bose	5 W. R., Mis., 35	3834
Bisheswar Chakravarti v. Shitul Chundra Chakravarti	8 W. R., 13	2468
Bisheswari Debya v. Govind Persad Tewari	L. R., 3 I. A., 194: 26 W. R., 32.	474, 1501
Bishoka Dasia v. Anunto Lall Pain	4 C. L. R., 65	375
Bishnath. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 All., 318	4847
Bishnath Chowdhry v. Radha Churn Gangooly	20 W. R., 465	4202
Bishno Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Shoshee Mohun Pal Chowdhry.	22 W. R., 277	5922, 5925
Bishnoo Dass v. Mungul Dass	24 W. R., 203	666
Bishnoo Pershad Bunnick v. Ram Coomar Deb	22 W. R., 2	4860
Bishonath Dey Roy v. Chunder Mohun Dutt Biswas	23 W. R., 165	701, 6031
Bishonath Doss v. Ahmed Ali	W. R., 1864, Mis., 19	219
Bishonath Mookerjee v. Kisto Mohun Mookerjee	7 W. R., 483	3950
Bishonath Neogy v. Huro Gobind Neogy	5 W. R., 27	5089
Bishonath Rukhit v. Ramdhone Sircar	11 W. R., 42	3770
Bishonath Sircar. In the matter of	3 W. R., Cr., 63	21
Bishoo Barik. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 77	979, 1958
Bishooroop Hazrah v. Damonotee Debia	15 W. R., 537	2883
Bishto Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Woomanath Roy Chowdhry.	15 W. R., 459	841
Bishtoo Churn Bhoosun v. Kishen Gopal Misser	13 W. R., 207	403
Bishtu Narayan Bandopadhya v. Ganga Narayan Biswas.	3 B. L. R., A. C., 40: 11 W. R., 368.	208
Bishumber Dey Poddar v. Hungsheshur Mookerjee	4 C. L. R., 34	3304
Bishunath v. Ilahi Baksh	I. L. R., 5 All., 277	2812
Bishun Coomar Roy v. Hurish Chunder Deb Roy	2 W. R., 209	996

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bisnoo Chundor Biswas *v.* Toyluck Nath Banerjee	6 W. R., Mis., 28	3815
Bisokha Moyee Chowdhrain *v.* Sonatun Doss	16 W. R., 14	5409
Bisram Mahton *v.* Sahib-un-nissa	I. L. R., 3 All., 333	5472
Bisram Singh *v.* Inderjeet Koonwar	2 W. R., Mis., 49	214
Bisram Singh *alias* Bishen Singh *v.* Inderjeet Koonwar	6 W. R., 2	772, 4138, 5212
Bissambhur Banerjee *v.* Koylash Chunder Bose	23 W. R., 388	4208
Bissambhur Shaha *v.* Shib Chunder Shaha	22 W. R., 286	3233
Bissen Chand *v.* Rajendro Kishore Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 302	1698
Bissen Doss Singh *v.* Sheo Pershad Singh	5 C. L. R., 29	3933
Bissendoyal *v.* Schlaepfer	22 W. R., 68	4799
Bissen Lall Dass *v.* Khyrunissa Begum	1 W. R., 79	1992
Bissoshur Narain Mahtah. In the matter of the petition of.	8 W. R., Cr., 83	4491
Bisseshur Roy *v.* Hurpershad Singh	11 W. R., Cr., 1	968
Bissessur Bhugut *v.* Murli Sahu	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 163 : 11 C. L. R., 409.	3241, 3355, 3433, 4977
Bissessur Chatterjee. In the matter of the petition of	16 W. R., Cr., 1	503
Bissessur Chuckerbutty *v.* Ramjoy Mozoomdar	2 W. R., 326	4160
——— *v.* Seetul Chunder Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., 69	2477
——— *v.* Woomachurn Roy	7 W. R., 44	1617, 4169
——— *v.* ———	9 W. R., 122	1655
Bissessur Doss *v.* Joykishore Doss	25 W. R., 223	6031
Bissessuree Debee *v.* Jankee Doss	1 W. R., 162	1674
Bissessuree Debia *v.* Gobind Pershad Tewaree	21 W. R., 398	472, 474, 1501
——— *v.* Sookram Doss	15 W. R., 347	4716
Bissessuree Dossee *v.* Kalee Koomar Roy	18 W. R., 198	33, 4471
Bissessur Gir *v.* Sreekishen Shaha Chowdhry	24 W. R., 440	3301, 3317
Bissessuri Dabeea *v.* Baroda Kanta Roy Chowdhry	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1076	1428
Bissessuri Debia *v.* Gobind Prasad Tewari	L. R., 3 I. A., 194 : 26 W. R., 32.	474, 1501
Bissessur Kurmokar *v.* Juggobundoo Kurmokar	14 W. R., 183	1171
Bissessur Lall Sahoo *v.* Luchmessur Singh	L. R., 6 I. A., 233 : 5 C. L. R., 477.	1897, 2347
——— *v.* Ramtuhul Singh	11 B. L. R., 121 : 19 W. R., 351.	5492, 6150
Bissessur Mullick *v.* Dhiraj Mahatab Chand	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 967 : 10 W. R., F. B., 8.	3493
Bissessur Nath *v.* Mohessur Bux Singh Bahadoor	11 B. L. R., 265 : 18 W. R., 160 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 34.	37, 1343
Bissessur Roy *v.* Kanchun Roy	11 W. R., 155	159
Bissessur Singh *v.* Gunput Singh	8 C. L. R., 113	5033
——— *v.* Kurmofool	2 Hay, 408	4002
——— *v.* Nim Chand Bose	12 W. R., 505	4346
Bisseswar Panday *v.* Bhagwan Das	3 B. L. R., A. C., 30 : 12 W. R., 176.	5487
Bissnoscondery Dabee *v.* Burrodacaunt Roy	2 Moore's I. A., 127	4644
Bissomoyee Dossee *v.* Mackintosh	2 Hay, 14	3012
Bissonath Chatterjee *v.* Madhubmonee Dabee	15 W. R., 511	1231
Bissonath Chunder *v.* Radhakristo Mundul	11 W. R., 554	3550
Bissonath Deb *v.* Hurro Pershad Chowdry	2 W. R., Act X, 88	562, 2691
Bissonath Dinda *v.* Doyaram Jana	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 738 : 5 C. L. R., 565.	6198
Bissonath Ruckitt *v.* Gunnesh Chunder Dey	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 203	4263
Bissonath Singh *v.* Brojonath Doss	6 W. R., 230	4002

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bissonath Singh v. Rajchunder Roy	W. R., 1864, 141	4820
Bissonath Sircar v. Shurnomoyee	4 W. R., 6	6285
——— v. Taraprosonno Mozoomdar	22 W. R., 482	5751, 5756 5762
Bissonath Surma v. Shoodhamookee	11 B. L. R., Ap., 1 : 20 W. R., 1.	332
Bissonath Surma Bhuttacharjee v. Moran	W. R., 1864, 353	481
Bissonath Chunder v. Bamasoondery Dossee	12 Moore's I. A., 41	2551
Bissonauth Mojoomdhur v. Khettur Chunder Sen	Marsh., 467	776
Bissonauth Roy v. Lall Bahadoor Singh	1 W. R., 247	4155
Bissonauth Tewarry v. Koylashbany Narain Singh	2 Hay, 297	1925
Bissoroop Dutt v. Binode Ram Sein	W. R., 1864, Act X, 93	4936
Bissorup Gossamy v. Gorachand Gossamy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 120	5063
Bissumbhur Poorkaet v. Bhuggobutty Churn Poorkaet	W. R., 1864, 292	2981
Bissumbhur Shaha. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 484 : 6 W. R., Cr., 7.	5578
Bissumbhur Shi v. Bukto Bedarul Hossein	17 W. R., 406	3301
Bissumbhur Sircar v. Soorodhuny Dossee	3 W. R., 21	2343, 3157
Bissundeu Narain Shahee v. Gungerkissen Shahee	2 Hay, 351	4404
Bissuram Koiree v. Empress	3 C. L. R., 410	149
Bistobehari Sahoy v. Lala Baijnath Prasad	7 B. L. R., 213 : 16 W. R., 49	2192
Bistoo Chunder Banerjee v. Nithoremonee Dabee	10 B. L. R., 352 : 19 W. R., 98	1148
Bistoo Pershad Burral v. Radha Soonder Nath	16 W. R., 115	2296
——— v. ———	16 W. R., 3[illegible]4	2438
Bistoo Pershad Chuckerbutty v. Ishan Chunder Roy	23 W. R., 57	1873
Bistooprea Patmohadea v. Basoodeb Dul Bewartee Patnaik.	2 W. R., 232	2227
Bistoopria Putmadaye v. Nund Dhul	13 Moore's I. A., 602	4642
Bistuprya Patmadayi v. Basudeb Dhall Bewarti Patnaik.	6 B. L. R., 190 : 15 W. R., P. C., 19.	4642
Biswanath Maiti v. Baidyanath Mandul	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 199	2773, 5774
Biswanath v. Braja Mohan Chuckerbutty	1 B. L. R., S. N., 1 : 10 W. R., 61.	4460
Biswanath Bhuttacharjee v. Collector of Mymensing.	7 B. L. R., Ap., 42 : 21 W. R., 69, note.	1175
Biswanath Chandra v. Khantomani Dasi	6 B. L. R., 747	2209
Biswanath Chunder v. Khantamani Dasi	7 B. L. R., 131	3363, 6186
——— v. ———	9 B. L. R., 76	700
Biswanath Mukhopadhya v. Gossain Dass Baramadak	3 B. L. R., Ap., 140	3916
Biswanath Rakhit v. Ramdhan Sirkar	6 B. L. R., 375, note	3770
Biswa Sonan Chunder Gossyamy v. Binanda Chunder Dibingar Adhikar Gossyamy.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 416	788, 1941
Bithal Das v. Harphul	I. L. R., 6 All., 503	4089
Bithul Bhut v. Lalla Rajkishore	2 Agra, 284	2053, 3256
Bittan. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 357	2071
Bitthul Nath v. Toolsee Ram	1 Agra, 125	3968
Biyacha v. Moidin Kutti	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 70	3744, 5568
Biyogi Bhagut. In the matter of	4 C. L. R., 134	979, 1959
Bizjie Bibee v. Monohur Doss	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 118	1459, 2740, 2741, 4411
Bjorck v. Madras Railway Company	2 Mad., 102, note	730
Blackwell. In re	9 Bom., 461	2657
Blackwell & Co. v. Jones & Co.	7 Bom., O. C., 144	729
——— v. Sumar Ahmed	6 Bom., O. C., 88	5712
Blaquiere v. Ramdhone Doss	Bourke, O. C., 319	1709, 2823 4258

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bocha Gope Chowdhry v. Brajagabind Das	9 B. L. R., Ap., 26 : 18 W. R., 259.	5976
Bodh Mull v. Gouree Sunkur	6 W. R., 16	2080
Bodhnarain Singh v. Rungo Lall Mundur	7 W. R., 190	1624
Bodh Narayan Singh v. Umrao Singh	6 B. L. R., 509 : 15 W. R., P. C., 1 : 13 Moore's I. A., 519.	1743, 3562
Bodhrao Hunmont v. Nursing Rao	6 Moore's I. A., 426	2454
Bodh Singh v. Lochun Singh	3 Agra, 220	81
Bodh Sing Doodhooria v. Gunesh Chunder Sen	12 B. L. R., 317 : 19 W. R., 356.	146, 486 1763, 2363
Bodi Ramayya v. Perma Janakiramudu	5 Mad., 172	4055
Bodru Rohman. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 508	741, 2645
Bodry. In the matter of	5 B. L. R., 309	2658
Bodun Burooah v. Abdul Gunny	19 W. R., 281	4919
Boevey. In re	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 635	3859
Boga Kolita v. Thoolessur Kayasta	24 W. R., 357	6103
Bohurconissa Bibee v. Kureemoonissa Khatoon	19 W. R., 18	1226
Boiddonath Bania v. Bheedoo Doss	9 W. R., Cr., 3	6253
Boiddonath Shah v. Lalunnissa Bibee	7 W. R., 164	3288
Boidonath Dey v. Ramkishore Dey	10 B. L. R., 326, note : 13 W. R., 166.	2089
Boidonath Maji Koyburto v. Aupurna Dabee	10 C. L. R., 15	3037
Boidonath Mashanta v. Laidlay	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 433	1638
Boidonath Parooye v. Russick Lall Mitter	9 W. R., 274	5766
Boikuntonath Sett v. Russick Loll Burmono	10 W. R., 231	329, 4823
Boikunto Paraki v. Surendro Nath Roy	1 W. R., 84	9
Boileau v. Miller	10 C. L. R., 591	2640
Boistub Churn Bhudro v. Tara Chand Banerjee	11 W. R., 357	5328
Boistub Churn Digputty v. Gobind Pershad Tewaree	13 W. R., 203	4757, 4758
Boistub Churn Doss v. Prem Chand Mitter	4 W. R., 98	517, 3350
Boistub Churn Sein v. Trahee Ram Sein	15 W. R., 32	4167, 5077
Boistub Doss Koondoo v. Huro Narain Haldar	17 W. R., 408	5785, 6100
Bolakee Lal v. Chowdhry Bungsee Singh	7 W. R., 309	4890, 5426
Bolakee Lall v. Mahomed Hossein Khan	14 W. R., 63	988, 5977
——— v. Monjee Lall	17 W. R., 163	5134
——— v. Thakoor Pertam Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 928 : 6 C. L. R., 370.	3914
Bolakee Sahoo v. Court of Wards	14 W. R., 34	1248, 2367
Bolakun. In re	6 W. R., Mis., 121	279
Boley Dobey v. Sideswar Rao Baboo Roy Kur	4 B. L. R., Ap. 92 : 14 W. R., 437, note.	2720
Bollye Satee v. Akram Ally	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 961	4249, 5183
Boloram Gooy v. Kameenee Dossee	4 W. R., 108	1998
Bolye Chund Dutt v. Khetterpaul Bysack	11 B. L. R., 459	2507
——— v. Punchanun Chobay	W. R., 1864, Act X, 84	1390
Bolye Chund Singh v. Moulard	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 572	2741, 5990
Bolye Meah v. Khetoo Gorai	20 W. R., 208	2740
Bomanjee Muncherjee v. Hossain Abdoolla	5 W. R., P. C., 61 : 1 Moore's I. A., 494.	1752
Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Co. v. Tapidas Govindbhai.	6 Bom., A. C., 116	1380
Bombay-Burmah Trading Corporation v. Dorabji Cursetji.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 415	932
Bombay-Burmah Trading Corporation v. Mahomed Ali Sheragee.	10 B. L. R., 345 : 19 W. R., 123.	58, 1369

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bombay-Burmah Trading Corporation *v.* Mahomed Ally.	I. L. R., 4 Cal., 116	1381
Bombay City Bank *v.* Moonjee Hurri Doss	Bourke, O. C., 274	588
——— *v.* Moonjee Hurrydoss	Bourke, A. O. C., 99	6228
Bombay Coast and River Steam Navigation Co. *v.* Heleux.	4 Bom., O. C., 149	2804, 2833
Bombay Joint Stock Corporation. In the matter of	6 Bom., O. C., 22	5641
Bombay Tramway Company *v.* Khairaj Tejpall	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 119	3789
Bommaraujoe Bahadoor. In re	5 Moore's I. A., 298	4641
Bommarauze Bahadur *v.* Rangasamy Mudaly	6 Moore's I. A., 232	2703, 6241
Bona Koeree *v.* Boolee Singh	8 W. R., 182	2390
Bonomalee Bazadar *v.* Kylash Chunder Mojoomdar	24 W. R., 72	320, 5194
Bonomalee Churn Mytee *v.* Hafizuddeen	13 B. L. R., 247, note: 12 W. R., 317.	4897, 6114
——— *v.* Shoroop Hootait	14 W. R., 60	4904, 6110 6174
Bonomalee Ghose *v.* Delu Sirdar	24 W. R., 118	3035
Bonomalee Nag *v.* Koylash Chunder Dey	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 692	1695
Bonomali Bajadur *v.* Koylash Chunder Mojoomdar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 135	3009
Bonomali Mozumdar *v.* Woomesh Chunder Bundopadhya	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 730: 9 C. L. R., 341.	5483
Bonomally Deo *v.* Ram Sodoy Chuckerbutty	17 W. R., 95	4747
Bonomally Kesh *v.* Hungshessur Roy	17 W. R., 492	80, 81
Bonomally Nawn *v.* Campbell	10 B. L. R., 193: 19 W. R., 20.	5712
Booa Russoolee *v.* Nawab Nazim of Bengal	11 W. R., 382	482, 4471 4981
Boodha Mirdha *v.* Khyrut Ali	5 W. R., 269	4479
Boodhee *v.* Allee Hyder	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 271	5918
Boodhoo Jolaha *v.* Empress	2 C. L. R., 215	5574
Boodhoo Rae *v.* Lal Beebee	2 N. W., 126	2331
Boodhoo Roy *v.* Ramdyal Singh	18 W. R., Cr., 55	973
Boodhun *v.* Boodhun Singh	21 W. R., 156	6032
——— *v.* Jan Khan	13 W. R., 265	3693
Boodhun Singh *v.* Luteefun	22 W. R., 535	699, 6032
Boolakee Lall *v.* Radha Singh	22 W. R., 223	2722
Bool Chand Jha *v.* Luthoo Moodee	23 W. R., 387	5343
Bool Chand Kalta *v.* Janokee	24 W. R., 228	2229
Bool Chand Kollta *v.* Janokee	25 W. R., 386	5096
Boolee Singh *v.* Busunt Kooeree	8 W. R., 155	1414, 2120 2141
——— *v.* Hurobuns Narain Singh	7 W. R., 212	3113, 4174
Boolinisharee Bibee *v.* Bukaoollah	17 W. R., 140	3695
Booliroonnissa Bibee *v.* Kureemoonnissa Khatoon	21 W. R., 230	901
Boologam *v.* Swornam	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 330	2372
Boondi Roy *v.* Bunsee Thakoor	24 W. R., 64	3446
Booniadi Lall *v.* Dewkee Nundun Lall	19 W. R., 223	481, 2354
Boonjad Mathoor *v.* Nathoo Shahoo	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 375: 1 C. L. R., 455.	173, 377
Bootee Singh *v.* Moorut Singh	20 W. R., 478	5196
Boro Khasia *v.* Jata Sirdar	8 B. L. R., 78: 15 W. R., 315	332
Borradaile *v.* Gregory	2 W. R., Act X, 63	5334
——— *v.* ———	Bourke, Ex., O. C., 1	6019
Borrodaile *v.* Chainsook Buxyram	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 71: 1 Hyde, 51.	5879
Bowles *v.* Bowles	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 571	4531
Bowman *v.* Shawe	10 W. R., 240	5658

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Boya Munigadu *v.* Queen	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 33	1332
Boydonath *v.* Ramjoy Dey	9 W. R., 292	1438, 1628
Boydonath Bag *v.* Grish Chunder Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 26	335, 1457, 4295
Boydonath Shaw *v.* Sumbhoo Ramnutee	25 W. R., 59	1899
Boydonath Surmah *v.* Ojan Bibee	11 W. R., 238	877, 4398
Boykant Ram Sahoo *v.* Poorno Chunder Dass	W. R., 1864, Act X, 97	5733
Boykunt Nath Bhooya *v.* Rám Nath Bhooya	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 9	3353
Boykuntnath Chatterjee *v.* Ameeroonissa Khatoon	2 W. R., 191	5346
Bradley *v.* Atkinson	I. L. R., 7 All., 596; and on appeal, I. L. R., 7 All., 899	3051
——— *v.* Jameson	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 580: 11 C. L. R., 414.	3623, 4096, 5946
Brae *v.* Kumul Shaha	4 W. R., Act X, 5	2980
——— *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 338	5289
Brahannayaki *v.* Krishna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 92	3545, 4886
Brahma Mayi Debi *v.* Barkat Sirdar	4 B. L. R., F. B., 94	4952, 5831
Brahmaputra Tea Company *v.* Scarth	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 545	1112
Brajabehari *v.* Kamal Roy	1 B. L. R., S. N., 1	3175
Braja Bhukan Lal Ahusti *v.* Bichan Dobi	9 B. L. R., 204, note: 14 W. R., 330.	2337
Braja Kishor Mitter Mozumdar *v.* Radha Gobind Dutt	3 B. L. R., A. C., 435: 12 W. R., 339.	2294
Braja Kishor Sen *v.* Kasim Ali	3 B. L. R., Ap., 78	3810
Braja Kishor Surma *v.* Kirti Chandra Surma	7 B. L. R., 19: 15 W. R., 247	3717
Brajalal Roy *v.* Sayama Charan Bhutt	6 B. L. R., 523: 15 W. R., 20.	4266, 4935
Brajanath Baisakh *v.* Matilal Baisakh	3 B. L. R., O. C., 92	2195
Brajanath Dey Sirkar *v.* Anandamayi Dasi	8 B. L. R., 208	2564, 4672
Brajanath Dhar *v.* Bhabo Mohun Dhar	6 B. L. R., Ap., 137	1240
Brajanath Kundu Chowdhry *v.* Gobindmani Dasi	4 B. L. R., O. C., 83	1666, 1904
——— *v.* Khilat Chandra Ghose	8 B. L. R., 104: 14 Moore's I. A., 144: 16 W. R., P. C., 33.	3389, 3997, 4470
——— *v.* Lakhi Narayan Addi	7 B. L. R., 211	6005
——— *v.* Lowther	9 B. L. R., 121	1591
Braja Nath Kundu Chowdry *v.* Stewart	8 B. L. R., Ap., 51: 16 W. R., 216.	53
Brajanath Pal Chowdry *v.* Hiralal Pal	1 B. L. R., A. C., 87: 10 W. R., 120.	9
Brajanath Pyne. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., O. C., 60: 12 W. R., 386, note.	4800
Brajaraj Kisori Dasi *v.* Mohammed Salem	1 B. L. R., A. C., 152	5380, 5394
Braja Sundari Debi *v.* Lachmi Kunwari	2 B. L. R., A. C., 155: 11 W. R., 13.	3165, 3384
Brajeshware Peshakar *v.* Budhanuddi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 268: 7 C. L. R., 6.	1750, 4142
Brammamyi Bewa. In re	9 B. L. R., 109, note: 14 W. R., 252.	1591
Brammamayi Dasi *v.* Abhai Charan Chowdhry	7 B. L. R., 489: 16 W. R., 164.	2213, 3289, 3438
Bramamayi Dasi *v.* Jages Chandra Dutt	8 B. L. R., 400	2565
Bramanund Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 233	1955
Brammoye Dassee *v.* Kristo Mohun Mookerjee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 222	4975
Branson *v.* Municipal Commissioners, Madras	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 362	3575
Brass *v.* Tiruvengada Pillai	1 Mad., 247	1216, 6227
Breen *v.* Balfour	Bourke, O. C., 120	632, 3309

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
"Brenhilda," The, *v.* British India S. N. Co.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 547	276
Brereton. In re the goods of	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 381	6064
Brett *v.* Ellaiya	12 W. R., P. C., 33: 13 Moore's I. A., 104.	2057, 5103 5108, 5633
—— *v.* Schonerstedt	2 Hyde, 1	2672
Briant. In the goods of	4 B. L. R., Ap., 49	3084
Brice *v.* Toogood	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 18	5695
Bridge *v.* Edalji Mancharji	10 Bom., 54	5662
Brijbhookun *v.* Bhyrow Dutt	3 Agra, 240	5191
Brijbhookun Lall Awustee *v.* Mahadeo Doobey	15 B. L. R., 145, note: 17 W. R., 422.	1674, 2519
Brijessuree Dossia *v.* Kishore Doss	25 W. R., 316	1477, 1899 4342
Brij Indar Bahadur Singh *v.* Jankee Koer	L. R., 5 I. A., 1: 1 C. L. R., 318.	5504
Brij Mohan Lal *v.* Abul Hasan Khan	I. L. R., 7 All., 775	3709
Brijnath Dass *v.* Juggernath Dass	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 742	5610
Brijo Beharee Sing *v.* Wajed Hossein	14 W. R., 372	475
Brijo Coomar Mullick *v.* Ram Buksh Chatterjee	1 W. R., Mis., 1	3536
Brijokishore Bhuttacharjee *v.* Ooma Soonduree Debia	23 W. R., 37	1183
Brijolall Upadhya *v.* Motee Soonderee	W. R., F. B., 33	202
Brijo Mohun Sutputty *v.* Radha Mohun Dey	20 W. R., 179	4006
Brijonath Chowdry *v.* Lall Meeah Munneepooree	14 W. R., 391	1683, 1747 3506
Brijonath Dass *v.* Juggernath Dass	4 C. L. R., 122	5610
Brijoo Ram Misser *v.* Bhugwan Doss	7 W. R., 180	4860
Brij Soondur Roy *v.* Kaimoonnissa	23 W. R., 63	296, 299
Brindabun Bharotee *v.* Dhununjoy Narain Bhunjo Deo	18 W. R., 452	293
Brindabun Chandra Kurmokar *v.* Chundra Kurmokar	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 140	2438, 5099
Brindabun Chandra Shaw. In the matter of the petition of.	1 B. L. R., O. C., 47	4801
Brindabun Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Brindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdhry.	8 W. R., 507	5312
—— *v.* Ram Coomar Chowdhry.	1 W. R., 139	1198
Brindabun Chunder Dass. In the matter of the petition of.	19 W. R., Cr., 29	5542
Brindabun Chunder Roy *v.* Municipal Commissioners of Serampore.	19 W. R., 309	502, 505 2847
—— *v.* Tarachand Bandopadhya	11 B. L. R., 237: 20 W. R., 114.	4957
Brindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdhry *v.* Bhoopal Chunder Biswas.	17 W. R., 377	3419, 3425 4473
Brindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdhry *v.* Brindabun Chunder Dey Chowdhry.	13 B. L. R., 408: 21 W. R., 324: L. R., 1 I. A., 178.	5312
Brindabun Chunder Sirkar *v.* Dhununjoy Nushkur	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 246: 4 C. L. R., 443.	539, 4977 5102
Brindabun Dey *v.* Bisona Bibee	13 B. L. R., 200, note: 13 W. R., 107.	1647, 4910
Brindabun Dutt. In the matter of the petition of	21 W. R., Cr., 24	4098
—— *v.* Beharee Mohun Sen	24 W. R., 442	572
—— *v.* Dwarkanath Sein	22 W. R., Cr., 47	2924
Brindabun Ghose. In the goods of	11 B. L. R., Ap., 39: 19 W. R., 230.	1237
Brindabun Shaha. In the matter of	10 W. R., Cr., 41	4725
Brinda Chowdhrain *v.* Radhica Chowdhrain	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 492	4667
Brinda Dabee Chowdhrain *v.* Pearee Lall Chowdhry	9 W. R., 460	1414, 3397

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Brinda Debee Dossee *v.* Gopee Soonduree Dossia	6 W. R., Mis., 82	5475
British India Steam Navigation Co. *v.* Ibrahim Moosum	8 W. R., 35	596, 602
——— *v.* Mahomed Esack & Co.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 107	602, 3273, 3342
Brito *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 251	5499
Broadhead *v.* Broadhead	5 B. L. R., Ap., 9	1552
Brohmomoyee *v.* Kashi Chunder Sen	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 266: 10 C. L. R., 91.	3852
Brohmo Moyee Chowdhrani *v.* Chittur Monee Chowdhrani.	8 W. R., 25	85
Brohmo Moyee Dabee *v.* Burkut Sirdar	12 W. R., F. B., 25	4953
——— *v.* ———	13 W. R., 264	231
Brojender Coomar *v.* Bromomoye Chowdhrani	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 885: 3 C. L. R., 520.	5841
Brojender Narain Roy *v.* Kassessur Roy	1 W. R., Mis., 15	3775
Brojendra Coomar Bhoomick *v.* Woopendra Narain Singh.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 706	1623, 3015
Brojendra Kumar Rai Chowdhuri. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 515	2606, 5960
——— *v.* Ruplall Doss	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 515	2606, 5960
Brojendro Coomar Chowdhry *v.* Ram Coomar Holdar	13 W. R., 32	2890
Brojendro Coomar Roy. In the matter of the petition of.	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 728: 7 W. R., 529.	3151
——— *v.* Krishna Coomar Ghose	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 684: 9 C. L. R., 444.	215, 3799
——— *v.* Madhub Chunder Ghose	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 343	3833, 4185
——— *v.* Rakhal Chunder Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 791	552
Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry *v.* Chairman of the Dacca Municipality	20 W. R., 223	147
——— *v.* Radha Gobindo Shah.	1 W. R., 235	4173
——— *v.* Wise	19 W. R., 130	5130
Brojendro Koomar Rai Chowdhry *alias* Dighoo Baboo. In the matter of the petition of.	17 W. R., Cr., 35	4730
Brojendro Kumar Roy Chowdhry *v.* Bungo Chunder Mundul.	12 C. L. R., 389	569, 5194
——— *v.* Rash Behary Roy Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 300	1147
Brojendro Narain Roy *v.* Binode Ram Sein	11 W. R., 269	3496
——— *v.* Busunto Coomar Ghose	13 W. R., 300	88
Brojendur Roy Chowdhry *v.* Jugurnath Roy	6 W. R., 147	5484
Brojeshwari Dasi *v.* Guroo Churn Das	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 735	249, 4345
Brojeswari Chowdhranee *v.* Tripoora Soondaree Debi	3 C. L. R., 513	1929
Brojo Beharee Sahoy *v.* Kewalram	10 W. R., 5	3175
Brojo Behari Mitter *v.* Kedar Nath Mozumdar	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 580	5064
Brojo Coomar Mullick *v.* Mon Mohinee Debea	16 W. R., 55	403, 5295
Brojo Coomar Sen *v.* Eshan Chunder Das	3 C. L. R., 79	308
Brojo Gobind Shaha *v.* Goluck Chunder Shaha *alias* Goluck Shaha.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 127	5841, 5855
Brojokanth Chuckerbutty *v.* Panee Madhub Dischit	23 W. R., 434	4271
Brojo Kishoree Chowdhrain *v.* Bilash Monee Chowdhrain.	5 W. R., 195	1984
Brojokishoree Chowdhrain *v.* Khema Soonduree Dossee	7 W. R., 409	2752, 4042
Brojo Kishoree Dassee *v.* Sreenath Bose	9 W. R., 463	1412, 1422, 2111, 2296, 4153
Brojo Kishoree Dossia *v.* Mahomed Suleem	10 W. R., 151	5380, 5394

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Brojokishore Gugendar Mohapattur v. Hureekishen Doss	10 W. R., 57	2201
Brojo Kishore Nag v. Ram Dyal Bhudra	21 W. R., 133	3249
Brojo Kishore Rakhit v. Bashi Mundul	21 W. R., 251	535
Brojokishore Roy v. Madhub Persad Misser	12 B. L. R., 456, note: 17 W. R., 373.	2721
Brojo Kishore Sein v. Kassim Ali	11 W. R., 562	3810
Brojo Kishore Singh v. Bharut Singh Mohaputtur	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 963	2934
Brojo Kissoree Dossee v. Sreenath Bose	8 W. R., 241	2479
Brojolall Baj Pye v. Umritolall Baj Pye	Marsh., 163	171
Brojolall Mookerjee v. Aughor Lall Ghosal	25 W. R., 71	5778
Brojolall Puramanick v. Ram Tadun Gossain	10 W. R., 127	3505
Brojo Lall Roy v. Khettur Nath Mitter	12 W. R., 55	4985
Brojo Lall Sandyal v. Bhobo Soonduree Debia Chowdrain	17 W. R., 499	4140
Brojo Lal Singh v. Gour Charan Sen	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 111	3440
Brojo Misser v. Ahladi Misran	13 B. L. R., 376: 21 W. R., 320	579
Brojo Mohun Bhutto v. Radhika Prosunno Chunder	13 C. L. R., 139	3256
Brojo Mohun De Sircar v. Dengu	7 C. L. R., 141	540
Brojo Mohun Doss v. Hurro Loll Doss	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 700	6053
——— v. ———	6 C. L. R., 58	5210, 5533
Brojomohun Ghose v. Luchmun Singh	W. R., 1864, 83	2386
Brojomohun Mojoomdar v. Roodro Nath Surmah Mojumdar.	15 W. R., 192	1457, 1899, 2092
Brojomohun Mytee v. Radhakoomaree	W. R., 1864, 60	4160
Brojo Mohun Mytee v. Swayne	1 Hay, 297	3792
Brojomohun Sutputty v. Shooda Monee Dabee	8 W. R., 79	4949
Brojo Mohun Thakoor. In the matter of the petition of	20 W. R., 424	5450
——— v. Ameenooddeen	20 W. R., 424	5450
——— v. Gouree Pershad Chowdhry	15 W. R., 70	2296
Brojonath Dey v. Shumboo Chunder Chatterjee	18 W. R., 25	5680
Brojonath Dutt v. Joykishen Mookerjee	4 W. R., 69	2973
Brojonath Ghose v. Koylash Chunder Banerjee	9 W. R., 593	2021
Brojonath Koondoo Chowdhry v. Gopeenath Shaha	17 W. R., 183	1591
——— v. Jumeeroonissa Bibee	7 W. R., 218	5120
——— v. Unant Ram Dutt	17 W. R., 449	4
Brojo Nath Kundu v. Stewart	8 B. L. R., Ap., 51; 16 W. R., 216.	1591
Brojonath Mitter. In the matter of	13 W. R., 301	2878
Brojonath Mookhopadhya v. Protap Chunder Thakoor	22 W. R., 296	4897
Brojo Nath Pal v. Dasmony Dassee	2 C. L. R., 589	217
Brojo Nath Paul Chowdhry v. Bimola Soonduree Dossia	15 W. R., 298	1351
——— v. Sreegopal Paul Chowdhry	12 W. R., 468	2343
Brojonath Roy v. Gilmore	2 W. R., Act X, 48	2984
——— v. Kishen Lall Roy	5 W. R., 282	1509
Brojonath Sen v. Soorjakant Sen	25 W. R., 276	4901
Brojonath Singh Roy v. Bhugobutty Dassee	1 W. R., 133	4027, 5311
Brojonath Surmah Chuckerbutty v. Anund Moyee Debia Chowdhrain.	7 W. R., 237	763, 5170
Brojonath Tewaree v. Grant	22 W. R., 13	1656
Brojonauth Mullick v. Weskins	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 163	2980, 2992, 3048, 3053
Brojo Rajkishoree v. Bishonauth Dutt	W. R., 1864, 305	138, 4470
Brojo Soondery Debia v. Luchmee Koonwaree	15 B. L. R., 170, note: 20 W. R., 95.	2241, 3165
Brojo Soondur Bhadooree v. Eshan Chunder Roy	15 W. R., 179	5740
Brojo Soonduree Debia v. Anund Moyee Debia	16 W. R., 302	2695
——— v. Collins	13 W. R., 359	1084
Brojo Soonduree Dossia v. Gilmore	7 W. R., 336	4436

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Brojo Soondur Gossamee *v.* Juggut Chunder Dey	21 W. R., 199	4527
Brojo Soondur Mitter *v.* Futick Chunder Roy	17 W. R., 407	2741, 3360
——— *v.* Ram Chunder Roy	2 W. R., Act X, 40	536
Brojo Soondur Mitter Mozoomdar *v.* Kulee Kishore Chowdhry.	8 W. R., 496	1596
Brojo Sunder Gossami *v.* Koilash Chunder Kur	11 C. L. R., 133	4178
Brojunggona Dassee *v.* Debranee Dassee	Marsh., 424	1609
Brojunggona Dassee *v.* Shona Mookhee Dassee	15 W. R., 15	3522
Bromanund Gossain *v.* Government	5 W. R., 136	3124, 4178
Bromma *v.* Lullitnarain Deo	W. R., 1864, 120	1748
Brommo Moyee Debia Chowdhrain *v.* Koomodinee Kant Banerjee.	17 W. R., 466	51, 1432 4905
Brommo Roop Gossain *v.* Anund Moyee Debia	7 W. R., 316	3782
Brommoroop Goswamee *v.* Prannath Chowdhry	7 W. R., 17	5674
Bromomoyee *v.* Anand Lall Roy	13 B. L. R., 225, note: 19 W. R., 419.	1413
Brooke *v.* Gibbon	19 W. R., 244	3300, 3340
——— *v.* ———	21 W. R., 47	4398
——— *v.* Surdial	12 B. L. R., Ap., 13	352
Brooks. Ex parte	1 Mad., 8	206
——— *v.* Pattammari Nanjappa Naick	4 Mad., 316	3472
Broughton *v.* Mercer	14 B. L. R., 442	6205
——— *v.* Perhlad Sen	19 W. R., 152	1476
——— *v.* ———	19 W. R., 154	3826
——— *v.* Pogose	12 B. L. R., 74: 19 W. R., 181	6203
——— *v.* Spink	25 W. R., 243	3110
Brown. In the matter of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 629	2641, 2676
——— *v.* Cutts	5 C. L. R., 487	5707
——— *v.* Pilot Brig "Kedgeree"	1 Hyde, 253	395
——— *v.* Ram Komul Ghose	W. R., 1864, 179	1579
Browne *v.* Woomesh Chunder Roy	7 W. R., 213	504
Brownlow. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 219	4528
Brozo Kishoro Patta Devu *v.* Varadhi Virapratapa Shri Raghunatha Devu.	7 Mad., 301	2121
Bruce *v.* Cronin	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 438	3811
Bruhm Purgash *v.* Dumree Lall	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 133	3448
Brukowsky *v.* Thacker, Spink, & Co.	6 B. L. R., 107	3791
Bubboo Doobey *v.* Ishree	3 Agra, 74	4548
Buboria Ahun Basee Koer *v.* Joobraj Singh	23 W. R., 225	1891
Bucha *v.* Lulee	2 Agra, 20	142
Bucha Gope *v.* Collector of Tirhoot	7 W. R., 102	3288
Buchanan *v.* Avdall	15 B. L. R., 276	1125
Bucha Singh *v.* Mashook Ali Beg	15 W. R., 572	4271, 5172
Buchi Ramayya *v.* Jagapathi	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 304	1082, 2192 2514
Buchoo Chowbey *v.* Ghoorlait	4 N. W., 56	5746
Buchraj Ram *v.* Ram Kishen Singh	11 C. L. R., 345	90
Buckapatnam Thatha Charlu *v.* Kajamiya	6 Mad., 265	3316, 4440
Buckland *v.* Ashoo Chowdhrain	9 W. R., 326	2889
Buddioddeen *v.* Golam Peer	17 W. R., 279	5761
Buddoo Baboo *v.* Lambodar Mullick	1 Hyde, 132	5587
Buddoo Mull *v.* Maharoop	6 N. W., 129	5704
Buddree Doss *v.* Doorga Pershad	2 N. W., 289	3239
——— *v.* Hoare, Miller, & Co.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 170	4285
——— *v.* Ralli	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 678: 3 C. L. R., 294.	1083
Buddreenath *v.* Isree Pershaud	Cor., 114	4321

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Buddreenath Jha *v.* Amrit Sahoo	10 W. R., 126	1427
Buddun Chunder Maduck *v.* Chunder Coomar Shaha	5 W. R., 218	1902
Buddun Chunder Shaha *v.* Bepin Beharee Roy	23 W. R., 110	42
Buddun Sahu *v.* Rampertab Sahu	25 W. R., 511	337
Buddun Thakoor *v.* Shunker Doss	W. R., 1864, 106	1361, 3275
Buddu Ramaiya *v.* Venkaiya	3 Mad., 263	825
Budh Singh Dudhuria *v.* Denendra Nath Sanoul	11 C. L. R., 323	4512
Budhua Orawan Mahtoon *v.* Juggessur Doyal Singh	24 W. R., 4	1754, 2589
Budhu Mahatoon. In the matter of the petition of	8 W. R., 266	168
Budhun *v.* Fuzloor Ruhman	9 W. R., 362	2209
——— *v.* Hafezah	4 C. L. R., 70	1926
Budhun Rujwar *v.* Empress	10 C. L. R., 392	5570
Budley *v.* Bukhtoo	3 N. W., 203	5649
Budlun *v.* Fuzloor Ruhman	23 W. R., 449	3827
Budoobhoosun Bose *v.* Enaeth Moonshee	8 W. R., 1	3206
Budree *v.* Lokemun	3 Agra, 89	3253, 5271
Budree Doss *v.* Hunwant Singh	4 N. W., 69	531
Budree Lall *v.* Bhoosee Khan	25 W. R., 134	1764
——— *v.* Kantee Lall	23 W. R., 260	2165
Budree Nauth Bhutt *v.* Chunder Shekur Man Singh	1 W. R., Mis., 3	5470
Budri Narain *v.* Sheo Koer	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 716	5536
Budrool Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr.; 51	6281
Budrunnissa Chowdhrain *v.* Prosunno Kumar Bose	6 B. L. R., F. B., 255 : 14 W. R., F. B., 25.	39, 64
Budun Mollah *v.* Khettur Nath Chatterjee	24 W. R., 441	2996
Budurroodeen *v.* Haniff Mullick	5 W. R., 180	4147
Budurroonissa Chowdhrain *v.* Chunder Coomar Dutt	10 W. R., 455	1586
Buggee Ram *v.* Buldeo Singh	2 N. W., 414	4295
Bugghoonauth Newgy *v.* Sudhamoyee Dabea	Marsh., 106 : 1 Hay, 183	881
Bugola Moyee *v.* Bhowani Churn Paul	5 W. R., 119	2266
Buhal Singh Chowdhry *v.* Beharilal	1 B. L. R., A. C., 206 : 10 W. R., 318	330, 4951
Buhram Khan. In the matter of	10 W. R., Cr., 47	5563
Buhuns Koonwur *v.* Lalla Buhoree Lall	10 B. L. R., 159 : 18 W. R., 157 : 14 Moore's I. A., 496.	486
Bujrungee Dutt Pattuck *v.* Moorad Ali	22 W. R., 7	5808
Bujrungee Lall *v.* Velaet Hossein Khan	5 W. R., 186	4300
Bukhooree Singh *v.* Government	8 W. R., 207	5273
Bukhsoor *v.* Haruk Chand Sahoo	1 W. R., 114	5784
Bukhta *v.* Gunga	3 Agra, 161	2885
Bukhtouree *v.* Meheen Lall	3 Agra, 96	4915
Bukronath Chuckerbutty *v.* Nilmonee Singh Deo	18 W. R., 7	3479, 3509
Bukronath Singh *v.* Nilmoni Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 389 : 4 C. L. R., 583.	2040
Buksha Ali *v.* Tofee Ali	20 W. R., 216	3705
Buksh Ali *v.* Ameerun Bebee	2 W. R., 208	3645
——— *v.* Nittyanund Doss	5 W. R., 227	5060
——— *v.* Ramtonoo Gun	6 W. R., Act X, 64	1256
Buksh Ali Boohean *v.* Nubotara	13 W. R., 468	4788
Buksh Ali Sowdagur *v.* Essan Chunder Mitter	W. R., F. B., 119	2515, 5403
——— *v.* Joyanut Khan	11 W. R., 248	339, 6239
Bukshan *v.* Maldai Kooeri	3 B. L. R., A. C., 423	3688
Bukshee *v.* Bungsheedhur	6 W. R., Mis., 46	227
Bukshee Kunnee Lall *v.* Thakoornath Sai	25 W. R., 80	5832
Bukshi Ram Pergash Lal *v.* Sheo Pergash Tewari	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 453	3247
Bukshoollah Chowdry *v.* Hur Chunder Chund	16 W. R., 248	2762
Bukshun *v.* Doolhin	12 W. R., 337	3688

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bukshush Hossein *v.* Fuzeeloonissa	W. R., 1864, 189	515
Bulakee Lall *v.* Indurputtee Kowar	3 W. R., 41	2451, 4596
Bulakidas *v.* Keshav Lal	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 85	2307
Bulaki Singh *v.* Jaikishen Das	7 N. W., 203	3995, 4897
Bulbhuddur Tewaree *v.* Ram Tewaree	1 Agra, 15	2476
Buldeb Surmah *v.* Taranath Surmah	22 W. R., 416	5889
Buldeen *v.* Golab Koonwer	Agra, F. B., 802: Ed. 1874, 77	3387
Buldee Singh *v.* Kanaha	1 N. W., 71: Ed. 1873, 125	442
Buldeo *v.* Guj Singh	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 240	3479
Buldeo *v.* Luchmun	5 N. W., 106	5732
Buldeo Dass *v.* Moonna Lall	1 N. W., 23. Ed. 1873, 21	1396
——— *v.* Nathoo Mull	2 Agra, 132	656, 1368
Buldeo Doss *v.* Buldeo Doss	3 N. W., 199	882
——— *v.* Howe	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 64: 6 C. L. R., 582.	1118
——— *v.* Mool Kooer	2 N. W., 19	2824
Buldeo Doss Johurry *v.* Sreenauth Sein	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 114	3288
Buldeo Misser *v.* Ahmed Hossein	15 W. R., 143	4443, 5785
Buldeo Narayan *v.* Scrymgeour	6 B. L. R., 581	1219, 6047
Buldeo Pershad *v.* Golab Khan	6 N. W., 101	5780
——— *v.* Mohun	1 Agra, Rev., 30	3728, 4267
Buldeo Ram Tewaree *v.* Somessur Pauray	7 W. R., 491	2236
Buldeo Sehai *v.* Chadee Lall	2 N. W., 95	1465
Buldeo Singh *v.* Dhukrun Singh	Marsh., 632	6124
——— *v.* Dwarka Dass	1 Agra, 169	1896, 5388
——— *v.* Mahabeer Singh	1 Agra, 155	2470, 2529
——— *v.* Ram Surn Lall	25 W. R., 234	5741
Bulkiram Nathuram *v.* Gujarat Mercantile Association	4 Bom., A. C., 81	5091
Bullee Aheer *v.* Nishan Singh	3 C. L. R., 209	4171
Bullee Singh *v.* Kansi Chowdhry	17 W. R., Cr., 2	977
Bullen *v.* Lalit Jha	3 B. L. R., Ap., 119	2982
Bullock Brothers & Co. *v.* Tosy Aung	24 W. R., 74	593
Bulloo *v.* De Breton	2 N. W., 335	402
Bullov Bhuttacharjee *v.* Baburam Chattopadhya	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 169	5694
Bullubee Kant Bhuttacharjee *v.* Doorjo Dhun Shikdar	7 W. R., 89	6003
Bul Mokund Lal *v.* Tirjoodhun Roy	11 C. L. R., 466	5364
Bulobhuddur Mahantee *v.* Mudhoosoodun Pandey	23 W. R., 433	3456
Bulobhuddur Singh *v.* Solano	5 W. R., 107	1378
Bulram Baboo *v.* Issur Chunder Baboo	23 W. R., 77	2769
Buloram Doss *v.* Jogendro Nath Mullick	19 W. R., 349	1490
Buloram Paul *v.* Suroop Chunder Gooho	21 W. R., 256	3074
Bulwant Singh *v.* Gokaram Prasad	I. L. R., 1 All., 433	3922
Bulwant Rai. In the matter of the petition of	6 N. W., 124	255, 5921
Bulwunt Singh *v.* Chittau Singh	3 N. W., 27	4868
Bunarsee Doss *v.* Gholam Hossein	13 W. R., P. C., 29: 13 Moore's I. A., 358.	4324
Bunda Ali Khan *v.* Ameerun	25 W. R., 493	485
Bunday Ali Khan *v.* Chotee Bibee	1 Agra, 273	3656
Bundey Hossein *v.* Lalla Puriag Dutt	16 W. R., 110	3713
Bundhoo. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 67	1306
Bundhoo Bhugut *v.* Mahomed Hossein	2 W. R., 70	684
Bundhoo Sookoolany *v.* Joy Prokash Singh	W. R., 1864, 367	5285, 5765
Buneead Singh *v.* Sudaseeb Dutt	2 W. R., Mis., 50	3842
Bungo Chunder Banerjee *v.* Chundernath Chuckerbutty	25 W. R., 47	4905
Bungo Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Nuzmoodeen Ahmed	11 W. R., 156	1035
——— *v.* Ram Kanaye Bhawal	10 W. R., 256	1614
Bungseedhur Ghose *v.* Tarinee Churn Singh	3 W. R., 195	3418

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bungseedhur Khettry. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 359	2638
Bungseedhur Mullick v. Calcutta Auction Company	1 Hyde, 45	5796
Bungseeram Shaha v. Juggurnath Shaha	W. R., 1864, Act X, 11	1489
Bungsee Singh v. Soodist Lall	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 739: 10 C. L. R., 263.	2830, 4044 1248, 4884
Bungshee Budden Dey v. Tarinee Churn Dey	14 W. R., 375	4051
Bungshee Dhur Doss v. Bhugwan Doss	24 W. R., 117	1568
——— v. Mahomed Khubeel	1 Hay, 369	5875
Bungsheedhur Mahata v. Mudhoo Soodun Chowdhry	23 W. R., 406	5765
Bungsheedhur Mundul v. Puddo Lochun Roy	W. R., F. B., 11: 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 5: Marsh., 38: 1 Hay, 90.	5731, 5169
Bungshee Dhur Thakoor v. Khettermonee Debia	11 W. R., 15	5287
Bungsho Dhur Biswas v. Mudhoo Mohuldar	21 W. R., 383	511
Bungsraj Bhookta v. Megh Lall Pooree Gossain	20 W. R., 398	4473
Bunkabeharee Sein. In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., Cr., 23	5162
Bunkoo Lall Singh v. Bascomunissa Bibee	7 W. R., 166	5120, 5135
Bunkut Nurseya v. Gowree Singh	2 N. W., 369	1589
Bunnijan Bibi v. Mahammad Hossain	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 605: 9 C. L. R., 90.	5674
Bunnomali Nundi v. Hurrydass Byragi	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 710: 11 C. L. R., 265.	3773
Bunnoo v. Ameerooddeen	23 W. R., 24	3374
Bunnoo Bebee v. Sheo Buns Kando	3 Agra, Rev., 9	3037
Bunsee v. Ramsookh	3 Agra, 384	1601, 3278
Bunseedhur v. Heera Lall	1 N. W., Part VI, p. 74: Ed. 1873, 166	6133
——— v. Kuddey Lall	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 198	1488, 5692
Bunsee Dhur Ghose v. Bheem Lall Sahoo	24 W. R., 219	2985
Bunseedhur Poddar v. Ramjee Morarjee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 243	1031
Bunsee Lall v. Aoladh Ahsan	22 W. R., 552	321, 2390 5789
Bunsee Sahoo v. Kaleepershad	13 W. R., 414	5288
Bunsee Singh v. Nuzuf Ali Beg	22 W. R., 328	3516, 3829
Bunsheemohun Doss v. Huro Chunder Doss Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Mis., 28	410
Bunwaree Chand Thakoor v. Mudden Mohun Chuttoraj	21 W. R., 41	1488, 2244
Bunwaree Kishore v. Joychunder Gossain	2 W. R., 262	5361
Bunwareelal v. Mahomed Hossein Khan	2 Hay, 150	3903
——— v. Sungum Lal	7 W. R., 280	4792
Bunwaree Lall v. Hetnarain Singh	4 W. R., P. C. 128: 7 Moore's I. A, 148.	1723, 4658
——— v. Kisto Behary Roy	1 W. R., 63	769
Bunwaree Lall Misser v. Radha Pershad Sing	1 C. L. R., 136	4495
Bunwaree Lall Sahoo v. Moheshur Singh	Marsh., 544: 2 Hay, 644	2710, 4586
——— v. Sudhist Lall	25 W. R., 269	1147
Bunwaree Mohun Saha v. Prannath Saha	2 W. R., 159	3331
Bunwaree Singh v. Ramanoogra Singh	10 W. R., 14	3435
Bunwari Lall v. Chowdhry Drup Nath Singh	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 179	184
——— v. Forlong	9 W. R., 239	1766
Bunwari Lall Sahu v. Mohabir Pershad Singh	12 B. L. R., 297: L. R., 1 I. A., 89.	97
Bunwari Lal Roy v. Mahima Chandra Knuall	4 B. L. R., Ap., 86: 13 W. R., 267.	3048, 3394
Bunwarry Lall v. Furlong	8 W. R., 38	4744
Bunwary Poddar. In the matter of the petition of	23 W. R., Cr., 55	4854
Bura Boodho v. Koylash Chunder Nundee	6 W. R., 100	5133
Buraik Chuttur Singh v. Greedharee Singh	9 W. R., 337	2151

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Burdakanth Roy *v.* Aluk Munjooree Dasiah	4 Moore's I. A., 321	1352, 1382 5982
Burhunnuddi Howladar *v.* Mohun Chunder Guha	8 C. L. R., 508	2995
——— *v.* ———	8 C. L. R., 511	1600, 2995
Burjore *v.* Bhagana	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 557 : L. R., 11 I. A., 7.	278, 2225
Burjorji Cursetji Panthaki *v.* Muncherji Kuverji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 143	139, 4793
Burkinyoung *v.* Bhoobun Mohun Bonerjee	Cor., 90	4262
Burkut Hossein *v.* Majidoonnissa	3 C. L. R., 208	742, 2031
Burmah Chowdhry *v.* Sreenund Singh	12 W. R., 29	1644
Burmah Company *v.* Snadden	7 W. R., 120	1053
Burmamoye Dassee *v.* Dinobundhoo Ghose	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 564 : 7 C. L. R., 583.	3431
Burm Suroop Dass *v.* Khashee Jha	20 W. R., 471	2252
Burn *v.* Achumbit Lall	20 W. R., 14	1747
Burn & Co. *v.* Busho Moyee Dassee	14 W. R., 85	3032
Burnee Khanum *v.* Modhoosoodun Doss	3 W. R., Act X, 127	1719
Burney *v.* Eyre	1 Hyde, 68	909
Burno Moyee Dossee *v.* Benode Mohinee Chowdhrain	20 W. R., 387	3967
Burodacant Roy *v.* Commissioner of Soonderbuns	12 Moore's I. A., 225	5916
Buroda Churn Bose *v.* Ajoodhya Ram Khan	23 W. R., 286	157
Buroda Debia *v.* Pran Kishen Singh	2 W. R., 80	296, 1783
Buroda Kant Banerjee *v.* Koomodinee Kant Banerjee	17 W. R., 466	1432, 4905
Burodakanth Laha *v.* Gobind Chunder Gooho	7 W. R., 50	5352
Buroda Kant Roy *v.* Chunder Coomar Roy	23 W. R., 281	549
——— *v.* Commissioner of the Soondurbuns	13 W. R., 180	5106
——— *v.* Radha Churn Roy	13 W. R., 163	1620, 2978
——— *v.* Ram Kishore Dutt	6 W. R., 99	3516
——— *v.* Roy Churn Burnoshil	24 W. R., 381	1637
——— *v.* Sib Sunkuree Dossee	4 W. R., Act X, 35	1622
Burra Fukeer Doss Bera *v.* Fukeer Doss Bera	20 W. R., 180	5120
Burra Lall *v.* Court of Wards	16 W. R., 289	283
Burramoollah *v.* Nilchand Dutt	17 W. R., 484	5116
Burray Kaka *v.* Ramnath *alias* Chotay Kaka	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 161 : 5 W. R., P. C., 21 : 10 Moore's I. A., 413.	4631
Burroda Kant Roy *v.* Ram Tunnoo Bose	7 W. R., P. C., 51	1352, 1382 5982
Burroda Soonduree Dossee *v.* Nobogopal Mullick	W. R., 1864, 294	248
——— *v.* Raj Bullub Sen	18 W. R., 202	4876
Burtoo Singh *v.* Ram Purmessur Singh	24 W. R., 364	1922
Buryar Singh *v.* Hunsee	2 Agra, 166	2290, 2291 2303
Busharutoolla *v.* Collector of Tipperah	8 W. R., 375	3563
Busheerooddeen *v.* Dal Chund	3 Agra, 236	541, 4476 5178
Buskin. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 192	4704
——— *v.* Ramkissen Seal	23 W. R., 146	1112
Busrut Ally Chowdhry *v.* Eshan Chunder Roy	7 W. R., 267	3561
Bussant Coomaree Dossee *v.* Collector of Jessore	13 W. R., 243	91
Busseerooddeen *v.* Shibpershad Chowdry	W. R., 1864, 170	3374
Busseerooniissa Chowdhrain *v.* Leelanund Singh	14 W. R., 135	4174
Bussun Lall Shookul *v.* Chundee Dass	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 686 : 4 C. L. R., 1.	4965
Bussunteram Marwary *v.* Kamaluddin Ahmed	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 421	3644
Bustee Narain Roy *v.* Sham Soonder Nundee	2 W. R., Act X, 43	778
Bustee Ram *v.* Newaz Singh	2 Agra, 62	4988

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bustee Sahoo *v.* Jeonarain Singh	24 W. R., 338	1757
Busunt Koomaree *v.* Kali Persad Singh	Marsh., 11 : 1 Hay, 32	3705
Busunto Coomar Ghose. In the matter of the petition of	15 B. L. R., 351, note	88
Busunt Rai *v.* Gridharee Singh	3 Agra, 93	378
Butabee Begum *v.* Khooshal	2 N. W., 24	5175
Butas Koonwar *v.* Jankee Pershad	3 Agra, 292	554
Butchenner *v.* Rayudu	5 Mad., 285	6174
Buti Begam *v.* Nihal Chand	I. L. R. 5 All., 459	3462
Buti Singh *v.* Murat Singh	13 B. L. R., 284, note	5196
Butler *v.* Lloyd	12 B. L. R., Ap., 12	2651
Butoolun *v.* Koolsoom	25 W. R., 444	3636
——— *v.* Lloyd	25 W. R., 444	3636
——— *v.* Ozeerun	8 W. R., 300	4822
Butto Behary Banerjee. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 20	4797
Buttokristo Doss *v.* Khettra Chandra Bhuttacharjee	6 B. L. R., Ap., 69	4807
Buzlool Hossein *v.* Gunput Chowdhry	25 W. R., 170	1136
Buzlool Rahman *v.* Prandhun Dutt	8 W. R., 222	5354
Buzloor Ruheem *v.* Shumsoonnissa Begum	8 W. R., P. C., 3 : 11 Moore's I. A., 551.	470, 852, 2834, 4161, 4866, 5096, 5099, 5100, 5873
Buzl Ruheem *v.* Lutafut Hossein	W. R., 1864, 171	1759, 3166, 6059
Buzl-ul-Ruheem *v.* Luteefutoonnissa	1 W. R., P. C., 57 : 8 Moore's I. A., 379 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 1.	3645
Buzmoonissa *v.* Rowshan Jahan	10 W. R., 351	628
Buzrung Sahoy Singh *v.* Mantora Chowdhrain	22 W. R., 119	2085
Buzur Bibee *v.* Jackson	5 W. R., Mis., 14	1883
Buzzul Ruhim *v.* Shumsheroonnissa Begum	W. R., F. B., 60	4161
Byddonath Ojha *v.* Gopal Mal	6 W. R., 170	3368
Bydnath Shaha *v.* Jadub Chunder Shaha	3 W. R., 208	6102
Bydonauth Sorbhon *v.* Kenooram Holdar	1 W. R., 211	4198
Byji Nath Sahoo *v.* Ramdour Roy	7 C. L. R., 369	4171
Byjnath *v.* Chutter Singh	3 Agra, 181	1585
——— *v.* Graham	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 740	273
Byjnath Dutt Jha *v.* Putsohee Dobain	20 W. R., 36	5833
Byjnath Koonwar *v.* Saheb Koonwar	12 W. R., 532	1624
Byjnath Lall *v.* Ramoodeen Chowdhry	L. R., 1 I. A., 106 : 21 W. R., 233.	3908, 4313
Byjnath Pershad *v.* Badhoo Singh	10 W. R., 486	3334, 3816, 5002
——— *v.* Kopilmon Singh	24 W. R., 95	3704, 3710
——— *v.* Mahomed Fuzl Hossein	2 Agra, Part II, 204	6284
Byjnath Pundit *v.* Kunhya Lall Pundit	9 W. R., 527	429
Byjnath Sahoo *v.* Bacharam	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 76 : 5 W. R., 86.	2573
——— *v.* Doolar Chand Sahoo	24 W. R., 245	847, 1931
——— *v.* Rughoonath Pershad	25 W. R., Cr., 16	4485
Byjnath Sahoy *v.* Wuzeer Narain	24 W. R., 427	5144
Byj Nath Singh *v.* Dooly Mahton	11 W. R., 215	3713
——— *v.* Goburdhun Lall Mohasohree	24 W. R., 210	3917
——— *v.* Indurjeet Koer	8 W. R., 331	1759, 3556
——— *v.* Solano	14 W. R., 114	2002
Byjnath Suhaye *v.* Brohmo Deo Narain	9 W. R., 255	3197
Byjonath Sahoy *v.* Doolhun Biswanath Kooer	24 W. R., 83	3952

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bykant Monee Roy *v.* Kisto Soonderee Roy	7 W. R., 392	2115, 2141
Bykant Nath Shaha *v.* Rajendro Narain Rai	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 333	5444
Bykunt Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Dhunput Singh	19 W. R., 104	2777
——— *v.* Puree Jan Khatoon	11 W. R., 77	4914
Bykunt Chunder Moostafee *v.* Khema Moyee Debia	9 W. R., 360	483
Bykunt Dhur Singh *v.* Lalla Bhugobut Sahoy	Marsh., 391 : 2 Hay, 475	3391
Bykunt Kyburto Doss *v.* Shushee Mohun Paul Chowdhry.	22 W. R., 526	1183, 4267
Bykunt Nath Acharjee *v.* Gooroo Churn Bose	7 W. R., 247	5358
Bykuntnath Chowdhry *v.* Mohessuree	4 W. R., Mis., 9	1210
Bykunt Nath Doss *v.* Bissonath Majhee	9 W. R., 268	3039
Bykunt Nath Mookerjee *v.* Prionath Ghose	22 W. R., 447	171
Bykuntnath Mullick *v.* Joygopal Chatterjee	7 W. R., 19	1883
Bykunt Nath Roy *v.* Grish Chunder Mookerjee	15 W. R., 96	1415, 4136
Bykuntnath Roy Chowdhry *v.* Pogose	5 W. R., 2	3747, 3849
Bykunt Nath Sandyal *v.* Juggut Mohun Shaha	24 W. R., 240	5462
——— *v.* Kalee Churn Paul	13 W. R., 359	4936
Bykuntnath Sein *v.* Pearee Monee Dassee	1 W. R., 196	5776
Bykuntnath Sen *v.* Goboollah Sikdar	24 W. R., 391	1680, 4901
Bykunt Ram Roy *v.* Shurfoonnissa Begum	15 W. R., 523	547
Bykuntram Shaha Roy. In the matter of the petition of	10 B. L. R., 434	4101
——— *v.* Meajan	18 W. R., Cr., 47	4101
Byraddi Subbareddi *v.* Dassuppa Raju	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 403	788
Byreddi Narakka *v.* Chinna Narayana Reddi	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 331	4281
C		
Caemmerer *v.* Birch	1 Mad., 8	206
Calanoor Soobramaneyan. In re the articles of clerkship of.	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15	455
Calapatapu Kristnayya *v.* Lakshmi Venkamma Row	5 Mad., 93	3181
Calcutta and South-Eastern Railway Co. In the matter of the petition of.	8 W. R., 217	5532
Calcutta Docking Company *v.* Passmore	Bourke, O. C., 125 : Cor., 151	428
Calcutta Jute Mills Co. In the matter of	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 888	944
Calcutta Landing and Shipping Co. *v.* Lawless	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 627	3196, 4598
Calcutta Steam Tug Association. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	953
Calcutta Steam Tug Co. *v.* Hossein Ibrahim bin Johur	Bourke, O. C., 273	2766
Calder *v.* Halket	2 Moore's I. A., 293	2782
Callachand Dass. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 327	5896
Cally Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Monikurnika Chowdhrain	W. R., 1864, 149	33, 4471
Cally Churn Mullick *v.* Bhuggobutty Churn Mullick	10 B. L. R., 231 : 19 W. R. 110.	3746
——— *v.* ———	5 C. L. R., 108	3453
——— *v.* Janova Dassee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 284	2469
Cally Doss Sircar *v.* Janokeenauth Roy	1 W. R., Mis., 7	224
Cally Nath Bundopadhya *v.* Koonjo Behary Shaha	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 651	3921
Cama *v.* Morgan	1 Bom., 205	397
Caminee Dossee *v.* Gourmoney Dossee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 359	5411
Campbell. In re	2 Hyde, 177	2672
——— *v.* Abdool Huq	6 W. R., Act X, 8	572
——— *v.* Delaney	Marsh., 509	5406
——— *v.* East Indian Railway Company	Bourke, A. O. C., 56	3793
——— *v.* Jackson	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 41	4401
——— *v.* Keith	1 Hyde, 287	4676
——— *v.* Kishen Dhun Audhicaree	Marsh., 67 : 1 Hay, 233	3015

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Cannammal Aiyar *v.* Vijaya Ragunada Ranga Samy Singapulliar.	8 Mad., 114	2735, 5501
Cannan *v.* Kylash Chunder Roy Chowdhry	25 W. R., 117	5178
Cannon *v.* Bisso Nath Adhicaree	5 C. L. R., 154	39, 4252
Carapiet *v.* Panna Lal Seal	14 W. R., 152	420
Carey *v.* Banu Miya	10 Bom., 34	2948
—— *v.* Kalu Miya		
—— *v.* Robinson	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 88: Bourke, O. C., 399.	654
Carlisle *v.* Nuthmull Nowluckee	2 Hyde, 242	1051
Carlisles Nephews & Co. *v.* Hurmook Roy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 679: 13 C. L. R., 120.	1051
Carlisles Nephews & Co. *v.* Ricknauth Bucktearmull	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 809	1059, 1112 1686
Carmichael *v.* Brojonath Mullick	1 Hyde, 274	5235
Carrau. In re	1 Hyde, 143	1339
Carrison *v.* Rodrigues	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 115	1000
Carruthers *v.* Menzies	Cor., 40	3315
Carter *v.* Agra Savings Bank	I. L. R., 5 All., 562	4681
—— *v.* Misree Lal	2 N. W., 179	4246
Carvalho *v.* Nurbibi	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 202	1203, 2715
Carwar Company. In re	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 640	945
Casement *v.* Fulton	3 Moore's I. A., 395	6197
Casperez. In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 337	1534
—— *v.* Raneegunge Coal Company	18 W. R., Cr., 39	1534
Cassibai *v.* Ransordas Hansraj	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 5	1949
Cassim Azim Dooplay *v.* Cassim Mahomed Baroocha	10 W. R., 349	5584
Cassum Jooma *v.* Thucker Liladhur Kissowji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 570	5713
Cavaly Vencata Narainapah *v.* Collector of Masulipatam.	10 W. R., P. C., 47: 11 Moore's I. A., 619.	4157
Cazee Ali *v.* Mussoontoollah	2 W. R., 285	3719
Chabildas Lallubhai *v.* Municipal Commissioners of Bombay.	8 Bom., O. C., 85	2619
Chadami Lal *v.* Muhammad Baksh	I. L. R., 1 All., 563	4415
Chadee Singh *v.* Beharee Tewaree	10 W. R., 91	327
Chahez *v.* Nund Kishore	4 N. W., 15	1171
Chairman of the Howrah Municipality *v.* Montanee Bewah.	24 W. R., Cr., 70	503
Chairman of the Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta *v.* Aneesood-deen Meah.	12 B. L. R., Ap., 2: 20 W. R., Cr., 64.	1152
Chairman of the Naihati Municipality *v.* Kishori Lal Goswami.	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 171	507
Chairman of the Suburban Municipal Commissioners *v.* Umbica Churn Mookerjee.	15 W. R., Cr., 84	504
Chaita *v.* Purum Sookh	2 Agra, 256	3961
Chaitanya Chundra Huris Chandana Jagadevu *v.* Collector of Ganjam.	22 W. R., 187: L. R., 1 I. A., 335.	3404
Chakowri Lall *v.* Moti Kurmi	13 C. L. R., 275	1024, 5148
Chaku *v.* Ishvar Bhudár	8 Bom., Cr., 124	3740
Chaku Modan Isana *v.* Dullabh Dwarka	9 Bom., 7	2699, 2703
Chalakonda Alasani *v.* Chalakonda Ratna Chalam	2 Mad., 56	2371
Chalayil Kandotha Nallakandiyil Parvadi *v.* Chalayil Kandotha Chathu Nambiar.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 169	3762
Challa Papi Reddi *v.* Challa Koti Reddi *alias* Kotappa	7 Mad., 25	2152
Chalmers. In the goods of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 137: 21 W. R., 246, note.	1236
Chamaili Kuar *v.* Ramprasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 267	2157

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chamaili Rani *v.* Ram Dai	I. L. R., 1 All., 552	1232
Chamarnee Bibee *v.* Ayenoollah Sirdar	9 W. R., 451	1605, 1743
Chamaro Malo *v.* Kashi Chunder Lalla	8 W. R., Cr., 85	4725
Chamia. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 354	587
Champabaty *v.* Jibun	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 213	306
Champat *v.* Shiba	I. L. R., 8 All., 393	2495
Champat Rai *v.* Pitambar Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 16	817
Champu Dai *v.* Uma Dai	11 C. L. R., 451	4477
Chamroo Pasban *v.* Puhlwan Roy	16 W. R., 3	3725
Chanbasappa Bin Sangappa *v.* Mainba bin Mahadshet	7 Bom., A. C., 138	318, 5584
Chanda Miah Sahib *v.* Lakshmana Aiyangar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 45	3591
Chandan Kuar *v.* Tirkha Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 809	5978
Chandhari Sital Pershad Singh *v.* Jhumah Singh	4 C. L. R., 27	203
Chand Hurree Maitee *v.* Norendro Narain Roy	19 W. R., 231	2344
Chandi Charan Chatterji *v.* Chandra Kumar Ghose	5 B. L. R., Ap. 70: 14 W. R., Cr., 23.	150, 1221, 4435
Chandi Churn Shashmal *v.* Durga Churn Mirdua	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 260: 12 C. L. R., 81.	4652
Chandi Dasi *v.* Janakiram	1 B. L. R., S. N., 12	3186
Chandika Singh *v.* Phokar Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 906	3999
Chandi Prasad Nandi *v.* Raghunath Dhar	3 B. L. R., Ap., 52	3182
Chand Khan *v.* Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 878	257
——— *v.* Naimat Khan	3 B. L. R., A. C., 296: 12 W. R., 162.	3716
Chandmal *v.* Bachraj	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 474	3052
Chand Mean *v.* Khondkar Ashrutollah	6 W. R., 89	4165
Chand Monée Dassee *v.* Obhoy Churn Mal	24 W. R., 289	5753
Chandmoni Dasi *v.* Loke Nath Chatterjee	6 C. L. R., 494	6, 531
Chandrabhagabhai *v.* Kashinath Vithal	2 Bom., 341: 2nd Ed., 323	2424, 4902
Chandrakanta De. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 445: 7 C. L. R., 350.	2621, 4359
Chandra Kant Bhattacharjee *v.* Jadupati Chatterjee	1 B. L. R., A. C., 177: 10 W. R., 224.	1875, 2891
Chandra Bhusan Gangopadhya *v.* Ramkanth Banerji	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 108	3243
Chandrakant Bhattacharjee. In the matter of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 495	5558
——— *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 495	5558
Chandrakant Mookerjee *v.* Kartikcharan Chaile	5 B. L. R., 103: 14 W. R., O. C., 38.	5825
Chandra Kanto Biswas. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 558	1889
Chandrakant Roy *v.* Pogose	3 B. L. R., O. C., 83	4071
Chandra Kumar Banerjee *v.* Iswar Chandra Newgi	6 B. L. R., 562: 14 W. R., 455	3978
Chand Ram *v.* Brojo Gobind Doss	19 W. R., 14	157, 916
Chandra Madhab Roy *v.* Khemamani Dasi	1 B. L. R., S. N., 19	1725
Chandramala Patti Mahadevi *v.* Muktamala Patti Mahadevi.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 20	2113
Chandra Narayan Ghose *v.* Kasinath Roy Chowdhry	4 B. L. R., F. B., 43	5079
Chandra Nath Biswas *v.* Biswanath Biswas	6 B. L. R., 492, note	4716
Chandranath Roy *v.* Ramjai Mazumdar	6 B. L. R., 308: 15 W. R., P. C., 7.	479, 2296
Chandra Nath Sirkar. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 65: 8 C. L. R., 353.	1024
Chandra Sekhar Rai. In the matter of	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 77	4718
Chandra Sen *v.* Gangaram	I. L. R., 2 All., 899	2182
Chandu *v.* Chathu Nambiar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 381	1486
——— *v.* Kombi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 208	1569, 4053, 4427
Chandvasekharudu *v.* Brambanna	4 Mad., 270	2116

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chango valad Dudha Mahajan *v.* Kaluram Narayandas	4 Bom., A. C., 120	840
Channu Lal Sahu *v.* Manu Lal	5 B. L. R., 220 : 13 W. R., 343	5005
Chanki Gounden *v.* Venkataramanier	5 Mad., 208	3586
Charavur Teramath *v.* Urath Lakshmi	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 270	3754
Chardon *v.* Ajeet Singh	12 W. R., 52	300, 3834
Charoo *v.* Zobeida Khatoon	25 W. R., 54	1750
Charoo Chunder Mullick. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 430	4727, 4729
——————. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 397	1288, 6025
—————— *v.* Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 397	1288, 6025
Charoo Khan *v.* Doorgamonee	9 W. R., 498	5667
Charriol *v.* Courtois	Cor., 123	428
——— *v.* Shircore	8 B. L. R., 305	1071
Chartered Mercantile Bank *v.* Secondé	3 B. L. R., O. C., 146	4072
Charu Surnokar *v.* Dokouri Chunder Thakoor	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 956 : 10 C. L. R., 577.	4570
Chathu *v.* Aku	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 26	3234
Chathunni *v.* Sankaram	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 238	3755
Chatoor Singh *v.* Heera Kooer	5 W. R., 191	2979
Chatraput Singh *v.* Girindra Chunder Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 389 : 7 C. L. R., 456.	5356
Chattar Lal Singh *v.* Shewukram	5 B. L. R., 123 : 13 W. R., 285	2267
Chattarpal Singh *v.* Rajaram	I. L. R., 7 All., 661	4341, 5968
Chattar Singh *v.* Lekhraj Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 293	5953
—————— *v.* Ram Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 488	4830
Chatternath Jha *alias* Jhingha Jha. In the matter of the petition of.	6 B. L. R., 41 : 14 W. R., F. B., 1.	3714
Chatti Chalamanna *v.* Pandrangi Subbamma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 23	2270
Chatturbhooj Meghji *v.* Dharamsi Narainji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 438	2389, 2529
Chaturi Singh *v.* Makund Lall	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 710 : 9 C. L. R., 240.	2994
Chatur Jagsi *v.* Tulsi	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 230	3209
Chatur Khushalchand *v.* Mahadu Bhagaji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 91	3508
Cheda *v.* Nunhoo Beg	2 N. W., 348	7
Chedambara Chetty *v.* Ranja Krishna Muthu Puchanja Naikar.	13 B. L. R., 509 : 22 W. R., 148 : L. R., 1 I. A., 241.	631, 702
Chedambaram Chetty *v.* Kadunalyavalangapuly Taver	3 Mad., 342	4814
Chedambara Pillai *v.* Ratna Ammal	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 113	1913
Chedami Lal *v.* Amir Beg	I. L. R., 7 All., 676	5467
Chedee Singh *v.* Pearescoonnissa	20 W. R., 19	202
Chedi Lal *v.* Kirath Chand	I. L. R., 2 All., 682	305, 1225 1232
Cheet Banoo *v.* Ramkishen Singh	W. R., 1864, 102	2503
Cheetha *v.* Miheen Lall	11 Moore's I. A., 369	2360
Cheetun Lall *v.* Chutterdharee Lall	19 W. R., 432	1500
Cheigu Nangiah Gauri Nangiah *v.* Pidatala Vencatappah	5 Mad., 407	3142
Chelikani Tirupati Ryaningaru *v.* Suraneni Vencata Gopala Narasimha Rau.	6 Mad., 278	2900
Chella Pillai *v.* Srinivasa Pillai	3 Mad., 71	304
Chellapilla Rau Pantulu *v.* Balarama Krishnama Pantulu	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 424	1143, 5673
Chellapperumal Pillai. Ex parte	1 Mad., 135	157
Chellayamal *v.* Muttialamal	15 W. R., P. C., 1	4653
Chemminikara Muppil Nair *v.* Kiliyanat Ukona Menon	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 88	3755
Chena Pemaji *v.* Ghelabhai Narandas	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 301	187, 432
Cherichamma *v.* Subbaya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 114	2138
Chengalroya Naiker. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 148	133
Chengaya *v.* Appasami Ayyar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 172	791
Chengulva Raya Mudali *v.* Thangatchi Ammal	6 Mad., 192	5676

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chenibash Shaha *v.* Kadum Mundul	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 97	3311
Chennapa Nayudu *v.* Pitchi Reddi	1 Mad., 453	3832
Cherachom Vittil Ayisha Kutti Umah *v.* Valia Pudiakel Biathu Umah.	2 Mad., 350	3733
Cherag Ali *v.* Kadir Mahomed	12 C. L. R., 367	6274
Cheria Krishnan *v.* Vishnu Nambudri	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 198	3767
Cheriyarakel *alias* Arakel Kunhi Kuttyali *v.* Vayaka Parambath Imbichi Ammah.	6 Mad., 416	3250
Cherukomon *alias* Govindeh Nair *v.* Ismala	6 Mad., 145	3757, 6177
Cherukuri Venkanna *v.* Mantravathi Lakshmi Narayana Santrulu.	2 Mad., 327	2859
Chetter *v.* Queen	15 W. R., Cr., 5	1261
Chetti Gaundan *v.* Sundaram Pillai	2 Mad., 51	3378, 3885 4430
Chetty Colum Coomara Vencatachella Raddyar *v.* Rungasawmey Iyengar.	4 W. R., P. C., 71 : 8 Moore's I. A., 319.	2083
Chetu Narayana Pillay *v.* Ayamperumal Ambalom	4 Mad., 447	1033, 1401 5656
Cheyt Ram *v.* Chowdhry Nowbut Ram	5 W. R., P. C., 3 : 7 Moore's I. A., 207.	4650
Chhabila Manchand *v.* Jadavbhai	3 Bom., O. C., 87	2357
Chhab Nath *v.* Kamta Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 333	2717
Chhaganlal *v.* Bapubhai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 68	6384
Chhaganlal Nagardas *v.* Jeshan Rav Dalsukhram	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 503	5665
Chhagan Lall Narbheram *v.* Jamnadas Mancharam	11 Bom., 19	1880
Chhiddu *v.* Narpat	I. L. R., 8 All., 62	2920
Chidambaram Chettiar *v.* Gauri Nachiar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 83 : L. R., 6 I. A., 177.	2452
Chidambara Nayinan *v.* Annapa Nayakkan	1 Mad., 62	2943, 6135
Chidambara Pillai *v.* Kaman	1 Mad., 189	196, 5727
——— *v.* Manikka Chetti	1 Mad., 63	3024
——— *v.* Ramasami Pillai	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 161	2754
Chidambara Setti *v.* Muttuvijeya	3 Mad., 260	4135
Chidi Choubee *v.* Bhowany	1 W. R., Cr., 1	957
Chikati *v.* Peddakimedi	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 569	907, 4231
Chilicany Bhaskararayenin Garu *v.* Pillary Setty Ragavalu Naidu.	5 Mad., 100	1879, 3526
Chimman Singh *v.* Subran Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 902	89
Chimnaji *v.* Ranu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 19	5841
Chingalraya Chetty *v.* Subbiah	6 Mad., 84	430
Chin Hong & Co. *v.* Seng Moh & Co.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 736 : 3 C. L. R., 585.	597
Chinibash Ghose. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 436	1854
Chinna Aiyan *v.* Mahomed Fakiruddin Saib	2 Mad., 322	906, 5873
Chinna Gaundan *v.* Kumara Gaundan	1 Mad., 54	2129
Chinhaiya Chetty *v.* Naranapaiya	6 Mad., 15	1909
Chinnaiya Nattan *v.* Muttusvami Pillai	1 Mad., 226	5821
Chinnamal *v.* Tulukannatammal	3 Mad., 146	2806
Chinnamallaiya *v.* Venkataramaiya	1 Mad., 418	916
Chinna Nagayya *v.* Pedda Nagayya	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 62	2126, 2129
Chinna Perumal Naicker *v.* Annammal	7 Mad., 361	5838
Chinnappa Chetti *v.* Nadaraja Pillai	6 Mad., 1	758, 5729
Chinnaramakristna Ayyar *v.* Minatchi Ammal	7 Mad., 245	2288
Chinna Rangaiyangar *v.* Subraya Mudali	3 Mad., 334	169
——— *v.* ———	3 Mad., 338	109
Chinnasami Chetti *v.* Nanjappasary	6 Mad., 151	6075

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chinnasami Iyengar *alias* Streenivassa Raghavacharyar *v.* Gopalacharry.	7 Mad., 392	3307
Chinnasami Pillai *v.* Krishna Pillai	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 104	4970
Chinna Sanyasi *v.* Suriya	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 196	2405
Chinna Subbaraya Mudali *v.* Kandasami Reddi	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 59	2632
Chinna Ummayi *v.* Tegarai Chetti	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 168	2221, 5264
Chinnaya *v.* Gurunatham	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 169	3209
Chinnaya Rau *v.* Ramaya	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 137	1030
Chinnayya Rawutan *v.* Chidambaram Chetti	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 212	4029
Chinniah *v.* Baubun Saib	5 Mad., 435	3852
Chinnimarigadu. In the matter of	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 289	3617
Chinniya Mudali *v.* Venkatachella Pillai	3 Mad., 320	4990
Chintalapati Chinna Simhadriraj *v.* Zemindar of Vizianagram.	2 Mad., 128	1032, 6283
Chintaman Bhaskar *v.* Shivram Hari	9 Bom., 304	2213, 3942 4828
Chintamani Bapooba *v.* Digambar Mitter	2 B L. R., S. N., 15	1355
Chintamani Pal. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., 126 : 15 W. R., F. B., 1.	5139
——— *v.* Pyari Mohun Mookerjee	6 B. L. R., 126 : 15 W. R., F. B., 1.	5139
Chintamani Sen *v.* Iswar Chandra	3 B. L. R., Ap., 122	3249
Chintaman Narayan *v.* Madhavrav Venkatesh	6 Bom., A. C., 29	2827
Chintaman Sing *v.* Uma Kunwar	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 505 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 1 : 6 W. R. Mis., 83	177
Chintamonee Bapoolee *v.* Digumber Mitter	10 W. R., 409	1355
Chintamonee Nye. In the matter of the petition of	11 W. R., Cr., 2	1796, 1804
Chintamonee Sein *v.* Issur Chunder Chunder	12 W. R., 221	3249
Chintamun Singh *v.* Nowlukho Konwari	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 153 : 24 W. R., 255 : L. R., 2 I. A., 263.	2222, 2232
Chintamun Singh Chowdhry *v.* Nowlakhu Kunwari	13 W. R., 469	2447
Chinto Abaji Kulkarni *v.* Lakshmibai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 375	2850
Chinto Joshi *v.* Krishnaji Narayan	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 214	1863
Chintu *v.* Dhondu	11 Bom., 192, note	1711
Chiraghan *v.* Harbans	7 N. W., 213	1672
Chiranji Lal *v.* Jamna Das	7 N. W., 243	292
Chithambara *v.* Muthaya	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 330	5276
Chittrayil *alias* Kunath Ahmed Koya *v.* Irumanom Vittil Kanhamath Haji.	3 Mad., 279	184, 5770
Chitko Raghunath Rajadiksh *v.* Janaki	11 Bom., 199	2137
Chitto *v.* Muzzur Hossain	2 Hyde, 212	3093, 6227
Chittra Coomary Bebee *v.* Ram Lall Mookerjee	18 W. R., 334	6096
Chittro Narain Singh Tekait *v.* Assistant Commissioner of Sonthal Pergunnahs.	14 W. R., 203	2035, 3270
Chookalinga Mudali *v.* Subharaya Mudali	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 133	1116, 2404
Chookalinga Pillai *v.* Viruthalam	4 Mad., 334	2752, 5657 5713
——— *v.* Vythealinga Pundara Sunnady	6 Mad., 164	3063
Choe Singh *v.* Bahadoor Singh	1 Agra, 55	4880
Chogalal *v.* Trueman	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 481	1883
Chohagur *v.* Thakooree Singh	1 Agra, 123	3331
Choitun Mohantee *v.* Bhikaree Mohantee	17 W. R., 410	5502
Choitunno Paramanick *v.* Zumeerooddee Shaikh	18 W. R., 27	5277
Chokkalingam Pillai *v.* Svamiyar Pillai	1 Mad., 105	2082, 5268
Chokalingapeshana Naiker *v.* Achiyar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 40	1426, 6079
Chooaram *v.* Ram Lapit Ram	4 C. L. R., 97	1867, 1905

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chooa Sahoo *v.* Tripoora Dutt	13 W. R., 244	1926, 3537
Choolhaie Teles. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 315	1957
Choolie Lall *v.* Kokil Singh	19 W. R., 248	1723, 4267
Choolun Singh *v.* Dhoorub Singh	11 W. R., 171	1761
——— *v.* Roy Mohun Lall Mitter	Marsh., 183	5485
Chooneelal *v.* Chitowla	2 Agra, 137	2976
Choonee Lall *v.* Keramut Ali	W. R., 1864, 282	1677
——— *v.* Kudhaira	6 N. W., 34	5250
——— *v.* Puhulwan Singh	3 Agra, 270	3891
——— *v.* Pultoo Bhukut	6 W. R., Mis., 74	210
Choonee Mahtoon *v.* Chatoo Mahtoon	25 W. R., 231	144
Choonee Mundur *v.* Chundee Lall Dass	14 W. R., 178	565, 4791
——— *v.* ———	14 W. R., 334	5138, 5826
Choonee Sahoo *v.* Munnoo Lall	14 W. R., 225	5434
Choonee Sookul *v.* Hur Pershad	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 277	4245
Chooni Lal Misser *v.* Patroo Deo	6 W. R., 19	183
Choonilal Seal *v.* Spence's Hotel Company	1 B. L. R., O. C., 14	940
Choonnee Lall *v.* Doorga Pershad	3 Agra, 252	1914
——— *v.* Gouree Shunker	1 Agra, 84	2841, 5676
Choora *v.* Busuntee	1 Agra, 174	2313
Chooral Monee Bose *v.* Prosonno Coomar Mitter	1 W. R., 43	2290
Chooramoni Dey *v.* Howrah Mills Company	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 696	2953
Chooramun Singh *v.* Dunraj Roy	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 56	1657
——— *v.* Mahomed Ali	L. R., 9 I. A., 21	1463
——— *v.* Patoo Kooer	24 W. R., 68	3007
Chootoo Dhoon Bharbonia *v.* Abdool Meah	7 W. R., Cr., 40	957
Chotalal Amritlal *v.* Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Co.	5 Bom., A. C., 101	5831
Chota Lal Jamnadas *v.* Bulakidas Jetha	7 Bom., A. C., 109	1240
Chota Sadoo *v.* Bhoobun Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., Cr., 3	1049
Chotay Lal *v.* Ram Dyal	2 N. W., 402	3480, 3508
Chotay Lall *v.* Chunnoo Lall	14 B. L. R., 235: 22 W. R., 496	2306, 2496
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 744: 3 C. L. R., 465: I. R., 6 I. A., 15.	2283
Choti Lal *v.* Kalka Parshad	7 N. W., 100	3904
——— *v.* Manick Chand	7 N. W., 115	1883
Chotoolall *v.* Miller	7 C. L. R., 267	1483, 2697
Chottoo Misser *v.* Jemah Misser	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 198: 6 C. L. R., 588.	2478
Chotuck Pandoo *v.* Innayut Ali	3 Agra, 49: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 131.	2928
Chotu *v.* Jitan	I. L. R., 3 All., 63	216, 5084
Chotun Bebee *v.* Ameer Chund	6 W. R., 105	5095, 5100
Choudharee Sheoraj Singh *v.* Dwarka Doss	4 N. W., 42	3768
Choundawalee Bahoojee *v.* Girdhareejee	3 Agra, 226	2134, 2136
Chovakara *v.* Isa bin Khalifa	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 209	6274
Chowakaran Orkatari Bappan *v.* Chowakaran Cheria Orkatan Makki.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 259	3762
Chowdhari Nilkanth Prasad Singh *v.* Dignarayan Singh.	1 B. L. R., A. C., 30: 10 W. R., 75.	5060
Chowdhrani *v.* Tariny Kant Lahiry Chowdry	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 545: 11 C. L. R., 41.	471
Chowdhree Brij Lall *v.* Goor Suhai	Agra, F. B., 128: Ed. 1874, 95	4547
Chowdhree Nain Singh *v.* Jawahur Singh	1 N. W., 167: Ed. 1873, 246	3828
Chowdhree Zuhoorul Huq *v.* Kurum Chand Singh	24 W. R., Cr., 15	4508
Chowdhri Murtaza Hossein *v.* Bechunnissa	L. R., 3 I. A., 209: 26 W. R., 10.	387

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chowdhry Ajrawal Singh *v.* Chowdhry Bhugwan Singh	2 Hay, 311	4472
Chowdhry Bholanath Thakoor *v.* Bhagabatti Debi	7 B. L. R., 93 : 15 W. R., 63	48, 2190
Chowdhry Chatterpaul Singh *v.* Foujdar Roy	Marsh., 405 : 2 Hay, 509	555, 558
Chowdhry Chuttarsal Singh *v.* Government	3 W. R., 57	1724, 2075
Chowdhry Deby Persad *v.* Chowdhry Dowlut Singh	6 W. R., P. C., 55 : 3 Moore's I. A., 347.	1751, 6245
Chowdhry Goluck Chunder *v.* Chowdhry Ganga Narain	11 B. L. R., 369	1487
——— *v.* ———	11 B. L. R., 368, note	1487
Chowdhry Goordutt Singh *v.* Gopal Dass	1 Agra, 33	4629
Chowdhry Hamedoollah *v.* Muteeonissa Bibee	15 W. R., 574	1885, 6014
Chowdhry Heerasutoollah *v.* Brojo Soondur Roy	18 W. R., 77	2114
Chowdhry Hureshur Singh *v.* Hridoy Narain	25 W. R., 310	3473
Chowdhry Imdad Ali *v.* Boonyad Ali	14 W. R., 92	1465, 3825
Chowdhry Izharool Huq *v.* Bhoosee Mahtoon	25 W. R., 201	2994
Chowdhry Joogul Kishore Singh *v.* Poocha Singh	8 W. R., 413	3715
Chowdhry Junmejoy Mullick *v.* Russomoye Dassee	11 B. L. R., 418, note : 10 W. R., 209.	2199
Chowdhry Junmenjoy Mullick *v.* Bissambhar Panjah	5 W. R., Mis., 45	3489
Chowdhry Khan *v.* Gour Jana	2 W. R., Act X, 40	3019
Chowdhry Khoorgo Roy *v.* Shib Tohul Roy	17 W. R., 172	6239
Chowdhry Kripa Sindhoo Doss *v.* Radha Churn Doss	23 W. R., 234	673
Chowdhry Mahomed Momin *v.* Lutafut Hossein	13 W. R., 239	161, 5171
Chowdhry Mahomed Zuhoorul Huq *v.* Mahomed Yakoob	23 W. R., 367	3797
Chowdhry Mohendro Narain Roy. In the matter of	18 W. R., 512	3177
Chowdhry Nilkant Pershad Singh *v.* Ahlad Singh	5 W. R., 287	1173
Chowdhry Padam Singh *v.* Koer Udaya Singh	2 B. L. R., P. C., 101 : 12 W. R., P. C., 1 : 12 Moore's I. A., 350.	2110
Chowdhry Purladh Mahapattar *v.* Chowdhry Jonardon Mahapattar.	6 W. R., Mis., 15	836, 3144
Chowdhry Roostum Ali *v.* Dhandoo	3 Agra, 157	4763
Chowdhry Ruttun Persad *v.* Hunooman Jah	W. R., 1864, Mis., 20	224
Chowdhry Shee Nundun Proshad *v.* Chowdry Nil Kanth Prashad.	13 W. R., Cr., 44	4738
Chowdhry Wahed Ali *v.* Jumaee	11 B. L. R., 149 : 18 W. R., 185.	827
——— *v.* Jumaye	6 W. R., Mis., 116	5453
——— *v.* ———	19 W. R., 87	3336
Chowdhry Wahid Ali *v.* Mullick Inayet Ali	6 B. L. R., 52 : 14 W. R., 288	2794, 3542
Chowdhry Zalim Singh *v.* Seetloo	2 N. W., 404	4310
Chowdhry Zuhoorul Huq *v.* Bagoo Jan	11 W. R., 532	3162
——— *v.* Goolob Churn Roy	15 W. R., 329	2518, 5392
Chowksi Himut Lal *v.* Chowksi Achrutlal	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 194	5855
Chowrasee Kooer *v.* Bokhooree Singh	24 W. R., 350	4268
Christaßharlu *v.* Karibasayya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 399	1074
Christian *v.* Christian	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 651	1548
Chuckrodhuj Thakoor *v.* Beer Chunder Joobraj	1 W. R., 194	2440
Chuckun Lall Singh *v.* Poran Chunder Singh	9 W. R., 483	2373
Chuckur Surun Narain Singh *v.* Collector of Sarun	17 W. R., 180	3564
Chuha Mal *v.* Hari Ram	I. L. R., 8 All., 548	324, 373
Chuhar Mal *v.* Mir	I. L. R., 2 All., 715	2724
Chujjoo Singh *v.* Nazir Hossein	2 Agra, 227	3213
Chuj Mull *v.* Khyratee	3 Agra, 65	3283
Chukowree Misser *v.* Numoodah Kooer	24 W. R., 5	414
Chukradar Potti. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 289	1960
Chulan Tewari *v.* Sukedad Khan	23 W. R., Cr., 9	4729
Chulkoo Dutt Jha *v.* Leelanund Singh	W. R., 1864, Mis., 34	5475

Name of Case	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chultan Mahton *v.* Tilukdari Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 175	693
Chumimony Dassee *v.* Nistarinee Dassee	3 C. L. R., 357	369
Chumman Shah. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 756 : 2 C. L. R., 317.	1011
——— *v.* Ishree Pershad Narain Singh	4 N. W., 175	533
Chummun *v.* Om Koolsoom	13 W. R., 465	3233, 3376
Chummun Mohunt *v.* Rajendra Sahoo	7 W. R., 119	2485
Chumroo Singh *v.* Mullick Khyrut Ahmed	18 W. R., 525	5287
Chumun Bhugut *v.* Mudun Mohan	2 N. W., 186	3497
Chumun Chowdhry *v.* Ali Azim	9 W. R., 138	5829
Chumun Lall Chowdhry *v.* Domun Lall	9 W. R., 205	449
——— *v.* Rughoonundun Singh	11 W. R., 194	3107
Chumutkar Mohinee Dossee *v.* Raj Rakhal Mitter	22 W. R., 479	163
Chunda *v.* Roop Singh	3 Agra, 281	2002
Chundee Churn Chatterjee *v.* Bidoo Budden Banerjee	10 W. R., 48	2951
Chundee Churn Dutt *v.* Eduljee Cowasjee Bijnee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 678 : 11 C. L. R., 225.	4331, 5719
Chundee Churn Paul *v.* Ramnarain Sen	Cor., 8	3288
Chundee Churn Roy *v.* Ram Coomar Dutt	7 W. R., 413	321
——— *v.* Shib Chunder Mundul	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 945 : 6 C. L. R., 269.	3232
Chundee Deen *v.* Hussun Ali	3 N. W., 88	5978
Chundee Pershad Misser *v.* Nilanund Singh	2 W. R., Mis., 38	209
Chundee Roy *v.* Isree Pershad Narain Singh	23 W. R., 274	3183
Chunder Banerji *v.* Hurro Mohun Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 41 : 6 C. L. R., 393.	2129
Chunder Bhadoory *v.* Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain	6 W. R., Mis., 51	759
Chunder Bhan Singh *v.* Jairam Geer	5 N. W., 175	497
Chunder Bhon Singh. In re	17 W. R., Cr., 10	21
Chunder Caunt Mookerjee *v.* Jodoonath Khan	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 468 : 1 C. L. R., 470.	5990
Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Macnaghten	23 W. R., 386	1176
Chunder Churn Auggrodany *v.* Loodunram Deb	25 W. R., 324	5127, 5782
Chunder Coomar Banerjee *v.* Azeemoodeen	14 W. R., 100	1585
Chunder Coomar Chatterjee *v.* Essen Chunder Chatterjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 222	1194
Chunder Coomar Deo *v.* Sudakut Mahomed Khan	18 W. R., 436	4437
Chunder Coomar Dutt *v.* Joy Chund Dutt Mojoomdar	19 W. R., 213	1734, 1755
Chunder Coomar Lahooree *v.* Gopee Kristo Gossamee	20 W. R., 204	1906
Chunder Coomar Mitter *v.* Modhoosoodun Dey	9 W. R., Cr., 50	1990
——— *v.* Sib Sundari Dassee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 631 . 11 C. L. R., 22.	5092
Chunder Coomar Mookerji *v.* Koylash Chunder Sett	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 665	4571
Chunder Coomar Mookerjee *v.* ———	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 677	4571
Chunder Coomar Mundul *v.* Nunnee Khanum	11 B. L. R., 434 19 W. R., 322.	5077
Chunder Coomar Poddar *v.* Chunder Kanta Ghose	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 521	4496
Chunder Coomar Roy *v.* Bhogobutty Prosonno Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 235 : 1 C. L. R., 23.	3499
——— *v.* Bholanath Sircar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 110	1632
——— *v.* Burodakant Roy	W. R., 1864, 63	1991
——— *v.* Collector of Jessore	13 W. R., 243	91
——— *v.* Gocool Chunder Bhuttacharjee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 370	666, 4277 4278
——— *v.* Gonesh Chunder Dass	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 283	2523, 3823
——— *v.* Kadermonee Dossee	7 W. R., 247	5197
——— *v.* Kasheenauth Roy Chowdhry	5 W. R., Mis., 37	3834
——— *v.* Kubeeroodeen	10 W. R., 332	336

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chunder Coomar Roy v. Omesh Chunder Mojoomdar	22 W. R., Cr., 78	5946
——— v. Shurut Soonduree Debia	6 W. R., Mis., 37	3159, 3496
——— v. Wilkins	10 W. R., 311	6153
——— v. Zeemuntoollah Sirdar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 95	1732
Chunder Doss v. Boshoon Lall Sookul	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 251 : 11 C. L. R., 177.	5793
Chundereshwur Narain Pershad v. Chuni Ahir	9 C. L. R., 359	137
Chunder Jaleah v. Ramchurn Mookerjee	15 W. R., 212	1994, 4560
Chunder Kalee Ghose v. Shibnath Bhuttacharjee	17 W. R., 176	4269
Chunderkant Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the petition of.	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 43	4745, 5937
Chunderkant Bhuttacharjee v. Bindabun Chunder Mookerjee.	7 W. R., 277	4745, 5937
Chunder Kant Chowdhry v. Hurish Chunder Chowdhry	1 W. R., 214	2772
——— v. Nund Lall Chowdhry	16 W. R., 277	5283
Chunder Kant Chuckerbutty. In re	9 W. R., Cr., 63	1040
——— v. Bunkoo Beharee Chunder	3 W. R., 177	2059
——— v. Dukheeanea Odea	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 6 : 4 W. R., Act X, 41.	4931
——— v. Elias	5 W. R., Act X, 29	5786
——— v. Mahomed Hossein	6 W. R., Act X, 1	4931
——— v. Pearee Mohun Dutt	5 W. R., 209	1674
Chunderkant Ghose v. Showdaminee Debia	9 W. R., 517	5768
Chunder Kant Mistree v. Brojonauth Bysack	13 W. R., 109	1847
Chunder Kant Mitter v. Ram Narain Dey Sirkar	8 W. R., 63	143, 3240
Chunderkant Mookerjee v. Ram Coomar Coondoo	3 C. L. R., 505	5980
——— v. Ramcoomar Koondoo	13 B. L. R., 530 : 22 W. R., 138	703, 1214
Chunder Kant Roy v. Gopeemee Debia	6 W. R., 17	1170
——— v. Krishna Sunder Roy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 710	4805, 6140
——— v. Peary Mohun Roy	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 21 : Marsh., 33 : 1 Hay, 69.	3364, 3396
Chunderkant Surmah v. Bissesur Surmah Chuckerbutty.	7 W. R., 312	5436
——— v. Bunshee Deb Surmah	6 W. R., 61	2312
Chunder Komal Das v. Bissasurree Dassia	13 C. L. R., 243	3535
Chunder Koomar Gangooly v. Rajkishen Banerjee	14 W. R., 322	2488
Chunder Koomar Mundul v. Bakur Ali Khan	9 W. R., 598	2792, 2914
Chunder Kulla Dossee v. Jotendra Mohun Tagore	6 W. R., 194	878
Chunder Lall v. Lalla Gunput Lall	16 W. R., 52	2199
Chunder Madhub Chuckerbutty v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry.	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 553 : 6 W. R., 184.	3181
Chunder Madhub Doss v. Ameer Ali	25 W. R., 119	1393
Chunder Madhub Ghose v. Juggut Chunder Sen	4 C. L. R., 483	4488
Chunder Mohun Dutt v. Biswambhur Laha	1 B. L. R., O. C., 42	11
Chunder Mohun Mojoomdar v. Teetooram Bose	4 W. R., Act X, 18	196, 778
Chunder Mohun Roy v. Bhubon Mohini Dabea	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 389	3145
Chunder Mohun Thakoor v. Amrito Chunder Thakoor	19 W. R., 343	1474
Chunder Monee Chanda v. Brindabun Nath	25 W. R., 132	3047
Chunder Monee Chowdhrain v. Chowdhrain	4 W. R., 54	38
——— v. Debender Nauth Roy Chowdry.	Marsh., 420 : 2 Hay, 519	529
Chunder Monee Chowdhrain v. Raj Kishore Shaha	5 W. R., 246	1567
Chunder Monee Dabee v. Mohesh Chunder Banerjee	12 W. R., 460	2745
Chunder Monee Dassee v. Santo Monee Dassee	1 W. R., 251	5253
Chunder Monee Debia v. Kristo Chunder Mozoomdar	18 W. R., 375	2334
——— v. Meharjan Bibee	22 W. R., 185	3369

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chunder Monee Debia Chowdhoorayan *v.* Mun Mohinee Debia.	8 Moore's I. A., 477	4651
Chunder Monee Dossee *v.* Dhuroneedhur Lahory	7 W. R., 2	1448, 1636
——— *v.* Joykissen Sircar	1 W. R., 107	2196, 4160
——— *v.* Modhoo Dey	23 W. R., 166	877
——— *v.* Nilambur Mustofee	7 W. R., 43	1756
Chundermonee Nya Bhoosun *v.* Sumbhoo Chunder Chuckerbutty.	W. R., 1864, 270	3036
Chunder Money Dossee *v.* Hurrydoss Mitter	5 C. L. R., 557	2504
Chunder Narain *v.* Farquharson	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 837	1328
Chunder Narain Ghose *v.* Kasheenath Roy Chowdhry	12 W. R., F. B., 80	5079
Chunder Narain Mozoomdar *v.* Prithanund Asrum	12 W. R., 290	5071
Chunder Narain Sen *v.* Amirto Lall Sen	24 W. R., 292	4138
Chunder Narain Singh *v.* Brijo Bullub Gooyee	14 B. L. R., 254: 21 W. R., 391.	2788
——— *v.* Brojo Bullub Gooiee	21 W. R., 126	2788
——— *v.* Kishen Chand Golecha	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 855	2632
Chunder Nath Bhuttacharjee *v.* Brindabun Shaha	25 W. R., 39	6075
——— *v.* Juggut Chunder Bhuttacharjee.	22 W. R., 337	2985
Chunder Nath Chowdhry *v.* Tirthanund Thakoor	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 504: 2 C. L. R., 147.	3327
Chunder Nath Deb. In the matter of	5 C. L. R., 372	264
——— *v.* Ganga Gobindo Singh	1 W. R., 94	1813
Chunder Nath Dhur *v.* Isurree Dossee	18 W. R., 531	1362
Chunder Nath Ghose *v.* Nundo Loll Chatterjee	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 81	1308
——— *v.* Shotooram Mojoomdar	12 W. R., 343	1634
Chunder Nath Misser *v.* Gouree Komul Bhuttacharjee	10 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 19 W. R., 155.	843
——— *v.* Sirdar Khan	18 W. R., 218	323, 3024, 3031
Chunder Nath Moitro *v.* Kristo Komul Singh	15 W. R., 357	2361
Chunder Nath Mullick *v.* Nilakant Banerjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 690	3553
Chunder Nath Nag Chowdhry *v.* Asanoollah Mundul	10 W. R., 438	2928
Chunder Nath Nundi *v.* Hur Narain Deb	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 153	2880
Chunder Nath Roy *v.* Bheem Sirdar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 37	5594
——— *v.* Bhoyrub Chunder Surma Roy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 250	4805
——— *v.* Gobind Nath Roy	11 B. L. R., 86: 18 W. R., 221.	2146, 2238
Chunder Nath Sein *v.* Anundomoyee Dossee	11 W. R., 289	3397, 6239
Chundernath Sen. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 293	5946
———. ———	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 875: 6 C. L. R., 379.	4111
Chundernath Surma *v.* Romanath Surma	1 W. R., 69	4899
Chunder Pershad Roy *v.* Shuvadra Kumari Shaheba	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 622	5333
Chunder Seekor Sookul *v.* Dhurm Nath Tewaree	1 C. L. R., 434	1270
Chunder Seekur Roy *v.* Gholam Shurreef *alias* Loopan Meeah.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 146	5257
Chunder Sein *v.* Gujadhur Lall	1 N. W., 148: Ed. 1873, 230	3350
Chunder Sekhur Deb *v.* Jadub Chunder Sett	19 W. R., 78	5482, 6235
Chunder Sekhur Mookerjee *v.* Collector of Midnapore	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 464: 1 C. L. R., 384.	5805
Chunder Shekhur Thakoor *v.* Nitaloo	22 W. R., Cr., 29	5912
Chunder Shikur Mullick *v.* Sham Chand Mullick	18 W. R., 395	4664
Chunder Sikhur Biswas *v.* Ram Buksh Chetlungee	1 C. L. R., 545	4328
Chunder Sikur Bundopadhya *v.* Obhoy Churn Bagchi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 8	507
Chunder Singh *v.* Nirto	3 Agra, 11	3814

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chundessuree *v.* Gheenah Pandey	24 W. R., 152	5695
Chundi Churn Bhuttacharjea. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 207	1308
——— *v.* Hem Chunder Banerjea	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 207	1308
Chundi Churn Mookerjee *v.* Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 849: 12 C. L. R., 508.	3790
Chundo *v.* Alimooddeen	6 N. W., 28: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 305.	500, 3707
Chundoo Vistee *v.* Sodagur Vistee	22 W. R., 457	4055
Chundrabulee Debia *v.* Brody	9 W. R., 584	2503
Chundra Bullee Debia *v.* Luckhee Debia Chowdhrain	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 25, 141: 5 W. R., P. C., 1: 10 Moore's I. A., 214.	3124
Chundra Kaminy Debea *v.* Ramruttun Pattuck	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 302	482
Chundra Kumar Guha *v.* Mungul Mollah	11 C. L. R., 387	3044
Chundra Money Dossee *v.* Motilal Mullick	5 C. L. R., 496	2559
Chundro Marwari *v.* Mohamed Eshak	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 476	3609
Chundro Monee Debia *v.* Rash Behary Chowdhry	21 W. R., 24	683
Chundro Sheekhur Roy *v.* Nobin Soondur Roy	2 W. R., 197	2281
Chundro Tara Debia *v.* Buksh Ali	11 W. R., 305	2351
Chundun *v.* Talib Ali	2 N. W., 41	1245, 5209
Chundun Singh *v.* Nirto	3 Agra, 11	1680
Chundy Persad Doss *v.* Kasseenath Doss	W. R., 1864, 346	5739
Chunee Mul Johary *v.* Brojo Nath Roy Chowdhry	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 967: 11 C. L. R., 315.	78, 4802
Chunia *v.* Ramdial	I. L. R., 1 All., 360	6080
Chuni Kuar *v.* Udai Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 73	4144
Chunilal Maniklalbhai *v.* Mahipatrav valad Khundu	5 Bom., A. C., 33	2813
Chunilal Panalal *v.* Bomanji Mancherji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 310	4792
Chuni Pandey *v.* Birjo Pandey	13 C. L. R., 49	2842
Chuni Singh *v.* Hora Mahto	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 633: 9 C. L. R., 37.	1189, 1639
Chunni *v.* Thakur Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 126	3892
Chunni Lal *v.* Chamman Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 159	199
——— *v.* Debi Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 356	5443
Churamun Singh *v.* Anoop Singh	11 C. L. R., 533	2881
Church *v.* Ramtanu Shaha	9 B. L. R., 105, note: 11 W. R., 547.	1591
Churn Manjee *v.* Ishan Chunder Dhur	9 C. L. R., 474	5094
Churn Singh *v.* Rungoo Singh	15 W. R., 221	3818
Chutka Panda *v.* Goburdhone Dass	6 C. L. R., 85	5441
Chutoor Bhooj Tewaree *v.* Villaet Ali Khan	W. R., 1864, 223	3020
Chutraput Singh. In the matter of	5 C. L. R., 200	4498
Chutterbhuj Bharti *v.* Janki Prosad Singh	4 C. L. R., 298	2046, 4165
Chutterdharee Lall *v.* Rambelashee Koer	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 318: 1 C. L. R., 347.	5977
Chutterdharee Misser *v.* Nursingh Dutt Sookool	3 Agra, 371: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 163.	4754, 5874
Chutter Dharee Singh *v.* Hurcoomaree	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 99	4154
——— *v.* Jutta Singh	4 W. R., 76	3040
Chutter Lall Singh *v.* Government	9 W. R., 97	1343, 4447, 5677
Chuttoonath Singh *v.* Sooboon Singh	Marsh., 21: 1 Hay, 56	1041
Chuttoorbhooj Bharthee *v.* Macnaghten	15 W. R., Cr., 2	1048
Chutturdharee Singh *v.* Hurcoomaree	1 Hay, 107	2487
Chuttur Dharee Singh *v.* Sureer Hossein	1 W. R., 28	4188
Chuttur Mull *v.* Chuttur Kishore Lall	3 Agra, 396	4548

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chuttur Singh *v.* Dhurrum Koonwur	1 N. W., Part II, p. 1: Ed. 1873, 61.	5462
Chuturya Run Murdun Syn *v.* Purluhad Syn	4 W. R., P. C., 132; 7 Moore's I. A., 18.	2321
Chyet Narain Singh *v.* Bunwaree Singh	23 W. R., 395	2295, 2358 2455, 4185
Chyet Ram *v.* Ramchunder Dutt	Bourke, O. C., 101	2647
Chytun Chunder Roy. In the matter of	20 W. R., 12	4502
——— *v.* Brojokant Roy	20 W. R., 12	4502
Chytun Churn Doss *v.* Collector of Sylhet	21 W. R., 75	6195
Chytun Mytee *v.* Lukhee Churn Patnaik	8 W. R., 258	2287, 4163
Chytunno Chunder Roy *v.* Kedar Nath Roy	14 W. R., 99	527
Chytun Singh *v.* Kayessur Koonwur	5 W. R., 117	6152
Civa Rau Nanaji *v.* Jevana Rau	2 Mad., 31	1674
Clark *v.* Beane	5 W. R., Cr., 53	1720
—— *v.* Doorgamoney Dossee	2 Moore's I. A., 263	138, 1576 5581
—— *v.* Rooplall Mullick	2 Moore's I. A., 263	138, 1576 5581
—— *v.* Ruthnavaloo Chetti	2 Mad., 296	5607, 5614
Clarke *v.* Bindabun Chunder Sircar	Marsh., 75: 1 Hay, 137: W. R., F. B., 20: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 97.	1765, 4458
Clarton *v.* Shaw	9 B L. R., 245: 16 W. R., 414	1830
Cochrane. In the matter of the petition of	14 B. L. R., 330: 23 W. R., 310	5941
——— *v.* Gladstone, Wyllie, & Co.	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 337	2629
——— *v.* Heera Lal Seal	7 W. R., 79	5116
——— *v.* Hurro Soondery Debia	4 W. R., P. C., 103: 6 Moore's I. A., 494.	3417
——— *v.* Owen	2 Hyde, 150	2663
Cockburn. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 326	2656
Cockerell *v.* Dickens	2 Moore's I. A., 353	2668, 4858
Coggan *v.* Pogose	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 158	4804
Cohen *v.* Auction & Co.	1 Hyde, 130	2586
—— *v.* Bank of Bengal	1. L. R., 2 All., 598	1822
—— *v.* Cassim Nana	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 264: 25 W. R., 273.	1368
—— *v.* McCarthy	14 W. R., 231	422, 5686
—— *v.* ———	14 W. R., 441	422
Collector of Agra *v.* Buljeeta	3 Agra, 368: Agra, F. B, Ed. 1874, 161.	5728
Collector of Ahmedabad *v.* Balabhai Kevaldas	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 505	614
——— *v.* Samaldas Bechardas	9 Bom., 205	607, 5088
Collector of Allahabad *v.* Suraj Baksh	6 N. W., 333	1683
Collector of Bareilly *v.* Ghusee Ram	1 Agra, 260	4457
——— *v.* Nuraen Day	3 Agra, 349	81, 2141
Collector of Beerbhoom *v.* Mundakinee Debia	W. R., 1864, 332	2840
——— *v.* Mundakinee Debee	1 W. R., Mis., 7	2840
——— *v.* Rajcoomaree Dassia	2 W. R., Mis., 17	3132
——— *v.* Sreeburry Chuckerbutty	2 W. R., 512	3490
Collector of Benares *v.* Sheo Prasad	I. L. R., 5 All., 487	2091
Collector of Bijnor *v.* Jafar Ali Khan	I. L. R, 3 All., 18	237
——— *v.* Munuvar	I. L. R., 3 All., 20	909
Collector of Bograh *v.* Dwárkanath Biswas	4 B. L. R., Ap., 80: 13 W. R., 194.	2977
——— *v.* Krishna Indra Roy	2 B. L. R., A. C., 301: 11 W. R., 191.	4935, 4955

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Collector of Bograh *v.* Shama Sunkur Mojoomdar	6 W. R., 230	3819
Collector of Broach *v.* Desai Raghu Nath	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 546	606, 3197
——— *v.* Rajaram Laldas	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 542	606, 3197
Collector of Cawnpore *v.* Kedari	I. L. R., 4 All., 19	5687, 5743
Collector of Chittagong *v.* Kala Bibi	15 B. L. R., 343 : 24 W. R., 149.	495
Collector of Colaba *v.* Ganesh Mareshvar Mehendale	10 Bom., 216	610, 3068
Collector of Dacca *v.* Kalee Churn Poddar	21 W. R., 446	4480
——— *v.* Kishen Kishore Chatterjee	W. R., 1864, 273	44, 5107
——— *v.* Kumala Kant Mookerjee	2 W. R., 33	183, 1203
Collector of East Burdwan *v.* Imdad Ali	W. R., 1864, 358	1766
Collector of Furseedpore. In the matter of the petition of	12 B. L. R., 366	219
——— *v.* Goroodoss Roy	11 W. R., 425	5252
——— *v.* Kalee Dass Hazarah	17 W. R., 195	1737, 4455
Collector of Futtehpore *v.* Yad Ali	1 Agra, 88	4536
Collector of Godavari *v.* Isuf Kasim Nana	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 42	5530
Collector of Godavery *v.* Addanki Ramanwa Pantulu	L. R., 13 I. A., 147	3422
Collector of Hooghly *v.* Raj Kristo Mookerjee	22 W. R., 234	2948
——— *v.* Taraknath Mukhopadhya	7 B. L. R., 449 : 16 W. R., 63.	2787, 4095 4106, 4107
Collector of Jessore *v.* Beckwith	5 W. R., 175	5631
Collector of Kheda *v.* Harishankur Tikam	5 Bom., A. C., 23	2061
Collector of Kistna *v.* Kalavagunta Chinnamragu	5 Mad., 360	2101
Collector of Madras *v.* Ramanuja Chariyar	4 Mad., 429	5629
Collector of Madura *v.* Muttu Vijaya Ragunada Muttu Ramalinga Sethupati.	2 Mad., 206	2120
——— *v.* Mutu Ramalinga Sathupathy	1 B. L. R., P. C., 1 : 12 Moore's I. A., 397 : 10 W. R., P. C., 17.	2120, 2412 4693
——— *v.* Veeracamoo Ummal	9 Moore's I. A., 446	1672, 2246
Collector of Maldah *v.* Sudurooddeen	1 W. R., 116	5103
Collector of Masulipatam *v.* Cavaly Vencata Narainapah	2 W. R., P. C., 59 : 8 Moore's I. A., 500.	1672
——— *v.* ———	2 W. R., P. C., 61 : 8 Moore's I. A., 529.	2048, 2509 4154, 4693
Collector of Midnapore *v.* Ramdhone Dutt	Marsh., 65 : 1 Hay, 133	5270
Collector of Monghyr *v.* Bhobany Pershad	25 W. R., 183	2945
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 425 : 5 C. L. R., 112.	5049, 5392
——— *v.* Madar Buksh	25 W. R., 136	1592, 4925
Collector of Moorshedabad *v.* Anund Nath Roy	W. R., F. B., 112	2146, 2266
——— *v.* Dhunput Singh Bahadoor.	15 B. L. R., 49 : 23 W. R., 38	64
——— *v.* Rammohinee Dossee	1 Hay, 520	1200
——— *v.* Shibessuree Dabee	11 B. L. R., 86 : 18 W. R., 226.	2146, 2238
Collector of Moradabad *v.* Muhammad Daim Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 96	4343, 5425
Collector of Nasik *v.* Shámji Dasrath Patil	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 209	4578
Collector of Noakally *v.* Paxwell	20 W. R., 78	517
Collector of North Arcot *v.* Ayya Krishnama Chariyar	7 Mad., 37	4578
Collector of Poona *v.* Bhavanrav Balkrishna	10 Bom., 192	5255, 6004
——— *v.* Kashinath Khasgiwala	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 585	2054
Collector of Pubna *v.* Romanath Tagore	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 630 : 7 W. R., 191.	2842
——— *v.* Surno Moyee	17 W. R., 163	34
Collector of Rajshahye *v.* Doorga Soondery Debia	2 W. R., 210	1748
——— *v.* Hür Soondery Debia	W. R., 1864, Act X, 6	5381

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Collector of Rajshahye *v.* Shama Soondree Debea	14 B. L. R., 219 : 22 W. R., 324.	40
Collector of Ratnagiri *v.* Janardan Kamat	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 590	212, 4343
——— *v.* Vyankatrav Narayan Surve	8 Bom., A. C., 1	1159, 2064
Collector of Rungpore. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 655 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 178 : 7 W. R., 273.	516
——— *v.* Prosunno Coomar Tagore	5 W. R., 115	3444, 4173
——— *v.* Ramjadub Sein	W. R., 1864, 243	1994, 4457
Collector of Salem. In the matter of the petition of	7 Mad., 233	5884
Collector of Sea Customs *v.* Punniar Chithambaram	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 89	2784, 2876
Collector of Shahabad *v.* Rambuddun Singh	10 W. R., 400	3876
Collector of Shahjahanpur *v.* Surjan Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 72	3538
Collector of South Arcot *v.* Thatha Charry	8 Mad., 40	3446, 3469
Collector of Surat *v.* Daji Jogi	8 Bom., A. C., 166	4564
——— *v.* Dhirshingji Vaghbaji	10 Bom., 235	2109, 2115
——— *v.* Heiress of Kuvarbia	2 Bom., 253 : 2nd Ed., 239	1562
Collector of Sylhet *v.* Kali Kumar Dutt	7 B. L. R., 663 : 16 W. R., F. B., 10.	168, 5831
Collector of Tanjore *v.* Ramasamier	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 342	5833, 5849
Collector of Thana *v.* Bal Patel	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 110	610, 4333
——— *v.* Bhaskar Mahadev Sheth	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 264	2051, 2103 5954
——— *v.* Dadabhai Bomanji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 352	611, 622 1224
——— *v.* Gana Ramji Patil	7 Bom., A. C., 132	4441
——— *v.* Hari Sitaram	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 546	2065, 2255 3411
——— *v.* Krishna Nath Govind	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 322	3410
Collector of Tipperah *v.* Doorga Persad Paray	W. R., 1864, 302	44
——— *v.* Mafizunnissa Bibi	4 B. L. R., Ap., 46	5744
——— *v.* Mafzunnissa Bibi	5 B. L. R., 341, note : 14 W. R., 84.	5139
Collector of Tirhoot. In the matter of	14 W. R., 390	1195
——— *v.* Hurropershad Mohunt	7 W. R., 500	2120, 2141
——— *v.* Rajcoomar Deo Nundun Singh	10 W. R., 218	86
Collector of Trichinopoly *v.* Lekamani	14 B. L. R., 115 : 21 W. R., 358 : L. R., 1 I. A., 268, 282	2442, 3578 6071
Collector of 24-Pergunnahs *v.* Deb Nath Roy Chowdhry	21 W. R., 222	2354
——— *v.* Joynarain Bose	W. R., F. B., 17 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 101.	1360, 5347
——— *v.* ———	W. R., F. B., 18 : 1 Hay, 122	6044
——— *v.* Nobin Chunder Ghose	3 W. R., 27	2947
——— *v.* Wilkinson	12 W. R., 444	1204
Collie *v.* Cochrane	1 B. L. R., O. C., 131	2636
Collins. In the matter of the goods of	Bourke, O. C., 104	6217
——— *v.* Dewan Singh	2 N. W., 465	5827
——— *v.* Maula Bakhsh	I. L. R., 2 All., 284	3517
Collis *v.* Manohar Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 745	239
——— *v.* Tarinee Churn Singh	3 W. R., 210	3147
Collision between the "Ava" and the "Brenhilda." In the matter of.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 568 : 5 C. L. R., 331.	1779, 1843 3813
Colonas *v.* Bulajan	Marsh., 211 : 1 Hay, 587	1913
Colvin, Cowie, and Co., *v.* Elias	2 B. L. R., A. C., 212 : 11 W. R., 40.	293, 902 1405, 4410
Comalammal *v.* Rungaswamy Iyengar	4 Mad., 56	755, 758 774

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Commercial Bank *v.* Modoosoodun Chowdhry	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	1065
Commissioner of the Soonderbunds *v.* Chunder Coomar Ghose.	3 W. R., 175	4290
Commundun Mohideen Saib *v.* Oree Meerah Saib	7 Mad., 271	4683
Companies Act (XIX of 1857). In the matter of	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	3146
——— (X of 1866). In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330	945
———	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 335	943, 951
———	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350	951, 2669
———	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 352	2069
———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	953
———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94	943
———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123	945
———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	953
———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257	953
———	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 888	944
———	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 317	924
——— Romanath Gossain's case.	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296	936
Comptoir D'Escompte de Paris *v.* Currie & Co. In re	3 B. L. R., Ap., 153 : 12 W. R., 413.	5295
Comul Lochun Roy *v.* Morau	2 W. R., Act X, 82	546
Constantine *v.* Drew	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 42 : Ed. 1873, 100.	3314
Cook *v.* Jadub Chandra Nandi	2 B. L. R., O. C., 48	5016
Cooke *v.* Hiseeba Beebee	6 N. W., 181	1935
Coomara Yettapa Naikar *v.* Venkateswara Yettia	5 Mad., 405	2417
Coomaree Dabee *v.* Mahtab Chund	W. R., 1864, 380	3821
Coomari Rodeshwar *v.* Manroop Koer	L. R., 13 I. A., 20	1199, 1505
Coomar Poresh Narain Roy *v.* Watson & Co.	3 C. L. R., 543	1592
Coombe *v.* Caw	13 B. L. R., 268 : 22 W. R., 257.	2647
Coonamull *v.* Surno Raur	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 187	5277
Coorlawalla. In re	9 Bom., 1	2674
Cooverji Ludha *v.* Bhimji Girdhar	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 528	644
Corsah *v.* Gooroo Churn Ghose	18 W. R., 13	769
Coringa Oil Company *v.* Keogler	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 466	1113
Cornell *v.* Oody Tara Chowdhrain	8 W. R., 372	1382, 5366
Corporation of Calcutta *v.* Bheecunram Napit *alias* Bheecun Napit.	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 290	6025
Corporation of the Town of Calcutta *v.* Anderson	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 445	3154, 4048
——— *v.* Matoo Bewah	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 108	652
Cosserat *v.* Sudaburt Pershad Sahoo	3 W. R., 210	2391
Cossim Hossein Soortu *v.* Lee Phee Chuan	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 477 : 5 C. L. R., 157.	597
Costello. In the matter of	8 B. L. R., Ap., 57	2676
Cotton *v.* Pegu Saw Mills Company	9 W. R., 539	923
Coultroup *v.* Smith	1 Mad., 204	3541
Courjon *v.* Courjon	9 B. L. R., Ap., 10	4518, 6020
Court of Wards. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 222	228
——— *v.* Bunwaree Lall Thakoor	15 W. R., 102	1787, 3414
——— *v.* Burra Lall Opendronath Deo	15 W. R., 99	4464
——— *v.* Coomar Ramaput Singh	10 B. L. R., 294 : 17 W. R., 459 : 14 Moore's I. A., 605.	2517, 5403
Court of Wards *v.* Darmalinga	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 2	3590
——— *v.* Debnath Roy Chowdhry	21 W. R., 222	2354
——— *v.* Fouzdar Roy	25 W. R., 14	582

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Court of Wards v. Gaya Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 107	293, 5413 5472
——— v. Kupulmun Singh	10 B. L. R., 364: 19 W. R., 364.	2338, 3564
——— v. Leelannud Singh	14 W. R., 298	3091
——— v. ———	25 W. R., 157	4912
——— v. Lilanund Singh	4 B. L. R., Ap., 30: 13 W. R., 48.	4400
——— v. Mitterjeet Singh	23 W. R., 348	1252
——— v. Mohessur Roy	16 W. R., 76	2494
——— v. Mollwo, March, & Co.	12 W. R., 56	4319
——— v. Nitta Kali Debee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 353: 12 W. R., 287.	4853, 6150
——— v. Radha Proshad Singh	22 W. R., 238	44
——— v. Raj Kumar Deo Nandan Sing	9 B. L. R., 310, note	2226
——— v. Roop Moonjuree Koeer	25 W. R., 260	5750
——— v. Rughoobur Dyal	7 W. R., 5	2338, 3565 3566
——— on behalf of the Raja of Darbangha. In the matter of the petition of.	7 B. L. R., 730: 16 W. R., 191	267
Coverji Luddha v. Morarji Punja	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 183	190, 646 5223
Cowar Rajkumar Roy v. Kadambini Debi	4 B. L. R., F. B., 175	899
Cowasjee Nanabhoy v. Lalbhoy Vullubhoy	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 468: 26 W. R., 78: L. R., 3 I. A., 200.	4331
Cowasji Beramji Lilaoovala. In re	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 15	3561
Cowasji Edalji. In the matter of	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 1	2672
Cowell v. Ishen Chunder Sen	18 W. R., 16	781, 6236
——— v. Mohadeb Mundul	17 W. R., 182	5127, 5313*
Cowie. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 70: 7 C. L. R., 19.	467, 2670 6059
——— v. Dhurmsee Poonjabhoy	2 Ind., Jur., N. S., 75	4607
——— v. Elias	10 W. R., 43	855
——— v. Remfry	3 Moore's I. A., 448	1063
Cowulbas Koonwur v. Lall Bahadur Singh	9 Moore's I. A., 39	2150
Crawford v. Spooner	4 Moore's I. A., 179	5642
Crawley v. Luchmee Ram	1 Agra, 129	2798
——— v. Maling	1 Agra, 63	303, 4610
Criniva Sammal v. Vijayammal	2 Mad., 37	2215
Crosthwaite v. Hamilton	I. L. R., 1 All., 87	4612, 5710
Crowder v. Bhekdhari Singh	8 B. L. R., Ap., 45: 16 W. R., 41.	1162
Crowdie v. Kullar Chowdhry	21 W. R., 307	1776
Crowdy v. Goburdhun Roy	22 W. R., 491	3809
——— v. Inder Roy	18 W. R., 408	2608
——— v. Jhukree Dhanook	23 W. R., 387	3044
——— v. Omrao Singh	22 W. R., 476	3804
——— v. Poorun Singh	22 W. R., 480	3806
——— v. Ram Bhurose Chowdhry	23 W. R., 383	4194
——— v. Sree Misser	12 W. R., 4	4930
Cruise. In the matter of	14 W. R., Cr., 53	4444
Crump v. Crump	3 B. L. R., O. C., 101	1554
Culliandoss Kirparam v. Cleveland	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15	4560
Cumming v. Green	4 B. L. R., Ap., 75	4521
Curness v. Sheochurn Sahoo	W. R., 1864	184, 303
Curreemunnissa Begum v. Ahmed Waleemoozooman	10 C. L. R., 293	3704

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Currie *v.* Mutu Ramen Chetty	3 B. L. R., A. C., 126 : 11 W. R., 520.	304, 4772 5801
Cursetji Rustomji Setna *v.* Williams	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 313	599
Cutenho *v.* Souza	1 Mad., 15	3028
Cutts *v.* Brown	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 328 : 7 C. L. R., 171.	1814, 5797 2755
D		
Dabee *v.* Nukeesunnissa	W. R., 1864, Act X, 116	3129, 3180
Dabee Bhuggut *v.* Beechun Raoot	W. R., 1864, Act X, 111	1583
Dabee Churn *v.* Jankee Pershad	3 Agra, 141	4611
Dabee Deen *v.* Doorga Pershad	3 N. W., 49	31
Dabee Doss Neogee Chowdhry *v.* Gobind Mohun Ghose	10 W. R., 213	1647
Dabee Dut *v.* Enait Ali	2 N. W., 395	1344, 1786
Dabee Dutt Misser *v.* Alikoonnissa	W. R., F. B., 77	4213
Dabee Golam Singh *v.* Chunder Kant Mookerjee	3 W. R., 109	530
Dabee Lal *v.* Goolzar Rai	2 N. W., 394	1766
Dabee Matho *v.* Ram Mohun Mookhopadhya	10 C. L. R., 433	1972
Dabee Misser *v.* Mungur Meah	2 C. L. R., 208	1683
Dabee Munnee Chowdhrain *v.* Faqueer Chunder Shaha	W. R., 1864, 293	5355
Dabee Pershad *v.* Bisheshur Pershad Singh	6 N. W., 289	4540
——— *v.* Joy Lall Chowdhry	12 W. R., 361	2065
——— *v.* Man Khan	2 N. W., 444	4008
Dabee Pershad Chatterjee *v.* Ram Coomar Ghosal	10 W. R., 443	1743, 1768
Dabee Pershad Singh *v.* Delawur Ali	13 W. R., 312	1867
Dabee Rawoot *v.* Heramun Muhatoon	8 W. R., 223	3981
Dabee Singh *v.* Punchum Singh	2 Agra, Part II, 203	1588
Dabee Suhai *v.* Sheo Dass Rai	1 Agra, 285	4174
Dabi Din Rai *v.* Muhammad Ali	I. L. R., 3 All., 850	1486
Dabi Dutt Singh *v.* Gobind Pershad	25 W. R., 259	3980
Dabjee Sahoo *v.* Tumeezooddeen	10 W. R., 102	4192
Daboo Jha *v.* Luwa Jha	11 W. R., 223	4412
Dabu. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 47	1808
Dacosta. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 432	5940
——— *v.* Currie	4 B. L. R., A. C., 50	4743
——— *v.* Hall	5 W. R., Mis., 25	5940
——— *v.* Kalee Pershad Singh	12 W. R., 260	449
Dadabhai. In the matter of the petition of	1 Bom., 16	4621
Dadabhai Hussanji *v.* Kuvarbai	10 Bom., 386	5715
Dadabhai Naoroji & Co. *v.* Sorabji Cowasji	3 Bom., O. C., 55	4519
Dadabhai Narsi *v.* Salleman Dassi	5 Bom., A. C., 127	2046
Dadabhai Narsidas *v.* Sub-Collector of Broach	7 Bom., A. C., 82	609, 4147 5811
Dadabhai Nasarvanji *v.* Manikji Shapurji Kaka	7 Bom., O. C., 22	2672
Dada Honaji *v.* Babaji Jagushet	2 Bom., 38 : 2nd Ed., 36	1575, 1817
Dadaji Bhikaji *v.* Rukhmabai	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 529	5097
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 301	5097
Dada valad Valli *v.* Bavasha valad Kasam	6 Bom., A. C., 9	288, 4413
Dadia Bibee. In re the claim of	2 Hyde, 267	3104
Dadibbai Jahangirji *v.* Ramiji bin Bhau	11 Bom., 162	1585, 2055
Dadoba *v.* Krishna	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 34	2991, 3421
Dadoo Mania. In the goods of	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 59	2315, 2526
Dado Ravji *v.* Dinanath Ravji	2 Bom., 77 : 2nd Ed., 72	4967
Dadu valad Ansar *v.* Balgouda bin Shankarappa	5 Bom., A. C., 39	4949

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dagai Dabee *v.* Mothura Nath Chattopadhya	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 854: 12 C. L. R., 530.	2261
Dagdu bin Daud Teli Pardeshi *v.* Shek Saheb valad Badruddin Kamble.	2 Bom., 369: 2nd Ed., 348	2084, 5013
Dagdusa *v.* Shamad	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 542	3300
Dagdusa Tilak Chand *v.* Bhukan Govind Shêt	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 82	356, 5959
Dahoo Munder *v.* Gopee Nund Jha	2 W. R., 79	5024
Daia Chand *v.* Sarfraz Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 117	3215
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 1 All., 425	3214
Daim *v.* Asooba Bebee	2 N. W., 360	3632, 3712
Daimoddee Paik *v.* Kaim Taridar	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 300: 4 C. L. R., 419.	1816
Daitari Mohanti *v.* Jugo Bundhoo Mohanti	23 W. R., 293	1740, 1766 4192
Dajiba Anandrav *v.* Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company.	6 Bom., A. C., 235	1383
Dakhina Dabea *v.* Romesh Chunder Dutt	1 W. R., 142	549
Dakkal Ram *v.* Wazir Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 448	5200
Dal Chund *v.* Soonder	2 Agra, 173	2285
——— *v.* Seeta Koonwar	2 Agra, 152	5109
Daleep Singh *v.* Seith Roshum Lall	1 Agra, 69	1375
Dalgleish *v.* Jeebun Mahto *alias* Jhaw Mahto	25 W R., 230	2792, 5257
Dalip *v.* Ganpat	I. L. R., 8 All., 387	2321
Dalip Singh *v.* Durga Prasad	I. L. R., 1 All., 442	4784, 4815
Dalmiah *v.* Radhapershad Singh	19 W. R., 188	5248
Daloo Ram *v.* Shiva Pershad	2 Agra, 71	2641
Dalpatbhai Bhagubhai *v.* Amarsang Khemabhai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 553	204, 741
Dalpat Narotam *v.* Bhagvan Khushal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 301	2496
Dalpat Singh *v.* Nanabhai	2 Bom., 323: 2nd Ed., 306	2276, 4902
Dalrymple *v.* Bhajan Saha	3 B. L. R., Ap., 54, note	2990
Dalsang Bhavsang *v.* Collector of Kaira	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 367	5635
Dalsukhram Mahasukhram *v.* Lallubhai Moti Chand	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 282	2260, 2432
Dalvi. Ex parte	3 Bom., O. C., 125	935
——— *v.* Lakshuman Hari Patil	4 Bom., A. C., 86	3507
Damodar Das *v.* Gokal Chand	I. L. R., 7 All., 79	4411
——— *v.* Wilayet Husain	I. L. R., 7 All., 763	3751
Damodardas Haridas *v.* Uttam Chand Savia Chand	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 214	786
Damodardas Maniklal *v.* Utamaram Maniklal	10 Bom., 414	4402
Damodar Devchand *v.* Naro Mahadev	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 11	1463
Damodar Gordhan *v.* Devram Kanji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 367: 25 W. R., 261: L. R., 3 I. A., 102.	694
——— *v.* Ganesh Deoram	10 Bom., 37	693
Damodar Gungadhur *v.* Vamaurav Lakshman	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 435	4024, 5860
Damodar Madhowji *v.* Purmanandas Jeewandas	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 155	2511, 4418
Damodar Parsotam *v.* Ishvar Jetha	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 89	1898
Damodar Shaligram *v.* Malhari	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 106	432
Damodhar Bapuji Pachapurkar *v.* Zinga kom Kandlika	7 Bom., A. C., 31	663
Damodhar Lakhmidas *v.* Gulabdas Lalbhai	9 Bom., 254	3474
Damodhar Madhavji *v.* Kahandas Narandas	8 Bom., O. C., 1	698, 4260
Damodhar Timáji Gosávi *v.* Trimbak Sakhárám	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 370	238
Damodhar Tuljaram *v.* Lallu Khusaldas	8 Bom., A. C., 177	1363
Damodhar Vithal Khare *v.* Damodhar Hari Soman	1 Bom., 182	2379
Damodhur Doss *v.* Bholanath	2 N. W., 413	1926
Damodur Biddyadhur Mohapatro *v.* Syamanund Dey	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 385: 8 C. L. R., 514.	4487
Damoodur Bhooshun *v.* Rugho Nath Panja	12 W. R., 242	780
Damoodur Doss. In the matter of	Bourke, Test. 6	3084

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Damoodur Doss *v.* Choonee Bibee	Cor., 120, 123 : 2 Hyde, 216	752
——— *v.* Ritoo Singh	24 W. R., 325	296
Damoodur Misser *v.* Roodurmar Misser	Marsh., 161	5262
——— *v.* Sonabutty Misrain	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 537 : 10 C. L. R., 401.	2459, 2466 2468, 4310
Damudar Dass *v.* Mahoram Pandah	13 C. L. R., 96	1710
Damudar Roy *v.* Nimanund Chuckerbutty	7 B. L. R., Ap., 1 : 15 W. R., 365.	5334
Damunulla Sirkar *v.* Mamudi Nashio	3 B. L. R., A. C., 178 : 11 W. R., 556.	5189
Danaoollah *v.* Amanutoollah	16 W. R., 147	3064
Danappa bin Subrav. In the matter of	1 Bom., 134	2078
Dandekar *v.* Dandekars	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 663	383, 385 389
Daniel *v.* Mohun Bibee	1 Agra, 104	1866
Danmull *v.* British India Steam Navigation Company	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 477	3273, 3343
Danno *v.* Darbo	I. L. R., 4 All., 243	2305
Dantuluri Narayana Gajapati Razu Garu *v.* Surappa Razu.	3 Mad., 113	184, 5771
Dantuluri Rayapparaz *v.* Malapudi Rayudu	2 Mad., 360	2264
Darbaree Sayal *v.* Fatu Dhalee	23 W. R., 285	1449
Darbha Venkamma *v.* Rama Subbarayadu	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 387	844, 1705
Darbba Venkatta Sastri *v.* Vurella Gangaia	2 Mad., 305	1911
Darbo *v.* Keaho Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 356	4875
Dariao Bishoon *v.* Dowluta	5 N. W., 9	3048
Darimba Debia *v.* Nilmonee Singh Deo	13 W. R., 266	4934
——— *v.* ———	15 W. R., 180	5318, 5789
Darimba Debya *v.* Nilmoney Singh Deo	5 C. L. R., 465	4
Darimbo Debee *v.* Hurreehur Mookerjee	18 W. R., 53	5766
Darma Ayyan *v.* Rajapa Ayyan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 181	5701
Darragh & Co. *v.* Purshotam Deveji	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 372	2811
Darshan Singh *v.* Hanwanta	I. L. R., 1 All., 274	4767
Darsun Lall *v.* Jumuk Lall	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 522	1311
Darsu Pandey *v.* Bikarmajit Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 125	2160
Dasaradhi *v.* Joddumoni	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 193	2405
Dasi *v.* Lalanmani	2 B. L. R., P. C., 64 : 11 W. R., P. C., 27.	340
——— *v.* Saudamini Dasi	9 B. L. R., 192, note	497
Dasmani Dasi *v.* Srinath Ghose	3 B. L. R., Ap., 11	6276
Das Merces *v.* Cones	2 Hyde, 65	3785, 6203
Dassee *v.* Pitambur Panda	24 W. R., 129	5329, 5338, 5339
Dassorathy Huri Chunder Mahapattra *v.* Rama Krishna Jana.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 526 : 13 C. L. R., 114.	3030, 4410
Data Hurrukman Pyne *v.* Oodoy Chand Pyne	6 W. R., 247	778
Dataram *v.* Ram Kristo	9 W. R., 594	5002
Dataram Nath *v.* Eshan Chunder Law	11 W. R., 116	36
Datti Parisi Nayudu *v.* Datti Bangaru Nayudu	4 Mad., 204	2320
Dattu *v.* Kasai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 535	3116
Daud *v.* Nathu	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 112	3331
Daud Ali *v.* Nadir Hossein	3 B. L. R., A. C., 46 : 11 W. R., 388.	673
Daudsha *v.* Ismalsha	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 72	3698
Daulatia. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 All., 305	2756, 5550
Daur Sinha *v.* Rughnundun Sinha	3 N. W., 101	5677
Davani Ammal *v.* Ratna Chetti	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 417	3380
David. In the matter of	5 C. L. R., 574	6250

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
David. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 245	4748
——— v. Grish Chunder Guha	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 183 : 11 C. L. R., 305.	1992, 5012, 5667
——— v. Ram Dhun Chatterjee	6 W. R., Act X, 97	1660, 3018
Davidas Harjivandas v. Tyabally Abdulally	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 30	5717
Davies v. Debee Mahtoon	18 W. R., 376	2039
Davis v. Abdool Hamed	8 W. R., 55	2991, 3112
——— v. Koylash Chunder Ghose	13 C. L. R., 336	4218
——— v. Middleton	8 W. R., 282	429, 4237
Davlata v. Ganesh Shastri	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 295	848, 4145
Davlata bin Bhujanga v. Beru bin Yadoji	4 Bom., A. C., 197	3041
Davlatram Shriram v. Bulakidas Khemchand	6 Bom., O. C., 24	2578
Davlatsing v. Pandu	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 176	851, 1093
Dawd Ali v. Nadir Hossein	16 W. R., 153	1224, 5829
Day & Co. v. Justices for the Town of Calcutta	Bourke, O. C., 232	650
Dayabhai Dipchand v. Dullabhram Dayaram	8 Bom., A. C., 133	5640
——— v. Maniklal Vrijbhukan	8 Bom., A. C., 123	5640
Dayabhai Tribhovandas v. Lakhmichand Pana Chand	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 358	1070, 4415
Dayachand Nemchand v. Hemchand Dharam Chand	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 515	1229, 1243, 1904, 6074
Dayal Chand Sahoy v. Nabin Chandra Adhikari	8 B. L. R., 180 : 16 W. R., 235	579, 584, 882, 2743, 4270, 4891
Dayal Jairaj v. Jivraj Ratansi	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 237	1528, 6136
——— v. Khatav Ladha	12 Bom., 97	1679, 3225, 4327
Dayanand v. Bakhtawar Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 333	189, 6091
Dayemoollah v. Kirtee Chunder Surmah	18 W. R., 530	3724
Dayum Khan v. Tunsookh Rai	2 Agra, 189	5104
De Angelis & Co. v. Mayappa Setty	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 578 : 5 C. L. R., 57.	1371
Deans v. Richardson	3 N. W., 54	3890
Deanuth Biswas v. Issur Gine. Ex-parte Hur Chunder Gine.	14 W. R., 52	897
Deanut Mundul v. Bussunt Moyee Dassia	12 W. R., 190	5695
Deanutoollah v. Sidhee Nazir Ali Khan	10 W. R., 341	1875
De Assis v. Dos Angos	17 W. R., 188	1197
Debarsee v. Jouguet	6 N. W., 130	3794
Deb Chunder Doss v. Joy Chunder Pal	22 W. R., 461	2845
Deb Coomaree Dassee v. Gungadhur Dutt	17 W. R., 189	578
Debee Doss v. Lullit Mohun Shah Chowdhry	23 W. R., 195	1490
Debee-Narain Singh v. Sree Kishen Sein	1 W. R., 321	2038
Debee Pershad v. Gujadhur Ram	20 W. R., 73	855
——— v. Umurth Nath Chowdhry	8 W. R., 275	282
Debeepershad Sahoo v. Gujadhurpershad Narain Singh	25 W. R., 374	1164
Debee Pershad Singh v. Delawar Ali	12 W. R., 86	5734
Debendra Kumar Mandel v. Rup Lall Dass	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 546	436, 630
Debendra Nath Shaw v. Aubhoy Churn Bagchi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 905 : 12 C. L. R., 525.	172, 377
Debendro Narain Roy v. Coomar Chundernath Roy	20 W. R., 30	2518
Debendro Nath Mullick v. Odit Churn Mullick	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 390	1357
Debi v. Madan Mohan Singh	2 B. L. R., A. C., 326	4214
Debia v. Brij Lal	3 N. W., 50	5187
Debia Chowdhrain v. Bimola Soonduree Debia	21 W. R., 422	1680
Debi Charan v. Pirbhu Din Ram	I. L. R., 4 All., 388	1482
Debi Churn Biswas. In the matter of the petition of	20 W. R., Cr., 40	5150, 5151

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Debi Churn Boido *v.* Issur Chunder Manjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 39 : 11 C. L. R., 342.	4177
Debi Das *v.* Lachman Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 896	5673
——— *v.* Nur Ahmad	7 N. W., 174	3263, 3274
Debi Dial Singh *v.* Ajaib Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 543	4874
Debi Dutt Sahoo *v.* Subodra Bibee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 283 : 25 W. R., 449.	89
Debi Parshad *v.* Thakur Dial	I. L. R., 1 All., 105	2330
Debiparshad *v.* Phul Koeri *alias* Ghina Koeri	12 W. R., 510	2446
Debi Prasad *v.* Har Dayal	I. L. R., 7 All., 691	5204
——— *v.* Jafar Ali	I. L. R., 3 All., 40	3412, 5084
——— *v.* Rupu	I. L. R., 6 All., 253	5861
Debi Rai *v.* Gokal Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 585	844, 1704
Debi Saran Lal *v.* Debi Saran Upadhia	I. L. R., 6 All., 378	4906
Debi Singh *v.* Bhup Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 709	4441
——— *v.* Hanuman Upadhya	I. L. R., 3 All., 747	5677
Deb Kumari Bibee *v.* Ram Lall Mookerjee	3 B. L. R., Ap., 107 : 12 W. R., 66.	3777, 5374
Debnarain Bose *v.* Comulmonee Dossee	20 W. R., 39	1949
Deb Narain Bose *v.* Leisk	2 Hyde, 267	3104
Deb Narain Singh *v.* Ishan Chunder Malo	13 C. L. R., 153	3148
——— *v.* Rajmonee Koonwar	3 W. R., 168	173, 371
Debnarayan Deb *v.* Kalidas Mitter	6 B. L. R., Ap., 70 : 14 W. R., 397.	1756, 3815
Deb Nath Ghose *v.* Pachoo Mollah	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 8	5694
Deb Nath Roy Chowdhry *v.* Gudadhur Dey	18 W. R., 132	3337
——— *v.* Kally Kisto Roy Chowdhry	1 W. R., 2	4926
Deboki Nundun Sen *v.* Hart	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 294	5423
Deb Pershad *v.* Monga Koonwar	4 W. R., Mis., 19	677
Deb Pooree Boistobee *v.* Kenoo Singh Roy	20 W. R., 357	3042
Debraj Roy *v.* Kartick Chunder Sircar	W. R., 1864, 153	370
Debranee Dossee *v.* Brojunggona Dossee	W. R. F. B., 94	1609
——— *v.* Shital Kareegur	W. R., 1864, Act X, 10	539
De Bretton *v.* De Bretton	I. L. R., 4 All., 49	1556
——— *v.* De Bretton	I. L. R., 4 All., 295	1551
De Couroy *v.* Meghnath Jha	15 W. R., 157	1613
Decree-suits in the Court of Munsif of Debroghur. In the matter of.	7 B. L. R., 305	6021
DeCruz. In re	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 140	1259
Deedar Hossein *v.* Zuhooroonnissa	2 Moore's I. A., 441	3939, 4644
Deegendur Narain Ghose *v.* Hur Kishore Dutt	8 W. R., 88	3513
Deela Mahton. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 70	6255
——— *v.* Sheo Dyal Koeri	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 714	6255
Deela Singh *v.* Toofanee Singh	1 W. R., 307	2357
Deelun Chowdhry *v.* Jeetoo Kaijee	24 W. R., 353	4915
Deen Doyal Lall *v.* Choa Singh	25 W. R., 189	2712
——— *v.* Het Narayan Sing	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 41	2712
Deendoyal Poramanick *v.* Kylas Chunder Pal Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 92 : 24 W. R., 106.	2499
Deen Dyal Agustee *v.* Watson	W. R., 1864, Act X, 113	1642
Deen Dyal Burmo Doss *v.* Poran Doss	9 W. R., 474	3252
Deendyal Lal *v.* Jugdeep Narain Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 198 : 1 C. L. R., 49 : L. R., 4 I. A., 247.	2390, 2396
Deen Dyal Lall *v.* Thukroo Koonwar	6 W. R., Act X, 24	7
Deendyal Paramanick *v.* Juggeshur Roy	Marsh., 252 : 2 Hay, 21	5320
——— *v.* Ram Coomar Chowdhry	9 W. R., 283	752

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Deendyal Paramanick *v.* Ram Coomar Chowdhry	10 W. R., 345	5114, 5919
——— *v.* Suttish Chunder Roy	15 W. R., 272	1635
Deen Dyal Sahoo *v.* Radha Muddun Mohun Doss	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 927 : 9 W. R., 223.	211
Deen Dyal Singh *v.* Danee Roy	13 W. R., 185	2890, 6238
——— *v.* Heera Singh	2 N. W., 338	3067
Deeno Moyee Dossee *v.* Doorga Pershad Mitter	3 W. R., Mis., 6	676
——— *v.* Tarachurn Coondoo Chowdhry	3 W. R., Mis., 7, note : Bourke, A. O. C., 48.	676
Deep Chand *v.* Gouree	13 W. R., 98	5943
Deep Narain Singh *v.* Lal Chutterput Singh	6 W. R., P. C., 27	5370
Deepo Dabea *v.* Gobindo Deb	11 B. L. R., 131, note : 16 W. R., 42.	2218
Deepo Debia *v.* Gobindo Deb	16 W. R. 42	2501, 3417
Degamber Mozumdar *v.* Kally Nath Roy	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 654 : 9 C. L. R., 265.	30, 3149 3868
Degumber Dossee *v.* Kissen Dhur Nundy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 35	4902
Degumber Paul *v.* Kallydoss Dutt	8 W. R., Cr., 82	973
Degumbery Dossee *v.* Anundnath Roy	W. R., 1864, 43	4469
Degumbur Chatterjee *v.* Ram Prea Dabea	Marsh., 517 : 2 Hay, 583	352
Degumburee Dabea *v.* Shamasoonduree Debea	W. R., 1864, Act X, 81	536
Degumburee Dabee *v.* Eshan Chunder Sein	9 W. R., 230	1144
Degumbur Mitter *v.* Gobindo Chunder Haldar	Marsh., 354 : 2 Hay, 402	1630
Delanney *v.* Bibeejan	22 W. R., 494	4944
Delauney *v.* Kofilooddeen	25 W. R., 35	3007
Delawur Ali *v.* Dabee Pershad	11 W. R., 203	238, 4927
Delhi and London Bank *v.* Hunter	3 N. W., 264	4615
——— *v.* Miller	7 B. L. R., Ap., 65	4422
——— *v.* Orchard	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 47 : L. R., 4 I. A., 127.	3132, 4981 5876
——— *v.* Wordie	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 249 : 25 W. R., 272.	2830
Delhi Bank Corporation *v.* Greenway	Bourke, O. C., 227	4613, 4615
Delroos Banoo Begum *v.* Ashgar Ally Khan	15 B. L. R., 167 : 23 W. R., 453.	113, 1246 4233
Delrus Banoo Begum *v.* Abdoor Ruhman	21 W. R., 368	164
DeMello *v.* Broughton	11 B. L. R., Ap., 6	2591
Dena Gazee *v.* Mohinee Mohun Doss	21 W. R., 157	1643
Dena Nath Banerjee *v.* Hari Dasi	I. L R., 11 Calc., 499	5773
Dengoo Shaikh *v.* Adam Sircar	17 W. R., Cr., 37	4097, 5166
Denobundhoo Bhadooree *v.* Prankishen Surma	20 W. R., 146	1629
——— Chowdhry *v.* Kristomonee Dossee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 152	5012
——— Pal *v.* Shushi Mohun Pal Chowdhry	12 C. L. R., 60	5440
——— Sadhoo *v.* Khetternath Tewarry	2 Hay, 20	4821
Denobundhu Ghose *v.* Nistarini Dossee	12 C. L. R., 50	1758
Deno Moyee Dossee *v.* Doorga Pershad Mitter	3 W. R., Mis., 6	2145
——— *v.* Tarrachurn Coondoo Chowdhry	Bourke, O. C., 153	6275
Denonath Chuckerbutty *v.* Lallit Coomar Gangopadhya.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 633 : 12 C. L R., 146.	3505
Denonath Gangooly *v.* Nursingh Proshad Doss	14 B. L. R., 87 : 22 W. R., 90	3389, 3431 4007
Denonath Ghattack *v.* Rajcoomar Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573	6068
Denonath Mullick. In re	10 B. L. R., 80 and 82, note	1574
Denonath Ruckit *v.* Mutty Lal Paul	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 125 : 1 Hyde, 158.	434, 1896
Denonath Shaw *v.* Hurry Narain Shaw	12 B. L. R., 349	2348, 3364
Denonauth Sreemany *v.* Hogg	1 Hyde, 141	2823

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Denoo Panoye *v.* Chinta Monee Chowdhry	18 W. R., 457	765
Deo Chand Sahoo *v.* Teeluck Singh	14 W. R., 238	3923
Deo Dat *v.* Ram Autar	I. L. R., 8 All., 502	1463, 3990 4547
Deodhari Singh *v.* Lalla Sewsarun Lal	3 C. L. R., 395	4973
Deo Dhary Singh *v.* Dowlut Ram	3 C. L. R., 189	572
Deojeet *v.* Bhugwant	6 N. W., 373	1602, 5876
Deojit *v.* Pitambar	I. L. R., 1 All., 275	1115, 3886 4767
Deo Karun *v.* Mohamed Ali Shah	3 N. W., 328	2004, 3128
Deokee *v.* Sookhdeo	2 N. W., 361	2340, 2341 2524
Deokee Nundun *v.* Oomrao Singh	2 Agra, 248	2812
Deokee Nundun Roy *v.* Kalee Pershad	W. R., 1864, Mis., 34	322
——— *v.* ———	8 W. R., 366	5024, 5063
Deoki Nandan *v.* Dhian Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 467	3023
Deokishen *v.* Bansi	I. L. R., 8 All., 172	5035, 5793
——— *v.* Budh Prakash	I. L. R. 5 All., 909	2337
——— *v.* Maheshar Shahai	I. L. R., 4 All., 248	242
Deolie Chand *v.* Nirban Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 252 : 4 C. L. R., 150.	3908
Deonarain Singh *v.* Nasek Pershad	2 N. W., 217	1744, 3821
Deo Nath *v.* Peer Khan	3 Agra, 16	477
Deo Nundun Agha *v.* Deshputty Singh	8 C. L. R., 210, note	1162, 3383
Deopershad *v.* Lujoo Roy	14 B. L. R., 245, note: 20 W. R., 102.	2306, 2496
Deo Prosad Sing *v.* Pertab Kairee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 86 : 13 C. L. R., 218.	3194
Deoputtee Koonwar *v.* Dhamoo Lall	11 W. R., 240	2080
Deoranel Koowar *v.* Indurjeet Koowar	12 W. R., 234	4473, 4992
Deorani Koer *v.* Parusman Narain	12 C. L. R., 546	92
Deo Sarun Singh *v.* Tulsi Kant	12 C. L. R., 221	4504
Deo Surun Poory *v.* Mahomed Ismail	24 W. R., 300	3411, 5761
DePenning *v.* Debendronath Moitro	9 W. R., 549	394
Deputy Commissioner of Beerbhoom *v.* Rungo Lall Deo.	Marsh., 117 : W. R., F. B., 34 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 34 : 1 Hay, 200.	2039
Deputy Commissioner of Nowgong *v.* Nothiram Bhuaya.	21 W. R., 435	2000
Deputy Commissioner of Rae Bareli *v.* Rampal Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 237 : L. R., 12 I. A., 1.	3901
Desai Himatsingji Joravarsingji *v.* Bhavabhai Kayabhai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 643	614, 1121 5502
Desai Kalyanrai Hakumatrai. Ex parte	4 Bom., A. C., 145	5830
Desai Kalyanraya Hukamatraya *v.* Government of Bombay.	5 Bom., A. C., 1	2060
Desai Manoklal Amrat Lal *v.* Desai Shivlal Bhogi Lal	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 426	3297
Desaji Lakhmaji *v.* Bhavanidas Narotamdas	8 Bom., A. C., 100	184, 289 5771
Desalji Manaji *v.* Hemadalli Imam Haidarbaksha	10 Bom., 308	5683
DeSaran *v.* Hurrish Chunder Biswas	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 6	5278, 6236
Desaratulla *v.* Nazir Ali Khan	1 B. L. R., A. C., 216	1875
Deshan Hossein *v.* Khodija	8 W. R., 64	780
DeSilva *v.* Ameer Shaha	16 W. R., 303	5617
——— *v.* DeSilva	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16 : Bourke, O. C., 281.	6203
——— *v.* Jehangeer	7 W. R., Cr., 23	4725

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
DeSilva v. Raj Coomar Dutt	16 W. R., 153	1621, 5109
——— v. Teheranee	9 W. R., 374	3834
DeSouza v. Coles	3 Mad., 384	2809, 3093
——— v. DeSouza	12 Bom., 184	1944
——— v. Pestanji Dhanjibhai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 408	316, 3638
——— v. Rangalan	6 Mad., 257	4072
——— v. Secretary of State for India	12 B. L R., 423	1944
Desputty Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 208 : 25 W. R., 489.	4669
——— v. Doolar Roy. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 291	3146
Desraj v. Dhuni	7 N. W., 9	2881
Devaji v. Ramakristniah	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 173	5847
Devaji Gayaji v. Godabhai Godbhai	2 B. L. R., P. C., 85 : 11 W. R., P. C., 35.	1785, 1848 4461, 4653
Devaji Gayaji v. Godadbhai Godebhai	2 Bom., 28 : 2nd Ed., 27	1506
Devappa Setti v. Ramanadha Bhatt	3 Mad., 109	758
Devarakonda Narasamma v. Devarakonda Kanaya	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 134	1204, 5021
Devaran v. Ventesa Achariyar	1 Mad., 452	4247
Devasagayam Pitchamathoo v. Naiyagam	7 Mad., 284	1550
Deva Singh v. Ram Manohar	I. L. R., 2 All., 746	2181
Devgir Guru Sumbhagir. Ex parte	4 Bom., A. C., 91	4338
Devidas Jagjivan v. Pirjada Begam	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 377	3459, 4677 4776
Devi Dutt. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 193	3603
Devkabai v. Jefferson	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 248	1217
Devrav Krishna v. Halambhai	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 87	5050
Devsi Ghela v. Jivaraj Mukundas	2 Bom., 430 : 2nd Ed., 406	6121
Devu v. Deyi	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 353	2219
Dewan Ali v. Munsoor Ali	11 W. R., 259	3147
——— v. Soroshibala Dabee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 297 : 10 C. L. R., 111.	791
Dewan Munar Ali v. Ashurooddeen Mahomed	5 W. R., 270	3711
Dewan Ramjewan Singh v. Collector of Shahabad	14 B. L. R., 221, note : 18 W. R., 64.	65, 2877
Dewan Roy v. Riddell	9 W. R., 521	5380
Dewanti Kunwar v. Dwarkanath	8 B. L. R., 363, note : 10 W. R., 273.	2447
Dewar & Co. Ex parte	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 411	2660
Dhadphale v. Gurav	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 122	5252
Dhajah Roy v. Sukhawut Hossein	Marsh., 492	5268
Dham Mundul. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 53	1290
Dhanak Singh v. Chain Sukh	I. L. R., 8 All., 61	4090
Dhan Bhagut v. Ramessur Dutt Singh	20 W. R., 53	759
Dhan Bibee v. Haradhan Ram	2 B. L. R., Ap. 11 : 11 W. R., 4.	201
Dhanessuree v. Goodhur Sahoy	11 W. R., 421	3535
Dhanjibhai Bomanji Gugrat v. Navazbai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 75	130, 2586 4241
Dhanjibhai Kharsetji Ratnagar. In re	10 Bom., 327	2642
Dhanno Sirang v. Upendra Mohan Tagore	8 B. L. R., 244	6204
Dhanobar Ghose. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., 483 : 15 W. R., Cr., 33.	256
Dhanookdharee Sahee v. Toomey	20 W. R., 142	1744
Dhanpat Singh v. Lilanand Singh	2 B. L. R., Ap., 18 : 11 W. R., 28.	1865, 3500
Dhanpat Singh Bahadoor v. Madhumati Debi	9 B. L. R., 197, note : 18 W. R., 316.	498

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dhanpat Singh Dogar *v.* Rahman Mandol	2 B. L. R., A. C., 269: 11 W. R., 163.	555
Dhan Singh *v.* Basant Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 519	3144, 5964
Dhanuk Dhari Singh *v.* Gapi Singh	6 B. L. R., Ap., 151: 15 W. R., 191.	3430
Dharam Chand *v.* Janki	I. L. R., 5 All., 389	2433, 5685
Dharamdas Bhavanidas. Ex parte	3 Bom., A. C., 104	168
Dharamdas Santidas *v.* Vaman Govind	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 237	5894
Dharanamma *v.* Subba	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 306	3521
Dharani Kant Chowdry *v.* Kristo Kumari Chowdhrani	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 181: L. R., 13 I. A., 70.	471
Dharma Dagu *v.* Ramkrishna Chimnaji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 80	2127
Dharmadas Ghose *v.* Nusseruddin	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 660	3863
Dharmaji Vaman *v.* Gurrav Shrinivas	10 Bom., 311	995 2099
Dharma Vithal *v.* Govind Sadvalkar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 99	3208, 3214
Dharm Singh *v.* Hurpershad Singh	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 38	4459, 6001
Dharoo Sooklain *v.* Court of Wards	11 W. R., 336	2342
Dharram Singh *v.* Kishen Singh	12 C. L. R., 532	1939
Dharup Nath *v.* Gobind Saran	I. L. R., 8 All., 614	1849, 2292 2477
Dhaunsee *v.* India General Steam Navigation Co.	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 125: 1 Hyde, 283.	592
Dheeraj Mahatab *v.* Mudoosoodun Mookerjee	Marsh., 572	238
Dheeraj Mahatab Chand *v.* Debender Nath Thakoor	W. R., 1864, Act X, 68	1390, 5750
Dheeraj Mahtab Chand *v.* Dono Nath Roy	Marsh., 340: 2 Hay, 445	5240
Dheeraj Raja Mahatab Chund *v.* Government of Bengal	4 Moore's I. A., 466	3125, 4206 4648
Dheeraj Singh *v.* Deen Dyal Singh	11 W. R., 144	5617
Dheermoney Dossee *v.* Croft	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 20	5257
Dheput Singh *v.* Halal Khoory Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 279	3001
——— *v.* Mothooranath Jah	W. R., 1864, 278	5355
Dheraj Koer *v.* Adjoodhya Bux Singh	3 N. W., 91	87
Dheraj Mahatab Chand *v.* Huro Mohun Acharjee	W. R., 1864, Mis., 1	2234
——— *v.* Modhoo Soodun Bonnerjee	15 W. R., 162	3495
——— *v.* Pearce Dossee	6 W. R., Mis., 61	201, 897 1919
Dheraj Mahatab Chunder *v.* Munmohinee Dossee	12 W. R., 517	1920
Dheraj Mahtab Chand *v.* Chittro Coomaree Bibee	16 W. R., 201	2951
——— *v.* Damooder Singh	W. R., 1864, 65	4424
——— *v.* Dhun Coomaree Bibee	17 W. R., 254	406
——— *v.* Hurdeo Narain Sahoo	16 W. R., 119	899, 1501
——— *v.* Moorleedhur Ghose	15 W. R., 67	3492, 3495
Dheraj Mahtab Chund *v.* Burodanath Mundul	18 W. R., 411	435
——— *v.* Radha Binode Chowdhry	8 W. R., 517	5737
Dhian Rai *v.* Thakur Rai	I. L. R., 5 All., 25	2867
Dhiraj Kuar *v.* Bikramajit Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 787	1106
Dhiraj Mahatab Chand *v.* Makund Bullabh Bose	9 B. L. R., Ap., 13: 14 W. R., 246.	4925, 4935
——— *v.* Surnomoyee Dossee	12 B. L. R., 414, note: 15 W. R., 222.	439
Dhiraj Mahtab Chand. In the matter of the petition of	2 B. L. R., A. C., 217	5422, 5942
——— *v.* Bacharam Hazra	5 B. L. R., 162: 13 W. R., F. B., 74.	3457
——— *v.* Bulram Singh Baboo	5 B. L. R., 611: 14 W. R., P. C., 21: 13 Moore's I. A., 479.	3480, 3508
——— *v.* Debkumari Debi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 26	2692

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dhiraj Mahtab Chand *v.* Lakhi Bibi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 146	1879, 3526
——— *v.* Naduroonnissa Bebee	4 W. R., 32	4950
——— *v.* Ram Brahma Mullick	4 B. L. R., A. C., 115 : 13 W. R., 38.	3523
Dhiraj Mahtab Chund *v.* Shagor Kundu	5 B. L. R., Ap., 91	5943
Dhodheya *v.* Munaran Tewary	7 W. R., 356	1530
Dhonaye Mundul *v.* Arif Mundul	9 W. R., 306	5073
Dhondhai Singh *v.* Suleemooddeen Hossein	24 W. R., 359	474
Dhondha Rai *v.* Megho Rai	I. L. R., 4 All., 332	3995
Dhondiba Krishnaji Patel *v.* Ramchandra Bhagwat	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 554	333, 3287 4466, 6112
Dhondiba Lakshuman *v.* Kusa	6 Bom., A. C., 219	3860
Dhondi Jagannath *v.* Collector of Salt Revenue	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 28	243
Dhondo Ramchandra *v.* Balkrishna Gobind	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 190	2512, 4024
Dhondo Sakharam *v.* Govind Babaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 20	6078
Dhondu Gurav *v.* Gangabai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 369	2210
Dhondu Jagannath *v.* Narayan Ramchandra	1 Bom., 47	2499, 5816
Dhondu Mathuradas Naik *v.* Ramji valad Hanmanta Kakda.	4 Bom., A. C., 114	5411
Dhonender Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Mutty Lall Mookerjee	14 B. L. R., 276 : 23 W. R., 6 : L. R., 2 I. A., 18.	129, 1946
Dhonendro Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Mutty Loll Mookerjee	Cor., 57	6128
Dhonessur Kooer *v.* Roy Gooder Sahoy	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 336	3181, 3489 3492
Dhooka Thakoor *v.* Ram Lall Sahoo	7 W. R., 408	1811
Dhoolee Chund *v.* Rajroop Kooer	15 W. R., 107	3006
Dhoolhin Hurpaul Koonwaree *v.* Urbuck Singh	3 Agra, 18	37
Dhoondh Bahadoor Singh *v.* Priag Singh	17 W. R., 314	5753, 5755
Dhoree Roy *v.* Buldeb Narain Singh	W. R., 1864, 345	5394
Dhumee Behara *v.* Sevenoaks	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 80	3795
Dhum Singh *v.* Ganga Ram	I. L. R., 8 All., 214	3296
Dhundi *v.* Ram Lal	7 N. W., 149	3425, 4475 4989
Dhundiram Santukram *v.* Chanda Nalai	2 Bom., 103 : 2nd Ed., 98	2602
Dhunee Ram *v.* Amanut Hossein	2 Agra, Pt. II, 161	4166
——— *v.* Moorlee Dhur	2 Agra, 325	692
——— *v.* ———	2 Agra, 326	692
Dhunjeebhoy Byramjee Mehta *v.* Betham	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 305	596
Dhunka Devla *v.* Hira Ramla	4 Bom., A. C., 57	5141
Dhunkristo Roy *v.* Huro Chunder Roy	5 W. R., 197	5789
Dhunkristo Sein *v.* Erskine & Co.	16 W. R., 54	3106
Dhunmonee Chowdhrain *v.* Brindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdry.	7 W. R., 160	3192
Dhun Monee Chowdhrain *v.* Golam Kasom	23 W. R., 331	4474
——— *v.* Woomachurn Roy	23 W. R., 445	5794
Dhun Monee Debee *v.* Suttoorghun Seal	6 W. R., Act X, 100	4151
Dhunesh Koeree *v.* Oolfut Hossein	21 W. R., 219	1882
Dhunno Kazi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 121	6260
——— *v.* Empress	10 C. L. R., 151	6260
Dhunnoo *v.* Damodur Doss	2 N. W., 177	6076
Dhunnoo Chowdhry *v.* Bukshun	12 W. R., 266	5705
Dhunno Sowdagur *v.* Sunnoo Bibee	15 W. R., 106	404
Dhunoo *v.* Brown	21 W. R., Cr., 25	4508
Dhuncokdharee Lall *v.* Gunput Lall	11 B. L. R., 201, note : 10 W. R., 122.	2361
Dhunput Singh. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 30	969
——— *v.* Boojah Sahoo	4 W. R., 53	3373

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Colum of Digest.
Dhunput Singh v. Denobhundu Saha	9 C. L. R., 279	1105
——— v. Forbes	1 Ind Jur., N. S., 354	5614
——— v. Gooman Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 61	1588, 4394
——— v. ———	9 W. R., P. C., 3: 11 Moore's I. A., 433.	1586, 1588 3067
——— v. Indurchunder Doogur	13 W. R., 121	5944
——— v. Jhoomuk Khawas	3 C. L. R., 579	4408
——— v. Lekraj Roy	1 C. L. R., 525	3319
——— v. Mills	7 W. R., 473	4924
——— v. Narain Pershad Singh	20 W. R., 94	4560, 4859
——— v. Prem Bibee	24 W. R., 72	776, 5583
——— v. Russomoyee Chowdhrain	10 W. R., 461	4211
——— v. Sham Soonder Mitter	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 291: 4 C. L. R., 501.	5017
——— v. Shoobhudra Kumari	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 620: 11 C. L. R., 285.	1250
——— v. Wooma Sunkuree Goopta	21 W. R., 337	1881
Dhunput Singh Dogare v. Golam Hadeo	2 Hyde, 106: Cor., 12	2706
Dhunput Singh Doogur v. Government	17 W. R., 489	670
——— v. Jowahur Ali	8 W. R., 152	1813
——— v. Ruhman Mundul	9 W. R., 329	556
Dhunput Singh Roy v. Mudhomottee Debia	11 B. L. R., 23: 18 W. R., 76.	3515
——— v. Villayet Ali	13 B. L. R., 153, note: 15 W. R., 211.	5337
Dhunputty Koer v. Lloyd	17 W. R., 277	3286
Dhun Rae v. Ramphul Rae	2 N. W., 109	2772
Dhunraj v. Broughton	15 B. L. R., 296	128
——— v. Govindaram	1 B. L. R., O. C., 76	2818
——— v. Secretary of State for India	1 N. W., 118: Ed. 1873, 204.	3790, 4422
Dhunraj Giri Goswami v. Sripati Giri Goswami	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 27	683, 4499
Dhunraj Kooeree v. Roodur Pertab Singh	3 Agra, 300: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 155.	81
Dhunraj Koonwar v. Ooggur Narain Koonwar	15 W. R., 2	4148
Dhun Singh v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 25	4149
——— v. Ram Suhai	2 Agra, 39	3658
Dhun Singh Roy v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee	4 W. R., Act X, 43	1610, 1760
Dhunsook Doss v. Hurry Baboo	Bourke, O. C., 115	752, 5131
Dhunum Kazee. In the matter of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 53: 11 C. L. R., 169.	2013, 6161 6164
Dhunundro Chunder Mookerjee v. Laidlay	20 W. R., 400	2996
Dhununjoy Ghose v. Bemal Dhara Bagdee	17 W. R., 514	4759
Dhurai Noshyo v. Hubee Noshyo	7 W. R., Cr., 12	957, 958
Dhurandhardas Sakharam v. Bhau Govind	10 Bom., 4	4522
Dhurjobutty Chowdrain v. Chamroo Mundul	25 W. R., 217	542
Dhurm Chand Sreomul v. Hurrish Chunder Doobey	2 Hay, 115	4615
Dhurm Chund Shatea v. Rajmohishee Debee	5 W. R., 145	2342
Dhurm Dass Pandey v. Shama Soondery Debia	6 W. R., P. C., 43: 3 Moore's I. A., 229.	321, 2141 2361
Dhurmdeo Narain Singh v. Nund Lall Singh	6 N. W., 257	4767
Dhurm Narain Singh v. Bundhoo Ram	12 W. R., 75	3833
Dhurmo Doss Koondoo v. Koylash Kaminee Dossia	12 W. R., 372	1515, 5740
Dhurmo Narain Sahu v. Dassee	18 W. R., 440	1365
Dhurm Roy v. Muddoosoodun Prosad Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 117	3874
Dhuronidhur Sen v. Agra Bank	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 380: 2 C. L. R., 283: 3 C. L. R., 421.	2609

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dhuronidhur Sen *v.* Agra Bank	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 86 : 4 C. L. R., 434.	2610, 3269
Dhurony Mojoomdar *v.* Bissambhur Mookerjee	2 W. R., Act X, 103	5080
Dhurrum Dass *v.* Mussoorie Savings Bank	6 N. W., 296	443
Dhurrum Singh *v.* Kissen Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 767 : 9 C. L. R., 410.	110, 111
Dhurum Rawoot *v.* Ram Nath Sahoo	2 Hay, 585	2751
Dhurundro Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Annund Moyee Dossee	1 W. R., 103	3389, 4470
Dial Singh *v.* Jawahir Singh	2 Agra, 108	5626
Dianat-ullah Beg *v.* Wajid Ali Shah	I. L. R., 6 All., 438	3483
Dianut Hosen. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 14	5165
Dibeah *v.* Koond Luta	7 W. R., P. C., 44 : 4 Moore's I. A., 292.	2280
Dick *v.* Heseltine	1 N. W., 196 : Ed. 1873, 280	524
Digambur Chatterjee *v.* Ram Roodro Gungopadhya	13 W. R., 39	1209
Digamburee Dabee *v.* Nundgopal Banerjee	1 W. R., Mis., 1	1074
Digamburee Debia *v.* Esban Chunder Sein	15 W. R., 372	1144, 5439
——— *v.* Saroda Pershad Roy	3 W. R., Mis., 27	3204
——— *v.* Sharoda Pershad Roy	5 W. R., Mis., 46	1144
Digamburee Dossee *v.* Poornanand Dey	7 W. R., 401	179
Digambur Mitter *v.* Huropershad Roy Chowdhry	7 W. R., 126	2975
Digdayi *v.* Bhatan Lal	5 B. L. R., 448, note : 11 W. R., 500.	2286
Digumburee Dabee. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 938	1144
Digumburee Dossee *v.* Baneemadhub Ghose	15 W. R., 155	1393, 4132
Digumber Roy Chowdhry *v.* Moti Lal Bundopadhya	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 563 : 12 C. L. R., 204.	2290
Dijahur Dutt. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 647	1305
Dikshit *v.* Dikshit	2 Bom., 4	5748
Dila Kuari *v.* Jagar Nath Kuari	I. L. R., 6 All., 17	4545
Dila Mondul *v.* Kally Sahee	16 W. R., Cr., 63	1853
Dilbassee Koonwaree Mothee *v.* Gunga Pershad	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 278	4956
Dildar Hossein *v.* Mujeedunnissa	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 629	784
Dildur *v.* Issur Chunder Roy	21 W. R., 36	583
Dilloo Singh *v.* Ootim Singh	22 W. R., Cr., 9	4735
Dinabandhu *v.* Lokanadhasami	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 322	3025
Dinabandhu Chowdry *v.* Rajmohini Chowdrain	8 B. L. R., Ap., 32	1245
Dinanath Abaji *v.* Sadashiv Hari Madhave	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 9	2842
Dinanath Das *v.* Gugan Chandra Sen	7 B. L. R., Ap., 45, note : 14 W. R., 274.	1626
Dinanath Mookerjee *v.* Debnath Mullick	5 B. L. R., Ap., 1 : 13 W. R., 307.	1775, 5696
Dindayal Paramanik *v.* Radha Kishori Debi	8 B. L. R., 536 : 17 W. R., 415	550
——— *v.* Surendranath Roy	3 B. L. R., A. C., 78, note : 10 W. R., 77.	320, 2932
Dindayal Shaha *v.* Ganesh Mahatun	6 B. L. R., 561, note : 12 W. R., 528, note.	3978
Dindoyal Poramanick *v.* Pran Kishen Paul Chowdhry	Marsh., 394 : W. R., F. B., 117 : 2 Hay, 423.	6175
Dindyal Lall *v.* Ramruttun Neoghee	16 W. R., 46	3779
Dindyal Paramanick *v.* Dino Bundhoo Chowdry	21 W. R., 412	494
Dinendra Nath Sannyal *v.* Chandra Kishore Munshi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436	6034
Dinendronath Sannial *v.* Ramkumar Ghose	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 107 : 10 C. L. R., 281 : L. R., 8 I. A., 65.	444, 5378
Dinendro Nath Shanial. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 851	3622
Dinkar Sitaram *v.* Ganesh Shivram	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 505	2124

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dinkishen Shatrah *v.* Gungadhur Mookerjee	2 Hay, 582	2488
Dinkur Doyal *v.* Sheo Golam Singh	22 W. R., 172	4028, 5013
Din Muhammad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 5 All., 226	3648, 3741
Dinobundhoo Chowdhry *v.* Dinonath Mookerjee	19 W. R., 168	1181, 3808
——— *v.* Rajmohinee Chowdry	15 W. R., 73	671, 2411
——— *v.* Rajmohini Chowdhrain	16 W. R., 213	1245
Dinobundhoo Chutteraj *v.* Beharee Lal Mookerjee	3 W. R., Mis., 23	194, 5729
Dinobundhoo Dey *v.* Ramdhone Roy	9 W. R., 522	5174
Dinobundhoo Ghose *v.* Drobomoyee Dossia	24 W. R., 110	1177
Dinobundhoo Nundee *v.* Keshub Chunder Ghose	3 W. R., Mis., 25	3836
Dinobundhoo Pal *v.* Shoshee Mohun Pal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 217	5440
Dinobundhoo Singh *v.* Macnaghten	2 C. L. R., 185	3778
Dinobundhoo Suhaye *v.* Court of Wards	11 W. R., 347	4457, 5983
——— *v.* Furlong	9 W. R., 155	1810, 4174
Dinobundoo Roy *v.* Oomachurn Chowdhry	23 W. R., 53	1186
Dinomonee Banerjee *v.* Gyrutoollah Khan	2 W. R., 138	6007
Dinomoney Dabee *v.* Doorgapersad Mozoomdar	12 B. L. R., 274: 21 W. R., 70	3117
Dino Moyee Chowdhrain *v.* Rehling	2 W. R., Mis., 25	2145
Dinomoyi Dabia Chowdhrain *v.* Anungo Moyi	4 C. L. R., 599	5041
Dinomoyi Debi *v.* Roy Luchmiput Singh	L. R., 7 I. A., 8	1770, 3207
Dinonath Addy *v.* Weller	7 W. R., 16	5708
Dino Nath Buroos. In the matter of	18 W. R., Cr., 32	15
Dinonath Butobyal *v.* Womachurn Roy	3 W. R., 128	3982
Dino Nath Chuckerbutty *v.* Hurgobind Pal	16 W. R., Cr., 23	2024, 2025
Dino Nath Das *v.* Bonomali Chatterji	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 941: 4 C. L. R., 278.	1622
Dinonath Ghose *v.* Auluck Moni Dabee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 753: 10 C. L. R., 129.	4838
Dinonath Gope *v.* Saroda Mookhopadhya	7 W. R., Cr., 47	977, 6260
Dinonath Lakhan *v.* Mohurum Mullick	7 C. L. R., 138	1186
Dinonath Mookerjee *v.* Debnath Mookerjee	14 W. R., 429	4814
Dinonath Mukerji *v.* Gopal Chunder Mukerji	8 C. L. R., 57: 9 C. L. R., 379.	1500, 2139 2289
Dinonath Mullick. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 133	4721
——— *v.* Girija Prosonno Mookerjee	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 133	4721
Dinonath Panday *v.* Roghoonath Panday	5 W. R., Act X, 41	3158
Dino Nath Rana *v.* Rubeebunnissa Bibee	20 W. R., 270	3366
Dinonath Sen *v.* Guruchurn Pal	14 B. L. R., 287: 21 W. R., 310.	842
Dinonath Sreemonee *v.* Hogg	2 Hay, 395	4715
Dinoo Singh *v.* Doorga Pershad	18 W. R., 348	1810
Dinarini Debi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 880: 11 C. L. R., 190.	4671
——— *v.* Doibo Chunder Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 880; 11 C. L. R., 190.	4671
Dipchand *v.* Gokaldas	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 363	1520
Dip Narain Rai *v.* Dipan Rai	I. L. R., 8 All., 185	2731
Dipsangji Jitsangji *v.* Fattesangji Jasvat Sangji	5 Bom., A. C., 59	4389
Dirgaj Singh *v.* Debi Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 499	4903
Dirganj Singh *v.* Foorsut	1 N. W., 99: Ed. 1873, 144	5194
Dirgopal Lall *v.* Bolakee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 269	4482
Dirgpal Rai *v.* Bhondo Rai	2 Agra, 341	1163
Dirg Paul Singh *v.* Gainda Koonwar	1 Agra, Mis., 13	676
Dissent *v.* Justices of the Peace for the Town of Calcutta.	5 B. L. R., Ap., 24: 20 W. R., 349, note.	5721
Ditta Harakman Singh. In re	3 B. L. R., F. B., 45	447, 5536

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dittia Hurruckman Singh *v.* Modhoosoodun Pyne. In the matter of.	12 W. R., F. B., 16	447, 5536
Divethi Varada Ayyangar *v.* Krishnasami Ayyangar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 117	1775, 4816
Diwali *v.* Apaji Ganesh	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 342	412
Diwan Singh *v.* Bharat Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 206	5273
Docowri Kazi. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 517: 6 W. R., Act X, 53.	5917
Dods *v.* Stewart	8 B. L. R., 340: 17 W. R., 49	731, 1221
Doe d. Kullammal *v.* Kuppu Pillai	1 Mad., 85	2300, 2509 3233, 4458 5812
Doe d. Seeb Kristo *v.* East India Company	6 Moore's I. A., 267	35, 2212
Doibo Chunder Roy *v.* Woomamoyee Debia	19 W. R., 321	5761
Dokhina Kally Debee *v.* Deno Nath Roy Chowdhry	3 C. L. R., 9	475
Dolee Chand *v.* Goor Dyal Singh	24 W. R., 218	3304
Dole Gobind Goopto *v.* Batoo *alias* Kisto Chunder Chuckerbutty.	22 W. R., 405	4229
Dole Gobind Singh *v.* Chamoo Singh	10 W. R., 312	1756
Dol Gobindmony Debia *v.* Imdad Ali	5 W. R., 170	5377
Doli Sati *v.* Ikram Ali	4 C. L. R., 63	1179
Dolsang Bhavsang *v.* Collector of Kaira	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 467	2892
Doma Roy *v.* Mellon	20 W. R., 416	1648
Doma Sahu *v.* Nathai Khan	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 50	4012
Domestic servants. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 32	99
Dom Kumar *v.* Soorjo Dutt Surmah	22 W. R., 259	5668
Domun *v.* Emaum Ally	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 401	1194
——— *v.* Sudunkoolah	1 B. L. R., S. N., 25: 10 W. R., 253.	3156, 5187
Domun Lall *v.* Pudmun Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 129	4212
Domun Singh *v.* Bhuggobutty Debea	8 W. R., 215	4141
——— *v.* Kaseeram	5 W. R., P. C., 39: 1 Moore's I. A., 366.	1145
Donnelly. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 405	5150, 5157 5158
Donunjoy Dey *v.* Dwarka Nath Singh	5 W. R., 280	144
Donzelle *v.* Kadernath Chuckerbutty	7 B. L. R., 720: 16 W. R., 186	1681
——— *v.* Ramnarain Singh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 51	4917
——— *v.* Tek Narain Singh	2 W. R., Act X, 103	2981
Donzelli *v.* Tekan Nodaf	2 C. L. R., 558	583
Doobee Singh *v.* Jowkee Ram	3 Agra, 381: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 172.	5243
Doobri Hulwai *v.* Anonymous	19 W. R., Cr., 37	1292
Dookeeram Sircar *v.* Gowhur Mundul	10 W. R., 397	1188
Dookhai Meer *v.* Nassir	20 W. R., 110	4829
Dookhchore Raj *v.* Hidayutoollah	Agra, F. B., 7: Ed. 1874, 5	3890, 3958
Dookhee Khan *v.* Rajessuree Ranee	15 W. R., 371	5705
Doolab Sircar *v.* Kristo Coomar Bukshee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 407: 2 W. R., 303.	1706
Doolal Bibee *v.* Nada Shaha	13 W. R., 446	4823
Doolal Chunder Deb *v.* Goluck Monee Debia	22 W. R., 360	5395
Doolal Chunder Dehimgur Gossamey *v.* Binaud Chunder *alias* Soda Shobhun Chunder Dehimgur Gossamey.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 925	2915
Doolar Chand Sahoo *v.* Lalla Bisheshur Dyal	L. R., 6 I. A., 47: 3 C. L. R., 561.	5306
——— *v.* Lalla Chabeel Chand	L. R., 6 I. A., 47: 3 C. L. R., 561.	5306
——— *v.* Ram Sahoy Bhuggut	24 W. R., 139	1365

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Doolar Chunder *v.* Damoodur Narain	3 W. R., 162	5358
Doolar Jha *v.* Runjeet Roy	15 W. R., 340	4628
Doolay Singh *v.* Goolam Hossein	2 N. W., 72	4258
Doolee Chand *v.* Omda Khanum *alias* Babu Shubibu	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 377: 7 C. L. R., 375.	4027
Doolee Chund *v.* Nirban Singh	20 W. R., 62	5582
——— *v.* Oomda Begum	18 W. R., 473	2772, 5775
——— *v.* ———	24 W. R., 263	5379
——— *v.* Oomda Khanum	18 W. R., 238	4233
Doolhin Hurnath Koonweree *v.* Baijoo Ojjha	2 Agra, 50	5484, 5485
Doolhun Jankee Kooer *v.* Lall Beharee Roy	19 W. R., 32	1419, 3351
Dooli Chand *v.* Birj Bhookun Lal Awasti	6 C. L. R., 528: 10 C. L. R., 61.	411, 2062 2214
Doollee Chand *v.* Wooma Sunkur Purshad	7 C. L. R., 429	2153
Doolubdass Pettamberdass v. Ramloll Thackoorseydass	5 Moore's I. A., 109	1068, 5874
Doolun Singh *v.* Torul Narain Singh	4 W. R., Mis., 3	94
Dooly Chand *v.* Nirban Singh	18 W. R., 261	497
Dooma Sahoo *v.* Joonarain Lall	12 W. R., 362	3887
Doomun *v.* Stevens	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 5	1730
Doomun Peadah *v.* Shook Chand Paul	17 W. R., 90	464
Doomun Singh *v.* Sookun Lall	2 W. R., 12	4166
Doonee Mahtoe *v.* Sheo Narain Singh	21 W. R., 37	1540
Doongrussee Byde *v.* Gridharee Mull Doogur	10 W. R., 439	3770
Doongun Rai *v.* Doorga Rai	2 N. W., 97	2921, 6246
Doorbijoy Mahtoon *v.* Prithee Narain Singh	14 W. R., 30	5337
Doorga Charn Das *v.* Soshi Bhusan Guho	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 275	2926
Doorga Chunder Doss *v.* Mahomed Abbas Bhooyan	14 W. R., 121	3800
Doorga Churn *v.* Kassy Chunder Moitree	Marsh., 539: 2 Hay, 646	2515, 4992
——— *v.* Koonjbeharee Pandey	3 Agra, 23	3055, 4586
Doorga Churn Bhuttacharjee *v.* Shoshee Bhoosun Mitter	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 23	2018
Doorga Churn Chatterjee *v.* Aushutosh Dutt	24 W. R., 70	2604
——— *v.* Goluck Chunder Biswas	23 W. R., 228	1636
Doorga Churn Dhur *v.* Kally Coomar Sen	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 145: 8 C. L. R., 375.	4571
Doorga Churn Doss *v.* Mahomed Abbas Bhooyan	14 W. R., 121	878
——— *v.* ———	14 W. R., 399	3800
——— *v.* Nittokally Dossee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 819: 6 C. L. R., 120.	4337
Doorgachurn Dutt *v.* Kadumbinee Dossee	1 Hay, 25	5752
Doorga Churn Giree. In the matter of	9 W. R., Cr., 64	1988
Doorga Churn Mitter *v.* Huree Mohun Gooho	17 W. R., 86	417, 433
Doorga Churn Nundee *v.* Debnath Roy Chowdhry	18 W. R., 442	5022
Doorga Churn Paharee *v.* Chutoorbhooj Doss	5 W. R., 200	4027
Doorga Churn Paul *v.* Pearee Mohun	9 W. R., 283	4561
Doorga Churn Roy *v.* Dino Moyee Debia	6 W. R., Mis., 14	3132
Doorga Churn Sett *v.* Shamanund Gossain	12 W. R., 376	879, 5755
Doorgachurn Shaha *v.* Ramnarain Doss	10 B. L. R., 327, note: 13 W. R., 172.	2089
Doorga Churn Sircar *v.* Doorga Churn Ghossal	11 W. R., 23	5928
Doorgachurn Surma *v.* Jampa Dassee	12 B. L. R., 289: 21 W. R., 46.	1182
Doorga Churn Surma Chowdhry *v.* Najunooddeen	21 W. R., 397	4212, 5330
Doorga Churn Surmah Chowdhry *v.* Neem Chand Surmah Chowdhry.	24 W. R., 208	1755
Doorgachurn Thakoor *v.* Kallydoss Hazrah	10 W. R., 463	375, 1452
Doorga Dabee *v.* Issur Chunder Chatterjee	10 W. R., 367	4014

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Doorgadass Dutt. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 511 : 6 W. R., Mis., 65 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 401.	5863
Doorga Dayee v. Poorun Dayee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 128 : 5 W. R., 141.	2511
Doorgadoss Chatterjee v. Gooroo Churn Mistree	6 W. R., Act X, 81	3556
——— v. Nobin Mohun Ghosal	6 W. R., Act X, 63	547
Doorga Doss Chowdry v. Ramanauth Chowdry	8 Moore's I. A., 262	4639
Doorgadass Doss. In the matter of	17 W. R., 226	3846
Doorga Doss Roy Chowdhry v. Nurendro Coomar Dutt Chowdhry.	6 W. R., 232	1736
Doorgadoss Sandyal v. Panchoo Ram Mundul	23 W. R., 271	5925
Doorga Dutt Singh v. Bunwaree Lall Sahoo	19 W. R., 34	2706
——— v. ———	25 W. R., 33	3779
——— v. Jheengoor Jha	18 W. R., 63	781
——— v. Kalika Sookul	7 W. R., 46	777, 3210
Doorgakant Lahoory v. Radha Mohun Gooho Neogy	7 W. R., 51	4300
Doorgakant Mozoomdar v. Bisheshur Dutt Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 44	330, 2927 2931, 2983
Doorga Koonwar v. Téjoo Koonwar	5 W. R., Mis., 53	2491
Doorga Kripa Roy v. Janoo Lathak	18 W. R., 465	3032
——— v. Mohesh Chunder Roy	15 W. R., 242	2878
Doorga Lall v. Lalla Hulwant Sahoy	25 W. R., 306	1167
Doorga Mahtoon v. Kanhye Lall Ajha	Marsh., 371 : 2 Hay, 422	6109
Doorga Monee Dossee v. Benode Monee Dossee	W. R., 1864, 164	5915
Doorgamonee Dossee v. Doorga Bhunj	2 W. R., 266	3330
Doorga Moyee Dossea v. Kassissur Debea Chowdhrain	4 W. R., Act X, 20	1589
Doorga Narain Bose v. Brojo Kishore Ghose	23 W. R., 172	2738
Doorganarain Sen v. Baney Madhab Mozumdar	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 199	4601, 6139
——— v. Ram Lall Chhutar	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 330	578, 584 5965
Doorganath Roy v. Ram Chunder Sen	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 341, L. R., 4 I. A., 52.	2253
Doorganath Roy Chowdhry v. Roy Kalee Narain Roy	24 W. R., 212	4880
Doorganath Shah v. Kazim Fakir	9 W. R., 348	1653
Doorganath Shoor v. Sookhmoy Biswas	2 W. R., 61, 62	5121
Doorgapersad Bose v. Sreekisto Moonshee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 48	5304
——— v. Waters	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 191	2813
Doorga Persad Singh v. Doorga Konwari	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 190 : 3 C. L. R., 31 : L. R., 5, I. A., 149.	1406, 2327 5010
Doorgapersaud Mitter. In re	Bourke, O. C., 59	430, 5897
Doorgapersaud Roy Chowdhry v. Tarapersaud Roy Chowdhry.	4 W. R., P. C., 63 : 8 Moore's I. A., 308.	3122
Doorga Pershad v. Budree	6 N. W., 189	2838
——— v. Doochur Pershad	3 Agra, 188	2297, 3019
——— v. Kundun Koowar	13 B. L. R., 235 : 21 W. R., 214 : L. R., 1 I. A., 55.	2448
——— v. Shooraj Singh	5 N. W., 222	3869, 4937
——— v. Zorawur	2 N. W., 75	2004
Doorgapershad Chowdhry v. Rajendur Narain Roy	2 Hay, 121	5346
Doorga Pershad Doss v. Kalee Kinkur Roy	5 W. R., Act X, 88	2930
——— v. Sheo Proshad Pandah	7 C. L. R., 278	2241
Doorga Pershad Mohapattur v. Radhamohun Mytee	15 W. R., 536	5172
Doorgapershad Pal Chowdhry v. Jogeshprokash Gongopadhya.	4 W. R., Act X, 38	5337
Doorga Pershad Roy Chowdry v. Tara Pershad Roy Chowdhry.	3 W. R., P. C., 11 : 10 Moore's I. A., 203.	3877

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Doorgapershad Singh *v.* Doorga Kooeree	20 W. R., 154	2034, 2327
——— *v.* Doorga Koonwaree	13 W. R., 10: 9 B. L. R., 306, note.	324
——— *v.* Lalla Juggunnath Pershad	1 N. W., 34: Ed. 1873, 31	796
——— *v.* Opendronath Chowdhry	21 W. R., 145	1732
Doorga Prosad Mytee *v.* Joynarain Hazra	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 474	1187, 1583
Doorgaproshad Mytee *v.* Joynarain Hazra	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 96: 2 C. L. R., 371.	1185, 1187
Doorgaprosunno Ghose. In the matter of	9 C. L. R., 479	1231
Doorgaram Pal *v.* Kally Kristo Paul	3 C. L. R., 549	5036
Doorgaram Roy *v.* Nuro Singh Deb	11 W. R., 134	321, 3246, 3265
Doorga Roy *v.* Shyam Jha	8 W. R., 72	1636
Doorga Soonduree Debia *v.* Dinobundhoo Kyburto Doss	8 W. R., 475	5314
——— *v.* Kasheekant Chuckerbutty	14 W. R., 212	5922, 5930
——— *v.* Shibeshuree Debia	8 W. R., 101	3835
——— *v.* Shibessuree Debia	10 W. R., 391	1480
——— *v.* Soorjomonee Debia	8 W. R., 101	4946
Doorga Sundari Dossee *v.* Surendra Keshav Rai	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 686	2137
Doorga Tewaree *v.* Naipal Aheer	2 N. W., 224	1396
Doorhyar Roy *v.* Dulsingar Singh	12 W. R., 367	2199, 5688
Doorjodhun Doss *v.* Chooya Daye	1 W. R., 322	6040
Doorjun *v.* Chaina	2 N. W., 43	4470
Doorjun Singh *v.* Shibba	3 N. W., 171	3283, 4494, 4500
Dooteeram Surma Dooree *v.* Luckeekant Gossamee	12 W. R., 425	2243
Dorab Ally Khan *v.* Abdool Azeez	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 229: 3 C. L. R., 358.	1219
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 356	5491
——— *v.* ———	L. R., 5 I. A., 116: 2 C. L. R., 529.	5491
——— *v.* Executors of Moheeooddeen	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 806	5490
——— *v.* Moheeooddeen	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 55: 24 W. R., 372.	5490
Domappa *v.* Kundikuri Mallikarjunudu	3 Mad., 363	3976
Dorasami Vajappavar *v.* Atiratra Dikshatar	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 136	2405
Dori Lal *v.* Umed Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 164	4834
Dosabhai Kavasji *v.* Kherbadji Hormasji	7 Bom., O. C., 180	5720, 5837
Dosibai *v.* Ishvardas Jagjivandas	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 561	2054
Dossee *v.* Fagan	2 Hay, 75	5358
——— *v.* Pelaram Dossee	15 W. R., 261	1742
——— *v.* Pitambur Pundah	21 W. R., 332	4437
——— *v.* Ram Nidhee Koondoo	15 W. R., 183	4208
——— *v.* Sheebanee Dabia	5 W. R., 123	3255
——— *v.* Sreenibash Dey	12 W. R., 74	5921, 5926
——— *v.* Tarrachurn Coondoo Chowdhry	Bourke, A. O. C., 43: 3 W. R., Mis., 7, note.	1947, 2145, 6094
Dossee Monee Dossee *v.* Ram Chand Mohur	7 W. R., 249	2351
Doss Monee Dossee *v.* Huronath Roy	4 W. R., 2	5074
Doss Money Dossee *v.* Jonmenjoy Mullick	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 363: 1 C. L. R., 446.	3893, 5018
——— *v.* Prosonomoye Dossee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 18	2136, 2542
Doss Ram Doss *v.* Mohendro Roy Decha	18 W. R., 274	6097, 6112
Dost Mahomed Khan Chowdhry *v.* Soolochana Dabia	1 W. R., 270	1736
Dost Muhammad *v.* Sanjad Ahmad	I. L. R., 6 All., 67	1122
Doucett *v.* Wise	1 W. R., 322	183, 6227

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Doucett v. Wise	3 W. R., 157	6152
—— v. ——	4 W. R., Mis., 7	6022
—— v. ——	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94	6020
—— v. ——	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 227	6020
—— v. ——	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 357	909
—— v. ——	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 280	3090, 3957
Douglas v. Collector of Benares	5 Moore's I. A., 271	5362
Doulatram v. Tara	1 Agra, 12	1163
Dowar Rai v. Boonda	Agra, F. B., 57 : Ed. 1874, 43	2462
Dowlatram Harji v. Vitho Radhoji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 188	5847
Dowlat Singh. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 273	1288
Dowlut v. Netram	3 N. W., 42	4549
Dowlut Gazi Chowdhry v. Munwar	12 B. L. R., 485, note : 15 W. R., 341.	5411
Dowlut Khatoon v. Ali Jan	2 Agra, 59	3729
Dowlut Kooer v. Burmadeo Sahoy	14 B. L. R., 246, note : 22 W. R., 54.	2306
Dowlut Mundur v. Omrao Singh Rana	14 W. R., 336	5589
Dowlut Rai v. Gya Misser	2 Agra, 95	537
Dowlut Singh v. Kedar Singh	3 Agra, 25	4557
Downes v. Richmond	I. L. R., 5 All., 258	2655
Doyal Chand Laha v. Bhoyrubnath Khettry	Cor., 117	3053
Doyal Chunder Ghose v. Dwarkanath Misser	Marsh., 148 : W. R., F. B., 47 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 41 : 1 Hay, 347.	2914, 6221
Doyal Chund Mullick v. Keramut Ali	12 W. R., 382	113
—— v. ——	16 W. R., 116	3385, 3661 3666, 3669
Doyal Mistree v. Kupoor Chund	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 318 : 3 C. L. R., 482.	762, 5706
Doyamoyee Chowdhrain v. Mohima Chunder Roy	12 W. R., 137	1633
—— v. Nundocoomar Dey	2 Hay, 220	1594
Doyamoyee Chowdrainee v. Bhola Nath Ghose	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 592 : 6 W. R., Act X, 77.	550
Doyamoyee Dabee v. Nilmonee Chuckerbutty	25 W. R., 70	3537
—— v. Nobonee Dabee	1 W. R., 89	3344
Doyamoyee Dossee v. Eshur Chunder Mutty Loll	1 W. R., 203	877
—— v. Luchmee Narain Shaha	7 W. R., 457	3427
Doyanidhi Panda v. Kelai Panda	11 C. L. R., 395	4466
Doyaram Luskuree v. Sookhanum	16 W. R., 141	4327
Doyle v. Allum Biswas	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1	3201, 3317
—— v. Dwarkanath Chatterjee	8 W. R., 89	1357
—— v. Edoo Gazee	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 13	3201, 3317
—— v. Khoosecal Khan	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1	3317
—— v. Khosal Mundle	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 8	5117
—— v. Mundaree Mundul	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 10	1376, 5826
Doyne v. Khoodee Ram Mundul	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 16	1692
Drabamayi Guptia v. Tarracharan Sen	7 B. L. R., 207 : 16 W. R., 17	561, 585 758
Drapu Chowdhry v. Ishan Chunder Das	9 C. L. R., 231	6088
Drig Bijai Sing v. Gopal Dat Panday	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 218 : 6 C. L. R., 146 : L. R., 7 I. A., 17.	4227
Drobo Misser v. Sreenebash Misser	14 W. R., 409	2250
Drobo Moyee v. Tarachand Pal	18 W. R., 459	2343
Drobomoyee Chowdhrain v. Shama Churn Chowdhry	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 246	2144
Drobomoyee Dabee v. Bipin Mundul	10 W. R., 6	5933
Drobo Moyee Dossee v. Juggessur Hati	1 W. R., 75	3746

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Drobo Moyee Gosmanee *v.* Dhurmo Dass Koondeo	10 W. R., 197	1764
Drury *v.* Haradhun Bhuttacharjee	3 W. R., Mis., 8	420
Dubey Sahai *v.* Ganeshi Lal	I. L. R., 1 All., 34	3152
Dubo Missbr *v.* Srinibas Missor	5 B. L. R., 617	2250
Dudsar Bibee *v.* Shakir Burkundaz	15 W. R., 168	4985, 5003
Duff *v.* Fisher	8 B. L. R., Ap., 10	4072
——— *v.* Sowdagur Sahoo Jotedar	13 W. R., 255	1646
Dugappa Chetti *v.* Vidhia Purna Tirthasami	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 263	2983
Dugappa Sheti *v.* Venkatramnaya	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 493	1470
Dukharam Bharti *v.* Luchmun Bharti	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 954: 4 C. L. R., 49.	675
Dukheena Mohun Roy *v.* Ameerooddeen Mahomed	12 W. R., 247	4250
Dukhina Dpsee *v.* Rash Beharee Mozoomdar	6 W. R., 221	2333
Dukhina Mohun Roy *v.* Kurreemoollah	12 W. R., 243	1608
Dukhi Sahu *v.* Mahomed Bikhu	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 284: 13 C. L. R., 445.	3303, 4746
Dukhoo *v.* Chundro Kant Chowdhry	3 W. R., Cr., 68	1671
Dukhun Pahan. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 32	969
Dulabh Vahuji *v.* Bansidhar Rai	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 111	3297, 4998
Dula Fsqueer *v.* Bhagirat Sircar	6 C. L. R., 276	3617
Dulali Bewa *v.* Bhuban Shaha	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 53	977
Dular Chand *v.* Balram Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 459	4278
Dular Dat Rai *v.* Nijabat Hossin	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 536	253
Dulari *v.* Mohan Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 759	5432
Dulbood Singh *v.* Mahadeo Dutt	2 W. R., 10	3727
Duleep Singh *v.* Sreekishoon Panday	4 N. W., 83	2153, 2943
Dulia Kasam *v.* Abramji Sale	8 Bom., A. C., 11	611
Duli Chand. In the matter of the appeal of	9 B. L. R., 190	497
——— *v.* Dhondi	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 184	6233
——— *v.* Meher Chand Sahu	12 B. L. R., 439	563
——— *v.* Monohur Lall Upadhya	2 C. L. R., 18	1664, 4860
——— *v.* Rajkissore	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 88: 11 C. L. R., 326.	509
——— *v.* Ramkishen Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 648	1119, 5323
Duljeet Gorain *v.* Rewal Gorain	22 W. R., 435	3826
Dullabhdas Devchand *v.* Lakshmandas Sarupchand	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 88	3931
Dullabh Jogi *v.* Narayan Lakhu	4 Bom., A. C., 110	4975
Dullabh Shivlal *v.* Hope	8 Bom., A. C., 213	5878
Dullab Sirkar *v.* Krishna Kumar Bakshi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 407: 12 W. R., 303.	5379, 6133
Dulli *v.* Bahadur	7 N. W., 55	3895
——— *v.* ———	7 N. W., 57	2701
Dulli Chand *v.* Meher Chand Sahoo	8 W. R., 138	528, 562, 563, 6173
Dulloo *v.* Zainah Bebee	16 W. R., Cr., 17	5561
Duloon Koonwar *v.* Sungum Singh	7 W. R., 311	414
Dulspok Rattachand *v.* Chugon Narrun	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 356	3531
Dumaine *v.* Uttam Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 13 W. R., 462.	324
Duma Sahu *v.* Jeonarayan Lall	4 B. L. R., A. C., 27, note	3887
Dumree Sahoo *v.* Jugdharee	13 W. R., 398	6015
Dumree Shaikh *v.* Bissesaur Lall	13 W. R., 291	5198
Duncan. In the goods of	1 B. L. R., O. C., 3	3084
Dundaya *v.* Chenbasapa	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 427	4842
Dunne *v.* Ameeroonissa Khatoon	13 W. R., 41	283
——— *v.* Hem Chandra Chowdhry	4 B. L. R., F. B., 46: 12 W. R., Cr., 60.	4732

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dunne *v.* Legge	1 Agra, 38	3769
Dunput Singh Bahadoor *v.* Rajessuree	15 W. R., 476	1918
Dunput Singh Doogar *v.* Jugut Indur Bunwaree Gobind Deb.	4 W. R., 85	2377
Durand *v.* Durand	14 W. R., 416	1545
Durant *v.* Chandranath Chatterjee	2 B. L. R., A. C., 246	3560
Durban Fakeer *v.* Nabinchandra Mazumdar	1 B. L. R., S. N., 16 : 10 W. R., 217.	6000
Durga *v.* Haidar Ali	I. L. R., 7 All., 167	3354
——— *v.* Jhinguri	I. L. R., 7 All., 511	1699, 2862, 5202
——— *v.* Mangal	7 N. W., 35	3283
Durga Bibee *v.* Janaki Pershad	10 B. L. R., 341 : 18 W. R., 331.	2289
Durga Bibi *v.* Chanchal Ram	I. L. R., 4 All., 81	2251
Durga Charan. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 All., 290	4445
Durga Charan Das *v.* Sashi Bhusan Guho	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 275	2926
Durga Charan Mazumdar *v.* Mahomed Abbas Bhuya	6 B. L. R., 361	3800
Durga Charan Sirkar. In the matter of the petition of	2 B. L. R., A. C., 165	5928
Durga Churn Shaha *v.* Nilmoney Dass	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 134 : 13 C. L. R., 369.	3855
Durgadasi Dabi *v.* Judunauth Mookerjee	2 B. L. R., Ap., 26	680
Durga Narain Misser *v.* Goburdhun Ghose	9 C. L. R., 86	578, 583, 584, 5965
Durgapersad *v.* Keshopersad Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 656 : 11 C. L. R., 210, L. R., 9 I. A., 27.	2072, 2472
Durgapersaud Mitter. In re	Bourke, O. C., 59	430, 5897
Durgapershad *v.* Asa Jolaha	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 925 : 6 C. L. R., 487.	5676
Durga Pershad *v.* Ghosita Goria	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 284	9, 3351
Durga Pillai *v.* Srinivasa Pillai	3 Mad., 71	304
Durga Prasad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 All., 154	5947
——— *v.* Asa Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 361	3172
——— *v.* Baldeo	I. L. R., 3 All., 221	1108
——— *v.* Khairati	I. L. R., 1 All., 545	316
——— *v.* Munsi	I. L. R., 6 All., 423	3720
——— *v.* Nawazish Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 591	4415
——— *v.* Ram Charan	I. L. R., 2 All., 785	162
——— *v.* Shambhu Nath	I. L. R., 8 All., 86	3433, 3949
Durga Prasad Misser *v.* Brindabun Sookul	7 B. L. R., 159 : 15 W. R., 274.	53
Durga Prasad Singh *v.* Durga Kunwari	9 B. L. R., 306, note : 13 W. R., 10.	2328
Durgaprosonno Ghose *v.* Kalidas Dut	9 C. L. R., 449	5175, 5318
Durgaram Moniram *v.* Shripati	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 411	1517
Durgaram Roy *v.* Narsing Deb	2 B. L. R., A. C., 254	321, 3246, 3265
Durga Singh *v.* Mathura Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 460	4851
Durga Sundari *v.* Brindabun Chandra Sirkar Chowdry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 37 : 11 W. R., 162.	5196
Durga Sundari Dasi *v.* Umdatannissa	9 B. L. R., 101 : 18 W. R., 234.	1591
Durga Sundari Devi *v.* Govinda Chundra Addy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 368	222, 5440
Dur Gopal Singh *v.* Kasheeram Panday	3 W. R., 3	3212
Duriao Roy *v.* Doolla Roy	24 W. R., 10	3505
Duriao Singh *v.* Uma Proshad	24 W. R., Cr., 16	4491

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Durjan Mahton v. Wajid Hossein	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 135	3019, 3045
Durjan Singh v. Sibia	7 N. W., 329	5220, 5663 5685
Durpo Narain Mahatah v. Nuleeta Soonduree Doss	11 W. R., 332	3911
Durryao Singh v. Dari Singh	13 B. L. R., 165 : L. R., 1 I. A., 1.	2221
Durshun Lall Sahoo v. Ashmutoonissa	19 W. R., 94	3173
Durshun Pandey v. Saminah Bebee	1 W. R., 114	5778
Dursun Bhugut v. Mahomed Ali	13 W. R., 498	5931
Dursun Lall Pandey v. Indur Chunder	6 W. R., 81	6127
Dursun Sahoo v. Pryag Ram	2 C. L. R., 538	6104
Durvasula Gangadharudu v. Durvasula Narasammah	7 Mad., 47	2372
Durya v. Mohur Singh	2 Agra, 163	1811
Duryao Singh v. Karun Singh	1 Agra, 31	322
Dustur Husang Jamasji v. Fell	6 Bom., Cr., 30	4494
Dutt v. Cornelius	5 B. L. R., Ap., 79	5898
Dutto Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 575	382, 383 386
——— v. Dosad Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 575	382, 383 386
Dutt Ram Misr. In the matter of the petition of	1 Agra, Cr., 29	5598
Dwarka Das v. Husain Bakhsh	I. L. R., 1 All., 564	3708
Dwarkadas Lalubhai v. Adam Ali Sultan Ali	3 Bom., A. C., 1	2687, 5790
——— v. Blackwell	9 Bom., 319	2675
Dwarka Dass v. Dwarka Dass	2 Agra, 308	1725
——— v. Rai Sita Ram	5 C. L. R., 430	1396, 4650
——— v. Ruttun Singh	2 Agra, 199	6119
Dwarka Doss v. Gokul Doss	1 Agra, Rev., 22	4863
——— v. Jankee Doss	6 Moore's I. A., 88	1727
Dwarka Lall Mitter. In the matter of	Bourke, O. C., 109	430
Dwarka Lall Mundur v. Nirundro Narain Singh	22 W. R., 461	3833
Dwarka Manjhee. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 427	264
Dwarka Nath v. Aloke Chunder Seal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 641	5306
——— v. Baburam Lasker	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 72 : 11 C. L. R., 326.	1623
Dwarkanath Banerjee. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 279	6030
Dwarkanath Bhooya v. Ajoodhya Ram Khan	21 W. R., 109	3197
Dwarkanath Bhooyea v. Gopenath Bhooyea	12 B. L. R., 189, note : 16 W. R., 10.	1166
Dwarkanath Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 93	1306
Dwarkanath Biswas v. Roy Dhunput Singh	17 W. R., 227	3263
——— v. Uddit Churn Addy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 361	1539
Dwarkanath Bose v. Chundee Churn Mookerjee	1 W. R., 339	142, 1785
——— v. Ram Lochun Bose	23 W. R., 465	6115
Dwarkanath Bysack v. Burroda Persaud Bysak	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 443	6206
Dwarkanath Bysak v. Mahendranath Bysak	9 B. L. R., 198 : 18 W. R., 305	2337
Dwarka Nath Chakravati v. Suvridra Nath Chowdhuri	8 C. L. R., 407	5307
Dwarka Nath Chattopadhya v. Bhogoban Panda	7 C. L. R., 577	1820
Dwarka Nath Chowdhry v. Beejoy Gobind Bural	10 W. R., 333	1626
——— v. Kishenlall Chowdhry	Marsh., 553 : 2 Hay, 650	5129
——— v. Komul Lochun Doss	10 W. R., 414	5233
Dwarkanath Chuckerbutty v. Bhowanee Kishore Chuckerbutty.	8 W. R., 12	3801
——— v. Dhun Monee Chowdrain	15 W. R., 524	5308
——— v. Tara Soondery Burmonee.	8 W. R., 517	1760
Dwarkanath Doss v. Manick Chunder Doss	3 W. R., 197	5301

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dwarkanath Doss Biswas *v.* Manick Chunder Doss	9 W. R., 102	5133
——— *v.* ———	9 W. R., 200	5312
——— *v.* Muddun Mohun Chuckerbutty.	6 W. R., 292	5766
——— *v.* Unnoda Churn Dass	8 W. R., 319	328, 839
Dwarkanath Dutt *v.* Bhathee Hawaldar	22 W. R., 457	4054
——— *v.* Gunga Dayi	8 B. L. R., Ap., 102	912
Dwarka Nath Gupta *v.* Komolmoni Dasi	12 C. L. R., 548	3401
Dwarkanath Haldar *v.* Huree Mohun Roy	20 W. R., 404	1629
——— *v.* Kamalakanth Haldar	3 B. L. R., Ap., 128 : 12 W. R., 99.	1448, 1473 1491, 1868
——— *v.* Noder Chand Kumto	22 W. R., Cr., 35	1292
Dwarkanath Kooeree *v.* Anundo Chunder Sannel	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 18	1359, 4135
Dwarkanath Mazoomdar *v.* Nalu Das	21 W. R., 89	5912
Dwarkanath Misree *v.* Kanaye Sirdar	16 W. R., 111	3030, 5193 5199
——— *v.* Noboo Sirdar	14 W. R., 193	1622
Dwarkanath Misser *v.* Hurrish Chunder	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 925 : 4 C. L. R., 130.	5197
Dwarkanath Mitter. Claim of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 629	2641, 2676
———. Ex parte	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 58	2639
———. In re	4 B. L. R., O. C., 63 : 15 W. R., O. C., 18, note.	2663
——— *v.* Birch	15 B. L. R., 338, note	4619
——— *v.* Daly	15 B. L. R., 338, note	4619
——— *v.* Denonath Bonnerjee	Bourke, O. C., 1	4613
——— *v.* Nobongo Munjori Dassi	7 C. L. R., 233	549, 3013
——— *v.* Ramdhun Biswas	8 W. R., 103	3834
——— *v.* Sarat Kumari Dasi	7 B. L. R., 55	4777
Dwarkanath Moitro *v.* Kenny	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1	3315
Dwarkanath Narayan *v.* Madhavrav Vishvanath	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 207	4341
Dwarkanath Nath Biswas *v.* Ram Chunder Roy	13 W. R., 136	441
Dwarkanath Pal *v.* Grishchunder Bundopadhya	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 827	4279, 5353
Dwarka Nath Rai *v.* Kali Chunder Rai	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 75	1175
Dwarka Nath Roy *v.* Dinobundhoo Singh Chowdhry	15 W. R., 461	43
——— *v.* Jannobee Chowdhrain	4 W. R., 79	5252
——— *v.* ———	10 W. R., 81	1449
——— *v.* Woomasoonduree Dassee	14 W. R., 329	284
Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry *v.* Huronath Roy Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 238	1765
Dwarkanath Sadhoo Khan *v.* Doorga Churn Saha	6 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1	842
Dwarkanath Sein *v.* Chunder Mohun Mitter	12 W. R., 326	5304
Dwarkanath Shaha *v.* Ram Lochun Biswas	10 W. R., 92	297
Dwarkanath Shaw *v.* Prankristo Paul Chowdhry	Bourke, O. C., 260	425
Dwarkanath Singh Roy *v.* Nubo Coomar Bose	20 W. R., 270	584
Dwarkanath Surmah Mojoomdar *v.* Unnoda Soonduree	5 W. R., Mis., 30	997, 1703
Dwarka Parshad Sukul *v.* Ram Autar Sukul	7 N. W., 281	4539
Dwarka Pershad *v.* Bankut Nurseya	2 N. W., 184	1488
Dwarka Singh *v.* Solano	22 W. R., 38	2954
Dya Chand Oswal *v.* Mookteeda Dabee	13 W. R., 24	627
Dyaram *v.* Gouree Shunker	3 N. W., 20	4866
——— *v.* Jwala Nath	5 N. W., Ap., 2	3998
Dyebukee Nundun Sen *v.* Muddee Mutty Goopta	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 123 : 24 W. R., 478.	5661, 5735
Dyram Sahoo *v.* Sograh	3 W. R., 174	3282
Dyashunker *v.* Mahomed Ameenooddeen Khan	3 Agra, 246	2737
Dyce Sombre *v.* East India Company	4 W. R., P. C. 111 : 7 Moore's I. A., 104.	514

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
E		
Ead Ali Khan *v.* Wahad Ali Khan	23 W. R., 25	679
Eadara Virana *v.* Queen	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 400	5511
Eagleton *v.* East Indian Railway Company	8 B. L. R., 581: 17 W. R., 532.	1091
Eales *v.* Ruttonjee Eduljee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 131	1082
Eastern Bengal Railway Co. *v.* Kalidas Dutt	23 W. R., Cr., 63	983, 1265
Eastern Financial Association *v.* Pestanji Cursetji	3 Bom., O. C., 9	922, 1067 5827
Eastern Steam Tug Company. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	953
East India Bank. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330	945
——— *v.* Vullie Goolwany	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 247	2573, 4071
East India Company *v.* Ally.	7 Moore's I. A., 555	59, 275 4635
——— *v.* Kamachee Boye Sahiba	4 W. R., P. C., 42	57, 2322
——— *v.* Odit Churn Paul	5 Moore's I. A., 43	1578, 3120
——— *v.* Robertson	4 W. R., P. C., 10: 7 Moore's I. A., 361.	4063, 4636
East Indian Railway Co. *v.* Australasian Insurance Company.	6 B. L. R., 218: and on appeal, 7 B. L. R., 347.	2688
——— *v.* Bengal Coal Co.	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 95	2825
——— *v.* Hall	3 N. W., 104	5617
——— *v.* Jordan	4 B. L. R., O. C., 97: 14 W. R., O. C., 11.	293, 4418 4707
East Indian Trading and Banking Co. In re	3 Bom., O. C., 113	934
Eathamakala Subbammah *v.* Raghiah	7 Mad., 293	633
Ebadutoollah *v.* Mahomed Ali	25 W. R., 114	5188
Ebrahim *v.* Fuckhurnnisa Begum	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 531: 3 C. L. R., 311.	3091
Ebrahim Saib *v.* Nagasami Gurukal	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 9	5676
Echamoyee *v.* Hurro Soonduree Dassee	12 W. R., 155	4139
Edalji Shaporji *v.* Talsidas Sundardas	2 Bom., 285: 2nd Ed., 270	370
Edathil Itti *v.* Kopashon Nayar	1 Mad., 122	3758, 3766
Ede *v.* Kanto Nath Shaw	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 220	1072
Edmond *v.* Nierses	8 B. L. R., Ap., 42: 16 W. R., 84.	1240, 2651 2653
Edoo *v.* Hofazut Hossein	13 W. R., 358	308, 4428
Edoo Khansamah. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 64	1155
Eduljee Framjee *v.* Abdoola Hajee Cherak	1 Moore's I. A., 46: 5 W. R., P. C., 58.	1759
Edulji Mancherji Wacha *v.* Vullebhoy Khanbhoy	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 167	4294
Eduljee Ruttonjee. In re	10 B. L. R., Ap., 27	2650
Edulji Shapurji *v.* Tulsidas Sunderdas	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 234	370
Edun *v.* Bechun	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 264: 8 W. R., 175.	5077
—— *v.* ———	11 W. R., 345	1723
—— *v.* Beechun	Marsh., 409	4934
—— *v.* Mahomed Siddik	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 150: 11 C. L. R., 440.	4850
Edwards *v.* Muller	5 B. L. R., 252	910
Eeshooree Singh *v.* Hunter	2 N. W., 53	5072
Efatoonnissa *v.* Khondkar Khoda Newaz	21 W. R., 374	1717
Eglinton *v.* Koylashnath Mozoomdar	W. R., 1864, 303	1144
Eidan *v.* Mazhar Husain	I. L. R., 1 All., 483	3651
Ejnash Kooer *v.* Amjud Ally	2 W. R., 261	3715

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ekbal Ali Khan *v.* Kalee Pershad	3 W. R., 68	3335
Ekbal Bahadoor *v.* Solano	2 W. R., 164	1357
Ekoowree Singh Roy *v.* Kylash Chunder Mookerjee	21 W. R., 45	1848
Ekin Bibee *v.* Ashruf Ali	1 W. R., 152	3684, 3692 3731
Eknath bin Madoba. In the matter of	1 Bom., 102	4339
Eknath S. Gownde *v.* Jaggannath S. Gownde	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 417	5847
Ekowri Singh *v.* Bijaynath Chattapadhya	4 B. L. R., A. C., 111: 13 W. R., 11.	3824
——— *v.* Hiralal Seal	2 B. L. R., P. C., 4: 11 W. R., P. C., 2: 12 Moore's I. A., 136.	41, 739
Ekram *v.* Buhooran	2 W. R., Act X, 69	1609
Ekram Hossein *v.* Kirtee Chunder	3 W. R., Mis., 9	669
Ekram Mundul *v.* Holodhur Pal	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 271	1622, 1631 4965
Ekrar Ali. In re	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 482	2010
Elahee Buksh *v.* Hajoo	14 W. R., 33	172, 372 5931
——— *v.* Jaffur Aly	1 N. W., 109: Ed. 1873, 157	579
——— *v.* Mohan	25 W. R., 9	3726
——— *v.* Sheo Narain Singh	17 W. R., 300	3337, 4973
Elahee Buksh Chowdhry *v.* Roopun Telee	7 W. R., 284	1617
Elahee Newoz Khan *v.* Suburunnissa	5 W. R., Cr., 14	4489
Elahi Buksh *v.* Marachow	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 825: 3 C. L. R., 593.	742
Elam Paramanick *v.* Sojaitullah	1 B. L. R., A. C., 43: 10 W. R., 85.	5662
Elavarisu Vanamamalai Ramanuja Jeeyarsvami *v.* Vanamamalai Ramanuja Jeeyar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 354	4098
Elayachanidathil Kombi Achen *v.* Kenatumkora Lakshmi Amma.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 201	3758, 4156 4272, 5048 5386
Eldred. In the matter of	1 Hyde, 173	2093
Elias *v.* Chuckerbutty	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 223	5537
—— *v.* Habool Mooshee Mooshee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 18: Bourke, O. C., 382.	3175
—— *v.* Haboob Mooshee Mooshee	Bourke, O. C., 350	4263
—— *v.* Jorawar Mull	24 W. R., 202	773, 4126
—— *v.* Mahomed Peezeer	W. R., 1864, 217	5105
—— *v.* Titharam Roy	1 W. R., 164	4203
Elijah *v.* Aratoon Brothers	14 W. R., 47	5232
Ellaiya *v.* Collector of Salem	3 Mad., 59	5103, 5108 5633
Ellapa Nayak *v.* Anumati Goundan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 76	3222
Ellem *v.* Basheer	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 184: 24 W. R., 382.	5129
Elliott. In the goods of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 106: 2 C. L. R., 496.	1558
——— *v.* Bhoobun Mohun Bonnerjee	12 B. L. R., 406: 19 W. R., 194: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 175.	4567
Ellokasee Dossee *v.* Durponarain Bysack	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 59	6209
Emam Ali *v.* Sudderuddeen	9 W. R., Cr., 18	5165
Emam Ali Mestory *v.* Ator Ali Khan	22 W. R., 133	5316
Emambandee Begum *v.* Tek Bahadoor	17 W. R., Cr., 53	4484, 4490

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Emam Momtazoodeen Mahomed *v.* Rajcoomar Doss	14 B. L. R., 408 : 23 W. R., 187.	3920, 5018
Emamooddeen Sowdagur *v.* Abdool Soobhan	7 W. R., 117	4536
Emamoodeen Showdaghur *v.* Futteh Ali	3 C. L. R., 447	5090
Emamuddin Khan *v.* Ram Kishore Koyar	5 B. L. R., Ap., 30	6089
Emamun *v.* Hurdyal Singh	W. R., 1864, 301	5240
Emaral Sheikh *v.* Mohammadi Sheikh	24 W. R., Cr., 48	5912
Empress *v.* Abdool Karim	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 18 : 3 C. L. R., 44.	1263
——— *v.* Abdool Kurreem	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 10 : 3 C. L R., 81.	16, 1262
——— *v.* Abdul Hakim	I. L. R., 3 All., 253	1333
——— *v.* Abdul Kadir	I. L. R., 3 All., 279	4366
——— *v.* Abdulla	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 240	3617
——— *v.* Abul Hasan	I. L R., 1 All, 497	1954
——— *v.* Achi	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 161	3628
——— *v.* Achiraj Lall	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 603 : 3 C. L. R., 87.	1272
——— *v.* Ajudhia	I. L. R., 2 All., 644	5554
——— *v.* Alim Mundle	11 C. L. R., 55	918
——— *v.* Allen	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 83 : 6 C. L. R., 463.	1262, 1312
——— *v.* Amar Nath	I. L. R., 5 All., 318	240, 1669
——— *v.* Amiruddeen	I. L R, 3 Calc., 412 : 1 C. L. R., 483.	4369
——— *v.* Anand Sarup	I. L. R., 3 All., 563	3608, 3609
——— *v.* Anant Ram	I. L. R., 4 All., 293	1981
——— *v.* Anun Khan	I. L R., 6 All., 83	5572
——— *v.* Anuntaram Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 954 : 6 C. L. R, 297.	1012
——— *v.* Arjun	I. L. R., 7 Bom, 184	1953
——— *v.* Arshed Ali	13 C. L. R., 125	148
——— *v.* Asgur Hossein	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 774 : 8 C. L. R, 124.	1886, 6264
——— *v.* Ashgar Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 260	1008, 1797
——— *v.* Asghar Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 61	5566
——— *v.* Ashootosh Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 483 : 3 C. L. R., 270.	1023
——— *v.* Ashraf Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 129	1671
——— *v.* Baban Khan	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 142	718
——— *v.* Babua	I. L. R., 6 All., 132	4726, 5539
——— *v.* Babu Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 509	1018
——— *v.* Badrudin	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 197	5150
——— *v.* Bai Baya	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 126	3865
——— *v.* Baidanath Dass	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 366 : 1 C. L. R., 442.	5911
——— *v.* Bakhshi Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 201	1272, 4361
——— *v.* Bala Patel	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 63	1024
——— *v.* Baldeo	I. L. R., 3 All., 322	707, 1319
——— *v.* Baldeo Sahai	I. L. R., 2 All., 253	2590, 6269
——— *v.* Bal Gangadhar Tilak	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 285	913
——— *v.* Bancy Madhub Shaw	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 207 : 10 C. L. R., 389.	501, 502
——— *v.* Banni	I. L. R., 2 All., 349	2758
——— *v.* Behala Bibi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 789 : 8 C. L. R., 207.	4365
——— *v.* Behari Lal Bose	6 C. L. R., 431	1851

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress v. Berrill	I. L. R., 4 All., 141	2903, 5166
——— v. Bhagaban Bhivsan	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 379	100
——— v. Bhagirath	I. L. R., 3 All., 383	4061
——— v. Bhagvanta Ravji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 303	3631, 6192
——— v. Bhagwan Devraj	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 357	5511
——— v. Bhairon Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 338	1013
——— v. Bhawani	I. L. R., 1 All., 664, 675	1022
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 525	6161
——— v. Bhawani Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 182	1957
——— v. Bhoirub Chunder Dutt	10 C. L. R., 193	4362
——— v. Bhupal	I. L. R., 6 All., 380	2598
——— v. Bhup Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 570	1305
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 2 All., 771	4749
——— v. Bhechynden	6 C. L. R., 278	1795
——— v. Brojokant Roy Chowdhry	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 637	4107
——— v. Brojonath Dey	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 425	502
——— v. Budh Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 101	1327, 3864, 5561
——— v. Burah	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 63: 1 C. L. R., 161; and on appeal, I. L. R., 4 Calc., 172: 3 C. L. R., 197: L. R., 5 I. A., 178.	2900, 2901
——— v. Butokisto Dass	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 59: 6 C. L. R., 374.	1222
——— v. Butto Kristo Doss	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 497	4691
——— v. Chaitram	I. L. R., 6 All., 103	1542, 1865
——— v. Chandra Nath Sircar	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 65: 8 C. L. R., 353.	1024
——— v. Charu Nayiah	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 354	1328
——— v. Chattar Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 33	2779, 4059
——— v. Cheda Khan	I. L. R., All., 404	1855
——— v. Chedi Rai	7 C. L. R., 142	5155
——— v. Chidda Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 573	6218
——— v. Chunder Nath Dutt	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 121: 4 C. L. R., 305.	6261
——— v. Counsell	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 896	913
——— v. Dabee Pershad	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 530: 7 C. L. R., 541.	1016
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 532	1792
——— v. Dahia	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 121: 8 C. L. R., 300.	1966
——— v. Daji Narsu	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 288	1013, 1024
——— v. Darba	I. L. R., 1 All., 461	5562
——— v. Dedar Sircar	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 384: 1 C. L. R., 95.	5541
——— v. Deoki Nandan Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 806	908
——— v. DeSilva	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 479	1319
——— v. Dharam Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 635	1853
——— v. Dhonoujoy Baraj	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 540: 1 C. L. R., 478.	2755
——— v. Dhuniram	5 C. L. R., 366	4736
——— v. Dhunno Kazi	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 121	6260
——— v. Dhunum Kazee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 53: 11 C. L. R., 169.	2013, 6161, 6164
——— v. Diljour Misser	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 225	4119
——— v. Din Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 482	6189

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress v. Dinonath Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 166 : 10 C. L. R., 190.	1324
——— v. Dongaji Andaji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 564	264
——— v. Donnelly	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 405	5150, 5157, 5158, 6249
——— v. Dossabhoy Framji	I. L. R., 3 All., 214	654
——— v. Dossaji Gulam Husein	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 334	1838, 2105
——— v. Dwarkanath Chowdhry	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 399	5838, 5843
——— v. Dwarka Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 97	735, 4354, 4356
——— v. Ekrar Ali	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 482	2010
——— v. Fateh	I. L. R., 5 All., 217	1301, 2012
——— v. Fox	I. L. R., 2 All., 522	1336
——— v. Futteh Jya Khan	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 570	5604
——— v. Gangadhur Bhunjo	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 622 : 2 C. L. R., 179.	3631
——— v. Ganraj	I. L. R., 2 All., 444	1023
——— v. Gasper	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 278	3781
——— v. Gauri Shankar	I. L. R., 6 All., 42	1974
——— v. Gayadin	I. L. R., 4 All., 148	252
——— v. Gobardhan Das	I. L. R., 3 All., 62	1315, 5517
——— v. Golam Mahomed	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 18 ; 3 C. L. R., 44.	1263
——— v. Gonesh Dooley	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 351 : 4 C. L. R., 580.	4060
——— v. Gopal Dhanuk	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 90 : 8 C. L. R., 471.	4431
——— v. Gowdapa	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 534	5157
——— v. Grish Chunder Nundi	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 87 : 8 C. L. R., 387.	1958
——— v. Grish Chunder Talukdar	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 614 : 5 C. L. R., 364.	6268
——— v. Gurdu	I. L. R., 3 All., 129	1536
——— v. Hait Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 404	1855
——— v. Halodhur Poroe	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 383	4371
——— v. Haradhan Tamuli. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 511	260
——— v. Harai Mirdha	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 189	6166
——— v. Harakhnath Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 27	394, 1668
——— v. Haran Chunder Mitter	6 C. L. R., 390	1791
——— v. Hari Kisto Biswas	5 C. L. R., 209	1010
——— v. Hari Lakshman	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 185	4355
——— v. Hary Doyal Karmokar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 16	1305, 5157
——— v. Hematulla	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 389	981, 6425
——— v. Hurro Kole	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 288	2104
——— v. Husen	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 262	2624
——— v. Idu Beg	I. L. R., 3 All., 776	1337
——— v. Ilahi Bakhsh	I. L. R., 2 All., 910	3621
——— v. Imambu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 167	3625
——— v. Indarman	I. L. R., 3 All., 837	4117
——— v. Irad Ally	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 869	5527
——— v. Irbasapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 479	1966, 5510
——— v. Ishan Chundra De	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 847 : 12 C. L. R., 451.	502, 5160
——— v. Jafar M. Talab	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 85	255
——— v. Jagannath	I. L. R., 3 All., 258	1265
——— v. Jallu	I. L. R., 4 All., 216	5854
——— v. Jamui	I. L. R., 5 All., 387	1959

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress v. Jamoona	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 620 : 8 C. L. R., 215.	1954
——— v. Jangbir	I. L. R., 4 All., 150	918
——— v. Janki	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 82	16, 5854
——— v. Janokinath Gupta	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 625 : 8 C. L. R., 56.	4447
——— v. Jhubboo Mahton	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 739 : 12 C. L. R., 233.	723, 1267 1804, 6068 6266
——— v. Jogessur Mochi	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 379	1126, 1322
——— v. Joy Hari Kor	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 356	2625
——— v. Jualaprosad	I. L. R., 5 All., 62	1316
——— v. Jubdur Kazi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 718	5558
——— v. Judoonath Gangooly	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 273	251
——— v. Juwanand	I. L. R., 5 All., 221	2010
——— v. Jyadulla	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 436	251
——— v. Kakde	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 298	1513
——— v. Kalachand Dass	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 14 : 6 C. L. R., 128.	5537, 5541
——— v. Kali Churn Chunari	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 154	6266
——— v. Kallee	I. L. R., 5 All., 233	148
——— v. Kallu	I. L. R., 3 All., 60	4369
——— v. ——	I. L. R., 4 All., 366	3618
——— v. Kampta Prasad	I. L. R., 1 All., 530	4692
——— v. Kamta Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 212	4721
——— v. Kanchan Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 413	1304
——— v. Karan Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 680	5158
——— v. Katim Baksh	I. L. R., 2 All., 386	26, 253
——— v. Kashi	I. L. R., 2 All., 447	981, 6254
——— v. Kashmiri Lal	I. L. R., 1 All., 625	1319
——— v. Kassim Khan	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 121 : 8 C. L. R., 300.	1966
——— v. Keshub Mohajan	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 985 : 11 C. L. R., 241.	2899
——— v. Ketabdi Mundul	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 764	1338
——— v. Khamir	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 662 : 10 C. L. R., 8.	1306
——— v. Kherode Chunder Mozumdar	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 717 : 6 C. L. R., 118.	4383
——— v. Khimchand Narayan	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 384	2906
——— v. Khodai Singh	3 C. L. R., 527	5563
——— v. Khogayi	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 122	1331
——— v. Kishna	I. L. R., 2 All., 713	4365
——— v. Kola Lalang	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 214 : 10 C. L. R., 155.	501, 5874
——— v. Kudrutoolah	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 495 : 2 C. L. R., 2.	1286, 1294
——— v. Kyte	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 223 : 11 C. L. R., 427.	502
——— v. Lachman Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 398	5156, 5605
——— v. Lakshman Bala	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 124	1024
——— v. Lakshman Sakharam	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 481	972, 1281 5522
——— v. Lalai	I. L. R., 2 All., 301	4623, 6046
——— v. Lingam Ramanna	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 137	15
——— v. Madho	I. L. R., 4 All., 498	4367

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Columns of Digest.
Empress v. Mannoo Tamoolee. In re	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 696 : 4 C. L. R., 137.	1011
——— v. McLeod	I. L. R., 3 All., 342	1512
——— v. Maganial	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 622	1952
——— v. Maguire	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 124 : 4 C. L. R., 432.	2904
——— v. Mahadu	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 690	5571
——— v. Mahindra Lal	I. L. R., 1 All., 638	1856
——— v. Mahomed Yashin	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 101	5168
——— v. Mahuddi	6 C. L. R., 349	5547, 6160, 6163
——— v. Malhari	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 731	5883
——— v. Malka	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 643	1277
——— v. Manga	I. L. R., 2 All., 340	253
——— v. Mâzhar Hussain	I. L. R., 5 All., 553	1973, 2012
——— v. Megha	I. L. R., 1 All., 637	5571
——— v. Mhasuya Rama	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 280	5561
——— v. Mohan Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 46	1006
——— v. Mohim Chunder Rai	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 765	400
——— v. Moorga Chetty	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 338	914, 2910
——— v. Muhammad Jafir	I. L. R., 3 All., 545	5168
——— v. Mukhun Kumar	1 C. L. R., 275	6161, 6165
——— v. Mula	I. L. R., 2 All., 105	1972
——— v. Mulu	I. L. R., 2 All., 646	1023, 6250
——— v. Mulua	I. L. R., 1 All., 599	4118
——— v. Municipal Corporation of the Town of Calcutta.	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 758 : 2 C. L. R., 520.	5509
——— v. Munshi Sheikh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 616	1014
——— v. Murari	I. L. R., 4 All., 147	2758
——— v. Murli	I. L. R., 2 All., 336	5150
——— v. Nadua	I. L. R., 2 All., 53	255
——— v. Nana Rahim	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 140	5572
——— v. Narotam Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 98	918, 5150, 5515, 5522, 5529
——— v. Nathu Khan	I. L. R., 4 All., 417	2000, 5165
——— v. Nawab	I. L. R., 2 All., 835	5540
——— v. Niaz Ali	I. L. R., 5 All., 17	710, 1968, 1978, 5853
——— v. Nilambhar Babu	I. L. R., 2 All., 276	5147, 5885
——— v. Nipcha	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 712	5530
——— v. Nistar Raur	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 163 : 7 C. L. R., 197.	4686
——— v. Nobin Chunder Dutt	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 865 : 4 C. L. R., 243.	4730
——— v. Nobin Chundra Banikya	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 560 : 10 C. L. R., 369.	346, 1154, 5165, 5945
——— v. Nobo Coomar Pal	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 621	501
——— v. Nobogopal Bose	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 491	6029
——— v. Nuddhar Chand Shaw	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 832 : 8 C. L. R., 152.	501, 502
——— v. Nurul Huqq	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 757 : 2 C. L. R., 408.	4741
——— v. O'Brien	I. L. R., 2 All., 766	1336
——— v. Padmanabh Pai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 384	5522
——— v. Pancham	I. L. R., 4 All., 198	1016
——— v. Pandharinath	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 34	1016

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress v. Panjab Singh	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 579	1287
——— v. Parahu	I. L. R., 5 All., 598	1956
——— v. Paramanada	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 85 : 13 C. L. R., 375.	2905
——— v. Partab	I. L. R., 1 All., 666	5539, 5565 6190
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 6 All., 121	5558
——— v. Pemantle	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 971	2937
——— v. Pitamber Jina	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 61	1015
——— v. Pitambur Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 566; 5 C. L. R., 597.	148
——— v. Pitam Rai	I. L. R., 5 All., 215	1956
——— v. Popat Nathu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 287	1315, 1316
——— v. Poreshollah Sheikh	7 C. L. R., 143	716
——— v. Prankrishna Surma	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 969 : 11 C. L. R., 6.	1339, 2938
——— v. Prayag Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 103	4096
——— v. Radha Kishan	I. L. R., 5 All., 36	1956, 4357
——— v. Radoinath Shaha	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 195	715, 1287
——— v. Raghubar	I. L. R., 2 All., 351	4724
——— v. Rajoomar Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573 : 1 C. L. R., 352 : 2 C. L. R., 62.	3867, 4486 5945, 6251
——— v. Rama Birapa	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 12	1016, 1025
——— v. Ram Adhin	I. L. R., 2 All., 139	2787
——— v. Ramanand	I. L. R., 3 All., 664	1513
——— v. Ramanjiyya	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 5	1013, 2030
——— v. Rama Prema	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 239	1297, 5576
——— v. Ram Chand	I. L. R., 1 All., 664, 675	1022
——— v. Ram Dayal	I. L. R., 3 All., 778	5572
——— v. Rameshar Rai	I. L. R., 1 All., 379	5881
——— v. Ram Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 723	5653
——— v. Ram Lall	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 875	4748
——— v. Ram Lal Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 40	5156
——— v. Ram Partab	I. L. R., 6 All., 121	5558
——— v. Ram Saran	I. L. R., 5 All., 7	1043
——— v. Ram Singh	11 C. L. R., 642	4371
——— v. Randhir Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 597	1337
——— v. Rango Timaji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 402	5881
——— v. Riasat Ali *alias* Babu Miya *alias* Bodinzzuma.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 352 : 8 C. L. R., 572.	452, 2015
——— v. Rochia Mohato	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 42 : 8 C. L. R., 273.	722, 1838 2922
——— v. Rohimuddin	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 31 : 4 C. L. R., 285.	1334
——— v. Rukn-ud-din	I. L. R., 4 Alh., 5	6256
——— v. Sabsukh	I. L. R., 2 All., 533	5512, 5515 5521, 5528,
——— v. Sadanand Krishnaji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 151	620
——— v. Safatulla	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 815	1337
——— v. Sagambur	12 C. L. R., 120	918, 1010 1800, 6264
——— v. Sahae Rai	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 623 : 2 C. L. R., 304.	1384, 6161 6166
——— v. Sajiwan Lal	I. L. R., 5 All., 386	262
——— v. Salik	I. L. R., 1 All., 527	1954
——— v. Salik Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 582 : 8 C. L. R., 255.	1956

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Columns of Digest.
Empress v. Samiruddin	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 211 : 10 C. L. R., 11.	712, 1794
——— v. Sarmukh Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 218	2908
——— v. Seymour	I. L. R., 1 All., 630	1856
——— v. Shankar	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 657	2009
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 403	4710
——— v. Shasti Churn Napit	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 331 : 10 C. L. R., 290.	1670
——— v. Shibo Bohara	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 584 : 8 C. L. R., 265.	1958
——— v. Shivram Gundo	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 14	261, 3880
——— v. Sirsapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 15	1014, 1277 4355
——— v. Sitaram Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 181	5993
——— v. Soddanund Mahanty	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 259 . 10 C. L. R., 365.	5851
——— v. Sreenath Banerjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 221	6277
——— v. Sreenath Kur	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 450 · 10 C. L. R., 421.	2759
——— v. Sri Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 694	4378
——— v. Sukhari	I. L. R., 2 All., 405	1319
——— v. Sumsher Khan	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 624	5563
——— v. Sunker Gope	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 307 : 7 C. L. R., 411.	2911
——— v. Surjanarain Dass	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 88	2897
——— v. Tarachand Bagdi	7 C. L. R., 168	1304
——— v. Tegha Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 473	391
——— v. Thacoor Dyal Sing	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 320	4496
——— v. Thompson	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 523 : 8 C. L. R., 106.	982
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 2 All., 339	984
——— v. Tika Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 251	1536, 4385
——— v. Troylukhonath Chowdhry	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 366 · 3 C. L. R., 525	15, 5994
——— v. Tsit Oce	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 667	254
——— v. Tucker	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 42	6070
——— v. Udit Prasad	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 985 11 C. L. R., 241.	2899
——— v. Umi	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 126	16, 587
——— v. Umsadbaksh	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 178	2937
——— v. Uttom Koondoo	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 634 : 10 C. L. R., 466	2757
——— v. Vaimbilee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 826	718, 1009
——— v. Vithal Bhaichand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 19	2029
——— v. Vyapoory Moodeliar	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 655 : 8 C. L. R., 197.	1803
——— v. Yakub Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 253	1008
Empress on the prosecution of the Bank of Bengal v. Dinonath Roy.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 166 · 10 C. L. R., 190.	1324
Empress on the prosecution of Dinonath Ghattack v. Rajoomar Singh.	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573	3867, 4486 5945, 6251
Empress on the prosecution of Jodoo Nath Ghose v. Brojo Nath Dey.	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 425	502
Empress on the prosecution of Jogendronath Bose v. Thompson.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 523 : 8 C. L. R., 106	982

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress on the prosecution of Malcolm *v.* Gasper	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 278	3781
Empress on the prosecution of Michell *v.* Joggessur Mochi.	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 379	1126, 1322
Emrit Lall *v.* Kidd	Cor., 46 : 2 Hyde, 117	2802
Emrit Lall Saligram *v.* Kidd	2 Hyde, 116	5581
Enaet Ali *v.* Kuhur Roy	2 W. R., 289	4027
——— *v.* Ramprsah Koonwar	1 W. R., 21	1126, 1393
——— *v.* Sobhnath Misser	15 W. R., 258	3840
Enaet Ali Khan *v.* Kumola Koonwar	11 W. R., 261	3260
Enaet Hossein *v.* Khoobunnissa	11 W. R., 320	3685
——— *v.* Kuroemoonissa	3 W. R., 40	321, 3684
——— *v.* Nujeeboonissa Begum	11 W. R., 138	406
——— *v.* Roshan Jahan	5 W. R., 4	2080, 3633 3634, 3747
Enaetoollah *v.* Ameer Buksh *alias* Moheeoollah	25 W. R., 225	4997
Enaetoollah Chowdhry *v.* Kishen Soondur Surma	8 W. R., 986	4460, 5811
Enamandaram Venkayya *v.* Venkata Narayana Reddi	1 Mad., 75	3584
Enayet Ali *v.* Mahomed Zuhoorul Huq	22 W. R., 534	2708
Enayet Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	11 W. R., Cr., 1	1260
——— *v.* Ahmed Reza	7 Moore's I. A., 238	3123
——— *v.* Deedar Bux	W. R., 1864, Act X, 97	1754
——— *v.* Gholam Ally	2 Agra, 276	28
——— *v.* Gridhari Lall	2 B. L. R., P. C., 75 : 11 W. R., P. C., 29 : 12 Moore's I. A., 366.	3435
——— *v.* Khoobunissa	9 W. R., 246	1761, 2743
——— *v.* Muddun Monee Shahoon	14 B. L. R., 155 : 22 W. R., 411.	1161
——— *v.* Ramzan Ali	1 B. L. R., A. C., 172 : 10 W. R., 216.	3644
——— *v.* Roushan Jehan	1 B. L. R., F. B., 1 : 10 W. R., F. B., 1.	267
Enayetoollah *v.* Meajan	16 W. R., 6	804
——— *v.* Radha Churn Roy	15 W. R., 395	1038
Enayetoollah Meah *v.* Nubo Coomar Sircar	20 W. R., 207	1621
Enayutoollah *v.* Elahee Buksh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 42	2, 2993
Endar Lala *v.* Lallu Hari	7 Bom., A. C., 111	2992
English. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 378	2676
Equitable Coal Company *v.* Gonesh Chunder Banerjee	9 C. L. R., 276	2053
Erad Ali *v.* Nusibun Nissa Bibee	4 C. L. R., 534	980
Erakshah Dhanjisath *v.* Adarji Dorabji	1 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 535	1234
Erajabi *v.* Mayan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 118	3156
Erambapalli Korapen Nayar *v.* Erambapalli Chenen Nayar.	6 Mad., 411	3756
Eranjoli Illath Vishnu Nambudri *v.* Eranjoli Illath Krishnan Nambudri.	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 3	1711, 3753
Erasha Kaikhusru *v.* Jerbai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 537	4242, 6202
Eravanni Revivarman *v.* Ittapu Revivarman	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 153	3759, 3761
Erfoonissa Chowdhrain *v.* Rukeeboonissa	9 W. R., 457	3835, 3842
Erskine. In the matter of	18 W. R., 511	5926
——— *v.* Dhun Kishen Sein	8 W. R., 291	3929
——— *v.* Government	8 W. R., 232	1763, 2036
——— *v.* Manick Singh Ghatwal	6 W. R., 10	2035
——— *v.* Ram Coomar Roy	8 W. R., 220	4864, 4865
——— *v.* Trilochun Chatterjee	9 W. R., 518	5737
Erskine & Co. *v.* Gholam Khozur	9 W. R., 520	214

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Erskine & Co. *v.* Okhoy Chunder Dutt	W. R., 1864, 58	1712, 1817
Ertaza Hossein *v.* Bany Mistry	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 130: 11 C. L. R., 393.	4177
Ertozah Hossein *v.* Huree Pershad Singh	5 W. R., 281	1991
Esab *v.* Krishna Narain Dey	11 C. L. R., 164	886
Esaias *v.* Gabriel	8 N. W., 32	6196
Esau Ahmed *v.* Jassim Binsaff	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 251	5643
Eshan Chunder Banerjee *v.* Hurish Chunder Shaha	24 W. R., 146	1594
——— *v.* Kristo Gutty Nag	14 W. R., 377	3223
——— *v.* Nund Coomar Banerjee	8 W. R., 239	1902, 2844 5385
——— *v.* Zamuduroonissa Khatoon	17 W. R., 468	3404
Eshan Chunder Bose *v.* Juggobundhoo Ghose	8 W. R., 98	3493, 3514
——— *v.* Prannath Nag	14 B. L. R., 143: 22 W. R., 512.	3527
Eshan Chunder Doss *v.* Rukeemooddeen Soudagur	10 W. R., 412	4138
Eshan Chunder Dutt *v.* Prannath Chowdhry	Marsh., 270: 2 Hay, 236	289
Eshan Chunder Ghose *v.* Hurrish Chunder Banerjee	10 B. L. R., Ap., 5: 18 W. R., 19.	53, 4998
Eshan Chunder Ghossal *v.* Burno Moyee Dossee	16 W. R., 233	3010
Eshan Chunder Mojoomdar *v.* Hurish Chunder Ghose	21 W. R., 137	5317
Eshan Chunder Roy *v.* Assanoollah	16 W. R., 79	551, 562
——— *v.* Monmohini Dassi	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 683	3355, 3375
Eshan Chunder Sein *v.* Dhonaye	11 W. R., 61	311, 2742
——— *v.* Huree Churn Dey	2 W. R., 278	1756
Eshan Chunder Shaha *v.* Hatimoozzumah Khondkur	13 W. R., 334	4210
Eshan Chunder Singh *v.* Shama Churn Bhutto	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 87: 6 W. R., P. C., 57: 11 Moore's I. A., 7.	4587, 6097
Eshan Chundra Safooi *v.* Nundamoni Dassee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 357	6226
Eshan Khatoon *v.* Ramnath Sein Lushkur	15 W. R., 327	4955
Eshreepershad *v.* Debee Churn	2 N. W., 470	2005
Eshree Rai *v.* Bindoot Rai	3 Agra, 60: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1864, 142.	4810
Esmail *v.* Shamjee Poonjani	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 550	2683
Esree Singh *v.* Bishesher Singh	3 Agra, 255	3213
Essaji Adamji *v.* Bhimji Purshotam	4 Bom., O. C., 125	4609, 6149
Essan Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Soorjo Lall Gossain	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 3. W. R., F. B., 1: Marsh., 139.	3558
Essan Chunder Dutt *v.* Prannauth Chowdhry	Marsh., 270: 2 Hay, 236: W. R., F. B., 71.	2008, 5520 5778
Essen Chunder Manick *v.* Seebjoy Thakoor	Marsh., 435: 2 Hay, 503	1583
Esuf Ali Khan *v.* Shib Shunkur Shuhaye	W. R., 1864, 384	4954
Etwaree Lushkur *v.* Jadub Chunder Haldar	2 Hay, 599	3808
Etwari *v.* Ram Narayan Ram	4 B. L. R., Ap., 71: 13 W. R., 230.	85
Eusuf Ali *v.* Azumtoonissa	W. R., 1864, 49	4007
Evans. In the matter of the petition of	2 Mad., 473	5598
Everet *v.* Frere	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 205	428
Ewart, Latham, & Co. *v.* Muhammad Siddik	4 Bom., O. C., 133	5714
Ewaz *v.* Mokuna Bibi	I. L. R., 1 All., 132	1471, 1485
Ewing *v.* Choonee Lall Mullick	Cor., 150	2621
——— *v.* Gosaidas Ghose	2 B. L. R., Ap., 7	5589
——— *v.* Grant, Smith, & Co.	2 Hyde, 185	6013

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
F		
Faez Buksh Chowdhry *v* Sadut Ali Khan	23 W. R., 282	1928, 3490
Faez Bux Chowdhry *v.* Fakiruddin Mahomed Ahasan Chowdhry.	9 B. L. R., 456 : 14 Moore's I. A., 234.	473
Fagan *v.* Chunder Kant Banerjee	7 W. R., 452	4583, 5829
——— *v.* Dossee	Marsh, 226	5358
Fahamidannissa Begum *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 14 Calc., 67	65
Fahimunnissa *v.* Ajudhia Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 170	172, 4080
Faiz Ali. In the matter of	8 C L. R., 325	6272
——— *v.* Koromdi	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 28	6272
Faizullah *v.* Ramkamal Mitter	2 B. L. R., O. C., 7	4603
Fajaleh Ali Miah *v.* Kamaruddin Bhuya	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 170	1000
Fakaruddin Mahomed Ahsan *v.* Official Trustee of Bengal.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 538	804
Fakeer Bux *v.* Chutturdharee Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 513, note : 14 W. R., 209.	5425
Fakeer Chand Lall. In the matter of	20 W. R., 470	5937
Fakeer Mahomed. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 46	1153
Fakeer Rohoman *v.* Bhabo Soondery Debea	1 W. R., 232	532
Fakharoodeen Mahomed Ahsan *v.* Pogose	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 209 : 2 C. L. R., 573.	3326
Fakharuddin Mahomed Ahsan *v.* Official Trustee of Bengal.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 178 : 10 C. L. R., 176 : L. R., 8 I. A., 197.	1480, 3325 3326
Faki *v.* Khotu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 590	4783
Faki Ismail *v.* Umabai Bivalkar	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 425	3774
Fakira *v.* Basapa Mahadan Shetti	8 Bom., A. C., 234	5131, 5132 5135
Fakira Dobey *v.* Gopi Lall	6 C. L. R., 66	2191
Fakirapa bin Satyapa *v.* Chanapa bin Chanmalapa	10 Bom., 162	2395
Fakirappa *v.* Pandurangapa	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 7	5241, 5243
Fakir Bakhsh *v.* Sadat Ali	I. L. R., 7 All., 376	3965
Fakir Chand *v.* Fouzdar Misser	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 547	1876
——— *v.* Thakur Singh	7 B. L. R., 614 : 15 W. R., 421	1408
Fakir Chand Bose *v.* Madan Mohun Ghose	4 B L. R., F. B., 130 : 13 W. R., F. B., 40.	841
Fakir Chand Ghose *v.* Hiralal Seal	2 B. L. R., A. C., 93 : 10 W. R., 403.	1505, 1590 3066
Fakir Chand Govindram *v.* Kahandas Bhagwandas	3 Bom., A. C., 167	4773
Fakirchand Motichand *v.* Motichand Hurruckchand	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 438	2163, 2660
Fakir Mahomed Khan *v.* Fazeluddeen Khan	4 C. L. R., 256	4831
Fakir Muhammad *v.* Ghulam Hussain	I. L. R., 1 All., 580	3490, 3521
——— *v.* Tirumala Chariar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 205	3059
Fakir Rawot *v.* Emambaksh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 35 : W. R., F. B., 143.	3710
Fakiruddin Mohammed Ahasan *v.* Phillips	3 B. L. R., Ap., 53 : 11 W. R., 464.	2990
Fakiruddin Mohammed Asan Chowdhry *v.* Najumunnissa Chowdhrain.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 264 : 11 W. R., 145.	279
Fakrudin. In re the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 40	3607, 3738
Fakuruddeen Mahomed Assan *v.* Official Trustee of Bengal.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 82	1865
Fakurudin Sahib *v.* Ackeni Sahib	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 197	111

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Falle *v.* MacEwen	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 1 : 8 C. L. R., 577.	4063
Fanindra Deb Raikat *v.* Rajeswar Das	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 463 : L. R., 12 I. A., 72	2217, 2271
Faqueeroonissa Begum *v.* Sufdar Ali	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 119	3733
Fareed Alum *v.* Sheo Charun Ram	4 N. W., 37	5408
Farid Ahmad *v.* Dulari Bibi	I. L. R., 6 All., 233	5970
Farid-unnissa. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 5 All., 92	913
Farman Khan *v.* Bharat Chandra Shaha Chowdry	4 B. L. R., F. B., 134 : 13 W. R., F. B., 21.	3708
Farquharson *v.* Dwarkanauth Singh	8 B. L. R., 504	1762
——— *v.* Government of Bengal	14 Moore's I. A., 259 : 16 W. R., P. C., 29.	1762
Farzand Ali *v.* Alimullah	I. L. R., 1 All., 272	4541, 4543
——— *v.* Yusuf Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 669	294, 4413
Farzhara Banu *v.* Azizunnissa Bibi	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 175 : 3 W. R., 72.	5107
Fata Iyah Khan. In re	3 C. L. R., 599	5604
Fateh Muhammad *v.* Gopaldas	I. L. R., 7 All., 424	846, 3218
Fateh Singh *v.* Sanwal Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 751	1096
Fatesangji *v.* Desai Kalyanraya	4 Bom., A. C., 189	3377, 3408
Fathula *v.* Muniyappa	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 98	5450
Fati Chand Sahu *v.* Lilamber Singh Das	9 B. L. R., 433 : 14 Moore's I. A., 129 : 16 W. R., P. C., 26.	4812, 4852 5801
Fatima Begam *v.* Sakina Begam	I. L. R., 1 All., 51	2802
——— *v.* Sukhram	I. L. R., 6 All., 341	1244
Fatima Begum *v.* Moosa	18 W. R., 128	5679
Fatima Bibee *v.* Ariff Ismailjee Bham	9 C. L. R., 66	3663, 3735
——— *v.* Arif Sookanee	Marsh., 263 : 2 Hay, 106	6109
Fatima Khatun *v.* Collector of Tipperah	8 B. L. R., 4, note : 13 W. R., 433.	5336
——— *v.* Mahommed Jan Chowdhry	1 B. L. R., P. C., 21 : 10 W. R., P. C., 29 : 12 Moore's I. A., 65.	1138
Fatimunissa Begum *v.* Hamza Ali	6 C. L. R., 391	4663
Fatma *v.* Essa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 266	4673
Fatma Bibee *v.* Collector of Surat	8 Bom., A. C., 79	348
Fatma Bibi *v.* Advocate General of Bombay	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 42	3666
——— *v.* Sadruddin	2 Bom., 307 : 2nd Ed., 291	3650
Fatma kom Nubi Saheb *v.* Darya Saheb	10 Bom., 187	5270, 6004
Fatteh Bahadur *v.* Janki Bibi	4 B. L. R., Ap., 55 : 13 W. R., 74.	4309
Fatteh Singh *v.* Lachmi Kooer	13 B. L. R., Ap., 37 : 21 W. R., 105.	4977
Fayz Ali *v.* Taleb Ali	18 W. R., 330	687
Fazal Haq *v.* Maha Chand	I. L. R., 1 All., 557	5261
Fazal Imam *v.* Metta Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 549	3515
Fazal Muhammad *v.* Phul Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 192	3177
Fazel Biswas *v.* Jamadar Sheik	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 231	5124
Fazulun-nissa Begum *v.* Mulo	I. L. R., 6 All., 250	278
Feda Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 431	267, 270 273
——— *v.* Empress	10 C. L. R., 184	2008
——— *v.* Khajooroonnissa	9 W. R., 459	1195
——— *v.* Syedoonissa	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 80	2815
Feddah Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	2 Hay, 677	3847

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Fegredo *v.* Mahomed Moddossur	10 W. R., 267	1783, 2986
——— *v.* Mahomed Mudessur	15 W. R., 75	443, 3670
Fehrsen *v.* Simpson	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 514	6215
Felani Hariani. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 12	1
Fenn. In the matter of the estate of	3 B. L. R., Ap., 3	128
Fenwick. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 47 : 14 W. R., Cr., 72.	2947
Ferasut Ali *v.* Ashootosh Roy Singh	15 W. R., 455	4541
Fergusson *v.* Government	9 W. R., 158	5128
Fernandez *v.* Alves	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 382	6198
——— *v.* Fernandez	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 12	1565
——— *v.* Vasudev Shanbog	3 Bom., A. C., 82	3318
Ferrier *v.* Ram Kalpa Ghose	23 W. R., 403	5842
Fida Ali *v.* Muzaffar Ali	I. L. R., 5 All., 65	3718
Fida Hosseiu *v.* Gholam Jilani	7 N. W., 346	2862
Fida Husain *v.* Kutub Husain	I. L. R., 7 All., 38	48
Fidaye Shikdar *v.* Ozeerooddeen	7 W. R., 87	3252, 4523
Financial Association of India and China *v.* Pranjivandas Harjivandas.	3 Bom., O. C., 25	1896, 2626
Finlay, Campbell, & Co. *v.* Steele	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 39	6275
Finlay, Muir, & Co. *v.* Gopee Kristo Gossamee	24 W. R., 404	3015
Firengee Singh *v.* Ahmed Hossein	7 W. R., 22	1351
Firingee Sahoo *v.* Sham Manjhee	8 W. R., 373	4469
Fischer *v.* Fischer	6 Mad., 393	1819
——— *v.* Kamala Naicker	3 W. R., P. C., 33 : 8 Moore's I. A., 170.	697, 1083 2736, 4660.
Fisher *v.* Nundoo Coomar Mundle	Marsh., 625	1588
——— *v.* Pearse	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 1	1982
Fitzpatrick *v.* Gowan	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 420 : 6 W. R., Act X, 64	567
——— *v.* Seeta Roy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 170	1640
——— *v.* Wallace	2 B. L. R., A. C., 317 : 11 W. R., 231.	3045, 5182
Fleming *v.* Koogler	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 237 : 3 C. L R., 297.	1059
——— *v.* Shearman	4 B. L. R., O. C., 92	5536
Fleming Spinning and Weaving Co. In re	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 299	949
	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 494	950
Flowest *v.* Kootoob Hossein	2 Agra, 274	1588
——— *v.* Kootub Hossein	Agra, F. B., 100 : Ed. 1874, 75	5787
Foggo *v.* Loudon	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 139	3085
Fondclair *v.* Vinaitheethan Chetty	5 Mad., 251	5809
Foolbashee Kowar *v.* Arzun Sahoo	12 W. R., 134	1434, 4316
Fool Chund Joburry *v.* Ramkristo Bose	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 425	3986
Foolee Bibee *v.* Bassiruddy Mirdha	12 W. R., F. B., 25	4143
Forbes *v.* Ameeroonissa Begum	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 117 : 5 W. R., P. C., 47 : 10 Moore's I. A., 340.	4015, 4631
——— *v.* Bhujloo Roy	6 C. L. R., 301	545
——— *v.* Dyanutoollah	10 W. R., 415	5133
——— *v.* Girish Chandra Bhuttacharjee	6 B. L. R., Ap., 3 : 14 W. R., Cr., 31.	3864
——— *v.* Lutchmeput Singh	10 B. L. R., 139 : 17 W. R., 197 : 14 Moore's I. A., 330	5314
——— *v.* Mahomed Hossein	12 B. L. R., 210 : 20 W. R., 44.	1991, 4211 4648, 5351
——— *v.* Mahomed Kasseem	1 W. R., 238	1382

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Forbes *v.* Mahomed Taki	5 B. L. R., 529 : 14 W. R., P. C., 28 : 13 Moore's I. A., 438.	136, 2035
——— *v.* Mean Jan	3 W. R., 69	4206
——— *v.* Nund Coomar Mundul	2 W. R., Act X, 35	1605
——— *v.* Protap Singh Doogur	7 W. R., 409	5301, 5311 5338
——— *v.* Purtap Singh Doogur	7 W. R., 400	3351
——— *v.* Ram Lall Biswas	22 W. R., 51	5192
——— *v.* Sree Lal Jha	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 365	542
——— *v.* Tullockchand Manockchand	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 386	1086
Fornaro *v.* Ramnarain Sookdeb	14 B. L. R., 180 : 23 W. R., 136.	1088, 5720
Forester *v.* Secretary of State	12 B. L. R., 120 : 18 W. R., 349 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 10.	58, 5111 5507
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 161 : L. R., 4 I. A., 137.	2696
Fort Gloucester Mills Co. In the matter of	Bourke, O. C., 260	6062
Foschola *v.* Huro Chunder Bose	8 W. R., 284	1614
Foujdar Khan *v.* Bainee Doobey	3 Agra, 398	5436
Foulkes *v.* Rajabrathna Mudali	6 Mad., 175	3064
Fowle *v.* Fowle	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 260 : 3 C. L. R., 484.	1546, 1555
Fox. In re	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 67	2665
Framjee Cowasjee *v.* Thompson	3 Moore's I. A., 422	4046
Framji Besanji Dastur *v.* Hormasji Pestanji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 258	5486
Framji Bomanji *v.* Hormasji Barjorji	3 Bom., O. C., 49	3090, 5875
Framji Hormasji *v.* Commissioner of Customs	7 Bom., A. C., 89	2703, 5497
Framji Kavasji *v.* Hormasji Kavasji	1 Bom., 220	2807
Framji Rustamji *v.* Ratansha Pestanji	9 Bom., 49	208, 796
Franks *v.* Nunsh Mal	7 N. W., 79	3501, 3517
Freek *v.* Harley	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 418 : 7 C. L. R., 237.	5718
Freeman *v.* Fairlie	1 Moore's I. A., 305	2962, 6000
French *v.* Baranashee Banerjee	8 W. R., 29	3919
Frewin *v.* Paul	12 W. R., 532	1817
Fuckoruddeen Mahomed Ahsan *v.* Mohima Chunder Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 529	3331
Fuggoo Daye *v.* Ranah Daye	4 W. R., Mis., 3	2276
Fukeer Ahmed *v.* Issur Chunder Das	20 W. R., 481	1377
Fukeer Chunder Shaha *v.* Nobodeep Chunder Shaha	W. R., 1864, 59	4301
Fukeerdass Pooroheet *v.* Gopal Mookerjee	12 W. R., 107	6106
Fukeeroodeen Adam Shah. In the matter of the petition of.	11 W. R., 413	3085
Fukeerooddeen Mahomed Ahsun Chowdhry *v.* Kurreem Buksh Chowdhry.	5 W. R., 43	473
Fukeeroonissa Begum *v.* Chunder Monee Dossee	12 W. R., 538	3072
Fukheerooddeen *v.* Kalachand Sirdar	1 W. R., 287	5121
Fukurooddeen Mahomed Ahsan Chowdhry *v.* Annunidnath Roy.	9 W. R., 370	5138
Fuller *v.* Bishoon Kooer	3 N. W., 25	1034, 1106
Fulli Bibi *v.* Bassirudi Midha	4 B. L. R., F. B., 54	1750, 4143
Fultoo Bibee *v.* Bhurrut Lall Bhukut	10 W. R., 299	3670
Fulvahu *v.* Goculdas Vallabhdas	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 275	10, 5170
Funeendro Deb Roy Kut *v.* Jogendro Deb	23 W. R., 220	277
Furseedoonissa *v.* Ruhomut	4 W. R., 37	2021

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Fureedunnissa v. Ram Onogra Singh	21 W. R., 19	1845
Furlong v. Johurree Loll	Marsh., 194: 1 Hay, 453	4928
——— v. Kusroo Mundur	7 W. R., 531	3374
——— v. Treelochun Singh	Marsh., 504: 2 Hay, 598	4928
Furookh Hossein v. Fuzul Hossein	1 N. W., 209: Ed. 1873, 292.	1379
Furzund Ali v. Abdool Rahim	4 W. R., 30	4821
——— v. Ahmed Hossein	1 Agra, 267	5986
——— v. Jaffree Bebee	5 N. W., 118	4989
Furzund Ali Khan v. Aka Ali Mahomed	3 C. L. R., 194	644, 2594
Furzund Hossein v. Janu Bibee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 588	3647
Fusseebun v. Ashrufoonnissa	23 W. R., 463	2692
Futeek Parooee v. Mohender Nath Mozoomdar	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 385: 25 W. R., 226.	1378, 5771
Futeh Ali *alias* Nanna Meah v. Gregory	6 W. R., Mis., 13	3911, 5425
Futteh Ali v. Asgur Ali	17 W. R., 11	5279
——— v. Gunganath Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 113: 10 C. L. R., 20.	1122, 5672
——— v. Kureem Ali	10 W. R., 61	756
Futteh Ali Shah v. Fuzeeluttunnissa Bebee	W. R., 1864, 131	3686
——— v. Mahomed Mukeem Oodeen	W. R., 1864, 131	3686
Futteh Bahadoor v. Gibbon	22 W. R., Cr. 74	4736
Futtehma Begum v. Mahomed Ausur	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 309	2713, 5759
Futteh Mahomed. In the matter of the petition of	21 W. R., Cr., 30	4355
Futtehoollah v. Oomdanissa Bibee	14 W. R., 69	4899
Futteh Ram v. Monohur Lall	3 Agra, 39	3191
Futtehsangji Jaswantsangji v. Deasai Kullianraiji Hakoomutraiji.	13 B. L. R., 254: 10 Bom., 281: L. R., 1 I. A., 24: 21 W. R., 178.	3118, 3377, 3408
Futteh Singh v. Gango	4 W. R., 4	376
Futtick Chunder Banerjee v. Golam Ali Chowdhry	10 W. R., 453	3876
Futtick Chunder Chatterjee v. Juggut Mohinee Dabi	22 W. R., 348	2333
Futtick Chund Sahoo v. Makund Jha	Marsh., 224: 1 Hay, 539	3103
Fuzal Ali v. Bia Bibi Chowdhrain	7 C. L. R., 276	1504
Fuzeehun v. Kajo	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 15	2072
Fuzeelun v. Keramut Hossein	21 W. R., 212	3516
Fuzeelun Beebee v. Omdah Beebee	10 W. R., 469	3632, 4902, 6244
——— v. ———	11 B. L. R., 60, note: 10 W. R., 469.	459, 4246
Fuzelutoonissa v. Hoormutoonissa	Marsh., 218: 1 Hay, 559	3644
Fuzl Hossein v. Tussuduck Ali Khan	13 W. R., 395	182
Fuzl Moula v. Gholam Shurruff	12 W. R., 505	667
Fuzlon v. Abdoollah	7 W. R., 169	2929
Fuzl-ood-deen v. Imteaz-oon-nissa	3 Agra, 152	3015
Fuzlool Ruhman v. Ali Kureem	5 W. R., 163	4014
Fuzloonnissa v. Nawabunnissa	2 Hay, 479	3702
Fuzloor Ruhman v. Altaf Hossen	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 541	3503
Fuzludeen Khan v. Fakir Mahomed Khan	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 336: 4 C. L. R., 257.	4804, 4831
Fuzul Ali v. Jumna Doss	1 Agra, 229	1139
Fuzulutoonissa v. Chutter Dharee Singh	6 W. R., Mis., 43	3519
Fuzzle Ali. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 8	4435
Fuzzoo Khan v. Issur Chunder Sircar	Marsh., 30	195
Fuzzul Hossein v. Amjud Ali Khan	17 W. R., 523	4232
——— v. Enayet Ali Khan	2 W. R., 268	5782
Fyaz-ood-deen v. Pudmee	4 N. W., 68	4245, 5172

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Fyazooddeen Bhooya *v.* Shumsunnissa Beebee	12 W. R., 508	3797, 5436
Fyez Ali *v.* Omedee Singh	21 W. R., 265	1786
Fyezoollah *v.* Kazim Hossein	14 W. R., 29	3895
Fyezoonnissa *v.* Sadutoollah	22 W. R., 3	4827
Fyz-ood-deen *v.* Giraudh Singh	2 N. W., 1	3778

G

G— *v.* G	8 Bom., O. C., 48	1549
Gabinda Chandra Ghose. In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., Ap., 39	4491, 6255
Gabind Chandra *v.* Collector of Dacca	3 B. L. R., Ap., 57 : 11 W. R., 491.	3438
Gabind Chandra Biswas *v.* Hem Chandra Barder	6 B. L. R., Ap., 115	3616
Gabind Chandra Dutt *v.* Ganga Dhye	7 B. L. R., 333	4410
Gabind Prasad Tewari *v.* Udai Chand Rana	6 B. L. R., 320	1428
Gabindsundari Debi *v.* Jagadamba Debi	6 B. L. R., 168 : 15 W. R., P. C., 5.	4053
Gabriel *v.* Mordakai	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 148	5901
Gadadhar Moitry *v.* Ganga Prasad Moitry	4 B. L. R., O. C., 89	354
Gadgeppa Desai *v.* Apaji Jivanrao	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 237	2204
Gaetree Dabea *v.* Thakoor Doss	W. R., 1864, Act X, 78	4935
Gahan *v.* Owen	Bourke, O. C., 17 : Cor., 149	2687
——— *v.* ———	Cor., 11	5532
Gajadhar Persad *v.* Widows of Emam Ali Beg	15 B. L. R., 221 : L. R., 2 I. A., 205.	4636
Gajadhar Prasad *v.* Ganesh Tewari	7 B. L. R., 149 : 15 W. R., 485.	208, 404
Gajadhar Prasad Narayan Singh. In re	1 B. L. R., A. C., 186	5731, 6238
Gajapathi *v.* Alagia	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 89	6122
Gajapathi Nilamani *v.* Gajapathi Radhamani	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 290 : 1 C. L. R., 97 : L. R., 4 I. A., 212.	2316, 2464
Gajapathi Radhika Patta Mahadebi Guru *v.* Gajapathi Hari Krishna Debi Guru.	6 B. L. R., 202 : 14 W. R., P. C., 33 : 13 Moore's I. A., 497.	988
Gajapati Radhika Patta Mahadevi Guru *v.* Gajapati Radhamani Mahadevi Guru.	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 96	3553
Gajapaty Hari Krishna Devi Garu *v.* Gajapaty Radhika Patta Maha Devi Garu.	2 Mad., 369	988
Gajapaty Nilamani Patta Maha Devi Garu *v.* Gajapaty Radhika Patta Negha Deva Garu.	2 Mad., 369	988
Gajendranarayan Roy *v.* Hemangini Dasi	4 B. L. R., Ap., 27 : 13 W. R., 35.	5977
Gajjo Koer *v.* Ally Ahmed	6 B. L. R., Ap., 62 : 14 W. R., 474.	1743
Gale *v.* Maharanee Sreemutty	15 W. R., 133	2995
Gale *v.* Chedi Jha	2 Hay, 591	2983
Gambhirmal *v.* Chejmal	10 Bom., 406	5768
——— *v.* Chejmal Jodhmal	11 Bom., 151	228, 5919
Gamble. Ex parte	2 Bom., 150 : 2nd Ed., 142	2627
——— *v.* Abdur Rahiman bin Alli	12 Bom., 257	11, 3449
——— *v.* Bholagir	2 Bom., 150 : 2nd Ed., 147	2628, 2658
——— *v.* Bholagir Mangir	1 Bom., 251	2658, 2670, 4287
Gamirullah Sarkar *v.* Abdul Sheikh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 408	5911
Ganapathi *v.* Balasundara	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 540	786, 3544
Gandavathara Ayyangar *v.* Devanayaga Mudali	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 222	111

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gandharee Debea *v.* Sonatun Panday	10 W. R., 215	4822
Gandharp Singh *v.* Sahib Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 184	4548
Gane Bhive Parab *v.* Kane Bhive	4 Bom., A. C., 169	2342, 2374
Ganeemut Hossein *v.* Bungoo Sahoo	3 C. L. R., 8	4926
Ganendra Mohun Tagore *v.* Upendra Mohun Tagore	4 B. L. R., O. C., 103	2528, 2534 2557
Ganendro Mohun Tagore *v.* Juttendro Mohun Tagore	12 B. L. R., 1	6214
——— *v.* ———	14 B. L. R., 60: 22 W. R., 377: L. R., 1 I. A., 387.	6214
Ganesa Ratnamaiyar *v.* Gopala Ratnamaiyar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 270: L. R., 7 I. A., 173.	2123
Ganesh Bhikaji Juvekar *v.* Bhikaji Krishna Juvekar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 398	4897
Ganesh Chandra Chowdhry *v.* Ram Coomar Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., A. C., 265	4883
Ganeshee Lall *v.* Toolaram	3 Agra, 376: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 167.	3239
Ganesh Hathi *v.* Mehta Vyankatram Harjivan	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 188	620, 5687
Ganeshi Lall *v.* Luchman Dass	5 N. W., 31	3716
Ganesh Kooer *v.* Deedar Buksh	5 N. W., 128	3997
Ganesh Krishna *v.* Madhavrao Ravji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 75	3345
Ganeshlall *v.* Amir Khan	8 B. L. R., 83: 17 W. R., 80	75, 2004
Ganesh Lall Tewari *v.* Shamnarain	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 213	5376
Ganesh Pandurang Agte *v.* Gangadhur Ramkrishna	6 Bom., A. C., 244	244
Ganesh Pershad *v.* Sheo Churun Lall	6 N. W., 197	484, 1912
Ganesh Rai *v.* Kalka Prasad	I. L. R., 5 All., 595	4978
Ganesh Ram Chandra Date *v.* Shankar Ramchandra	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 395	420
Ganesh Ravji *v.* Govind Gopal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 461	1523
Ganesh Sadashiv. In the matter of the petition of	5 Bom., A. C., 117	3141
Ganesh Shivram *v.* Abdula Beg	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 538	851
Ganesh Singh *v.* Ram Raja	3 B. L. R., P. C., 44: 12 W. R., P. C., 38.	1379, 1560 1725
Ganesh Sundari Debi. In the matter of	5 B. L. R., 418	2094, 3851
Ganes Sing *v.* Ramgopal Sing	5 B. L. R., Ap., 55	107
Ganeswar Sing *v.* Durga Dutt	7 B. L. R., 651	4654
Ganga *v.* Murli Dhar	I. L. R., 4 All., 240	844, 1705
Gangabai *v.* Kalapa Dari Mukrya	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 419	2990, 3002, 4474, 5110
——— *v.* Sitaram	I. L. R., 1 All., 170	2423
——— *v.* Vamanaji Datar	2 Bom., 301	2156
Ganga Bisheshar *v.* Pirthi Pal	I. L. R., 2 All., 635	2157
Ganga Charan Roy Chowdry *v.* Jagarnath Dutt	3 B. L. R., A. C., 208: 12 W. R., 97.	3309
Gangadaraiya *v.* Parameswaramma	5 Mad., 111	2510
Ganga Dass Adhikaree. In re	11 B. L. R., Ap., 23, note: 14 W. R., 281.	4340
Ganga Dayal. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 All., 375	3883
Gangadhar *v.* Zahurriya	I. L. R., 8 All., 446	2864, 3274
Gangadhara *v.* Sivarama	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 246	3932
Gangadhar Bhivrav *v.* Datto Krishnaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 368	2054
Gangadhar Raghunath *v.* Chimnaji Keshav Damle	5 Bom., A. C., 95	3748
Gangadhar Sakharam *v.* Mahadu Santajy	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 20	350, 1521
Gangadhar Shivkarn *v.* Collector of Ahmednagar	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 628	905
Gangadhar Sirkar *v.* Kasinath Biswas	9 B. L. R., 128	5803
Ganga Din *v.* Dhurandhar Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 495	5199
——— *v.* Kushali	I. L. R., 7 All., 702	5417
Gangagobinda *v.* Kaliprasanna Naik	1 B. L. R., S. N., 13: 10 W. R., 206.	372

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ganga Gobind Mandal *v.* Bani Madhab Ghose	3. B. L. R., A. C., 172 : 11 W. R., 548.	4004
Ganga Gobind Sen *v.* Gobind Chandra Roy	4 B. L. R., Ap., 39	1179
Ganga Jati *v.* Ghasita	I. L. R., 1 All., 46	2497
Ganga Mulik *v.* Bayaji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 669	4020, 4186
Ganganarain Sircar *v.* Brindabun Chunder Kur Chowdhry.	3 W. R., 142	2240
Ganga Narayan Das *v.* Saroda Mohun Roy Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., A. C., 230 : 12 W. R., 30.	1182, 1457 1753
Ganga Pershad Sahu *v.* Gopal Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 136 : L. R., 11 I. A., 234.	5469
——— *v.* Maharani Bibi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 379 : L. R., 12 I. A., 47.	2079
Gangappa *v.* Kapinappa	5 Mad., 128	5662
Ganga Prasad *v.* Chandrawati	I. L. R., 7 All., 256	2863
——— *v.* Gajahdhar Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 651	245
——— *v.* Kusyari Din	I. L. R., 1 All., 611	3889
——— *v.* Mawji Lal	I. L. R., 426 : 16 W. R. P. C., 30.	629
Ganga Prasad Gosain. In the goods of	I. L. R., Ap., 43	3747
Gangaram *v.* Bansi	I. L. R., 2 All., 431	4833
——— *v.* Beni Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 148	2869
——— *v.* Chandan Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 62	629
——— *v.* Data Ram	I. L. R., 8 All., 82	191, 6229
Gangaram Velgi *v.* Parbhu Dyaram	9 Bom., 272	423
Ganga Sahai *v.* Hira Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 809	365
——— *v.* Kishen Sahai	I. L. R., 6 All., 262	6034
——— *v.* Lachman Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 194	3990
Gangathara Pandithar *v.* Rathabai Ammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 237	5447
Gangbai *v.* Thavar Mulla	1 Bom., 71	3735
Ganges Manufacturing Company *v.* Sourujmull	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 669 : 5 C. L. R., 533.	1714
Ganges Steam Navigation Company. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 394	952
——— *v.* ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	3146, 3200
——— *v.* ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 181	3236
Gangji Vithal *v.* Sitaram Shridhar	9 Bom., 33	4440
Gangoo Singh. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 389	980
Gangubai kom Sidhappa *v.* Ramanna bin Bhimanna	3 Bom., A. C., 66	2265
Gangulu *v.* Ancha Bapulu	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 73	2170
Gankim Swee *v.* Ralli Brothers	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 237 : L. R., 13 I. A., 60.	1088
Gannu Lal *v.* Ram Sahai	I. L. R., 7 All., 197	3832
Ganpat Bajashet *v.* Khandu Changshet	4 Bom., A. C., 69	4828, 6144
Ganpatgir Bholagir *v.* Ganpatgir	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 230	1426, 1441
Ganpatji *v.* Saadat Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 787	5383
Ganpatlal Anupram *v.* Sampatram Ghelabhai	10 Bom., 400	421
Ganpat Manikji Patil. In the matter of the petition of	6 Bom., O. C., 64	4757
Ganpat Moroba *v.* Lakshmibai	5 Bom., O. C., 128	1700, 2509 2540
Ganpat Pandurang *v.* Adarji Dadabhai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 312	2500, 3439 4773
Ganpat Pataya *v.* Collector of Kanara	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 7	4343
Ganpat Rai *v.* Sadupi	I. L. R., 1 All., 446	3919
Ganpatram Lakhmiram *v.* Jaichand Talakchand	4 Bom., A. C., 105, 109	2772, 5775
Ganpatrav *v.* Rangrav	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 133, note	2851
Ganpatrav Ranchodji *v.* Bai Suraj	7 Bom., A. C., 79	2795
Ganpatrav Trimbak Patwardhan *v.* Ganesh Baji Bhat	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 112	5111

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ganpatrav Vireshvar *v.* Vithoba Khandappa	4 Bom., A. C., 130	2133
Ganraj Dubey *v.* Sheozore Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 898	2392
Gan Savant Bal Savant *v.* Narayan Dhond Savant	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 467	2378, 4996, 5947
Ganubhai *v.* Srinivasa Pilla	4 Mad., 84	1393
Ganu bin Hanmantrav *v.* Moro Ganesh	10 Bom., 429	4284
Gapinath *v.* Abbas Hossein	7 B. L. R., 434, note	589, 3637
Gapi Nath Nandi *v.* Shib Chandra Nandi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 74	364
Garbhu Bhagat *v.* Runglal Sing	7 B. L. Ap., 33	6157
Garden Reach Spinning and Manufacturing Company *v.* Empress of India Cotton Mills Company.	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 551	4523
Gardiner *v.* Fell	1 Moore's I. A., 299	2962
Gardner. Ex parte	6 Bom., O. C., 138	5647
Garib Hossein Chowdhry *v.* Wise	5 B. L. R., 342, note	5139
Garibulla Paramanick *v.* Fakir Mahomed Kolu	1 B. L. R., S. N., 13 : 10 W. R., 203.	5669
Garland *v.* Rai Mohun Hazrah	1 W. R., 15	4928
"Garland," The, *v.* "Dragon," The	1 Hyde, 275	2833
Garuda Reddi o. Gudi Janakayya Garu	1 Mad., 124	2697, 4947
Gash *v.* McLean	2 N. W., 403	3199
Gasper. In the goods of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 733 : 2 C. L. R., 436.	1238
Gasper (falsely called Gonsalves) *v.* Gonsalves	13 B. L. R., 109	1547, 3785
Gatharam Mistree *v.* Moohita Kochin Atteah Domoonee	14 B. L. R., 298 : 23 W. R., 179.	5101
Gaura *v.* Gayadin	I. L. R., 4 All., 355	682
Gaurchandra Chuckerbutty *v.* Krishna Mohun Sing	2 B. L. R., S. N., 4 : 10 W. R., Cr., 46.	4367
Gaur Chandra Roy *v.* Rash Behari Dutt	1 B. L. R., S. N., 1 : 10 W. R., 43.	158
Gauravallaba Ramchandra Vellia Bomaya Nayik *v.* Virappa Chetti.	2 Mad., 174	1684, 1828
Gaur Hari Das *v.* Madan Mohan Biswas	3 B. L. R., A. C., 16 : 11 W. R., 330.	3309
Gaur Hari Das Adhikari *v.* Hayagrib Das	6 B. L. R., 371	3769
Gaur Hari Dutt *v.* Radha Gobind Shaha	7 B. L. R., 343, note : 12 W. R., 54.	413
Gaurhari Gui *v.* Peari Dasi	8 L. R., Sup. Vol., 48 : W. R., F. B., 165.	2207
Gaurhari Singh *v.* Behari Raut	3 B. L. R., Ap., 138 : 12 W. R., 277.	5180
Gauri *v.* Chandramani	I. L. R., 1 All., 262	2259
Gauri Anantha Parathesi *alias* Satthappayyan *v.* Kaliappa Setti.	3 Mad., 213	2914
Gauri Baijnathprasad *v.* Budhu Sing	2 B. L. R., S. N., 16	5002
Gauri Dat *v.* Gur Sahai	I. L. R., 2 All., 41	2483
Gaurinath Mookerjee *v.* Madhumani Peshkar	9 B. L. R., Ap., 37	2987
Gauri Prasad Koondoo *v.* Reily	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 112 : 12 C. L. R., 41.	3844
Gauri Sahai. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 All., 114	5524
——— *v.* Ram Sahai	7 N. W., 157	5610, 5736
——— *v.* Rukko	I. L. R., 3 All., 45	2317
Gauri Shankar *v.* Mumtaz Ali Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 411	1096
——— *v.* Surju	I. L. R., 3 All., 276	3345
Gaur Lal Sirkar *v.* Rameswar Bhumik	6 B. L. R., Ap., 92	5192
Gaur Mohan Chowdhry *v.* Madan Mohan Chowdhry	6 B. L. R., 352 : 15 W. R., 29.	3375

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gaur Mohan Dass *v.* Ramrup Mazoomdar	1 B. L. R., A. C., 42 : 10 W. R., 84.	4757
Gaur Mohan Sing. In the matter of the petition of	8 B. L. R., Ap., 11	1960
Gaur Narayan Mazumdar *v.* Brajanath Kundu Chowdhry.	5 B. L. R., 463 : 14 W. R., 491	3953
Gavdapa *v.* Shibasangvada	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 133, note	2851
Gayadat *v.* Kutub-un-nissa	I. L. R., 6 All., 578	2873
Gayadeen *v.* Ramsahai	2 Agra, Pt. II, 181	4548
GayaJati *v.* Ramjiawan Ram	I. L. R., 8 All., 569	3070
Gaya Parshad *v.* Bhup Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 180	1887
Gaya Prasad *v.* Gaya Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 682	3935
——— *v.* Salik Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 682	3935
——— *v.* Sikri	I. L. R., 4 All., 23	3460
Gayaram Mazumdar *v.* Madhusudan	4 B. L. R., Ap., 73	4824
Gayasoodeen *v.* Khuda Buksh	1 N. W., 87 : Ed. 1873, 139	2974
Gazee *v.* Hameed Buksh	16 W. R., 160	349, 351
Gedeera Kolita *v.* Debendro Narain Konwar	25 W. R., 352	6154
Gedroo Sircar *v.* Beharee Lall Rudra	20 W. R., 165	3402
Geereeballa Dabee *v.* Chunder Kant Mookerjee	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 213	3858, 4273, 4526
Geetum Sing *v.* Buldeo Kahar	4 N. W., 76	1539
Gehanaji bin Kes Patil *v.* Ganpati bin Lakshuman	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 469	5261
Gendapuri *v.* Chhatarpuri	L. R., 13 I. A., 100 : I. L. R., 9 All., 1.	2245
Gend Lall Tewari *v.* Denonath Ram Tewari	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 678	1693
Gendu Malhari *v.* Govind Atmaram	10 Bom., 409	5658
General Manager, Raj Durbungha *v.* Ramput Singh	14 Moore's I. A., 605 : 17 W. R., 459 : 10 B. L. R., 294.	2517, 5404
Gengu Reddi *v.* Asal Reddi	1 Mad., 12	5631
Gentle *v.* Bank of Hindostan, China and Japan	1 Ind. Jur., N S., 245	3104
——— *v.* Thomson	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 69	591
George. In the goods of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 138 : 15 W. R., 457, note.	1236
——— *v.* George	11 B. L. R., Ap., 2	1554
——— *v.* ———	6 N. W., 219	6202
——— *v.* Hamilton, Brown, & Co.	4 N. W., 74	5142
——— *v.* Ram Ruttun	3 Agra, 272	225
Gerender Coomar Dutt *v.* Juggadumba Dabee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 126	3466, 5914
Ghalib Ali *v.* Khilloo	3 N. W., 51 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 243.	5877
Ghamshamlal *v.* Bhansali	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 249	410
Ghanasham Das Goorsaunull *v.* Joharimull Kedarinath	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 431	431
Ghannoo Singh *v.* Ram Gobind Singh	13 W. R., 231	3878
Ghansam Singh *v.* Chukowree Singh	W. R., 1864, 197	4142
Ghansham *v.* Mukha	I. L. R., 3 All., 320	3520
Ghasee *v.* Jusondee	2 Agra, 226	2476
Ghasee Khan *v.* Kulloo	1 Agra, 152	5000
Ghasseram. In re	12 B. L. R., Ap., 4	917
Ghasi Ram *v.* Nuraj Begam	I. L. R., 1 All., 31	3091
Ghasi Singh *v.* Budh Singh	7 N. W., 193	5789
Ghasseram. In the matter of	15 B. L. R., Ap., 10	2670
Ghasseeram *v.* Monohur Doss	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 241	3315
Ghasseram Misser *v.* Williamson	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 205	1451
Ghazee Lall Jha *v.* Sheo Narain Singh	8 W. R., 24	268
Ghazeeood-deen *v.* Bhookun Doobey	2 Agra, 301	1695, 3981
Ghazeeoddeen Hyder *v.* Bhoolun	2 Agra, 344	1165

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ghazi *v.* Kadir Baksh	I. L. R., 1 All., 212	5456
Ghazidin *v.* Fakir Bakhsh	I. L. R., 7 All., 73	205, 804 1940
Ghelabhai Bhikaridas *v.* Pranjivan Ichharam	11 Bom., 218	4775, 5349
Ghella Pema *v.* Gumtibai	8 Bom., O. C., 140	132, 3087
Ghinaram Dobey *v.* Ram Monaruth Ram Dobey	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 566, note: 7 C. L. R., 580.	3431
Ghirdharee Singh *v.* Koolahul Singh	6 W. R., P. C., 1; 2 Moore's I. A., 344.	2226, 2502 4397, 4646
Ghisa *v.* Didari	7 N. W., 257	2865
Ghogoolee *v.* Muzhur Hossein	24 W. R., 389	4173
Gholab Khan. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 83: 15 W. R., 171.	3882
———. ———	7 B. L. R., 179: 16 W. R., Cr., 15.	3882
Gholab Khoonwarree Bebee *v.* Eshur Chunder Chowdhry.	2 W. R., P. C., 47: 8 Moore's I. A., 447.	1703
Gholam Ali *v.* Asgur Ali	11 W. R., 105	330
Gholam Ali Chowdhry *v.* Collector of Backergunge	2 C. L. R., 39	47
Gholam Ally *v.* Nundaya	Marsh., 264: 2 Hay, 108	544
Gholam Durbesh Chowdhry *v.* Sham Kishore Roy	W. R., 1864, 378	3182
Gholam Hossein *v.* Abdool Kadir	5 N. W., 11	3726
——— *v.* Hur Gobind Dass	1 W. R., 19	5650
——— *v.* Okhoy Coomar Ghose	3 W. R., Act X, 169	5782
Gholam Hyder *v.* Poorno Chunder Roy	3 W. R., Act X, 147	5189
Gholam Mahomed *v.* Indra Chand Jahuri	7 B. L. R., 318: 15 W. R., 34	410
Gholam Mahomed Akbur *v.* Koonj Beharee Lal	5 W. R., Mis., 27	194
Gholam Mohamed *v.* Asmut Ali Khan Chowdhry	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 974: 10 W. R., F. B., 14.	2932
——— *v.* Calcutta Club	Cor., 67	4532
Gholam Mukhdoom *v.* Ashuck Jan Bibee	25 W. R., 86	5355
Gholam Murteza Chowdhry *v.* Goluck Chunder Roy	8 W. R., 191	1700
Gholam Nujjuff *v.* Toossooduck Hossein	1 W. R., 126	3166
Gholam Nuzuf *v.* Emanum	9 W. R., 275	4017
Gholam Rasul Khan. In re	1 B. L. R., O. C., 130	2675
Gholam Singh *v.* Bejoyram Protab Singh	W. R., 1864, 317	6079
Gholam Sobhan *alias* Saboo Meah *v.* Mahomed Rouf	20 W. R., 286	670
Ghoogly Sahoo *v.* Chundee Pershad Misser	21 W. R., 246	3817
Ghoolam Moortoozah Khan *v.* Government	9 Moore's I. A., 456	4066, 4650
Ghooroo Singh *v.* Dabee Dyal	2 Agra, 280	4543
Ghosee Lall *v.* Gaind Lall	3 Agra, 184	3992
Ghotao Mundle *v.* Kajroo	16 W. R., 227	5171
Ghufoorun Bebee *v.* Mustukedeh	2 Agra, 300	3412, 3660
Ghulam Muhammad Naiamut Khan *v.* Dale	1 Mad., 281	3730
Ghulam Mustafa *v.* Hurmat	I. L. R., 2 All., 854	3685
Ghumundee Singh. In the matter of the petition of	23 W. R., Cr., 30	4750
Ghunshyam Chobey *v.* Kasheenath Shanteekaree	3 W. R., Act X, 4	1621
Ghunshyam Singh *v.* Runjeet Singh	4 W. R., Act X, 39	1178
——— *v.* Tara Proshad Coondoo	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 465: 10 C. L. R., 447.	1664
Ghuntham Doss *v.* Mooltan Mull	2 N. W., 229	166, 3845
Ghurbin Bind *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1097	1792
Ghurreeb Hossein Chowdhry *v.* Useemoonnissa Khatoon	1 Hay, 528	1742, 2020
Ghursobhit Ahir *v.* Ramdut Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 923: 6 C. L. R., 537.	5040
Gibbon *v.* Abdur Rahman Khan	3 B. L. R., A. C., 411	2854, 6044
——— *v.* Sheo Purshun Misser	17 W. R., 236	1907

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gilby *v.* Sabhu Pillai	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 100	99
Gillanders, Arbuthnot and Company *v.* Obhoy Churn Nundy.	23 W. R., 139	1525
Gilmore *v.* Rose	6 N. W., 311	5500
——— *v.* Sreemunt Bhoomick	W. R., 1864, Act X, 77	5185
——— *v.* Surbessuree Dossee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 72	5176
Girdar Das Vallabá Das. In the goods of	1 Mad., 234	132
Girdhar *v.* Kalya	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 83	2839
Girdhar Dalpat *v.* Haribhai Narayan	7 Bom., A. C., 3	4852
Girdharee *v.* Dilsookh Rai	5 N. W., 221	907
Girdharee Lall *v.* Deanut Ali	21 W. R., 311	3727
——— *v.* Kantoo Lall	14 B. L. R., 187 : 22 W. R., 56 : L. R., 1 I. A., 321.	2164
——— *v.* Oomrao Singh	3 Agra, 249	1680
Girdharee Lall Singh *v.* Modhoo Roy	18 W. R., 323	1810
Girdharee Lall Singh Pasban *v.* Chundee Porshad	21 W. R., 277	4267
Girdharee Sahoo *v.* Hera Lall Seal	2 C. L. R., 467	4253
Girdharee Singh *v.* Collis	8 W. R., 497	3894
——— *v.* Koolahul Singh	6 W. R., P. C., 1 : 2 Moore's I. A., 344.	2226, 2502 4397, 4646
——— *v.* Lalloo Koonwur	3 W. R., Mis., 23	1684
——— *v.* Rojun Singh	24 W. R., 462	3724
Girdhar Hari *v.* Kalikant Roy Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., A. C., 161 : 11 W. R., 501.	4196
Girdhari *v.* Sheo Raj	I. L. R., 1 All., 431	2827
Girdhari Das *v.* Powlett	I. L. R., 2 All., 690	4253
Girdhari Singh *v.* Hurdeo Narain Singh	L. R., 3 I. A., 230 : 26 W. R., 44.	5479
Girdharlal *v.* Bai Shiv	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 309	4945
Girdharlal Dayaldas *v.* Jaganath Girdharbhai	10 Bom., 182	4257, 4405 4430
Girdhar Manordas *v.* Dayabhai Kalabhai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 174	5003
Girdhar Nagjishet *v.* Ganpat Maroba	11 Bom., 129	311, 1505 5818
Girdhar Naran *v.* Umar Aju	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 326	5834
Girdhar Parjaram *v.* Dajl Dalabhram	7 Bom., A. C., 4	6144
Giree Dharee Sahoo *v.* Bukaun	1 W. R., 361	1456
Giree Dharee Singh *v.* Kalika Sookul	7 W. R., 46	777, 3210
Gireedhur Dass *v.* Nitto Gopal Dass	19 W. R., 291	4781
Gireejabutty Kooerree *v.* Monjee Lal	20 W. R., 477	3563
Gireewar Singh *v.* Muddun Lall Dass	16 W. R., 252	2091
Girendro Nath Tagore. In the matter of the petition of	14 B. L. R., 334, note	800
——— *v.* Huronath Roy	10 W. R., 455	800
Giriapa *v.* Jakana	12 Bom., 172	2246, 4475
Giridhara Doss Manakji Tadahayi Birzi Mohan Doss *v.* Suraneni Lakshmi Venkamma Row.	5 Mad., 93	3181
Giri Dharee Singh *v.* Ramkishore Narain Singh	1 C. L. R., 252	3490
Giridhari Lall Roy *v.* Government of Bengal	1 B. L. R., P. C., 44 : 10 W. R., P. C., 31.	1671, 2286 2301
Giridhari Mondul. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 435 : 10 C. L. R., 46.	1958
——— *v.* Uchit Jha	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 435 : 10 C. L. R., 46.	1958
Girija Bhusan Holdar *v.* Akhay Nikani	5 B. L. R., Ap., 57, note : 13 W. R., 105.	3148
Girija Singh *v.* Giridhari Singh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 14 : 10 W. R., 65.	4827

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Giriowa *v.* Bhimaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 58	2125
Girish Chandra Bose *v.* Kali Krishna Haldar	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 538 : 6 W. R., Act X, 57.	1603
Girish Chandra Dass *v.* Gillanders, Arbuthnot, & Co.	2 B. L. R., O. C., 140.	3790
Girish Chandra Dutt *v.* Buzul-ul-Huq	3 B. L. R., A. C., 68 : 11 W. R., 412.	4760
Girish Chandra Lahury *v.* Fakir Chand	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 503 : 6 W. R., Mis., 72.	4745, 5618
Girish Chandra Roy Chowdhry *v.* Amina Khatun	3 B. L. R., Ap., 125	1775, 4137
——— *v.* Rajchandra Roy Chowdhry.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 1	4940
Girish Chunder Nundee. In the matter of the petition of	26 W. R., Cr., 8	715
Girjanund Oopadhya *v.* Chunder Binode Oopadhya	5 W. R., Mis., 5	3526
Girjoji Bhikaji Sonar *v.* Keshavera Ravji Patil Henge	2 Bom., 211	4021
Gir Prasad *v.* Bansi	I. L. R., 2 All., 431	4833
Girwur *v.* Nyaz Ali	2 N. W., 306	3871
Gisborne & Co. *v.* Radhanath Das	5 W. R., 253	3386
——— *v.* Subal Bowri	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 284 : 10 C. L. R., 219.	5842, 5843
Gladstone. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 168	1239
——— *v.* Joakim	Cor., 148	5231
——— *v.* Sadoo Churn Dutt	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 203	5826
——— *v.* Sewbux	4 C. L. R., 106	1368
Gladstone, Wyllie, & Co. *v.* Harrison	24 W. R., 50	638, 2834
——— *v.* Woomesh Chunder Chatterjee	25 W. R., 96	2646
Glascott *v.* Gopal Sheikh	9 W. R., 254	4246
Glasscott *v.* Rajchunder Moochy Mundul	25 W. R., 381	547, 1644
Gobabjan *v.* Moshiatoolah	1 C. L. R., 537	1173
Gobardhan *v.* Balmukand	7 N. W., 349	3428
Gobardhan Barmono *v.* Mano Bibi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 126 : S. C. on appeal, 5 B. L. R., 76 : 14 W. R., O. C., 34.	278
Gobardhan Das *v.* Gokal Das	I. L. R., 2 All., 633	3993
——— *v.* Gopal Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 366	1874
Goberdhone Doss *v.* Nittanund Mullick	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 41	1373
Goberdhun *v.* Girwar	3 Agra, 92	81
——— *v.* Gokal Chand	7 N. W., 31	5203
Gobin Dass *v.* Ranchore *alias* Rughobeer	3 N. W., 324	2203
Gobind Chandra Sarma Mazumdar *v.* Anand Mohan Sarma Mazumdar.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 313	2145, 3418
Gobind Chunder Addya *v.* Afzul Rabbani	I L. R., 9 Calc., 426 : 12 C. L. R., 29.	4974
Gobind Chunder Aooch *v.* Bamundoss Mookerjee	22 W. R., 481	5460, 5468
Gobind Chunder Bagchee *v.* Kripamoyee Dabee	11 W. R., 338	3367
Gobind Chunder Bagdee *v.* Gobind Ghose Mundul	7 W. R., 171	4953, 5811
Gobind Chunder Banerjee *v.* Wise	12 W. R., 19	2744, 3905, 4164
Gobind Chunder Bose *v.* Alimooddeen	11 W. R., 160	5314
Gobind Chunder Burmono *v.* Allabux	2 Hay, 347	520
Gobind Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Johurulnissa Bibee	18 W. R., 156	3523
Gobind Chunder Chunder *v.* Kristo Kanto Dutt	14 W. R., 273	3009
Gobind Chunder Dutt *v.* Hendry	14 B. L. R., Ap., 12 : 24 W. R., 15.	150
——— *v.* Hurronath Roy	I Ind. Jur., N. S., 52 : 5 W. R., Act X, 10.	1589
——— *v.* Kherode Chunder Mitter	10 B. L. R., Ap., 12	5581
Gobind Chunder Ghose. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., 61	4423
——— *v.* Anundo Chunder Sircar	18 W. R., Cr., 54	4491

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gobind Chunder Ghose *v.* Bykunt Nath Ghose	19 W. R., 61	4423
——— *v.* Ramcoomar Dey	24 W. R., 393	1173
Gobind Chunder Goopto *v.* Raj Kishore Sein	14 W. R., 365	3712
Gobind Chunder Koondoo *v.* Taruck Chunder Bose	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 145: 1 C. L. R., 35.	5061
Gobind Chunder Lahory *v.* Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	7 W. R., 163	6109
Gobind Chunder Moitra. In re	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 835: 8 C. L. R., 217.	1266
Gobind Chunder Mojoomdar *v.* Bissumbhurree Dossee	2 W. R., Act X, 5	532, 5206
——— *v.* Dulmeer Khan	23 W. R., 125	2504, 3397
Gobind Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Doorgaprsad Baboo	14 B. L. R., 337: 22 W. R., 248.	2346, 4858 6135
——— *v.* Kalla Gajee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 626: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 119.	513
——— *v.* Kola Gazi	7 W. R., 185	513
——— *v.* Ramkomul Chatterjee	25 W. R., 364	5463, 5479
Gobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry *v.* Ramkishen Paul Chowdhry.	2 W. R., 297	6221, 6223
Gobind Chunder Roy. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 70	5561
——— *v.* Poorno Chunder Sein	10 W. R., 36	4822
——— *v.* Sib Nath Shaw	5 C. L. R., 171	4525
Gobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry *v.* Ram Chunder Chowdhry.	19 W. R., 94	3377
——— *v.* ———	22 W. R., 421	5308
Gobind Chunder Sen *v.* Administrator General of Bengal.	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 17: 1 W. R., P. C., 43: 9 Moore's I. A., 140.	4585
Gobind Chunder Shaha *v.* Abdool Gunny	6 W. R., 41	1992
——— *v.* Ashruf Ali Meah	8 W. R., 490	3283
——— *v.* Puddo Monee Dassee	17 W. R., 400	512
Gobind Chund Juttee *v.* Mun Mohan Jha	12 W. R., 198	2745
——— *v.* ———	14 W. R., 43	3045
Gobind Chundra Gangopadhya *v.* Sherajunnissa Bibi	13 C. L. R., 1	5367
Gobind Chundra Sen *v.* Joy Chundra Dass	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 327	5343
Gobind Coomar Chowdhry *v.* Huro Chunder Chowdhry	7 W. R., 134	3101
Gobind Dass *v.* Jaykishen Dass	2 Agra, 101	4246
Gobind Dayal *v.* Inayatullah	I. L. R., 7 All., 775	3709
Gobind Hari Walekar *v.* Shidram bin Shidmurti	7 Bom., A. C., 37	1866, 1881
Gobind Koomar Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of.	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 714: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 199: 7 W. R., 520.	5929
Gobind Kumar Chowdhry *v.* Hargopal Nag	3 B. L. R., Ap., 72: 11 W. R., 537.	2570, 3337 4397, 5829
——— *v.* Huro Chandra Nag	5 B. L. R., Ap., 61: 11 W. R., 571: 21 W. R., 442, note.	1627
Gobind Lall Seal *v.* Chand Hurry Maity	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 172	5332
——— *v.* Debendronath Mullick	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 679: 5 C. L. R., 527.	3405
——— *v.* Debendro Nath Mullick	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 311: 7 C. L. R., 181.	3406
——— *v.* Knight	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 372: 9 C. L. R., 390.	1540
Gobindmani Dasi *v.* Shamlal Bysak	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W. R., F. B., 165.	2207
Gobind Mohun Chuckerbutty *v.* Sheriff	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 169: 8 C. L. R., 357.	4400, 5012

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gobind Monee v. Rajendro Kishore Chowdhry	15 W. R., 18	539, 540
Gobind Monee Debia v. Dino Bundhoo Shaha	15 W. R., 87	3015
Gobindmonee Dossee v. Sham Loll Bysack	W. R., 1864, 153	2485, 4156
Gobind Muhtoo v. Goopee Bhuggut	16 W. R., 4	1746, 1768
Gobind Nath Roy v. Luchmee Koomaree	11 W. R., 36	1198, 3385
Gobindnath Sandyal v. Ramcoomar Ghose	6 W. R., 21	210, 3261, 5618
——— v. ———	9 W. R., 115	5924
Gobind Nath Sein v. Gobind Chunder Sein	10 W. R., 393	2342, 4476, 6004
Gobind Nath Shaha Chowdhuri v. Reily	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 1	4212
Gobind Nauth Rai v. Anund Nauth Rai	5 W. R., Cr., 79	4492
Gobindnauth Sundyal v. Romanauth Thakoor	1 Hay, 501	4965
Gobindo Chunder Moulick v. Mudhoosudun Moulick	25 W. R., 550	5753
Gobindo Coomar Chowdhry v. Manson	15 B. L. R., 56: 23 W. R., 152.	547, 3184, 3337, 6283
Gobindo Hureekar v. Woomesh Chunder Roy	W. R., F. B., 176	2293
Gobindo Mahapatro v. Gopeenath Pundit	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 581: 6 W. R., 211.	4412
Gobindo Nath Roy v. Ram Kanay Chowdhry	24 W. R., 183	2142
Gobindonath Roy Chowdhry v. Kishen Kant Roy	10 W. R., 254	1422
Gobind Pandey v. Heemut Bahadoor	6 W. R., 42	3129
Gobindpershad v. Ruddell	5 N. W., 160	3105
Gobindprasad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 All., 465	1327
Gobind Proshad Talookdar v. Mohesh Chunder Surma Ghuttuck.	15 B. L. R., 35: 23 W. R., 117.	2290
Gobind Puramanick v. Gooroo Churn Dutt	3 W. R., 71	52
Gobind Ram v. Bhupal Singh	10 C. L. R., 76	5304
Gobind Row v. Girdharee Sahoo	24 W. R., 355	6106
Gobind Saran v. Dharup Nath	I. L. R., 8 All., 614	1849, 2292, 2477
Gobind Shunkerjee v. Girdharee Sing	1 N. W., 90: Ed. 1873, 142	4756
Gobind Singh v. Kallu	I. L. R., 2 All., 778	6093
——— v. Munno Ram Doss	19 W. R., 414	4051, 5433, 5434
——— v. Soonder Pal	2 Agra, Pt. II, 177	5617
——— v. Zalim Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 33	445
Gobind Sooboodhee. In the matter of the petition of	15 W. R., Cr., 56	4735
Gobind Sookool v. Gokool Bhukut	23 W. R., 304	4935, 5697
Gobind Sundari Debia v. Jagadamba Debia	3 B. L. R., P. C., 25	299
Gobinlall Seal v. Kinoo Koyal	Marsh., 490	2931
Goburdhun v. Sadhoo	1 W. R., 244	2772, 5774
Goburdhun Doss v. Munnoo Lall	15 W. R., 95	1427
Goburdhun Lall v. Singessur Dutt Koer	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 52: 8 C. L. R., 277.	2174
Goburdhun Majhoo v. Banee Chunder Doss	21 W. R., 375	5678
Goburdhun Nath v. Onoop Roy	3 W. R., 105	2509
Gocoolanund Dass v. Wooma Dace	15 B. L. R., 405: 23 W. R., 340.	2134, 2304
Gocool Chunder v. Ali Mahomed	10 W. R., 6	5078
Gocool Chunder Gossamee v. Administrator General of Bengal.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 726: 5 C. L. R., 569.	867, 4529
Gocool Coomar v. Bhichook Singh	22 W. R., 1	5615
Gocool Dass Soonderjee. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 327: Bourke, O. C., 240.	424, 2027
Goculdas Jagmohandas v. Lakhmidas Khimji	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 402	705
Godadhar Dass v. Dhunput Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 585: 9 C. L. R., 227.	2952

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Godayi Joardar *v.* Mears	10 W. R., 50	327
Goday Narrain Gajpathi Ram *v.* Ankitam Venkata Narsing Rau.	6 Mad., 85	3771, 3772
Godby. In re	1 Hyde, 196	6200
Godha *v.* Naik Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 152	5665
Gogaram *v.* Kartick Chunder Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1022 : 9 W. R., 514.	827, 2860
Gogon Manji *v.* Gobind Chunder Khan	1 C. L. R., 241	2933
——— *v.* Kashishwary Debi	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 498	2933
Gogun Chand Dut *v.* Huri Mohun Dut	12 C. L. R., 539	5613
Gogun Chunder Dutt *v.* Janokee	20 W. R., 235	1095
Gogun Chunder Ghose *v.* Dhuronidhur Mundul	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 616 : 9 C. L. R., 257.	1073
——— *v.* Empress	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 247 : 7 C. L. R., 74.	1803
Gogun Chunder Sirkar *v.* Laidlay	5 C. L. R., 189	3830
Gogun Pramanick *v.* Surnomoyee	19 W. R., Cr., 39	5149
Gokalbhai Mulchand *v.* Jhaver Chaturbhuj	8 Bom., A. C., 61	3380
Gokal Singh *v.* Mannu Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 772	4550
Gokhla Nund *v.* Lalljee Sahoo	21 W. R., 11	570
Gokhul Chund Chatterjee *v.* Mosahroo Kandoo	21 W. R., 5	5696
Gokool Dass *v.* Gungeshur Singh	3 N. W., 164	827, 2860
Gokool Kishen Sen *v.* Isur Chunder Roy	18 W. R., 12	1167
Gokool Kristo Sen *v.* David	23 W. R., 443	4181
Gokool Pershad *v.* Etwari Mahto	20 W. R., 138	4254, 4261
——— *v.* Rajendur Kishore Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 4	536
Gokool Pershad Discheet *v.* Brojomones Debia	24 W. R., 259	5171
Gokoolram Deb *v.* Ramsoondur Surmah	9 W. R., 292	896
Gokul Chunder *v.* Bureek Begum	Marsh., 344 : 2 Hay, 325	4409, 5253
Gokuldas *v.* Ganeshlal	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 416	5586
Gokul Dass Gopal Dass *v.* Murli	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 602 : 2 C. L. R., 156.	1076, 1095 2708, 4029
Gokuldass *v.* Kriparam	13 B. L. R., 205	3898, 4995
Gokulpershad *v.* Rughonath	3 Agra, 245	5627
Golabchand Baboo *v.* Prosunno Kumari Debya	11 B. L. R., 332 : 20 W. R., 86	2253
Golab Chand Nowluckha *v.* Joebun Coomaree Bibee	24 W. R., 437	1355
Golab Koonwar *v.* Collector of Benares	7 W. R., P. C., 47 : 4 Moore's I. A., 246.	2001
Golab Koonwer *v.* Shib Sahai	2 Agra, 54	2291, 2303 2484
Golabolee *v.* Kootooboollah Sircar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 527	539
Golaboo *v.* Ramdyal Singh	8 W. R., 304	5122
Golab Singh *v.* Cheda Singh	3 Agra, 135	6224
——— *v.* Rao Kurun Singh	10 B. L. R., 1 : 14 Moore's I. A., 176, 187.	2209, 2301 4155, 4885
Golack Chandra Chowdhry *v.* Ali Mollah	8 B. L. R., 528, note	5627
Golakram Deb *v.* Brindaban Deb	6 B. L. R., 165 : 14 W. R., 265	3724
Golam *v.* Wajida Bibi	7 N. W., 188	3871
Golam Abed *v.* Toolseeram Bera	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 861 : 12 C. L. R., 411.	22
Golam Ahea *v.* Digumbur Singh	W. R., 1864, 225	6135
Golam Ahmed *v.* Sham Soondur Roy	5 W. R., Act X, 9	5074
Golam Ahmed Shah *v.* Behary Lal	Marsh., 239 : 1 Hay, 500	1196, 2704
Golam Ahyah *v.* Sham Soonder Koonwaree	7 W. R., 375	3447
Golam Ali *v.* Gopal Lall Tagore	1 W. R., 56	4147
——— *v.* Gopal Lall Thakoor	9 W. R., 65	3018, 4148

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Golam Ali v. Kali Krishna Thakur	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 479 : 8 C. L. R., 517.	3017
——— v. Kally Kishen Thakoor	12 B. L. R., 107 : 18 W. R., 299.	4636
——— v. Mahomed Zahur Alum	6 B. L. R., Ap., 76	2854
——— v. Sowlutoonissa Bibee	W. R., 1864, 242	3670
Golam Ali Chowdhry v. Bhosai	25 W. R., 227	3025
——— v. Futtick Chunder	10 W. R., 460	4399
——— v. Gopal Lall Tagore	9 W. R., 401	39
Golam Ali Khan v. Agurjeet Roy	17 W. R., 343	3712
——— v. Imam Ali Khan	2 Agra, 224	365
Golam Ali Mundul v. Golap Soondery Dossee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 612 : 10 C. L. R., 499.	5195
Golam Ally v. Gopal Lall Thakoor	9 W. R., 65	1663
Golam Arab v. Curreembux Shaikjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 294 : 4 C. L. R., 477.	5241
Golam Arfin. In the matter of	4 B. L. R., Ap., 47	6068
Golam Asgar v. Lakhimani Debi	5 B. L. R., 68 : 13 W. R., 273	815, 5448
Golam Ashgar v. ———	2 B. L. R., Ap., 24	3495
Golam Ayhya v. Joy Mungul Singh	13 W. R., 435	4201, 4554
Golam Chunder Dey v. Nuddiar Chund Adheekaree	16 W. R., 1	576
Golam Dustagir Khan v. Jogai Singh	1 B. L. R., S. N., 3 : 10 W. R., 86.	4003
Golamee v. Paclan	18 W. R., 298	518, 3236
Golamee Gopee Ghose v. Juggessur Ghose	3 W. R., 193	2437
Golam Esha v. Hurrish Chunder Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, Mis., 29	5124
Golam Ghous v. Fureed Alum	1 Agra, 246	2916
Golam Guffoor v. Ekram Hossein Chowdhry	10 W. R., 358	4344, 5822
Golam Hossein v. Alla Rukhee Beebee	3 N. W., 62 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 248.	5242
——— v. Ram Doyal Ghose	12 W. R., 152	2775
Golami Sahu v. Chutter Bhooj Patuck	3 C. L. R., 261	3475
Golam Jelanee Chowdhry v. Nuleet Chunder Singh	11 W. R., 503	1452
Golam Jilani v. Imdad Husain	I. L. R., 4 All., 357	6120
Golam Khejur v. Erskine & Co.	11 W. R., 445	3800
Golam Koodsee Chowdhry v. Jokoorunnissa Khatoon	19 W. R., 238	2023
——— v. Rashu Chunder Ghose	Marsh., 323	66
Golam Lall v. Beni Prosad	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 27 : 4 C. L. R., 29.	1684
Golam Mahomed Akbur v. Radha Kishen Mohunt	9 W. R., 287	4933
Golam Mahomed Shaha v. Mookta Keshee Debee	7 W. R., 161	1436
——— v. Sharoda Pershad Mullick	19 W. R., 91	4891
Golam Mostofa v. Goburdhun Mulla	8 C. L. R., 441	4765
Golam Mukdoom v. Hafeezoonissa	7 W. R., 489	3697, 5769
Golam Mustafa Khan v. Shee Soonduree Burmonee	10 W. R., 187	4033
——— v. ———	15 W. R., 304	2350
Golam Nabi v. Biswanath Kar	3 B. L. R., Ap., 85 : 12 W. R., 9.	3403
Golam Narotam v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 596	605
Golam Panju v. Hurrish Chunder Ghose	17 W. R., 552	5188
Golam Rahman v. Fatima Bibi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 232	648, 6088
Golam Reza Chowdhry v. Chandoo Meah Lushkur	15 W. R., 45	6001
Golam Russool v. Abdool Ruheem	15 W. R., 19	471
Golam Russool Khan v. Kishen Mohun Shaha	23 W. R., 156	808
Golam Sobhan v. Muddun Mohun Paul	18 W. R., 257	4236
Golam Yabeya v. Shama Sundari Kuari	3 B. L. R., Ap., 134 : 12 W. R., 142.	448

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Golam Yassin *v.* Official Trustee of Bengal	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 887	6057
Golap Chand Marwaree *v.* Mohokoom Kooaree	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 314 : 2 C. L. R., 412, note.	1771, 1773 5834
Golap Chand Nowluckha *v.* Krishto Chunder Dass Biswas.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 314	558, 3149 3155
Golap Coomary Dassee *v.* Sundur Narain Deo. In the matter of.	4 C. L. R., 36	770
Golaum Mohamed *v.* Calcutta Club	Cor., 67	4532
Golaupmonee Dossee *v.* Prosonomoye Dossee	11 B. L. R., 373	4337
Golla Chinna Guruvuppa Naidu *v.* Kali Appiah Naidu	4 Mad., 434	4820, 4828
Golla Nagabhu Shanam *v.* Kanakala Gangayya	2 Mad., 28	4326
Goloke Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Magistrate of Chittagong	25 W. R., Cr., 15	137, 1003
Goloke Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Kallee Kinkur Chuckerbutty.	1 W. R., 164	5268
Golokemoney Dabia *v.* Mohesh Chunder Mosa	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 547 : 1 C. L. R., 149.	574
Goloke Nath Sen Biswas *v.* Ram Kant Dey Sircar	3 C. L. R., 444	557
Goluckbur *v.* Bishonath Geeree	Marsh., 32	759
Goluck Chandra Mytee *v.* Harapriah Debi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 559	780
Goluck Chandra Pal *v.* Kali Charan De	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 175	4362, 4490
Goluck Chunder *v.* Ram Huree	23 W. R., 104	1435
Goluck Chunder Bose *v.* Ohilla Dayee	25 W. R., 100	2429
——— *v.* Rughoonath Sree Chunder Roy.	11 B. L. R., 337, note : 17 W. R., 444.	2252
——— *v.* Sreemurd Rajeshurree Biddiadhur Soondeah Nurrendur.	W. R., 1864, 135	1811
Goluck Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Ali Mollah	11 W. R., 378	4460
——— *v.* Kanto Pershad Hazaree	15 W. R., 317	4586
——— *v.* Tarinee Churn Chuckerbutty.	4 W. R., 49	5282
Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Teeluck Chunder Shah	2 Hay, 49	1171
Goluck Chunder Doss *v.* Gopal Kishen Sen	W. R., 1864, 250	1458
Goluck Chunder Dutt *v.* Anunt Kishore Gossain	25 W. R., 38	4902
——— *v.* Court of Wards	10 W. R, 127	5779
——— *v.* Meah Raja Mijee	17 W. R., 119	580
——— *v.* Mohun Loll Sookul	5 W. R., 271	4016, 4529
Goluck Chunder Gooho *v.* Mohim Chunder Ghose	13 W. R., 95	2602
Goluck Chunder Kool *v.* Dookhee Ram	12 W. R., 209	1759
Goluck Chunder Kur. In re	6 W. R., Mis., 29	3880
Goluck Chunder Masanta *v.* Nundo Coomar Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 699 : 3 C. L. R., 450.	4478, 6005
Goluck Chunder Mussunt *v.* Gunga Narain Mussunt	20 W. R., 111 : 18 W. R., 111	1487
Goluck Chunder Mytee. In the matter of	11 W. R., Cr., 9	4493
——— *v.* Parbutty Churn Doss	15 W. R., 167	3
Goluck Chunder Paul *v.* Mahomed Rohim	9 W. R., 316	2199, 2516
Goluck Chunder Roy *v.* Juggernath Roy Chowdhry	Marsh., 146 : W. R., F. B., 47 : 1 Hay, 346.	2972
——— *v.* Raj Mohun Bose	17 W. R., Cr., 33	4485
Goluck Chunder Sein *v.* Collector of Backergunge	2 W. R., 139	1719
Goluck Chunder Sen *v.* Puresh Mahomed	25 W. R., 284	4905
Goluck Chunder Sircar *v.* Ishan Chunder Deb	23 W. R., 437	6095
Goluck Kishore Acharjee *v.* Kesha Majhee	15 W. R., 23	3806
Goluck Kishore Acharjee Chowdhry *v.* Nund Mohun Dey Sircar.	12 W. R., 394	1810, 4791
Goluckmonee Dabea *v.* Assimoodeen	1 W. R., 56	4589
——— *v.* Nabungo Moonjuree Dabea	W. R., F. B., 14	3984

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Goluckmonee Debia *v.* Rammonee Bose	12 W. R., 21	1737
——— *v.* Ram Soondur Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., 82	3919
Goluck Monee Dossee *v.* Huro Chunder Ghose	8 W. R., 62	5351
Goluck Narain Dutt *v.* Bisto Prea Dossee	1 W. R., 140	230
Golucknath Dutt *v.* Seetaram Gower	W. R., F. B., 53 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 66 : 1 Hay, 378.	3144, 4338
Golucknath Ghose *v.* Sreenath Bose	24 W. R., 209	4213
Goluck Rana *v.* Nubo Soonduree Dossee	21 W. R., 344	3020
Goluk Chunder Mahinta *v.* Surbomangala Dabi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 711 : 8 C. L. R., 189.	1905
Goluk Chundra Dass *v.* Bhagmut Dass	11 C. L. R., 106	479
Goluknath Misser *v.* Lalla Prem Lal	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 307	3029
Gomain Sircar *v.* Prannath Goopto	1 W. R., 14	2085
Goma Mahad Patil *v.* Gokaldas Khimji	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 74	1385, 1932
Gomanee Lall *v.* Jeewun Ram	2 Agra, 33	4595
Gomes *v.* Carter	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 411	2602
Gomez *v.* Young	2 B. L. R., O. C., 165 : 12 W. R., O. C., 1.	5824
Gonda *v.* Pyari Doss Gossain	13 W. R., Cr., 19	3738
Gonda Kooer *v.* Oodey Singh	14 B. L. R., 159	48
Gonesh Chunder Pal *v.* Shodanund Sarma	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 138	4001
Gonesh Chunder Roy *v.* Nil Komul Roy	2 W. R., 264	2300
Gonesh Doss *v.* Gondour Koorim	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 147 : 12 C. L. R., 418.	3355
Gonesh Junonee Debia *v.* Bireshur Dhul	25 W. R., 176	2349
Gonesh Lall *v.* Sheo Golam Singh	5 C. L. R., 211	3319
Gonesh Pandey *v.* Dabee Doyal Singh	5 C. L. R., 36	2397
Goneshpershad *v.* Saligram	6 N. W., 278	1136
Goodrich *v.* Venkanna	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 104	1699
"Good Success." In the matter of the	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 303	637
Goodur Sahoy *v.* Dhunessur Koer	7 C. L. R., 117	328, 1895
Goodur Suhaye *v.* Achebur Lall	13 W. R., 120	5132
Googlee Sahoo *v.* Prem Lall Sahoo	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 148	4271, 5726, 5779
Goolabee *v.* Ramtahal Rai	1 N. W., 191 : Ed. 1873, 275	1716
Goolam Hoosein Noor Mahomed *v.* Fatmabai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 391	1200, 2091
Gooljehan *v.* Bunno	1 Agra, 252	4902
Goolzar Khan. In the matter of	9 W. R., Cr., 30	5552
Gooman Singh *v.* Makhun Singh	2 N. W., 145	1489
——— *v.* Tripool Singh	8 W. R., 437	3711
Goonath Mundle *v.* Troylocko Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., Cr., 15	973
Goonjessur Koonwar *v.* Luchmee Narain Singh	20 W. R., 418	438
Goonomonee Debia *v.* Ram Komul Sandle	17 W. R., 144	80, 4401
Goono Monee Dossee *v.* Bhabosoonduree Dossee	18 W. R., 258	93
——— *v.* Burrodakant Roy	18 W. R., 191	4205
Goonomonee Dossia *v.* Parbutty Dossia	10 W. R., 326	244, 3996
Goopee Kissen Gossain. In the matter of	24 W. R., Cr., 79	504
Goope Nath Dutt *v.* Preo Nath Sircar	6 W. R., Act X, 7	544
Goorbin Bhagat *v.* Fureed Alum	3 Agra, 401	1607
Goor Buksh *v.* Choonnoo Lall	1 Agra, Rev., 70	531
Goor Chunder Puteetundo *v.* Joogul Chunder *alias* Shama Churn Ghose.	1 W. R., 80	351
Goordass *v.* Manohur Dass	2 Agra, 269	4565
Goordial *v.* Ramdut	Agra, F. B., 15 : Ed. 1874, 11	2992, 5182
Goordyal *v.* Hunskoonwer	2 Agra, 176	3991
Goordyal Roy *v.* Deka Noonya	22 W. R., 446	581, 5130
Gooroochuru Bose *v.* Bykunt Nath Acharjee	5 W. R., Mis., 38	1450

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gooroo Churn Coomar *v.* Khetter Mohun Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 79	535
Gooroo Churn Dey *v.* Ramdhone Paul	7 W. R., 28	371
Gooroo Churn Goon *v.* Gungagobind Chatterjee	8 W. R., 269	4104, 5280
Gooroo Churn Mullick *v.* Ram Dutt	2 W. R., 43	1360
Gooroo Churn Nag *v.* Gobind Chunder Gooho	24 W R., 352	5257
Gooroo Churn Poddar *v.* Hafeeza Bibee	7 W. R., 366	4129, 5633
Gooroochurn Sein *v.* Oojulmonee Chowdhrain	3 W. R., 159	4246
——— *v.* Radhanauth Sein	7 Moore's I. A., 1	4641
Gooroo Churn Sircar *v.* Brijanath Dhur	24 W. R., 111	5036
——— *v.* Goluckmonee Dossee	13 W. R., 188	3367
——— *v.* Kylash Chunder Sircar	3 W. R., 43	3853
Gooroo Churn Soor *v.* Sree Churn Ghose	15 W. R., 308	5288
Gooroo Dass Dan *v.* Kooshoom Koomaree Dossee	9 W. R., 547	4822
Gooroo Dass Roy. In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., Cr., 57	4737
Gooroo Doss Akhoolee *v.* Poran Mundul	12 W. R., 363	327
Gooroodass Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	11 W. R., 158	4393
Gooroodass Dutt *v.* Dwarkanath Manna	6 W. R., Mis., 61	4848
——— *v.* Uma Churn Roy	22 W. R., 525	2697
——— *v.* Wooma Churn Roy	13 W R., 83	3495
Gooroodass Ghose *v.* Sristeedhur Dey	W. R., 1864, Act X, 39	6109
Gooroo Doss Mundul *v.* Durbaree	5 W. R., Act X, 86	1610
Gooroo Doss Roy. In the matter of	18 W. R., 407	285
——— *v.* Anund Moyee Debia	15 W. R., 203	3842
——— *v.* Bunsheedhur Sein	15 W. R, 61	3843
——— *v.* Collector of Furreedpore	19 W. R., 170: 20 W. R., 262	219
——— *v.* Bishtoo Churn Bhuttacharjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 628: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 112: 7 W. R., 186	535
——— *v.* Gholam Mowlah	Marsh., 24: 1 Hay, 103	271
——— *v.* Greedhur Sein	11 W. R., 110	780, 6242, 6243
——— *v.* Huronath Roy	W. R., 1864, 61	5786
——— *v.* ———	2 W. R., 246	4173
——— *v.* ———	7 W. R., 423	5008
——— *v.* Isur Chunder Bose	22 W. R., 246	3019
——— *v.* Punchanun Bose	9 W. R., 337	5728
——— *v.* Ram Narain Mitter	B. L. R, Sup. Vol., 628: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S, 112: 7 W. R., 186.	535
——— *v.* Ramrunginee Dossee	20 W. R., 54	596
——— *v.* Ram Runginee Dossia	17 W. R., 136	200
——— *v.* Sonamonee Dossia	20 W. R., 345	3245
Gooroo Doyal Singh *v.* Durbaree Lall Tewaree	7 W. R., 18	1777
Gooroo Dutt *v.* Sooroo	16 W. R., 264	5003
Gooroo Dyal Chuckerbutty *v.* Koshub Bibee	20 W. R., 409	5612
Gooroodyal Mundur *v.* Gopal Singh	24 W R, 272	3840
Gooroodyal Singh. In the matter of the petition of	9 W. R., 333	4393
Gooroogobindo Chowdhry *v.* Hureemadhub Roy	Marsh., 398: 2 Hay, 401	2202, 2204
Gooroopersad Khoond *v.* Juggut Chunder	3 W. R., P. C., 14: 8 Moore's I. A., 164, 165, 166.	4638
Gooroo Persad Roy *v.* Juggobundoo Mozoomdar	W. R., F B., 15: 1 Hay, 228	2793, 4168
Gooroopersaud Janah *v.* Bippropersaud Berrah	Marsh., 292: 2 Hay, 152	4002
Gooroopersaud Sircar *v.* Philippe	Marsh., 366: 2 Hay, 451	3077
Gooroopershad Chuckerbutty *v.* Bani Nath Chuckerbutty	2 C. L. R, 216	1716, 5349
Gooroo Pershad Dutt *v.* Sreenath Banerjee	15 W. R., 314	4907
Gooroo Pershad Mookerjee *v.* Kalee Pershad Mookerjee	5 W. R., 121	4190
Gooroo Pershad Roy *v.* Bykunto Chunder Roy	6 W. R., 82	4459, 4560

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gooroo Pershad Roy *v.* Debee Pershad Tewaree	6 W. R., 58	2346
——— *v.* Nimaye Chand Pulshani	3 W. R., Act X, 5	538
——— *v.* Ram Lochun Pauray	13 W. R., 241	2063
Gooroo Prosunno Banerjee *v.* Gugun Chunder Dutt	20 W. R., 383	4267
——— *v.* Sregopal Pal Chowdhry	20 W. R., 99	3007
Gooroo Prosunno Singh *v.* Nil Madhub Singh	21 W. R., 84	4153
Gooroo Swamy Perria Woodia Taver *v.* Anga Mootoo Natchair.	6 W. R., P. C., 50: 3 Moore's I. A., 278.	4658
Gooroova Button *v.* Narrainasawmy	8 Mad., 13, note	2532
Goor Pershad *v.* Nunda Singh	1 Agra, 160	6126
——— *v.* Sheodeen	4 N. W., 137	414, 5392
Goor Sahai *v.* Hur Sahai	3 Agra, 202	1384
Goor Suruz Doss *v.* Ram Surun Bhukut	5 W. R., 54	2369
Goosaeen Sree Choundawalee Bahoojee *v.* Girdharesjee	3 Agra, 226	2245
Goosery Cotton Mills Company *v.* Steel	2 Hyde, 238	923
Gooshaeen Teekumjee *v.* Pursotum Lalljee	3 Agra, 238	2481
Gopal *v.* MacNagthen	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 751	1189
——— *v.* Nanku	I. L. R., 1 All., 624	5710
——— *v.* Narayan bin Tukaji	1 Bom., 31	1751
——— *v.* Uchabal	I. L. R., 3 All., 51	5082
Gopala *v.* Paramma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 583	3311
Gopala Appa Row. Ex parte	L. R., 13 I. A., 155: I. L. R., 10 Mad., 73.	4057
Gopalaratnamayyar *v.* Bupala Narasimma Nayudu	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 399	5237
Gopalasami *v.* Chinnasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 458	2331
——— *v.* Sankara	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 418	4716
Gopalasamy Pillai *v.* Chokalingam Pillai	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 320	2170
Gopalasawmy Mudelly *v.* Mukkee Gopalier	7 Mad., 312	3591
Gopala Setty *v.* Damodara Setty	4 Mad., 173	3489
Gopalayyan *v.* Raghupati Ayyan *alias* Aiyavayyan	3 Mad., 217	5027
——— *v.* Raghupatiayyan *alias* Ayyavayyan	7 Mad., 250	2133
Gopal Burnawar. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 13	4362, 4500
Gopal Chandra Biswas *v.* Ramjan Sirdar	5 B. L. R., 194: 13 W. R., 275.	5691
Gopal Chandra Dey *v.* Pemu Bibi	1 B. L. R., A. C., 76: 10 W. R., 104.	838, 3326
Gopal Chandra Lahiri *v.* Solomon	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 62	3154, 5136
Gopal Chandra Roy *v.* Nabin Chandra Bhandari	3 B. L. R., Ap., 34	5004
Gopal Chunder *v.* Jugut Indur Bunwaree Gobind	8 W. R., 200	837
Gopal Chunder Bose *v.* Mothoor Mohun Banerjee	3 W. R., Act X, 132	1617
Gopal Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Oodoy Lall Dey	12 W. R., 411	1481, 5173
——— *v.* Uoodoy Lall Dey	10 W. R., 115	5326
Gopal Chunder Daghoria *v.* Kenaram Daghoria	7 W. R., 35	2298
Gopal Chunder Ghose *v.* Dhurundhur Roy	Marsh., 311: 2 Hay, 188	1201
——— *v.* Raj Chunder Dutt	2 W. R., Mis., 9	224
——— *v.* Tincowree Mundul	19 W. R., 349	5762
Gopal Chunder Gooho *v.* Juggodumba Dossia	10 W. R., 411	1179, 4906
Gopal Chunder Hazrah *v.* Mohesh Chunder Banerjee	21 W. R., 44	6232
Gopal Chunder Lahoory *v.* Roy Kishore Lahoory	15 W. R., 467	55
Gopal Chunder Mitter *v.* Mohesh Chunder Boral	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 230: 11 C. L. R., 363.	3241, 3355 3433
Gopal Chunder Mukerjee *v.* Juddoo Lall Mullick	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 778: 13 C. L. R., 146.	5281
Gopal Chunder Mundle. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 57	261
Gopal Chunder Nath Coondoo *v.* Haridas Chini	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 343	674, 2293
Gopal Chunder Roy *v.* Arman Shaikh	15 W. R., 402	5708
——— *v.* Brojendro Coomar Roy	5 C. L. R., 338	369

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gopal Chunder Roy *v.* Brojo Behary Mitter	9 C. L. R., 293	3177
——— *v.* Gooroo Dass Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 764, note: 7 W. R., 135.	1605, 4745
——— *v.* Oodhub Chunder Mullick	W. R., 1864, 156	5104
Gopal Chunder Shaha *v.* Bhabo Tarinee Dossee	7 W. R., 240	5105
——— *v.* Madhub Chunder Shaha	21 W. R., 29	1765
——— *v.* Sinaes	8 W. R., 4	3288
Gopal Chunder Sircar *v.* Adhiraj Aftab Chand Mahtab	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 743	693
——— *v.* Kurnodhar Moochee	7 W. R., 349	911, 5658
Gopal Chunder Sirdar. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 728: 11 C. L. R., 223.	17
——— *v.* Foolmoni Bewa	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 728: 11 C. L. R., 223.	17
Gopal Dai *v.* Chunni Lall	I. L. R., 8 All., 67	5418
Gopal Das *v.* Ali	3 B. L. R., A. C., 198	588, 2211, 2575
——— *v.* Gopinath Sircar	12 C. L. R., 38	4996
——— *v.* Seetaram	3 Agra, 268	2574, 4261
——— *v.* Than Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 184	4467, 5267
Gopal Doss *v.* Doomee Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 226	4820
Gopal Govind *v.* Ganeshdas Tejmal	8 Bom., A. C., 97	3491
Gopal Gurain *v.* Gurain	7 W. R., 299	2837
Gopal Hanmant *v.* Sakharam Govind	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 254	2101, 2850
Gopal Hanmant Deshka *v.* Kondo Kashinath	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 328	4985
Gopal Inder Narain Roy *v.* Jagarnath Gurg	5 W. R., P. C., 129: 2 Moore's I. A., 311.	5982
Gopaliyan *v.* Mahasangavastha Aiya	5 Mad., 425	3504
Gopal Jayachand *v.* Hargovind Khushal	5 Bom., A. C., 83	4433
Gopal Kashi *v.* Ramabai Saheb Patvardhan	12 Bom., 17	3224, 3860, 4277
Gopal Khundee Rao *v.* Deokee Nundun	6 N. W., 172	5752, 5772, 5785
Gopal Kishen Sircar *v.* Ram Narain Koondoo	17 W. R., 175	3392
Gopal Kishore Shoor *v.* Mudun Mohun Holdar	2 W. R., 188	5328
Gopal Kisto Mookerjee *v.* Mudhoosoodun Paul Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 82	5081
Gopalkristna Sastri *v.* Ramayyangar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 236	5668
Gopal Lall *v.* Mahomed Hadee	6 N. W., 73	203
Gopal Lall Bose *v.* Kaleenath Mookerjee	5 W. R., 89	778
Gopal Lall Tagore *v.* Tilluck Chunder Rai	3 W. R., P. C., 1: 10 Moore's I. A., 183.	1598
Gopal Lall Thakoor *v.* Kumur Ali	6 W. R., Act X, 85	1661
——— *v.* Mahomed Kadir	W. R., 1864, Act X, 73	1390, 5750
——— *v.* Teluk Chunder Rai	7 Moore's I. A., 548	4639
Gopal Mullick *v.* Onoop Chunder Roy	11 W. R., 183	3395
Gopal Mundul *v.* Nobo Kishen Mookerjee	5 W. R., Act X, 83	1616, 1769
Gopal Narain *v.* Trimbak Sadashiv	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 267	4772
Gopal Narain *alias* Jugdeo Narain *v.* Gunga Pershad Sahee.	19 W. R., 270	2020
Gopal Narain Mozoomdar *v.* Muddomutty Gooptee	14 B. L. R., 21	88, 1945, 2234, 4134, 2382, 3209
Gopal Narhar Safray *v.* Hanmant Ganesh Safray	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 273	2128, 2148
Gopalnath *v.* Abdool Ghanee	1 Agra, 120	4502, 5984
Gopalnath Tewaree *v.* Bhooyah Oranoo	6 W. R., 137	5595
Gopal Pal Chowdhry *v.* Tarinee Pershad Ghose	9 W. R., 89	4864
Gopal Pandey *v.* Parsotam Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 121	5199
Gopal Paul Chowdry *v.* Grish Chunder Pandey	14 W. R., 269	8

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gopal Pershad v. Ramanoogra Singh	8 W. R., 201	1924
Gopal Prasad v. Nandarani	1 B. L. R., A. C., 192 : 10 W. R., 252.	4770
Gopal Ram v. Rangayyangar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 114	110
Gopalrao Ganesh v. Kishor Kalidas	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 527	2773, 3052
Gopalrav v. Trimbakrav	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 598	2232
Gopalrav Myral. In re	8 Bom., O. C., 236	2105, 5296, 5580
Gopal Roy v. Tekaet Roy	8 W. R., 333	5774
Gopal Safray v. Hanmant Safray	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 273	2234, 2382, 4134
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 107	5137
Gopal Sahi v. Ojoodhea Pershad	2 W. R., 47	3711, 4298
Gopal Sahoo v. Gunga Pershad Sahoo	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 530	3915
Gopal Sahu Deo v. Joyram Tewary	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 620 : 9 C. L. R., 402.	2690, 3485
Gopal Shridhar Dikshit Patvardhan v. Naro Vinayak Dikshit Patvardhan.	7 Bom., Ap., 24	2121
Gopal Singh v. Court of Wards	7 W. R., 430	5929
——— v. Dhungazee	3 W. R., 206	2339
——— v. Dular Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 352	221
——— v. Jhakri Rai	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 37	301, 5791
——— v. Kanhya Lall Sahebzada	2 B. L. R., Ap., 14 : 11 W. R., 9.	3398
——— v. Roy Bunwaree Lall Sahoo	5 C. L. R., 181	5475
Gopal Singh Deb v. Gopal Chunder Chuckerbutty	7 W. R., 393	795
Gopal Singh Moorah v. Sunkuree Paharin	23 W. R., 458	1589
Gopal Sitaram Gune v. Dessai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 674	3444
Gopal Surnekar v. Goyaram Sircar	13 W. R., 273	5678
Gopalu v. Venkatadoss	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 552	3582
Gopal Yadavrao Keshar v. Krishnappa bin Mahadappa	7 Bom., A. C., 60	3942
Gopanund Jha v. Lalla Gobind Pershad	12 W. R., 109	4169
Gopaul v. Ram Suroop Lall	1 Agra, Rev., 51	1570
Gopaul Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Nilmoney Mitter	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 374	4147
Gopaul Chunder Lahiry v. Solomon	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 767	3154, 5136
Gopaul Chunder Manna v. Gour Monee Dossee	6 W. R., 52	1499, 2197, 2515
Gopaul Doss v. Greedharee Doss	6 W. R., 13	5583
Gopaul Lal Thakoor v. Budurooddeen	7 W. R., 28	2994
Gopaul Mullick v. Anundo Chunder Chatterjee	14 B. L. R., 205, note : 51 W. R., 363.	3055
Gopee Bullub Roy v. Goluck Proshad	W. R., 1864, 135	877
Gopee Bundhoo Shantra Mohapattur v. Kalee Pudo Banerjee.	23 W. R., 338	3930
Gopee Chand Setia v. Bhoobun Mohun Sen	23 W. R., 401	5279
Gopee Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Ram Komul Ghose	W. R., 1864, 179	1579
Gopee Kishen Goshamee v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar	12 W. R., P. C., 36 : 13 Moore's I. A., 37.	3199
Goopeekishen Gossain v. Hem Chunder Gossain	13 W. R., 322	1159
——— v. ———	16 W. R., 38	6175
——— v. Ryland	9 W. R., 279	503, 506, 4255
——— v. ———	9 W. R., 562	505
Gopeekishen Gossamy v. Thakoordass Gossamy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 807 : 10 C. L. R., 439.	3375
Gopee Kissen Gossamee v. Brindabun Chunder Sircar	19 W. R., 41	2694
Gopeekisto Gossamee v. Ram Comul Mistry	Marsh., 212	5311

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gopeekrist Gosain *v.* Gungapersaud Gosain	6 Moore's I. A., 53	475, 477 2343
Gopee Lall *v.* Bhugwan Doss	12 W. R., 7	1434, 2346
Gopee Loll *v.* Chundraolee Buhoojee	11 B L. R., 391: 19 W. R., 12: L.R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 131	2134, 2136 2245, 2737
Gopee Mohun Banerjee *v.* Sreekanto Bose	13 W. R., 103	5707
Gopee Mohun Mojoomdar *v.* Mackintosh	9 W. R., 395	5829
Gopee Mohun Mozoomdar *v.* Hills	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 789	4964
Gopee Mohun Roy *v.* Abdool Rajah Surgun Nacoda	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 358	1727
——— *v.* Protap Chunder Roy	11 W. R., 530	5659
——— *v.* Sib Chunder Sen	1 W. R., 68	5175
Gopee Mohun Thakoor *v.* Radhanath	5 W. R., P. C., 72	5234
Gopeenath Acharjee *v.* Acheha Bibee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 553: 9 C. L. R., 395.	5420
Gopeenath Chowdhry *v.* Gooroodoss Surma	18 W. R., 472	2242
Gopeenath Dobey *v.* Roy Luchmeeput Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 542: 1 C. L. R., 349.	5470
Gopee Nath Doss *v.* Dyanidhee Soondura Mohapattur	7 W. R., 485	4458
Gopeenath Ghose *v.* Kedarnath Chuckerbutty	23 W. R., 426	5696
Gopeenath Jannah *v.* Jetoo Mollah	18 W R., 272	1632, 2933
Gopee Nath Mookerjee *v.* Boddhumunt Mal	25 W. R., 26	288
Gopeenath Mookerjee *v.* Kally Doss Mullick	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 225	2195, 2608
——— *v.* Ram Huree Mundul	9 W. R., 476	1655, 1660
Gopeenath Mudduck. In the matter of	14 W. R., 7	4433
Gopeenath Naick *v.* Jodoo Ghose	23 W R., 42	1680
Gopeenath Paul *v.* George	6 W. R., 7	5739
Gopeenath Roy *v.* Gopeenath Chatterjee	6 W. R., Mis., 106	201, 3175
——— *v.* Ramjoy	14 W. R., 249	1234
Gopeenath Singh *v.* Anund Moyee Debia	8 W. R., 167	1747, 1768
Gopee Ojha *v.* Hur Gobind Singh	12 W. R., 229	6240
Gopee Sing *v.* Kisha Lall	25 W. R., 187	5427
Gopee Thakoorase *v.* Sheo Sevuk Misser	5 N. W., 95	1995
Gopi Chand *v.* Sujan Kuar	I. L. R., 8 All., 646	2283, 2483
Gopikrishna Gossami *v.* Nilcomul Banerjee	13 B. L R., 461: 22 W. R., 79	2810
Gopi Mohun Dass *v.* Tincouri Gupta	1 C. L. R., 254	5242
Gopi Mohun Mullick *v.* Taramoni Chowdhrani	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 7: 4 C. L. R., 309.	1440, 4096
Gopi Mohun Muzoomdar *v.* Hills.	5 C. L. R., 33	1661
Gopinath *v.* Kuldip Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 566	4854, 5510
Gopi Nath Chatterjee *v.* Modhu Sudun Dey	11 C. L. R., 51	1179
Gopi Nath Chobey *v.* Bhugwat Pershad	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 697	489, 3360 4247, 5038 5069
Gopinath Shaha. In the matter of	1 C. L R., 141	1334
Gopi Wasudev *v.* Markande Narayan Bhat	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 30	5398
Gorachand *v.* Gudadhur Chatterjee	7 W. R., 470	1662
Gora Chand Dutt *v.* Lokenath Dutt	8 W. R., 334	3201, 3378
Gorachand Goswami *v.* Raghu Mandal	3 B. L R., Ap., 121: 12 W. R., 169.	762
Gorachand Misser *v.* Boykanto Narain Singh	12 B. L. R., 261: 20 W. R., 421.	5734
Gora Chand Mustafi *v.* Madan Mohan Sikdar	13 B. L. R, 279, note: 11 W. R., 94.	5193, 5199
Gorachand Sirkar *v.* Ramnarain Chowdhry	9 W. R., 587	6241
Gorachund Chunder Bose *v.* Charroo Chunder Ghose	Bourke, O. C., 3: Cor., 93	5712
Goraki Kanaji *v.* Nathu bin Appaji	1 Bom., 135	3958
Gordhandas Girdharbhai *v.* Prankor	6 Bom., A. C., 263	3711

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gordhan Pema *v.* Kasandas Balmukundas	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 179	5664
Gordon *v.* Aboo Mahomed Khan	5 W. R., P. C., 68	3125
——— *v.* Gopee Soondurce Dossee	25 W. R., 53	1984
——— *v.* Gordon	3 B. L. R., O. C., 100	1547
——— *v.* Gordon and DeSaran	3 B. L. R., O. C., 136	1548
——— *v.* ———	3 B. L. R., Ap. 13	1554
Gordon, Stuart, & Co. *v.* Beejoy Gobind Chowdhry	8 W. R., 291	135
——— *v.* Executive Engineer of the Calcutta and Jessore Road Division.	7 W. R., 426	1053
——— *v.* Mohatab Chunder	Marsh., 490: 2 Hay, 565	6, 2951
——— *v.* Taylor	W. R., F. B., 9	3030
——— *v.* Tikaitnee Seobas Kowaree	W. R., 1864, 370	2063
Goroeboollah Sirkar *v.* Boyd	2 W. R., 190	143
Goree Monee Dossee *v.* Juggut Indro Narain Chowdry	11 Moore's I. A., 1	4631
Goriboolla Gazee *v.* Gooroodoss Roy	2 W. R., Act X, 99	1724
Goruppa bin Rachappa. In the matter of	1 Bom., 90	209
Gosaien Shiva Ram *v.* Rugho Rai	2 Agra, 44	4279
Gossain Rambharti Jagrupbharti *v.* Surajbharti Haribharti	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 682	2339, 4134
Gossain Tota Ram *v.* Rukiniballab	3 B. L. R., P. C., 34: 12 W. R., P. C., 32: 12 Moore's I. A., 77	4653, 4677
Goshaien Jugoopooree *v.* Bishen Dyal Chund	2 Agra, 32	4986
Goshain Girdhariji *v.* Durga Devi	I. L. R., 2 All., 119	350
Goshain Gobind Pershad *v.* Fatima	2 W. R., 5	3237
Goshain Jugroop Geer *v.* Chingun Lal	2 N. W., 46	903, 4445
Goshain Luchmun Pershad Pooree *v.* Pohoop Narain Pooree.	24 W. R., Cr., 30	4095, 4731 5945
Gosmiah *v.* Taffuzzul Hossein	4 B. L. R., Ap., 32	486
Gossain Dass Chunder *v.* Issur Chunder Nath	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 224	4478, 6005
Gossaindoss Ghose *v.* Gooroodoss Chuckerbutty	16 W. R., 198	5243
Gossain Doss Koondoo *v.* Siroo Koomaree Debia	12 B. L. R., 219: 19 W. R., 192	3368, 4173
Gossain Dowlut Geer *v.* Bissessur Geer	19 W. R., 215	2244
——— *v.* ———	22 W. R., 207	4911
Gossain Dulmir Puri *v.* Tekait Hetnarain	6 C. L. R., 467	218, 4714
Gossain Gopal Dutt *v.* Court of Wards	21 W. R., 418	3496
Gossain Gunga Dutt Bharutee *v.* Dabee Dass Baboo	25 W. R., 118	4202, 4401
Gossain Jhunmi Pooree *v.* Anund Moyee Dossee	3 W. R., Mis., 9	209
Gossain Luchmee Narain Pooree *v.* Tekait Het Narain Singh.	18 W. R., 322	2714
Gossain Money Puree *v.* Guru Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 146	1936, 2605
Gossain Mungul Doss *v.* Roy Dhunput Singh	25 W. R., 152	5337
Gossain Munraj Pooree *v.* Deen Doyal Lall	20 W. R., 20	4347
Gossain Sheo Suhaye Geer *v.* Mohadeo Suhaye	6 W. R., 294	4209
Gossain Umur Narain Pooree *v.* Arurut Lall *alias* Baboo Jan.	7 W. R., 301	547
Gossami Sri 108 Sri Gridharlji Maharaj Tickait *v.* Purushotum Gossami.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 814	889, 3096
Gossie Doss *v.* Narain Doss	W. R., 1864, 287	5123
Gossyen Luchmee Narain Poori *v.* Bicram Singh	4 C. L. R., 294	3963
Gosto Behary Pal *v.* Johur Lall Pal	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 836: 4 C. L. R., 164.	4525
Gosvami Shri Purushotamji Maharaj *v.* Robb	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 398	1105, 6011
Goura *v.* Kekree Singh	4 N. W., 60	679
Gopra Chowdhrain *v.* Chummun Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 340	2333
Gourah Koeri *v.* Gajadhur Pershad	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 219: 4 C. L. R., 398.	674
Gour Beharee Ram Bhuggut *v.* Sheoruttun Koonwar	10 W. R., 243	6105

Name of Case	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gourchandra Rai *v.* Protab Chandra Dass	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 241 : 6 C. L. R., 591.	4619
Gour Chunder Bhuttacharjee *v.* Sodoy Chunder Nundee	17 W. R., 30	171, 376
Gour Chunder Biswas *v.* Chunder Coomar Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 291 : 10 C. L. R., 236.	5477
——— *v.* Greesh Chunder Biswas	7 W. R., 120	2359, 6276
Gour Chunder Goopto *v.* Tara Monee	6 W. R., 217	5345
Gour Chunder Puteedundee *v.* Clay	8 W. R., 256	2837, 5650
Gour Chunder Sen *v.* Manick Ram	13 W. R., 76	6240
Gour Chunder Thakoor. In the goods of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 229	3088
Gour Churn Soor *v.* Jugobundhoo Sen	22 W. R., 437	4280
——— *v.* Peary Lall Paul	15 B. L. R., Ap., 12	5915
Gour Churn Surma *v.* Jinut Ali	11 C. L. R., 166	4808
Gour Dyal Singh *v.* Hubeel Hossein	14 W. R., 83	3005
Gouree *v.* Oomrao Koonwar	1 Agra, 149	2313
Gouree Byjnath Pershad *v.* Lochun Kooer	22 W. R., 102	684
Goureekant Banerjee *v.* Goluck Chunder	4 W. R., Act X, 49	5165
——— *v.* Lall Mahomed Mollah	W. R., F. B., 70 : Marsh., 265 : 2 Hay, 107.	907
Gouree Komul Bhuttacharjee *v.* Raj Kishen Nath	5 W. R., 106	5303
——— *v.* Raj Kristo Nath	14 W. R., 369	5303
Gouree Lall Singh *v.* Joodhisteer Hajrah	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 359 : 25 W. R., 141.	5332
Gouree Narain Mozoomdar *v.* Modhosoodun Dutt	2 W. R., Act X, 1	1756
Goureenath *v.* Collector of Monghyr	7 W. R., 5	2338, 3565
Goureenath Mookerjee *v.* Modhoomonee Peshakur	18 W. R., 445	3566
Goureenath Roy *v.* Ramgutty Chunder	12 W. R., 102	2987
Gouree Pershad Koondoo *v.* Prannath Surmah	10 W. R., 365	1845
Gouree Singh *v.* Fuzl Hossein	15 W. R., 313	6244
Gouree Sunkur. In the matter of	11 W. R., 556	3918
Gouree Sunkur Roy *v.* Anund Mohun Moitro	9 W. R., 487	239
Gouree Sunkur Surmah *v.* Tirtho Monee	12 W. R., 452	4302
Gouree Sunkur Tribedee *v.* Aman Ali Chowdhry	21 W. R., 309	1177
Gour Gopaul Dutt *v.* Bissonath Ghose	Cor., 41	3498
Gour Hari Mal *v.* Amirunnessa Khatoon	11 C. L. R., 9	4254, 5484
Gour Hari Sanyal *v.* Prem Nath Sanyal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 738 : 12 C. L. R., 395.	1682
Gour Huree Chowdhry *v.* Pran Huree Laha	21 W. R., 42	4520
Gour Huree Doss *v.* Hyagrib Doss	14 W. R., 425	771
Gour Hurree Doss *v.* Mutteeoolah	1 W. R., 99	3770
Gouri Kant Roy *v.* Giridhar Roy	4 B. L. R., A. C., 8 : 12 W. R., 456.	5080
Gouriprosad Moitra *v.* Bhola Nath Sanyal	8 C. L. R., 487	4829
Gouri Sunker *v.* Maharaja of Bulrampore	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 839 : L. R., 6 I. A., 1.	853
Gourkishen Singh *v.* Sahay Fukeer Chund	7 W. R., 364	4222
Gour Kishore Chowdhry *v.* Mahomed Hassim Chowdhry	10 W. R., 191	3815
Gour Kishore Chunder *v.* Bonomalee Chowdhry	22 W. R., 117	825
Gour Kishore Dutt *v.* Akbur	22 W. R., 489	9
Gour Kishore Dutt Chowdhry *v.* Ozeer Ali	24 W. R., 99	322
Gour Kishore Shaha *v.* Chunder Kishore Dutt Mojoomdar.	25 W. R., 45	629
Gour Lall Singh *v.* Mohesh Narain Ghose	14 W. R., 484	6121
Gour Mohan Bandopadhya *v.* Tara Chand Bandopadhya	3 B. L. R., Ap., 17 : 11 W. R., 567.	144, 2356
		1940, 3496

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gour Mohun Ghose *v.* Mohindro Nath Chatterjee	13 W. R., Cr., 78	5579
Gour Mohun Majee *v.* Doollubh Majee	22 W. R., Cr., 81	1268, 4491
Gour Mohun Roy *v.* Anund Mundul	22 W. R., 295	3074
——— *v.* Radha Romun Singh	21 W. R., 372	4
Gour Mohun Shaha *v.* Gour Mohun Ghose	5 W. R., Mis., 11	3489
Gour Mohun Surmah *v.* Juggernath Acharjee	14 W. R., 446	3144
Gourmonee *v.* Huree Kishore Roy	10 W. R., 338	1746, 1782
Gour Monee Debea *v.* Krishna Chunder Sannyal	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 397	1689
Gourmonee Debee *v.* Neelmadhub Gooho	5 W. R., Mis., 3	3499
Gourmonee Debia *v.* Abdool Gunnee	10 Moore's I. A., 59	4642
Gourmonee Dossee *v.* Jogutindronarain	18 W. R., 319	1941
Gour Monee Moorain *v.* Shun Kuree Paharinee Debia	13 W. R., 459	3403
Gourmoney Debia *v.* Abdool Gunny	8 Moore's I. A., 268	4639.
Gour Mookh Singh *v.* Lalla Gour Sunkur	1 W. R., Mis., 11	5477
Gour Paroy *v.* Wooma Soonduree Debia	12 W. R., 472	1845, 4192
Gour Pershad Doss *v.* Sookdeb Ram Deb	12 W. R., 279	1426, 4138
Gour Pershad Lal *v.* Lalla Nund Lal	7 W. R., 439	306
Gour Pershad Mookerjee *v.* Kalee Pershad Mookerjee	5 W. R., 121	4316
Gourpershad Narain *v.* Sheopershad Ram	5 W. R., 103	2084
Gour Pershad Surmah *v.* Anjub Ali	24 W. R., 294	5132
Gour Ram Chunder *v.* Sonatun Doss	25 W. R., 320	2955
Gour Sahoy Singh *v.* Sheo Sahoy Singh	15 W. R., 94	5287
Goursimull *v.* Dhansuk Das	7 B. L. R., 289, note: 16 W. R., 10, note.	2578
Gour Soondur Paray *v.* Kristo Kant Mahaton	15 W. R., 108	682
Gour Surn Dass. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R. 305	275
Gour Surun Dass. In the matter of the petition of	8 W. R., Cr., 83	257
Gour Surun Dass *v.* Ram Surun Bhukut	8 W. R., 253	413
Gour Surun Doss *v.* Kanhya Singh	2 W. R., 237	303
Gour Surun Doss *v.* Serondey	15 W. R., 144	4135
Goury Churn Mookerjee *v.* Jogendronath Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 289	5817
Gouse *v.* Sundara	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 394	3587
Goutiere *v.* Charriol	1 N. W., Part 2, p. 32: Ed. 1873, 91.	393, 427
——— *v.* Robert	2 N. W., 353	3768
Goverdhan Sinha *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 570	1570
Government *v.* Abdool Huq	3 Agra, Cr., 1	1972
——— *v.* Anundee Kooer	10 W. R., Cr., 40	4737
——— *v.* Behari Lall Brajabasi	4 B. L. R., F. B., 46: 12 W. R., Cr., 60.	4732
——— *v.* Choonee Lall	2 Agra, Cr., 1	4094
——— *v.* Doulat	2 Agra, Cr., 3	460
——— *v.* Fergusson	9 W. R., 168	1763, 4281 5128
——— *v.* Gholam Mahomed	1 Agra, Cr., 33	4490, 4494 4500
——— *v.* Girdharee Lall	1 Agra, Cr., 24	655, 6027
——— *v.* Gopal Singh	1 Agra, Cr., 21	18
——— *v.* Greedharee Lall Roy	4 W. R., 13	1671, 2286 2301
——— *v.* Holasee Singh	1 Agra, Cr., 17	1223, 2762
——— *v.* Hungessur Sein	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 11	3629
——— *v.* Hushumtoonissa Bibee	2 W. R., Act X, 106	4936
——— *v.* Jungli Beldar	17 W. R., Cr., 7	73
——— *v.* Kalika Misser	1 Agra, Cr., 3	1338
——— *v.* Kangalee Muduk	18 W. R., Cr., 7	6189
——— *v.* Karamut Khan	1 Agra, Cr., 1	4448

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Government *v.* Karimdad	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 496 : 7 C. L. R., 467.	1958, 3603
——— *v.* Kesree	1 Agra, Cr., 37	2599
——— *v.* Lalawun Singh	1 Agra, Cr., 31	5549
——— *v.* Lalji Sahu	1 B. L. R., S. N., 23	1203
——— *v.* Lall Mohun Nauth	2 Hay, 136	2061
——— *v.* Mahomed Buksh	1 Agra, Cr., 23	4094, 4360
——— *v.* Monohur Deo	W. R., 1864, 39	1249, 1341 2034
——— *v.* Mozuffer Khalifa	18 W. R., Cr., 21	4100
——— *v.* Mudun Dass	6 W. R., Cr., 52	1805
——— *v.* Nowlia	1 Agra, Cr., 9	5880
——— *v.* Pokharun Singh	7 W. R., 465	5628
——— *v.* Radhay Singh	20 W. R., 117	5370
——— *v.* Radhoochurn Ash	18 W. R., Cr., 3	5564
——— *v.* Raj Kishen Singh	8 W. R., 343	640
——— *v.* ———	9 W. R., 426	640, 1432
——— *v.* Ramasawmy	5 W. R., Rec. Ref., 1	712
——— *v.* Ram Charun Misr	2 Agra, 74	5212
——— *v.* Rhoop Narain Singh	2 W. R., 162	3376, 3438
——— *v.* Shah Soonder	1 Agra, Cr., 34	4094
——— *v.* Sreeputtee Roy	17 W. R., Cr., 59	4500
——— *v.* Surjakant Acharjia	17 W. R., Cr., 37	4097, 4435 5166
——— *v.* Tabira	7 W. R., 513	40
——— *v.* Thacoor Doss	1 Agra, Cr., 13	715
Government of Bengal. In re	7 B. L. R., 244, note	5947
——— ———	7 B. L. R., 250, note: 15 W. R., Cr., 60.	5947
——— In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 342	21, 5146
——— *v.* Akatoollah	15 W. R., Cr., 21	5494
——— *v.* Gokool Chunder Chowdhry	24 W. R., Cr., 41	250, 5510
——— *v.* Haneef Fakeer	23 W. R., Cr., 50	252
——— *v.* Heera Lall Doss	17 W. R., Cr., 39	3604, 4854
——— *v.* Jafur Hossein Khan	5 Moore's I. A., 467	5103, 5501
——— *v.* Kazimuddin	18 W. R., Cr., 3	5151
——— *v.* Mahaddi	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 371	6160, 6163
——— *v.* Parmeshur Mullick	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1029	251
——— *v.* Sheo Gholam Lalla	24 W. R., Cr., 67	2581
——— *v.* Shurruffutoonissa	3 W. R., P. C., 31 : 8 Moore's I. A., 225.	3120, 3201 3445
——— *v.* Surwar Jan	18 W. R., Cr., 33	21
——— *v.* Whittard	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 453 : 5 C. L. R., 307.	3812
Government of Bombay. Ex parte	11 Bom., 117	251
——— *v.* Dadyama Basapa	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 478	390
——— *v.* Damodhar Parmanandas	5 Bom., A. C., 202	2877, 5590 5595
——— *v.* Desai Kullianrai Hakoomutrai.	14 Moore's I. A., 551	2064, 4563
——— *v.* Ganga	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 330	586
——— *v.* Gasvami Shri Girdharlalji	9 Bom., 222	4564
——— *v.* Haribhai Monbhai	12 Bom., Ap., 225	2858, 2959 2969
——— *v.* Ranmalsingji Amarsingji	9 Bom., 242	2798
——— *v.* Shidapa	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 405	983
——— *v.* Sundarji Savram	12 Bom., Ap., 275	2858, 2960

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Columns of Digest.
Government Pleader. In the matter of the petition of the	7 Mad., 339	252
Govinda v. Jesha Premaji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 73	3897, 4126
Govinda Babaji v. Naiku Joti	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 78	3775
Govinda Chundra Sikdar v. Ayinuddin Sha Biswas	11 C. L. R., 281	3004
Govinda Dass v. Dulall Dass	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 67: 13 C. L. R., 408.	3612
Govinda Muneya Tiruyan v. Bapu	5 Mad., 200	5672
Govindan v. Kannaran	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 351	3757
Govinda Nair v. Kesava	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 81	4950
Govindan Nambiar v. Krishnan Nambiar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 146	6088
Govindannayar. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 224	5524
Govindan Pillai v. Chidambara Pillai	3 Mad., 99	3366
Govindappah v. Kindappah Sastrulu	6 Mad., 131	668
Govindappah v. Kyatadoo	6 Mad., 131	668
Govindappa Nayak. In re	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 36	4629
Govindarajulu v. Lakshuman	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 37	3573
Govindas v. Dayabhai	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 22	6077
Govind Bhaichand v. Kalnak	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 592	3440
Govind Chunder Addy v. Auloo Beebee	1 W. R., 49	1744, 2930
Govind Chunder Goswami v. Rungunmoney	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 60: 6 C. L. R., 345.	3464
Govind Hari Valekar v. Bank of India	4 Bom., A. C., 164	5460, 5468
Govindji Khimji v. Lakhmidas Nathubhoy	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 318	2212
Govind Lakshuman v. Narayan Moreshvar	11 Bom., 111	2032, 3133, 3469
Govind Nath Sandyal v. Nubo Coomar Banerjee	8 W. R., 206	46
Govindo Prosad Roy Chowdhry v. Anund Chunder Chowdhry.	4 C. L. R., 274	1812
Govind Pershad v. Rampurkash Singh	8 W. R., 378	897
Govind Purshotam Kolatkar v. Sub-Collector and Deputy Conservator of Forests of Colaba.	6 Bom., A. C., 188	3022
Govind Ragunath v. Govind Jagoji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 500	6032
Govind Ramchandra Gokhle v. Ahmed	5 Bom., A. C., 133	6108
Govind Ram Marwary v. Mathoora Sabooya	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 339: 1 C. L. R., 429	2575
Govindrav Deshmukh v. Ragho Deshmukh	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 543	1840, 6099
Govind Shanbhog v. Appaya	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 246	3506
Govind Vaman v. Sakharam Ramchandra	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 42	1718, 1910
Govind Vinayak Gadre v. Collector of Ratnagiri	6 Bom., A. C., 101	611
Gowhur Ali Khan v. Ahmed Khan	20 W. R., 214	3645
——— v. Sakheena Khanum	15 W. R., 507	298, 4139
Gowhur Hossein v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry	8 W. R., 481	554
Gowra Bebee v. Kissen Misser	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 224	3219
Gowra Coomaree v. Saroo Coomaree	19 W. R., 252	3431, 5076
Gowra Kumari v. Bengal Coal Company	5 B. L. R., 667, note: 13, W. R., 129.	5076
——— v. ———	12 B L. R., 282, note: 13 W. R., 129.	3118, 3431
Gowree Boyjo Nath Pershad v. Jodha Singh	19 W. R., 416	5438
Gowree Kumul Bhuttacharjee v. Surut Chunder Doss Biswas.	22 W. R., 408	5382, 6153
Gowree Nath Sahoy v. Fukeer Chand	18 W. R., 347	5470
Gowree Sunkur v. Bholee Pershad	17 W. R., 211	3904
Gowri Koer v. Audh Koer	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1087	5093
Gowri Prosad Kundu v. Ram Ratan Sircar	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 159	3264, 4040
Goyamoney Surinee v. Mohesh Chunder Shaha	9 W. R., Cr., 1	3742

Name of Case	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Goya Pershad Aubustee v. Tarinee Kant Lahoree Chowdhry.	23 W. R., 149	1735
Graham v. Hille	10 Bom., 60	596
—— v. Ker, Dods & Co.	3 B. L. R., Ap., 4	2621
—— v. Lopez	1 W. R., 141	3556, 5776
Graham & Co. v. Mervanji Nusservanji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 539	732
Grant. In the matter of	14 W. R., 47	6089
—— v. Bangsi Deo	6 B. L. R., 652: 15 W. R., 38.	2039
—— v. Byjnath Tewaree	21 W. R., 279	1845
—— v. Modhoo Soodun Singh	10 W. R., 79	5749
—— v. Ram Tonoo Bhoomick	10 W. R., 83	554, 5661
Grant, Smith & Co. v. Juggobundoo Shaw	Bourke, A. O. C., 17: 2 Hyde, 301.	4585, 4603
—— v. Steel	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 60	5295
Grassemann v. Gardner	3 W. R., Rec. Ref., 2	395, 592, 3559
—— v. Littlepage	3 W. R., Rec. Ref., 1	1356
Gray v. Anundmohun Moitro	W. R., 1864, 108	1992
—— v. Chick	Cor., 136	2672
Great Eastern Hotel Co. v. Collector of Allahabad	2 Agra, Ex. O. C., 1	1577, 3027
—— v. Secretary of State for India	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 219	6019
Great Indian Peninsula Railway Co. v. Nowroji Pestanji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 390	2618
—— v. Radhakisan Khushaldas.	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 371	4599
Greaves v. Bhagvan Tulsi	4 Bom., A. C., 93	4690
Greedharee Doss v. Nundkishore Dutt	Marsh., 573: 2 Hay, 633	2248, 3262
—— v. Nundkishore Doss	11 Moore's I. A., 405: 8 W. R., P. C., 25.	3262
Greedharee Singh v. Fooljhuree Kooer	24 W. R., 173	685, 1681
—— v. Indro Kooer	17 W. R., 237	3395
—— v. Pultoo Roy	Marsh., 37	67
Greeja Bhoosun Mitter v. Kishen Kishore Ghose	7 W. R., 221	1916
Greejanund Oopadhya v. Ruttee Raman Oopadhya	8 W. R., 136	200
Greeman Singh v. Wahari Lall Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 12: 9 C. L. R., 249.	1417
Greender Chunder Ghose v. Mackintosh	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 897: 4 C. L. R., 193.	3169, 6138
Greene v. Delanney	14 W. R., Cr., 27	1509
Greenway v. Hogg	Bourke, A. O. C., 111: Cor., 97. 2 Hyde, 3.	131, 1945, 6201, 6220
—— v. ——	Bourke, A. O. C., 139	888
Greenwood v. Holquette	12 B. L. R., 42: 20 W. R., 467.	1127, 1129
Greesh Chunder Bonnerjee v. Collins	2 Hyde, 79	2805, 4069
Greesh Chunder Chatterjee v. Mohesh Chunder Nyalunkar.	10 W. R., 173	4140
Greesh Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhugruttee Dabea Chowdhranee.	1 Hay, 495	5986
Greesh Chunder Chuckerbutty. In the matter of	15 W. R., Cr., 36	4103
Greesh Chunder Doss. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 38	4505
Greesh Chunder Lahoory v. Asudoonissa Bebee	8 W. R., 333	1138
Greesh Chunder Lahoree v. Kasheesuree Debia	8 W. R., 26	5929
—— v. Ram Lall Sircar	1 W. R., 144	1770, 2080, 2146
Greesh Chunder Pottar v. Lookhooda Moyee Dabee	1 W. R., 55	5484
Greesh Chunder Roy v. Collector of Sylhet	7 W. R., 349	6088

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Greesh Chunder Roy v. Sumbhoo Chunder Roy	5 W. R., P. C., 98	2414
Greesh Chunder Singh v. Gourmohun Banerjee	7 W. R., 49	881
——— v. Prankishen Bhuttacharjee	7 W. R., 157	3143
Gregory v. Cochrane	8 Moore's I. A., 275	5804
——— v. Dooley Chand	14 W. R., O. C., 17	291, 911, 1761, 6228
——— v. Dulsook Roy	Cor., 9	2705
——— v. Essuff Coonjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 244	429
——— v. Gourdoss Shaha	8 W. R., 490	3283
——— v. Juggut Chunder Bannerjee	5 W. R., Mis., 17	3132
——— v. Joychunder Bannerjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 80	3468
Gridharee Singh v. Court of Wards	10 W. R., 368	4934
Gridhari Das v. Jagan Nath	I. L. R., 3 All., 115	5839
Gridhari Lall Roy v. Government of Bengal	1 B. L. R., P. C., 44: 10 W. R., P. C., 31.	1671, 2286, 2301
——— v. Sundar Bibi	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 496: 6 W. R., 187.	183
Gridhari Lall Sahoo v. Gowrunbutty	15 B. L. R., 264: 23 W. R., 365.	2164
Gridhari Mondul. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 435: 10 C. L. R., 46.	5513
——— v. Uchit Jha	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 435: 10 C. L. R., 46.	5513
Gridhari Singh v. Hurdeo Narain Sahu	21 W. R., 263	267
Gridhar Ranchoddas v. Hakamchand Revachand	8 Bom., A. C., 75	6136
Grija Kant Lahory Chowdhry v. Hurish Chunder Chowdhry.	19 W. R., 114	4180
Grijamonee v. Ishur Chunder	W. R., 1864, Cr., 2	2571, 4490, 4494, 4540
Grish Chandra v. Kashisauri Debi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 145	6039
Grish Chandra Dutt v. Buzul-ul-Huq	3 B. L. R., A. C., 68: 11 W. R., 412.	4760
Grish Chandra Ghose v. Iswar Chandra Mookerjee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 337: 12 W. R., 226.	146, 1628
Grish Chandra Roy v. Prasanna Kumar China	4 B. L. R., O. C., 94	2631
Grish Chandra Roy Chowdhry v. Amina Khatun	3 B. L. R., Ap., 121	327
Grish Chunder Banerjee v. Bhanoo Motee Chowdhrain	11 W. R., 329	3479, 6173
——— v. Gunga Doorga	25 W. R., 60	5343
——— v. Hemlota Debi	11 C. L. R., 359	6196
Grish Chunder Chooramonee. In the matter of the petition of.	20 W. R., 56	4868
——— v. Brojonath Bhuttacharjee	20 W. R., 56	4868
Grish Chunder Chowdhry v. Kristo Soondur Sandyal	14 W. R., 277	4757, 4758
Grish Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Biseswari Debia	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 243: 7 C. L. R., 420.	5377
——— v. Jibaneswari Dabia	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 243: 7 C. L. R., 420.	5377
Grish Chunder Das v. Brojo Jibun Bose	8 C. L. R., 4	2102, 5446
Grish Chunder Ghose v. Kalee Tara	25 W. R., 395	5307
——— v. Ramtonoo Biswas	12 W. R., 449	1587, 1626
Grish Chunder Guha v. Gour Chunder Dass	12 C. L. R., 161	2718
Grish Chunder Kur v. Kristo Chunder Ghose	18 W. R., 123	5703
Grish Chunder Lahoory v. Koomaree Dabea	1 W. R., Mis., 23	2237, 5611
Grish Chunder Lahoree v. Bhuggobutty Debia	14 W. R., P. C., 7: 13 Moore's I. A., 419.	4234
——— v. Shama Churn Sandyal	15 W. R., 437	139

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Grish Chunder Mitter. In the goods of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 483 : 7 C. L. R., 593.	3086
——— v. Jhaku	12 B. L. R., 488, note : 17 W. R., 352.	5305
Grish Chunder Mookerjee v. Miller	3 C. L. R., 17	2076, 3854
——— v. Ramessuree Dabee	22 W. R., 308	4890
Grish Chunder Mundul v. Doorga Doss	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 494	5321, 5699
Grish Chunder Nundee. In the matter of the petition of	26 W. R., Cr., 8	4446
Grish Chunder Roy v. Bhugwan Chunder Roy	13 W. R., 191	1500, 1503 4473
——— v Boydonath Dey	W. R., 1864, 262	5629
——— v. Gobind Singh	17 W. R., 88	5632
Grish Chunder Sein v. Gudadhur Ghose	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 869 : 6 C. L. R., 498.	1664
Grish Chunder Sen v. Eastern Bengal Jute Manufacturing Company.	10 W. R., 492	562
Grish Chunder Singh v. Auna Dassee	17 W. R., 46	5683
Grob v. Palmer	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 395	4071
Groom v. Wilson	I. L R., 3 Calc., 539	4526
Grose v. Amirtamayi Dasi	4 B. L. R., O. C, 1 : 12 W. R., O. C., 13.	48, 401 696, 6022 6186
Gubboy v. Miller	I. L. R., 6 Calc, 833 : 7C. L. R., 29 : 9 C. L. R., 385.	2640
Gubdoo Mull v. Hoolasee	2 Agra, 247	1633
Gudadhur Banerjee v. Government	6 W. R., 326	2034
——— v. Kanye Dekhooria	8 W. R, 191	4166
——— v. Khettermohun Surmah	7 W. R, 460	2980
——— v. Monmohinee Dossea	7 W. R, 366	248, 878
——— v. Nund Lal Biswas	3 W. R., Act X, 145	1628
——— v. Premomoyee Debia	10 W. R., 286	307
——— v. Tara Chund Banerjee	15 W. R., 3	1749
Gudadhur Chatterjee v. Nundlall Mookerjee	12 W. R., 406	5932
——— v. Rajkristo Roy	13 W. R., 73	4285
Gudadhur Chowdry v. Shama Churn Mitter	16 W. R., 8	1145
Gudadhur Dutt v. Shushee Monee Dossia	21 W. R., 7	4910
Gudadhur Kamila v. Baidanath Jana	24 W. R., Cr., 6	4104
Gudadhur Koondoo v. Ramkoomar Bose	6 W. R, 155	5985
Gudadhur Lall v. Ram Jhan Gunderee	10 W. R., 212	3006
Gudadhur Paul Chowdhry v. Bhyrub Chunder Bhattacharji.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 918	1847
Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh v. Jugdeo Narain	10 W. R., 233	5731, 6238
Gudadhur Purshad Towaree v. Soonder Koomaree	6 Moore's I. A., 201	4644
Guddalu Ruthna Mudaliyar v. Kunnattur Arumuga Mudaliyar.	7 Mad., 189	1828
Gudi Janakayya Garu v. Garuda Reddi	1 Mad., 124	2697, 4947
Gudri Lal v. Jagannath Ram	I. L. R., 8 All., 117	2832
Guduri Jagannadham v. Rapaka Ramanna	7 Mad., 348	4810
Gugun Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Ajmul Ali	11 W. R., 91	5060
Gugunfur Ali v. Mahomed Yaseen	20 W. R., 334	4783
Gujadhur Paurce v. Naik Paurce	I. L. R., 8 Calc, 528	1484
Gujadhur Pershad v. Abdoollah	11 W. R., 220	3691
——— v. Kunhya Lall	3 Agra, 27	4325
Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh v. Saheb Roy	19 W. R., 203	4037
Gujadhur Pershaud v. Narain Singh	5 W. R., Mis., 41	2840
Gujadhur Singh v. Nund Ram	1 Agra, 244	52
Gujar v. Barve	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 673	3175, 3450

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest
Gujendro Mohun Shaha *v.* Eastern Bengal Railway Co.	17 W. R., 240	1062
——— *v.* ———	18 W. R., 145	5708
Gujendro Narain Roy. In the matter of	11 W. R., 158	5864
——— *v.* Collector of Midnapore	23 W. R., 197	522
Gujerat Trading Company *v.* Trikamji Velji	3 Bom., O. C., 45	1094, 5875
Gujju Lall *v.* Fatteh Lall	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 171: 6 C. L. R., 439.	4963
Gujmull *v.* Chahee Kowar	L. R., 2 I. A., 34	4649
Gujraj Singh. In the matter of	10 W. R., 355	4434
——— *v.* Bijai Singh	6 N. W., 114	5788
Gulabchand Manickchand *v.* Dhondi valad Bhau	11 Bom., 64	3545, 3546 4265
Gulab Dai *v.* Jiwan Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 318	753
Gulabdas *v.* Lakshman Narhar	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 221	3453, 3455
Gulabdas Jugjivandas *v.* Collector of Surat	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 186	2750
Gulabhai Mondas *v.* Dayabhai Govardhandas	10 Bom., 51	1502, 5682
Gulabi Singh *v.* Rai Normal Chund	6 N. W., 342	332, 533
Gulab Narotam *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 596	605
Gulab Rai *v.* Indar Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 54	5203
——— *v.* Mangli Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 71	6080
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 7 All., 42	189, 5949
Gulab Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 All., 45	5526
——— *v.* Amar Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 237	3240
——— *v.* Debi Prosad	I. L. R., 6 All., 45	5526
——— *v.* Lachman Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 748	197
——— *v.* Pemian	I. L. R., 5 All., 342	1904
Gulam Alli bin Kazi Ismail *v.* Aga Khan	6 Bom., O. C., 93	6242
Gulam Husen Mahamed *v.* Musa Miya Hamad Ali	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 260	237, 3154 5137
Gulam Hussain Saib *v.* Aji Ajam Tadallah Saib	4 Mad., 44	3671, 3686
Gulam Jafar *v.* Masludin	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 238	3678
Gulam Mohidin *v.* Collector of Ahmedabad	12 Bom., Ap., 276	2858, 2960
Gulam Muhammad Sharif-ud-daulah. In re	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 439	5509
Gulam Rahumtulla Sahib *v.* Mahommed Akbar Sahib	8 Mad., 63	3667
Gulawad Chandabhai *v.* Rahimtulla Jamalbhai	4 Bom., A. C., 76	849
Gule *v.* Maharanee Sreemutty	15 W. R., 133	3832
Gulibai *v.* Jagannath Galvankar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 659	2024
Gulzar Ali *v.* Fida Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 24	1712
Gulzari Lal *v.* Collector of Bareilly	I. L. R., 1 All., 596	4343
Gulzari Lal *v.* Jadaun Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 63	1244
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 2 All., 799	6079
Gulzar Shah *v.* Mahtab Singh	2 Agra, 30	2776
Guman Gallubhai *v.* Sorabji Barjorji	1 Bom., 11	1811
Gumani *v.* Hardwar Pandey	I. L. R., 3 All., 698	445
——— *v.* Ram Charan	I. L. R., 1 All., 555	5815
——— *v.* Ram Padarath Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 838	4886
Gumani Kazi *v.* Harihar Mookerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 15: W. R., F. B., 115: Marsh., 527.	1438, 2975 4151, 4169
Gumna Dambershet *v.* Bhiku Hariba	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 125	633, 3308 3309, 3531
Gunamance *v.* Haree Datta	18 W. R., Cr., 6	957
Gunamani Dasi *v.* Prankishori Dasi	5 B. L. R., 223: 13 W. R., F. B., 69.	821, 849
Gundam Venkatesami *v.* Chinnam Purushottama	5 Mad., 466	1717, 5259
Gunda Reddi Narayana Reddi *v.* Kristna Doss Bala Mukunda Doss.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 87	3586

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gundo Anandrav *v.* Krishnarav Govind	4 Bom., A. C., 55	1160, 2702, 3365
Gundo Mahadev *v.* Rambhat bin Bhaubhat	1 Bom., 39	2379
Gundo Shiddheshvar *v.* Mardan Saheb	10 Bom., 419	5356
Gunée Biswas *v.* Sree Gopal Paul Chowdhry	8 W. R., 395	1505, 5649, 5755, 6095
Gunee Mahomed *v.* Baharoollah	13 W. R., 240	1477
Gunesh Chunder Gangooly. In the matter of	13 W. R., 456	4444
Gunesh Chunder Shah *v.* Ram Dhunee Dossee	22 W. R., 283	1906
Gunesh Doss *v.* Goudour Koormi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 147 : 12 C. L. R., 418.	533
Gunesh Dutt *v.* Bulwunt Singh	14 W. R., 175	1924
——— *v.* Lall Muttee Kooer	17 W. R., 11	2208, 2488, 3397
Gunesh Dutt Singh *v.* Mobeshur Singh	6 Moore's I. A., 164	2232
——— *v.* Mungreeram Chowdhry	19 W. R, 186	3484
——— *v.* Munguy Ram Chowdhry	21 W. R., 288	1476
Guneshee *v.* Chotay Lal	3 N. W., 117	365, 5663
Guneshee Lal *v.* Zaraut Ali	2 N. W., 343	4542
Guneshee Lall *v.* Tekum Kooer	5 N. W., 78	3282
Gunesh Kooer *v.* Oomdutoonnissa Begum	6 N. W., 77	4937, 5080, 5982
Gunesh Lall Tewaree *v.* Bindoo Bashinee	24 W. R., 85	5450
Gunesh Persad *v.* Wilson	W. R., 1864, Act X, 86	333
Gunesh Pershad. In re	3 N. W., 200	165
——— *v.* Jykishun	1 N. W., 134: Ed. 1873, 218	4950
Gunesh Ram Surmah *v.* Rohinee Dassee	14 W. R., 236	5127
Guneshur Singh *v.* Doorga Dutt	15 W. R., P. C., 37	4654
Gunga Baee *v.* Hogg	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 124	2003, 2433
Gunga Baksh *v.* Wali Baksh	7 N. W., 169	5221
Gunga Bishen *v.* Ram Guti Rai	2 Agra, 49	1699
Gungabishen Bhugut *v.* Roghoonath Ojha	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 381 : 9 C. L. R., 34.	5039
Gunga Bishen Chund *v.* Dhiraj Mahtab Chand Bahadur.	12 B. L. R., 506, note : 10 W. R., 224.	3523
Gunga Bishen Sahu. In re	6 B. L. R., 334, note	5119
Gunga Bishun Tewarry *v.* Roy Mohun Lall Mitter	W. R., 1864, 291	2711
Gunga Churn Dhur *v.* Kulinga Pa Setee	12 W. R., 422	2648
Gungadass Dey *v.* Ramjoy Dey	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 30	3178, 5728
Gunga Deen *v.* Luchmun Pershad	1 N. W., 147 : Ed. 1873, 229	2053
Gunga Deen Chowdhry *v.* Hur Sahai Singh	3 Agra, 261	4459
Gungadeen Misser *v.* Kheeroo Mundul	14 B. L. R., 170 : 22 W. R., 449	5345
Gunga Deen Rawut *v.* Modhoo Sudun	3 Agra, 4	2485
Gungadhur Chatterjee *v.* Soorjo Nauth Chatterjee	15 W. R., 446	2345
Gungadhur Dass Rai *v.* Jagannath Prasad Gupta	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 375	4674
Gungadhur Roy *v.* Wooma Soonderee Dossee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 672 : 7 W. R., 347 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 120.	2781
Gungadhur Sahoo. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 9	5495
Gungadhur Seal *v.* Raddamoney Dossee	6 Moore's I. A., 209	4631
Gunga Dhur Shikdar *v.* Ayimuddin Shah Biswas	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 960	3004
Gungadhur Singh *v.* Bimola Dossee	5 W. R., Act X, 37	4150
Gungadhur Sircar. In the matter of the petition of	23 W. R., Cr., 14	150
Gungadhur Sunnaputty *v.* Hera Loll Seal	Marsh., 60	5777
Gunga Din *v.* Khushali	I. L. R., 7 All., 702	446

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gunga Doss Dutt *v.* Ramnarain Ghose	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 625 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 111 : 7 W. R., 183.	2890
Gungagobind Bose *v.* Dhunnee	1 W. R., 60	2203
Gunga Gobind Gooptoo *v.* Makhun Lall Hattee	9 W. R., 362	838, 4523
Gungagobind Mundul *v.* Ashootosh Dhur	21 W. R., 256	1140
——— *v.* Bonomalee Paul	14 W. R., 54	2648
——— *v.* Collector of 24-Pergunnahs	7 W. R., P. C., 21 : 11 Moore's I. A., 345.	299, 300 3446, 4196
Gungagobind Roy *v.* Kala Chand Surma Gangooly	20 W. R., 455	535, 537 5077
Gunga Gobind Sen *v.* Gobind Chunder Dass	11 B. L. R., Ap., 31 : 19 W. R., 347.	548
Gunga Gobind Singh *v.* Joy Gopaul Panda	10 W. R., 165	1455
Gunga Gotti Pal *v.* Ram Sunder Dutt	8 C. L. R., 157	451
Gunga Hurry Dhobey *v.* Tripp	1 W. R., 31	5075
Gungahurry Nundee *v.* Raghubram Nundee	14 B. L. R., 307 : 23 W. R., 131.	6031, 6143
Gungamala Chowdhrain *v.* Madhub Chunder Roy	10 W. R., 413	4129
Gungamonee *v.* Luteefoonissa Chowdhrain	7 W. R., 196	5347
Gungamonee Chowdrain *v.* Gopal Chunder Roy	19 W. R., 214	166
Gunga Monee Dossee *v.* Issur Chunder Shaha	17 W. R., 465	5781
Gungamoyee Dossee *v.* Shib Sunkur Bhuttacharjee	3 C. L. R., 430	3482
Gunga Narain Behutta *v.* Collector of Midnapore	6 W. R., 47	5431
Gunga Narain Bose *v.* Cornell	10 W. R., 442	97
Gunga Narain Chatterjee *v.* Radhakrishna Dutt	25 W. R., 322	4759
Gunga Narain Chowdhry *v.* Kofa Pali	11 W. R., 377	1603
——— *v.* Radhika Mohun Roy	21 W. R., 115	47, 1747
Gunganarain Dass *v.* Punchanunee Dassee	W. R., 1864, 163	4980
Gunga Narain Doss *v.* Sib Chunder Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 409	1031
Gunga Narain Ghose *v.* Ram Chand Bose	12 B. L. R., 48 : 20 W. R., 311.	169
Gunga Narain Gupta *v.* Annada Moyee Burrooanee	12 C. L. R., 404	1895
Gunga Narain Mitter *v.* Gour Soonder Chowdry	15 W. R., Cr., 85	4490
Gunganarain Moytro *v.* Gudadhur Chowdhry	13 W. R., 434	5676
Gunganarain Paul *v.* Umesh Chunder Bose	W. R., 1864, 277	2233
Gunganarain Roy *v.* Gonomoonee	8 W. R., 184	5132
Gunga Narain Sen *v.* Hurish Chunder Changdars	6 C. L. R., 336	3952
Gunga Narain Sircar *v.* Azeezoonissa Beebee	5 W. R., Mis., 18	239
Gunga Narayan Chowdhry *v.* Phul Mohamed Sirkar	2 B. L. R., Ap., 45	3495
Gungapersad *v.* Agra and Masterman's Bank	5 B. L. R., 340, note	5139
——— *v.* Gogun Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 322	4795
Gungapersaud Singh *v.* Ramloll Singh	Marsh., 185 : W. R., F. B., 59 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 118 : 1 Hay, 452.	1662
Gungapershad *v.* Ajoodhia Pershad	Agra, F. B., 31 : Ed. 1874, 23.	4591
——— *v.* Buldeo Singh	3 Agra, 310	4996
——— *v.* Inderjit Singh	23 W. R., 390	1729
——— *v.* Mahomed Kootoob Alum	2 Agra, 27	3282
——— *v.* Phool Singh	10 B. L. R., 368, note : 10 W. R., 106.	2077
——— *v.* Rampershad	Agra, F. B., 31 : Ed. 1874, 23.	4591
——— *v.* Ramphal Sahoo	20 W. R., 177	3770
Gunga Pershad Bhoomick *v.* Debi Sundari Dabea	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 227	3504
Gunga Pershad Dutt *v.* Lokenath Nundee	12 W. R., 179	4129

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gungapershad Kur *v.* Shumbhoonath Burman	22 W. R., 393	2489
Gungapershad Roy *v.* Enayet Zahera	16 W R., 251	3985
Gunga Pershad Sahoo *v.* Wooma Koower	18 W. R., 326	3562
Gunga Pershad Singh *v.* Gunga Koonwer	2 Agra, Pt. II, 210	1744
Gunga Prasad *v.* Gajadar Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 651	3842
——— *v.* Sheodyal Singh	5 C. L. R., 224	2173
Gungaprosad *v.* Ajudhya Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 131: 9 C. L. R., 417.	2175
Gunga Rai *v.* Sakeena Begum	5 N. W., 72	3871, 5779
Gungaram *v.* Gunga Dhur	1 Agra. 198	4431
——— *v.* Hoolasee	2 N. W., 88	3771
——— *v.* Khem Narain Pooree	11 W. R., 250	4973
——— *v.* Moola	2 N. W., 200	4542
——— *v.* Ujoodhyaram Myte	2 W. R., 158	1583
Gungaram Chowdry *v.* Huree Nath Chowdry	15 W. R., 436	3374
Gungaram Dutt *v.* Chowdhry Jumnajoy Mullick	1 C. L R., 144	4858, 6173
Gungaram Ghose Sirdar *v.* Kalipodo Ghose	I L. R., 11 Calc., 661	4832
Gungaram Sadhookhan *v.* Panch Cowree Poramanick	25 W. R., 366	4444, 4987
Gunga Ram Sirdar *v.* Biressur Banerjee	6 W. R., Act X, 32	3010
Gungaram Sirkar *v.* Sreenath Banerjee	I. L R, 5 Calc., 915 : 6 C. L R., 16.	1184
Gungoo Mull *v.* Bunseedhur	1 N. W, Part VI, p. 79: Ed. 1873, 170.	2366
Gungowa Kome Malupa *v.* Erawa Kome Jogapa	13 Moore's I. A., 433	4632
Guni Khan *v.* Koonjo Behary Sein	3 C. L. R., 414	822
Guni Mahomed *v.* Moran	I L. R., 4 Calc., 96 : 2 C. L. R., 371.	1185, 1187
Gunnes Chunder Hazra *v.* Rampria Debea	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 53	1439, 1630
Gunnesh Dutt Singh *v.* Mugneeram Chowdhry	11 B. L. R., 321 : 17 W. R., 283.	3769, 6247
Gunnesh Pattro *v.* Ram Nidhee Koondoo	22 W. R., 361	2793
Gunno Singh *v.* Muddun Mohun Singh	W. R., 1864, 26	447
Gunoo Singh *v.* Latafut Hossain	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 336 : 1 C. L. R., 91.	3893
Gunowree Bhooea. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 70	1154
Gunput Narain Singh. In the matter of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 74	2607, 5805
——— *v.* Rajun Kooer	24 W. R., 207	2607, 5805
Gunput Roy *v.* Ram Deoun Roy	21 W. R., 416	300
Gunput Singh *v.* Gunga Pershad	2 Agra, 280	2509
Gunsam Doss *v.* Mooltan Mull	2 N. W., 192	3845
Gunthum Doss *v.* Mooltan Mull	2 N. W., 229	166
Gupikishen Goswami *v.* Brindabun Chandra Sirkar Chowdhry.	3 B. L. R., P. C., 37 : 12 W. R., P. C., 36 : 13 Moore's I. A., 37.	3199, 3202
Gupinath Roy *v.* Dinabandhu Nandi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 62	1913
Gupinath Singh *v.* Sheo Sahai Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 72 . 1 W. R, 315.	3918
Guracharya *v.* Collector of Belgaum	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 529	3180
——— *v.* Svaminaya Charya	I. L. R., 3 Bom, 431	688
Guravi *v.* Guravi	3 Bom., A. C., 170	2365
Gur Dayal. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 All., 205	5522
——— *v.* Kaunsila	I. L. R., 5 All., 367	2436
Gurdial Mal *v.* Jaubri Mal	I. L. R., 7 All., 820	3996, 4781
Gurdial Mundai *v.* Teknarayan Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 166 . 2 W. R., 215.	3716

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gurdi Misree *v.* Maheswar Buksh Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 13: Marsh., 527: W. R., F. B., 116.	210
Gureeb Doss *v.* Mungul Doss	14 W. R., 383	675
Gureeboollah *v.* Syefoollah	7 W. R., 41	544, 5734
Gureeboollah Khan *v.* Kebul-Lall Mitter	13 W. R., 124	3696, 3713
Gureebullah Sirkar *v.* Mohun Lall Shaha	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 127: 8 C. L. R., 409.	573, 5873
Gurivi Chetty *v.* Aiyappa Naidu	2 Mad., 329	3350
Gurivi Reddi *v.* Chinnamma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 93	2512
Gur Parshad *v.* Umrao Singh	7 N. W., 218	2951, 4463
Gur Sahai *v.* Ram Dial	7 N. W., 91	5429
Guru Churn Chuckerbutty *v.* Kali Kissen Tagore	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 402	2073, 3857
Guru Churn Sen *v.* Kalinath Dass Biswas	23 W. R., Cr., 62	1294
Gurudas Akhuli *v.* Gobin Naik	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 492: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 421: 6 W. R., Mis., 98.	3506, 3526
Gurudas Bose. In re	7 B. L. R., Ap., 23	2651
Gurudas Dey *v.* Sambhunath Chuckerbutty	3 B. L. R., A. C., 258	1845, 5759
Gurudas Dhar *v.* Bijaya Gobind Baral	1 B. L. R., A. C., 108: 10 W. R., 71.	1165
Gurudas Nag *v.* Matilal Nag	6 B. L. R., Ap., 16: 14 W. R., 468	1416
Gurudas Pyne *v.* Ram Narain Sahu	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 860: L. R., 11 I. A., 59.	3357
Gurudas Rai *v.* Stephens	13 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 21 W. R., 195.	2695
Guru Gobind Shaha Mandal *v.* Anand Lal Ghose Mazumdar.	5 B. L. R., 15: 13 W. R., F. B., 49.	2293
Gurumurtti Nayudu *v.* Pappa Nayudu	1 Mad., 164	1767, 5142 5782
Gurunath Nilkanth *v.* Krishnaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 462	884, 2193
Gurupadapa Basapa *v.* Virbhadrapa Irasangapa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 459	3130, 3133 3470, 3471
Gurupadava *v.* Putapa	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 599	688
Gurupathappa *v.* Narasingappa	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 174	376
Guru Pershad Singh *v.* Gossain Munraj Puri	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 733	3853
Guruprasad Roy *v.* Dhanpat Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 46: 14 W. R., 20.	4853, 6150
——— *v.* Nafardas Roy	3 B. L. R., A. C., 121: 11 W. R., 497.	2268, 2494
Guru Prasad Sahu *v.* Binda Bibi	9 B. L. R., 180: 16 W. R., 279.	438
Guruprashad Roy *v.* Ras Mohun Mukhopadhya	1 C. L. R., 431	1485, 4900
Gurusami Chetti *v.* Sadasiva Chetti	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 37	1116, 2170
——— *v.* Samurta Chinna Mannar Chetti	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 37	2116, 2170
Gurusami Sastrial *v.* Ganapathia Pillai	I. L. R., 5 M , 337	4157, 5798
Gurusamy Aiyan *v.* Swaminadha Aiyan	2 Mad., 450	6124
Gurushidgavda bin Rudragavda *v.* Rudragavdati kom Dyamaugavda.	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 531	4388
Guthrie *v.* Abul Mazaffar	7 B. L. R., 630: 15 W. R., P. C., 50: 14 Moore's I. A., 53.	1559, 4654
——— *v.* Lister	6 W. R., P. C., 59: 11 Moore's I. A., 120.	1031
Guttee Koiburto *v.* Bhukut Koiburto	22 W. R., 457	1732
Guz Behary Singh *v.* Washun	W. R., 1864, 302	3157

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Guzerat Spinning and Weaving Company *v.* Girdarlal Dalpatram.	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 425	924
Guzeree Lall. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., 393	5925
Gya Din *v.* Raj Bansi Kuar	I. L. R., 3 All., 191	2183
Gyamonee *v.* Radha Romon	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 592	212
Gyan Chund *v.* Jawahur	2 N. W., 83	634
Gyan Chunder Roy *v.* Protap Chunder Doss	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 208 : 8 C. L. R., 267.	1957, 5509
Gyan Chunder Roy Chowdhry *v.* Kalee Churn Roy Chowdhry.	7 W. R., 48	3185
Gyan Chunder Sen *v.* Durga Churn Sen	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 318 : 8 C. L. R., 415.	335, 4308
Gyanee Ram *v.* Palee Ram	2 N. W., 73	1216, 2573
Gyanendro Chunder Lahiri *v.* Kalapahar Haji	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 50 : 11 C. L. R., 297.	2136
Gyanendro Nath Roy *v.* Lobongomunjuri Dabi	11 C. L. R., 198	2480
Gyan Singh *v.* Peetum Singh	1 N. W., Part VI, p. 73 Ed. 1873, 165.	2053
Gya Persad *alias* Lal Persad *v.* Heet Narain	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 93	3401
Gyaram Chuckerbutty *v.* Buroda Dabee	20 W. R., 484	3906
Gyaram Dutt *v.* Gooroochurn Chatterjee	2 W. R., Act X, 59	1614
Gyaram Mundul *v.* Gyaram Naik	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 22 : Marsh., 28 : 1 Hay, 65.	4167
Gyaram Seal *v.* Issur Chunder Chuckerbutty	2 W. R., 158	4274, 5778
Gyasooddeen Hyder *v.* Fatima Begum	1 Agra, 238	3683

H

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Habeeboollah *v.* Gouhur Ally Khan	18 W. R., 523	3633, 6245
Habeel Beparee *v.* Choalmun Mah	10 W. R., 263	4053
Habela Sircar *v.* Doorgakant Mozoomdar	11 W. R., 456	4865
Habib Sobhan *v.* Mahendra Nath Roy	2 B. L. R., Ap., 32 : 11 W. R., 129.	5933
Habibullah *v.* Achaibar Pandey	I. L. R., 4 All., 145	3226
——— *v.* Kunji Mal	I. L. R., 7 All., 447	2874
——— *v.* Nakched Rai	I. L. R., 5 All., 447	4768, 4769 4834
——— *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 937	1979
Habib-un-nissa *v.* Barkat Ali	I. L. R., 8 All., 275	3719
Hachun Bancoo *v.* Abdul Hakim	19 W. R., 321	292
Hadayet Aly *v.* Lall Singh	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 38 : Ed. 1873, 96.	5198
Hadoo Sirdar *v.* Jadoo Monee Dossee	17 W. R., 46	5618
Hafeez-oor-rahman *v.* Khadim Hossein	4 N. W., 106	3736
Hafiza *v.* Azhur Hossein	13 W. R., 328	302
Hafiz Abdul Kurrim *v.* Sri Kissen Rai	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 139	301, 5769
Hafiz Ahmad *v.* Sobha Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 488	6093
Hafiz Ameer Ahmed *v.* Hafiz Nuzal Ali	I Agra, 46	3128
Hafizunnessa Khatun *v.* Bhyrab Chunder Dass	13 C. L. R., 214	3194
Hafiz-un-nissa *v.* Mahadeo Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 116	5297
Haidar Ali. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 520	5540
Haidri Bai *v.* East Indian Railway Company	I. L. R., 1 All., 687	5537
Haim Raj *v.* Ram Bux	1 Agra, 115	2810
Haimun Chull Singh *v.* Gunsheam Singh	5 W. R., P. C., 69	2119, 6002
Haines *v.* East India Company	4 W. R., P. C., 99 : 6 Moore's I. A., 467.	1668

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hait Ram *v.* Durga Prasad	I. L. R., 5 All., 609	6053, 6137
Hait Singh *v.* Dabee Singh	2 N. W., 308	2347
Hajarimal *v.* Krishnarav	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 647	1522
Hajee Ali *v.* Ali Nukee	12 W. R., 240	1478
Haji *v.* Atharaman	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 512	3254, 5480
Hajon Manick *v.* Bursingh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 17	2000
Hajra Begum *v.* Hossein Ali Khan	4 B. L. R., A. C., 16: 12 W. R., 498.	3096, 3671
Hakeem Meah *v.* Beejoy Patnee	22 W. R., 8	6137
Hakeemoonnissa *v.* Buldeo	3 Agra, 309	4438
Hakim Khan *v.* Gool Khan	I. L. R., 8 Calc, 823: 10 C. L. R., 603.	3697
Hakma Manji *v.* Meman Ayab Haji	7 Bom., O. C., 19	2498, 2719
Haladhar Dey *v.* Ambika Charan Bose	5 B. L. R., Ap., 80	5828
Hala Khoory Bibee *v.* Basoo Koshye	17 W. R., 512	5682
Haldane. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 77	5652
Halford *v.* East Indian Railway Company	12 B. L. R., Ap., 19	6274
——— *v.* ———	14 B. L. R., 1	4706
Halimbhai Karimbhai *v.* Shanker Sai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 381	362
Halimoozzumah *v.* Municipal Commissioners of Hooghly	13 W. R., 340	2783
Hall *v.* Joakim	12 B. L. R., 34	5720
Halodhar Shaha *v.* Harogobind Das Koiburto	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 105	827
Haloo *v.* Atwaro	7 W. R., 81	5729
Ham *v.* Bengal Eastern Railway Co.	2 Hyde, 228	3791
Hamabai *v.* Bamanji Nasarvanji	7 Bom., A. C., 64	4665
Hamed Bux *v.* Bindrabun	2 N. W., 37	631, 4825
Hameeda Bibee *v.* Noor Bibee	9 W. R., 394	686, 1487
Hameedoollah. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 499: 7 C. L. R., 471.	5675
——— *v.* Genda Lall	17 W. R., 171	139
——— *v.* Mahomed Asghur Hossein	I. L. R., 6 Calc. 499: 7 C. L. R., 471.	5675
Hameedoonissa *v.* Anundmoyee Dossee	W. R., F. B., 25	1362
Hameer Mahomed Chowdry *v.* Fool Mahomed Chowdry.	16 W. R., 311	5752
Hamida *alias* Kajoo *v.* Bhudhun	20 W. R., 238	1872
Hamid Alee *v.* Affeeooddeen	1 B. L. R., S. N., 14: 10 W. R., 213.	330, 2932
Hamid Ali *v.* Imtiazan	I. L. R., 2 All., 71	3647
Hamid Hossein *v.* Gervain	15 W. R., 496	5282
Hamidooddeen *v.* Bhadoo Sahae	18 W. R., 345	340
Hamidoodeen Ahmed. In the matter of the petition of.	24 W. R., Cr., 37	5539
Hamidoolla *v.* Faizunnissa	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 327: 10 C. L. R., 291.	3647
Hamilton *v.* Land Mortgage Bank of India	I. L. R., 5 All., 456	6107
——— *v.* Rughoonundun Singh	20 W. R., 70	1172
Hamir Singh *v.* Zakia	I. L. R., 1 All., 57	3641
Haninabalu Sannappa *v.* Cook	6 Mad., 346	133
Hanlon *v.* India Branch Railway Company	1 Hyde, 197	5584
Hanmantmal Motichand *v.* Rambabai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 198	3220, 3221
Hanmantram Sadhuram *v.* Bowles	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 561	3179, 3313
Hanmantrav Khanderav *v.* Bhavanrav Bajirav	10 Bom., 299	4387
Hanmantrav Pandurang Joglekar *v.* Subaji Girmaji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 257	3463
Hanooman Pershad *v.* Surubjeet Singh	4 N. W., 167	3413
"Hanover." In the matter of the	Bourke, Ad., 15	5645

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hansji Chhiba *v.* Valabh Chhiba	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 297	3365, 3369, 3370
Hansraj Malji. In re	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 411	2660
Hanuman Dat *v.* Assadullah	7 N. W., 145	1708
Hanuman Dutt Roy *v.* Kishen Kishor Narayan Singh	8 B. L. R., 358	2387
Hanuman Kamat *v.* Dowlut Mundey	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 528	2177
Hanuman Parshad *v.* Kaulesar Pandey	I. L. R., 1 All., 301	1616
——— *v.* Ramjug Singh	6 N. W., 371	1616
Hanuman Prasad *v.* Ajodhya Prasad	1 B. L. R., F. B., 7 : 10 W. R., F. B., 5.	214
Hanuman Rai *v.* Udit Narain Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 917	4537
Hanuman Singh *v.* Nanak Chand	I. L. R., 6 All., 193	2184
Hanumantamma *v.* Rami Reddi	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 272	2217
Hanumantha *v.* Hanumayya	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 232	801
Hanuman Tiwari *v.* Chirai	I. L. R., 2 All., 164	2130
Hanumayya *v.* Roupell	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 64	3601
Hara Chand Poramanick *v.* Krishto Moneo Garey	1 W. R., 298	6231
Haradhan Dat *v.* Radhanath Shaha	2 B. L. R., S. N., 14 : 10 W. R., 398.	354
Haradhone Shamunto *v.* Goluck Chunder Shamunto	25 W. R., 79	5451
Haradhun Chuckerbutty *v.* Protap Narain Chowdhry	14 W. R., 401	756
Haradhun Dutt *v.* Joykisto Banerjee	11 W. R., 444	3818
Haradhun Gossamee *v.* Ram Newaz Missry	17 W. R., 414	1183
Haradhun Mookerjee *v.* Chunder Mohun Roy	Marsh., 205 : W. R., F. B., 66 : 1 Hay, 577.	5115
Haradhun Mudduck *v.* Dinobundhoo Mojoomdar	25 W. R., 319	3032
Haradhun Nag *v.* Issur Chunder Bose	6 W. R., 222	1415, 3397
Haradhun Sandyal *v.* Rash Monee Dassia	2 W. R., Mis., 21	2694
Harajai Kumara Venkata Perumalraj *v.* Kanniappah	4 Mad., 149	3588, 4053
Harak Narain Singh *v.* Luchmi Bux Roy	5 C. L. R., 287	4497
Haramohini Chowdhrain *v.* Dhanmani Chowdhrain	1 B. L. R., A. C., 138 : 10 W. R., 62.	3824
Haranath Gupta *v.* Jagannath Roy Chowdhry	9 B. L. R., 89, note : 11 W. R., 87.	5330
Haran Chandra Mookerjee *v.* Nandogopal Muttylall	13 B. L. R., 142 : 22 W. R., 71.	4256, 5284
Haran Chandra Pal *v.* Mukta Sundari Chowdhrain	1 B. L. R., A. C., 81 : 10 W. R., 113.	5176, 5199
Haran Chunder Bhooree *v.* Russick Chunder Neogy	20 W. R., 63	5768, 5836
Haran Chunder Ghose *v.* Dinobundhoo Bose	14 B. L. R., 408 : 23 W. R., 187.	3920, 5018
——— *v.* Gooroo Churn Sircar	10 W. R., 421	1588
Haran Chunder Roy. In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., Cr., 63	4739
Haran Mandal. In re	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1 : 10 W. R., Cr., 31.	1969
Haran Sheikh *v.* Ramdhun Biswas	24 W. R., Cr., 21	5912
Haranund Dutt *v.* Ram Dhun Sein	W. R., 1864, Act X, 122	2888
Haranund Mozoomdar *v.* Prosunno Chunder Biswas	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 763 : 12 C. L. R., 556.	4035
Haraprasad Roy Chowdhry *v.* Digamber Mitter	2 B. L. R., S. N., 15	1355
Harasatoollah *v.* Brojonath Ghose	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 729 : 1 C. L. R., 517.	4960, 5373
Harasundari Baistabi *v.* Jayadurga Baistabi	4 B. L. R., Ap., 36 : 13 W. R., 112.	3851, 4053
Harasundari Dasi *v.* Kistomani Chowdhrain	5 B. L. R., Ap., 37 : 13 W. R., 257.	5314
Hara Sundari Debi *v.* Dukhinessur Malia	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 250	181, 1000

BENGAL SECRET LIBRARY

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Harbhai v. Gumani	I. L. R., 2 All., 493	6054
Harbhat bin Ram Chandrabhat. In the matter of the petition of.	4 Bom., A. C., 67	4387
Harbuns Sahai v. Bhairo Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 259 : 4 C. L. R., 23.	162, 5471
Hardai Narain v. Haruck Dhari Singh	12 C. L. R., 104	2177
Hardatrai Shrikisandas v. Victoria Finance and Bullion Association.	3 Bom., O. C., 60	763
Har Dayal v. Chadami Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 194	1904
Hardayal Mandal v. Tirthanand Thakur	4 B. L. R., Ap., 28 : 13 W. R., 34.	5934
Hardeo. In the matter of	I. L. R., 1 All., 139	5148
Hardeo Bux v. Jawahir Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 522 : L. R., 4 I. A., 178.	270, 4221
——— v. ———	L. R., 6 I. A., 161	4222
Hardeo Das v. Hukam Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 320	1495
——— v. Zaman Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 639	5979
Hardial v. Doorga Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 105	5514
Hardi Narain Sahu v. Ruder Perkash Misser	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 626 : L. R., 11 I. A., 26.	2400, 3853
Haree Bundhoo Santra. In re	5 W. R., Cr., 55	5886
Harender Kishore Singh v. Administrator General of Bengal.	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 357	559, 1211 3347
Harendra Narayan. In the goods of	4 B. L. R., O. C., 41	48, 2190
Hargobind Das v. Baroda Prasad Das	6 B. L. R., 614 : 15 W. R., 112	2885
Hargobind Kuari v. Dharam Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 329	2417
Hargopal Das v. Ram Golam Sahi	5 B. L. R., 135 : 13 W. R. 381.	4317, 5368
Hargopal Premsukdas v. Abdul Khan Haji Muhammad	9 Bom., 429	2809, 3301
Hargovan v. Hira Haribhai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 301	1886
Hari v. Lakshman	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 614	1520, 3925 4012
——— v. Maruti	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 741	3370
Haribhai v. Ardesir Ukadji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 229, note	416
Hari Bhanji v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 344	5219
Haribhat v. Damodarbhat	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 171	2306
Hari Bhikaji v. Naro Vishvanath	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 432	5968
Hari bin Joti v. Narayan Acharya	6 Bom., A. C., 23	1584, 3043
Haridas v. Ghansham Narain	I. L. R., 6 All., 286	4081, 4165
Haridas Baisakh v. Moazam Hossein	8 B. L. R., Ap., 16 : 15 W. R., 447.	909, 6231
Haridas Purshotam v. Gamble	12 Bom., 23	1206, 1578 2019, 2686 4904
Haridas Sanyal v. Pran Nath Sanyal	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 566	2456, 4306
Hari Dhangar v. Biru Darsa	9 Bom., A. C., 135	1846
Hari Govind Joshi v. Ram Chandra Pandurang Joshi	9 Bom., 64	5374
Harihara v. Subramanya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 259	5699
Harihar Dat v. Sheo Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 41	3722
Harihar Mukhopadhya v. Madhab Chandra Babu	8 B. L. R., 566 : 20 W. R., 459 : 14 Moore's I. A., 152.	4202, 5106
Harihur Pershad Narain Singh v. Buddu Pershad	13 C. L. R., 254	5118
Harika Ramayyar v. Sindu Tirtasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 61	5088
Hari Kishore Dutt v. Collector of Dacca	3 B. L. R., Ap., 116	35
Harilal Amthabhai v. Abhosing Meru	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 323	409, 5455

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hari Mahadaji *v.* Balambhat Raghunath	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 233	2500, 3381 3954
Hari Mahadaji Joshi *v.* Vasudev Moreshvar Joshi	2 Bom., 344: 2nd Ed. 325	3126, 3157
Hari Mohan Bysak *v.* Krishna Mohan Bysak	9 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 17 W. R., 442.	2575
Harimohan Malo. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 20: 10 W. R., Cr., 5.	4103
Hari Mohan Sirkar *v.* Moncrieff	9 B. L. R., Ap., 14: 15 W. R., 464, note.	4926
Hari Mohun Thakur *v.* Kissen Sundari	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 52	4146, 4508
Hari Narayan Brahme *v.* Ganpatrav Daji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 272	4307, 5042
Harinarayan Maiti *v.* Ajodhya Ram Shi	1 B. L. R., S. N., 17: 10 W. R., 308.	3272
Harinath Das *v.* Asmut Ali	6 B. L. R., Ap., 118: 15 W. R., 171.	532
Hari Prasad Jha *v.* Maddan Mohan Thakur	8 B. L. R., Ap., 72: 17 W. R., 217.	4308
Hari Ram *v.* Denaput Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 167: 11 C. L. R., 339.	6157
——— *v.* Jitan Ram	3 B. L. R., A. C., 426: 12 W. R., 378.	3849, 3850
——— *v.* Raj Coomar Opadhya	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 759	4194
Hariram Birbhan. In re	11 Bom., 170	4738
Hari Ramchandra *v.* Mahadaji Vishnu	8 Bom., A. C., 50	3941, 4827
——— *v.* Vishnu Krishnaji	10 Bom., 204	3180
Hari Ravji Chiplunkar *v.* Shapurji Hormasji Shet	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 461	3932, 6100
Hari Sadashiv Dikshit *v.* Bapubulvant	5 Bom., A. C., 78	840
Harischandana Deva *v.* Ramanna Chandri	1 Mad., 355	5103
Haris Chandra Dutt *v.* Jagadamba Dasi	8 B. L. R., 190, note: 16 W. R., 61.	3185
Haris Chandra Gupto *v.* Shashi Mala Gupti	6 B. L. R., 721: 15 W. R., 163.	901, 5932
Harish Chandra Chuckerbutty *v.* Tarachand Shaha	2 B. L. R., Ap., 4	1761
Hari Singh *v.* Baldeo Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 905	5668
——— *v.* Danish Mahomed	20 W. R., Cr., 46	1535
——— *v.* Empress	3 C. L. R., 49	6069
Hari Trimbak *v.* Abasaheb	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 321	5675
Harivalabdas Kalliandas *v.* Utamchand Manekchand	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 1	382
Harivallabhdas Kalliandas *v.* Utamchand Manikchand	7 Bom., O. C., 172	1049, 5296 6184
——— *v.* ———	8 Bom., O. C., 135	5297, 5580
——— *v.* ———	8 Bom., O. C., 236	5296, 5580
Hari Vasudev *v.* Mahaj a'i Appaji	5 Bom., A. C., 85	2991
Hari Vishnu *v.* Gopal bin Ragji	6 Bom., A. C., 181	202
Hari Yemaji *v.* Parshram Gundo	11 Bom., 23	1631
Harjas *v.* Kanhya	I. L. R., 7 All., 118	3714
——— *v.* Radha Kishan	I. L. R., 8 All., 256	4083
Harjiban Das *v.* Bhagwan Das	7 B. L. R., 102: 16 W. R., O. C., 16; and on appeal, 7. B. L. R., 535: 16 W. R., O. C., 16.	2808, 4620
Harjivan Anandram *v.* Naran Haribhai	4 Bom., A. C., 31	2261
Harkisandas Narandas *v.* Bai Ichha	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 155	4773, 4774 4819
Harlal Tewari *v.* Collector of Bhaugulpore	3 B. L. R., Ap., 82: 12 W. R., 6.	5634
Harmukhgauri *v.* Harisukhprasad	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 191	3297, 3378

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Harnamgir Guru Dhanpatgir *v.* Spiers	2 Bom., 213 : 2nd Ed., 204	4821
Harnath *v.* Haree Singh	4 N. W., 116	1147
Haro Chand Poramanick *v.* Krishto Mohun Giree	1 W. R., 297	228
Haro Chunder Turkochooramonee *v.* Issur Chunder Roy	6 W. R., 296	2751
Haro Das *v.* Gobind Bhuttacharjee	3 B. L. R., Ap., 123	5194
Harodyal Bose *v.* Kristo Gobind Sein	17 W. R., 70	5811
Haro Jemadar *v.* Jadub Chunder Holdar	3 W. R., Mis., 24	5472
Haromohun Mookerjee *v.* Shoonoyanee Mohunt Thakooraney.	Marsh., 469 : 2 Hay, 501	236
Haronarayan *v.* Manik Singh	7 B. L. R., Ap., 61	1707
Haroo Chowdhry *v.* Joyessur Nundee	6 W. R., Act X, 46	4149
Haro Sanker Sandyal *v.* Tarak Chandra Bhuttacharjee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 114 : 11 W. R., 488.	1895, 5619
Harpal Singh *v.* Bal Gobind	I. L. R., 7 All., 586	5181, 5184
Har Prasad *v.* Bhagwan Das	I. L. R., 4 All., 196	3934
——— *v.* Jafar Ali	I. L. R., 7 All., 345	3449, 5957
Harrak Singh *v.* Tulsi Ram Sahu	5 B. L. R., 47 : 13 W. R., 216.	1610, 1613 3096
Harrendro Coomar Chowdhry *v.* Taramoni Chowdhrain	7 C. L. R., 272	4689
Harrington *v.* Gonesh Roy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 440	3179
Harris *v.* Britain	15 W. R., 534	2103
——— *v.* Harris	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 285	2588
——— *v.* Koylas Chunder Bandopadia	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 285	2588
Harrison *v.* Delhi and London Bank	I. L. R., 4 All., 437	1132, 3359 4263, 4326
——— *v.* Hope	9 B. L. R., Ap., 43	3199, 5588
Har Sahai *v.* Chunni Kuar	I. L. R., 4 All., 14	4481, 4946
Har Sahai Mal *v.* Maharaj Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 294	5083
Harsaran Singh *v.* Muhammad Raza	I. L. R., 4 All., 91	5967
Har Shankar Parshad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 All., 178	1933
Harsukh *v.* Meghraj	I. L. R., 2 All., 345	1481
Hart *v.* Buskin	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 192	4704
——— *v.* Tara Prasanna Mukherji	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 718	5129
——— *v.* Thomas	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 192	4705
Haru. In re	18 W. R., Cr., 18	971
Harvey *v.* Brice	4. W. R., Cr., 26	4491, 4504
Hasam Ibrahim *v.* Mancharam Kaliandas	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 137	5008
Hasam Kasam *v.* Goma Jadavji	5 Bom., O. C., 140	1381
Hasan Ali *v.* Mehdi Hussain	I. L. R., 1 All., 533	2237, 3644 3690
——— *v.* Maherban	I. L. R., 2 All., 625	3730
Hasha *v.* Ragho	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 165	6140
Hashim *v.* Aujeet Singh	W. R., 1864, 217	3963
Hashum Ali *v.* Ramdharee Singh	7 W. R., 82	4017
Hasmat Rai *v.* Sunder Das	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 396	2178
Hasonbhoy Visram *v.* Clapham	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 51	734
Hasoon Arra Begum *v.* Jawadoonissa Satooda Khandan.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 29	3917, 5417
Hassaji *v.* East Indian Railway Company	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 388	3343
Hassan Ali *v.* Naga Mal	I. L. R., 1 All., 283	2134
Hassan Allee *v.* Budderooddeen	1 W. R., 141	2883
Hassanbhoy Visram. Exparte	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 323	4287
Hassan Raza Khan. In the matter of the petition of	7 N. W., 211	4749
Hassonbhoy *v.* Cowasji Jehangir	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 1	1042
Hassun Aly *v.* Naib Ahmed	11 W. R., 146	4955
Hastie. In the matter of	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 451	433, 2652
Hastings. In re	9 Bom., 154	6183

Name of Case	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hathi Ram v. Gobind Ram	3 Agra, 131	4126, 4264
Hathising Sobhai v. Kuverji Javher	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 105	4839
Hatim v. Empress	12 C. L. R., 86	1810
Hattee Lall Bhuggut v. Radha Muddun Mohun Doss	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 927 : 9 W. R., 223.	211
Havildar Roy. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 96 . 11 C. L. R., 423.	258
——— v. Jagu Mean	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 96 : 11 C. L. R, 423.	258
Hawabi v. Ibrahim Sali Bhay Dapti	5 B. L. R., 305	4743
Hawah Bee v. Ibrahim Salee Bhoy Duplee	13 W. R., 393	4743
Hay v. Gordon	10 B. L. R., 301 · 18 W. R., 480 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 106.	1552, 3351 4655
Hayatkha v. Abdulakha	6 Bom., A. C., 151	5613
Hayatunnissa Bibee v. Asirooddeen	18 W. R., 304	5683
Hazara Begum v. Collector of Burdwan	23 W. R, 378	4391
Hazari v. Chundi Churn Chuckerbutty	16 W. R., Cr., 72	4538
——— v. Chunni Lal.	I. L. R., 8 All., 259	4617
Hazari Khan v Ramdhone Chaki	7 C. L. R., 345	1877
Hazari Lal v. Jadaun Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 76	705, 3325
——— v. Kheru Rai	I. L. R, 3 All., 576	5961
Hazari Mull Nahatta v. Sobagh Mull Duddha	9 B L. R., 1	2578
Hazari Ram v. Shankar Dial	I. L. R., 3 All., 770	5213
Hazi Arjun Mullick v. Farutulia	9 C. L. R., 295	488
Hazir Gazi v. Sonamonee Dassee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 31 : 6 C. L. R., 516.	5017
Hazra Begum v. Hossein Ali Khan	5 B. L. R., 341, note	5139
Hearn v. Bapu Saju Naikin	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 505	3316
Hearsay v. Secretary of State for India	6 N. W., 47	2817
Hearsey v. Girdharee Lal	3 N. W., 338	3751
Heckford v. Galstin	Cor., 134 : 2 Hyde, 274	3102
Heerachand Banerjee v. Banee Madhub Chatterjee	10 W. R., 164	5750
Heera Dass v. Hurmoo Singh	1 N. W., Part II, p. 17 : Ed. 1873, 77.	5983
Heera Kooree v. Noor Ali	3 Agra, Rev., 1	534
Heera Lal v. Rudhoul	2 N. W., 85	2860
Heera Lall v. Bishen Suhaye	1 W. R., 297	5617
——— v. Kousillah	2 Agra, 42	2428
——— v. Moorut Lall	11 W. R., 275	3727
Heeralall Banerjee v. Buroda Kant Roy	13 W. R., 467	4957
Heeralall Bukshee v. Rajkishore Mozoomdar	W. R., F. B., 58 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 81 : 1 Hay, 449.	4934
Heera Lall Chowdhry v. Bistoo Lall Chowdhry	22 W. R., 288	5780
——— v. Janokeenath Mookerjee	16 W. R., 222	5361
Heeralall Chuckerbutty v. Mohesh Chunder Ghosaul	1 Hyde, 105	287
Heera Lall Ghose v. Kaleodass Mookerjee	23 W. R., 65	5760
——— v. Ram Taruck Dey	23 W. R., 323	5136
Heera Lall Kooer v. Purmessur Kooer	15 W. R., 401	5279, 6072
Heera Lall Mookerjee v. Roy Dhunput Singh	24 W. R., 282	844
Heera Lall Mookhopadhya v. Dhunput Singh	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 500 : 3 C. L. R., 554.	1109
Heera Lall Pal v. Neel Monee Pal	20 W. R., 383	3041
Heera Lall Pommanic v. Barikunnissa Bibee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 501 : 1 C. L. R., 596.	4203
Heera Lall Roy v. Bidyadhur Roy	21 W. R., 343	2344

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Heeralall Rukhit *v.* Ram Surun Lall	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 835	2680
Heera Lall Samunt *v.* Oozeer Ali	21 W. R., 347	4611
Heera Lall Seal *v.* Carapiet	13 W. R., 431	11, 5535
——— *v.* Joheer Mollah	20 W. R., 273	1733
Heera Lall Thakoor *v.* Gridharee Lall	8 W. R., 450	3816
Heera Lal Mookerjee *v.* Digumburee Kuloonee	14 W. R., 431	4946
Heera Loll Saha *v.* Jadub Chunder Chenchkey	Cor., 66	4524
Heeraloll Seal *v.* Gungadhur Sonaputty	1 Ind Jur., O. S., 8: W. R., F. B., 19: Marsh., 60: 1 Hay, 229.	1627, 5777
Heera Loll Seal *v.* Poran Matteah	6 W. R., Act X, 84	572
Heera Loll Shaha *v.* Jadub Chunder Chenchkey	Cor., 119	4154, 4469
Heeramonee *v.* Gunganarain Roy	10 W. R., 384	3040
Heeramonee Brahminee *v.* Nuffaree Brahminee	1 Hay, 292	2284
Heera Monee Dabee *v.* Koonj Behary Holdar	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 8: 2 W. R., 207.	5105
Heera Monee Debia *v.* Lokenath Mundul	2 W. R., 135	4206
Heeramonee Dossee *v.* Promothonath Ghose	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 233. 8 W. R., 32.	1998
Heeramun Roy *v.* Jhuboo Singh	22 W R., 511	571
——— *v.* Tahoour Enam	7 W. R., 109	769
Heera Nath *v.* Shumshere Sahee	1 N. W., 14	1743
Heeranath Kooeree *v.* Burm Narain Singh	15 W. R., 375: 9 B. L. R., 274.	1724
Heeranund Sahoo *v.* Khubeeroonissa	15 W. R., 516	5287
——— *v.* Ozeerun	6 W. R., 151	3376
——— *v.* ———	9 W. R., 102	3377
Heeraram *v.* Deo Narain Singh	Agra, F. B., 63: Ed. 1874, 48	6284
Heeraram Bhuttacharjee *v.* Ashruf Ali	9 W. R., 103	1209, 4152
Heera Rawut *v.* Racha Rawut	22 W. R., 115	5078
Heera Singh *v.* Akbur Ali	24 W. R., 382	549
——— *v.* Burzar Singh	1 Agra, 256	2138
——— *v.* Ragho Nath Subai	3 Agra, 30	3955, 6125
Heeroo *v.* Dhoree	2 N. W., 129: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 204.	5181
Heerun *v.* Mariun	14 W. R., 87	2702, 3290, 3291
Heirs of Husen Beg Bai *v.* Akubai	2 Bom., 357: 2nd Ed., 337	1032
Heit Singh *v.* Thakoor Singh	4 N. W., 57	76
Helaloodeen *v.* Chowdhry Abdool Suttar	9 W. R., 351	4815
Hema Kooeree *v.* Ajoodhya Pershad	24 W. R., 474	2420, 5684
Hemalee *v.* Kumla Kant Banerjee	16 W. R., 133	2929
Hemanginee Dossee *v.* Jogendro Narain Roy	12 W. R., 236	484
Hemangini Dasi *v.* Nobin Chand Ghose	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 788: 11 C. L. R., 370.	3170
——— *v.* Ramnidhi Kundu	1 B. L. R., S. N., 10: 10 W. R., 158.	777
Hema Pandey *v.* Gujadhur Roy	24 W. R., 108	4172
Hemayétoollah Chowdry *v.* Nil Kanth Mullick	17 W. R., 139	1187, 2386
Hem Chand Kuher *v.* Vohora Raji Haji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 515	3208
Hem Chunder *v.* Ahmed Reza	Marsh., 332. 2 Hay, 429	317
Hem Chunder Chatterjee *v.* Poorno Chunder Roy	3 W. R., Act X, 162	1610, 1630
Hem Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Brojosoondury Debee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 89: 10 C. L. R., 272.	3515, 3516
Hem Chunder Chunder *v.* Prankristo Chunder	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 403	418
Hem Chunder Ghose *v.* Radha Pershad Paleet	23 W. R., 440	3052

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hem Chunder Soor v. Kally Churn Dass	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 528 : 12 C. L. R., 287.	1826
Hem Chundra Chowdhari v. Chand Akund	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 115	5195
Hemendro Coomar Mullick v. Rajendro Lall Moonshee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 353 : 1 C. L. R., 488.	1116
Hemlota Dabee. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 226	6196
Hem Lotta v. Sreedhone Boroon	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 771	2887
Hemmomoyee Dayee v. Watson & Co.	14 W. R., 207	764
Hem Nath Dutt v. Ashgur Sindar	I L. R., 4 Calc., 894	5195
Hem Nauth Bose. In the matter of	1 Hyde, 111	2274, 3746
Hempammal v. Hanuman	2 Mad., 472	3306
Hemraj Chowdhry v. Asoodun	5 W. R., Mis., 43	5620
Hendry v. Mutty Lall Dhur	I. L R., 2 Calc., 395	3641, 5406
Hera Roy v. Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh	24 W. R., 286	5772
Her Chund Singh v. Ram Singh	1 Agra, 286	6033
Herrikosima v. Stephenson	1 Agra, 298	4905
Hethan Singh v. Lokraj Singh	3 W. R., 184	3982
——— v. Nurkoo Singh	3 W. R., 184	3982
Het Lall Roy. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 75	1264
Het Narain Singh v. Gossain Luchmee Narain Pooree	23 W. R., 256	5472
——— v. Modnarain Singh	3 W. R., P. C., 51 : 7 Moore's I. A., 311.	995
——— v. Ramdein Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 871 : 12 C. L. R., 590.	2499
——— v. Rampershad Singh	7 C. L. R., 404	5090
Hewson. In the goods of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 770 : 4 C. L. R., 42.	3088
Heysham v. Bholanath Mullick	11 B. L. R., 230 : 17 W. R., 221	2940
Hicks v. Hicks	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 756	1550
Hidaitoonnissa v. Afzul Hossein	2 N. W., 420	114, 367, 3667
Hidayetoollah v. Inderjeet Tewaree	2 Agra, 282	1178, 1181, 1188
Hidayut Ali v. Maeraj Begum	3 N. W., 202	3142
Hidayut-oollah v. Dookhchore Rai	2 Agra, 204	996
——— v. Rai Jan Khanum	3 Moore's I. A., 295	3702
Hiddut Ali v. Kobeemalla Meeajee	6 W. R., Act X, 9	2928
High Court's Criminal Procedure Act (X of 1875). In the matter of.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 891 : 11 C. L. R., 357.	561
Hill. Ex parte	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 254 : 10 C. L. R., 33.	5847
—— v. Khowaj Sheikh Mundul	Marsh., 554 : 2 Hay, 603	1582
Hills v. Aradhun Mundul	10 W. R., 389	1171
—— v. Besharuth Meer	1 W. R., 10	1618
—— v. Budu Khan	12 W. R., 533	1374
—— v. Clark	14 B. L. R., 367 : 23 W. R., 63	2814
—— v. Huro Lal Sen	3 W. R., Act X, 135	1617
—— v. Ishore Ghose	Marsh., 151 : 1 Hay, 350	1648, 5787
—— v. ———	W. R., F. B., 131	1649, 5193
—— v. Jendar Mundul	1 W. R., 3	4147
—— v. Magistrate of Nuddea	11 W. R., 1	3203, 3271
—— v. Osman Biswas	25 W. R., 35	4905
—— v. Panch Couree Sheikh	1 W. R., 3	1633
—— v. Shokhee Monee Dossee	10 W. R., 59	12, 5217
—— v. Sreehuree Roy	7 W. R., 155	3626
—— v. Wooma Moyee Burmonee	15 W. R., 545	2988
Himalaya Bank v. Hurst	I. L. R., 3 All., 710	5419

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Himalaya Bank *v.* Simla Bank	I. L. R., 8 All., 23	4838
Himayat Hussain *v.* Jai Devi	I. L. R., 5 All., 589	1873
Himmat Bahadur. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 429. 5 W. R., 91.	244
Himmat Lal *v.* Shiwajirav	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 593	5240
Himmat Singh *v.* Sewa Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 157	4768
Himmatsingh Becharsing *v.* Ganpatsing	12 Bom., 94	2419
Himmut Ali Khadim *v.* Nyamutoollah Khadim	23 W. R., 250	5754, 5765
Himmut Bahadoor *v.* Shahebzadi Begum	14 W. R., 125	3637, 3693, 3700
——— *v.* Solano	17 W. R., 428	1916
——— *v.* Sooneet Koer	15 W. R., 549	2063, 5102
Himmut Bahadur *v.* Shahebzadi Begum	13 B. L. R., 182: 21 W. R., 113: L. R., 1 I. A., 23.	3637
Himmut Singh *v.* Collector of Bijnour	2 Agra, 258	3279, 5630, 6188
Himnauth Bose. In the matter of	1 Hyde, 111	2274, 3746
Himunchull *v.* Maharaj Singh	1 Agra, 210	2291, 2303
Hinde *v.* Baudry	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 13	3102
——— *v.* Ponnath Brayan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 52	892
Hinde & Co. *v.* Ponnath Brayan	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 359	4967
Hinganghat Mill Company *v.* Rekchand	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 310	5859
Hingan Khan *v.* Ganga Pershad	I. L. R., 1 All., 293	1485
Hingun Lall *v.* Debee Pershad	24 W. R., 42	3290, 3291
Hingun Sowdagur *v.* Boistum Churn Ojah	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 5	1376, 5696
Hippolite *v.* Stuart	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 522	3788, 6035
Hira *v.* Kallu	I. L. R., 7 All., 916	3707
——— *v.* Unas Ali Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 827	4539
Hirabái *v.* Garbai	12 Bom., 294	2226
Hira Chand *v.* Abdal	I. L. R., 1 All., 455	4041
Hira Chand Babaji *v.* Bhaskar Ababhat Shende	2 Bom., 198	3942
Hira Chand Banerjee *v.* Shama Charan Chatterjee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 351: 12 W. R., 275.	2855, 2897, 4215
Hirada Basappa *v.* Gadigi Muddapa	6 Mad., 142	3318
Hira Dai *v.* Hira Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 538	199, 766
Hirada Karibasappah *v.* Gadigi Muddappa	6 Mad., 197	342, 3301
Hiralal *v.* Badri Das	I. L. R., 2 All., 792	3474
——— *v.* Bhairon	I. L. R., 5 All., 602	1168
——— *v.* Datadin	I. L. R., 4 All., 135	1772
——— *v.* Ganesh Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 415	4075
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 4 All., 406	1779
——— *v.* Karim-un-nisa	I. L. R., 2 All., 780	5488, 5489
——— *v.* Ramjas	I. L. R., 6 All., 57	4548
Hiralal Chatterjee *v.* Gourmoney Debi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 326	830
Hiralal Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 100	4946
Hiralal Mullick *v.* Matilal Mullick	5 B. L. R., 682	4859
Hira Lal Pal *v.* Hills	11 C. L. R., 528	1788
Hiralal Seal *v.* Carapiet	9 B. L. R., Ap., 17	5981
——— *v.* Schiller	7 B. L. R., Ap., 91	2605
Hiranath Koer *v.* Ram Narayan Singh	9 B. L. R., 274: 17 W. R., 316	2328, 3096
Hiran Miya. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 21	1016
Hira Singh *v.* Ganga Sahai	I. L. R., 6 All., 322: L. R., 11 I. A., 20.	365
——— *v.* Makund Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 406	4080
Hirbai *v.* Gorbai	12 Bom., 294	3087
——— *v.* Jan Mahomed Khalakdina	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 229	6050
Hirdey Bebee *v.* Beshesbur Pershad	2 Agra, Pt. II, 175	3253

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hirdhamun Jha *v.* Jinghoor Jha	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 711	239, 5726
Hirdy Narain *v.* Allaoollah	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 72: 2 O. L. R., 580.	3970
Hirji Jina *v.* Narran Mulji	12 Bom., 129	1475, 1489 3092
Hisam-ood-deen Khan *v.* Khaleefa Unwar-ool-lah	2 N. W., 400	5246
Hobeebo Sobair *v.* Hossein Ali	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 14	1766, 4754
Hodgson *v.* Rupchand Hazarimul	6 Bom., O. C., 39	1730
Hoffman *v.* Framjee	Cor., 7	910
Hogg *v.* Denonath Koondoo	11 W. R., 566	4184
—— *v.* Dinonath Sreemance	8 W. R., 447	3833
—— *v.* Greenway	2 Hyde, 3	1945, 6220
—— *v.* ——	Cor., 97: Bourke, A. O. C., 111	6201, 6220
Holee Ram Doss *v.* Mihee Ram Gogoolee	6 W. R., 39	3147
Hollick. In the matter of	2. B. L. R., A. C., 109: 10 W. R., 447.	421
Holloway *v.* Asman Roy	10 W. R., 325	5078
—— *v.* Holloway and Campbell	I. L. R., 5 All., 71	1548
—— *v.* Muddun Mohun Lall	1. L. R., 8 Calc., 446: 10 C. L. R., 381.	1163
—— *v.* Wahid Ali	12 B. L. R., 191, note: 16 W. R., 140.	1165
Holmes. In the matter of	1 Hyde, 99	1339
Homabhaee *v.* Punjea Bhaee Dosabhaee	5 W. R., P. C., 102	2147, 4242
Honama *v.* Timannabhat	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 559	2427
Hong-Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation *v.* Baker	6 Bom., O. C., 71	597, 656
—— *v.* ——	7 Bom., O. C., 186	656
Honooman Dutt Roy *v.* Bhagbut Kishen	15 W. R., F. B., 6	2387
Honooman Singh *v.* Fell	3 Agra, 148	295
Hooba Khan *v.* Munsub Ali	3 N. W., 37	5188
Hookum Bebee *v.* Mahomed Moosa Khan	6 W. R., Mis., 13	3832
Hoolash Koer *v.* Kassee Proshad	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 369	87, 2452
Hoolas Kooeree *v.* Sufeehun	8 W. R., 379	241, 3911
Hoolas Koonwar *v.* Man Singh	3 Agra, 37	2457
Hoolassee Ram *v.* Pursotum Lol	3 N. W., 63: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 250.	3040
Hoorul Munder *v.* Hurruck Dutt Khowas	22 W. R., 429	1626
Hootaboo Ravah *v.* Loom Ravah	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 440, note: 7 C. L. R., 221.	524, 2857
Hori Dasi Dabi *v.* Secretary of State for India	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 228: 4 C. L. R., 77.	6207, 6208
Horidas Mal *v.* Mahomed Jaki	I. L. R., 11 Calc. 434	1995
Horil Pattuck *v.* Bhowaneeram	15 B. L. R., 273, note: 21 W. R., 308.	3175
Hori Narain Ghose *v.* Administrator General of Bengal	3 C. L. R., 446	3322
Horish Chunder Roy *v.* Broj Soondur Mozoomdar	6 W. R., 16	896
Hormasji. Ex parte	5 Bom., O. C., 64	637, 2833
Hormasji Cursetji Ashburner *v.* Bomanji Cursetji Ashburner.	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 250	4522
Hormasji Dorabji Vania *v.* Burjorji Jamsetji Vania	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 155	824
Hormasji Karsetji *v.* Pedder	12 Bom., 199	620, 2619
Hormasji Sorabji *v.* Bholagir Guru Manjir	6 Bom., A. C., 80	52
Hormasji Temulji *v.* Mankuvarbai	12 Bom., 262	459, 1199 6138
Horokishen Banerjee *v.* Joykishen Mookerjee	1 W. R., 299	8
Horomohun Mookerjee *v.* Ram Coomar Mitter	1 W. R., 225	5311
Horro Narain *v.* Shoodha Kristo Betah	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 890: 4 C. L. R., 32.	3019, 6279

Name of Case	Volume and Page	Column of Digest
Horro Soondery Chowdhrain In the matter of the petition of	I L R, 4 Calc, 20; 3 C L R, 98.	4236
Hossain Bukah Putooah v Baroo Beparee	5 W R, 49	248
Hossaini Bibi v Peri Khanum	1 B L R, O C, 45	6227
Hossain Ibrahim bin Johur v Mutty Loll	Cor, 5 2 Hyde, 107	2685
Hossain Khan v Dinobundhoo Pundah	1 W R., 35	3121
Hossein v Bakir Ali	W R, 1864 302	2837 5650
——— v Dickenson	2 B L R, S N, 3	5687
Hosseina v Jhamun Singh	25 W R, 323	3797
Hosseina Bibi v Smith	13 B L R, 440 22 W R, 15	5616
Hossein Ali. In the matter of	13 W R. 295	572, 3192
——— v Hazara Begum	12 W R, 344	3671
Hossein Ali Khan v Burkut Ali	10 W R, 372	5210
Hossein Ally v Donzelle	I L R, 5 Calc, 906 6 C L R, 239	568
Hossein Aly v Ashotosh Gangooly	3 C L R, 30	1889
Hossein Beg v Jeewa Ram	5 N W, 139	5272
Hossein Buksh v. Ameena Khatoon	16 W R, 280	2772
——— v ———	20 W R, 231	3446
——— v. Empress	I L R, 6 Calc. 96 6 C L R, 521	1266, 1854
——— v Musund Hossein	18 W R, 260	5242
——— v Tussuduck Hossein	21 W R, 245	3197
Hossein Buksh Khan v Roy Dhunput Sing	18 W. R, 289	5359
Hosseinee v Dickinson	9 W R, 112	5687
Hosseinee Jan v Mukhdoomun	2 W R, 44	1780
Hosseinee Khanum v Lalluh	W R 1864, 117	3241, 3721
——— v Rubia Khanum	5 W R, Act X, 14	539
——— v Tjun Lall	14 W R 293	1500 3729
Hossein Begum In the matter of	I L R, 7 Calc, 434	3687
Hosseinooddeen Chowdhry v Tajunnissa Khatoon	W R, 1864 199	3653
Hothoor Laloong v Hindoo Singh Mouz	10 W R, Cr. 49	960
Hoti Lall v Hardeo	I. L R, 5 All, 212	205, 669
Hovenden v Akbar Ali	L R, 1 I A, 76	1567, 6033
Howard v Nicoll	1 Bom, Ap, 85	3101
——— v. Wilson	I L R, 4 Calc., 231 2 C. L R, 488	370, 3090 3093
Howard Brothers In the matter of	11 B L R, 254	2656
——— ———	13 B L R, Ap, 9	2668
Howrah Docking Co v "Jean Louis," The	Cor, 113 2 Hyde, 255	2834
Hoymobutty Debia Chowdhrain v Koroona Moyee Debia Chowdhrain.	8 W R, 41	415
Hoymobutty Dossee v Sreekissen Nundy	14 W R, 58	288, 470 1426, 3042
Hubeebool Hossein v Allender	14 W R, 44	5463, 5477
——— v Land Mortgage Bank	14 W R, 44	5463, 5477
Hubeeboollah Khan v Gowher Aly Khan	7 W R, 225	1871
Hubeebul Hossein v Muneeram	24 W R, 357	4267
Hubeebunissa v Cox	W R 1864, 266	6154
Hubibul Hossein v Mahomed Reza	I L R, 8 Calc, 192 10 C L R 385	1228, 4430
Hub Lal v Kanhia Lal	I L R, 7 All, 365	5456
Hub Lal Chowdhry v. Nittyanund Singh	12 C L R, 393	6153
Hublal Sukul v Ram Goti Dey Roy	11 C L R, 581	4512
Hufayuttoolah Chowdry v Humeedhun Rohman	6 W R, Mis, 123	5595
Hughes v Hughes	16 W. R, 250	2586

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hughes *v.* Municipal Commissioners of Howrah	19 W. R., 339	506
——— *v.* Secretary of State for India	7 B. L. R., 688	3792
Hukeem-oon-nisa *v.* Bhooria	5 N. W., 23	5192
Hukm Singh *v.* Zanki Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 506	5056
Hukumchand *v.* Hiralal	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 159	1829
Hukum Chand Tekaram *v.* Bhugvantra	1 Bom., 94	3130
Hulasi *v.* Maiku	I. L. R., 5 All., 236	3487
——— *v.* Sheo Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 455	1473, 3721
Hulkhory Lall *v.* Sheo Churn Lall	24 W. R., 109	2515
Hullodhur Bangal *v.* Hogg	1 W. R., 189	632
Hullodhur Mookerjee *v.* Ram Nath Mookerjee	Marsh., 35 : 1 Hay, 71	2453
Hulodhur Roy Chowdry *v.* Juddonath Mookerjee	14 W. R., 162	1919
Hulodhur Santal *v.* Gonesh Santal	6 W. R., 60	178
Hulodhur Sen *v.* Gooroodass Roy	20 W. R., 126	1159, 1177
——— *v.* Seetul Chunder Bhoomick	23 W. R., 85	6114
Hulse *v.* Luchmun Dass	1 Agra, Mis., 1	5483
Humeeda *v.* Budlun	17 W. R., 525	3659
Humeeda *alias* Khajoo *v.* Amatool Mehdee Begum	17 W. R., 106	4653, 6097
Humeed-ool-lah Khan *v.* Pran Sookh	3 Agra, 280	1588, 5631
Humutoollah Chowdhry *v.* Heerun	13 W. R., 62	179
Hunooman Chowbay *v.* Bindhoo Toraba	10 W. R., 336	4298, 5984
Hunooman Doss *v.* Koomeroonissa Begum	W R., F. B., 40 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 42.	1204, 2981
——— *v.* Shamachurn Bhutta	1 Hay, 426	1984
Hunooman Doss *alias* Nonnah Baboo *v* Bipro Churn Roy.	20 W. R., 132	5331
Hunooman Persaud Pandey *v.* Mundraj Koonweree	6 Moore's I. A., 393 : 18 W. R., 81, note.	1500, 2083 2740, 4017 4158
Hunoomanpersaud Sahoo *v.* Kaleepersaud Sahoo	W. R., 1864, 285	4005
Hunooman Singh *v.* Crowdie	23 W. R., 428	1102
——— *v.* Suddolall	W. R., 1864, 232	243
Hunsa Kooer *v.* Sheogobind Raoot	24 W. R., 431	1735, 5753
Hunsbutti Kerain *v.* Ishri Dut Koer	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 512 : 4 C. L. R., 511.	1406, 2191
Hunsraj Singh *v.* Choka Singh	7 W. R., 211	3706
——— *v.* Rash Beharee Singh	7 W. R., 211	3706
Hunter. In the matter of the will of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 420	6215
Hunt Mounet & Co. In re	1 Bom., 251	2658, 2670 4287
Hurbans Sahye *v.* Thakoor Purshad	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 269	5143
Hurbullubh Narain Singh *v.* Genda Maharaj	12 B. L. R., 473, note : 20 W. R., 257.	2722
Hurbuns Lall *v.* Sheo Narain Singh	21 W. R., 391	3468
Hurbuns Sahai *v.* Bhairso Pershad	4 C. L. R., 23	162, 5472
Hurbuns Sahay. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 108 : 13 C. L. R., 285.	3092
——— *v.* Thakoor Persad	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 108 : 13 C. L. R., 285.	3092
Hurchunder Ghose *v.* Wooma Soonduree Dossee	23 W. R., 170	303, 4767
Hurchunder Gine. Ex parte	14 W. R., 52	897
Hurchundra Kulal *v.* Jafer Ali	20 W. R., Cr., 72	6192
Hurchund Singh *v.* Roopa Kooer	4 N. W., 171	5117
Hurchurn Doss *v.* Hazareemull	1 Ind. Jur., S. O., 12	370, 4404
Hurchurun Lal *v.* Toorab Khan	2 N. W., 176	3824
Hurdei Beebee *v.* Surjoo Pershad	6 N. W., 300	202
Hurdeo *v.* Guneshee Lall	1 Agra, 36	4257

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hurdeo Narain Sahoo v. Gridharee Singh	19 W. R., 227	5479
Hurdeo Narain Sahu v. Gridhari Singh	13 B. L. R., 103	267
Hurdeo Narain Singh v. Fuzla Hossein	1 W. R., 270	3906
Hurdwar Singh v. Luchmun Singh	3 Agra, 41	2444
Hur Dyal Nag v. Roy Kristo Bhoomick	24 W. R., 107	4153
Hurdyal Oopudhya v. Mahomed Nacem	1 N. W., Part II, p. 19: Ed. 1873, 79.	1640, 6016
Hurdyal Singh v. Heera Lall	16 W. R., 107	143, 3714
——— v. Kunhya Lall	19 W. R., 247	5770
Huree Bungsho Banerjee v. Ramessur Banerjee	6 W. R., Mis., 38	3479
Hureebuns Burhal v. Joykishen Mookerjee	6 W. R., 92	2972
Huree Chund v. Brij Komar Singh	1 Agra, Rev., 35	5257
Huree Churn Bose v. Meharoonissa Bibee	7 W. R., 318	5319
Hureedyal Gooho Mojoomdar v. Gobind Chunder Pal	17 W. R., 387	1169
Hureehur Mahtoon. In the matter of	14 W. R., 167	1224
Hureehur Mojoomdar v. Churn Majhee	22 W. R., 355	1782
Hureehur Mookerjee. In the matter of	20 W. R., 202	900, 5934
——— v. Biressur Banerjee	6 W. R., Act X, 17	5186
——— v. Jodoonath Ghose	7 W. R., 114	5194, 5202
——— v. Judoonath Ghose	10 W. R., 153	5749
——— v. Mollah Abdoolbur	17 W. R., 209	3334, 3833
——— v. Nobin Chunder Doss	20 W. R., 202	900, 5922, 5934
——— v. Rajkishen Mookerjee	23 W. R., 236	2270
——— v. Teencowree Dossee	6 W. R., 170	3368
Hureehur Pershâd Doss Puhraj v. Goccolanund Doss Mohapattur.	17 W. R., 129	2415
Hureehur Singh v. Dabee Sahoy	W. R., 1864, 260	3960
Huree Kishen v. Asmedh Koonwar	W. R., 1864, 57	3314
——— v. Nursing Narain	6 W. R., Act X, 63	2885
Hureekishen Roy v. Shaikh Baboo	1 W. R., 5	1609
Huree Kishore Ghose v. Komodinee Kant Banerjee	10 W. R., 41	547
Huree Kishore Roy v. Hur Kishore Adhikaree	23 W. R., 134	3337, 5616
——— v. Kaleekishore Sen	8 W. R., 114	207, 1450
——— v. Muthoora Mohun Roy	17 W. R., 445	1454
Huree Madhub Lahiree v. Hem Chunder Gossamee	22 W. R., 522	5380
Huree Mohun Ghosaul v. Government	2 N. W., 226	3277
Huree Mohun Mojoomdar v. Asgur Beharee	23 W. R., 324	5751
Huree Mohun Mookerjee v. Gora Chand Mitter	2 W. R., Act X, 25	3000
Huree Mohun Mullick v. Kalinath Roy	25 W. R., Cr., 15	4729
Huree Mohun Poddar v. Gureeboollah Mullick	22 W. R., 417	4192
Huree Narain Chatterjee v. Wooma Churn Mookerjee	19 W. R., 169	5316
Hureenarain Gossain v. Shumbhoonath Mundul	1 W. R., 6	2058, 2059, 4905
Huree Narain Roy v. Doorga Churn Deghooria	17 W. R., 449	4210
Huree Narain Singh v. Beljeet Jha	24 W. R., 125	3808
Hureenath Koondoo v. Modhoo Soodun Saha	19 W. R., 122	219
Huree Nath Shaha v. Issen Chunder Shaha	24 W. R., 316	4911
Huree Pandey v. Bassoo	11 W. R., 257	307
Huree Persad Malee v. Koonjo Behary Shaha	W. R., F. B., 29: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 20: Marsh., 99: 1 Hay, 238.	2792, 2915, 5682
Huree Pershad Mundul v. Nundkishore Singh	17 W. R., 479	5140
Huree Ram v. Hur Pershad Singh	20 W. R., 80	5410
Huree Ram v. Hur Pershad Singh	20 W. R., 397	5410
Huree Sunkur Mookerjee v. Jogendro Coomar Mookerjee	19 W. R., 66	3779
——— v. ———	22 W. R., 220	3779

Name of Case	Volume and Page	Column of Digest.
Hurendro Narain Singh Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of.	I L. R, 11 Calc, 762	4492
Hurendur Kishore v Kadernath Mitter	10 W R, 188	4210
Hur Gobind v Hulkho	1 Agra, 116	5773
Hurhur Singh v Ooma Kooer	16 W R, 93	4167
Huridas Nandi v Jadunath Dutt	5 B L R, Ap, 66 14 W. R, 79	5282
Huri Moti Moddock v. Denonath Malo	19 W R, Cr, 47	5095
Huri Pershad. In the matter of the petition of	24 W R, Cr, 60	261, 5151
Huri Ram v Bhikaree Roy	25 W R, 20	4199
Huris Chundra Das v Bolai Audhicaree	16 W. R, Cr, 75	4626, 5994
Hurish Chunder Bhuttacharjee v Nufur Chunder Kooer	9 W R, 461	2352
Hurish Chunder Chowdhry v. Chunder Monee Debia	24 W. R, 268	5504
——— v Ram Chunder Chowdhry	18 W R, 528	1586
Hurish Chunder Chuckerbutty v Hurree Bewah	20 W R, 16	582
Hurish Chunder Dam v Gungadhur Bhuddro	25 W. R, 181	5180
Hurish Chunder Dass v. Gouresepershad Chatterjee	16 W R, 163	2352
Hurish Chunder Koondoo v Ramakalee Debia	5 W R, 194	1374
——— v Mohinee Mohun Mitter	9 W. R, 582	2982
Hurish Chunder Mitter. In the matter of the petition of	10 B L R., 79 18 W R, 209	1574
Hurish Chunder Mookerjee v Mokhoda Debia	17 W R, 565	2852
Hurish Chunder Mullick v Prosuno Coomar Banerjee	22 W R, 303	135, 1786
Hurish Chunder Paul v Radha Nath Sein	11 W R, 328	139
Hurish Chunder Roy v Poorna Soondaree Debee	18 W R., 36	329, 4939
——— v. Purna Soondaree Debia	18 W R, 125	4939
——— v Shonashee Dalal	14 W R, 466	540
Hurish Chunder Roy Chowdhry v Sree Kalee Mookerjee	22 W R, 274	3008
Hurish Chunder Shaha v Hurish Chunder Paul	25 W R, 325	4895
Hurish Chunder Sircar v Azimooddeen Shaha	W R, F. B, 180	5276
Hurish Chunder Surma v Brojonath Chuckerbutty	13 W R, 96	3340, 5245
Hurish Chunder Talaputtar v O'Brien	14 W R, 248	5092, 5709
Hurivallab Das Kallian Das v. Uttam Chand Manik Chand.	8 Bom, O. C., 236	2105
Hurjivan Virji v Jamsetji Nowroji	I L R., 9 Bom, 63	4818
Hurkhoo Singh v Ram Kishen	6 W R, Mis, 44	5977
Hurkishor Das Bhooya v. Joogul Kishor Saha Roy	12 B L R, 293, note 16 W R, 281	1181
Hur Kishore Audhicary v. Sudoy Chunder Nundee	17 W R, 80	1908, 5922
Hurkishore Malo v Bharoti Jelyami	24 W R Cr, 61	3738
Hurkoo Singh v Ram Kishen	6 W. R, Mis, 44	3540
Hur Lall v. Mamickpal	3 N W, 176	4012
Hurlal Roy v Sooruj Narain Roy	3 W R., 7	5984
Hurmungal Singh v Athul Singh	4 N W, 15	5690, 5745
Hurmutjan Bibi v. Podma Lochun Doss	I L R, 12 Calc, 33	2954
Hurmut-ool-nissa Begum v Allahdia Khan	17 W R, P C, 108	3694
Hurmuzi Begum v. Hirdaynarain	I L. R, 5 Calc, 921 6 C. L R, 133	3377
Hur Narain v Shiam Soonder	1 N W, 211 Ed 1873, 264 Agra, F B, Ed 1874, 188	2918
——— v ———	3 N W 112	2919
Hurnath Chatterjee v. Futtick Chunder Sumadar	18 W R, 512	167, 3458
Hurnath De Khashkil v. Joygopal De Sarkar	16 W R, Cr, 75	974, 4626
Huro Chunder Chowdhry v. Gobind Chunder Moitro	15 W R, 178	6103
——— v. Gobind Chunder Moitree	17 W R, 255	5769
Huro Chunder Chowdhry v Kishen Coomar Chowdhry	5 W R, 27	68
Huro Chunder Doss Chowdhry. v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry	2 W R, 254	194
Huro Chunder Goho v Dunn	5 W R, Act X, 55	5192

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Huro Chunder Roy *v.* Monee Mohinee Dossee	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 6	3200
——— *v.* Surnamoyi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 266	3155
Huro Churn Narain Singh *v.* Roochee Dobey	6 W. R., Act X, 30	555
Huro Doss *v.* Gobind Bhuttacharjee	12 W. R., 304	5194
Hurodoss Dostedar *v.* Huro Pria	21 W. R., 30	5020
Hurodoot Narain Singh *v.* Beer Narain Singh	11 W. R., 480	2388
Huro Gobind Bhukut *v.* Degumbree Debia	9 W. R., 217	2707
Huro Gobind Biswas *v.* Dumountee Dabee	13 W. R., 304	5319
Hurogobind Doss *v.* Kalachand Shaha	6 W. R., Act X, 26	5635
Hurogobind Pal *v.* Huro Soondaree Chowdhrain	18 W. R., 316	5129
Hurokrishno Doss *v.* Motee Chand Baboo	8 W. R., 260	763
Huro Lall Biswas *v.* Huro Chunder Roy	12 W. R., 89	1380
Huro Lall Doss *v.* Soojawut Ali	8 W. R., 197	825
Huro Lall Saha *v.* Tirthanund Thakoor	11 B. L. R., 437, note: 13 W. R., 417.	5077
Huro Mohun Mookerjee *v.* Goluck Chunder Sirkar	12 W. R., 255	1636
——— *v.* Kadarnath Doss	5 W. R., Act X, 25	5925
——— *v.* Lalun Monee Dassee	1 W. R., 5	6040
——— *v.* Mohendronath Ghose	16 W. R., 135	5169
——— *v.* Ram Coomar Mitter	1 W. R., 225	3011
——— *v.* Thakoor Doss Mundul	1 W. R., 112	1653
Huro Mohun Roy *v.* Khettromonee Dossee	12 W. R., 372	5672
Huro Monee *v.* Kristo Indro Shaha	17 W. R., 220	1224
Huromonee *alias* Huromonee Debia *v.* Ramkishore Acharjee.	6 W. R., Mis., 124	1218
Huromonee Debia *v.* Tumeezoodeen Chowdhry	7 W. R., 181	3747, 5064
Huromonee Dossee. In the matter of	17 W. R., 48	5705
Huromonee Gooptia *v.* Gobind Coomar Chowdhry	5 W. R., 51	509, 2121
Huromoni Dasi *v.* Panchoo Ram Biswas	11 C. L. R., 514	2365
Huro Narain Giree *v.* Durga Churn Giree	15 W. R., 319	5319
Huro Nath Dass *v.* Roma Nath Surma	25 W. R., 321	1696
Huronath Pattur *v.* Nitto Paramanick	22 W. R., 517	1100
Huronath Rai *v.* Indoo Bhoosun Deb Rai	8 W. R., 135	3395
Huro Nath Roy. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 482	894
——— *v.* Ameer Biswas	1 W. R., 231	4394
——— *v.* Anund Chunder Roy	1 W. R., 329	5985
——— *v.* Bindoo Bashinee Debia	3 W. R., Act X, 26	1596
——— *v.* Chittramoney Dossee	3 W. R., Act X, 122	4150
——— *v.* Gooroodoss Roy	7 W. R., 423	5008
——— *v.* Indro Bhoosun Deb Roy	6 W. R., Mis., 33	3822
——— *v.* Jogendur Chunder Roy	6 W. R., 218	3394
——— *v.* Kasheenath Roy	W. R., 1864, 238	353
——— *v.* Kumola Kant Chuckerbutty	5 W. R., Act X, 56	1604
——— *v.* Mirnomoyee Dabee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 56	1637
——— *v.* Mothoora Nath Acharjee	7 W. R., 4	5382
——— *v.* Nistarini Chowdhrain	13 C. L. R., 14	385
Huronath Roy Chowdhry *v.* Golucknath Chowdhry	19 W. R., 18	550, 551, 2974
Huro Nath Sircar *v.* Preo Nath Sircar	7 W. R., 249	135
Huro Nauth Roy *v.* Indooboosun Deb Roy	2 Hay, 302	855
Huro Pershad Bhuttacharjee *v.* Bhyrub Chunder Mojoomdar.	8 W. R., 391	3061, 5632
Huropershad Chowdhry *v.* Shamapershad Roy Chowdhry	6 W. R., Act X, 107	5632
Huro Pershad Chuckerbutty *v.* Sreedam Chunder Chowdhry.	20 W. R., 16	582

Name of Case	Volume and Page	Column of Digest
Huro Pershad Roy v Gopal Das Dutt	I L R, 9 Calc, 255 12 C L R, 129 L R, 9 I A 82	553
——— v Ram Lochun Mundul	6 W R, 148	4953
Huro Pershad Roy Chowdhry v Fool Kishoree Dossee	16 W R, 308	493, 5614, 5620
——— v Manick Lushkur	12 W R 343	3541
——— v Ram Chunder Baboo	7 W R, 360	1678
——— v Ramessur Missry Malia	24 W R, 461	4959
Huropershad Roy Chowdhry v Shamapershad Roy Chowdhry	5 W R, Mis, 52	5618
——— v Shibo Shunkuree Chowdrain	13 W R, 47	2284
Huro Pershad Roy Chowdhry v Wooma Tara Debee	15 W R 194	547
Huro Prasad Roy Chowdhry v Chundee Churn Boy ragee	I L R 9 Calc, 505 12 C L R 251	1598
Huroprosad Roy v Kalprosad Roy	I L R 9 Calc, 290	1938
Huro Prosad Roy v Womatara Debee	I L R, 7 Calc, 269 8 C L R 449	1762, 5759
Huro Prosunno Bhuttacharjee v Bhyrub Chunder Mo joomdar	17 W R, 257	3837
Huro Soonduree Boistobee v Joy Doorga Boistobee	13 W R 112	3851
Hurosoonduree Chowdhrain v Anund Mohun Ghose Chowdhry	7 W R, 459	1596
Huro Soonduree Chowdhrain v Anundnath Roy Chow dhry	3 W R, 8	896, 3159
Huro Soonduree Chowdhrain v Bungshee Mohun Doss	8 W R, 382	225
Huro Soonduree Debee v Sreedhur Bhuttacharjee	17 W R 352	173, 2770
Huro Soonduree Debia v Brojo Gobind Shaha	4 W R, Mis 12	5454
——— v Doorgadoss Bhuttacharjee	16 W R, 215	2365
——— v Punchooram Mundul	24 W R, 225	167
——— v Ramdhun Bhuttacharjee	7 W R 276	51, 6071
——— v Stevenson	5 W R, Mis, 13	281
——— v Unno Poorna Debia	11 W R 550	4477, 4900, 6112
Hurosoonduree Dossee v Bungseemohun Doss	3 W R Mis, 28	225 954
——— v Noorooddeen	11 W R 325	3828
Hurpaul Singh v Zahoorun	2 Agra 202	4141
Hurpershad In the matter of the petition of	24 W R, Cr, 60	1268
——— v Khowanee	5 N W 18	634 1901
——— v Lalu	3 N W, 60 Agra, F B, Ed 1874, 246	5917
——— v Mata Buksh	3 Agra 225	538
——— v Oodit Narain	1 Agra Rev 60	2999
Hurpershad Roy v Badree Narain Gir	1 N W, 14	1993
Hur Proshaud Roy v Enayet Hossein	2 C L R, 471	879, 1675
Hurpurshad v Sheo Dyal	L R 3 I A, 259 26 W R, 55	300 2215 2216 2762 4224
Hurradhun Mookerjee v Mothooranath Mookerjee	7 W R, P C, 71 4 Moore s I A, 414	2114
Hurrak Singh v Toolsee Ram Sahoo	11 W R 84	1610
Hurran Chunder Gangooly v Shib Chunder Mitter	2 Hyde 237	1208
Hurree Dyal Chukee v Bujessurree Dossee	Marsh 604	542
Hurreehur Mookerjee v Ooma Moyee Dossee	12 W R, 525	1692
Hurree Mohun Thakoor v Andrews	W R, 1864, 30	66, 3391, 4314

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hurree Narain Mookerjea. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 518	6164
Hurreenath Doss v. Kalee Pershad Roy	22 W. R., 474	2720
Hurrender Lal Sahoo v. Rajender Pertab Sahee	1 W. R., 260	83
Hurri Mohun Acharjee v. Okhoy Kumar Bose	W. R., 1864, Act X, 14	1635
Hurri Mohun Bagchi v. Grish Chunder Bandopadhya	1 C. L. R., 152	1162
Hurri Mohun Mullick v. Goburdhun Dass	3 C. L. R., 459	2814
Hurri Narain Roy Chowdhry v. Joy Durga Dassi	2 C. L. R., 17	5736
Hurrinath Muzoomdar v. Moran & Co.	W. R., 1864, Act X. 127	401
Hurri Prasad v. Jaumua Prasad	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 453 : 7 C. L. R., 491.	495
Hurriprosad Dutta. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 61	5151
Hurris Chunder Nag v. Abbas Ali	5 W. R., 204	3157
Hurrish Chunder Chowdhry v. Kalisunderi Debi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 482 : 12 C. L. R., 511 : L R., 10 I. A., 4.	241, 1871 1929, 3095
Hurrish Chunder Chowdhry v. Rajender Kishore Roy Chowdhry.	18 W. R., 293	2215
Hurrish Chunder Koond v. Gopal Barooye	3 W. R., Act X, 158	2841
Hurrish Chunder Koondoo v. Alexander	Marsh., 479	5176, 5185
Hurrish Chunder Roy v. Collector of Jessore	I. L. R., 3 Calc. 712	1877
Hurri Sunkur Mookerjee v. Muktaram Patro	15 B. L. R., 238 : 24 W. R., 154.	5075
Hurro Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Ram Kissore Chuckerbutty.	W. R., 1864, 142	5143
Hurro Chunder Mookerjee v. Hullodhur Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 166	51
Hurro Chunder Paul. In the matter of the petition of	20 W. R., Cr., 76	2767, 6249
Hurro Chunder Roy v. Lallchund Banerjee	Marsh., 256 : 2 Hay, 48	880
——— v. Obhoy Churn Sircar	2 W. R., Act X, 72	4933
Hurro Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Sooradhoonee Dabea	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 985 : 9 W. R., 402.	3189, 3193
Hurro Coomaree Dossee v. Tarini Churn Bysack	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 766	3169
Hurro Durga Chowdhrain v. Sharrat Soondery Debia	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 674 : 3 C. L. R., 417.	2700, 3834
——— v. Surut Sundari Debi	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 332 : L. R., 9 I. A., 1.	1200, 1480 3834
Hurro Gobind Bhukut v. Digumburee Debia	9 W. R., 217	3844
Hurro Gobind Biswas. In the matter of	7 W. R., 246	3847
Hurro Gobind Raha v. Ramrutno Dey	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 67	5183, 5596
Hurro Kisto Doss v. Ramanundo Doss	22 W. R., 274	683
Hurro Lall Shaha. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 570 : 10 C. L. R., 409.	4668
Hurromohun Audhikaree v. Auluck Monee Dossee	1 W. R., 252	2198
Hurro Mohun Gaen v. Bonomalee Mitter	11 W. R., 359	5259
Hurro Mohun Mookerjee v. Brojokishore Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 103	1662
——— v. Chintamonee Roy	2 W. R., Act X, 19	3010
Hurromonee Dossee v. Onookool Chunder Mookerjee	8 W. R., 461	4033, 6105
Hurro Narain Singh v. Bykunt Narain Singh	14 W. R., 51	4471
Hurro Nath Bhunjo v. Chunni Lall Ghose	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 877 : 3 C. L. R., 161.	1940, 3520
Hurronath Bhuttacharjee v. Harvey	25 W. R., 23	4455, 6085
Hurronath Chowdhry v. Huree Lall Shaha	11 W. R., 477	3283, 3348
——— v. Nistarini Chowdrani	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 74	176
——— v. Rajender Chunder Roy	15 W. R., Cr., 1	5598
Hurronath Mullick v. Nittanund Mullick	10 B. L. R., 263	1835
Hurronath Roy v. Gobind Chunder Dutt	5 W. R., Act X, 11	1594
——— v. ———	6 W. R., Act X, 2	1594, 1663
——— v. ———	15 B. L. R., 120 : 23 W. R., 352 : L. R., 2. I. A., 193.	1594

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hurronath Roy *v.* Gooroo Doss Biswas	3 W. R., Act X, 19	546
——— *v.* Krishna Coomar Bukshee	I. R., 13 I. A., 123 : I. L. R., 14 Calc., 147.	1193, 1452 3322
——— *v.* Maheroolah Mollah	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 618 : 7 W. R., 21.	632
Hurropersaud Roy *v.* Shamapersaud Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 654 : 1 C. L R., 499 : L. R., 5 I. A., 31.	2700
Hurro Pershad Ghosal *v.* Hurro Monee Debee	8 W. R., 210.	5446
Hurropershad Roy *v.* Bhupendro Narain Dutt	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 201 : 7 C. L R., 79.	2103, 3468
——— *v.* Gopal Dass Dutt	I. L R., 12 Calc., 258	553
Hurro Proshad Roy *v.* ———	I. L R., 3 Calc., 817 : 2 C. L. R., 450.	553, 3195
Hurro Soondari Debia *v.* Keshub Chunder Acharjee	5 C. L. R., 257	4314
Hurrosoondary Dassee *v.* Jugobundhoo Dutt	I. L R., 6 Calc., 208. 7 C. L. R., 61.	4981
Hurro Soonderee Dabea *v.* Kally Mohun	Marsh., 138 : W. R, F. B., 46 : 1 Hay, 301.	3173
Hurro Soondery *v.* Muddun Mohun Dutt	W. R., 1864, Act X, 34	6111
Hurro Soondery Chowdhrain. In the matter of	I. L R., 4 Calc., 20 : 3 C. L. R., 93.	4533
Hurro Soondery Debea Chowdranee *v.* Rajessuree Debea	2 W. R., 321	2502
Hurro Soondery Debia *v.* Amoena Begum	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 188. 5 W. R., Act X, 72.	4165
Hurrosoondery Dossee *v.* Callypudo Dutt	14 B. L R., Ap., 11 : 23 W. R., 136.	4528
Hurrosoondree Debiah *v.* Pran Kishen Singh	6 Moore's I. A., 491	4644
Hurrosoondree Dibiah *v.* ———	7 Moore's I. A., 16	4642
Hurro Soonduree *v.* Sonatoo Doss	25 W. R., 464	4199
Hurro Sundurse Dossee *v.* Chunder Mohinee Dossee	3 W. R., 200	2266
Hurrosundari Dabi *v.* Brojohari Das Manji	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 86	2033, 5732
Hurro Sundari Dabia. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17. 6 C. L. R., 303.	6198
Hurro Sundari Dabia *v.* Chunder Kant Bhuttacharje	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17 : 6 C. L. R., 303.	6198
Hurro Sunderi Dossee *v.* Gopee Sunderi Dossee	10 C. L. R., 559	1661, 3016
Hurruck Chund Golicha. In re	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 605 : 6 C. L. R., 382.	2661, 4518
Hurruck Singh. In the matter of	11 W. R., 107	3096
Hurry Charan Bose *v.* Subaydar Sheikh	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 161	574
Hurry Churn Chuckerbutty *v.* Empress	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 140 : 13 C. L. R., 358.	1293, 1810 5207, 6162 6260
Hurry Churn Dass *v.* Nimai Chand Keyal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 138 : 13 C. L. R, 207.	2441
Hurry Doss Bonerjee. In the goods of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 87	3085
——— *v.* Hogg	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 86	2005, 6219
Hurrydoss Dutt *v.* Uppoornah Dossee	6 Moore's I. A., 433	2478, 2503
Hurry Doyal Guho *v.* Din Doyal Guho	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 479 : 13 C. L. R., 93.	5618
Hurryhur Mookerjee *v.* Mohesh Chunder Banerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 623 : 7 W. R, 176.	1606
Hurry Kissen Doss *v.* Lall Soonder Doss	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 32	880
Hurry Kisto Roy *v.* Motee Lall Nundee	14 W. R., 36	4592, 5831
Hurrymohun Bagchi *v.* Giris Chunder Bundopadhya	1 C. L R., 152	3886
Hurry Mohun Mozoomdar *v.* Dwarka Nath Sein	18 W. R., 42	583

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hurry Mohun Paramanick. In the matter of the petition of.	14 B. L. R., 418, note: 15 W. R., 486.	4884
Hurry Mohun Rai v. Gonesh Chunder Doss	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 823	2237
Hurry Mohun Roy v. Nyantara	25 W. R., 474	2412
Hurrymohun Shaha v. Shonatun Shaha	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 275	2495
Hurry Sankur Patwari v. Radha Chowdhoory	25 W. R., 346	3809
Hurry Sunkur Mookerjee v. Kali Coomar Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 265	1712
Hur Sahai v. Jawala	2 Agra, 31	4547
Hursahai Singh v. Lootf Ali Khan	14 B. L. R., 268: 23 W. R., 8: L. R., 2 I. A., 28	44
Hur Sahai v. Mahomed Dáim Khan	2 Agra, 64	4179
Hur Sahoy Lall v. Bhuttun Singh	20 W. R., 55	3563
Hur Sahoy Singh v. Gobind Sahoy	21 W. R., 244	3497
Hursarun Singh v. Purshun Singh	2 N. W., 415	1449, 4245
Hursee Mahapatro v. Dinobundo Patro	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 528: 9 C. L. R., 93.	2899
Hur Sookha v. Pooran	2 Agra, 115	2847, 5095
Hurst. Ex parte	1 Mad., 270	5644
——— v. Mussoorie Bank	I. L. R., 1 All., 762	2587, 3081
——— v. Watson	2 Bom., 423: 2nd Ed., 400	4597
Hur Suhaye Misser v. Deen Dyal Singh	7 W. R., 275	4158
Huruck Chand Golecha v. Tilock Chand Singh	18 W. R., 512	1474
Huruck Lall Shaha v. Sreenibash Kurmokar	15 W. R., 428	3836
Huruck Roy v. Zuhooree Mul	22 W. R., 468	3535, 3537
Huruck Singh v. Toolsee Ram Sahoo	12 W. R., 458	3096
Hussain Ali v. Matukman	I. L. R., 6 All., 39	5238
Hussain Ali Khan v. Hafiz Ali Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 600	3345
Husain Bakhsh v. Madge	I. L R, 1 All., 525	3517
Husain Begam v. Zia-ul-nisa Begam	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 467	3689
Husaini Begam v. Mulo	I. L. R., 5 All, 84	4774, 4798
Husain Shah v. Gopal Rai	I. L. R., 2 All, 428	5085
Huseena v. Husmutconissa	7 W. R., 495	3649
Hushmut Ali v. Seetaram	1 Agra, 336	1104
Husmut Ali v. Ouree Thakoor	20 W. R., 232	1632
Husrutoollah v. Aboo Mahomed Abdool Kader	6 W. R., 39	3143
Hussain v. Sanjivi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 345	957
Hussain Bibee v. Hussain Sherif	4 Mad., 23	3669
Hussaini Bibi v. Mohsin Khan	I. L. R., 1 All., 156	178, 386
Hussen Bhamjee v. Hicks	18 W. R., 124	421
Hussun Ali Chowdhry v. Manoowar Ali	21 W. R., 413	4907
——— v. Nasirooddeen	16 W. R., 134	5125
Hutton. In re	3 W. R., Rec. Ref., 5	3851, 4742
Hutuman Sahib v. Hussain Sahib	1 Mad., 152	5820
Hyder Ali v. Elahee Bux Maloom	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 1011: 10 C. L. R., 606.	1132
——— v. Jafar Ali	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 183: 24 W. R., 222.	577
——— v. Omrit Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 42	4931
Hyder Buksh v. Bhubindro Deb Cowar	13 B. L. R., 276, note: 17 W. R., 179.	5192
——— v. Hossein Buksh	4 W. R., 103	4014
Hyder Hossein v. Mahomed Hossein	17 W. R., 185: 14 Moore's I. A., 401.	3696, 4651
Hyder Reza v. Collector of Purneah	22 W. R., 490	85
Hyes v. Moneerooddeen Ahung	24 W. R., 6	320, 5194

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
I		
Ibhram v. Suleman	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 146	3680, 3685
Ibin Hossein v. Haidar	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 109	2837, 5651
Ibrahim Azim v. Cruickshank	7 B. L. R., 653: 16 W. R., 203.	304, 466, 1527
Ibrahim bin Mahasin v. Abdur Rahiman bin Alli	12 Bom., 257	11, 3448
Ibrahim Fatte Ali v. Chandra Bhau valad Bapuji	7 Bom., A. C., 130	2777
Ibrahim Malla v. Mohesh Chunder Bagchi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 683	5315
Ibrahim Mulla v. Enayetur Rahman	4 B. L. R., A. C., 13: 12 W. R., 460.	3047
Ibrahim Saib v. Muni Mir Udin Saib	6 Mad., 26	3711
Ibrahim valad Ladli-Miya v. Parvata valad Hari	8 Bom., A. C., 163	1776
Ibrai Byari v. Kaundinya	2 Mad., 363	5270
Ichamoyee Chowdhranee v. Prosunno Nath Chowdhry	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 557	387
Ichha Ram Kalidas v. Govind Ram Bhowanishankar	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 653	4831
Ichhashankar v. Killa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 87	3138
Ievara Das v. Richardson	2 Mad., 84	3207, 3219
Idan v. Nund Kishore	25 W. R., 390	47
Idoo v. Besharoolla	2 W. R., Mis., 10	3496
Idu v. Amiran	I. L. R., 8 All., 322	3688
Iftikarunissa Begum v. Amjad Ali Khan	7 B. L. R., 643	3649
Ijjutoolah Khan v. Ram Churn Gangooly	12 W. R., 39	1765, 1767
Ikram Ali v. Baboo Lall	1 N. W., 178: Ed. 1873, 257	1658
Ikbal Begam v. Gobind Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 77	6123
——— v. Sham Sundar	I. L. R., 4 All., 384	4846
Ikram Ali Khan v. Ludwa	2 Agra, 113	5626
Ikram Hossein v. Ramlochun Dutt	23 W. R., 29	771
Ikramoollah v. Sheo Pershad	2 Agra, 340	2895, 5221
Ikram Singh v. Intizam Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 260	3428
Ilahi Baksh v. Imam Bakhsh	I. L. R., 1 All., 324	4894
——— v. Sita	I. L. R., 5 All., 462	5664
Ilahi Bax. In the matter of the petition of	5 B. L. R., Ap., 75	172, 372, 5931
Ilata Shavatri v. Ilata Narayanna Nambudri	1 Mad., 372	2415, 2435
Illoor Kristniah v. Great Indian Peninsular Railway Company.	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 310	4706
——— v. Madras Railway Company	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 310	4706
Imam Ali v. Dasaundhi Ram	I. L. R., 1 All., 508	3482
——— v. Oograh Singh	22 W. R., 262	3967
——— v. Poresh Mundul	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 468: 10 C. L. R., 396.	4575
Imam Ali Khan v. Abdool Ali Khan	2 Agra, 28	3728
Imaman v. Fazul Karim	7 N. W., 251	4989
——— v. Lalta Baksh	7 N. W., 343	1248, 3974
Imam Bandi v. Hur Gobind Ghose	7 W. R., P. C., 67: 4 Moore's I. A., 403.	43
Imambandi Begum v. Kumleswari Pershad	L. R., 13 I. A., 160: I. R., 14 Calc., 109.	478, 3423, 6009
——— v. Mahomed Tukee Khan	8 W. R., 27	901
Imam Buksh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 599	3688
——— v. Hoob Ali	3 Agra, Rev., 8	533
——— v. Thacko Bibee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 599	3688
Imam Buksh Mundul v. Mohim Mundul	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 280	542

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Imambundee v. Bhugwandass	1 N. W., 41 : Ed. 1873, 38	4583, 6177
Imambundee Begum v. Sheo Dyal Ram	14 W. R., 199	5279
Imami Begam v. Gobind Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 318	4888
Imamooddeen Sowdagur v. Abdool Sobhan	5 N. W., 170	3712
Imam Saheb v. Kasim Saheb	11 Bom., 104	3694
Imamuddin v. Shah Jan Bibi	6 B. L. R., 167, note	3726
Imamun v. Hurdyal Singh	5 W. R., 277	5239
——— v. Mahomed Majeedoollah	3 Agra, 88	5101
——— v. Nunnoo	17 W. R., 193	683
Imam-un-nissa Bibi v. Liakat Husain	I. L. R., 3 All., 424	1879, 5460
Imandi Khan. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 399	4110
Imbichi Koya v. Kakkunnat Upakki	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 391	3245
Imbichi Patama. Ex parte	1 Mad., 129	218
Imdad Ali v. Koothy Begum	6 W. R., P. C., 24 : 3 Moore's I. A., 1.	2017, 3121
——— v. Nijabat Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 457	3344
Imdad Ali Khan v. Collector of Ferrukhabad	I. L. R., 7 All., 817	2947
Imdad Hossein v. Alikoonnissa	W. R., F. B., 77	4213
——— v. Hosseinee Buksh	2 N. W., 327	3657
——— v. Mahomed Ali Khan	23 W. R., 150	108, 3671
——— v. Stack	12 W. R., 454	2932
Imdad Husain v. Mannu Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 509	3994
——— v. Tasadduk Husain	I. L. R., 6 All., 335	4786
Immedy Kaunga Ramaya Gaundan v. Ramaswami Ambalam.	7 Mad., 173	350
Imperatrix v. Abdulla	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 240	3617
——— v. Baban Khan	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 142	718
——— v. Bhagwan Deoraj	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 357	5511
——— v. Bhawani	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 525	6161
——— v. Dongaji Andaji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 564	264
——— v. Dossaji Gulam Husein	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 334	1838, 2105
——— v. Gowdapa	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 534	5157
——— v. Irbasapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 479	1966, 5510
——— v. Kakde	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 298	1513
——— v. Khimchand Narayan	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 384	2906
——— v. Lakshman Sakharam	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 481	972, 1281, 5522
——— v. Padmananbh Pai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 384	5522
——— v. Pitamber Jina	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 61	1015
——— v. Popat Nathu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 287	1315, 1316
——— v. Rama Proma	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 239	1297, 5576
——— v. Sirsapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 15	1277, 4365
Imperial Banking and Trading Company v. Atmaram Madhavji.	2 Bom., 260 : 2nd Ed., 246	1065
——— v. Pranjivan Das Harjivan Das.	2 Bom 272 : 2nd Ed., 258	1066, 2736
Imrit Konwur v. Roop Narain Singh	6 C. L. R., 76	2148, 2507
Imrit Kooer v. Lalla Debee Pershad Singh	18 W. R., 200	143
Imrit Lall Thakoor v. Nuckshed Suhaye	10 W. R., 100	2774
Imrit Nath Jha v. Roy Dhunput Sing	3 B. L. R., 241 : 18 W. R., 288.	4035
Imrit Singh v. Koylashoo Koer	11 W. R., 558	2771, 4823
Imtiaz Begam v. Liakat-un-nissa Begam	I. L. R., 6 All., 630	4393
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 7 All., 886	4393
Ina Sheikh v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 161	5883
Inayat Khan v. Rahmat Bibi	I. L. R., 2 All., 97	5071, 5701
Inayut Ali v. Furzund Ali	2 Agra, 21	4848

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Indar Chandra Dugar v. Brindabun Bhara	8 B. L. R., 251: 15 W. R., F. B., 21.	1180, 2927 4745
Indar Kuar v. Lalta Prasad Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 532	2202
Indar Sen v. Naubat Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 487	3041
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 7 All., 553	4083
Inderan Valungypuly Taver v. Ramaswamy Pandia Taver.	3 B. L. R., P. C., 1: 12 W. R., P. C., 41: 13 Moore's I. A., 141.	2416, 2440
Inderchund Dogare v. Nundeeram Singh	Cor., 3	1986, 3272
Inder Chunder Baboo v. Hurnauth Chowdhry	1 Hay, 257	5751
Inder Chunder Banerji v. Eshan Chunder Roy	1 Hay, 474	520
Inder Coomar Doss v. Doolal Chunder Doss	18 W. R., 258	2343
Inderjeet Kooar v. Ismudh Kooar	5 W. R., P. C., 14: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 141: 10 Moore's I. A., 329.	991, 2455
Inderjeet Kooer v. Pootee Begum	19 W. R., 197	3549
Inder Narain Chowdhry v. Mahomed Nazirooddeen	1 W. R., 234	3711
Inderpershad Singh v. Campbell	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 474: 8 C. L. R., 501.	1118, 5816
Indian Carrying Co. v. McCarthy	Cor., 116	2102
——— v. ———	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 61	2819
Indian Companies Act, 1866. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330	945
——— ———	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 335	943, 951
——— ———	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350	951
——— ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	953
——— ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94	943
——— ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123	945
——— ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	953
——— ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257	953
——— ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296	936
——— ———	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 888	944
——— ———	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 317	924
Indian Peninsular, London, and China Bank v. Scott	5 Bom., O. C., 167	2626
Indian Registration Act, 1866. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., O. C., 151: 11 W. R., O. C., 24.	4757
——— ———	3 B. L. R., O. C., 60: 12 W. R., 386, note.	4800
——— ———	6 B. L. R., 576	4764
Indrabati Kunwari v. Mahadeo Chowdhry	1 B. L. R., S. N., 6	2773, 4316
Indrabutty Koonwaree v. Holleway	9 W. R., 168	3044
Indra Chand Aswal v. Kaleedoss Mitter	24 W. R., 439	5840
Indra Chand Dugar v. Gopal Chandra Shetia	3 B. L. R., A. C., 181: 11 W. R., 557.	1893
Indra Chandra Babu v. Agra and Masterman's Bank	1 B. L. R., S. N., 2	5581
Indra Chandra Dogar v. Tarachand Dogar	2 B. L. R., A. C., 61: 10 W. R., 353.	2630
Indrajit Koonwari v. Chokowri Sahu	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1	891
Indrasa v. Sadu	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 505, note	1468
Indrobee Thaba v. Queen	1 W. R., Cr., 5	3629
Indro Bhoosun Deb v. Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty	12 W. R., 350	1613, 1754
Indrobhoosun Deb Roy v. Kenny	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 9	3196
Indrobur v. Queen	1 W. R. Cr., 5	1531
Indro Chunder Baboo v. Dunlop	9 W. R., 530	6233
——— v. ———	10 W. R., 264	5581
Indro Coomar Doss v. Mohima Mohun Roy	15 W. R., 159	1928
Indro Kooer v. Abdool Burkut	14 W. R., 146	2507
Indro Lochun Ghose v. Grish Chunder Roy Chowdhry	10 W. R., 134	5783

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Columns of Digest.
Indromonee Burmonee *v.* Suroop Chunder Paul	12 B. L. R., 291, note: 15 W. R., 395.	1180
Indromoni Chowdhrani *v.* Behari Lal Mullick	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 770: 6 C. L. R., 183: L. R., 7 I. A., 24.	2112, 2114
Indro Narain Misser *v.* Kristo Chunder Mahto	14 W. R., 362	1920
Indubansi Kunwar *v.* Gribhirun Kunwar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 289	3417
Indur Chandra Dugar *v.* Lachmi Bibi	7 B. L. R., 682: 15 W. R., 501	1841, 2577
Indur Chunder Baboo *v.* Oozeer Ali Khan	7 W. R., 338	5729
Indur Chunder Doogar *v.* Gopal Chand Satia	11 W. R., 230	1880
——— *v.* Official Assignee	11 W. R., 100	239, 2630
Indur Chundra Doogur *v.* Ruttun Koomaree Bibee	7 W. R., 376	5314
Indurjeet *v.* Sewaram *alias* Muneeram	5 N. W., 16	1926
Indurjeet Kooer *v.* Chundeemun Misser	16 W. R., 99	2244
——— *v.* Jumna Doss	14 W. R., 164	45
——— *v.* Luchmee Kooer	14 W. R., 349	5286
——— *v.* Luchmun Singh	24 W. R., 56	439
Indurjeet Koonwar *v.* Brij Bilas Lall	3 W. R., 130	1925
——— *v.* Mazum Ali Khan	6 W. R., Mis., 76	1926, 3536
Indurjeet Singh *v.* Radhey Singh	21 W. R., 269	3817
Inglis *v.* Ram Singh	W. R., F. B., 159	4399
Inhabitants of Mahalingpore *v.* Anderson	7 B. L. R., 452, note	2784, 2852
Innes. In the goods of	8 B. L. R., Ap., 43: 16 W. R., 253.	1237
Intizam Ali Khan *v.* Narain Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 316	5476
Iradat Khan *v.* Dabee Dyal	1 Agra, 180	3432
Irfan Biswas *v.* Jinnut Bibee	25 W. R., Cr., 63	982
Irshad Ali *v.* Radhu Shah	13 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 21 W. R., 188.	5751
Irtaza Hossein *v.* Hurry Pershad Singh	7 W. R., 241	3142
Irvine *v.* Thacharakavil Mana Vikraven Tiramelpad of Nilambur.	7 Mad., 235	1386
Irvine *v.* Union Bank of Australia	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 280	943
Isac Mahomed *v.* Bai Fatma	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 487	1074
Isak Mahamad *v.* Bai Khatija	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 96	4799
Isan Chandra Singh *v.* Haran Sirdar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 135: 11 W. R., 525.	1727, 5709
Isan Chunder Banerjee *v.* Luchun Gope	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 699: 5 C. L. R., 539.	5120, 5707
Ishana Dabia *v.* Grija Kant Lahiry Chowdhry	3 C. L. R., 572	3216, 3490
Ishan Chandra *v.* Sujan Bibi	7 B. L. R., 14: 15 W. R., 331	4788
Ishan Chandra Das *v.* Rukimuddin Sowdagar	2 B. L. R., A. C., 326, note	4137
Ishan Chandra Ghose *v.* Harish Chandra Banerjee	9 B. L. R., 218, note: 12 W. R., 369.	777
Ishan Chandra Mookerjee *v.* Loke Nath Roy	6 B. L. R., Ap., 12: 14 W. R., 381.	168, 6089
Ishan Chandra Roy *v.* Ashanulla	8 B. L. R., 537, note: 16 W. R., 79.	550
Ishan Chunder Bandopadhya *v.* Indronarain Gossami	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 788: 12 C. L. R., 391.	824
Ishan Chunder Banerjee *v.* Juggut Chunder Doss	13 W. R., 44	3983
Ishan Chunder Behara *v.* Ramlochun Behara	9 W. R., 79	1765, 4454
Ishan Chunder Chattopadhya *v.* Shama Churn Dutt	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 41: 12 C. L. R., 414.	3033
Ishan Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Bhyrub Chunder Chowdhry	5 W. R., 21	1783
——— *v.* Chunder Kant Roy	13 C. L. R., 55	3
——— *v.* Jugodishuree	8 W. R., 267	3541
——— *v.* Sharoda Gooptcah	12 W. R., 487	6111

Name of Case	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ishan Chunder Ghose. In the matter of	15 W. R., 352	5521
——— v. Nobin Pal	13 B. L. R., 377, note	579
Ishan Chunder Mitter v. Buksh Ali Soudagur	Marsh., 614	2515, 5403
Ishan Chunder Mojoomdar v. Sreekant Nath	9 W. R., 110	4711
Ishan Chunder Roy. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 707 : 8 C. L. R., 52.	3463
——— v. Ashanoollah Khan	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 817	1934
——— v. Busaruddin	5 C. L. R., 132	3801
——— v. Tarruck Chunder Banerjee	18 W. R., 238	2792
Ishan Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Bhyrub Chunder Doss	21 W. R., 25	1608, 5081
Ishan Chunder Sein v. Kenaram Ghose	12 W. R., 381	4933
Ishaneswary Dasee v. Gopaldas Dutt	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 723	5457, 5462
Ishankishor Acharjee Chowdhry v. Haris Chandra Chowdhry.	13 B. L. R., Ap., 42 : 21 W. R., 381.	2126
Ishar Das v. Masud Khan	I. L. R., 6 All., 70	4891, 5852
Ishen Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhyrub Chunder Chowdhry	5 W. R., 21	2295
Ishen Chunder Kurmokar v. Hurrydoyal Kurmokar	3 C. L. R., 263	1305, 5157
Ishen Chunder Sen v. Onath Nath Deb	18 W. R., 16	781, 6236
Ishen Chunder Shaha. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 34	74
Ishen Chunder Singh v. Shama Churn	W. R., 1864, 3	4601
Ishera Yarn Mills Company v. Abdool Kurreem	Bourke, O. C., 276	4211
Ishore Ghose v. Hills	W. R., F. B., 148 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 25 : 1 Hay, 350.	1648, 1650 5184, 5788
Ishree Dyal v. Banyadee Tewaree	4 N. W., 7	2882
Ishree Pershad Rae v. Beharee Lal	2 N. W., 243	2987
Ishri v. Gopal Saran	I. L. R., 6 All., 351	5625
Ishri Dát v. Harnarain Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 334	4993
Ishri Prasad v. Sham Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 871	5518
Ishri Singh v. Baldeo Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 792 : L. R., 11 I. A., 135.	4225
Ishur Chunder Biswas v. Bissumbhur Biswas	W. R., 1864, 107	4174
Ishur Chunder Kurmokar v. Seetuldoss Mitter	17 W. R., Cr., 47	1326
Ishur Chunder Mundul v. Sham Chunder Doss	W. R., 1864, 312	1438
Ishur Chunder Surmah v. Doyamoye Debea	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 864 : 11 C. L. R., 135.	3086
Ishur Dutt Mundul v. Shib Pershad Thakoor	15 W. R., 290	5581
Ishuree Persad Narain Singh v. Lal Chutterput Singh	3 Moore's I. A., 100	5370
Ishur Kant Bhadooree. In the matter of	24 W. R., 233	3881
Ishvargar Budhgar v. Candasama Amarsang	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 548	268
Ishwar Chunder Dutt v. Ramkrishna Dass	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 902 : 6 C. L. R., 421.	1161
Ishwardas Gulabchand v. G. I. P. Railway Company	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 120	4708
Ishwardas Jagjivandas v. Dosibai	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 316	367, 1692 3459
Iskri Ishree v. Bakhshi	I. L. R., 6 All., 96	959
Ismail Beari v. Abdul Kader Beari	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 319	2269
Ismail Hadjee Hubbeeb v. Mahomed Hadjee Joosub	13 B. L. R., 91 : 21 W. R., 303.	213, 2822 4713
Ismail Haji Abdulla. In re	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 452	2282, 3087 3632, 4663
Ismail Khan v. Bhondoo	1 N. W., 26 : Ed. 1873, 24	1644
Ismail Kooer. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1007 : 9 W. R., 448.	1933
Ismail Saheb v. Arumuga Chetti	1 Mad., 427	3143, 4423
Ismail valad Ahmed Barucha v. Kassam valad Azam Dupli.	9 Bom., 46	796
Isreepershad Rae v. Toolseeram	3 Agra, 352	1188

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Isreepershad Singh *v.* Nasib Kooer	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1017	2469
Isree Singh *v.* Shurufoodeen	1 N. W., 142: Ed. 1873, 224	36, 1347
Isri Dut Koer *v.* Hansbutti Koerain	I. L R, 10 Calc., 324: 13 C. L. R., 418; L. R., 10 I. A., 150.	1416, 2191 2494
Isri Singh *v.* Ganga	I. L. R., 2 All., 876	1345
Issan Chunder Doss *v.* Chundro Bodinee	7 W. R., 361	828
Issen Meah *v.* Kalaram Chunder Naw	2 C. L. R., 476	4115
Isser Chunder Ghose *v.* Bhoobun Mohun Banerjee	Bourke, O. C., 313	1094
——— *v.* Peari Mohun Palit	16 W. R., Cr., 39	981, 1255
Isser Chunder Sein *v.* D'Cruz	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 233	2819
Issur Chunder Ahiree *v.* Syam Khan Chowdhry	11 W. R., 95	159
Issur Chunder Banerjee *v.* Suttyo Dyal Banerjee	12 W. R., 270	2950
Issur Chunder Doss *v.* Juggut Chunder Shaha	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 79	3287, 3361
Issur Chunder Gangooly *v.* Mohini Mohun Doss	17 W. R., 74	897
Issur Chunder Ghosal *v.* Mooktoram Panda	9 W. R., 606	1160
Issur Chunder Ghose *v.* Russeek Lal Mundul	11 W. R., 576	772
Issur Chunder Gupto *v.* Nobo Kristo Gupto	7 C. L R., 407	2073
Issur Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Munmohun Chowdhry	12 W. R., 308	1476, 5624
——— *v.* Puddo Lochun Goopto	5 W. R., Mis., 18	3791
Issur Chunder Mundle *v.* Rohim Sheikh	25 W. R., Cr., 65	1287, 3863
Issur Chunder Mundul *v.* Heirs of Golam Ali	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 249	5530
Issur Chunder Nath. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 883: 11 C. L. R., 235	4111
——— *v.* Kali Churn Nath	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 883: 11 C. L. R. 235.	4111
Issur Chunder Poddar *v.* Shoshee Dhur Sen	18 W. R., 289	5927
Issur Chunder Roy *v.* Juggessur Ghose	17 W. R., 184	1430
Issur Chunder Sein *v.* Aushotosh Chatterjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 283	5914
——— *v.* Bipeen Beharee Roy	16 W. R., 132	4267
——— *v.* Kalee Doss Hajrah	3 W. R., 95	40
——— *v.* Kenaram Ghose	14 W. R., 463	3922
——— *v.* Ranee Dossee	2 W. R., 125	2338
Issur Chunder Shaha *v.* Nisar Hossein	W. R., 1864, 351	3721
Issur Dutt Singh *v.* Alluck Misser	7 W. R., 429	3824
Issuree Dossee *v.* Lall Beharee Holdar	21 W. R., 421	4828
Issureenund Dutt Jha *v.* Parbutty Churn Jha	3 W. R., 13	3192, 3334
Issurepersaud *v.* Urjoonloll	2 Hyde, 248	3223, 3226
Issuridutt Singh *v.* Ibrahim	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 653	3370
Issurree Dassee *v.* Abdool Khalak	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 415: 3 C. L. R., 46.	3475
Isubji *v.* Khatiza	2 Bom., 189: 2nd Ed., 181	4147
Iswar Chandra Chuckerbutty *v.* Bistu Chandra Chuckerbutty.	3 B. L. R., Ap., 97: 12 W. R., 32.	5317, 6104
Iswar Chandra Das *v.* Jugal Kishor Chuckerbutty	4 B. L. R., Ap., 33: 17 W. R., 473, note. 21 W. R., 281, note.	156, 157 3557
——— *v.* Nittianand Das	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 490: 6 W. R., Act X, 70.	1605
Iswar Chandra Dutt *v.* Iswar Chandra Ghose	9 B. L. R., Ap., 19	1194
Iswar Chandra Karmakar *v.* Sitaldas Mitter	8 B. L. R., Ap., 62	1326
Iswar Chandra Koer *v.* Umesh Chunder Pal	8 B. L. R., 19	970
Iswar Chandra Mitter *v.* Shama Sundari Dasi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 150, note	3348
Iswar Chandra Sen *v.* Bepin Behari Roy	8 B. L. R., 188, note: 16 W. R., 132.	584
Iswar Pershad Gurgo *v.* Jai Narain Giri	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 169	5250
Itcha Moyee Dossee *v.* Bama Soonduree Dossee	25 W. R., 73	5672

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Itcharam Dayaram *v.* Raiji Jaga	11 Bom., 41	2214, 3941
Ittiachan *v.* Velappen	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 484	3759
Ittuni Pannikkar *v.* Irani Nambudripad	1. L. R., 3 Mad., 401	110
Iyahvien *v.* Chithambarien	5 Mad., 312	5703
Izzatulla *v.* Bhikari Molla	6 B. L. R., 386 : 14 W. R., 469.	3720
Izzuttoolnissa Beebee *v.* Husna Koour	1 N. W., 101 : Ed. 1873, 151	2006

J

Jaafar Mohindin Sahib *v.* Aji Mohindin Sahib	2 Mad., 19	3667
Jackammal *v.* Palneappa Chetty	5 Mad, 464	5129
Jada Ankamma *v.* Nadimpalle Rama	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 281	3221
Jadab Chundra Toi Poramanik *v.* Dinanath Das	4 B. L. R., A. C., 134 : 13 W. R., 154.	785
Jadav Rughnath *v.* Raiji Himmat	9 Bom., 249	4782
Jaddoo Nath Dutt *v.* Empress	2 C. L. R., 181	1962
Jado Lall *v.* Radhakissen Mitter	17 W. R., 99	3506
Jadoobur Singh *v.* Beharee Singh	2 N. W., 437	4924
Jadoomony Dabee *v.* Hafez Mahomed Ali Khan	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 295	1868, 3844
Jadoonath Chowdhry *v.* Radhamonee Dossee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 643 : 7 W. R., 256.	4959
Jadoo Nath Gossain *v.* Nobokissen Chatterjee	4 W. R., 66	819
Jadoonath Mookerji *v.* Tarachand Mookerji	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 102	1210
Jadoo Nath Roy *v.* Saleem Ahmed Khan	3 N. W., 188	4160
Jadoomundun Singh *v.* Faujdar Khan	5 N. W., Ap., 1	3048
Jadoo Rai *v.* Mahomed Tuquee	1 Agra, Rev., 24	2987
Jadoo Shat *v.* Kadumbinee Dassee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 150 : 8 C. L. R., 445.	1183
Jado Singh *v.* Ranee	5 N. W., 113	2152
Jadowji Gopal *v.* Jetha Shamji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 333	2580, 2644
Jadow Mulji *v.* Chhagan Raichand	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 306	2074
Jadu *v.* Hifazat Hossein	5 B. L. R., Ap., 15	308, 4428
Jadubanund Roy *v.* Bejoy Gobind Chowdhry	7 W. R., 511	442
Jadubar Mookerjee. In the matter of	5 C. L. R., 359	983
Jadub Chunder Chechky *v.* Heera Lall Saha	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 21 : Bourke, O. C., 384.	5811
Jadub Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Bhubo Soonduree Dabee	20 W. R., 331	2789
Jadub Chunder Ghose *v.* Benodbehary Ghose	1 Hyde, 214	2298
——— *v.* Motee Lall Ghose	1 Hyde, 214	2353
Jadub Chunder Haldar *v.* Etwaree Lushkur	Marsh., 498 : 2 Hay, 599	1638, 1656, 3808
——— *v.* Etburty Lushkur	3 W. R., Act X, 160	1655
——— *v.* Ishoree Lushkur	W. R., 1864, Act X, 74	1657
Jadub Chunder Sandyal *v.* Bhyrub Chunder Sandyal	19 W. R., 344	3367
Jadub Churn Thakoor *v.* Bholanath Singh Roy	5 W. R., Mis., 51	224
Jadubindu Odhikaree *v.* Lokenauth Geree	Marsh., 303 : 2 Hay, 160	696
Jadub Mundul *v.* Bishoo Sirdar	15 W. R., 369	4756
Jadub Nath *v.* Ram Sundur Surma	7 W. R., 174	4193
Jadub Ram Deb *v.* Ram Lochun Mudduck	5 W. R., 56	5357, 6275
——— *v.* Ramlochun Mudduck	19 W. R., 189	5128
Jadu Dass *v.* Sutherland	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 556 : 3 C. L. R., 223.	1184
Jadu Lal *v.* Ram Gholam	I. L. R., 1 All., 316	5040
Jadulal Mullick *v.* Gopal Chandra Mukerji	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 136	5281
Jadumani Dasi *v.* Fudu Bibi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 28	877

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jadu Nath Hazra *v.* Annoda Prosad Sircar. In the matter of.	11 C. L. R., 53	5516
Jadu Nath Kundu Chowdry *v.* Braja Nath Kundu	6 B. L. R., Ap., 90	5446
Jadu Nath Mitter *v.* Bolychand Dutt	7 B. L. R., 607	3749
Jadunath Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., 333	5119
Jadunath Sing *v.* Kaliprasad	6 B. L. R., Ap., 55 : 14 W. R., 358.	4956
Jadu Nath Sircar *v.* Sonamonee Dossee	Cor., 70	4154
Jadu Nundun Singh *v.* Dulput Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 581	3725
Jadu Rai *v.* Kanizak Husain	I. L. R., 8 All., 576	2765, 4746
Jadu Roy *v.* Farrell	6 B. L. R., Ap., 66	1866
Jadu Singh *v.* Rajkumar	4 B. L. R., A. C., 171 : 13 W. R., 177.	3721, 3724
Jafar Ali Nizam Ali *v.* Ahmad Ali Imam Haidarbaksh	5 Bom., A. C., 37	1818, 3637
Jafer Hossein *v.* Mahomed Amir	4 B. L. R., Ap., 103 : 13 W. R., 351.	883, 3146
Jaffree Begum *v.* Mahomed Zahoor Ahsun Khan	2 N. W., 409	3290, 3291
——— *v.* Ujbee Begum	3 Agra, 153	4013
Jaffree Khanum *v.* Imdad Hossein	2 N. W., 314	1785, 5100
Jafree Begum *v.* Ahmed Hossein Khan	1 Agra, 270	2715, 5770, 5773
——— *v.* Gungaram	3 Agra, 91	4015
——— *v.* Hossein Zaman Khan	2 N. W., 6	3043
Jafri Begam *v.* Amir Muhammad Khan	I. L. R., 7 All., 822	3642
Jafur Hossein *v.* Hingun Jan	8 W. R., 161	1920
Jagabandhu Das Gajendra Mahapatra *v.* Dinabandhu Das Gajendra Mahapatra.	2 B. L. R., Ap., 30	4454
Jagabandhu Myti *v.* Goberdhan Bera	4 B. L. R., Cr., 1	1534
Jagabhai Lallubhai *v.* Rustamji Nasarwanji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 311	1666, 4255
Jagadamba Chaodhrain *v.* Dakhina Mohun Roy Chaodhri.	1 L. R., 13 Calc., 308 : L. R. 13 I. A., 84.	3349
Jagadamba Dasi *v.* Grob	5 B. L. R., 639	2066, 5607, 5879
——— *v.* Haran Chandra Dutt	6 B. L. R., 526, note : 10 W. R., 108.	1180, 5779
——— *v.* Padmamani Dasi	6 B. L. R., 686	2822
Jagadindra Banwari Gobind *v.* Bhobotarini Dasi	5 B. L. R., Ap., 54	302.
Jaganadham *v.* Ragunadha	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 276	2729
Jagannath *v.* Baldeo	I. L. R., 5 All., 305	5415
Jagan Nath *v.* Laiman	I. L. R., 1 All., 260	877, 3143
Jagannath Das Gurubaksh Das *v.* Ram Das Gurubaksh Das.	7 Bom., O. C., 79	456
Jagannath Pal *v.* Bidyanand	1 B. L. R., A. C., 114 : 10 W. R., 172.	2340, 3416
Jagan Nath Panday *v.* Prag Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 545	2060, 2866
Jagannath Vithal *v.* Apaji Vishnu	5 Bom., A. C., 217	2193, 5819, 6100
Jagarnath Singh *v.* Shewratan Singh	15 B. L. R., 272 : 24 W. R., 105.	3176
Jagatnarain *v.* Jagrup	I. L. R., 5 All., 452	831.
——— *v.* Qutub Hussain	I. L. R., 2 All., 807	1142
——— *v.* Sheo Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 311	2309
Jagat Narain Rai *v.* Dhundhey Rai	I. L. R., 5 All., 566	5429
Jagat Sunderi Dasi *v.* Sonatan Byzak	5 B. L. R., 357	357
Jageshar Singh *v.* Jawahir Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 311	3240
Jagesri Kuar *v.* Ram Nath Bhagat	I. L. R., 1 All., 371	1418
Jageswar Das. In re	6 B. L. R., Ap., 128	686

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Juggabandhu Bose *v.* Sachyi Bibi	8 B. L. R., Ap., 39: 16 W. R., 22	3243
Jaggadanand Misser *v.* Hamid Rasul	8 B. L. R., 182, note	4163
Juggamoni Dasi *v.* Nilmoni Ghosal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 75: 11 C. L. R., 502	5231
Jagganath Baisak *v.* Proronno Coomar Chatterjee	9 C. L. R., 221	3004
Jaggat Indar Narain Roy Chowdhry *v.* Nistarinee Dassee	24 W. R., 446	2070
Jaghirdar of Arnee *v.* Periyanna Mudely	5 Mad., 317	5700
Jaghirdar of Arni *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 226	4572
Jaghirdar of Viruthalabathi *v.* Nateri Srinivasa Charlu	6 Mad., 356	3679
Jagjivan *v.* Ismail Alli Khan	I. L. R., 4. Bom., 426	2098
Jagjivan Amirchand *v.* Hasan Abraham	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 179	3159
Jagjivandas Javerdas *v.* Imdad Ali	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 211	2097
Jagjivandas Jawherdas *v.* Narayan Lakhman Patil	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 493	4789
Jagjivan Javherdas *v.* Gulam Jilani Chaudhri	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 17	3272
Jagjivan Javherdas Seth *v.* Magdum Ali	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 487	4425
Jagjivan Nanabhai. In re	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 82	1889
Jagjivan Nanabhai *v.* Shridhar Balkrishna Nagarkar	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 252	3909
Jagmohandas Mangaldas *v.* Mangaldas Nathubhoy	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 528	2308, 2461
Jago Bundhoo Tewaree *v.* Kurum Singh	22 W. R., 341	51
Jagomohan Sahu *v.* Mani Lal Chowdhry	3 B L. R., Ap., 77	2752
Jagram Das *v.* Narain Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 857	783
Jagrani Bibi *v.* Ganeshi	I. L. R., 3 All., 435	3234
Jagun Kooer *v.* Rughoonundun Lall Sahoo	10 W. R., 148	2357
Jagurdee *v.* Radhakishore	13 W. R., 259	4169
Jagut Chunder Roy *alias* Bashi Chunder Roy *v.* Rup Chand Chango.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 48: 11 C. L. R., 143.	3049
Jahangeer Buksh *v.* Bhickharee Lall	11 W. R., 71	3715, 6276
——— *v.* Lalla Bhikaree Lall	11 W. R., 480	3717
Jahanoby Deo Narain Singh *v.* Umbicapershad Narain Singh.	17 W. R., 74	1170
Jahu Bux *v.* Government	6 B. L. R., Ap., 66: 15 W. R., Cr., 14.	4740
Jai Bansi Kunwar *v.* Chatter Dhari Singh	5 B. L. R., 181	2245
Jaibun *v.* Nujeeboonissa	12 W. R., 497	3633
Jaibun-nissa *v.* Jairam Gir	I. L. R., 1 All., 616	439
Jaichand *v.* Behari	7 N. W., 177	3492
Jaidial *v.* Sitaram	L. R., 8 I. A., 215	4225
Jaijit Rai *v.* Gobind Tiwari	I. L. R., 6 All., 303	4018
Jaikaran Rai *v.* Ganga Dhari Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 175	3238
Jaikison Das Gopal Das *v.* Harkison Das Hullodhar Das.	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 9	1202, 2296 2489
Jai Kuar *v.* Heera Lal	7 N. W., 1	3709
——— *v.* ———	7 N. W., 5	3141, 3237
Jaimungal Koeri *v.* Mokhun Kori	10 C. L. R., 611	4652
Jainarayan Bose *v.* Kadumbini Dasi	7 B. L. R., 723, note	1681
Jainooddeen *v.* Purno Chunder Roy	8 W. R., 129	1604
Jai Prakash Lal. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 All., 26	4720, 4725
Jai Ram *v.* Dulari Chand	I. L. R., 5 All., 309	217
——— *v.* Mahabir Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 720	4545
Jairam Das *v.* Beni Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 15	4538
Jairam Geer *v.* Sheo Sumput Doobey	5 N. W., 84	4936
Jairam Gir *v.* Miller	7 B. L. R., 74: 15 W. R., O. C., 16.	2663, 2674
Jairam Narayan Raje *v.* Atmaram Narayan Raje	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 482	2827
Jairam Narronji *v.* Kuverbai	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 491	2549
Jaishankar *v.* Tetley	I. L. R., 1 All., 586	3458, 3467
Jaishee Ram *v.* Bijai Kooer	5 N. W., 177	5469

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jaita bin Kalu *v.* Babu bin Raghu	3 Bom., A. C., 81	12
Jaitha Bhima *v.* Abdul Vyad Oosman	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 634	1529
Jakaria *v.* Kasim	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 496	2678
Jaker Ali Chowdhry *v.* Rajchunder Sen	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 831, note	3697
Jalaluddeen Mahomed *v.* Shoborullah	15 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 22 W. R., 422.	1242
Jalam Punja *v.* Khoda Javra	8 Bom., A. C., 29	5236
Jaleeram *v.* Chunder Coomaree Dossee	12 B. L. R., Ap., 7	4296
Jalha *v.* Koylash Chunder Dey	10 W. R., 407	2928
Jalil Munshi *v.* Farnam Hossein	6 W. R., Cr., 55	957
Jallaloodden *v.* Burne	15 B. L. R., 261, note: 18 W. R., 99.	4924
Jallidar Singh *v.* Ram Lal	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 723	2397
Jalu Namdar *v.* Beicha Namdar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 394	4763, 4825
Jamaitunnissa *v.* Lutfunnissa	I. L. R., 7 All., 606	235, 5023
Jamalooddeen Hossein *v.* Ramadhin Misser	24 W. R., 331	3804
——— *v.* Ramadheen Misser	25 W. R., 136	3805
Jamal Saheb *v.* Murgaya Swami	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 34	2256, 3423
Jamal walad Ahmed *v.* Jamal walad Jallal	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 633	3638, 3698
Jameela *v.* Luchmun Panday	4 C. L. R., 74	5961
——— *v.* Mahomed Hossein	Marsh., 251: 2 Hay, 111	4288
Jameelah Khatoon *v.* Pagul Ram	1 W. R., 251	3711
James Sirdar. In the matter of the petition of	1 C. L. R., 62	4624
Jamilan *v.* Latif Hossein	8 B. L. R., 160: 16 W. R., F. B., 13.	3726
Jamir Gazi *v.* Goneye Mundul	13 B. L. R., 278, note: 12 W. R., 110.	5193, 5198
Jamiyatram *v.* Bai Jamna	2 Bom., 10: 2nd Ed., 11	2303
Jamiyatram Himatram *v.* Gujarat Trading Company	6 Bom., A. C., 185	165
Jamiyatram Ramchandra *v.* Parbhudas Hathi	9 Bom., 116	2236
Jamna *v.* Machul Sahu	I. L. R., 2 All., 315	2427
Jamnabai *v.* Raychand Nahalchand	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 225	1460, 2118, 2129, 2141
Jamnadar Savaklal's case. In re	3 Bom., O. C., 113	934
Jamnadas *v.* Bai Shivkor	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 572	5698
——— *v.* Lalitaram	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 294	3499, 4388
Jamnadas Shankarlal *v.* Atmaram Harjivan	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 133	50, 2616
Jamoona. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 620: 8 C. L. R., 215.	1954
Jamoti *v.* Gadalo Kamar	1 C. L. R., 89	3623
Jamsedji Kavasji *v.* Motibai	2 Bom., 397, 2nd Ed., 375	666
Jamsetji Jijibhai *v.* Sonabai	2 Bom., 130: 2nd Ed., 133	6049
Jamuna Bhai Ammal *v.* Sadagopa Edintara Maha Desika Swamiar	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 56	2104, 5447
Janab Ali *v.* Chandi Charan Dey	5 B. L. R., 334, note: 11 W. R., 202.	5139
Janadhana Embrandri *v.* Palakil Kesava Embrandri	3 Mad., 198	107
Janaki *v.* Kesavalu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 207	3459
Janaki Ammal *v.* Kamalathammal	7 Mad., 263	1702
Janakiammal *v.* Vithenadien	5 Mad., 191	5665
Janaki Ballav Son *v.* Hafiz Mahomed Ali Khan	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 47	670
Jan Ali *v.* Atawur Ruhmun	9 C. L. R., 433	5231
——— *v.* Gooroo Doss Roy	8 W. R., 338	2976
——— *v.* Jan Ali Chowdhry	1 B. L. R., A. C., 56: 10 W. R., 154.	5336
——— *v.* Khondkar Abdur Kuhma	6 B. L. R., 154: 14 W. R., 420.	338, 1423

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jan Ali v. Ram Nath Mundul	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 32 : 9 C. L. R., 433.	112, 5231
Jan Ali Chowdhry v. Ishan Chunder Sein	16 W. R., 149	557
——— v. Nittya Nund Bose	B. L. R. Sup. Vol., 972 : 10 W. R., F. B., 12.	563
——— v. Tarini Churn Rukheet	21 W. R., 107	557
Janan Chowdhry v. Doolar Chowdhry	18 W. R., 347	147
Janardan Vithal v. Anant Mahadev	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 373	3454, 4307
Janardhan Pandurang v. Gopal Pandurang	5 Bom., A. C., 145	2338
Janee Khanum v. Amatool Fatima Khatoon	8 W. R., 51	3332, 3382, 3659, 5041
Janeswar Das v. Gulzari Lal	5 B. L. R., 666, note : 11 W. R., 216.	5076
Janger Mahomed v. Mahomed Arjad	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 509 : 5 C. L. R., 370.	3724
Jarkee v. Jhanjoo	2 N. W., 407	6094
——— v. Sreenath Roy Chowdhry	5 W. R., Mis., 19	842
Jankee Bullub Sen. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 716	5944
Jankee Dass v. Mahomed	I N. W., Pt. II, p. 16; Ed. 1873, 76	1182
Jankee Kooer v. Lekranee Kooer	W. R., 1864, 285	3238, 5213
Jankee Pershad v. Ajoodhya Doss	25 W. R., 257	3933
Jankeeram Doss v. Chundrabutty Debia	7 W. R., 295	759
Jankeeram Mohunt v. Haradhun Banerjee	W. R., 1864, 38	3278
Janki v. Dharam Chand	I L. R., 4 All., 177	83
——— v. Girjadat	I. L. R., 7 All., 482	4553
Jankibai v. Atmaram Baburav	8 Bom., A. C., 241	1206, 1692, 4528
Janki Das v. Badri Nath	I. L. R., 2 All., 698	4052, 5396
——— v. East Indian Railway Company	I. L. R., 6 All., 634	407
Jankiprasad v. Baldeo Narain	I. L. R., 3 All., 216	1482
——— v. Ghulam Ali	I. L. R., 5 All., 201	3217
——— v. Mantangui Debia	I. L. R., 7 All., 577	3938, 4837
Janki Singh v. Ablak Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 393	812
Janki Tewari v. Gayan Tewari	I. L. R., 3 All., 427	179
Jan Koer. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 402	197
Jan Koonwar v. Ram Ruttun Neoghy	18 W. R., 500	50
Jan Mahomed v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 584	2007
Janmajay Mazumdar v. Keshab Lall Ghose	2 B. L. R., A. C., 134	2476
Jan Muhammad v. Ilahi Bakhsh	I. L. R., 1 All., 290	487
Jannoba v. Girish Chandra Chuckerbutty	7 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 15 W. R., 335.	1628
Jannobee Chowdhrain v. Collector of Mymensing	8 W. R., 287	46, 1757
——— v. Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry	7 W. R., 455	5212
——— v. Gendoo Turrufdar	12 W. R., 203	4477
Jannokee Doss v. King on the prosecution of Bindabun Doss.	1 Moore's I. A., 67	5973
Janoji v. Janoji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 185	1519, 4028
Janoji Banaji v. Vyankatesh Shrinivas	2 Bom., 393 : 2nd Ed., 371	503
Janokee Beebee v. Habeebul Hossein	W. R., 1864, 272	769
Janokee Bibee v. Luchmun Pershad	17 W. R., 137	4302
Janokee Bullub Chuckerbutty v. Nobin Chunder Roy Chowdhry.	2 W. R., Act X, 33	1587
Janokee Bullub Sein v. Dukhina Mohun Chowdhry	7 W. R., 519	5944
Janokee Chowdhranee v. Dwarkanauth Chowdhry	1 Hay, 555	67, 4033, 4434
Janokee Debea v. Gopal Acharjea	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 365	2128, 2247

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Janokee Dossee v. Kisto Komul Singh	Marsh., 1 : 1 Hay, 20	2357
Janokee Nath Chowdhry v. Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry.	25 W. R., 65	4229
Janokee Nath Dutt v. Mahomed Israil	22 W. R., 285	3060
Janokeenath Mookerjee v. Joykishen Mookerjee	15 W. R., 4	1454
——— v. Radhamohun Chatterjee	20 W. R., 130	5338
——— v. Raj Kisto Singh	15 W. R., 292	3822
Janokey Doss v. Brindabun Doss	3 Moore's I. A., 175	1475, 4262, 5973
Janokey Nath Roy. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 466	254
Janoki Debi v. Gopal Acharjia Goswami	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 766: 13 C. L. R., 30	2247
Janokinath Gupta. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 628: 8 C. L. R., 56.	4417
Janokinath Mookerjee v. Ram Runjun Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 949	4045
Janokinath Mukhopadhya v. Mothuranath Mukhopadhya.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 580: 12 C. L. R., 215.	2459, 2513
Janoki Singh Roy v. Kaloo Mundul	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 889: 9 W. R., 178.	430
Janoky Bullubh Sen v. Johiruddin Mahomed Abu Ali Soher Chowdhry.	I L. R., 10 Calc., 67	5427
Janoo Mundur v. Brijo Singh	22 W. R., 548	1584
——— v. Hucha Mundur	12 W. R., 366	4763, 4825
Janssen v. Dundas	1 Hyde, 269	909
——— v. Reuss	Cor., 13	2670
Jantoo v. Radha Canto Doss	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 515	1248
Jaraoo v. Fakeera	3 Agra, 133	3367
Jardine v. Tarini Mohun Sen	8 B. L. R., Ap., 44	5206
Jardine, Skinner, & Co., v. Dhun Kishen Sein	13 W. R., 82	5144
——— v. Dwarkanath Chuckerbutty	14 W. R., 412	5124
——— v. Money	14 W. R., 312	1201, 5719
——— v. Nathoram	Bourke, A. O. C., 43	4588
——— v. Sarut Soondari Debi	L. R., 5 I. A., 164: 3 C. L. R. 140.	5186
——— v. Shama Soonduree Debia	10 W. R., 60	2707
——— v. ———	13 W. R., 196	2752
——— v. Shurnomoyee	24 W. R., 215	1433, 4407
Jarfan Khan v. Jabbar Meah	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 383	3722
Jariutool Butool v. Hosseinee Begum	10 Moore's I. A., 196	280
——— v. Hosseinee Begum	10 W. R., P. C., 10: 11 Moore's I. A., 194.	3703, 4649
Jasimuddin v. Mansur Ali	6 B. L. R., Ap., 149: 15 W. R., 11.	5301
"Jason." In the matter of the steam-ship	1 Mad., 270	5644
Jassim Binsaff v. Essu Ahmed	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 13	6126
Jassoda Koer v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 916: 11 C. L. R., 348.	1193, 1882
Jaswant Singh v. Dip Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 432	816
Jateeram Surmah v. Mungloo Surmah	8 W. R., 60	2331
Jatha Naik v. Venktapa	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 14	5406
Jatinga Valley Tea Company v. Chera Tea Company	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 45	236, 3556
Jatiram Talookdar v. Dassram Kolita	3 C. L. R., 574	1114, 5020
Jaun v. Mahomed Hadee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40	2824
Jaun Ali v. Jan Ali	9 W. R., 149	1604, 1647
Jaun Beebee v. Beparee	3 W. R., 93	3646
Jaundha Kuar. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 All., 159	3100
Javali Ramasami v. Sathambakam Theruven Gadasami	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 340	1131

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Javani Bhai v. Jiva Bhai	2 Mad., 462	2125, 2544
Java Ramji v. Jadavji Natha	1 Bom., 224	424, 2027
——— v. Jadavji Nathu	2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 142	2027
Javermal Hirachand v. Umaji Hayabati	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 179	798
Javherbai v. Haribhai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 575	5409, 5472
Jawahar Singh v. Mul Raj	I. L. R., 8 All., 449	176, 369
Jawahir Lal v. Naraindas	I. L. R., 1 All., 644	3456
Jawahir Singh v. Guyan Singh	3 Agra, 78	2156
——— v. Jadu Nath	I. L. R., 7 All., 439	1942
Jawahra v. Akbar Husain	I. L. R., 7 All., 178	4272, 5226
Jayanti Lakshmaya v. Yerubandi Pedda Appadu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 111	5354
Jaykali Debi v. Shibnath Chatterjee	2 B. L. R., O. C., 1	4672
Jay Mangal Singh. In the petition of	3 B. L. R., A. C., 82: 11 W. R., 433.	379
——— v. Lal Rung Pal Sing	4 B. L. R., Ap., 53	3229
——— v. Mohan Ram Marwari	8 B. L. R., 130, note; and 319, note: 12 W. R., 397.	170, 376
Jaynarayan Singh v. Matilal Jha	1 B. L. R., A. C., 21	3018
Jayram Gir v. Shiuraj Koer	2 B. L. R., P. C., 98: 11 W. R., P. C., 41.	1401
Jeaunissa Ladli Begam Saheb v. Manikji Kharsetji	7 Bom., O C., 36	3305
Jechand Khusal v. Aba	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 132	423
Jeeatoollah Paramanick v. Jugodindro Narain Roy	22 W. R., 12	692
Jeeawan v. Goolab Khan	1 N. W., 97: Ed. 1873, 147	772
Jeeb Nath Singh v. Kureemun Bibee	7 W. R., 172	509
Jeebraj Singh v. Inderjeet Mahtoon	12 B. L. R., 115, note: 18 W. R., 100.	6075
Jeebunissa v. Umul Chunder Chacklanuvis	18 W. R., 151	477, 4154
Jeebun Kissen Roy v. Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 303	236, 4895
Jeebunkisto Roy v. Shib Chunder Dass	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1027	1310
Jeeban Kristo Gossamee v. Romanath Gossamee	23 W. R., 297	2472
Jeebun Mundul v. Nadyar Chand Roy	25 W. R., 461	54
Jeebun Singh Burmono v. Collector of Backergunge	2 W. R., 77	1719
Jeetnath Sahee Deo v. Lokenath Sahee Deo	19 W. R., 239	2224
Jeetoo v. Beetun	Marsh., 47: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 85: 1 Hay, 112.	6109
Jeetoshur Dhurn Deb v. Foosee Singh	1 Hay, 515	4981
Jeetram Dutt v. Doorgadass Chatterjee	22 W. R., 430	1140
Jeetun Lall v. Sheo Churn	2 W. R., 214	588 2211
Jeewanram v. Roonta	1 Agra, 240	1418
Jeewa Ram v. Isree	6 N. W., 153	908
Jeewun Punda v. Sona	1 W. R., Ed. 1873, 66	2529
Jehan Bebee v. Saivuk Ram	1 Agra, F. B., 109: Ed. 1874, 82.	4880
Jehan Buksh. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 42	5567
———. In the matter of the petition of	15 W. R., Cr., 87	4739
Jehandar Khan v. Munnoo	1 Agra, 248	3112
Jehangir Baksh. In the matter of the petition of	7 B. L. R., 24: 11 W. R., 480	3715
———. ———	7 B. L. R., 24, note	3717
——— v. Lala Bhikari Lall	6 B. L. R., 42, note	3715
Jehangir Gustadji v. Joosup Haji Ahmed	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 494	950
Jehangir Rastamji Modi v. Shamji Ladha	4 Bom., O. C., 185	935
Jehan Kadr v. Afsar Bahu Begum	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 1: L. R., 12 I. A., 124.	1028
——— v. Assur Bahu	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 727	1027
Jehan Khan v. Mandy	1 B. L. R., S. N., 16: 10 W. R., 185.	3736

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jekisan Bapuji v. Bhowsar Bhoga Jetha	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 89	3206
Jeldhari Singh v. Shunkur Doyal	23 W. R., Cr., 9	981, 6254
Jellicoe v. British India Steam Navigation Co.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 489	594
Jellor Ruhman v. Seetaram Dutt	21 W. R., 224	2933
Jenkins v. Heycock	5 Moore's I. A., 361	2685
Jeo Lal Singh v. Gunga Pershad	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 996	5309, 5385
——— v. Surfun	11 C. L. R., 483	4966
Jeonath Bhuggut v. Roopa Koonwur	2 W. R., 273, note	1413, 3397
Jeonee v. Dhurum Kooer	3 N. W., 108	2447
Jeoni v. Bhagwan Sahai	I. L. R., 1 All., 541	3248
Jeora Khan Singh v. Thakooree Singh	2 N. W., 303	1900
Jeorakhun Loll v. Muttra Pershad	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 252	360
Jeorakhun Singh v. Hookum Singh	3 Agra, 358	3991
Jerabutoonissa Khanum v. Ram Chunder Doss	6 W. R., Act X, 36	3010
Jeshta Ramji Shett v. Awaker Mullandeagata Kuuhi	5 Mad., 299	5784
Jesinghbai v. Hataji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 79	1140, 1683, 2972
Jesmanee Kooer v. Debee Dyal Rae	3 N. W., 137	1422
Jesmut v. Shoojaut Ali	6 W. R., Cr., 59	3739
Jeswunt Singjee Ubby Singjee v. Jet Singjee Ubby Singjee.	2 Moore's I. A., 424	6240
——— v. ———	3 Moore's I. A., 245: 6 W. R., P. C., 46.	3634, 3681
Jethabhai Bhaichand v. Bai Lakhu	6 Bom., A. C., 27	5665
Jetha Madhavji v. Najeralli Abhramji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 472	5421
Jetha Mulchand v. Gulraj Jasrup	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 577	4342
Jetoo Mahato v. Monuram Mahato	17 W. R., 84	1103
Jetti v. Hossain	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 23, note	3244
Jewajee v. Trimbukjee	6 W. R., P. C., 38: 3 Moore's I. A., 138.	3126
Jewala Buksh v. Dharum Singh	10 Moore's I. A., 511	66
Jewan Lall Mahatab v. Doorga Dutt Singh	20 W. R., 477	2708
Jewa Ram v. Futteh Singh	Agra, F. B., 125: Ed. 1874, 94	3028
Jewun Bibee v. Buddun Mundul	9 W. R., 489.	5770
Jewun Doss Sahoo v. Kubeerooddeen	6 W. R., P. C., 3: 2 Moore's I. A., 390.	3670
Jeyangarulavaru v. Durma Dossji	4 Mad., 2	108
Jeyasankira Devi v. Nagaunada Devi	1 Mad., 106: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 136.	352
Jeychund v. Kadhoree	2 N. W., 244; Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 222	5636
Jey Mull v. Kesree	Agra, F. B., 171: Ed. 1874, 128.	4548
Jey Narain v. Torabun	3 Agra, 216	1675, 3816
Jey Singh v. Moorlee	2 N. W., 98; Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 194.	541
Jhabai Mahomed v. Modan Sonabar	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 671	851
Jhabbu Ram v. Girdhari Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 289	3905
Jhabbu Singh. In the matter of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 642	3177
Jhaloo v. Furzund Ali	5 W. R., 20	4142
Jhanjoo Kooer v. Dameenah Kooer	17 W. R., 343	683
Jharee Sahoo v. Bundhoo Sahoo	15 W., R., 218	1768
Jharoo v. Raj Chunder Dass	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 299	3550
Jharu v. Bahar Ally	7 W. R., Cr., 11	958
Jhatu Sahu v. Ram Charan Lal	3 B. L. R., 413, note; 14 W. R., 25.	448
Jhinguri v. Bachu	I. L. R., 7 All., 134	1310

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jhinguri Tewari v. Durga	I. L. R., 7 All., 678	1699, 2862 5202
Jhona Singh v. Neaz Begum	2 Agra, Pt. II, 183	2861, 3028
Jhoo Bhugguth v. Tara Hoom Hossein	W. R., 1864 132,	1139
Jhoomuck Chowdhry v. Anderson	6 W. R., Act X, 23	2990
——— v. Radha Pershad Singh	25 W. R., 328	5471
Jhoomuck Singh v. Jeetun Lall	12 W. R., 359	780
Jhoonkee Paurey v. Ajoodhya Doss	19 W. R., 218	3819
Jhotee Sahoo v. Omesh Chunder Sircar	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 1	3153
Jhotee Singh v. Gopal Singh	23 W. R., 457	5785
——— v. Komul Roy	10 W. R., 119*	3722
Jhoti Sahu v. Bhugun Gir	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 143	1496, 3529
Jhotun Bibee v. Ameer Chund	1 Ind. Jur., N. S, 317: 5 W. R., 105.	2847, 509 5100
Jhowla v. Buhur	14 W. R., Cr., 7	6191
Jhubboo Lall Sahoo v. Khoob Lall	22 W. R, 294	2453
Jhubboo Mahton. In the matter of the petition of	I. L R., 8 Calc., 730: 12 C. L. R., 233.	723, 1267 1804, 6068 6266
Jhubboo Mahton v. Empress	12 C. L. R., 233	6068, 6266
Jhubhoo Sahoo v. Jusoda Koer	17 W. R., 230	2435, 2571 3557, 5130 5131
Jhugro v. Lautoo Pandey	1 Agra, Rev., 32	5180
Jhummun Pandey v. Dinonath Pandey	16 W. R., 171	5262
Jhummun Shah v. Debee Dass	1 N. W., 8: Ed. 1873, 7	1602
Jhumuk Noniah v. Shadashib Roy	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 26	1328
Jhundoo v. Himmut	3 N. W. 81	997, 1867
Jhunna v. Ramsarup	I. L. R., 2 All., 777	2411
Jhunna Kuar v. Chain Sukh	I. L. R., 3 All., 400	4302
Jhutoo Koer v. Lulita Koer	22 W. R., 423	4214
Jiat Sahu. In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 339	1534
——— v. Bheekon Roy	18 W. R., Cr., 39	1534
Jibhai Mahirpati v. Parbhu Bapu	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 59	3500
Jibnath Singh v. Court of Wards	5 B. L. R., 442: 14 W. R., 117.	2218, 2293 2294
——— v. ———	15 B. L. R., 190: 23 W. R., 409: L. R., 2 I. A., 163.	2218, 2293 2294
Jibunti Nath Khan v. Shibnath Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 819: 10 C. L. R., 537.	4876
Jijoyiamba Bayi Saiba v. Kamakshi Bayi Saiba	3 Mad., 424	2316, 2464
Jina Ranchod v. Jodha Ghella	1 Bom., 1	2855
Jitan Khan v. Durga Singh	20 W. R., Cr., 59	980
Jitbahan v. Bausrup Dhobi	6 C. L. R., 193	4497
Jivandas Keshavji v. Framji Nanabhai	7 Bom., O. C., 45	1578, 2213 3104, 4803
Jivanji Noorbhoy v. Coorji Lilladhar	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 305	2684
Jivaraj Megji v. Poulton	2 Bom., 267: 2nd Ed., 253	1066
Jivi v. Ramji	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 207	2415, 3119
Jiwan Ali Beg v. Basa Mal	I. L. R., 8 All., 303	5534
Jiwan Bakhsh v. Imtiaz Begam	I. L. R., 2 All., 93	3678
Jiwan Singh v. Sarnam Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 97	3181
Joakim. In re	6 W. R., Mis., 120	3880
——— v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 3 All., 530	2649
Joan Mull v. Munnoololl	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 219	2818
Jodha Singh v. Omaid Singh	2 Agra, Rev., 5	545
Jodhraj v. Cantonment Magistrate of Morar	1 N. W., 174: Ed. 1873, 253	3845

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jodoo Monee Dossee. In the matter of the petition of	11 W. R., 494	3862, 5942
Jodoonath Chowdhry v. Radhomonee Dossee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 643 : 7 W. R., 256.	3255, 3402
Jodoonath Dey Sircar v. Brojonath Dey Sircar	12 B. L. R., 385	2469
Jodoo Nath Ghose v. Sookhmoye Dossee	1 W. R., 201	537
Jodoonath Mookerjee v. Punchanun Mookerjee	14 W. R., 438	5119
Jodoonath Mundul v. Brojo Mohun Ghose	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 174	222
Jodoo Nath Roy v. Ram Buksh Chulungoe	8 W. R., 202	796
Jodoo Nundun Pershad Singh v. Nundo Kooer	1 W. R., 219	1413
Jogal Kishor v. Tale Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 320	1227, 6082
Jogal Kishore v. Mulchand	7 N. W., 203	3231
Jogeen Pullee v. Nobo Pullee	6 W. R., Cr., 49	5553
Jogekar v. Balajerav bin Anandrav	1 Bom., 184	178
Joge Narain Singh v. Bhugbans	2 W. R., Mis., 13	805, 5451
Jogender Narain Deb Roykut v. Temple	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 234	4943
Jogendro Bhuputi v. Nittyanund Man Sing	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 702	2321
Jogendro Chunder Ghose v. Nobin Chunder Chottopadhya	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 353	1189
——— v. Shona Kalee	24 W. R., 313	5310
Jogendro Deb Roykut v. Funindro Deb Roykut	18 W. R., 102	5534
——— v. ———	11 B. L. R., 244 : 17 W. R., 104 : 14 Moore's I. A., 367.	2781
Jogendro Narain Coomar v. Surnomoyee	12 B. L. R., 203, note : 14 W. R., 39.	811
Jogendro Narain Roy v. Hurrydoss Roy	W. R., 1864, 145	5104
Jogendro Nath Mullick v. Bijoy Keshub Roy	19 W. R., 161	1909
——— v. Raj Narain Palooye	9 W. R., 489	4021
Jogendro Nath Sircar v. Gobind Chunder Addi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 252	5430
Jogendronundini Dossee v. Hurrydoss Ghose	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 500 : 5 C. L. R., 65.	5098
Jogendur Deb Roy Kut v. Kishen Bundhoo Roy	7 W. R., 272	3074
Jogesh Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Umatara Debya	2 C. L. R., 577	3745
Jogesh Chunder Dutt. In the matter of	16 W. R., 285	5926
——— v. Kali Churn Dutt	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 30 : 1 C. L. R., 5.	3977
Jogesh Prokash Gangolee. In re	11 W. R., Cr., 43	4503
Jogesh Prokash Gangooly v. Kalee Coomar Roy	8 W. R., 274	3514, 3516
Jogeshur Rae v. Doolun Rae	2 N. W., 183	4899
Jogessur Banerjee v. Kulyanee Churn Deo	24 W. R., 41	351
Jogessur Sahai v. Muracho Kooer	1 C. L. R., 354	268
Jogessur Sahoy v. Gopal Lall	13 W. R., 173	434
Jogessur Singh v. Byeunt Nath Dutt	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 822 : 6 C. L. R., 519.	1844
Jogeswar Chakrabatti v. Panch Kauri Chakrabatti	5 B. L. R., 395 : 14 W. R., 154.	2847
Jogeswar Dutt v. Nitai Chand Chuckerbutty	4 B. L. R., Ap., 48	3887
Jogeswar Sirkar v. Nimai Karmakar	1 B. L. R., S. N., 7	2038
Jogi Singh v. Kunj Behari Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 509	3856
Jogmaya Chowdhrain v. Huree Mohun Roy	24 W. R., 99	4481
Jogul Kishore v. Chutter Singh	1 Agra, 27	5082
——— v. Rampertab Singh	4 N. W., 129	2883
——— v. Shib Sahai	I. L. R., 5 All., 430	413, 2458
Johan Buksh v. Meher Bibee *alias* Mohur	7 W. R., 183	224
Joharmal v. Municipality of Ahmedunagar	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 580	618, 3276
Joheerooddeen Mahomed v. Dabee Pershad Singh	13 W. R., 22	525, 5750, 6002
——— v. ———	13 W. R., 391	1391
Johiroonissa Khatoon v. Ameeroonissa Khatoon	6 W. R., Mis., 59	3536

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
John *v.* Carter	4 B. L. R., O. C., 90	393, 1049
Johnson *v.* Crowe	6 N. W., 350	1110
——— *v.* Secretary of State	Cor., 71 : 2 Hyde, 153	1084, 4586
Johoory Lall *v.* Bullub Lall	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 102 : 4 C. L. R., 349.	2692
Johur Ali *v.* Brindabun Chunder	14 W. R., 10	481
Johuri Mahton *v.* Thakoor Nath Lukee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 830 : 6 C. L. R., 355.	3299
Johur Mull Khoorba *v.* Tarankisto Dey	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 252	5275, 5413
Johurra Bibee *v.* Sreegopal Missr	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 470	2376
Jointee Chunder Seiu *v.* Anund Mull Doss	14 W. R., O. C., 1	1213
Joitaram Bechar *v.* Bai Ganga	8 Bom., A. C., 228	3189
Joja Pashan. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 131	1535
Jokee Lal *v.* Nursing Narain Singh	4 W. R., Act X, 5	1875, 5273
Jokhan Rai *v.* Bucho Rai	Agra, 353 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 156.	177
Jokhee Lall *v.* Huns Kooer	14 W. R., 167	484
Jokhuram *v.* Ram Din	I. L. R., 8 All., 419	789
Jokna *v.* Bhugwanee	3 N. W., 320	671, 683
Jomeut Mundul *v.* Shoorender Nath Roy	25 W. R., 391	1653
Jonab Ali Mollah *v.* Golam Assad Chowdhry	21 W. R., 187	4404
Jonardhun Acharjee *v.* Haradhun Acharjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1020 : 9 W. R., 513.	3044
Joneep *v.* Noboo	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 691 : 7 W. R., 386.	5675
Jones *v.* Ledgard	I. L. R., 8 All., 340	386
Jonmenjoy Coondoo *v.* Watson	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 901	4514
Jonmenjoy Mullick *v.* Dassmoney Dassee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 700	5134
——— *v.* Dossmoney Dossee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 714 : 9 C. L. R., 353.	3925
Jonna Venkata Sawmy *alias* Venkatasetti *v.* Basireddy Kondareddy.	5 Mad., 364	3378
Joobraj Chowkeedar *v.* Whelan	13 W. R., 399	6014
Joobraj Singh *v.* Buhooria Alumbasee Koer	7 C. L. R., 424	3461
——— *v.* Gour Buksh Lall	7 W. R., 110	220, 5409
——— *v.* Tookun Singh	14 W. R., 476	4536
Joogmaya Debia *v.* Ram Chunder Chatterjee	10 W. R., 378	299, 4905
Joogulkishore *v.* Jotendro Mohun Tagore	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 210	273
Joogul Kishore Roy *v.* Ahsanoollah	4 W. R., Act X, 6	1719
——— *v.* Rughoo Nath Seal	20 W. R., 4	5736
Joogulkishore Shaha *v.* Rajkishen Surmah	3 W. R., 129	5985
Joogulkishore Singh *v.* Kalee Churn Singh	25 W. R., 224	4947
——— *v.* Oogur Narain Singh	8 W. R., 483	5120, 5132
Joogul Lal *v.* Jasoda Bibee	3 N. W., 311	2854, 5222
Joomai *v.* Wahid Ali	W. R., 1864, Mis., 33	2234
Joomna Pershad Sookool *v.* Joyram Lal Mahto	2 C. L. R., 26	2018, 3901
Joosoop *v.* Vardon	1 Hyde, 198	2684
Jorawur Singh *v.* Khyrat Ali	10 W. R., 360	6113
Joseph *v.* Solano	9 B. L. R., 441 : 18 W. R., 424.	4071, 4414 4682
——— *v.* Sreerker	Bourke, O. C., 359	363
Joshi *v.* Joshi	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 650	6146
Joshi Kalidas Sevakram *v.* Dakor Town Municipality	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 399	616, 617 618
Josoda Koonwar *v.* Gourie Byjonath Sohae Singh	6 W. R., 139	675, 2444
Joteeram Doss *v.* Huruf	6 W. R., Mis., 115	3204
Jotee Roy *v.* Bheechuk Meah	20 W. R., 288	1170

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jotender Mohun Tagore *v.* Kishen Monee Dabee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 11	5360
Jotendro Mohun Tagore *v.* Aymun Beebee	1 C. L. R., 366	6283
——— *v.* Brijosoondoory	W. R., 1864, 50	1762
——— *v.* Brojosoondurce Dabee	1 W. R., 362	1395
——— *v.* Debendro Monee	2 C L. R., 419	5333
——— *v.* Jogul Kishore	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 357 : 9 C. L R., 57.	2521
Jotharam Davay. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 30	5566, 6045
Joti Bhimrao *v.* Balu bin Bapuji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 208	4864
Joti bin Nimbaji *v.* Somaji bin Babaji Gurav	1 Bom., 166	4908
Jotindra Mohan Tagore *v.* Ganendra Mohan Tagore	9 B. L. R., 377 : 18 W. R., 359 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 47.	2534, 2559
Jotiram Maniram *v.* Devba Ishwarapa	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 189	5297
Jowad Ali. In the matter of the petition of	8 W. R., 4	274
——— *v.* Hossein Bibee	8 W. R., 207	311
Jowad Hossein *v.* Mohabee Sahee	23 W. R., 412	570
Jowadunnissa Satudai Khandan *v.* Jhaman Lall Misser	23 W. R., 158	2742
Jowahir Lall *v.* Pookurum Singh	6 W. R., 252	1680, 4601
Jowahir Rahoot *v.* Kailassoo	1 W R., 74	2300
Jowahir Shah *v.* Gridharee Chowdhry	10 W. R., Cr., 35	6281
Jowahur Lall *v.* Sultan Ali	12 W. R., 214	4029
Jowala Buksh *v.* Dharum Singh	10 Moore's I. A., 511	1567, 4472
Jowher Ali *v.* Ram Chand	2 B. L. R., Ap., 29 : 24 W. R., 419, note.	3392, 4464
Jowhurooz Zumma Khan *v.* Banee Madhub Nundun	11 W. R., 226	5453
Joychunder Biswas *v.* Kali Kishore Dey Sircar	8 C. L. R., 41	5476
Joychunder Ghose *v.* Ram Narain Poddar	21 W. R., 43	5425
Joy Chunder Sirdar *v.* Ramchurn	6 W. R., 323	2893
Joy Chunder Tuppadar *v.* Ram Churn Doss	15 W. R., 117	4140
Joy Coomar *v.* Bundhoo Lall	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 363 : 12 C. L. R., 490.	1759
Joy Coomar Dutta Jha *v.* Esharee Nund Dutta Jha	10 B. L. R., 155 : 18 W. R., 475.	5116
Joy Deb Surmah *v.* Huroputty Surmah	16 W. R., 282	2247
Joydeo Singh *v.* Haribar Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 577	5527
Joydoorga Dassee *v.* Manick Chand Baboo	16 W. R., 248	6079
Joydoorga Debia *v.* Gopal Chunder Banerjee	9 W R., 538	2890
Joy Doorga Dossin *v.* Juggernath Roy	15 W. R., 295	5279
Joy Dutt Jha *v.* Bayee Ram Singh	7 W. R., 40	5318
Joy Gobind Doss *v.* Gouree proshad Shaha	7 W. R., 201	4274, 4284
Joygobind Roy *alias* Bhojraj Roy *v.* Bundhoo Singh	17 W. R., 342	3990
Joygobind Sahoy *v.* Mahtab Koonwar	7 W. R., 1	1695
Joygopal Mozoomdar *v.* Thakomonee Dabee	11 W. R., 381	327
Joykalee Dassee *v.* Chandmalla	9 W. R., 133	1357, 1366
Joykally Dabee *v.* Shib Nath Chatterjee	Bourke, Test., 5	4713
Joy Kishen Doss *v.* Turnbull	24 W. R., 137	3836
Joykishen Mookerjee *v.* Ataoor Rohoman	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 22 : 6 C. L. R., 575.	225, 5131
——— *v.* Collector of East Burdwan	1 W. R., P. C., 26 : 10 Moore's I. A., 16.	5141, 5596
——— *v.* Doorganarain Nag	11 W R., 349	1343
——— *v.* Hurechur Mookerjee	6 W. R., 289	2793
——— *v.* ———	9 W. R., 286	2892
——— *v.* Hurrybungso Burral	Marsh, 95 : 1 Hay, 162	1197
——— *v.* Jankee Nath Mookerjee	17 W. R., 471	2884, 3075
——— *v.* Jodoonath Ghose	3 W. R., 1	3829

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Joykishen Mookerjee *v.* Parbutty Churn Ghossal	22 W. R., 183	5143
——— *v.* Pearee Mohun Dutt	8 W R., 160	4209
——— *v.* Raj Kishen Mookerjee	5 W R, 147	892, 3030
——— *v.* ———	12 W. R., 315	327, 4460
——— *v.* ———	16 W. R., 101	4283
——— *v.* Reazoonissa Beebee	4 W R, 40	2951
Joykishen Shaha *v.* Bishoka Moyee Chowdrain	17 W. R., 355	3513
Joy Kishore Chowdhram *v.* Nubee Buksh	17 W. R., 178	5696
Joy Kishore Chowdhry *v.* Panchoo Baboo	4 C. L. R., 538	2139, 2143, 2289
Joy Kishore Singh *v.* Thakoor Dass	3 Agra, 75	1345
Joykishto Mookerjee *v.* Hurreehur Mookerjee	12 W. R., 365	2745, 4192
Joykissen Bose *v.* Wise	W. R., 1864, Mis., 37	2707
Joy Kisson Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	24 W. R, Cr., 40	4505
——— *v.* Collector of East Burdwan	8 Moore's I. A., 265	4634
——— *v.* Mudoosoodun Kulliah	W. R., 1864, Act X, 90	540
——— *v.* Queen	1 Ind., Jur, O. S., 61: 1 W. R., P. C., 13. 9 Moore's I. A., 168.	285
Joykisto Cowar *v.* Nittyanund Nundy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 738: 2 C. L. R., 440.	880, 2376
Joy Kooer *v.* Furlong	W. R., 1864, Act X, 112	2988
——— *v.* Suroop Narain Thakoor	W. R., 1864, 259	3710
Joykristo Mookerjee. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., A., Cr., 20: 10 W. R., Cr., 5.	4103
Joy Kurn Singh *v.* Man Patuck	21 W. R., Cr., 41	1306, 2010
Joykurun Lall *v.* Asmudh Kooer	5 W. R., 125	3335, 3336
Joy Lall Tewaree *v.* Gossain Bhoobun Geer	21 W. R., 334	1457
Joyloll Sheikh *v.* Brojonath Pal Chowdry	9 W. R., 162	544, 1539, 6279
Joymonee Debia *v.* Imam Buksh Talookdar	13 W. R., 471	4309
Joymonee Dossee *v.* Hurro Nath Roy	2 W. R, Act X, 51	546
Joymoney Dossee. In the goods of	14 B. L. R., 184	1237
Joymooruth Kooer *v.* Baldeo Singh	21 W. R., 444	1413, 2486
Joymungul Singh *v.* Lall Rung Pal Sing	13 W. R., 183	3229
——— *v.* Mohun Ram Marwaree	15 W. R., 38	380
——— *v.* Mohunram Marwari	23 W. R., 429	170, 380
——— *v.* Pokharun Singh	7 W. R, 465	5628
——— *v.* Saeedun	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 613: 6 W. R, 240.	3969
Joy Narain Bhuggut *v.* Kashee Chowdhry	16 W. R., 148	2690
Joynarain Bose *v.* Collector of 24-Pergunnahs	Marsh., 56	6044
Joynarain Geeree *v.* Shibpershad Geeree	6 W. R., Mis., 1	2602, 4713
Joy Narain Giree *v.* Goluck Chunder Mytee	20 W. R., 444	4523
——— *v.* ———	22 W. R., 102	1871, 3543
——— *v.* Groesh Chunder Mytee	15 B. L. R., 172: 22 W. R., 438.	1247
Joy Narain Giri *v.* Girish Chunder Myti	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 434: L. R., 5 I. A., 228.	2451
——— *v.* Goluck Chunder Mytee	25 W. R., 183	5459
——— *v.* ———	25 W R, 355	2359
——— *v.* Sheeb Proshad Giri	19 W. R., 275	4653
Joynaiam Manjee *v.* Muddoosoodun Gorait	2 W. R., 134	5744
Joynarain Pattur *v.* Russick Mohun Banerjee	B L. R., Sup. Vol., 744: 8 W. R., 144.	284
Joynarain Roy *v.* Punchanund	W. R., 1864, 10	2349

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest
Joynath *v.* Soorjaram	8 W. R., Cr., 66	4359
Joynath Mundul *v.* Jamul Sheikh	6 W. R., Cr., 71	4112
Joynath Roy *v.* Lall Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 126 : 10 C. L. R., 146.	2879, 4299 5727, 6080
Joynub Bebee *v.* Ahamed Jan	Marsh., 31 : 1 Hay, 68	5337
Joypal Roy *v.* Mukhoond Roy	17 W. R., 280	1379
Joyprokash Bhuggut *v.* Bhugwan Doss	Marsh., 589	2269
Joy Prokash Lall *v.* Sheo Golam Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 37	174, 374
Joy Prokash Narain *v.* Radha Kishen	W. R., 1864, 227	5109
Joyprokash Roy *v.* Oorjhan Jha	3 W. R., 174	3956
Joy Prokash Singh *v.* Ameer Ally	9 W. R., 91	1578, 1736, 4560
——— *v.* Meghraj Singh	12 W. R., 207	764, 767
Joyram Gossain Bhuttacharjee *v.* Kalee Narain Roy	20 W. R., 291	4771
Joyram Gossamee *v.* Nobin Chunder Doss	25 W. R., 318	2711
Joyram Lall Mahtoon *v.* Stewart	20 W. R., 453	4401, 4413
Joyram Loot *v.* Pani Ram Dhoba	8 C. L. R., 54	3130, 3133
Joy Ram Roy *v.* Omrao Roy	12 W. R., 431	5790
Joyram Singh *v.* Jugnarain Dobey	10 W. R., Cr., 16	4491
Joy Sunkur Roy *v.* Sidhi Moham	7 C. L. R., 373	5293
Joytara *v.* Ramhari Sirdar	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 638	2422, 2497
Joytara Dassee *v.* Mahomed Mobaruck	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 975 : 11 C. L. R., 399.	1448, 1749 4147, 6074 6094
Joy Tara Dossee *v.* Mahomed Hossein	2 W. R., Mis., 2	5482
Joy Tara Dossee Chowdrain *v.* Roy Chunder Ghose	1 W. R., 136	322
Joyudee Paramanick. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 66	345
Joyunti Dasi *v.* Mahomed Ally Khan	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 423	542
Juala Prasad *v.* Khuman Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 617	2716
Juala Singh *v.* Narain Das	I. L. R., 3 All., 541	179, 388
Jubdur Kazi. In re	8 C. L. R., 390	5558
———. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 718	5558
Jubraj Roy *v.* Mackenzie	5 C. L. R., 231	3049
Judah *v.* Abdool Kurreem	22 W. R., 60	6170
——— *v.* Addi Raja Queen Bibi	2 Mad., 177	4511
——— *v.* Judah	5 B. L. R., 433	2538, 5207 6203, 6205
——— *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 445	1330, 2674
Judal Kom Ranchhod Mulji *v.* Hira Mulji	4 Bom., A. C., 75	5684, 5742
Judhistir Patro *v.* Nobin Chandra Khela	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 73	3535
Judobunsee Bhugtaho *v.* Mukkun Kowaree	1 W. R., Mis., 6	1074
Judoobunsee Koer *v.* Asman Koer	14 W. R., 370	1690
——— *v.* Girbhurun Koer	12 W. R., 158	3417
Judoo Lall Mullick *v.* Gopaul Chunder Mookerjee	L. R., 13 I. A., 77	5281
Judoonath Bhuttacharjee *v.* Nobo Kristo Mookerjee	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 2	3330
Judoonath Bose *v.* Shumsoonnissa Begum	8 W. R., P. C., 3 : 11 Moore's I. A., 551.	470, 852 2834, 4161 4860, 5096 5099, 5100 5873
Judoo Nath Dey *v.* Girija Bhoosun Mitter	23 W. R., 446	474
Judoonath Dutt *v.* Rushad Ali	19 W. R., 105	4439
Judoonath Ghose *v.* Schoene, Kilburn, & Co.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 971 : 12 C. L. R., 343.	3041
Judoo Nath Mookerjee. In re	Bourke, O. C., 186	4450
Judoonath Mullick *v.* Kalee Kisto Tagore	22 W. R., 73	5224, 5788
——— *v.* ———	25 W. R., 524	4127, 5224

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Judoonath Paul *v.* Prosunnonath Dutt	9 W. R., 71	4937
Judoonath Roy. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 1	4736, 4740
——— *v.* Buroda Kant Roy	22 W. R., 220	138
——— *v.* Ram Buksh Chatterjee	12 W. R., 238	858
——— *v.* Ram Buksh Chuttaugee	7 W. R., 535	1927, 5618
Judoonath Sadhookhan. In the matter of	15 W. R., 40	1240
Judoonath Sein *v.* Ram Coomar Chatterjee	9 W. R., 359	5080
Judoonath Shaha *v.* Nobin Chunder Shaha	21 W. R., 289	1099
Judoo Nath Shahana *v.* Jadub Churn Thakoor	11 W. R., 294	4336
Judoonath Sircar *v.* Bonomalee Mitter	2 W. R., 295	2059
——— *v.* Bussunt Coomar Roy Chowdhry	11 B. L. R., 286 : 16 W. R., 105 : 19 W. R., 264.	2491, 2497
Judoonath Tewaree *v.* Bishonath Tewaree	9 W. R., 61	1209, 2444, 2454, 2466, 2467
Judoonauth Bose *v.* Shumsheroonnissa Begum	W. R., F. B., 60	4161
Judoo Nundon Lall. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 22	4237
Judooputtee Chatterjee *v.* Chunder Kant Bhuttacharjee	9 W. R., 3091	404, 4289, 5938, 6222
Judow Mulji *v.* Chhagan Raichand	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 306	5874
Jugal Kishore *v.* Hulasi Ram	I. L. R., 8 All., 264	2375, 4262
Jugatmoni Chowdrani *v.* Romjani Bibee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 538	3664
Jugdanund Gosamee *v.* Kessub Nund Gosamee	W. R., 1864, 146	2332, 2841
Jugdeep Narain Sahee *v.* Court of Wards	22 W. R., 469	2736
Jugdeep Narain Singh *v.* Deendial	12 B. L. R., 100 : 20 W. R., 174.	2157
Jugdel Narain Suhaye *v.* Lalla Ram Prokash	2 W. R., 292	4154
Jugdeo Singh *v.* Molazim Hossein	13 W. R., 108	6243
Jugdesh Chunder Biswas *v.* Abedollah Mundul	14 W. R., 68	4786
Jugdish Chunder Biswas *v.* Chowdhry Zuhoorul Huq	24 W. R., 317	1747, 5292
Jugernath *v.* Ilahi	3 N. W., 207	3916
Juggendronath Banerjee *v.* Rajendronath Haldar	7 W. R., 357	2486
Juggernath. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 246	3561
Juggernath Doss *v.* Brijnath Doss	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 322 : 3 C. L. R., 375.	2698, 2828, 3108
Juggernath Khan *v.* Maclachlan	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 681 : 8 C. L. R., 225.	1054
Juggernath Khootia *v.* Doobo Misser	14 W. R., 80	2390
Jugger Nath Panee *v.* Chuttur Narain Deb	17 W. R., 410	1464
Juggernath Sahai. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 236	1966
Juggernath Sahaie *v.* Mukkum Koonwar	3 W. R., 24	2140
Juggernath Sahee *v.* Alhad Kowur	19 W. R., 140	4197
Juggernath Sahoo *v.* Judoo Roy Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 329 : 4 C. L. R., 387.	1870
——— *v.* Mahomed Hossein	15 W. R., 173	770, 1221
Juggernath Sawunt *v.* Odhiranee Narain Koomaree	20 W. R., 126	2430
Juggernauth Sew Bux *v.* Ram Dyal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 791	1064, 1821
Juggesh Prakash Ganguli. In the matter of the petition of.	3 B. L. R., A. C., 57	4502
Juggeshur Bunwaree Gobind *v.* Chunder Sircar	Marsh., 375 : 2 Hay, 344	1201
Juggeshwar Dass. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 55	3863
——— *v.* Koylash Chunder Chatterjee		
Juggessur Buttobyal *v.* Roodro Narain Roy	12 W. R., 299	1600, 2251, 2978
Juggessur Coowar *v.* Prossono Coomar Ghose	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 282	1213
Juggessur Dey *v.* Kritarthomoyee Dossee	12 B. L. R., 266 : 21 W. R., 210.	291

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Juggessur Dhur v. Bhugobutty Dassee	14 W. R., 464	686
Juggessur Doyal Singh v. Bissessur Pershad	12 C. L. R., 281	4316
Juggessur Nundee v. Chunder Gobind Singh	9 W. R., 524	5090
Juggessur Roy. In the matter of	5 C. L. R., 170	394
Juggessur Sircar v. Nilambur Biswas	3 W. R., 217	2088
Juggessur Suhaye v. Gopal Lall	11 W. R., 260	5272
Juggobundhoo Bose v. Wise	12 W. R., 409	33, 5128
Juggobundhoo Coondoo v. Nil Comul Surmah	W. R., 1864, 190	5216
Juggobundhoo Deb v. Goluck Chunder Haldar	10 W. R., 228	299
Juggobundhoo Ghose v. Chowdhry Mumtaz Hossein	W. R., 1864, 205	3874
Juggobundhoo Mozoomdar v. Gooroo Persad Roy	Marsh., 52: 1 Hay, 226	5778
——— v. Gooroopersaud Roy	Marsh, 54: 1 Hay, 228	2793, 4168
Juggobundhoo Shaha v. Promothonath Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 767	5183
Juggobundhu Mukerjee v. Ram Chunder Bysack	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 584: 5 C. L. R., 548.	4465
Juggobundo Chatterjee v. Watson & Co.	Bourke, A. O. C., 162	6222
Juggobundoo Shaw v. Grant, Smith, & Co.	2 Hyde, 129: Cor., 47	1576, 4586 4603
Juggodanund Misser v. Hamid Russool	10 W. R., 52	4163
Juggodeshary Chowdhrain. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 94	4498
Juggodeshury Dossia v. Umachurn Roy	7 W. R., 237	1583, 5346
Juggodishari Debi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 84: 8 C. L. R., 397.	4666
Juggodish Chunder Biswas v. Turrikoollah Sircar	24 W. R., 90	2589
Juggodumba Dossee. In re	10 B. L. R., Ap., 22, note: 15 W. R., 75.	494
——— v. Puddomoney Dossee	15 B. L. R., 318	2829
——— v. Tarakant Banerjee	6 C. L. R., 121	5037
Juggo Lall Oopadhya v. Jankee Bibee	17 W. R., 521	283
Juggomohun Bukshee v. Roy Mothooranath Chowdhry.	7 W. R., P. C., 18: 11 Moore's I. A., 223.	2001
Juggomohun Ghose v. Kaisreechund	9 Moore's I. A., 256	2710
——— v. Manick Chund	4 W. R., P. C., 8: 7 Moore's I. A., 263.	1342, 2705 2710
Juggud Indur Bunwaree v. Soorj Coomar Chowdhry	Marsh, 627	4296
Juggun Lall. In the matter of	7 C. L. R, 356	1840, 2010
Juggunnath v. Beck	2 N. W., 60	1117, 4245
——— v. Komal Singh	3 N. W., 123	3020, 4758
Juggunnath Deb Nazir v. Moheboollah	17 W. R., 164	6228
Juggunnath Pershad Dutt v. Hogg	12 W. R., 117	334, 472 5047
Juggurnath Deb v. Mahomed Mokeem	17 W. R., 161	5753, 5812
Juggur Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Kishen Pershad Surma *alias* Raja Baboo.	7 W. R., 266	2250
Juggurnath Roy Chowdhry v. Munorekha Dossee	2 W. R., 156	4603
——— v. Raj Chunder Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 120	3180
Juggurnath Sahoo v. Mahomed Hossein	14 B. L. R., 386: L. R., 2 I. A., 49: 23 W. R., 99.	2942, 3386 3441
Juggurnath Singh v. Afzul Khan	17 W. R., 130	5119
——— v. Ahmedoollah	8 W. R., 132	1023
Juggur Nauth Shaw v. Issur Chunder Roy	Bourke, O. C., 146	425
Juggut Chunder v. Banee Madhub Banerjee	23 W. R., 205	6006
Juggut Chunder Bhadooree v. Shib Chunder Bhadooree	16 W. R., 269	808
Juggut Chunder Bhadoory v. Shib Chunder Bhadoory	22 W. R., 255	3334
Juggut Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhugwan Chunder Futtehdur.	Marsh., 27: 1 Hay, 57: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 67.	4142

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Juggut Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhurut Chunder Chowdhry.	23 W. R., 237	1986
Juggut Chunder Chuckerbutty. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 110	5166
Juggut Chunder Dutt v. Panioty	6 W. R., Act X, 48	3016
——— v. ———	8 W. R., 427	1595, 4168
——— v. Radanath Dhur	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 669	4330
Juggut Chunder Mozumdar v. Kasi Chunder Mozumdar.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 440: 7 C. L. R., 330.	1969, 5521, 5524
Juggut Chunder Roy v. Chunder Monee Shaha	17 W. R., 277	681
——— v. Mohima Chunder Paul	11 W. R., 331	1427
——— v. Ramnarain Bhuttacharjee	1 W. R., 126	5177
——— v. Roop Chand Shaw	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 811	1205
Juggut Indur Bunwaree v. Bhubo Tarinee Dassee	14 W. R., 19	300
Juggutjeebun Gooptoo v. Haro Coomar Pal	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 719	229
Juggut Lall Chowdhry v. Tasudduck Ali	25 W. R., 548	1359
Juggut Misser v. Baboo Lall	5 W. R., Cr., 50	1674, 4987, 5089
Juggutmoheenee Dossee v. Sokheemonee Dossee	10 B. L. R., 19: 17 W. R., 41: 14 Moore's J. A., 289.	4214
Joggut Mohinee Bibee v. Ram Chund Ghose	9 W. R., 100	3523
Juggut Mohinee Dossee v. Dwarka Nath Bysack	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 582	3056
——— v. Madhub Chunder Kur	15 W. R., 66	841
——— v. Monohur Koonwar	25 W. R., 278	3309
Juggut Narain v. Toolsee Ram	10 W. R., 99: 1 B. L. R., A. C., 71.	437, 442
Juggut Narain Singh v. Collector of Manbhoom	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 413, note	2290
Jugjeebun Goopta v. Hurro Kumar Pal	6 C. L. R., 135	229
Jugjeebun Goopto v. Golock Monee Debia	22 W. R., 354	1927
Jugjeewun Das Kooka Shah v. Ramdas Brijbookun Das.	6 W. R., P. C., 10: 2 Moore's I. A., 487.	3913
Jugmohun Doss v. Poorno Chunder Roy	3 W. R., Act X, 133	1610
Jugmohun Lall v. Buddun Koer	9 W. R., 243	401, 697
Jug Mohun Mahto v. Luchmeshur Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 748	3136
Jugmohun Towaree v. Buldeo Naik	3 Agra, 162	4399, 4949
Jugmohun Tewari v. Finch	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 62: 11 C. L. R., 100.	5294
Jugo Bundhoo Bose v. Collector of Dacca	W. R., 1864, 273	5107
——— v. Koomoodinee Kant Banerjee Chowdhry.	19 W. R., 89	46
Jugobundhoo Dutt v. Maseyk	W. R., 1864, 81	3870
Jugobundhoo Haldar v. Sree Narain Mitter	20 W. R., 188	5780
Jugobundhoo Mookerjee v. Luckhossuree Debia	W. R., 1864, 388	1822
Jugobundhoo Sein v. Bhugwan Chunder Doss	17 W. R., 15	448
Jugobundhoo Shah Poramanick v. Official Assignee	21 W. R., 194	210
Jugobundhoo Tewaree v. Kurum Singh	22 W. R., 341	1082, 2279
Jugobundoo Roy v. Fyez Buksh Chowdhry	8 W. R., 166	1453
Jugodumba Chowdhrain v. Ram Chunder Deo	6 W. R., 327	4173
Jugodumba Debia v. Rohinee Debia	3 W. R., 522	2343
Jugodumba Koer v. Mircha Koer	17 W. R., 269	84, 86, 92
Jugojeebun Lall Dhubal Deb v. Kartick Chunder Bondopadhya.	25 W. R., 1	4650
Jugomohun Haldar v. Sarodamoyee Dossee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 149	2468
Jugomohun Bukshee v. Soorendronath Roy Chowdhry	13 W. R., 106	5624
Jugo Mohun Sahoo v. Monee Lall Chowdhry	11 W. R., 542	2752
Jugo Mohun Singh v. Dubee Pershad	W. R., 1864, Act X, 47	12
Jugo Moyee Chowdhrain v. Government	3 W. R., Mis., 17	219
Jugoo Lall Oopadhya v. Manoohur Lall Oopadhya	19 W. R., 43	2456

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jugoolal Upadhya *v.* Ekbaloonissa	7 W. R., 456	3241
Jugroopa Chowdhrain *v.* Bunwaree Tewaree	20 W. R., 351	2027
Jugtanund Misser *v.* Nerghan Singh	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 433 : 7 C. L. R., 347.	1821
Jugul Kishor Banerjee *v.* Abhaya Charan Sarma	1 B. L. R., A. C., 84	5336
Jugul Kishore *v.* Jotendro Mohun Tagore	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 985 : L. R., 11 I. A., 66.	2522
Jugun Nath *v.* Ghaseeram	1 N. W., 32 : Ed. 1873, 30	448
Jugunnath Sahee Deo *v.* Burra Lall Opendronath Sahee Deo.	5 W. R., Mis., 54	3561
Jugurnath *v.* Balgobind	1 N. W., 105 : Ed. 1873, 154	5243
Jugurnath Singh *v.* Ahmedoollah	8 W. R., 132	3840
Jugutbundhoo Chuckerbutty *v.* Jugut Chunder Chowdhry.	12 W. R., 519	5288
Jugut Chunder Roy Chowdhry *v.* Eshan Chunder Banerjee.	24 W. R., 220	5198
Jugutendur Bunwaree *v.* Din Dyal Chatterjee	1 W. R., 310	1674, 3195
Jugut Mohini Dassee *v.* Madhu Sudhan Dutt	10 C. L. R., 4	17, 726 5508
Jugut Shobhun Chunder *v.* Binaud Chunder	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 925	2857, 2915
Jugut Shobhun Chunder *alias* Doolal Chunder Dehingur Gossamy *v.* Binaud Chunder *alias* Soda Shobhun Dehingur Gossamy.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 925	2857, 2915
Juhooree Lall Sahoo *v.* Dear	23 W. R., 399	3061
Juhoorun *v.* Girdharee Ram	3 W. R., Cr., 70	957
Julebia Chitta Koer *v.* Bhagee Koer	6 N. W., 41	1451, 1459 1479
Julfikar Husain *v.* Munna Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 148	3139
Jullessur Kooer *v.* Uggur Roy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 725 : 12 C. L. R., 460.	2309, 2495
Jullow Surma Patwaree *v.* Madhub Ram Atoi Boorha Bhukut.	16 W. R., 202	524, 5632
Jumal Ali *v.* Wahed Ali	11 W. R., 97	5931
Jumaut Ali Shah *v.* Chowdry Chutturdharee Sahee	16 W. R., 185	2994
Jumeela *v.* Mulleeka	W. R., 1864, 252 : 5 W. R., 23 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 26.	3648, 3654
Jumeelun *v.* Wallee Ahmed	10 W. R., 31	3330
Jummal Ali *v.* Tirbhu Lall Doss	12 W. R., 41	2234, 5271 5411
Jummalapudi Kalidas *v.* Attaluri Subhamma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 29	2276
Jummeeuttunnissa *v.* Noor Mahomed	W. R., 1864, Act X, 77	5176
Jumna Dass *v.* Eckford	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 1	4513
——— *v.* Mehur Singh	1 Agra, 182	591
Jumna Doss *v.* Gawsee Meah	21 W. R., 124	4929
Jumna Pershad *v.* Bheem Sein	1 Agra, Mis., 8	3331
——— *v.* Bhowanee	2 Agra, Rev., 1	1656
Jumna Singh *v.* Kamar-un-nisa	I. L. R., 3 All., 152	186, 5065
Jumoona Dassee Chowdhranee *v.* Bama Soonderee Dassee Chowdhranee.	2 W. R., 149	4883
Jumoona Dassya *v.* Bamasoondari Dassya	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 289 : 25 W. R., 235 : L. R., 3 I. A., 72.	1249, 1694 2116, 2437
Jumoona Doss *v.* Pookhur Singh	22 W. R., 133	4040
Jumoona Persad Singh *v.* Dig Narain Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1 : 13 C. L. R., 74.	2400
Jumoona Pershad *v.* Zaibunnissa	5 C. L. R., 268	2819
Jumulla *v.* Mulka	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 26 : 5 W. R., 23.	3648, 3654

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jumunooddeen Ahmed *v.* Hossein Ali	2 W. R., Mis., 49	3731
Junardun Doss Mitter *v.* Rooknee Bullub	6 W. R., Mis., 48	3493, 3514
Junaruddeen Misser *v.* Nobin Chunder Perdhan	Marsh., 232 : 1 Hay, 534	2283
Juneesur Doss *v.* Lalla Ramdhunee Lall	17 W. R., 263	3080
Juneswar Dass *v.* Mahabeer Singh	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 163 : 25 W. R., 84 : L. R., 3 I. A., 1.	3379
Jung Bahadoor *v.* Jugdeo Roy	25 W. R., 247	581
Jungee Lall *v.* Sham Lall Misser	20 W. R., 120	2076, 5438
Jungee Lall Mahajun *v.* Brijo Beharee Singh	2 W. R., Mis., 21	210
Junglee Ram. In the matter of the petition of ——— *v.* Ram Heet Sahoy	19 W. R., 47	173, 376
Jungoo Lall *v.* Lala Alum Chand	7 W. R., 279	3163
Junjla Venkatarayadu *v.* Junjla Kamammah	6 Mad., 151	6075
Junmajoy Mojoomdar *v.* Keshub Lall Ghose	10 W. R., 484	2476
Junmajoy Mullick *v.* Dwarkanath Mytee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 287 : 4 C. L. R. 574.	1844
Junmejoy Mookerjee. In the matter of	14 W. R., 215	403
Junmejoy Mullick *v.* Gunga Ram Dutt	21 W. R., 26	510
Jurbundhun Singh *v.* Sheoraj Singh	5 N. W., 184	403, 2861
Jusab Haji Jafar *v.* Gul Muhammad	12 Bom., 175	4792
Jusagheri Gosamier *v.* Collector of Tanjore	5 Mad., 334	109
Juseemooddeen Biswas *v.* Huro Soondurce Dossee	19 W. R., 274	3902
Jushoda Koonwur *v.* Gowree Byjnath Pershad	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 365 : 6 W. R., Mis., 53.	182, 664 4436
Jusoda Koor *v.* Lalla Nettya Lall	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 43	2275
Jussoda Dossee *v.* Matunginee Dossee	12 W. R., 249	5359
Jussoondah *v.* Ajodhia Pershad	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 261	2359
Justices of the Peace for Bombay *v.* Great Indian Peninsula Railway Company.	9 Bom., 217	4050
Justices of the Peace for Calcutta *v.* Hera Lall Seal	Bourke, O. C., 412	648
——— *v.* Maharanee of Burdwan.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 102	648
——— *v.* Oriental Gas Company.	8 B. L. R., 433 : 17 W. R., 364.	650, 3090 4518, 5296
Juswant Singh *v.* Doolee Chund	25 W. R., 255	2151
Juswunt Singh. In re	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 301 : 6 W. R., Cr., 18.	5168, 5542
Jutadhari Lal *v.* Rughobeer Persad	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 508 : 12 C. L. R., 255.	2379
Juttadharee Singh *v.* Wuzeer Singh	12 W. R., 357	3513
Jutto Moar *v.* Basmuttee Kooer	15 W. R., 479	5186
Juveerbhaee *v.* Vurujbhaee	3 Moore's I. A., 324	4645
Jwala Nath *v.* Kullu	3 Agra, 55 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 138.	1414, 2482
Jwalapershad *v.* Kedar Nath	3 Agra, 175	3333
Jykishoon Sookul *v.* Shunkur Sookul	3 Agra, 168	2522, 5375
Jyram Gir *v.* Krishan Kishore Chund	3 Agra, 307	3962, 4009

K

Kabeel Saha *v.* Radha Kissen Mullick	16 W. R., 146	2995
Kabil Nusyo *v.* Baharullah	17 W. R., Cr., 37	5154
Kabir valad Ramjan *v.* Mahadu valad Shiwaji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 360	1195
Kaboolun *v.* Shumsheir Ali	11 W. R., 16	4814
Kabul Krishna Doss *v.* Mohessuree Debia	16 W. R., 270	3405
Kachar Bhoj Vaija *v.* Bai Rathore	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 289	4044

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kachekalyana Rungappa Kalakka Tola Udiar v. Kachivigajaya Rungappa Kalakka Tola Udiar.	2 B. L. R., P. C., 72: 11 W. R., P. C., 33: 12 Moore's I. A., 495.	2749, 2773
Kachu Bayaji v. Kachoba Vithoba	10 Bom., 491	6127, 6142
Kachubhai Beir Gulabchand v. Krishnabai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 635	316, 1774
Kachur Subraya v. Bengal Santappaiya	7 Mad., 167	2043
Kadambinee Dossee v. Doorga Churn Dutt	Marsh., 4: 1 Hay, 25	5752
Kadar v. Ismail	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 119	4839
Kadarbacha Sahib v. Rangasvami Nayak	1 Mad., 150	402, 2211 3124
Kadaressur Mookerjee v. Gooroo Churn Mookerjee	2 C. L. R., 388	5671
Kadari bin Ranu v. Atmarambhat	3 Bom., A. C., 11	2498
Kadarsa Rautan v. Raviah Bibi	2 Mad., 108	3378, 4753
Kader Buksh Biswas v. Ram Nag Chowdhry	7 W. R., 448	1360
Kader Nath Dutt v. Okhoy Coomar Bhuttacharjee	9 W. R., 202	477
Kadir Ali Khan v. Nowsha Begum	2 Agra, 154	3731
Kadir Buksh v. Ilahi Buksh	I. L. R., 2 All., 283	1880
——— v. Wise	Marsh., 165: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 103: 1 Hay, 370.	6083
Kadir Bukah Khan v. Fusseeh-oon-nissa	5 Moore's I. A., 413	4500
Kadir Bux v. Ram Tahul Bhagut	3 N. W., 125	4535
Kadir Gazee v. Mohadebee Dossia	6 W. R., Act X, 47	3025
Kadir Mahomed v. Mahomed Safir	1 W. R., 277	2843
Kadumbinee Dossee v. Bhugobutty Churn Ghose	10 W. R., 7	554
——— v. Koylash Kaminee Dossee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 431	3088
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 19: 9 C. L. R., 25.	433, 4237
Kadumbinee Dossia v. Kasheenauth Biswas	13 W. R., 338	2985
——— v. Unnopoorna Daye	14 W. R., 289	4138, 4430
Kadumbini Dabya v. Koylash Chunder Pal Chowdhry	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 554: 8 C. L. R., 19.	318, 575
Kaem Khan v. Saheba Jan	7 W. R., 362	4206
Kafaetoollah v. Ariza Bibee	23 W. R., 264	4480
Kafaetoollah Khan v. Futteh Ali	1 Agra, Rev., 28	532
Kahanarama v. Ranga	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 8	5704
Kahandas Narandas v. Dahiabhai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 182	590, 3185 4260
Kahandas Narrandas. In re	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 154	6063
Kaihur Singh v. Roop Singh	3 N. W., 4	2200, 4155
Kailasanatha v. Tiruvengada	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 420	907
Kailas Chandra Bose v. Bhuban Chandra Bose	8 B. L. R., Ap., 18	5298
Kailas Chandra Ghose v. Fulchand Jahurri	8 B. L. R., 474	3547
Kailas Chandra Roy v. Hiralal Seal	2 B. L. R., A. C., 93: 10 W. R., 403.	1505, 1590 3066
Kailas Chandra Sannel v. Dowlat Sheikh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 57: 14 W. R., 42.	5706
Kailas Chandra Sirkar v. Durgadas Tarafdar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 284, note	1590
Kailasnath Ghose v. Biswanath Biswas	4 B. L. R., O. C., 41	48, 2190
Kaim Ali Jawardar v. Lakhikant Chuckerbutty	1 B. L. R., F. B., 23: 10 W. R., F. B., 32.	5622
Kaipreta Ramen v. Makkhayil Mutoren	1 Mad., 359	3758
Kaisho v. Jumna	1 Agra, 140	2490
Kaisrur v. Put Ram	2 Agra, Pt. II, 212	2916
Kaji Ahmed v. Kaji Mahamad	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 308	6234
Kakaji bin Ranoji v. Bapuji bin Madhavrav	8 Bom., A. C., 205	3814, 4152 4881
Kakaji Sakharam v. Govind Ganesh	4 Bom., A. C., 96	5742

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kakarlapudi Sitaramaraja *v.* Uppalapadi Janakayya	1 Mad., 5	2499
Kakarlapudi Suriyanarayana Razu *v.* Chellamkuri Chellamma.	5 Mad., 176	5092
Kakerlapoody Jagganadha Raz *v.* Vutsavoy Jagganadha Jagaputty Raz.	5 W. R., P. C., 117: 2 Moore's I. A., 1.	3901
Kala Bharub Chandra Karpur *v.* Lalit Mohun Singh	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 185	520
Kala Bibee *v.* Collector of Chittagong	23 W. R., 362	495
Kalachand *v.* Gudadhur Biswas	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 304	960
Kalachand Ghose *v.* Shoolochuna Dossia	22 W. R., 33	3562, 3565
Kalachand Mundul *v.* Gopal Chunder Bhuttacharjee	12 W. R., 163	4808
Kala Chand Sen *v.* Anund Kristo Bose	22 W. R., 433	341
Kalachand Sirkar *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 53	6268
Kala Chara Tea Co. *v.* Sukul Singh	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 280	496
Kaladdin Guru Bakus *v.* Raghooji	1 Bom., 62	340
Kalai Haldar *v.* Kyamuddi	23 W. R., 417	1354
Kalai Khan *v.* Madho Pershad	3 N. W., 129	3198, 4135
Kalam Sheikh *v.* Panchu Mandal	2 B. L. R., A. C., 252	2971
Kalandan *v.* Kunhunni	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 80	1784
——— *v.* Pakrichi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 378	1898
Kalee Beparee. In re	1 C. L. R., 521	5289
Kalee Chunder Aich *v.* Ramgutty Kur	25 W. R., 95	2999
Kalee Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Ruttun Gopal Bhadooree	2 W. R., Mis., 1	3183
——— *v.* Sheeb Chunder	2 W. R., 281	2132
——— *v.* Surut Soondaree Debia	18 W. R., 45	6247
Kalee Chunder Paul *v.* Thakur Das Biswas	12 W. R., O. C., 7	429
Kalee Chunder Sein *v.* Adoo Shaikh	9 W. R., 602	4458, 5811
Kalee Chunder Surma *v.* Gobind Pershad Surma	12 W. R., 454	684
Kalee Churn Banerjee. In the matter of	18 W. R., 295	3880
——— *v.* Mahomed Hashem	7 W. R., 8	1876
Kalee Churn Bawanee *v.* Bengal Coal Company	21 W. R., 405	3794
Kalee Churn Dutt *v.* Modhoo Soodun Ghose	6 W. R., 86	760
——— *v.* Shoshee Dossee	1 W. R., 248	1618
Kalee Churn Giree Gossain *v.* Lalla Muddun Kishore	7 W. R., 317	6122
Kalee Churn Pattur *v.* Chundee Churn Mundul	9 W. R., 366	5764
Kalee Churn Sahoo *v.* Ram Lall Sahoo	21 W. R., 300	4327
Kalee Churn Singh *v.* Ameerooddeen	9 W. R., 579	5175
——— *v.* Boodh Ram	5 N. W., 77	1901
——— *v.* Solano	8 W. R., 200	1180
——— *v.* ———	24 W. R., 267	1179
Kalee Coomar Chatterjee *v.* Kristo Kishore Poddar	14 W. R., 196	340
——— *v.* Siddessur Mundul	11 B. L. R., 256: 19 W. R., 335.	4067
Kalee Coomar Chowdhry *v.* Ramdass Shaha	W. R., 1864, 153	2485
Kalee Coomar Doss *v.* Anees	3 W. R., Act X, 1	4589
Kalee Coomar Dutt Roy *v.* Pran Kishoree Chowdhrain	18 W. R., 29	5063
Kalee Coomar Nag *v.* Kashee Chunder Nag	6 W. R., 180	3399
Kalee Dabee *v.* Mudoo Soodun Chowdhry	16 W. R., 171	1490
Kaleedass Banerjee *v.* Bhoobun Mohun Doss	20 W. R., 185	4562
Kalee Dass Chuckerbutty *v.* Eshan Chunder Chatterjee.	13 W. R., 416	6237
Kaleedass Ghosal *v.* Muddoosoodun Roy	10 W. R., 465	5081
Kaleedass Ghose. In the matter of	15 W. R., 356	3496
——— *v.* Lal Mohun Ghose	16 W. R., 306	3911
——— *v.* Punan Koomaree Bibee	16 W. R., 304	2701
Kaleedass Mitter *v.* Debnarain Deb	13 W. R., 412	1756, 3815, 3557
Kaleedass Neogee *v.* Hur Nath Roy Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 279	5415

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kalee Debee *v.* Modhoo Soodun Chowdhry	16 W. R., 171	1473, 1867 3838
Kaleedhun Banerjee *v.* Romesh Chunder Dutt	3 W. R., 172	1594
Kaleedoss Acharjee *v.* Khettro Pal Singh Roy	17 W. R., 472	1755, 3557 5752
Kalee Doss Banerjee *v.* Nubeen Chunder Chatterjee	24 W. R., 91	2983
Kalee Doss Chatterjee *v.* Beharee Lall Mookerjee	2 W. R., 305	3157
Kalee Doss Ghose *v.* Lall Mohun Ghose	19 W. R., 307	1884
Kalee Doss Mittra *v.* Tarachand Roy	8 W. R., 316	1684
Kalee Doss Mojoomdar *v.* Meheroonissa Khatoon	8 W. R., 482	1467
Kaleedoss Mookerjee *v.* Mothooranath Banerjee	5 W. R., 154	470, 5369
Kaleedoss Neogy *v.* Huronath Roy Chowdhry	3 W. R., 4	4957
Kalee Doss Nundee *v.* Ramguttee Dutt	6 W. R., Act X, 10	3799
Kalee Doss Roy. In the matter of	18 W. R., 466	905
——— *v.* Khiroda Soonduree Debia	16 W. R., 300	318, 2266
Kaleedoss Sandyal *v.* Roy Luchmeeput Doogur	14 W. R., 145	5928
Kalee Haldar *v.* Sreeram Ghose	W. R., 1864, 366	3849
Kalee Hur Doss *v.* Roodressur Chuckerbutty	15 W. R., 90	5927
Kalee Kant Chowdhry *v.* Bhoobunnessuree Chowdhrain	22 W. R., 416	1626
——— *v.* Romonee Kant Bhuttacharjee.	3 W. R., 217	5315
Kalee Kant Mojoomdar *v.* Watson	2 W. R., Act X, 75	1728
Kaleekanund Bhuttacharjee *v.* Gireebala Debia	10 W. R., 322	4438
Kaleeka Pershad Bhuttacharjee *v.* Dhukhina Kalee Dabee.	W. R., 1864, Mis., 34	95
Kalee Khan *v.* Jadee	5 N. W., 62	3692
Kalee Kinkur Bachusputty *v.* Kristo Mungul Bhuttacharjee.	11 W. R., 462	211
Kalee Kinkur Roy *v.* Gobind Chunder Gooho	7 W. R., 50	5352
Kalee Kishen Biswas *v.* Jankee	8 W. R., 259	1593, 5179
Kalee Kishen Paul Chowdhry *v.* Jugut Tara	9 W. R., 334	3322
Kaleekishen Roy *v.* Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry	24 W. R., 266	4192
Kalee Kishore Bose *v.* Prosono Chunder Roy	10 W. R., 248	3493, 3495 3508
Kalee Kishore Chatterjee *v.* Luckhee Debia Chowdhrain	6 W. R., 172	3223
——— *v.* Ram Churn Shah	9 W. R., 344	5193
——— *v.* Taraporshad Roy	4 W. R., 1	4005
Kalee Kishore Paul *v.* Monee Ram Singh	5 W. R., Act X, 46	3152
Kalee Kishore Roy *v.* Deen Dyal Sein	4 W. R., 83	5283
Kalee Kishore Sen *v.* Wike	17 W. R., 477	5923
Kalee Koomar Chuckerbutty *v.* Huro Chunder Chuckerbutty.	17 W. R., 177	5613
Kalee Koomar Dutt *v.* Pran Kishoree Chowdhrain	22 W. R., 168	4002
Kalee Koomary Dossee *v.* Shumbhoo Chunder Ghose	6 W. R., Act X, 23	1633
Kalee Krishna Deb *v.* Shashonee Dassee	25 W. R., 12	5177
Kalee Kristo Roy Chowdhry *v.* Haran Chunder Dey	19 W. R., 277	3333, 3342
Kalee Kristo Thakoor *v.* Mahomed Kadar	12 W. R., 428	756
Kalee Mohun Deb Roy *v.* Dhununjoy Shaha	6 W. R., 51	2196
Kalee Mohun Doss. In re	17 W. R., 70	5118
Kalee Mohun Paul *v.* Bholanath Chakladar	7 W. R., 138	2019
Kalee Mohun Sen *v.* Ram Soonder Sen	24 W. R., 243	4309
Kalee Mohun Sircar *v.* Humaun Kader Mahomed Ali	25 W. R., 91	4604
——— *v.* Humayoon Kader Mahomed Ali Mirza Bahadoor *alias* Ameer Sahob.	24 W. R., 311	5940
Kalee Monee Debia *v.* Collector of Mymensingh	5 W. R., 55	43
Kalee Narain Bose *v.* Anund Moyee Goopta	21 W. R., 79	2845, 4174 5983

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kalee Narain Roy Chowdhry *v.* Ram Coomar Chand	W. R., 1864, 99	1946
Kalee Narain Singh *v.* Protap Chunder Burooah	12 W. R., 231	4955
Kalee Narain Singh Burooa *v.* Chunder Narain Bukshee	23 W. R., 228	1484
Kalee Nath *v.* Joy Doorga Dossee	11 W. R., 173	4179
Kalee Nath Chuckerbutty *v.* Reily	24 W. R., 272	3802
Kaleenath Doss *v.* Rajah Meah	22 W. R., 406	3829
Kaleenath Dutt. In the matter of the petition of	8 W. R., 12	666
Kalee Nath Ghosal *v.* Chundee Churn Sircar	10 W. R., 51	554
Kaleenath Kur *v.* Doyal Kristo Deb	13 W. R., 87	2021
Kalee Nath Roy *v.* Nila Ram Puramanick	7 W. R., 32	5673
Kalee Persaud Chowdhry *v.* Ram Soondur Sircar	12 W. R., 129	5930
Kalee Pershad *v.* Lutafut Hossein	12 W. R., 418	1159, 1182, 4931
Kalee Pershad Moitra *v.* Itcha Moyee	24 W. R., 337	1567
Kalee Pershad Shaha *v.* Ram Pershad Shaha	18 W. R., 14	2854
Kaleepershad Singh *v.* Jankee Deo Narain	7 W. R., 9	3132
——— *v.* Poorno Debia	15 W. R., 398	85
Kalee Pershad Tewaree *v.* Lalla Binda Lall	12 Moore's I. A., 343	4646
Kalee Prosad *v.* Digambur Chatterjee	25 W. R., 72	759, 3448
Kalee Prosunno Ghose *v.* Hurish Chunder Dutt	15 W. R., 57	1633
Kalee Prosunno Mookerjee *v.* Koylash Monee Debia	8 W. R., 126	3263
Kalee Puddo Ghose *v.* Monohur Mookerjee	7 W. R., 295	5354
Kalee Soondur Roy *v.* Dwarkanath Mojoomdar	18 W. R., 460	1993
Kalee Sunkur Bhadooree *v.* Eshan Chunder Bhadooree	17 W. R., 529	2468
Kalee Sunkur Sannyal *v.* Denendronath Sannyal	23 W. R., 68	2079
Kaleetara Debia *v.* Nittianund Shaha	12 W. R., 90	1769, 1771, 5905
Kalee Tara Dossia *v.* Nobin Chunder Kur	21 W. R., 84	4668, 6196
Kaleshar Pershad *v.* Jagan Nath	I. L. R., 1 All., 650	4868
Kalian Bibi *v.* Safdar Husain Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 265	2677
Kaliandas *v.* Bhagirathi	I. L. R., 6 All., 47	1343
——— *v.* Ganga Sahai	I. L. R., 5 All., 500	1133, 4052
——— *v.* Nawal Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 620	231, 4053
Kalian Dayal *v.* Kalian Narer	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 259	4426, 5681, 5735
Kalian Mal *v.* Bhagwati	7 N. W., 119	4856
Kalian Singh *v.* Chunni Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 10	5739
——— *v.* Gur Dayal	I. L. R., 4 All., 163	315, 4037
——— *v.* Lekhraj Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 211	239, 6227
——— *v.* Pankuar	7 N. W., 338	2294
——— *v.* Sanwal Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 163	1419
Kalica Prosad Misser *v.* Gobind Chunder Sein	2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1	2710
Kali Chandra Chowdhry *v.* Ratan Gopal Bhadhuri	4 B. L. R., Ap., 62, note	1627
——— *v.* Shib Chandra Bhaduri	6 B. L. R., 501 : 15 W. R., P. C., 12.	1503, 2132, 4652
Kali Chandra Singh *v.* Rajkishore Bhuddro	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 615	1190
Kali Charan *v.* Sriram	2 B. L. R., A. C., 192 : 11 W. R., 60.	4615
Kali Charan Chund. In re	B. L. R., Ap., 18 : 18 W. R., Cr., 27.	3881
Kali Charan Gir Gossain *v.* Bangshi Mohan Das	6 B. L. R., 727 : 15 W. R., 163.	2250, 5932
Kali Charan Rai *v.* Ajudhia Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 148	6081
Kali Churn Chunari. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 51	6266
——— In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 154	6266
Kali Churn Das *v.* Nobo Kristo Pal	9 C. L. R., 272	5837, 5851
Kali Churn Dutt *v.* Jogesh Chunder Dutt	2 C. L. R., 354	2702, 3355
Kali Churn Giri *v.* Golabi	2 C. L. R., 128	112, 1573

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kali Churn Lahiri *v.* Shoshee Bhoosun Sanyal	23 W. R. Cr., 17	957
Kali Churn Mitter *v.* Mahomed Soleem	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 33	3237
Kali Churn Mookerjee. In the matter of	11 C. L. R., 232	4624
Kali Coomar Chowdhry *v.* Ram Doss Shaha	W. R., 1864, 153	4156
Kalicoomar Sircar *v.* Bromomoyee Dossee	1 W. R., 23	338
Kalidas *v.* Municipality of Dhandhuka	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 686	616
——— *v.* Vallabhdas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 79	5699
Kalidas Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the petition of	5 B. L. R., Ap., 82, note	4107, 4095
——— *v.* Mohendro Nath Chatterjee	12 W. R., Cr., 40	966, 4095
Kalidas Damodhar. Ex parte	3 Bom., A. C., 175	3130, 3131
Kalidas Das *v.* Krishna Chandra Das	2 B. L. R., F. B., 103 : 11 W. R., O. C., 11.	2333, 2334
Kalidas Kevaldas *v.* Nathu Bhagvan	1. L. R., 7 Bom., 221	3227, 4277
Kalidas Mullick *v.* Kanhaya Lal Pundit	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 121 : L. R., 11 I. A., 218.	2043, 2262 2270
Kali Dass Ghose *v.* Chandra Mohini Dasi	8 W. R., 68	5073, 5345
Kalidhun Chuttapadhya *v.* Shiba Nath Chuttapadhya	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 483 : 11 C. L. R., 57.	4877
Kali Kamal Mazumdar *v.* Shib Suhai Sukul	3 B. L. R., Ap., 47 : 11 W. R., 452.	533
Kalikant Roy Chowdry. In re	3 B. L. R., Ap., 155 : 12 W. R., Cr., 54.	4738
Kalika Sahoy *v.* Gouree Sunkur	12 W. R., 287	2392
Kali Kishen Tagore *v.* Golam Ali	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 3	1426, 3034
——— *v.* Jodoo Lal Mullick	L. R., 6 I. A., 190 : 5 C. L. R., 97.	5286
Kalikishore Roy *v.* Dhununjoy Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 228	3369, 4520
Kali Komul Mozumdar *v.* Uma Sunkur Moitro	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 232 : 13 C. L. R., 379 : L. R., 10 I. A., 138.	2141, 2289
Kali Koomar Chatterjee *v.* Tara Prosono Mookerjee	5 C. L. R., 517	674
Kalikrishna Chandra *v.* Harihar Chuckerbutty	1 B. L. R., A. C., 155 : 10 W. R., 160.	163, 291
Kalikrishna Paul Chowdhry *v.* Jagattara	2 B. L. R., A. C., 139 : 11 W. R., 76.	3322
Kali Krishna Tagore *v.* Fuzle Ali Chowdhry	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 843 : 12 C. L. R., 592.	3031
——— *v.* Golam Ally	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 248	3034
Kalikristo Paul *v.* Ramchunder Nag	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 147 : 9 C. L. R., 461.	3091
Kali Kristo Tagore *v.* Judoo Lall Mullick	24 W. R., 20	4895, 4913
Kali Kristo Thakur *v.* Golam Ali Chowdhry	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 46 : 8 C. L. R., 245.	4489, 4499
Kalikumar Chowdhry *v.* Ramdas Shaha	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48 : W. R., F. B., 165.	2207
Kali Kumar Mitter *v.* Ramgati Bhuttacharjee	6 B. L. R., Ap., 99 : 16 W. R., 84, note.	5650
Kali Kumar Roy *v.* Nobin Chunder Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 585 : 7 C. L. R., 562.	3881
Kalimohan Chatterjee *v.* Kali Krishna Roy Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 39 : 11 W. R., 163.	1590, 5788
Kali Mohun Chuckerbutty *v.* Anandamoni Dabee	9 C. L. R., 18	3254
Kali Mundul *v.* Kader Nath Chuckerbutty	6 C. L. R., 215	4984
Kali Narain Roy Chowdhry *v.* Abdool Guffoor Khan	22 W. R., Cr., 24	4102, 5946
Kalinath Banerjee *v.* Mahomed Hossein	6 B. L. R., 528, note : 13 W. R., 469.	1179
Kalinath Chowdry *v.* Humi Bibi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 47, note : 12 W. R., 506.	1626

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kalindri Dabia *v.* Komolokanto Surma	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 439, note	2857
Kaliparshad *v.* Ram Charan	I. L. R., 1 All., 159	2459
Kali Pershad Sirdar *v.* Futteh Chund Dass	9 W. R., Cr., 16	4728
Kalipershad Surma *v.* Bhoirabee Dabee	2 W. R., 180	2309
Kalipershad Tewaree *v.* Perhlad Sein	12 Moore's I. A., 282	1030, 6117
Kali Prasad Mazumdar *v.* Collector of Mymensingh	6 B. L. R., 261, note : 13 W. R., 366.	45, 64
Kaliprasad Sing *v.* Jainarayan Roy	3 B. L. R., A. C., 23 : 11 W. R., 361.	1466, 4282
Kaliprasad Tewari *v.* Prahlad Sen	2 B. L. R., P. C., 111 : 12 W. R., P. C., 6 : 12 Moore's I. A., 282.	1030, 6117
Kali Prasanna Rai *v.* Dhananjai Ghose	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 625	3
Kali Prasanna Roy *v.* Ambica Charan Bose	9 B. L. R., 261 : 18 W. R., 416.	4618
Kaliprosad Banerji *v.* Gisborne and Co.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 61 : 13 C. L. R., 156.	1233
Kaliprosad Rai *v.* Moher Chandra Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 222 : 2 C. L. R., 478.	2832
Kali Prosonno Ghose. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 575	4363
——— *v.* Kamini Soonduri Chowdhrain	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 475 : 3 C. L. R., 184.	4000
Kaliprosunno Bagchee. In the matter of the petition of	23 W. R., Cr., 39	1313
Kali Prosunno Roy. In the matter of the petition of	23 W. R., Cr., 58	4509
Kali Pudo Banerjee *v.* Choitun Pandah	22 W. R., 214	412
Kali Shunker Dass *v.* Gopal Chunder Dutt	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 104	3178
Kalishunkur Doss *v.* ———	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 49 : 6 C. L. R., 543.	5093
Kaliyugam Chetti *v.* Chokalinga Pillai	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 105	1998
Kalka Parshad *v.* Kanhaya Singh	7 N. W., 99	5070
Kalkapershad *v.* Budree Sah	3 N. W., 267	2334, 2456
Kalka Prasad *v.* Ram Din	I. L. R., 5 All., 272	5625
Kallachand Paul *v.* Dheeraj Mahatab Chand	18 W. R., 190	3509
Kallacolathuran *v.* Subbaroya Reddi	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 73	4835
Kallapa bin Girmallappa *v.* Venkatesh Vinayak	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 676	1468, 1470, 4959
Kallaram Dhur *v.* Joogul Kishore Surmah	23 W. R., 290	5280
Kallati Kunju Menon *v.* Palat Erracha Menon	2 Mad., 162	3756
Kallavetti Kuriyil Kumholen Kutty *v.* Nilambur Thackarakavil Mana Vikaramen *alias* Thirumulpad.	8 Mad., 17	1429
Kallee Churn Khettry. In re	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 18	2568
Kalleb Coomar Roy *v.* Gunganarain Dutt Roy	10 W. R., 250	1072
Kallee Mundle. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 278	5290
Kalleepersaud Singh *v.* Kupoor Koowaree	4 W. R., 65	2412
Kallee Pershad Holdar *v.* Soobhogee Dabea	1 W. R., 218	5073
Kallee Singh *v.* Moorlee Ram	1 W. R., 135	2915
Kallee Sunkur Roy *v.* Kishto Doolal Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 296	4907
Kallian Banerji *v.* Madhusudun Banerji	8 C. L. R., 259	4311
Kalliandas Kirparam *v.* Trikamlal Gulabhai	9 Bom., 307	2674
Kalliappa Kaundan *v.* Vayapuri Kaundan	2 Mad., 442	1359
Kallida Pershad Dutt *v.* Ram Hari Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 317	540, 4528
Kallipershad Singh *v.* Grant	2 Hay, 329	1084
Kalliyani *v.* Narayana	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 266	3757
Kallonas *v.* Collector of Backergunge	11 W. R., 109	3560
——— *v.* Gunga Gobind Roy Chowdhry	25 W. R., 121	2767
Kallooram Baboo *v.* Doorganath Talookdar	10 W. R., 175	2712
Kallu *v.* Muhammad Abdul Ghani	I. L. R., 7 All., 564	3503

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kallu Mal *v.* Brown	I. L. R., 3 All., 504	5246
Kallum Sheikh *v.* Panchoo Mundul	11 W. R., 128	2971
Kallyan *v.* Doulata	1 Agra, 79	1394
Kally Churn Banerjee *v.* Gopal Chunder Banerjee	26 W. R., 100	582
Kally Churn Dutt *v.* Protab Chunder Ghose	5 C. L. R., 484	215
——— *v.* Protap Chunder Ghose	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 848 : 12 C. L. R., 407.	3808
Kally Churn Sahoo *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 725 : 8 C. L. R., 90.	3405, 4182
Kally Churn Shaw *v.* Dukhee Bibee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 692 : 5 C. L. R., 505.	2440, 3413
Kally Churn Singh *v.* Bunker Singh	7 W. R., Cr., 26	4735
Kally Doss Banerjee *v.* Bonomalee Doss	W. R., 1864, Act X, 61	531
——— *v.* Mutty Lall Chuckerbutty	Marsh., 483	907
Kally Doss Bhadury *v.* Golam Ali Chowdhry	3 C. L. R., 237	1919
Kally Doss Mitter *v.* Gobind Chunder Paul	Marsh., 569	1708
Kally Mohun Mookerjee *v.* Empress	13 C. L. R., 117	1269, 5528
Kally Mohun Pal *v.* Dino Nath Chuckerbutty	8 C. L. R., 34	1924
Kally Mohun Paul *v.* Bholanath Chakladar	7 W. R., 138	469, 3384
Kallymohun Roy Chowdhry *v.* Ramjoy Mundul	2 Hay, 289	4588
Kally Nath Naugh Chowdhry *v.* Chunder Nath Naugh Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 878 : 10 C. L. R., 207.	2567
Kally Nath Roy Chowdhry *v.* Lawrie	3 W. R., 122	38
Kally Nauth Shaw *v.* Rajeeb Lochun Mookerjee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 122	1704
Kallynauth Shaw *v.* Rajeeblochun Mozoomdar	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 343	1704, 4398
Kally Persad Sein Chowdhry *v.* Mohesh Chunder Bhuttacharjee.	1 Hay, 430	4987, 5064
Kallypersaud Singh *v.* Hoolas Chund	10 B. L. R., 448 : 20 W. R., 8	5715
——— *v.* Jankee Deo Narain	7 W. R., 9	3509
Kally Prosad Roy *v.* Sarferaz Alli	1 C. L. R., 399	3914
Kally Prosonno Bose *v.* Dinonath Mullick	11 B. L. R., 56 : 19 W. R., 434.	858, 1893 4246
Kally Prosonno Ghose *v.* Goocol Chunder Mitter	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 295	2143
Kally Prosonno Hazra *v.* Heera Lal Mundle	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 468	3215
Kally Prosono Mitter *v.* Gopee Nath Kur	7 C. L. R., 241	2564
Kally Prosonno Sein *v.* Kisto Nund Dundee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 13	3180
Kallyprosunno Biswas *v.* Mungala Dassee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 631	242, 3149
Kally Prosunno Chatterjee. In the matter of the petition of.	7 W. R., 224	3847
Kally Soondery Dabia. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 594 : 7 C. L. R., 543.	3095
——— *v.* Hurish Chunder Chowdury	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 594 : 7 C. L. R., 543.	3095
Kally Sunkur Sundyal *v.* Huro Sunkur Sundyal	7 W. R., 29	3331
Kalo Nilkanth *v.* Lakshmibai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 637	3372
Kaloo *v.* Guribollah	13 B. L. R., 163, note : 10 W. R., 12.	3701
Kaloo Rai *v.* Muhunt Rai	1 Agra, 259	1698
Kalova kom Bhujangrav *v.* Padapa walad Bhujangrav	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 248	1413, 3347
Kalpagathachi *v.* Ganapathi Pillai	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 184	4781
Kalsumnissa *v.* Wahidunnissa	3 B. L. R., A. C., 176, note	3332
Kalu *v.* Kashibai *alias* Lakshmibai	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 127	2421
Kalub Hossein *v.* Ali Hossein	4 N. W., 3	164
——— *v.* Deen Ali	4 N. W., 2	206
——— *v.* Mehrum Beebee	4 N. W., 155	3662
Kalu bin Bhiwaji *v.* Vishram Mawaji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 543	5125, 6075
Kalu bin Visaji *v.* Damodhar Govind	9 Bom., 92	1363, 5485

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kalu Narayan Kulkarni *v.* Hanmapa	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 435	2097
Kalu Ram Maigraj *v.* Madras Railway Company	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 240	3273, 4710
Kalu Shaikh Khansama *v.* Beatson	24 W. R., 446	421
Kalut Ram *v.* Lala Dhanukdhari Sahai	11 C. L. R., 361	3346
Kalyanbhai *v.* Motiram Jamnadas	10 Bom., 378	5384
Kalyanbhai Dipchand *v.* Ghanashamlal Jadunathji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 29	1917, 3195 3465
Kalytara Chowdharin *v.* Ram Coomar Goopta	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 466 : 9 C. L. R., 114	5464, 5479
Kamakshi *v.* Nagarathnam	5 Mad., 161	2306
Kamakshi Achari *v.* Appavu Pillai	1 Mad., 448	1069
Kamakshi Ammal *v.* Chidambara Reddi	3 Mad., 94	2082, 5268
Kamala Kant Ghose *v.* Kalu Mahomed Mandal	3 B. L. R., A. C., 44 : 11 W. R., 395.	1104
Kamalam *v.* Sadagopa Sami	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 356	2221, 5264
Kamala Naiken *v.* Pitchacotty Chetty	10 Moore's I. A., 386	3895
Kamala Nayak *v.* Ranga Rau	1 Mad., 24	437, 3006
Kamal Chandra Bose *v.* Nobin Chandra Ghose	1 B. L. R., S. N., 12 : 10 W. R., 184.	3103
Kamalooddeen *v.* Anoo Mundul	1 C. L. R., 564	1185
Kamal Singh *v.* Batul Fatima	I. L. R., 2 All., 460	3167, 6053
Kamaluddin Husen Khan *v.* Bhika Manji	4 Bom., A. C., 49	3065
Kamaludin Husen Khan *v.* Partap Mota	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 244	1122, 1141
Kamaraja *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 22	6091
Kamar-un-nissa Bibi *v.* Husaini Bibi	I. L. R., 3 All., 266	3655, 3681
Kamat *v.* Kamat	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 368	247, 5774
Kamatchi Ammal *v.* Agiland Ammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 334	2852
Kamavadhani Venkata Subhaya *v.* Joysa Narasingappa	3 Mad., 116	2502
Kamayya *v.* Leman	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 37	2848
Kambhai *v.* Himat Singji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 415	2895
Kambinayani Javaji Subbarajulu Nayani Varu *v.* Uddighiri Venkataraya Chetty.	2 Mad., 268	3112, 3129 3795
Kameekhapershad Mookerjee *v.* Larmour	W. R., F. B., 86	244
Kameenee Dossee *v.* Bissonath Ghose	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 6	6200
Kameenee Dossee *v.* Hurromoney Dossee	Bourke, O. C., 91 : Cor., 151	770, 4676
Kameshuree Dassee *v.* Court of Wards	12 W. R., 251	2058
Kameshwar Pershad *v.* Run Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 458	834
Kamessur Pershad *v.* Dowlut Ram	19 W. R., 83	3921
——— *v.* Kadir Khan	20 W. R., 393	3250
Kameswar Pershad *v.* Run Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 843 : 8 C. L. R., 361 : L. R., 8 I. A., 8	2194, 4156
Kamikhaprasad Roy *v.* Jagadamba Dasi	5 B. L. R., 508	2194, 2210
Kaminee Debia *v.* Issur Chunder Roy Chowdhry	22 W. R., 39	3245
——— *v.* Protap Chunder Sandyal	25 W. R., 103	2956
——— *v.* Radha Sham Koondoo	18 W. R., 58	2946
Kaminee Dossee *v.* Bishonath Shaha	9 W. R., 214	5684
——— *v.* Gourmoney Dossee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 359	5411
Kaminee Mohun Chuckerbutty *v.* Kalee Kant Sein	14 W. R., 275	4160
Kaminee Sooduree Chowdhrain *v.* Mudhoo Soodun Mookerjee.	21 W. R., 376	5708
Kamini Debi *v.* Ramlochan Sirkar	5 B. L. R., 450	1667, 3926
Kamini Sundari Chaodhrani *v.* Kali Prossunno Ghose	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 225 : L. R., 12 I. A., 215.	1080, 2831
Kaminy Mohun Somoddar *v.* Gopal	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 479 : 10 C. L. R., 519.	1866
Kammana Kallacheri Illath Vassudavan Nambudri *v.* Chembrakandy Mussa Kutty.	6 Mad., 138	3212

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kamod Poory *v.* Sheo Poory	1 N. W., 167 : Ed. 1873, 246	4344
Kamona Soondury Dassee *v.* Hurro Lall Shaha	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 570 : 10 C. L. R., 409.	4668
Kampilikaribasavappa *v.* Soma Samuddiram	1 Mad., 183	1811
Kamran *v.* Neitram	6 N. W., 185	3244
Kamruddin Dai *v.* Sonatun Mandal	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 449	2778
Kamal Kaminee Dassee *v.* Obhoy Churn Ghose	15 W. R., 151	2739
Kanahia *v.* Ram Kishen	I. L. R., 2 All., 429	2864
Kanahia Lal *v.* Kali Din	I. L. R., 2 All., 392	4775
——— *v.* Muhammad Husain Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 11	3886
Kanahi Lal *v.* Naubat Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 519	194
Kanahi Ram *v.* Biddya Ram	I. L. R., 1 All., 549	2276, 2442
Kanailal Jowhari *v.* Kamini Debi	1 B. L. R., O. C., 31. note	1822, 4233
Kanai Lall Khan *v.* Sashi Bhuson Biswas	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 777 : 8 C. L. R., 117.	4290, 5055
Kanai Prasad Bose *v.* Hira Chand Manu	5 B. L. R., Ap., 71 : 14 W. R., 120.	1363, 5431
Kanakamma *v.* Venkataratnam	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 586	3878
Kanara *v.* Govindan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 310	3764
Kanara Paniker *v.* Ryappa Paniker	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 212	4473
Kanayalal *v.* Chagmal Battia	8 B. L. R., 412	5989
——— *v.* Pyarabai	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 139	1784, 3962
Kanaye Mollah *v.* Debnath Roy	3 W. R., Act X, 161	536
Kanchan Singh *v.* Sheo Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 291	3531
Kan Chun Malla Dossia *v.* Rajendro Chunder Roy Chowdhry.	18 W. R., 126	562
Kandan Chetti *v.* Coorjee Seit	2 Mad., 187	1102
Kandasami *v.* Doraisami Ayyar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 317	2450
Kandasami Pillai *v.* Moidin Saib	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 337	1997
Kandiya Lal *v.* Chandar	I. L. R., 7 All., 313	628, 5254
Kandoth Mammi *v.* Neelan Cherayil Abdu Kalandan	8 Mad., 14	1701, 2800
Kandu *v.* Konda	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 62	4427
Kandunni *v.* Katiamma	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 251	4986
Kangal Chandra Ruj *v.* Kanye Lall Ruj	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 69	1449
Kangalee Churn Ghosal *v.* Bonomalee Mullick	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 709 : 7 W. R., 515.	3513
Kangalee Churn Josh *v.* Dursunee Dossee	18 W. R., 198	5123
Kangali Churn Sha *v.* Zomurrudonnissa Khatoon	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 709 : 8 C. L. R., 154.	3284
Kanhaiya Lal *v.* Domingo	I. L. R., 1 All., 732	402
Kanhaya Lal *v.* Stowell	I. L. R., 3 All., 581	1772, 1773, 5834
Kanhya Lall *v.* Radha Churn	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 662 : 2 Ind Jur., N. S., 229 : 7 W. R., 338.	2780
Kanhya Lall Pundit *v.* Dinonath Sircar	17 W. R., 23	4130
Kanhyalal Pundit *v.* Collector of Cuttack	14 B. L. R., 291, note : 16 W. R., 275.	842
Kanhye Chand Gossamee *v.* Ram Chunder Gossamee	24 W. R., 81	381
Kanhye Roy *v.* Hyder Buksh	25 W. R., 29	4270
Kanhye Singh *v.* Oomadhur Bhutt	21 W. R., 291	5447
Kanitkar *v.* Joshi	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 442	4830
Kanizak Sukina *v.* Monohur Das	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 204	488
Kanji Ladha *v.* Dhonde Kondaji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 729	1522, 1730
Kanji Mal *v.* Kanhia Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 373	794
——— *v.* Sailo	I. L. R., 8 All., 116	5462
Kankatala Chellamaiya *v.* Poleshetti Papaiya	6 Mad., 36	916

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kannan *v.* Nilakandan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 337	3427
Kanna Pisharodi *v.* Kombi Achen	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 381	3759, 3764
Kannoth Tuluvan Paramban Kunhali *v.* Vannathan Vittil Kinathe.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 74	3766
Kannye Lall Sett *v.* Nistoriny Dossee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 443	3011
Kanoo Mundle *v.* Rahumoollah Mundle	W. R., 1864, 269	5650
Kanooram Sriman *v.* Golap Chand Nowluckha	24 W. R., 178	1127
Kanoo Soudagur *v.* Alabundee Bewa	24 W. R., Cr., 72	3743
Kantee Chunder Dutt *v.* Gopee Madhub Neogee	11 W. R., 3	6173
Kanteti Venkayya *v.* Balabhadrapatruni Kotayya	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 153	4826
Kanthi Ram *v.* Bankey Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 396	221
Kanth Narain Singh *v.* Prem Lall Paurey	3 W. R., 102	1407, 4034
Kanti Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Bamun Doss Mookerjee	25 W. R., 434	3388
Kantiram *v.* Woli Sahu	2 B. L. R., A. C., 330 : 11 W. R., 251.	3710
Kantoo Lall *v.* Girdharee Lall	9 W. R., 469	2164
Kanto Prosad Hazari *v.* Asad Ali Khan	5 C. L. R., 452	3280
Kanu Khandu *v.* Krishna Bhulaji Shet	5 Bom., A. C., 147	4828
Kanya Lall Pundit *v.* Radha Muddun Mohun Doss	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 927 : 9 W. R., 223.	211
Kanye Lall Khan *v.* Khetterinoney Dossee	5 C. L. R., 105	5990
Kapahi Bewa *v.* Keshram Kuch	2 B. L. R., Ap., 23 : 11 W. R., 93.	5745
Kapalavaya Saraya. Ex parte	2 Mad., 247	2007
Kapilnath Sahai *v.* Government	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 142	277
Kapilnauth Sahai Deo *v.* Government	10 B. L. R., 168	6020
——— *v.* ———	13 B. L. R., 445 : 22 W. R., 17.	2003, 2223 3127
Kappusvamiayyan *v.* Nannuvayyun	1 Mad., 74	289
Kaptan *v.* Smith	7 B. L. R., Ap., 25 : 16 W. R., Cr., 3.	2582
Karakar Nachiar. Ex parte	3 Mad., 254	1349
Karakavalasa Appayya *v.* Karanam Papayya	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 256	3532
Karam Ali *v.* Halima	I. L. R., 1 All., 686	669
Karamat Khan *v.* Sami-ud-din	I. L. R., 8 All., 409	4085
Karam Khan *v.* Daryai Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 331	1227
Karan Singh *v.* Bakar Ali Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 1 : L. R., 9 I. A., 99.	3414
——— *v.* Mohan Lal	I. L. R., 5 All., 9	4001
——— *v.* Muhammad Ismail Khan	I. L. R., 7 All., 860	4419, 4545
——— *v.* Ram Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 96	4767
Kareem Bee *v.* Beegam Bee	3 Mad., 368	6228
Kareemooddeen *v.* Moeizooddeen Khan	1 Agra, 184	3727
Karim *v.* Muhammad Kadar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 89	5259
Karim Baksh *v.* Misri Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 295	2650
Karim Baksh Khan *v.* Phula Bibi	I. L. R., 8 All., 102	4556
Karimbhai *v.* Conservator of Forests	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 222	416, 4327 4421
Karim Buksh *v.* Budna	I. L. R., 1 All., 249	2856
——— *v.* Kamr-ud-deen Ahmad	6 N. W., 377	3716
Karim Khatav *v.* Pardhan Manji	2 Bom., 292 : 2nd Ed., 276	3695
Karimodin *v.* Alam Khan	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 119	3664
Karim Shah *v.* Nattan Bivi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 417	3168
Karim Sheikh *v.* Mukhoda Soondery Dassee	15 B. L. R., 111 : 23 W. R., 268.	582, 5921
Karman Bibi *v.* Misrilal	I. L. R., 2 All., 904	227
Karoo Kahar *v.* Nauboo Singh	24 W. R., 380	5677

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Karoolall Thakoor *v.* Dhoonal Mundul	8 W. R., 86	4754
Karoo Lall Thakoor *v.* Forbes	7 W. R., 140	1704, 3843
——— *v.* Luchmeeput Doogur	7 W. R., 15	2886
Karoo Singh *v.* Deo Narain Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 80: 13 C. L. R., 261.	5124
Karpakambal *v.* Subbayyan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 234	801
Kartick Chandra Pal *v.* Sridhar Mandal	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 563	5063
Kartick Chunder Bal *v.* Chunder Nath Chuckerbutty	15 W. R., Cr., 56	4100
Kartick Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Gour Mohun Roy	1 W. R., 48	2198
Kartick Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Mooktaram Sircar	10 W. R., 21	898
Kartick Churn Setty *v.* Gopalkisto Paulit	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 264	1129, 2816
Kartick Kurmokar *v.* Dhunnomonee Goopto	W. R., 1864, 268	2195
Kartick Napit *v.* Personomoyee Naptinee	2 W. R., 77	2772
Kartick Nath Panday *v.* Khakun Singh	1 C. L. R., 328	4795
——— *v.* Roy Nundeput Mahatab	23 W. R., 263	4425
Kartick Nath Pandey *v.* Government	11 W. R., 58	1084
Kartick Nath Parray *v.* Chummun Roy	21 W. R., 51	4284
Kartick Paramanick *v.* Kishen Mohun Mitter	22 W. R., 320	1439
Kartick Surmah *v.* Bydonath Saeenee	10 W. R., 205	5327
Kartic Nath Pandy *v.* Padmanund Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 496	4714
Kartik Chandra Sirkar *v.* Kartik Chandra Dey	3 B. L. R., A. C., 166: 11 W. R., 522.	6071
Karunakar Mahati *v.* Niladhro Chowdhry	5 B. L. R., 652: 14 W. R., 107.	3069
Karuppa *v.* Arumuga	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 386	1572
Karuppa Goundan *v.* Kalanthayan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 91	5245
Karuppan *v.* Ayyathorai	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 445	199
——— *v.* Ramasami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 482	999
Karuppanan *v.* Muthunnan Servey	5 Mad., 105	3481
Karuppana Nayak *v.* Nallamma Nayak	1 Mad., 209	632
Karuppan Chetti *v.* Veriyal	4 Mad., 1	2476, 3192
Karuppa Tevan *v.* Vasudeva Sastri	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 148	3260, 3598
Karuppa Thevan *v.* Alagu Pillai	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 152	2205
Karuthan *v.* Subramanya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 203	419
Karuthasami *v.* Jaganatha	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 478	4995
Karuthedatta *alias* Pullakatt Neelakadan Namboodri *v.* Mele Pullakatt Vassa Devan Namboodri.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 236	2339
Karyan *v.* Doddali	6 Mad., 307	1425
Kasam Pirbhai. In re	8 Bom., Cr., 95	3647, 3648 3741
Kasandas Laldas *v.* Pranjivan Asharam	7 Bom., A. C., 146	4394
Kasee Kant *v.* Gopal Kisto Moitro	W. R., 1864, 314	4190
Kaseenath Nusker *v.* Bama Soondery Dossia	10 W. R., 429	1619
Kaseenauth *v.* Bheekaree Loll	W. R., F. B., 79	3955
Kaseenauth Moor *v.* Reejoonissa	Marsh., 198: 1 Hay, 467	4399, 4898
Kashee Chunder Dey *v.* Bungshee Buddun Dey	23 W. R., 89	1451, 1455
Kashee Chunder Dutt *v.* Judoonath Chuckerbutty	10 W. R., 29	5745
Kashee Chunder Mojoomdar *v.* Seetul Chunder Tullapattur.	17 W. R., 151	1736
Kashee Chunder Roy *v.* Doorga	11 W. R., 410	211
Kashee Chunder Roy Chowdhry *v.* Gour Kishore Gooho	10 W. R., 139	2497
Kashee Chunder Turkobhoosun *v.* Kally Prosunno Chowdhry.	9 W. R., 452	3114
Kashee Kishore Roy Chowdhry *v.* Alip Mundul	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 140: 7 C. L. R., 107.	1189, 1639
——— *v.* Bama Soondaree Debia Chowdhrain.	23 W. R., 27	135, 5985

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kashee Kishore Roy Chowdhry v. Kishen Chunder Sandyal.	15 W. R., 160	820
——— v. Kristo Chunder Sandyal Chowdhry.	22 W. R., 464	5004
——— v. Noor Khan	7 W. R., 45	810
Kashee Lal v. Ameer Jan	2 N. W., 347	862
Kashee Mohun Roy v. Raj Gobind Chuckerbutty	24 W. R., 229	2290
Kasheenath Deb v. Shibessuree Debia	8 W. R., 503	325, 4909
Kasheenath Dhur v. Gudadhur Paul	11 W. R., 374	1159
Kasheenath Doss v. Bhowanee Dossee	W. R., 1864, Mis., 18	4953
Kashee Nath Kooer v. Debkristo Ramanooj Doss	16 W. R., 240	1355, 1899 2792, 2802, 3814, 4500
Kasheenath Koonwar v. Bunko Beharee Chowdhry	12 W. R., 440	3125, 5352
Kashee Nath Mookerjee v. Mohesh Chunder Goopto	25 W. R., 168	303, 4476
Kasheenath Punee v. Lukhmonee Pershad Patnaik	19 W. R., 99	3012
Kasheenath Roy v. Luckheenarain Chatterjee	W. R., 1864, 91	5121, 5131
Kasheenath Roy Chowdhry v. Mynuddeen Chowdhry	1 W. R., 154	2691
——— v. Shabitree Soondure Dossee	10 W. R., 156	564, 5170
——— v. ———	11 W. R., 402	5927
Kasheenath Sein v. Chundermonee Debia	9 W. R., 288	1204
Kasheenath Shaha v. Jogendro Nath Baboo	22 W. R., 68	3174
Kashee Pershad v. Debi Das	7 N. W., 77	775
Kashee Pershad Roy v. Shib Chunder Deb	2 W. R., Mis., 3	3512
Kashee Pershad Sen Neogee v. Jamir Paikar	2 C. L. R., 265	546 1663
Kashee Ram v. Gunga Pershad	2 N. W., 304	528
Kashee Ram Doss v. Sham Mohinee	23 W. R., 227	583
Kasheeshuree Debia v. Greesh Chunder Lahoree	W. R., 1864, 71	2318
Kashee Singh v. Ourset	5 W. R., Act X, 81	4863
Kasheshuree Dassee v. Krishna Kamenee Debia	2 Hay, 557	2242, 4138
Kasheshuree Debia v. Greesh Chunder Lahooree	6 W. R., Mis., 64	414
Kashi v. Mendee Singh	2 Agra, Rev., 8	2915
Kashibai v. Tatia	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 221	2128
Kashi Bashi Ramling Swamee v. Chilumbernath Kooma Swamee.	20 W. R., 217	2244
Kashi Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Yar Mahomed	21 W. R., Cr., 10	4104
Kashi Chunder Doss. In the matter of the petition of	10 B. L. R., 441 : 19 W. R., Cr., 47	4722
——— v. Hurkishore Doss	10 B. L. R., 441 : 19 W. R., Cr., 47.	4722
Kashi Chunder Sen. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 266 : 10 C. L. R., 91.	3852
Kashidas Govindbhai v. B., B. and C. I. Railway Co.	6 Bom., A. C., 114	3285
Kashi Kishor Roy v. Tarini Kant Lahori	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 76 : 15 W. R., Cr., 42, note.	4490
Kashi Nath v. Mukhta Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 370	1473
Kashinath Balal Ok. Ex parte	5 Bom., A. C., 84	5899
Kashi Nath Chatterjee v. Chandi Charan Banerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 383 : 5 W. R., 68	1816
Kashi Nath Chuckerbutty v. Brindabun Chuckerbutty	I. L. R. 10 Calc., 649	1821
Kashi Nath Dass v. Hurrihur Mookerjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 898 : 13 C. L. R., 11.	1826
Kashinath Ghose v. Dinobundhoo Mytee	16 W. R., Cr., 72	3864
Kashinath Khargivala v. Collector of Poona	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 553	2948
Kashinath Morsheth v. Ramchandra Gopinath	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 408	5015
Kashinath Roy v. Nowbowry Koondoo	1 W. R., 22	1823
Kashinath Roy Chowdhry v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee	5 W. R., 297	3215

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kashinath Roy Chowdhry *v.* Roy Dwarkanath Chuckerbutty.	7 W. R., 61	310
Kashinath Sitaram Oze *v.* Dadki	6 Bom., A. C., 211	4156
Kashinath Vithal *v.* Dajigovind	7 Bom., A. C., 102	1048
Kashinath Roy *v.* Mynooddeen Chowdhry	W. R., F. B., 146	5787
Kashi Prasad *v.* Miller	I. L. R., 7 All., 752	833
Kashiram valad Krishna *v.* Bhadu Bapuji	7 Bom., A. C., 17	2836, 5650
Kashti *v.* Narayan Dhulappa	3 Bom., A. C., 110	5481
Kashy Nath Roy Chowdhry *v.* Surbanand Shaha	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 317	451
Kasi Chandra Dutt *v.* Jadunath Chuckerbutty	8 B. L. R., 512, note: 10 W. R., 29.	5690
Kasi Chunder Mozumdar. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 440: 7 C. L. R., 334.	1969, 5521 5524
Kasi Kant Bhuttacharji *v.* Rohini Kant Bhuttacharji	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 325: 7 C. L. R., 342.	548
Kasim Ajim Duplay *v.* Kasim Mohammed Barucha	2 B. L. R., A. C., 59	5584
Kasim Ali *v.* Shadee	3 N. W., 21	4930, 5667
Kasim Ali Khan *v.* Birj Kishore	2 N. W., 182	1360, 1423 4858, 5222
Kasimannissa Bibi *v.* Hurannissa Bibi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 8	3912
Kasim Hussein *v.* Sharif-un-nissa	I. L. R., 5 All., 285	3678
Kasim Mian *v.* Banda Husain	I. L. R., 5 All., 616	3021, 5205
Kasimuddi Khandkar *v.* Nadir Ali Tarafdar	2. B. L. R., A. C., 265: 11 W. R., 164.	320, 1597
Kasimunissa Bibi *v.* Hills	6 B. L. R., Ap., 125: 15 W. R., 127.	5622
Kasimunnissa Bibee *v.* Nilratna Bose	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 79: 9 C. L. R., 173: 10 C. L. R., 113.	3424, 3548 3957, 4258 5397
Kasimunnissa Bibi *v.* Hurannissa Bibi	2 B. L. R., Ap., 6	3912
Kasinath Koowar *v.* Bankubehari Chowdhry	3 B. L., R., A. C., 446	3125, 5352
Kasinath Roy Chowdhry. In re	7 B. L. R., 146, note	5927
Kasinath Shaha *v.* Dwarkanath Sirkar	9 B. L. R., 215: 17 W. R., 550.	777, 6246
Kasi Persad Narain *v.* Kawalbasi Kooer	5 Moore's I. A., 146	4649
Kassee Nauth Roy Chowdhry *v.* Hullodhur Roy	2 W. R., 60	1197, 5462
Kassim Biswas. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 335	4733
Kassim Hassim Soorty *v.* Abrahim Soleman	25 W. R., Cr., 24	4485, 4499
Kassim Mundle *v.* Noor Bibee	1 W. R., 76	1817
Kassim Shaw *v.* Unnodapershad Chatterjee	1 Hyde, 160	3544
Kassirav R. Saheb Holkar *v.* Vithaldas Mangalji	10 Bom., 100	898
Kastalino *v.* Rustomji Dadabhoy	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 468	4409
Kasturbai *v.* Shivajiram Devkurna	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 372	2426
Kastur Bhavani *v.* Appa	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 621	303, 2179 5819
Kasturchand *v.* Ravji Sadashiv	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 65	4068, 5896 6181
Kasturshet Javershet *v.* Rama Kanhoji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 65	1890
Kasublal Dey *v.* Tremearne	3 B. L. R., Ap., 12	6274
Kasum *v.* Shaista Bibi	7 N. W., 313	3682
Katakam Venkaiya *v.* Bhupalam Pedda Mullasappah	4 Mad., 142	779
Katchy Kullyana Rungappa Kalaka Thola Oodiar *v.* Baloosamy Chetty.	3 W. R., P. C., 50: 7 Moore's I. A., 224.	4506
Kateeram Dokanee *v.* Gendhenee	23 W. R., 178	2275
Kathaperumal *v.* Venkabai	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 194	2316, 5392
Katiyanee *v.* Mahomed Shurfooddeen	3 Agra, 189	1347
Kattama Nachiar *v.* Bothagurusami Tevar	6 Mad., 293	6062

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kattama Nachiar *v.* Subrarama Aiyan	4 Mad., 302	3334
Kattama Natchiar *v.* Dorasinga Taver	15 B. L. R., 83; 23 W. R., 314; L. R., 2 I. A., 169.	1413
——— *v.* Muhammad Mira Ravutan	7 Mad., 150	6042
Kattama Nauchear *v.* Rajah of Shivagungah	2 W. R., P. C., 31 : 9 Moore's I. A., 539.	2314, 2325, 2329, 2342, 2514, 2779
Kattamuri Jagappa *v.* Padalu Latchappa	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 119	4767
Kattaperumal Pillai *v.* Panchanadam Pillai	3 Mad., 339	4054
Kattasawmy *v.* Saudama Naik	5 Mad., 294	3594
Katte Monee Dossee *v.* Mon Mohinee Dabee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 353 : 3 W. R., 51.	43
Kattyanee Chowdhrain *v.* Madhubnarain Roy Chowdhry	4 W. R., 68	879
Kattyanee Debia *v.* Girish Chunder Banerjee	23 W. R., 168	4267
Kattyani Debea *v.* Soonduree Debea	2 W. R., Act X, 60	1618
Kauleshar Panday *v.* Girdhari Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 338	2862
Kauleshar Rai *v.* Dost Muhammad Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 274	237
Kaundan *v.* Muttammal	3 Mad., 92	3378
Kavaibai *v.* Alam Khan	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 170	3674
Kavasji Bhunji *v.* Dhondiraj Vinayak	10 Bom., 411	1939
Kavasji Framji *v.* Wallace	1 Bom., 113	2802
Kavasji Sorabji *v.* Barjorji Sorabji	10 Bom., 224	3192
Kaviraja Sundara Murteya Pillai *v.* Nalla Naikan Pillai.	3 Mad., 93	164
Kawa Manji *v.* Khowaz Nussio	5 C. L. R., 278	6003
Kay *v.* Poorunchand Poonalal	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 631	4629
Kazi Chundra Mozoomdar *v.* Juggut Chundra Mozoomdar.	7 C. L. R., 330	5521, 5524
Keamuddin *v.* Allah Buksh	15 W. R., Cr., 51	4332
Kearnes *v.* Bhawani Charan Mitter	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 54: W. R., F. B., 167.	6154
Kebul Kishen Doss *v.* Jaminee	5 W. R., Act X, 47	3800
Kebul Kishen Mozoomdar *v.* Ambala	7 W. R., 326	4845, 4910
Kebul Kristo Dass *v.* Ram Coomar Shah	9 W. R., 571	2090
Kebul Mahton *v.* Sunnoo	5 W. R., Act X, 80	5189
Kebul Ram *v.* Government	5 W. R., 47	3270
Kebul Ram Mundul *v.* Wells	24 W. R., 454	6092
Kedaetollah *v.* Karloo	7 W. R., 73	5748
Kedari bin Ranu *v.* Atmarambhat	3 Bom., A. C., 11	1081, 2213
Kedar Monee Dossee *v.* Chunder Coomar Roy	2 W. R., Act X, 75	531
Kedar Nath *v.* Debi Din	I. L. R., 4 All., 165	82
——— *v.* Rughonath	6 N. W., 104	2846
Kedarnath Acharjee *v.* Bhugwan Chunder Nundee	2 W. R., 153	4173
Kedarnath Bhuttacharjee *v.* Kripa Ram Bhuttacharjee	5 W. R., 270	196
Kedar Nath Biswas *v.* Huro Pershad Roy Chowdhry	23 W. R., 207	578
Kedarnath Chuckerbutty *v.* Donzelle	20 W. R., 352	1686, 3636, 3703
——— *v.* Gopeenath Ghose	23 W. R., 426	1733, 5696
Kedar Nath Coondoo Chowdhry *v.* Hemangini Dassi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 336	2418
Kedar Nath Doss *v.* Protab Chunder Doss	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 626 : 8 C. L. R., 238.	294, 4153
Kedarnath Dutt *v.* Harachand Dutt	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 420	3464
——— *v.* Seeva Veyana Rana Luchman Chetty.	1 C. L. R., 336	426
——— *v.* Shamloll Khettry	11 B. L. R., 405 : 20 W. R., 150.	1527, 4771
Kedarnath Ghose *v.* Kasim Mundul	8 W. R., 364	3113

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kedar Nath Joardar *v.* Surfoonnissa Bibee	24 W. R., 425	1770
Kedarnath Mahata *v.* Kadumbinee Debea	10 W. R., 239	4175
Kedar Nath Mitter *v.* Alisar Rohoman. In the matter of.	10 C. L. R., 197	5277
——— *v.* Sookoomaree Debia	22 W. R., 398	3060
——— *v.* Surendro Deb Roy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 865 : 13 C. L. R., 58.	4787
Kedarnath Mookerjee *v.* Mathuranath Dutt	1 B. L. R., A. C., 17 : 10 W. R., 59.	332, 2081
——— *v.* Mohesh Chunder Paulit	1 W. R., 67	4174
——— *v.* Parbutty Peishkar	2 W. R., 267	5267
Kedarnath Nag *v.* Khetturpaul Sritirutno	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 34 : 6 C. L. R., 569.	3352
Kedar Nath Pakrasee *v.* Doya Moyee Debia	20 W. R., 49	1219, 2696
Kedarnauth Doss *v.* Protab Chunder Doss	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 626 : 8 C. L. R., 238.	294, 4153
Kedar Pooree *v.* Kullan Khan	1 Agra, Rev., 56	1588
Keefe *v.* Christie	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 21	5686
Keemee Baee *v.* Luchmun Das Narain Das	5 W. R., P. C., 59 : 1 Moore's I. A., 470.	183, 4658
Keenoo Singh Roy *v.* Eshan Chunder Roy	6 W. R., 213	4406
Keerut Singh *v.* Koolahul Singh	5 W. R., P. C., 131 : 2 Moore's I. A., 331.	2509
——— *v.* Narain Passee	25 W. R., Cr., 14	5513
Kefaet Hossein *v.* Shumshare Ali	13 W. R., 458	2887
Keisurya *v.* Chotoo	1 N. W., 78 : Ed. 1873, 146	2928
Kellie *v.* Frazer	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 445	360, 2823
Kellu Eradi *v.* Puapalli	2 Mad., 161	3765
Kelly *v.* Hanlon	10 B. L. R., Ap., 23	2633
—— *v.* Kelly and Saunders	3 B. L. R., O. C., 67	1545
—— *v.* ———	3 B. L. R., Ap., 4	1554
—— *v.* ———	3 B. L. R., Ap., 5	1553
—— *v.* ———	3 B. L. R., Ap., 6	1548, 1550
—— *v.* ———	5 B. L. R., 71	1555, 1556
—— *v.* Seth Gobind Dass	6 N. W., 168	5412
Kelu *v.* Paidel	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 473	3760
Kemp *v.* Premnaryan Sing	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 699 : 5 C. L. R., 539.	5120, 5707
Kena Mahomed *v.* Bohatoo Sircar	Marsh., 506	4574
Kenaram Chuckerbutty *v.* Denonath Panda	9 W. R., 325	1422
Kenaram Mullick *v.* Ramkoomar Mookerjee	2 W. R., Act X, 17	1615
Kenaram Shamunt *v.* Gopeenath Geeree	10 W. R., 130	311
Kenchawa *v.* Ningapa	10 Bom., 265, note	2109, 2115
Kendiga Madi Chetti *v.* Soobhamma	5 Mad., 458	3500
Kennelly *v.* Wyman	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 178	2680
Kenny *v.* Administrator General of Bengal	3 B. L. R., O. C., 75	6133
——— *v.* ———	7 B. L. R., Ap., 50	5988
——— *v.* Issur Chunder Poddar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 9	1590, 4865
——— *v.* Kolum Mundle	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1	1357
——— *v.* Mookta Soonderee Dabee	7 W. R., 419	4589
——— *v.* Sumeeroonissa	3 W. R., 68	43
Kerakoose *v.* Brooks	8 Moore's I. A., 339 : 4 W. R., P. C., 61.	2626, 4634
——— *v.* Serle	3 Moore's I. A., 329	5973
Keramut Ali *v.* Abdool Wahab	17 W. R., 131	4614, 5820
Keramut Hossein *v.* Golap Koonwar	3 W. R., 101	3192
Keramutoollah *v.* Gholam Hossein	9 W. R., 77	1760

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kernot *v.* Walton	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 14	943
Kerr *v.* Nuzzar Mahomed	2 W. R., P. C., 29	1746
Kerry *v.* Madanlal Das	1 B. L. R., S. N., 11	1591
Kerteenarain Chowdhry *v.* Perthenarain Chowdhry	1 W. R., 32	4906
Kery Kolitany *v.* Moneram Kolita	13 B. L. R., 1 : 19 W. R., 367.	2525
Kessabram Mahapattar *v.* Nandkishor Mahapattar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 7 : 11 W. R., 308.	2299
Kesaroo *v.* Samardhan	5 N. W. 94	2320, 2442
Kesava Pillai *v.* Peddu Reddi	1 Mad., 258	4573
Keshab Lal Mitter *v.* Masabdy Mundul	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 11	5694
Keshava *v.* Keshava	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 45	3765
Keshavan *v.* Vasudevan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 297	1728, 3753
Keshava Sanabhaga *v.* Lakshminarayana	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 192	2487, 2793 6077
Keshava Tharagan *v.* Rudran Numbudri	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 259	4970
Keshav Bapuji *v.* Narayan Shamrav	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 18	4511
Keshavbhat *v.* Bhagirthibai	3 Bom., A. C., 75	2246, 5670
Keshav Gopal Ginde *v.* Rayapa	12 Bom., 165	1906, 4323 4328, 5384
Keshav Harkha *v.* Ganpat Hirachand	8 Bom., A. C., 87	2855
Keshavji Naik *v.* Nasarvanji Ardesir Wadia	10 Bom., 425	6274
Keshav Kasinath. In re	9 Bom., 43	5842
Keshav Lakshman. In re	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 175	962
Keshav Ramkrishna *v.* Govind Ganesh	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 94	2118, 2526
Keshavram valad Hirachand *v.* Ramchandra Trimbak	8 Bom., A. C., 44	196, 3448
Keshavrav Krishna Joshi *v.* Bhavanji bin Babaji	8 Bom., A. C., 142	184, 289 3910
Keshò Lall *v.* Mohabeer Pershad	25 W. R., 185	2164
Kesho Pershad *v.* Hirdoy Narain	6 C. L. R., 69	765
Kesho Rai *v.* Binayak Rai	3 Agra, 179	4536
Keshoree Lall *v.* Gobindram	4 N. W., 70	1442, 4042
Keshub Chunder Acharjee *v.* Hurro Soondurce Dobea	25 W. R., 529	3094
Keshub Chunder Sein *v.* Vynsmonee Dossia	7 W. R., 118	2021
Keshub Lall Chowdhry *v.* Watson & Co.	W. R., 1864, 64	44
Kesree *v.* Seth Roshun Lal	2 N. W., 4	3964
Kesri *v.* Ganga Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 168	6156
Kesserbai *v.* Valab Raoji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 188	2311, 2812
Kesu Shivram *v.* Vithu Kanaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 320	1523
Ketabdi Mundul. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 507	956, 961 5565
Ketal Gain *v.* Nadur Mistree	6 W. R., 168	5176
Kethaperumal *v.* Venkabai	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 194	2464
Ketiki Chutiany *v.* Lukheekant Bose	24 W. R., 440	5943
Keval Bhagvan *v.* Ganpati Narayan	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 220	2235
Kevaldas Sakarchand *v.* Pestonji Nasservanji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 467	2680
Keval Kuber *v.* Talukdari Settlement Officer	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 586	3375, 5595
Kewajah Chyemun Kajah *v.* Jan Ally Chowdhry	1 W. R., 46	3020
Kewal Kandoo *v.* Omrao Singh	25 W. R., 166	5753
Kewal Ram *v.* Khadim Husain	I. L. R., 5 All., 576	3511
Kewata Mug *v.* Nobocoomar Doss	19 W. R., 207	289, 1208
Kewul Sahoo *v.* Issur Dyal Roy	12 W. R., 334	4284
——— *v.* Rash Narayan Singh	13 W. R., 445	3080
Keyake Ilata Kotel Kanni *alias* Grani *v.* Yadattil Vellayangot Achuda Pisharodi.	3 Mad., 380	5263
Khadar Bhi *v.* Rahiman Bhi	3 Mad., 167	751
Khadar Saheb *v.* Chotibibi	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 616	4046

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khadar Saib *v.* Khadar Bibi	3 Mad., 149	4819
Khadejah Bibee *v.* Suffur Ali	4 W. R., 36	3733
Khadem Ali *v.* Tajimunissa	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 607	1764
Khadem Hosseia Khan *v.* Kalee Pershad Singh	8 W. R., 49	448
Khader Hussain *v.* Hussain Begum	5 Mad., 114	3681
Khadim Ali *v.* Nazir Begum	3 N. W., 262	1422
Khagendranath Malik *v.* Rakhaldas Sirkar	2 B. L. R., S. N., 1	3282
Khairuddin Ahmed *v.* Abdul Baki	3 B. L. R., A. C., 65 : 11 W. R., 410.	1591, 1593 4927
Khair-un-nissa *v.* Gauri Shankar	I. L. R., 3 All., 484	3478
Khait Singh *v.* Heera Dass	1 Agra, 75	6158
Khaja Patthanji. In re	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 202	3460
Khajarannissa *v.* Risannissa Begum	5 B. L. R., 84 : 13 W. R., 371	3331, 3332 3653, 3654
Khajooroonissa *v.* Rohimoonissa	16 W. R., 152	1224, 5829
——— *v.* Roushan Jehan	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 184 : 26 W. R., 36; L. R., 3 I. A., 291.	996, 3633 3634, 3680 3732
Khajooroonnissa *v.* Saifoolla Khan	15 B. L. R., 306 : 24 W. R., 163 : L. R., 2 I. A., 235.	3331, 3654
Khajoorunnissa *v.* Roheemunnissa	17 W. R., 190	3735
Khajuranissa Begum *v.* Ahmed Reza	8 B. L. R., 166, note : 11 W. R., 88.	5189
Khajurunissa *v.* Ahmed Reza	8 B. L. R., 93 : 16 W. R., P. C., 1.	1160
Khalut Chunder Ghose *v.* Minto	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 426	2828, 4936
——— *v.* Sarodasoondery Dossee	Bourke, O. C., 244	5583, 6184
Khan *v.* Bama Soonduree Dossee	25 W. R., 62	3797
Khan Chand *v.* Collector of Boolundshahur	1 N. W., Pt. VII, p. 110 : Ed. 1873, 197.	4103
Khando Narayan Kulkarni *v.* Afaji Sadashiv Kulkarni	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 370	2850
Khandu Dulabdas *v.* Tarachand Amarchand	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 574	4825
Khandu Moreshvar *v.* Shivji bin Gorhoji	5 Bom., A. C., 212	2796, 4424
Khandu valad Keru *v.* Tatia valad Vithoba	8 Bom., A. C., 23	5071, 5679 5748
Khanto Bewah *v.* Nundram Nath	15 W. R., 369	5662
Khantomonee Debia *v.* Komodinee Debia	25 W. R., 69	1674
Khanum Jan *v.* Rutton Lal	8 W. R., 95	1677
Khanzadee *v.* Collector of Boolundshuhur	1 Agra, 57	1492
Kharag Singh *v.* Bholanath	I. L. R., 4 All., 8	2726
Kharak Chand Pal *v.* Tarack Chunder Gupta	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1030	1264
Kharshedji Nasarvanji Cama *v.* Secretary of State for India.	5 Bom., O. C., 97	348, 5800
Khasim *v.* Carlier	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 272	4393
Khaski Roy *v.* Farzand Ali Khan	9 B. L. R., 125 : 18 W. R., 144.	1624
Khasro Mandar *v.* Prem Lal	9 B. L. R., Ap., 41 : 18 W. R., 403.	528
Khatija Bibi. In the matter of	5 B. L. R., 557	2094, 3638 3851
——— *v.* Taruk Chunder Dutt	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 980 : 13 C. L. R., 182.	6022
Khatoo Bibee *v.* Furukh Ali	6 W. R., Mis., 108	1912
Khatoon Koonwar *v.* Hurdoot Narain Singh	20 W. R., 163	1139, 6224
Khatun Bibi *v.* Abdullah	I. L. R., 3 All., 9	4616
Khayali *v.* Husain Bakhsh	I. L. R., 8 All., 198	4795
Khedaroonissa Bibee *v.* Boodhoo Bibee	13 W. R., 317	4991

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khedoo Thakoor *v.* Bhugwat Lall	16 W. R., 9	2879
Kheeromonee Dossee *v.* Beejoy Gobind Bural	7 W. R., 533	1746, 1760
Kheeroodhur Lall *v.* Seetulram	2 Hay, 353	2362
Khelalchunder Ghose *v.* Poorno Chunder Roy	2 W. R., 258	3373, 4210
Khelaram Doss Mistree *v.* Dhuree Doss	1 Hay, 4	5254
Khelat Chunder Ghose *v.* Bhuggobutty Churn Mookerjee	14 W. R., 144	897
——— *v.* Prosunno Moyee Dassee	Marsh., 478	1933
Khellat Chunder Ghose *v.* Gourchurn Mojoomdar	18 W. R., 402	5934
——— *v.* Keshub Chunder Paul Chowdry.	16 W. R., 46	5475
——— *v.* Nusseebunnissa Bibee	16 W. R., 47	3141, 6074
Khellut Chunder Ghose *v.* Collector of Bhaugulpore	W. R., 1864, 73	45
——— *v.* Prosunnomoye Dossee	W. R., 1864, Mis., 24	230
Khelut Chunder Ghose *v.* Kishen Gobind Deb	16 W. R., 128	5064, 5339
——— *v.* Kristo Gobind Deb	18 W. R., 276	6120
——— *v.* Prankisto Dey	11 B. L. R., 428, note: 12 W. R., 461.	5130
——— *v.* Tarachand Koondoo Chowdhry.	6 W. R., 269	888, 3389 3997
Khema Debea *v.* Kamolakant Bukshi	10 B. L. R., 259, note: 10 W. R., 10.	3540
Khema Moyee *alias* Khemessuree Debia *v.* Radha Pearee Debia Chowdhrain.	8 W. R., 469	2987
Khemamoyee alias Khemessuree Debia *v.* Shoshee Bhoosun Gangooly.	9 W. R., 95	2984
Khema Soondaree Dossia *v.* Nundkoomar Goopto	4 W. R., 75	5355
Khemji Bhagvandass Gujar *v.* Rama	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 519	3382, 3886
Khem Karan *v.* Har Dayal	1. L. R., 4 All., 37	1691, 3142
Khemkor *v.* Umiashankar Ranchhor	10 Bom., 381	2441
Khem Kurun *v.* Seeta Ram	2 N. W., 257	3714
Khemna Gowala *v.* Budoloo Khan	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 251: 7 C. L. R., 92.	349
Khemonkuree Debia *v.* Modhoomutty Debia	4 W. R., Mis., 23	3838
Khemunkuree Chowdhrain *v.* Gourchunder Mojoomdar	5 W. R., 268	143
Khemunkuree Dabee *v.* Shurut Soondaree Dabee	14 W. R., 9	5935
Khemunkuree Dossee *v.* Gooroo Prosad Mytee	11 W. R., 379	1700
——— *v.* Nilambur Mundul	2 W. R., 227	878
Khenumkuree Chowdhrani *v.* Gour Chunder Mojoomdar	5 W. R., 268	143
Kheraj Mullah. In the matter of the petition of	11 B. L. R., 33: 20 W. R., Cr., 13.	5600
——— *v.* Janab Mullah	11 B. L. R., 33: 20 W. R., Cr., 13.	5600
Khermukuree Dossee *v.* Nilambur Mundul	2 W. R., 227	248
Kheroda Mayi Dasi *v.* Golam Abardari	13 B. L. R., 114: 21 W. R., 149.	5410
Kherode Chunder Roy *v.* Gordon	23 W. R., 237	5191
Kherodemoney Dossee *v.* Doorgamoney Dossee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 455: 2 C. L. R., 112: 3 C. L. R., 315.	2560, 3169
Kherodhur Lall *v.* Doolee Chund	10 W. R., 424	3820
Kheta Mal *v.* Chuni Lal	I. L. R. 2 All., 173	1402
Khetermonee Dassee *v.* Kishenmohun Mitter	Marsh., 313	6155
Khetoosee Cherooria *v.* Banee Madhub Doss	12 W. R., 114	2830, 3950
Khetramani Dasi *v.* Kasi Nath Das	2 B. L. R., A. C., 15: 10 W. R., F. B., 89.	2420
Khetter Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Khetter Paul Sreeterutno.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 886: 6 C. L. R., 199.	1848

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khetter Mohun Chowrunghee. In the matter of the petition of.	22 W. R., Cr., 43	1270, 5911
Khetter Mohun Chuckerbutty v. Dinabashy Shaha	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 265	3181
Khetter Mohun Doss v. Chunder Money Dabee	Cor., 125	2830
Khetter Mohun Dutt v. Wells	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 719: 11 C. L. R., 13.	3779
Khettermohun Ghose v. Ramesaur Ghose	W. R., 1864, 304	3849
Khetter Monee Dabee v. Madhub Chunder Roy	13 W. R., 160	686
Khettermonee Dossee v. Gopeemohun Roy	1 Hay, 178	3814, 5258
——— v. Sreenath Sircar	11 B. L. R., Ap., 5	4493
Khetternath Dutt v. Indro Jalia	16 W. R., Cr., 78	5994
Khetter Nath Seal v. Shib Nath Chatterjee	W. R., 1864, 284	4611
Khetter Paul Singh v. Luckhee Narain Mitter	15 W. R., 125	3184, 5324
Khettra Mohun Baboo v. Rash Behari Baboo	5 B. L. R., 167: 13 W. R., 252	636
Khettramohun Chatterjee v. Kisorimohun Bose	1 B. L. R., O. C., 27	1208
Khettromony Dasi v. Sreenath Sircar	20 W. R., Cr., 14	5153
Khettro Nath Dey v. Gossain Doss Dey	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 49: 4 W. R., Mis., 18.	3181
——— v. ———	7 W. R., 188	5051
——— v. ———	11 W. R., 543	12
Khettsey Das. In re	3 B. L. R., Ap., 14	2675
Khettur Balsee v. Gour Hurree	W. R., 1864, 387	4825
Khettur Gopal Chatterjee v. Poorno Chunder Chatterjee	15 W. R., 493	2288
Khettur Mohun Banerjee v. Haradhun Chatterjee	19 W. R., 287	5327
Khettur Mohun Chuttopadhya v. Ishur Chunder Surma	11 W. R., 271	403, 4523
Khettur Mohun Gossain v. Bhyrub Chunder Sheet	3 W. R., 126	2772
Khettur Mohun Paul v. Ram Coomar Paul	5 W. R., Act X, 2	541
Khetturmonee Dossee v. Gopeemohun Roy	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 83	3814, 5258
Khetturnath Doss v. Ramjadoo Bhuttacharjee	24 W. R., 49	2077
Khettur Nath Ghose v. Prosunno Ghose	7 W. R., 498	5288
Khetturnath Roy v. Durbesh Moonshee	9 W. R., 358	4464
Khevraj Jusrup v. Lingaya	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 2	1719, 5401
Khidu v. Kali Sahu	3 B. L. R., Ap., 112: 12 W. R., 71.	632
Khiluck Chunder Ghose v. Prosunnomoyee Dassee	Marsh., 478	1933
Khilut Chunder Ghosh v. Koonjlall Dhur	11 B. L. R., 194, note: 10 W. R., 333.	2348, 2350
Khimji Chaturbhuj v. Forbes	8 Bom., O. C., 102	2806
Khimji Jivraju Shettu v. Purushotam Jutani	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 171	2754, 2811, 4426
Khoblall Baboo v. Ramchunder Bose	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 434	5241
Khoda Baksh Mistri v. Beni Mandal	6 B. L. R., 719, note: 14 W. R., 156.	5661
Khodabhai Mahiji v. Bahdhar Dala	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 541	2293
Khoda Buksh v. Akool Gazee	9 W. R., 595	5028
——— v. Degumburee Dossee	W. R., 1864, 207	5318
——— v. Hurree Ram	2 N. W., 399	1881
——— v. Mowla Buksh	14 W. R., 255	183, 1215, 6078
——— v. Purmanund Dutt	5 W. R., 214	3245
——— v. Shukroollah	5 N. W., 220	437
Khoda Buksh Khan v. Choa	19 W. R., 162	6002
Khoda Bux. In re	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 42	2664
Khodabux v. Budree Narain Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 137: 8 C. L. R., 306	3158
Khodajamnissa v. Stevens	20 W. R., 433	436, 3266

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khoda Nowaz *v.* Nubo Kishore Raj	5 W. R., Act X, 53	1607, 1618 1662
Khodeja Bibee *v.* Johad Roheen	14 W. R., 320	5477
——— *v.* Ram Narain Dan	12 W. R., 511	5467
Khodejoonissa. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 486	4345, 5209
Khodejoonnissa Bibee *v.* Lutafut Hossein	W. R., 1864, 171	1759, 3166 6059
Khodic Lal *v.* Biswasu Kunwar	4 B. L. R., A. C., 131 : 13 W. R., 122.	3147, 3175
Khoffch Jan Bebee *v.* Mahomed Mehdee	10 W. R., 211	3727
Khogendro Narain Chowdhury *v.* Gouri Kant Nath	11 C. L. R., 300	4427
Khomkar Abdool Guffoor *v.* Khoda Newaz	Marsh., 568	196
Khondkar Abdoor Rahman *v.* Woomachurn Roy	8 W. R., 330	1626, 1656
Khondkar Kefaetoollah *v.* Mahomed Kabel	9 W. R., 345	4896
Khondkar Nowazush Hossein *v.* Woosuloonissa Bibee	6 W. R., 249	3983
Khoobchund *v.* Hazaree Lall	1 Agra, 275	5743
——— *v.* Leela Dhur	3 Agra, 103	3389
Khoobee *v.* Akbar	2 Agra, 322	4930
Khoob Koonwur *v.* Moodnarain Singh	1 W. R., P. C., 36 : 9 Moore's I. A., 1.	1505
Khoob Lall *v.* Jungle Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 787 : 2 C. L. R., 439.	225
Khoob Lall Sahoo *v.* Kadir Buksh	15 W. R., 431	766
Khoob Lall Singh *v.* Toolsee Singh	17 W. R., 219	756
Khoob Singh *v.* Bulwant Singh	2 Agra, 302	2918
Khoobun *v.* Wooma Churun Singh	3 C. L. R., 453	2881, 3436 4300
Khoodee Koiburtnee *v.* Durgadass Bhuttacharjee	15 W. R., Cr., 82	4719
Khoodee Monee Dossee Ghossauee *v.* Koylash Chunder Ghose.	4 W. R., Mis., 22	84
Khoodee Ram Dutt *v.* Kishen Chand Golecha	25 W. R., 145	2740, 3222
Khooderam Chatterjee *v.* Rookhinee Boistobee	15 W. R., 197	2340
Khood Narain Singh *v.* Toolsee Roy	15 W. R., 9	5145
Khoodoomoney Dabee *v.* Goluckmoney Dabee	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 36	678
Khoonoo *v.* Aoodal Singh	8 W. R., 511	5273
Khoorshed Hossein *v.* Nubbee Fatima	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 551 : 2 C. L. R., 187.	3539, 4314
——— *v.* Teknarain Sing	2 C. L. R., 141	4577
Khoorshed Kazi *v.* Empress	8 C. L. R., 542	726, 2012
Khooshal Chund *v.* Palmer	1 Agra, 280	2796, 2821 4424
——— *v.* ———	2 Agra, Pt. II, 170	3222, 3301
Khooshalo *v.* Subookh	1 Agra, 175	2076
Khooshal Rae *v.* Jankee Doss	2 N. W., 9	3894
Khoresh Mahomed *v.* Mahomed Takee	10 C. L. R., 106	5144
Khoroo Lall *v.* Kant Lall	5 W. R., Mis., 37	281
Khorshed Ali *v.* Chowdhry Wahid Ali	15 W. R., 170	5922
——— *v.* Tayler	W. R., 1867, 15	1962, 6015
Khorshedjee Manikjée *v.* Mehrwanjee Khoorshedjee	5 W. R., P. C., 57 : 1 Moore's I. A., 431.	4653
Khorun Singh *v.* Beharee Lall	3 Agra, 95	3369
Khosal Chund *v.* Luchmee Chund	Bourke, O. C., 151	2573
Khosal Singh *v.* Toolsee Chowdhry	10 W. R., Cr., 40	972
Khoshalee *v.* Buljeet	2 Agra, 79	1569
——— *v.* Nund Lall	6 N. W., 1	1144
Khoshal Mahomed *v.* Joynooddeen	12 W. R., 451	3008
Khoshlal Mahton *v.* Gunesh Dutt *alias* Nanhoo Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 690	3140

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khowaz Ram Bux Singh *v.* Bishendhareé Geer	23 W. R., 402	5934
Khub Chand *v.* Kalian Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 240	3892, 3922, 5375
——— *v.* Narain Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 812	5050
Khub Lal *v.* Ghina Hazari	2 B. L. R., A. C., 339	5629
Khuda Bakhsh *v.* Sheo Din	I. L. R. 8 All., 405	3062, 4790
Khudeeram Biswas *v.* Koral Budonee Dossee	21 W. R., 379	5695
Khudeerun Lall *v.* Chutterdharee Lall	21 W. R., 242	4214, 5584
Khudiram Singh *v.* Sham Singh Poojoory	W. R., 1864, Mis., 25	164
Khugendro Nath Mullick *v.* Kantee Ram Paul	14 W. R., 368	3803
Khuggender Narain Chowdhry *v.* Sharupgir Oghorenath	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 543	2332
Khugowlee Sing *v.* Hossein Bux Khan	7 B. L. R., 673 : 15 W. R., P. C., 30	1691, 4962
Khukroo Misrain *v.* Jhoomuck Lall Dass	15 W. R., 263	2428, 4011
Khulleel Mahomed *v.* Furzam Ally	12 W. R., 269	5739
Khunni *v.* Nasir-ud-din Ahmad	I. L. R., 4 All., 255	3346
Khurram Singh *v.* Bhawani Baksh	I. L. R., 3 All., 440	2725
Khurruckdharee Singh *v.* Pershadee Mundul	22 W. R., Cr., 44	6250, 6272
Khuruckdharee Singh *v.* Rewat Lall Singh	12 W. R., 267	3112, 4469
Khusalbhai *v.* Kabhai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 26	3119, 3454
Khusal Chand *v.* Mahadev Giri	12 Bom., 214	1579, 2255
Khusaldas *v.* Sakharam Ramchandra Dikshit	12 Bom., 212	1891
Khushalchand Lalchand *v.* Ibrahim Fakir	3 Bom., A. C., 23	2498
Khushali *v.* Rani	I. L. R., 4 All., 195	2072
Khushalo *v.* Behari Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 523	3321, 4811
Khuttun Kooer *v.* Poona Kooer	24 W. R., 322	672
Khwahish Ali *v.* Surju Prasad Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 598	3749
Khyrat Ali *v.* Mahomed Yasoen Khan	2 Agra, 207	1104
——— *v.* Syfullah Khan	8 W. R., 130	483
Khyratun *v.* Amanee	11 W. R., 212	3650, 3695
Khyrooniss*a v.* Salehoonissa Khatoon	5 W. R., 238	3364, 3384
Khyrut Ally *v.* Khurruck Dharee Singh	Marsh., 520	3265
Kiam Ali *v.* Kayamaddi	6 C. L. R., 212	1925
Kifayat Ali *v.* Ram Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 359	3494
Kilaram Maji *v.* Narayan Das	5 B. L. R., 215 : 13 W. R., 278	5693
Kinhar Dansha *v.* Gokurun	3 Agra, 316	1766, 3278
King *v.* King	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 416	1551
—— *v.* ——	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 487	1557, 3148
Kinlock *v.* Collector of Etawah	I. L. R., 3 All., 433	6133
——— *v.* Court of Wards	I. L. R., 3 All., 433	6133
Kinmond *v.* Jackson	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 17	3277
——— *v.* ———	1 C. L. R., 66	4334
——— *v.* Lawrie	1 C. L. R., 66	4334
Kinnoo Monee Debia *v.* Shoboram Sirkar	3 W. R., 128	2752, 4042
Kinoo Doss *v.* Rughoonath Doss	4 W. R., 34	3256
Kinoo Singh Roy *v.* Nusseeroodeen Mahomed Chowdhry	17 W. R., 97	3056
Kirani Ahmedula *v.* Subabhat	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 28	289
Kirath Chand *v.* Ganesh Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 358	3358
Kirat Singh *v.* Ram Doss	W. R. F. B., 8	4197
Kirkby *v.* Dillon	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 243	324
Kirkland *v.* Modee Pestonjee Khoorshedjee	3 Moore's I. A., 220	2699, 4633
Kirpa Shunkur *v.* Pal Pandey	1 Agra, Rev., 47	4457
Kirt Chunder Roy *v.* Government	5 W. R., P. C., 41 : 1 Moore's I. A., 383.	5342
Kirtebash Mytee *v.* Ramdhun Kharal	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 197 : 7 W. R., 526.	1753
Kirteebash Mayetee *v.* Ramdhun Khoria	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 658	1753

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kirtee Chunder Mitter *v.* Anath Nath Deb	13 C. L. R., 253	4441, 6084
——— *v.* Struthers	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 336 : 3 C. L. R., 546.	1117
Kirtee Narain Chowdhry *v.* Protap Chunder Burooah	W. R., F. B., 129	43, 4745
Kirte Mohaldar *v.* Ramjan Mohaldar	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 523	238
Kirti Ojha *v.* Rajkumar	7 B. L. R., 29, note	5528
Kirty Chunder Haldar *v.* Raj Chunder Haldar	22 W. R., 273	4804
Kirty Chunder Mitter *v.* Anath Nath Dey	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 97 : 13 C. L. R., 249.	3554, 5001
Kirty Churn Mitter *v.* Aunath Nath Deb	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 757 : 11 C. L. R., 95.	6092
Kisandas Budhmal *v.* Halpin	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 218	5662
Kisandas Hajarimal *v.* Gulabchand	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 494	1134
Kisandram valad Hira Chand *v.* Jethiram valad Magniram.	5 Bom., A. C., 57	5748
Kisan Nandram *v.* Anandaram Bachaji	10 Bom., 433	812
Kisansing Jivansing *v.* Moreshwar Vishnu	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 91	2384
Kisara Rukkumma Rau *v.* Cripati Viyanna Dikshatulu	1 Mad., 369	2704, 4441
Kishen Bullubh Mahta *v.* Behasoo Commur	3 W. R., 230	4002
Kishen Buttee Misrain *v.* Hickey	11 W R., 406	4939
Kishenbutty Misrain *v.* Roberts	16 W. R., 287	547, 3337
Kishen Chand *v.* Kour Askunder Gir	1 N. W., 95 : Ed 1873, 185	3495
——— *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R, 3 All., 829	5219
——— *v.* Sheo Baram Rai	7 N. W., 121	4903
——— *v.* Kishen Lall	2 Agra, 123	2818
Kishen Chunder *v.* Chundrabolly Dossee	2 Hay, 180	248
Kishen Chunder Doss *v.* Buratee Sheikh	2 W. R., Act X, 36	4163
Kishen Chunder Gaen *v.* Sreeshtee Dhur Khattah	8 W. R., 208	244
Kishen Chunder Ghose *v.* Ashoorun	Marsh., 647	5383
——— *v.* Muddun Mohun Mozoomdar	7 W. R., 365	5361
——— *v.* Nundkishore Singh	Marsh., 651	3900
Kishen Chunder Putronovis *v.* Tara Monee Chowdhrain	3 W. R., 4	194
Kishen Chunder Roy *v.* Ramkanaye Doss	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 23	3113
Kishen Chunder Shaha *v.* Hookoom Chand Shaha	W. R., 1864, 47	4164
Kishen Coomaree Bibee *v.* Golab Coomaree Bibee	15 W. R., 477	3777
Kishendatt Ram *v.* Mumtaz Ali Khan	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 198 : 5 C. L. R., 213 : L. R., 6 I. A., 145.	3961
Kishen Dhun Audhicaree *v.* Campbell	W. R., F. B., 22 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 79.	3015
Kishen Dhun Nundee *v.* Bhookto Polly	9 W. R., 461	4996
Kishendhun Surmah *v.* Ramdhun Chatterjee	6 W. R., 235	2019
Kishen Doss *v.* Hurry Jeebun Doss	10 W. R., 324	2971
Kishen Dutt Ram Panday *v.* Narendar Bahadoor Singh	L. R., 3 I. A., 85	4186, 4221
Kishen Dyal Singh *v.* Monohur Lall	2 Hay, 381	4143
——— *v.* Sunkar Dutt	2 W. R., Mis., 15	1490
Kishen Geer *v.* Busgeet Roy	14 W. R., 379	1501, 2490
Kishen Gopal Mawar *v.* Barnes	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 374	571
Kishen Kaminee Chowdhrain *v.* Mohima Chunder Roy	Marsh., 339 : 2 Hay, 459	1144
Kishen Kinkur Ghose *v.* Borodo Kanth Roy	Marsh., 533 : 2 Hay, 656	2705, 2815
——— *v.* Buroda Kant Roy	8 W. R., 470	1450, 1883, 3509, 3543
Kishen Komul Singh *v.* Janokee Dassee	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 23 : W. R., F. B., 3.	2357
Kishen Koomar Mitter *v.* Mohesh Chunder Banerjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 3	4936
Kishen Koomar Moitro *v.* Stevenson	2 W. R., 141	1575
Kishen Lal *v.* Kinlock	I. L. R., 3 All., 712	3347
Kishen Lall Chowdhry *v.* Chunder Coomar Roy	W. R., 1864, 152	4277

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kishen Mohun Ghose *v.* Eshan Chunder Mitter	4 W. R., Act X, 36	1603
Kishen Mohun Jush *v.* Chunder Kant Chuckerbutty	6 W. R., Mis., 49	3514
Kishen Mohun Koond *v.* Muddun Mohun Tewaree	5 W. R., 32	3157, 3192
Kishen Mohun Mitter *v.* Khettermonee Dassee	2 Hay, 196	6155
Kishen Mohun Mookerjee *v.* Rajoo Dey	13 B. L. R., 245, note: 19 W. R., 234.	6114
Kishen Mohun Shaha *v.* Ram Chunder Dey	3 W. R., 28	6149
Kishenmonee Dabee *v.* Anund Nath Roy	W. R., F. B., 112	2266
Kishen Monee Debia *v.* Kashee Soondaree Debia	W. R., F. B., 106	2146
Kishen Pershad Singh *v.* Crowdy	23 W. R., 15	3843
——— *v.* Mohun Singh	15 W. R., 420	4169
Kishen Pertab Sahee Bahadoor *v.* Lalla Nund Coomar Singh Parray.	25 W. R., 388	3952
Kishen Prosunno Mojomdar *v.* Nurduma Dossee	17 W. R., 339	5467
Kishen Sahai *v.* Collector of Allahabad	I. L. R., 4 All., 137	1872, 3486
Kishen Sarup *v.* Desraj	7 N. W., 179	1169
Kishen Singh *v.* Dabeer Singh	2 Agra, 272	4301
Kishen Soonder Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	12 W. R., Cr., 47	5150, 5160, 5562
Kishen Soonder Roy *v.* Prosunno Nath Bhuttacharjee	W. R., 1864, 208	811
Kishen Soondur *v.* Fukeeroodeen Mahomed	W. R., 1864, 61	3253
Kishen Sunkur Dutt *v.* Mohamya Dossee	W. R., 1864, 210	2111
Kishnanand *v.* Partab Narain Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 785: L. R., 11 I. A., 88.	2699, 3336, 3843
Kishna Ram *v.* Hingu Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 237	216
——— *v.* Narsing Sevak	I. L. R., 3 All., 855	232
Kishnee *v.* Khealee Ram	2 N. W., 424	2487
Kishorbhai Gallabhai *v.* Jorabhai Daji	7 Bom., A. C., 56	4820
Kishorchand Champa Lal *v.* Madhowji Visram	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 407	1209, 5608
Kishore Bon Mohunt *v.* Kalee Churn Giree	22 W. R., 364	108
Kishore Bullubh Mitter *v.* Bistoo Chunder Ghose	12 W. R., 188	2979
Kishore Chunder Sein *v.* Kally Kinkur Paul Chowdhry	20 W. R., 333	5334
Kishore Doss *v.* Pursun Mahtoon	20 W. R., 171	1744
Kishoree *v.* Jey Kishore Dass	3 Agra, 46	5210
Kishoree Debia *v.* Jugunnath Acharjee	9 W. R., 269	5799
Kishoree Lal *v.* Luchmun Doss	2 N. W., 147	6019
Kishoree Lall *v.* Enaeth Hossein Khan	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 11: Ed. 1873, 71.	3768
Kishoree Lall Roy *v.* Gokool Monee Chowdhrain	16 W. R., 281	1995
Kishoree Lall Sircar. In the matter of	14 W. R., 217	4442
Kishoree Mohun Bose *v.* Gour Monee Dossee	15 W. R., 198	4338
Kishoree Mohun Roy. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 10	4488
Kishore Sahai *v.* Mungeri Sahai	16 W. R., Cr., 48	980
Kishore Singh *v.* Gobind Singh	24 W. R., 33	4467
——— *v.* Gunnesh Mookerjee	9 W. R., 252	2767, 5700
——— *v.* Pookhun Singh	10 W. R., 432	295
Kishori Lal Roy *v.* Sharut Chunder Mozoomdar	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 593: 10 C. L. R., 359.	1233
Kishori Mohun Ghose *v.* Moni Mohun Ghose	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 165	2469, 2538
Kishori Mohun Rai *v.* Hursook Dass	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 696	902
Kishory Mohun Pramanick. In the matter of the petition of.	23 W. R., Cr., 6	5495
Kishto Coomer Singh *v.* Chowdree Beeraj Singh	2 Hay, 159	3894
Kishto Soondery Debea *v.* Kishtomotee	Marsh., 367: 2 Hay, 405	2269
Kishub Chunder Paul Chowdhry *v.* Khelat Chunder Ghose	9 W. R., 361	1932
Kishub Chunder Shaha *v.* Brommo Moyee Dabea	1 W. R., 35	5748
Kishun Mohun Singh *v.* Toolsee Singh	2 N. W., 102	540

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kishun Pershad v. Bhawanee Deen	Agra, F. B., 47 : Ed. 1874, 35	6096
Kishun Sahai v. Raghoo Singh	2 N. W., 64	5079
Kissen Bullub Mahatab v. Roghoonundun Thakoor	6 W. R., 305	3252
Kissen Chand Golacha v. Megraj Kuturia Roy	12 B. L. R., 445, note: 12 W. R., 450.	4402
Kissen Kaminee Dossee v. Ram Chunder Mitter	12 W. R., 13	327
Kissen Lala v. Javalla Prasad Lala	3 Mad., 346	2294
Kissonund Ashrom Dundy v. Nursingh Doss Byragee	Marsh., 485	3429, 4968
Kissoreemohun Chatterjee v. Konnoy Loll Dutt	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 247	2644
Kissorymohun Roy v. Rajnarain Sen	1 Hyde, 263	1150
Kissorynath Chowdhry v. Hurro Kant Chowdhry	2 W. R., 183	1169
Kissun Sing v. Sturt	5 Mad., 471	5659
Kissur Chund v. Bhoobunessur Chunder	Bourke, O. C., 25 : Cor., 151	5583
Kistnasamy Pillay v. Municipal Commissioners for the Town of Madras.	4 Mad., 120	5607
Kisto Churn Chuckerbutty v. Muggun Chuckerbutty	10 W. R., 401	4912
Kisto Churn Mojoomdar v. Dwarka Nath Biswas	10 W. R., 32	5783, 6201
Kisto Coomar Chowdhry v. Anundmoyee Chowdhrain	6 W. R., Mis., 128	5747
——— v. Gobind Coomar	W. R., 1864, 133	5784
Kistodhone Dutt v. Nilmoney Singh	Cor., 3	751
Kisto Dhone Gangooly v. Rabutty Dassee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 324	5392
Kisto Kalee Moonshee v. Agemona Bewa	15 W. R., 170	4788
Kistokaminy Dossee v. Mirtoonjoy Dutt	11 B. L. R., Ap., 35	1205
Kisto Kant Bural v. Nistarinee Debia	8 W. R., 268	3496, 3526
Kistokinker Ghose Roy v. Burrodacaunt Singh Roy	10 B. L. R., 101 : 17 W. R., 292 14 Moore's I. A., 465.	1450, 1883 3509, 3542
Kisto Kishore Ghose v. Soorjonath Sircar	10 W. R., 354	1934
Kisto Kishore Poddar v. Koylash Chunder Mookerjee	12 W. R., 128	1137
Kisto Kishore Roy v. Issur Chunder Roy	15 W. R., 166	94
Kisto Lall Gossain v. Joy Gopal Bysack	21 W. R., 185	2647
Kisto Mohun Kurmokar v. Noyan Tara Dossee	10 W. R., 389	331
Kistomohun Mookerjee v. Adarmoney Dabee	2 Hyde, 88	4236
——— v. Juggurnath Roy Jooree	11 W. R., 236	1378, 6071
Kistomonee Chowdhry v. Sibchunder Chowdhry	Marsh., 196 : 1 Hay, 473	3417
Kistomonee Debia v. Anund Nath Roy	W. R., F. B., 112	2146
——— v. Eglinton	12 W. R., 139	3556
Kisto Moyee Dossee v. Prosunno Narain Chowdhry	6 W. R., 304	2516
Kisto Persad Dutt v. Cowie	W. R., 1864, 315	5780
Kistoram Mohara v. Anis	20 W. R., Cr., 47	1535
Kittappa v. Somanna	6 Mad., 51	3202
Knowles v. National Bank of India	2 B. L. R., O. C., 158	5638
Kobeerooddeen Ahmed v. Nyan Bebee	8 W. R., 354	2735, 4192
Kodoo Mundul v. Shushee Shikhur Sircar	16 W. R., 227	5703
Kodrutoollah v. Mohuree Shaha	9 W. R., 537	3707
Koduthi v. Madu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 321	2524
Koegler v. Coringa Oil Company	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 42	1113
——— v. Prosonno Coomar Chatterjee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 472	4275
——— v. Yule	5 B. L. R., 401 : 14 W. R., O. C., 45.	2998
Koh Poh v. Moung Tay	10 W. R., 143	5125
Ko Htaw Ah v. Nan Karay Phaw	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 124 : L. R., 13 I. A., 48.	5617.
Koibutoollah v. Motee Peshakur	13 W. R., 276	1363
Koji Ram v. Ishar Das	I. L. R., 8 All., 273	3296
Kojiyadu v. Lakshmi	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 149	2341, 2524
Kokilmoni Dassia v. Manick Chandra Joaddar	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 791	3401
Kokil Singh v. Dili Chund	5 C. L. R., 243	3923

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kokya Dine. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., A. C., 79 : 10 W. R., 417.	6199
Ko Kywetnee v. Ko Kounq Bane	5 W. R., 189	2316
Kola Aheer v. Sajna Ahimur	3 N. W., 105	5680
Kolai Ram v. Pali Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 755	1494
Kolandai Mudali v. Sankara Bharadhi	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 302	4392
Kolasherri Illath Naranian v. Kolasherri Illath Nilakandan Nambudri.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 131	292, 1437 5954
Kolashun Bibee v. Didar Buksh	24 W. R., Cr., 44	3699
Koleemooddeen Mundul v. Heerun Mundul	24 W. R., 186	5127, 5140 5782
Kollany Koer v. Luchmee Pershad	24 W. R., 395	2266
Kolla Subramaniam Chetti v. Thellanayakulu Subramaniam Chetti.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 124	2547, 3400
Kolluri Nagabhashanam v. Ammanna	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 71	4835
Kollu Shettati v. Manjaya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 454	786
Koloo Misr v. Bhyro Kulwar	2 N. W., 258	2976
Komaloodeen Sheikh v. Collector of Midnapore	11 W. R., 233	4054
Komathi v. Gurunada Pillai	3 Mad., 114	2853
Komireddi Varaha Narasimham v. Chevala Ramasami Nayudu.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 136	3590
Komlakant Deghal. In the matter of the petition of	11 W. R., 127	4445
Komlakant Doss v. Pogose	2 W. R., Act X, 65	8
Komola Kaminy Debia v. Lokenath Kur	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 825, note : 11 C. L. R., 183.	4876
Komolakant Biswas. In the matter of	4 C. L. R., 401	4064
Komola Kant Chuckerbutty v. Nurro Singho Singh	25 W. R., 536	5348
Komolapershad Narain Singh v. Nokh Lall Sahoo	6 W. R., 30	1038
Komollochun Dutt v. Nilruttun Mundle	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 360 : 4 C. L. R., 175.	4669
Komul Chunder Sen v. Surbessur Doss Goopto	21 W. R., 298	1477, 1899
Komul Kishen Surkhul v. Bissonath Chuckerbutty	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap. 3 : W. R., F. B., 128.	66
Komul Kristo Bonick. In the matter of	12 C. L. R., 231	4105, 4360
Komul Lochun Bhadoory. In re	2 Hay, 674	3847
Komul Lochun Dass v. Dwarkanath Chowdhry	10 W. R., 254	5232
Komul Lochun Roy v. Zumeerooddeen Sirdar	7 W. R., 417	1614
Komulmonee Dossee v. Alhadmonee Dossee	1 W. R., 256	2207
Komul Sangath v. Romanath Gossamee	21 W. R., 332	3045
Komurooddeen v. Monye Mundle	16 W. R., 220	136
Konapa bin Mahadapa v. Janardan Sukdev	11 Bom., 193	5379
Konaram Gaonburah v. Dhatoaram Thakoor	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 196 : 7 C. L. R., 47.	5177, 5183
Kondadu v. Ramudu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 294	101
Kondaji Bagaji v. Anan	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 448	2797
Kondappa Naik v. Annamalay Chetty	4 Mad., 396	3579
Kondaraju Venkata Subbaiya v. Rama Krishnamma *alias* Urupkristnaiya.	4 Mad., 75	3493
Kondasawmy Pillay v. Kristna Swamy Pillay	5 Mad., 189	1897
Kondayya v. Guruvappa	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 139	4835
Kondayya Gaundan v. Ramasvami Gaundan	1 Mad., 130	4531
Kondi Menon v. Srangiuresagatta Ahammada	1 Mad., 248	3758
Kondi Munisami Chetti v. Dakshanamurthi Pillai	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 371	5302
Kondoli Tea Company. In re	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 43	5857
Koner Manohar Mahajan Ambekar v. Naro Hari Dasputre.	1 Bom., 167	3976
Konerrav v. Gurrav	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 589	2471, 5001

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Koni Chetty *v.* Veriappa Chetti	4 Mad., 325	1083
Konnapalen Uthatchadayan Haje *v.* Perotta Meloden Ramen Nambiar.	4 Mad., 422	998
Konoy Loll Doss. In re	Bourke, O. C., 51	5898
Koobir Sirdar *v.* Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty	3 W. R., Act X, 126	1635
Koob Lall Chowdhry *v.* Nittyanund Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 839 : 12 C. L. R., 393.	4806, 6153
Koobur Khansama *v.* Jan Khansama	8 W. R., 467	5100
Koodee Monee Dabea *v.* Tarachand Chuckerbutty	2 W. R., 134	2420
Koodrut Ali *v.* Gholam Ali	3 Agra, 71	5223
Kooerya *v.* Doorga Pershad	2 N. W., 139	3009
Kookor Sing. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 130	5543
Kooladapershad Misree *v.* Goura Chand Misree	17 W. R., 353	877
Kool Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Kumul Chunder Roy	6 W. R., Mis., 17	3492
Kool Chunder Surmah *v.* Ramjoy Surmona	10 W. R., 8	329, 2199 4156
Kooldebnarain Shahee *v.* Wooma Coomaree	Marsh., 357 : 2 Hay, 370	2266, 2536
Kooldeb Singh *v.* Juggunath Singh	2 W. R., Mis., 19	220
Kooldeep Kooer *v.* Ranjeet Singh	24 W. R., 231	2178
Kooldeep Narain Singh *v.* Government of India	11 B. L. R., 71 : 14 Moore's I. A., 247.	2036
——— *v.* Luckhun Sing	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 917 : 9 W. R., 218.	5730
——— *v.* Mohadeo Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 559 : 6 W. R., 199.	2036
Kooldeepnarain Singh *v.* Rummon Singh	22 W. R., 278	5756
Kooldeep Singh *v.* Ram Deen Singh	24 W. R., 198	3717
Koolsun Bibee *v.* Edoo	25 W. R., Cr., 35	1339
Koomaree Dabee *v.* Greesh Chunder Lahoory	Marsh., 200 : 1 Hay, 583	414
Koomaree Dassee *v.* Bama Soonderee Dassee	10 W. R., 202	1381
Koomarnarain Roy *v.* Superintendent of Salt Chowkey, Jullessur.	1 Hay, 247	5494
Koomeerunnissa Begum *v.* Hunooman Doss	Marsh., 122	1204, 2981 3835
Koomkurrun *v.* Michael	Bourke, O. C., 259	421
Koomodinee Debia *v.* Poornoo Chunder Mookerjee	10 W. R., 301	1748
Koomodinee Kant Banerjee Chowdhry *v.* Huree Churn Tupadar.	24 W. R., 190	1625
Koomud Chunder Dass *v.* Chunder Kant Mookerjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 498 : 5 C. L. R., 264.	5814
Koomud Chunder Roy *v.* Seetakanth Roy	W. R., F. B., 75	2284, 2302 2308
Koonari Bibi *v.* Dalim Bibi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 14	3695
Koondan Lall *v.* Jahana	1 Agra, 17	4612
Koondo Nath Surma Gossamee *v.* Dheer Chunder Surma Odhikar Gossamee.	20 W. R., 345	1441, 1740
Koondun Lal *v.* Himmut Singh	3 N. W., 86	4034
——— *v.* Wazeer Ali	3 N. W., 205	3892
Koondun Lall *v.* Makhun Lall	1 N. W., 168 : Ed. 1873, 247	329, 4797 4800, 4806
——— *v.* Noor Ali	10 W. R., 3	5589
——— *v.* Ram Ruchia Sing	14 W. R., 405	1908
Koonj Beharee Dutt *v.* Khetturnath Dutt	8 W. R., 270	2362
Koonj Beharee Ghur. In the matter of	11 W. R., 171	5508, 5942
Koonj Beharee Lall *v.* Girdharee Lall	22 W. R., 484	1879, 3526
Koonj Beharee Pattuck *v.* Gyadeen Pattuck	11 W. R., 361	2343
Koonj Beharee Rau *v.* Bukshee Luchmun Doss	19 W. R., 188	4192

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Koonjbehari Dhur *v.* Premchand Dutt	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 684 : 5 C. L. R., 561.	2505
Koonj Behary Awustee *v.* Tarinee Kant Lahoree	8 W. R., 285	4913
Koonjbehary Chowdhry *v.* Eknath Gurain	15 W. R., Cr., 43	4727
Koonj Behary Chowdhry *v.* Gocool Chunder Chowdhry	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 616	682
Koonj Behary Lall *v.* Rajnarain	2 Agra, 244	3380
Koonj Behary Patuck *v.* Shiva Baluk Singh	Agra, F. B., 119 : Ed. 1874, 89	3002
Koonjo Behary Banerjee *v.* Roy Mothooranath Chowdhry.	1 W. R., 155	4169
Koonjobehary Lall *v.* Doomney	14 W. R., Cr., 29	100
——— *v.* Rughoo Nath Dome	14 W. R., Cr., 29	100
Koonjo Behary Roy *v.* Poorno Chunder Chatterjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 450 : 12 C. L. R., 55.	4245
Koonjo Behary Singh *v.* Nilmoney Singh Deo	4 C. L. R., 206	5612
Koonjo Lall Mundul *v.* Dabee Pershad Tewaree	22 W. R., 398	558
Koonjo Mohun Dass *v.* Nobo Coomar Shaha	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 216	4468
Koonjo Mohun Doss *v.* Krishna Chunder Shaha	25 W. R., 361	5841
Koontee Debee *v.* Hirdoy Nath Durreepa	16 W. R., 206	5313
Koonwer Singh *v.* Shib Dyal	3 Agra, 297	5627
Koora *v.* Dick	3 N. W., 322	3029
——— *v.* Robinson	2 Agra, Mis., 2	4583
Kooranee Bibee *v.* Bhubun Mohinee Dossee	6 W. R., Mis., 52	436
Kooroonamoye Chowdhrain *v.* Joy Sunker Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 267	1993
Koosoom Kaminee Debee *v.* Chunder Kant Mookerjee	23 W. R., 346	77
Koosumba *v.* Tutuzzul Hossein	13 W. R., 85	485
Kopasan *v.* Shamu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 440	5820
Kopil Dolai *v.* Kanhai Jenna	24 W. R., Cr., 71	4750
Kopilnath Sahi *v.* Koneeram	14 W. R., Cr., 3	6027
Kopil Pooree *v.* Manick Sahoo	20 W. R., 287	5286
Koraga *v.* Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 374	4385
Koruj Koonwar *v.* Komal Koonwar	6 W. R., 34	413
Korapulu *v.* Monappa	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 160	974
Korban Ali *v.* Ashan Ali	4 W. R., 4	2772
Korban Ali Mirdha *v.* Pitumbari Dasi	13 C. L. R., 256	4767, 4769 5889
Korban Ally Mirdha *v.* Sharoda Proshad Aich	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 82	4767, 4769 5889
Koreem Bux *v.* Hoormut	20 W. R., Cr., 60	972
——— *v.* Nomeero	20 W. R., 349	5702
Koromali *v.* Amir Ali	3 C. L. R., 166	3718, 3725
Koroonamoyee Debia *v.* Nubokishen Mookerjee	11 W. R., 18	763
——— *v.* Ooma Churn Deb	12 W. R., 465	5532
Koroonamoyee Dossee *v.* Nubo Kishore Sein	6 W. R., Mis., 36	759
——— *v.* Shib Chunder Dey	6 W. R., Act X, 50	1603
Kosala Rama Pillai *v.* Saluckai Tevar *alias* Oyya Tevar	8 Mad., 189	1400, 2236
Ko Shoay Doon *v.* Shoay-Gan	6 B. L. R., 196 : 14 W. R., 331.	5722
Kossella Koer *v.* Beharee Patuck	12 W. R., 70	1202, 2753 6091
Kota. In re	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 134	1235
Kotamarti Sitarammayya *v.* Kotamarti Vardhanamma	7 Mad., 351	1412
Kota Muthanna Chetti *v.* Alibeg Sahib	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 174	4836
Kotarbasapa *v.* Chanverova	10 Bom., 403	2511
Kota Seetamma *v.* Kollipurla Soobbiah	8 Mad., 81	384, 5220
Kotha Subba Chetti *v.* Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 252	1268, 1965
Kotoo *v.* Ko Pay Yah	6 W. R., 255	1074, 2585 2710

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kottalanada v. Muthaya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 374	961
Kottala Uppi v. Shangara Varma	3 Mad., 161	4945
Kottale Uppi v Kalliyatt Panoli Kunni Kutti	1 Mad., 7	5173
Kottal Uppi v. Edavalath Thathan Nambudiri	6 Mad., 258	3027
Kottam Zamindar v. Pittapur Zamindar	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 171	665
Kotta Ramasami Chetti v. Bangari Seshama Nayanivaru	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 145	2331, 2386
Kotun Ram Doss v. Collector of Sylhet	22 W. R., 523	3074
Koula Put v. Maharaj Doobey	1 Agra, 278	4540
Kowlbas Koer. In re	8 B. L. R., Ap., 50	3564
Kowsulliah Sundari Dasi v. Mukta Sundari Dasi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 588	137
Kowsullya Dossee v. Ram Juggurnath Dey Sircar	8 W. R., 162	2735
Koyilothputenpurayil Manoki Koran Nayar v. Puthen Purayil Manoki Chanda Nayar.	3 Mad., 294	3758, 6134
Koylas Chunder Shaw v. Muddoosoodun Chowdhry	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 12	355
Koylash Bashiny Dossee v. Gocoolmoni Dossee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 230: 10 C. L. R., 41.	3375, 4205 5354
Koylash Chunder Banerjee v. Kaleeprosunno Chowdhry	16 W. R., 80	4601, 5334
Koylash Chunder Biswas v. Bissesuree Dossee	10 W. R., 408	3040
Koylash Chunder Bose v. Anarullah Sheikh	9 C. L. R., 467	2025, 5815
Koylash Chunder Dass. In the matter of the petition of.	20 W. R., Cr., 78	6065
Koylash Chunder Dass v. Boykoonto Nath Chundra	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 619: 2 C. L. R., 167.	3312
Koylash Chunder Dey v. Joy Narain Jalooah	7 W. R., 93	4925
Koylash Chunder Doss v. Tarinee Churn Singhee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 588	5807
Koylash Chunder Dutt v. Jubur Ali	22 W. R., 29	5342
Koylash Chunder Ghose v. Ashruf Ali	22 W. R., 101	2801
——— v. Khettermonee Dossee	2 W. R., Act X, 57	1702
——— v. Raj Chunder Banerjee	12 W. R., 180	5985
——— v. Sonatun Chung Barooie	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 132: 8 C. L. R., 281.	3233
Koylash Chunder Koosari v. Ram Lall Nag	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 206	5734
Koylash Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Preonath Roy Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 610: 3 C. L. R., 25.	3267
Koylash Chunder Roy v. Ellis	8 W. R., 45	4403
Koylash Chunder Sen v. Koylash Chunder Chakrabarti	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1057	900
Koylashessur Bose v. Narainee Dossee	10 W. R., 303	2379
Koylash Nath Haldar v. Oomanath Roy Chowdhry	11 W. R., 170	1753
Koylashnauth Chowdhry. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 41	3882
Koylashnauth Chund v. Monmohiney Dossee	Marsh., 276	336
Koylashnauth Roy v. Bodun Monee Dabea	2 Hay, 386	4428
Koylasnath Doss v. Gyamonee Dossee	W. R., 1864, 314	2333
Koylas Nath Ghose v. Nitya Shama Dassee	15 W. R., 449	3537
Kripamoyee Debia v. Dropudee Chowdhrain	24 W. R., 213	583
——— v. Romanath Chowdhry	2 W. R., P. C., 1	1724, 4650
Kripa Moyee Dossee v. Poorun Chunder Roy	11 W. R., 403	3496
Kripa Nath Chakee v. Dyal Chand Pal	22 W. R., 169	5197
Kripanath Mojoomdar v. Saroda Chowdhrain	1 W. R., 283	5789
Kripanath Surma v. Nritokalee Dabee	12 W. R., 137	1393
Kripanath Tullapattur v. Bhashaye Mollah	6 W. R., 105	1504
Kriparam v. Bhagwan Dass	1 B. L. R., A. C., 68: 10 W. R., 100.	5027
Kripomoyee Debia v. Grish Chunder Lahoree	8 Moore's I. A., 467	1725, 4650
Krishendro Roy Chowdry v. Digumburee Debia Chowdhrain.	16 W. R., 15	2774
Krishen Kishore Chund v. Mahomed Zukahoollah	Agra, F. B., 148: Ed. 1874, 111.	4812, 4848

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Krishna *v.* Nanu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 484	3759
——— *v.* Rayappa Shanbhaga	4 Mad., 98	1577, 2215 4575
——— *v.* Reade	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 31	4053
——— *v.* Sami	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 64	2336
——— *v.* Subbarma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 564	2374, 2472
——— *v.* Venkata Sami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 164	3593
Krishna Aiyan *v.* Anantarama Aiyan	2 Mad., 330	5263
Krishnabai *v.* Sonubai	2 Bom., 327 : 2nd Ed., 310	4257
Krishna Behari Roy *v.* Brojeswari Chowdhranee	L. R., 2 I. A., 283	5027
——— *v.* Bunwari Lall Roy	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 144 : 25 W. R., 1.	5027
Krishnabhat bin Hiragange *v.* Kapabhat bin Mahalbhat.	6 Bom., A. C., 137	3362
Krishna Chandra Chuckerbutty *v.* Krishna Chandra Banik.	3 B. L. R., A. C., 211	5280
Krishna Chandra Das *v.* Mahomed Afzal	1 B. L. R., A. C., 11 : 10 W. R., 51.	3280
Krishna Chandra Sandyal Chowdhry *v.* Harish Chandra Chowdhry.	8 B. L. R., 524	3436, 4253 5629
Krishna Chandra Shaha *v.* Omed Ali	6 B. L. R., Ap., 31	3530
Krishna Chetty *v.* Rami Chetty	8 Mad., 99	3181, 3186 3469
Krishna Churn Baisack *v.* Protab Chunder Surma	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 560	6006, 6234
Krishna Deb *v.* Woopendra Krishna Deb	12 W. R., O. C., 4	2263
Krishna Devu Garu *v.* Ramchandra Devu Maharajulu Garu.	3 Mad., 153	3374, 3578
Krishna Dhone Dutt *v.* Troilokia Nath Biswas	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 537	4484
Krishnadhun Chowdhry *v.* Hur Coomary Chowdhrain	25 W. R., 37	3364
Krishna Ghose *v.* Ram Narain Mohapattur	25 W. R., 214	2974
Krishna Gobind Dhur *v.* Hari Churn Dhur	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 367 : 12 C. L. R., 19.	3420
Krishna Gopal Ghose *v.* Hurry Nath Dutt	25 W. R., 60	4260
Krishnaji *alias* Babaji Keshav *v.* Ravji Sadashiv	9 Bom., 79	3442, 3975
Krishnaji Kesava Pundit *v.* Subbayara Takker	7 Mad., 387	847
Krishnaji Lakshman Rajvade *v.* Sitaram Murarrav Jakhi.	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 496	1470, 3904 4417, 4974
Krishnaji Narayan *v.* Govind Bhaskar	9 Bom., 275	1568, 2213 5089
Krishnaji Nimkar *v.* Vishnu Nimkar	2 Bom., 360 : 2nd Ed., 340	781
Krishnaji Raghunath *v.* Anandrav Ballal Kolhalkar	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 293	3478
Krishnaji Ravji *v.* Ganesh Bapuji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 139	6147
Krishnaji Ravji Godbole *v.* Ramchandra Sadashiv	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 70	2960
Krishnaji Vishvanath Joshi *v.* Mukund Chimanshet	2 Bom., 18 : 2nd Ed., 19	3256, 3403
Krishnaji Vithal *v.* Bhaskar Rangnath	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 611	3268
Krishnaji Vyanktesh *v.* Pandurang	12 Bom., 65	2279
Krishna Kamal Sing *v.* Hiru Sirdar	4 B. L. R., F. B., 101	841, 3520
Krishnakanta Paramanik *v.* Bidya Sundaree Dasi	2 B. L. R., Ap., 25	355
Krishna Kinkur Roy *v.* Madhub Chunder Chuckerbutty	21 W. R., 283	5662
Krishna Kishore Poddar *v.* Kailas Chandra Mookerjee	6 B. L. R., 641, note	1137
Krishna Kishore Shaha *v.* Bireshur Mozoomdar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 595 : 3 C. L. R., 177.	5741
Krishna Kishore Poddar *v.* Woomesh Chunder Roy	13 B. L. R., 214 : 21 W. R., 448.	494
Krishna Lall Dutt *v.* Radha Krishna Surkhel	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 402	3393
Krishnama *v.* Krishnasami	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 62	5264
——— *v.* Perumal	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 388	2406

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Krishnamachari v. Gangaram Reddi	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 229	3588
Krishnama Charyar v. Srinivasa Ayyangar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 339	6079
——— v. Toppai Gaundan	3 Mad., 381	3058
Krishna Mannadi v. Shankara Manavân	1 Mad., 113, note	3763
Krishnambhat bin Shivrambhat v. Lakshumanbhat bin Ganeshbat.	1 Bom., 141	5094
Krishnamma v. Achayya	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 306	3270
——— v. Papa	4 Mad., 234	2290, 2320 3580
Krishna Mohan Mookerjee v. Jagannath Roy Jugi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 323	4561
Krishna Mohan Shaha v. Aftabuddin Mahomed	8 B. L. R., 134; 15 W. R., 560.	5335
Krishna Mohun Basak v. Kunj Behary Basak	9 C. L. R., 1	3819, 5284
Krishna Mohun Bose v. Okhilmoni Dossee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 331	3134, 3235
Krishna Mohun Bysack. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 58	4096
Krishna Mongul Shaha v. Akbar Jumma Khan	9 C. L. R., 213	3857
Krishnan v. Nilakandan	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 137	784, 3479
——— v. Ravi Varma	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 384	6082
——— v. Sankara Varma	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 441	1056
Krishnanand Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 50	5150, 5160 5562
Krishna Nath Sircar v. Ram Kumar De	7 C. L. R., 144	4747
Krishnanund Das. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 58	5513
——— v. Hari Bera	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 58	5513
Krishna Padhe. Ex parte	6 Bom., A. C., 50	3147
Krishnapa valad Santu v. Panchapa valad Gurupadapa	6 Bom., A. C., 258	5411, 5485
Krishnappa valad Mahadappa v. Bahiru Yadavrav	8 Bom., A. C., 55	3545, 3941 4827
Krishna Ram v. Gobind Prasad	I. L. R., 8 All., 20	195
Krishnaramani Dasi v. Ananda Krishna Bose	4 B. L. R., O. C., 231	2553
Krishnarav v. Antaji Virupuksha	12 Bom., 227	1230
——— v. Govind	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 25, note	1188
Krishnarav Ganesh v. Rangrav	4 Bom., A. C., 1	2054
Krishnarav Jahagirdar v. Govind Trimbak	12 Bom., 85	1178
Krishnarav Ramchandra v. Manaji bin Sayaji	11 Bom., 106	2986, 4285 5746
Krishnarav Venkatesh v. Vasudev Anant	11 Bom., 15	181, 5451
Krishnarav Yashvant v. Vasudev Apaji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 371	2773, 4193 4459
Krishna Reddi v. Muttu Narayana Reddi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 127	3939
Krishnareddi Govinda Reddi v. Stuart	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 192	103
Krishnasami v. Engel	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 20	426
Krishnasami Pillai v. Rangasami Chetty	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 112	1772
——— v. Varadaraja Ayyangar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 345	4167, 5177 5186
——— v. Venkatachella Aiyan	3 Mad., 89	4820
Krishnasami Tatacharyar v. Krishnama Charyar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 313	5263
Krishnashankar v. Chandrashankar	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 198	5421
Krishnaya v. Chinnaya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 597	2258
Krishnayyan v. Muttusami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 407	2321
Krishnayyar v. Venkayyar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 81	3518
Krishnendro Chowdhry v. Surnomoyee	21 W. R., 27	1994
Krishno Chunder Goopto v. Sufdar Ali	22 W. R., 326	2053, 3068
Krishno Churn. In the matter of the petition of	17 W. R., Cr., 2	5146
Krishno Kumar Baisak v. Bhim Lall Baisak	4 C. L. R., 38	2880
Krishno Lall Nag v. Bhyrub Chunder Deb	22 W. R., 52	4252
Krishno Monee v. Empress	6 C. L. R., 289	1013

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Krishtendra Roy v. Aena Bewa	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 675 : 10 C. L. R., 399.	1876
Krishto Bundhoo Bhuttacharjee v. Rotish Shaikh	25 W. R., 307	4024
Krishto Coomar Chuckerbutty v. Anund Coomar Dutt	19 W. R., 307	578
Krishto Kishore Chuckerbutty v. Ram Lochun Burdhun	2 W. R., Mis., 49	1924
Krishto Kishore Dutt v. Roop Lall Dass	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 687 : 10 C. L. R., 609.	1448, 1892
Krishto Kishori Chowdhrain v. Radha Romun Munshi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 330	4614
Krishto Lall Ghose v. Bonomalee Roy	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 611 : 5 C. L. R., 43.	4808
Krishto Mohun Doss Bukshee v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee	3 W. R., 33	3373
Krishto Motee Debia v. Ram Nidhee Sircar	9 W. R., 331	3800
Kristaya v. Kasipati	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 55	5239
Kristna Aiyangar v. Perumal Nadan	2 Mad., 436	4429
Kristna Ayyan v. Venkatachella Mudali	7 Mad., 60	2617, 4574
Kristna Chetti v. Balarama Chetti	1 Mad., 139	402
Kristna Mudali v. Shanmuga Mudaliar	6 Mad., 248	1056
Kristna Row v. Muttukistna	4 Mad., 244	468
Kristnappa Chetty v. Ramaswamy Iyer	8 Mad., 25	2349, 2358
Kristnappah. In the matter of the petition of	6 Mad., 38	4621
Kristna Rau v. Chinna Subbá Mudali	6 Mad., 204	3591
——— v. Krishna Mudali	6 Mad., 204	3591
——— v. Mahadeva Mudali	6 Mad., 204	3591
——— v. Nyniappa Mudali	6 Mad., 204	3591
——— v. Solayappa Mudali	6 Mad., 204	3591
Kristna Reddi v. Srinivasa Reddi	5 Mad., 174	2775
Kristna Reddy v. Srinivasa Reddy	4 Mad., Ap., 56, note	2771
Kristna Row v. Hachapa Sugapa	2 Mad., 307	3198, 3219, 3378
Kristnasamy Pillay v. Municipal Commissioners for the Town of Madras.	4 Mad., 120	5825
Kristnasamy Tatacharry v. Gomatum Rangacharry	4 Mad., 63	2249, 5791
Kristo Beharee Roy v. Bunwaree Lall Roy	19 W. R., 62	5027
Kristo Behary Dass v. Empress	12 C. L. R., 555	1291
Kristo Bhabiney Dossee v. Ashutosh Bosu Mullick	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 39	2470
Kristo Chunder Acharjee v. Kashee Thakooranee	23 W. R., 340	3851, 4053
Kristo Chunder Burmo Surmah v. Mohesh Chunder Burmo Surmah.	23 W. R., 381	3368
Kristo Chunder Chatterjee v. Wise	14 W. R., 70	1146
Kristo Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Kristo Chunder Burnick	12 W. R., 76	5280
——— v. Ram Brohmo Chuckerbutty.	20 W. R., 403	2775
Kristo Chunder Dass v. Steel	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 279	6188
Kristo Chunder Doss v. Soudamonee Dossee	12 W. R., 174	5983
Kristo Chunder Ghose v. Raj Kristo Bandyopadhya	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 24	5269
Kristo Chunder Goopto v. Brojo Mohun Dey Chowdhry	22 W. R., 183	156
——— v. Fuzul Ali Khan	17 W. R., 389	748
——— v. Ramsoondur Sein	17 W. R., 14	819
Kristo Chunder Kurmokar v. Rughoonath Kurmokar	12 B. L. R., 352, note : 10 W. R., 328.	2351
Kristo Chunder Mahata v. Obinessuree Debia	11 W. R., 532	5145
Kristo Chunder Mookerjee v. Chunder Pershad Banerjee	23 W. R., 252	685
Kristo Chunder Murdraj v. Poorosuttum Dass	15 W. R., 424	2934, 5080
Kristo Chunder Sandel Chowdhry v. Shama Soonduree Debea Chowdhrain.	22 W. R., 520	3377, 3436
Kristo Chunder Shaha v. Oomed Ali	14 W. R., 414	3530

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kristo Chunder Sundyal *v.* Kashee Kishore Roy Chowdhry.	17 W. R., 145	3436, 4253 5629
Kristocomul Mitter *v.* Suresh Chunder Deb	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 556 : 12 C. L. R., 253.	2633
Kristo Coomar Chowdhry *v.* Anund Moyee Chowdhrain	7 W. R., 300	1453
Kristo Coomar Nag *v.* Mahabat Khan	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 595	3487
Kristodass Koondoo *v.* Issur Chunder Chowdhry	11 W. R., 189	6016
Kristodass Kundoo *v.* Ramkant Roy Chowdhry	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 142 : 7 C. L. R., 396.	3268, 3950 4885
Kristodhone Dutt *v.* Chairman of Municipal Commissioners of Suburbs of Calcutta.	25 W. R., Cr., 6	1153, 1288
Kristo Doss Koondoo *v.* Wilkinson	17 W. R., 159	1914
Kristo Gobind Joardar *v.* Jugobundhoo Sircar	12 W. R., 94	5132
Kristo Gobind Kur *v.* Gunga Pershad Surma	23 W. R., 266	5753
——— *v.* ———	25 W. R., 372	813
Kristo Gopal Shaha *v.* Kasheenauth Shah	6 W. R., 66	1701
Kristo Indro Saha *v.* Huromonee Dassee	L. R., 1 I. A., 84	1701, 4634
Kristo Jeebun Bukshee *v.* Mackintosh	W. R., 1864, 53	5322
Kristo Kinkur Puramanick *v.* Ramdhun Chettangia	24 W. R., 326	3, 4892
Kristo Kishore Bhuttacharjee *v.* Seetamonee Bhuttacharjee.	7 W. R., 320	1501
Kristo Kishore Ghose *v.* Brojonath Mozoomdar	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 11	4758, 4760
Kristo Kissor Neoghy *v.* Kudermoye Dossee	2 C. L. R., 583	2276, 3092
Kristo Komul Singh *v.* Huree Sirdar	13 W. R., F. B., 44	841, 3520
Kristo Lall Moitro *v.* Nobbo Coomar Roy	5 W. R., 232	6125
Kristo Lall Nag. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 256	4443
Kristo Mohinee Dossee *v.* Kaliprosono Ghose	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 402	822, 825 1162, 5249
Kristomohiney Dossee *v.* Bama Churn Nag Chowdhry	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 733 : 9 C. L. R., 344.	206, 5374
Kristo Mohiney Dossee *v.* Kally Prosonno Ghose	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 485 : 8 C. L. R., 43.	1937, 4518
Kristo Mohun Byack *v.* Collector of Dacca	24 W. R., 91	47
Kristo Mohun Christian *v.* Anunda	16 W. R., 249	3785
Kristo Mohun Pattur *v.* Huree Sunkur Mookerjee	7 W. R., 235	1654
Kristo Mohee Debia *v.* Buroda Dossia Chowdhrain	14 W. R., 143	1453
Kristomonee Debia *v.* Fakeer Chand Khan	3 W. R., Act X, 140	1439
Kristomonee Thakoor *v.* Bishambhur Doss	5 W. R., 215	208, 5739
Kristo Prea Dossee *v.* Puddo Lochun Mytee	6 W. R., 288	1677
Kristo Prosad Mundle. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 74	4718
Kristo Protibar *v.* Alladinee Dassee	15 W. R., 4	562
Kristo Ram Roy *v.* Janokee Nath Roy	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 748 : 9 C. L. R., 324.	1876
Kristo Soondur Sandyal *v.* Chunder Nath Roy	15 W. R., 230	2982
Kristo Sunkur Dutt Roy *v.* Koylash Nath Dutt Roy	15 W. R., 6	4271
Kristo Surma Adhikaree *v.* Basoodeb Gossamee	12 W. R., 424	2781
Kritarthomoyee Debia *v.* Khetter Nath Sircar	9 W. R., 472	878
Krodesh Sen *v.* Kamini Mohun Sen	10 C. L. R., 161	2299
Kubair Singh *v.* Atmaram	I. L. R., 5 All., 332	6086
Kubeer Chunder Bundo *v.* Ramkanye Doss Biswas	17 W. R., 174	685
Kubeeroodeen *v.* Jogul Shaha	15 W. R., 133	2018
Kubeerun *v.* Sufeehun	24 W. R., 388	4212
Kudeerun *v.* Lallun	14 W. R., 366	471
Kudbara *v.* Khuman Singh	1 Agra, 265	4557
Kudomee Dossee *v.* Jotoeram Kolita	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 305	2443, 5099
Kudomessuree Dossee *v.* Emam Ali	20 W. R., 167	3147

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kudratulla v. Mahini Mohan Shaha	4 B. L. R., F. B., 134: 13 W. R., F. B., 21.	3708
Kudum Kooner v. Budla Singh	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 163	95
Kulab Hossein v. Mehrum Beebee	4 N. W., 155	113
Kula Nagabushanam v. Kula Seshachalam	1 Mad., 178	379
Kuleemooddeen Darogah v. Ashruf Ali Khan	19 W. R., 276	690
Kulian Doss v. Gobind Kooer	24 W. R., 143	4527
Kullappa Naik v. Ramanuja Chariyar	4 Mad., 429	5629
Kuller Singh v. Jewan Singh	22 W. R., 79	3151
Kullianbutti Koer v. Tulapal Singh	11 C. L. R., 204	2505
Kullyan Dass v. Sheo Nundun Purshad Singh	18 W. R., 65	4025, 4028
Kullyanessuree Debee v. Dwarkanath Surma	6 W. R., 116	2434
Kullyanessuree Dossee v. Narain Kyburto	15 W. R., 241	4935
Kullyan Singh v. Bahadur Singh	Agra. F. B., 163: Ed. 1874, 122.	3493
Kulno Khond Kar. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 47	4052
Kulponath Doss v. Mewah Lall	8 W. R., 302	2445
Kulpo Singh v. Thakoor Singh	15 W. R., 429	5769
Kulree v. Jhoonoo	7 W. R., Cr., 52	3629
Kulsoon v. Ameerunnessa	1 Hyde, 150	3686
Kultoo Mahomed v. Hurdeb Doss	19 W. R., 107	1724
Kulum Mundul v. Bhowani Prosad	22 W. R., Cr., 32	1151
Kulumutee Kooer v. Jowahur Lall	11 W. R., 318	2774
Kulyan Churn Mookerjee v. Bipro Churn Purail	6 W. R., 321	3325
Kumar Ali v. Azmut Ali	8 W. R., 383	3237
Kumaran v. Narayanan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 260	3756
Kumarasami v. Subbaraya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 325	6217
Kumarasami Nadan v. Pala Nagappa Chetti	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 385	3208
Kumarasamy Reddi v. Panna Soona Mooroogappa Chetty.	7 Mad., 359	6177
Kumaravelu v. Virana Goundan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 29	2311, 2312
Kumaradeva Mudali v. Nallatambi Reddi	1 Mad., 407	5631
Kumeezooddeen Holdar v. Rujjub Ali Shaha	9 W. R., 528	1776
Kumini Ama v. Parkam Kolusheri	1 Mad., 261	3766
Kumla Sahoy v. Ram Ruttun Neogy	11 W. R., 201	566
Kummur-ool-nissa Begum v. Mahomed Hussun	1 Agra, 287	3660
Kumola Dassee v. Azmur Ali	7 W. R., 13	4173
Kumola Kant Mytee v. Narainee Dossee	9 W. R., 583	3981
Kumolanath Sein v. Beharee Kant Roy	11 W. R., 314	1109, 1560
Kumood Narain Bhoop v. Purna Chunder Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 547: 3 C. L. R., 258.	2878
Kumrunnessa v. Sujan	10 C. L. R., 31	5679
Kumul Dutt v. Mohun Molla	15 W. R., 278	3235
Kumur Ali v. Azmut Ali	8 W. R., 383	4201
Kumuroodeen v. Bhadoo	11 W. R., 134	2089, 6031
Kumeeroonissa Begum v. Synffoollah	16 W. R., P. C., 32	4235
Kumuroonissa Begum v. Syfoollah Khan	5 W. R., 198	4235
Kunchun Deo Singh v. Tekait Sidh Nath Singh	15 W. R., 289	2932
Kunchun Singh v. Choonna	1 Agra, Rev., 44	164
——— v. Sheoraj	1 Agra, Rev., 7	325, 1645
Kunda Misser v. Ganesh Singh	6 B. L. R., Ap., 120: 15 W. R., 193.	1604
Kundeme Naine Boochee Naidoo v. Ravoo Lutchmeepaty Naidoo.	8 Mad., 36	5666
Kundhyee v. Zuman Khan	1 Agra, 9	5187
Kundu v. Impichi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 442	3766
Kundun Lal v. Bansi Dhar	I. L. R., 3 All., 170	3295

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kundun Lal *v.* Sasta Kooer	8 W. R., 369	6100
Kuneez Fatima *v.* Saheba Jan	8 W. R., 313	108, 3661
Kunhamed *v.* Chathu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 437	5959
Kunhammatha *v.* Kunhi Kutti Ali	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 233	3762
Kunhamu *v.* Keshavan Nambudri	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 246	3765
Kunhi *v.* Seshagiri	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 141	3525
Kunhi Bivi *v.* Abdul Aziz	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 103	1342
Kunhi Komapen Kurupu *v.* Changarachan Kandil Chembata Ambu.	2 Mad., 313	3235
Kunhimmu *v.* Vivyathamma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 535	4850
Kunhi Moidin *v.* Tarayil Moidin	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 101	5488
Kunhi Moidin Kutti *v.* Ramen Unni	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 203	821, 848
Kunhussa *v.* Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 28	5574
Kunhya Lall *v.* Bunsee	Agra, F. B., 94 : Ed. 1874, 71	3201, 3300
Kunhya Singh *v.* Tooydun Singh	7 W. R., 20	2697
Kunigaratu *v.* Arrangaden	2 Mad., 12	3757, 3761
Kunjabehari Lal *v.* Giridhari Lal	1 B. L. R., S. N., 12 : 10 W. R., 189.	4415
Kunjal Sahu *v.* Guru Baksh Koer	8 B. L. R., 184, note : 13 W. R., 362.	4270
Kunj Behari Sing *v.* Neru Sing	6 B. L. R., 663, note : 15 W. R., 291.	4309
Kunjebehari Lal *v.* Ilahi Baksh	I. L. R., 6 All., 64	2727
Kunjunneri Nambiar *v.* Nilakunden	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 167	4251
Kunju Velan *v.* Manavikrama Zamorin	1 Mad., 113, note	3763
Kunnathurillath Vasudevan Nambudri *v.* Narayanan Nambudri.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 121	5048
Kunnock Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Gurudass Biswas	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 919 : 12 C. L. R., 599.	4892
Kunuk Singh *v.* Gholam Jeelanee	2 Agra, 329	1645
Kunund Narain Bhoop. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 650 : 3 C. L. R., 551.	4487, 4490 4492
Kupil Rai *v.* Radha Prosad Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 260	3017
Kuppa *v.* Dorasami	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 76	335
——— *v.* Singaravelu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 325	2417
Kuppa Ayyar *v.* Venkataramana Ayyar	3 Mad., 421	2709
Kuppa Gurukal *v.* Dorasami Gurukal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 76	2251
Kuppayyan *v.* Ramasami Ayyan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 197	5477
Kuppu Chetti *v.* Chidambara Mudali	3 Mad., 170	5713
Kuppusvamiayyan *v.* Nannuvayyan	1 Mad., 74	184, 5770
Kupu Rau *v.* Venkataramayyar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 311	356, 379 1702
Kurali Prasad Misser *v.* Anantaram Hajra	8 B. L. R., 490 : 16 W. R., P. C., 16.	1504, 1762
Kuram Singh *v.* Mehtab Koonwer	3 Agra, 150	1751
Kuray Mal *v.* Puran Mal	I. L. R., 2 All., 565	3964
Kureem Buksh Khan *v.* Doolhin Khoord	15 W. R., 82	3657
Kureem Chand Gurain *v.* Oodung Gurain	6 W. R., 158	2293
Kureem Khan *v.* Muhfooz	W. R., F. B., 16 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 77 : 1 Hay, 226.	5778
Kureemooddeen Biswas *v.* Hurochunder Gooho	1 W. R., 305	6108
Kureemoonissa *v.* Attacollah	2 Agra, 211	3702
Kureemun *v.* Mullick Enaet Hossein	W. R., 1864, 221	3684
Kuria bin Hanmia *v.* Gururav	9 Bom., 282	5216
Kurim Buksh *v.* Shib Shunkur Shuhaye	W. R., 1864, 384	4954
Kuriyali *v.* Mayan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 255	815
Kurmofool *v.* Bissessur Singh	Marsh., 337	4002

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kuroonamoyee Debee *v.* Gungadhur Surmah	20 W. R., 50	5100
Kurraly Churn Banerjee *v.* Modhoosoodun Patter	3 W. R., Act X, 146	1643
Kuru Chowbey *v.* Jankee Persad	1 Agra, 164	1690
Kurufool Kooer *v.* Rajkalee Kooer	17 W. R., 430	4142
Kurum *v.* Rutton Bhuggut	W. R., 1864, 186	1783
Kurum Chand Koleeah *v.* Huree Mohun Ghose	2 W. R., Mis., 45	288
Kurumoonnissa Bibee *v.* Gooroo Pershad Shah	7 W. R., 331	236
Kurun Singh *v.* Mahomed Fyaz Ali Khan	10 B. L. R., 1: 14 Moore's I. A., 176, 187.	2209, 2301 4155, 4885
Kuruppool Koer *v.* Collector of Shahabad	20 W. R., 432	84, 85
Kuseemoonissa Bibee *v.* Huroonnissa Bibee	15 W. R., 195	3912
Kushaba bin Sankroji *v.* Pitambardhari	12 Bom., 15	5375
Kusseemoonissa Beebee *v.* Hoorunissa Beebee	10 W. R., 468	3912
Kussesur Roy *v.* Joggodishuri	7 C. L. R., 269	4455
Kustoora Koomaree *v.* Binoderam Sein	4 W. R., Mis., 4	1249, 2039
——— *v.* Monohur Deo	W. R., 1864, 39	1249, 1341 2034
Kustoor Mull *v.* Jokeeram	11 B. L. R., Ap., 26	5587
Kuta Bully Viraya *v.* Kuta Chudappa Vuthamulu	2 Mad., 235	2353
Kutti Ammal *v.* Radakristna Aiyan	8 Mad., 88	2311
Kutti Maunadiyar *v.* Payanu Muthan	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 288	4159
Kuttiyali *v.* Pari Makdi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 356	976
Kuttusheri Pisharith Kanna Pisharody *v.* Villotil Manakel Narayanan Somayajipad.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 234	1172
Kutty Subramaniya *v.* Chinna Muttee Pillai	3 Mad., 25	2743
Kuvarbai *v.* Alam Khan	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 170	1689
Kuvarji *v.* Moti Haridas	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 234	5398
Kuvarji Premchand *v.* Bai Javer	6 Bom., A. C., 143	2854
Kuverji Tulsidas *v.* G. I. P. Railway Company	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 109	4710
Kyalee Ram *v.* Mahomed Ali Khan	1 Agra, Rev., 62	1716
Kyampettee *v.* Nga Shu Law	14 W. R., 386	4742
Kylasa Goundan *v.* Ramasami Ayyan	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 172	3459
Kylasanada Moodelly *v.* Arunugum Moodelly	4 Mad., 366	3344
Kylash Chunder Chatterjee *v.* Gopal Chunder Chatterjee	1 W. R., 78	4804
Kylash Chunder Mitter *v.* Dhun Monee Dassia	15 W. R., 273	2023
Kylash Chunder Shaha *v.* Shurnomoyee Dossee	7 W. R., 318	5301
Kylash Chunder Sircar *v.* Gooroo Churn Sircar	3 W. R., 43	3853
——— *v.* Woomanund Roy	24 W. R., 412	1586, 2996
Kylash Kaminee Dossee *v.* Tarinee Churn Bose	20 W. R., 100	6144
Kylash Kaminee Dossia *v.* Judoo Bashinee Dossia	22 W. R., 391	6112
Kyte. In the matter of the petition of	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 223: 11 C. L. R., 427.	502

L.

Labbi Beebee *v.* Bibbun Beebee	6 N. W., 159	3684
Lachcho *v.* Mayaram	I. L. R., 5 All., 158: L. R., 10 I. A., 1.	4550
Lachlan *v.* Abdulla	5 B. L. R., Ap., 89	4408
Lachiram Jaya Sangji *v.* Ramji bin Shivaji	6 Bom., A. C., 107	5826
Lachman *v.* Juala	I. L. R., 5 All., 161	1533, 3621 5151
——— *v.* Thondi Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 382	3518
Lachman Bibi *v.* Patniram	I. L. R., 1 All., 510	3504
Lachman Das *v.* Brijpal	I. L. R., 6 All., 174	173
——— *v.* Dip Chand	I. L. R., 2 All., 851	4833

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lachman Joharimal v. Bapu Khandu	6 Bom., A. C., 241	4614
Lachman Kuar v. Murdan Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 143	2441
Lachman Prasad. In the matter of	I. L. R., 5 All., 360	5863
——— v. Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 884	339, 5213
——— v. Bal Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 157	5190
——— v. Chammi Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 6	5688, 5743
——— v. Holas Mahtoon	2 B. L. R., Ap., 27 : 11 W. R., 1[illegible]1.	2987, 4924
Lachman Pundeh v. Maddan Mohun Shyo	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 513 : 7 C. L. R., 521.	1866, 3518
Lachman Rai v. Akbar Khan	I. L. R., 1 All., 440	1845
Lachman Singh v. Kesri	I. L. R., 4 All., 3	3304, 4811
——— v. Mohan	I. L. R., 2 All., 497	188
——— v. Pirbhu Lall	6 N. W., 358	2717
——— v. Salig Ram	I. L. R., 8 All., 384	1878
——— v. Sanwal Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 543	4878
——— v. Tansukh	I. L. R., 6 All., 395	141
Lachmin v. Ganga Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 485	669
Lachmi Narain v. Bhawani Din	I. L. R., 4 All., 379	2917
——— v. Koteshar Nath	I. L. R., 2 All., 826	3932
——— v. Manog Dat	I. L. R., 7 All., 291	4538
——— v. Partab Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 1	694
——— v. Wilayti Begam	I. L. R., 2 All., 433	1097, 2042
Lachmi Narain Lal v. Sheoambar Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 400	3239
Lachmipat Singh v. Mahomed Mooneer	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 32 : W. R., F. B., 32.	96
Lachmipat Singh Dugar v. Khairat Ali	5 B. L. R., 18 : 12 W. R., F. B., 11.	4807
Lachmipat Singh Dugar Roy. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., O. C., 151 : 11 W. R., O. C., 24.	4757
Lachmipat Singh Roy v. Wahid Ali	2 B. L. R., A. C., 194 : 11 W. R., 70.	3507
Lackersteen v. Rostan	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 32	6063
——— v. Tarucknath Poramanick	Cor., 91	2614
Ladd v. Parbutty Dossee	2 Hyde, 18	2822
Ladji Naik v. Musabi	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 665	3298
Ladkuvarbhai v. Sarsangji Pratabsangji	7 Bom., O. C., 150	1937, 2893, 4972
Ladlee Pershad v. Gunga Pershad	4 N. W., 59	4245
Lado v. Qodey Koonwur	Agra, F. B., 22 : Ed. 1874, 17	4863
Lado Begum v. Achpul *alias* Ameeroolnissa	2 Agra, 153	1507
Lado Lakshuman v. Krishnaji Sadashiv	6 Bom., A. C., 41	4563
Ladooiah v. Sanvaloy	3 Agra, 191	2485
Ladubhai v. Revichand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 143	1228
Ladu Chimaji v. Babaji Khanduji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 532	1520
Ladun v. Bhyro Ram	8 W. R., 255	3705
"Lady Jocelyn." In the matter of the	2 Mad., 355	5501
Laek Ram v. Mohesh Doss	12 W. R., 488	435, 858, 5482
Laidley v. Bishucharan Pal	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 553	1601
——— v. Gour Gobind Sarkar	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 501	5179
——— v. Gunness Chunder Sahoo	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 438	5307
Laidman v. Hearsey	I. L. R., 7 All., 906	1514
Laikhram v. Ghumnee	3 Agra, 298	4317
Laith Narain Deo v. Bolakee Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 24	778
Lajja Prasad v. Debi Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 236	4557
Lakhessur Koer v. Sookha Ojha	1 C. L. R., 39	579

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lakhi Kant Das Chowdry *v.* Ram Dyal Das	5 B. L. R., Ap., 61	3335
Lakhikant Dutt *v.* Jagabandhu Chuckerbutty	3 B. L. R., Ap., 79	3748
Lakhi Kumar *v.* Ram Dutt Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., Ap., 44 : 11 W. R., 447.	4460
Lakhimani Rar. In the case of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 70	4686
Lakhimoni Chowdhrain *v.* Akroomoni Chowdhrain	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 851 : 12 C. L. R., 527.	4850
Lakhi Prya Dasi *v.* Nabin Chandra Nag	3 B. L. R., A. C., 37 : 11 W. R., 370.	93
Lakhi Prya Debi *v.* Khyrulla Kazi	7 B. L. R., 238, note	3248
Lakhmi Chand *v.* Indra Mull	4 B. L. R., P. C., 31 : 13 W. R., P. C., 36 : 13 Moore's I. A., 365.	1728
——— *v.* Gatto Bai	I. L. R., 7 All., 542	754, 5534
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 8 All., 319	2135
——— *v.* Tonilal	I. L. R., 1 All., 618	1095
Lakhmi Chand Walchand *v.* Kastur Bechar	9 Bom., 60	4825
Lakhmidas Hansraj. In re	5 Bom., O. C., 63	2674
Lakhmidas Hirachand *v.* G. I. P. Railway Company	4 Bom., O. C., 129	4704, 5719
Lakhpatty Thakoorani *v.* Leelanund Singh	2 C. L. R., 322	1703
Lakhu Cowar *v.* Roy Hari Krishna Singh	3 B. L. R., A. C., 226 : 12 W. R., 3.	3068
Laknarain Singh *v.* Mankoer	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 633	3261
Lakoo Khan *v.* Wise	18 W. R., 443	3118
Lakshmaji *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 289	1972
Lakshmakka *v.* Bali	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 500	4052, 6014
Lakshmamma *v.* Venkataragava Chariar	4 Mad., 89	3500, 3525 5242
Lakshman. In the matter of the application of	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 472	4521
Lakshmana *v.* Kullamma	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 99	433
——— *v.* Najimudin	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 145	5960
——— *v.* Sukiya Bai	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 400	2709
Lakshmana Chetti *v.* Narasimhasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 167	1915
Lakshmanaiyan *v.* Sivasamy Row	4 Mad., 2.6	3344
Lakshmanammal *v.* Tiruvengada Mudali	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 241	2301
Lakshmanan Chetti *v.* Karuppan Chetti	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 273	1998, 4039
——— *v.* Kolandaivelu Kudumban	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 311	3001, 3593
Lakshmana Rau *v.* Lakshmi Ammal	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 160	2137, 2138 2205
Lakshman Bhatkar *v.* Babaji Bhatkar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 31	2797, 6084
Lakshman Bhisaji Sirsekar *v.* Hari Dinkar Desai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 584	4022, 6099
Lakshman Dada Naik *v.* Ram Chandra Dada Naik	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561	1752, 2471 2534
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 48 : L. R., 7 I. A., 181 : 7 C. L. R., 320.	2388, 2532 3366, 5000
Lakshmandas *v.* Ganpatrav	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 365	5225
Lakshmandas Bhagatramji *v.* Manohar Ganesh Tambekar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 149	4564
Lakshmandas Sarupchand *v.* Dasrat	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 168	3544, 3545 4841, 5402 6140, 6142
Lakshman Mayaram *v.* Jamnabai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 225	2371
Lakshman Ramchandra *v.* Sarasvatibai	12 Bom., 69	2430
——— *v.* Satyabhamabai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 494	2432
Lakshman Shivaji *v.* Rama Esu	8 Bom., A. C., 17	179, 1241

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lakshmappa *v.* Ramava	12 Bom., 364	2109, 2127 2129, 2131 2135, 2148
Lakshmi *v.* Ananta Shanbaga	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 230	3140, 3155
——— *v.* Chendri	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 72	5596
——— *v.* Dada Nanaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 210	2810
——— *v.* Krishnabhat	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 424	5478
——— *v.* Sri Devi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 1	3455, 3466
Lakshmi Ammal *v.* Sashâdry Aiyangar	4 Mad., 275	3530
——— *v.* Tikaram Tova[illegible]	1 Mad., 240	4429, 5011
Lakshmibai *v.* Balkrishna	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 654	4291
——— *v.* Ganesh Antaji	4 Bom., A. C., 129	688
——— *v.* Ganpat Moroba	4 Bom., O. C., 150	2539
——— *v.* ———	5 Bom., O. C., 128	1700, 2303
——— *v.* Hari bin Ravji	9 Bom., 1	4418, 6098
——— *v.* Jayram Hari	6 Bom., A. C., 152	2314
——— *v.* Shridhar Vasudev Takle	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 1	2275, 3859
——— *v.* Vithal Ramchandra	9 Bom., 53	3390
Lakshmibai kom Sanshedappa *v.* Rudrappa bin Gangappa	2 Bom., 2nd Ed., 382	680
Lakshmichand *v.* Arjuna	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 77	1521
Lakshmi Chelliah Garu *v.* Srikrishna Bhupati Devu Maharaz Garu (Zemindar of Madugula).	7 Mad., 6	3902, 3976
Lakshmi Narasimhalu *v.* Chartrapu Jagannadham Pantalu *alias* Srinivasa Rau	3 Mad., 287	3825
Lakshmi Narayana *v.* Ramapa Chakkira	1 Mad., 70	2944
Lakshmi Narayana Aiyar *v.* Suppara Gaundan	2 Mad., 321	303
Lakshmi Shankar *v.* Vaijanath	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 24	2544
Lakshuman Ramji *v.* Ramlal valad Mahipata	6 Bom., A. C., 66	3430
Lakshuman Sakharam *v.* Ranu bin Sidoji	6 Bom., A. C., 51	3173
Lala *v.* Hira Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 49	1341, 1345 2870
Lala Banshidhar *v.* Government of Bengal	9 B. L. R., 364: 14 Moore's I. A., 86: 16 W. R., P. C., 11.	630, 4651
Lala Biswambhar Lal *v.* Rajaram	3 B. L. R., Ap., 67: 13 W. R., 337, note: S. C. 16 W. R., 140, note: 21 W. R., 373, note.	1165
Lala Chatranarayan *v.* Uba Kunwari	1 B. L. R., A. C., 201	2207
Lala Dilawar Sahai *v.* Dewan Bolakiram	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 258	3950
Lala Doul Narain *v.* Runjit Singh	1 C. L. R., 256	3893
Lala Gandar Lal *v.* Habibannissa	7 B. L. R., 235: 15 W. R., 311	3243
Lala Gobind Prasad *v.* Doulat Batti	6 B. L. R., Ap., 85: 14 W. R., 451	2434
Lala Gopee Chand *v.* Liakut Hossein	25 W. R., 211	54
Lala Himmat Sahai Singh *v.* Llewhellen	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 486	1829
Lala Huree Sunkur Sahoy *v.* Krishna Kant Dutt	25 W. R., 106	3494
Lala Iswari Prasad *v.* Bir Bhanjan Tewari	8 B. L. R., 315: 15 W. R., F. B., 9.	169
Lala Jagat Narayan *v.* Tulsiram	1 B. L. R., A. C., 71	437, 758
Lala Joti Lal *v.* Durani Kower	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 67: W. R., F. B., 173.	2312
Lala Jugdeo Sahai *v.* Brij Behari Lal	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 505	6033, 6038
Lala Kali Prosad *v.* Buli Singh	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 789: 3 C. L. R., 396.	3551
Lala Manohur Dass *v.* Kishen Dyal	3 N. W., 175	4245
Lala Mobaruk Lal *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 200	5365
Lala Prag Dutt *v.* Bandi Hossein	7 B. L. R., 42	3713
Lala Prasadi Lal *v.* Lala Ambika Prasad	3 B. L. R., Ap., 25	5581

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

Name of Case	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lala Ramdhari Lal *v.* Janessar Das	6 B. L. R., Ap., 14	3887
Lala Ranglal *v.* Deonarayan Tewary	6 B. L. R., 69: 14 W. R., 201	1736, 2781
Lala Rudra Prasad *v.* Binode Ram Sen	3 B. L. R., A. C., 71, note: 10 W. R., 321.	4131
Lala Sham Soondur Lal *v.* Sooraj Lal	26 W. R., 48	4646, 4653
Lala Tilakdhari Lal *v.* Furlong	2 B. L. R., A. C., 230	3918
Lal Bahadur Singh *v.* Durga Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 437	2081, 3850
Lal Bahadoor Singh *v.* Solano	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 45: 12 C. L. R., 539.	5191
Lalbehari Dutt *v.* Srinath Mookerjee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 73, note	4131
Lalbhai Lakhmidas *v.* Kamaludin Husen Khan	12 Bom., 247	302, 2946, 4677, 4774
Lalbhai Vallabhbhai *v.* Kavasji Nanabhai	8 Bom., O. C., 209	2017, 4323
Lalchand Ambai Das *v.* Sakharam	5 Bom., A. C., 139	3256, 3403
Laldas *v.* Nekunjo Bhaishiani	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 374	1340, 3743
Laldas Ramdas *v.* Kashiram	4 Bom., A. C., 60	1846, 4199
Lali Kouwar *v.* Carter	25 W. R., 492	2983
Lalit Mohun Shaha *v.* Srinibas Sen	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 331	5325
Lalit Panday *v.* Sridhar Deo Narain	5 B. L. R., 176: 13 W. R., 457.	2206
Laljee *v.* Sadit Hossein	4 N. W., 99	5456
Laljee Lall *v.* Hardey Narain	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 105: 11 C. L. R., 125.	2820
Laljee Sahoo *v.* Roghoonundun Lall Sahoo	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 447	3199, 3319
Laljee Sahoy *v.* Fakeer Chand	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 135: 7 C. L. R., 97.	2174
Laljee Singh *v.* Bunwary Lall Roy	25 W. R., 448	6103
Laljeet Singh *v.* Rajcoomar Singh	12 B. L. R., 372: 20 W. R., 337.	2468
Lalji *v.* Nuran	I. L. R., 5 All., 103	2862, 5199
Lalji Mal *v.* Hulasi	I. L. R., 3 All., 660	4875
Lalji Ratanji *v.* Gangaram Tuljaram	2 Bom., 184: 2nd Ed., 176	4858, 6113
Lalji Sahu *v.* Collector of Tirhoot	6 B. L. R., 648: 15 W. R., P. C., 23.	990, 4654
Lalji Singh *v.* Akram Sen	3 B. L. R., A. C. 235: 12 W. R., 47.	303, 5823
Lalji Ukheda *v.* Jowba Dowba	8 Bom., A. C., 94	5255
Lal Kower *v.* Jaikaran Lal	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 67: W. R., F. B., 173.	2312
Lalla Bance Pershad *v.* Lalla Dabee Pershad	24 W. R., 435	324
Lalla Beharee Lall *v.* Lalla Modho Persaud	6 W. R., 69	2359, 3261
Lalla Bhagun Pershad *v.* Holloway	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 393	799
Lalla Bhoop Narain *v.* Abassee Begum	23 W. R., 458	4527
Lalla Bhoyro Lall *v.* Lalla Mokoond Lal	2 W. R., 275	4911
Lalla Bishen Dyal Singh *v.* Ram Sunkur Tewaree	6 W. R., Mis., 38	3493, 3514
Lalla Bisson Pershad *v.* Collector of Hazareebagh	13 W. R., 397	2856, 5270
Lalla Bissessur Dyal *v.* Doolar Chand Sahoo	22 W. R., 181	690
Lalla Boodhmul *v.* Lalla Gowree Sunkur	4 W. R., 71	79, 2080
Lalla Bunseedhur *v.* Bindeseree Dutt Singh	10 Moore's I. A., 454	2088, 2711, 4659
Lalla Byjnath Pershad *v.* Bissen Beharee Sahoy Singh	19 W. R., 80	2201
Lalla Chedi Lal *v.* Ramdhuni Gope	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 57	3807
Lalla Chooneelal Nagindas *v.* Sawacchund Namedas	5 W. R., P. C., 111	6151
Lalla Chuttur Narain *v.* Wooma Koonwaree	8 W. R., 273	2207
Lalla Dabee Pershad *v.* Beharee Lall	3 Agra, 33	3909, 4400
——— *v.* Santo Pershad	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 505	4524
Lalla Debeeden *v.* Shee Ghoolam Singh	2 N. W., 206	328

Name of Case	Volume and Page	Column of Digest
Lalla Gobind Suhaye *v* Munohur Misser	1 W R, 65	3384
Lalla Gooman Singh *v* Grant	11 W R, 292	2040
Lalla Gooroo Sahaye Singh *v* Bromo Deonarain	W R, 1864, Act X, 105	1785
Lalla Gopee Chand *v.* Liakut Hossein	25 W R, 211	54, 4815
Lalla Gopee Chund In the matter of the petition of	I L R, 2 Calc., 128	277
Lalla Gunput Lall *v* Toorun Koonwar	16 W R., 52	2199
Lalla Heera Lal *v* Gouree Byjnath Pershad	4 W R, 43	5791
Lalla Heera Lall *v.* Monee Roy	11 W. R, 202	1869
Lallah Jha *v* Tullebmatool Zuhra	21 W R, 436	5762
Lallah Mahtab Roy *v* Debee Dutt Singh	25 W R, 204	6111
Lalla Huree Lal *v.* Kooldeep Singh	19 W. R, 144	2021
Lalla Imrit Lall *v* Mahomed Lallzamah	18 W R, 447	5764
Lalla Indurjeet Lall *alias* Gujadhur Pershad *v* Jumoona	5 W R, 248	6117
Lalla Isree Pershad *v* Stuart	W R, 1864, Act X, 28	4931
Lalla Jowahir Lall Pandey *v* Court of Wards	17 W R, 214	5789
Lalla Joynath Sahee Deo *v* Lutchun Christian	16 W R, 158	4172
Lalla Jugdeo Sahoy *v.* Digambur Roy	22 W R, 304, note	145
Lalla Juggeshur Sahoy *v* Gopal Lall	15 W R, 54	289, 2774 5369
Lalla Jugseb Sahoy *v.* Kassee Nath Sein	1 Ind Jur, O. S, 121	877
Lalla Joogul Kishore Lall *v.* Bhukha Chowdhry	9 W R, 244	5394
Lalla Kalee Sahoy *v.* Lalla Kumla Sahoy	24 W R., 351	2351
Lalla Kundee Lall *v.* Lalla Kalee Pershad	22 W R, 307	2489
Lalla Mewa Lall *v.* Mahato	25 W R, 152	2762
Lalla Mitterjeet Singh *v* Rajeoomar Sircar	18 W R, Cr, 22	4101
—— *v* Scott	17 W R, 62	3910
Lalla Mohabeer Pershad *v* Kundun Koowar	8 W R, 116	2283 2448
Lalla Mohadeo Dyal Singh *v* Chundee Pershad	25 W. R, 57	1736
Lalla Nukched Lall *v* Futteh Bahadoor Lall	24 W R, 39	3036
Lalla Narain Doss *v* Estate of Ex-King of Delhi	11 Moore 1 A, 277	2712, 3112
Lalla Nowbut Lall *v* Lalla Jewan Lall	1 L R, 4 Calc, 831 2 C. L R, 319	3713
Lalla Ojhee Lall *v.* Lootf Ali Khan	2 W R, Mis 33	209
Lalla Oudh Beharee Lalla *v* Mewa Koonwar	3 Agra, 82	1149, 1150
Lalla Poorohit Lall *v.* Sabeerun	7 W R, 368	1677
Lalla Purtag Dutt *v* Bundeh Hossein	15 W R, 225	3713
Lalla Ram Sahoy *v* Dodraj Matho	20 W R, 395	573
Lalla Ram Sahoy Lall *v* Chowbain	22 W R 287	3412
Lalla Ram Suhae Singh *v* Lalla Oojoodhya Pershad	5 W R, 233	4136
Lalla Ram Surun Lall *v* Lokebas Kooer	18 W. R., 39	880 5380
Lalla Roghoobuns Sahoy *v* Asloo	20 W R, 294	1628
Lalla Rooder Pershad *v* Hurpershad Doss	23 W R, 194	3107
Lalla Sahib Chand *v* Goodui Khan	22 W R, 187	5319, 6032
Lalla Seeta Ram *v.* Ram Buksh Thakoor	24 W. R, 383	5404
Lalla Seetul Pershad *v* Chand Khan	2 N W, 428	2077
Lalla Sham Soondur *v* Sooraj Lall	13 W R, 441	564
Lalla Sheeblall *v* Ghulam Nubbee	Marsh, 255 2 Hay, 23	4209
Lalla Sheo Narain *v* Oodhun Singh	1 N W., 180 Ed 1873, 258	1658
Lalla Sheoparshad *v.* Juggernath	L R, 10 I A 74	145
Lalla Sheo Sahay Singh *v* Kummorunissa Begum	2 W R, Act X, 68	2691
Lalla Shoobh Narain *v* Nursingh Narain	20 W R, 148	4903
Lalla Singh *v* Reazoonissa	8 W R, 271	1634
Lalla Sooklall Sing *v* Bussoodhun	W R, 1864, 347	318
Lalla Sreedhur Narain *v* Lalla Modho Pershad	8 W R, 294	2356
Lalla Sreepershad *v* Akoonjoo Koonwar	7 W R 488	2444, 2449
Lalla Teeluckdaree Lall *v* Court of Wards	11 W R., 149	3918
Lalla Thakoor Sahoy *v* Mahomed Lootfoollah	18 W R, 504	4852

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lallah Barroomul v. Official Liquidator	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 704: 3 C. L. R., 581.	946
Lallah Bhugwan Doss v. Akbar	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 390	1196, 1407
Lallah Bunseedhur v. Bindeseree Dutt Singh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 165	2085
Lallah Gopeenath v. Jeetun Koer	6 W. R., 57	3743
Lallah Gour Narain v. Karron Lall Thakoor	Marsh., 363: 2 Hay, 447	2985
Lallah Mohabeer Pershad v. Kundur Koonwar	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 312: 8 W. R., 116.	2283, 2448
Lallah Rameshur Singh v. Lallah Bisson Doyal	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 406: 25 W. R., 150.	1353
Lall Beharee Awustee v. Bholanath Dey Chakladar	23 W. R., 49	1082
Lall Beharee Lall v. Gopee Beebee	18 W. R., 285	6246
Lall Chand v. Kulimoo Koonwar	7 W. R., 532	248
Lall Chand Ramdayal v. Gumtibai	8 Bom., O. C., 140	132, 3087
Lall Chand Roy. In the matter of	9 W. R., Cr., 37	1257
——— v. Brindabun Chunder Roy	13 W. R., 226	6245
Lall Dharee Roy v. Brojo Lall Singh	10 W. R., 401	2034
Lall Dhur Rae v. Gunput Rae	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 22: Ed. 1873, 81.	3995
Lall Dokul Singh v. Lall Rooder Purtab Singh	5 W. R., P. C., 95	3125
Lall Doss v. Jamal Ali	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 901: 9 W. R., 187.	3165, 3333, 3441
Lallee Singh v. Amrit Kooer	17 W. R., 490	4456
Lall Gobindyal v. Burzin	2 Hay, 111	220
Lall Gopalnath Sahee Deo v. Pudum Koonwar	5 W. R., Mis., 44	3175
Lalljee Sahoo v. Bhugwan Doss	8 W. R., 337	535, 3008, 3014
——— v. Rajender Pertab Sahee	14 W. R., 418	3558
Lalljeet Singh v. Raj Coomar	25 W. R., 353	4307
Lall Jha v. Negroo	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 717	4787, 4791
Lall Lutchmee Pershaud v. Bhoodhun Sing	Marsh., 474	3076
Lall Mahomed v. Buzlool Hossein	17 W. R., 562	674
——— v. Dhoolee Ram Dass	22 W. R., 377	6276
——— v. Furhutoonissa	15 W. R., 312	2023
——— v. Gibbon	22 W. R., Cr., 74	4736
——— v. Lall Brij Kishore	17 W. R., 430	3385, 3446, 3667
——— v. Peer Nuzur	18 W. R., 112	326, 333
——— v. Shona Jolla Ghazee	2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 3	1489
Lall Mahomed Biswas v. Watson	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 3: 4 W. R., 62.	521, 1375
Lall Miah v. Nazir Khalashi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 606	4106
Lall Mohun Mullick v. Peary Chand Mittra	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 383	5283, 5639
Lall Monee Dossee v. Joynarain Shaha	11 W. R., 508	4579
Lallmun v. Manick Chund	1 Agra, 133	5119
Lall Munee v. Pyagdutt Doobey	1 N. W., 137: Ed. 1873, 220	1098
Lall Nath Misser v. Sheoburn Pandey	20 W. R., 92	5100
Lall Singh v. Modhoosudun Roy	8 W. R., 426	1736, 4174
——— v. Zahuria	6 N. W., 222	5729
Lall Soonder Doss v. Hurry Kissen Doss	Marsh., 113: 1 Hay, 339: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 532	880, 2479
Lallubhai v. Naran	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 719	3380
Lallubhai Bapubhai v. Cassibai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 110: 7 C. L. R., 445: L. R., 7 I. A., 212.	2315

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lallubhai Bapubhai v. Mankuvarbai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 388	1914, 2315 2534, 2538 3167
Lallu Ganesh v. Ranchhod Kahandas	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 641	5710
Lallu Haribhai v. Ranchhod Jamiadas	10 Bom., 95	2615
Lallunmonee Dossee v. Nobin Mohun Singh	25 W. R., 32	1101, 2847
Lal Mahomed v. Kallanus	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 519	1682
Lal Mahomed Bepari v. Shoila Bewa	11 C. L. R., 104	287
Lal Mahomed Biswas v. Watson	4 W. R., 62: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 3.	521, 1375
Lalman v. Mannu Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 19	3022
Lal Mohun Holdar v. Mahadeb Katee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 909: 9 W. R., 193.	3288
Lalmoney Dossee v. Juddoonauth Shaw	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 319	2799, 2827
Laloo v. Adam Sircar	17 W. R., Cr., 37	4097, 4435 5166
Laloo Sirkar v. Jogut Kishore Acharjea	13 C. L. R., 203	3808
Lal Saloo v. Deo Narain Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 781: 2 C. L. R., 294.	5179
Lal Seetla Bux v. Jankee Koer	L. R., 5 I. A., 1: 1 C. L. R., 318.	5504
Lal Shunkur Bux v. Jankee Koer	L. R., 5 I. A., 1: 1 C. L. R., 318.	5504
Lal Singh v. Deo Narain Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 279	2187
——— v. Kunjan	I. L. R., 4 All., 387	198
Lalti Kuar v. Ganga Bishan	7 N. W., 261	2422
Lalubhai Surchand v. Bai Amrit	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 299	6142
Lalu Mulji Thakar v. Kashibai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 400	1497, 1892 3552
Lalun v. Hemraj Singh	20 W. R., 76	4169
Lalun Mani v. Behari Lal Mookerjee	7 B. L. R., Ap., 30	2709
Lalunmonee v. Ajoodhya Ram Khan	23 W. R., 61	1581, 1586 2996
Lalun Monee v. Sona Monee Dabee	22 W. R., 334	2978, 3869
Lamb v. Bejoy Kishen Dass	8 Moore's I. A., 427	5463
——— v. Dewan Puddum Lochun	Marsh., 96: W. R., F. B., 28: 1 Hay, 168.	500[illegible]
Lamouroux v. Eville	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 274	1371
Land Acquisition Act, 1870. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 230: 17 W. R., 221.	2949
———	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 103	2949
Land Mortgage Bank of India. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 140	129
——— v. Amedbhoy Hubibbhoy	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 35	2614
——— v. Bidyadhari Dasi	7 C. L. R., 460	3640
——— v. Elmes	25 W. R., 332	469
——— v. Judoo Nath Ghultuck	25 W. R., 175	545
——— v. Mehtus	4 B. L. R., Ap., 96	5863
——— v. Moti	I. L. R., 8 All., 64	6187
——— v. Munsur Ali	1 C. L. R., 239	1763
——— v. Neeloo Bhutto	21 W. R., 125	4859
——— v. Radha Krishna Dutt	25 W. R., 323	2715
——— v. Ram Ruttun Neogy	21 W. R., 270	4347
——— v. Roy Luchmiput Singh	8 C. L. R., 447	3640
——— v. Vishnu Govind Pantankar.	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 670	5381
Langlois v. Rattray	3 C. L. R., 1	54
Laraiti v. Ram Dial	I. L. R., 5 All., 224	3741, 4972

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lailee v. Bunsee Ditchit	3 N. W., 70	3742
Larrymore v. Pernendoo Deo Rai	14 W. R., Cr., 32	4371
Lasmani Debia v. Mahommed Hafezulla	3 B. L. R., Ap., 96	5741
Latapie. In re	Cor., 4	2663
Latchmana Rau Saib v. Rogunatha Rau	6 Mad., 299	775
Latchman Pundeh v. Maddan Mohun Shye	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 513 : 7 C. L. R., 521.	1836, 3518
Latham v. Hurruckchand Sooratram	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 314	2683
Lati Kooer v. Sobadra Kooer	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 720 : 2 C. L. R., 75.	3553, 3831 5437
Latoo Mundle v. Bhoobun Mohun Chatterjee	17 W. R., 361	2742
Latore Mistree v. Agamuddee Nushyo	14 W. R., 482	6245
Lauder v. Eastern Bengal Railway Co.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 320	1052
Lavergne v. Hooper	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 149	1718, 6013
Lawless v. Calcutta Landing and Shipping Co.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 627	3196, 4598
Lazar v. Colla Ragava Chetty	6 W. R., P. C., 126 : 2 Moore's I. A., 80.	5377
Leake v. Daniel	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 970 : 10 W. R., F. B., 10.	1883
—— v. ——	10 W. R., 337	3517
Leckie. In the goods of	15 B. L. R., Ap., 8	3088
—— v. Joygobindonath Roy	7 C. L. R., 114	1210
Ledgard v. Bull	L. R., 13 I. A., 134 : I. L. R., 9 All., 191.	294, 2799 4335, 6018
Ledlie v. Bhadoo Poramanick	11 W. R., 558	1376
—— v. Doorga Monee Dossee	21 W. R., 410	5636
—— v. Gopal Mundul	17 W. R., 91	1096
Lee Chengalroya Naicker. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 148	3093
Leeladhur v. Bhugwunt	3 N. W., 39	5997
Leelanund Singh. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 273	4502, 4503
—— In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 168	4407
—— v. Basheeroonissa	16 W. R., 102	3419, 4229
—— v. Court of Wards	14 W. R., 387	1206, 1211
—— v. Doorgabutty	W. R., 1864, 249	2039
—— v. Government of Bengal	4 W., R. P. C., 77 : 6 Moore's I. A., 101.	2035
—— v. ——	1 W. R., P. C., 20 : 9 Moore's I. A., 479.	5794
—— v. Ishuree Nundun Dutt Jha	8 W. R., 316	5108
—— v. Lakhputtee Thakoorani	22 W. R., 231	1758, 1761
—— v. Lakchmissar Singh	14 W. R., P. C., 23 : 13 Moore's I. A., 490.	274, 3826
—— v. Luchmunur Singh	10 C. L. R., 169	4128
—— v. Luckimpur Singh Bahadur	5 B. L. R., 605	274
—— v. Mohendro Narain Singh	13 W. R., P. C., 7 : 13 Moore's I. A., 57.	4128, 5985
—— v. Moheshur Singh	3 W. R., P. C., 19 : 10 Moore's I. A., 81.	647, 4128 4395
—— v. Monorunjun Singh	5 W. R., 101	2033, 3068
—— v. Munrunjun Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 251	2037
—— v. Nirput Mahtoon	17 W. R., 306	96
—— v. Nusseeb Singh	6 W. R., 80	2034
—— v. Ram Narain Singh	15 W. R., 415	2694, 2707
—— v. Sarwan Singh	5 W. R., 292	2034
Legal Remembrancer. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 491	6029
—— v. Radhoo Churn Ash	18 W. R., Cr., 3	5564
LeGeyt v. Harvey	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 501	1525

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lein Tu *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 10	723
Lekhamani *v.* Ranga Krishna Mutta Vira Puchaya Naikar.	6 Mad., 208	6071
Lekhraj *v.* Paleeram	1 N. W., 162 : Ed. 1873, 241	1047, 6244
——— *v.* ———	2 N. W., 210	911, 1811, 2679
Lekhraj Koder *v.* Sirdar Dyal Singh	25 W. R., 497	2462
Lekhraj Roy *v.* Buckland	5 W. R., Act X, 65	196
——— *v.* Court of Wards	14 W. R., 395	4473, 5984
——— *v.* Kanhya Singh	14 W. R., 262	3077
——— *v.* ———	17 W. R., 485	3066
——— *v.* ———	18 W. R., 494	272, 5145
——— *v.* ———	L. R., 1 I. A., 317	272
——— *v.* Kunhya Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 210 : L. R., 4 I. A., 223.	2054
——— *v.* Mahtab Chand	21 W. R., 147	2696
——— *v.* Motee Madhub Sein	15 W. R., 333	1708
——— *v.* Mutty Madhub Sen	14 W. R., 95	900, 4201, 4676
Lekhraj Singh *v.* Dulhma Kuar	I. L. R., 4 All., 302	363
Lekraj Kuar *v.* Mahpal Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 744 : 6 C. L. R., 593 : L. R., 7 I. A., 63.	1841
Lekraj Roy *v.* Becharam Misser	7 W. R., 52	1919
——— *v.* Kanhya Singh	L. R., 1 I. A., 317	272
——— *v.* Mahtab Chund	10 B. L. R., 35 : 14 Moore's I. A., 393 : 17 W. R., 117.	2090, 4654
Lelanund Singh *v.* Luchmunur Singh	10 C. L. R., 169	4128
Lelim Tewaree *v.* Govindgeer Gossain	Marsh., 64 : 1 Hay, 132	2802
Leman *v.* Damodaraya	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 158	3601, 5877
Leslie. In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 171	2826
———	10 B. L. R., 68 : 18 W. R., 474	5926
——— *v.* Allender	17 W. R., 390	298
——— *v.* Inglis	4 Hyde, 67	3084
——— *v.* Land Mortgage Bank of India	17 W. R., 160	1934
——— *v.* ———	18 W. R., 269	2826
——— *v.* Panchanan Mitter	6 B. L. R., 668 : 15 W. R., O. C., 1.	3350, 4681
Lethbridge *v.* Prohlad Sen	19 W. R., 301	1870, 3519
Lewin *v.* Morrison	2 Agra, Pt. II, 151	1199, 1371
Lewis. In re	6 Bom., O. C., 42	3812
Likhun Pathuk *v.* Roop Lal	3 N. W., 48	4931
Lila Morji *v.* Vasudev Moreshvar Ganpule	11 Bom., 283	2409, 3978
Lilanand Singh *v.* Government	2 B. L. R., A. C., 114	2037
——— *v.* Lukhimpur Sing	5 B. L. R., 605	274, 3826
——— *v.* Munorunjun Singh	13 B. L. R., 124 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 181.	2037, 3068
Lillu *v.* Annaji Pamshram	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 387	4456, 4464, 4499
Linda Koya. In re. The petition of	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 225	604
Lindsay. In re	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 121	4507
Linga Gaundan *v.* Doddali	6 Mad., 307	1425
Lingammal *v.* Chinna Venkatammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 227	4276, 5965
———	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 239	4045, 4414
Liquidators of Indian Peninsular, London, and China Bank *v.* Scott.	5 Bom., O. C., 167	2626
Llewhellin *v.* Chunni Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 423	2812

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Columns of Digest.
Lloyd v. Sogra	25 W. R., 313	1164
Loburi Domini v. Assam Railway and Trading Co.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 915	2767
Lochun Chowdhry v. Anup Singh	8 C. L. R., 426	4170
Lochun Mundul v. Wuzeer Puramanick	13 W. R., 464	773
Lochun Singh v. Het Narain Singh	24 W. R., 232	325, 4898
——— v. Nemdharee Singh	20 W. R., 170	2152, 2462
Lodai Mollah v. Kally Dass Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 238: 10 C. L. R., 581.	4268
Lodhi Singh v. Ishri Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 295	5087, 5890
Logan v. Kunji	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 110	5692
Loganada Mudali v. Ramasvami	1 Mad., 384	2194
Login v. Princess Victoria Gourama of Coorg	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 109	2281
Lokenath Ghose v. Jugobundhoo Roy	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 297	6033
Lokenath Gossamee v. Monmohun Gossamee	20 W. R., 293	5282
Lokenath Kar. In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., Cr., 2	6066
Lokenath Mullick v. Odoy Churn Mullick	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 644	130
Lokenath Roy v. Collector of Beerbhoom	W. R., 1864, 338	353
Lokenath Sahoo v. Tukeer Singh	Marsh., 630	193
Loke Nath Sircar v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 349	5557
Lokenath Surma v. Keshab Ram Doss	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 147	1425, 4038
——— v. Ooma Moyee Debee	1 W. R., 107	2355
Lokessur Koer v. Purgun Roy	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 418	4406, 6122
Lokhee Narain Roy Chowdhry v. Kally Puddo Bandopadhya.	23 W. R., 358: L. R., 2 I. A., 154.	486, 1713
Loki Mahto v. Aghoree Ajail Lall	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 144: 4 C. L. R., 465.	2397, 4918
Loknarain Singh v. Myna Koer	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 191: 7 W. R., 199.	3261
Lolit Coomar Bose v. Ishan Chunder Chuckerbutty	10 C. L. R., 258	813
Lolitta Dossia v. Ruttun Mollee Bhuttacharjee	10 W. R., 208	1752
Loll Jha v. Bhugmunt Narain Jha	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 104	1675
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Badee Beebee.	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 42	2816
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Bhanji Zutani.	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 116	6097
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Burjorji Sorabji Lywalla.	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 346	944, 2673 6225
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Dadabhoy Cursetji Maju.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 582	948
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Govind Ramchandra.	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 223	947
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Hormasji Pestanji Framji.	8 Bom., O. C., 200	944, 2673
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Mahomed Ibrahim Parkar.	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 619	1207, 5589 6235
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Pestanji Dhunjibhoy.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 155	824
Longbottom v. Satoor	1 Mad., 429	6206
Loolochuna Dayee v. Durp Narain Bose	12 W. R., 95	516
Looloo Singh v. Rajendur Laha	8 W. R., 364	2084, 4033 6239
Lootf Ali v. Aboo Bibee	15 W. R., 203	3495, 5582
——— v. Budrool Huq	21 W. R., 119	1501
——— v. Shib Dyal Singh	8 W. R., 512	3005
Lootf Ali Mesh v. Pearee Mohun Roy	16 W. R., 223	1718
Lootf Hossein v. Dursun Lall Sahoo	23 W. R., 424	2086

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lootfulhuck *v.* Gopee Chunder Mojoomdar	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 941 : 6 C. L. R., 402	1185
Lootfur Ali Khan *v.* Sadda Brut Pershad	W. R., 1864, Mis., 35	187
Loot Narain Singh *v.* Showkee Lall	2 C. L. R., 382	6158
Looyee Dhur Atto *v.* Prosunno Moyee Dossee	20 W. R., 267	5753
Lopes *v.* Lopes	5 Bom., O. C., 172	1579, 6042
Lopez *v.* Driberg	W. R., 1864, Act X, 67	4677
——— *v.* Lopez	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 706	1552, 3786 5099
——— *v.* Maddan Thakoor	5 B. L. R., 521 : 13 Moore's I. A., 467 : 14 W. R., P. C., 11.	43, 5566
——— *v.* Muddun Mohun Thakoor	13 Moore's I. A., 467 : 14 W. R., P. C., 11 : 5 B. L. R., 521.	43, 5566
Lopotee Domnee *v.* Tikha Moodai	8 W. R., Cr., 67	3603, 3738
Lotf.Ali Khan *v.* Afzuloonissa Begum	3 W. R., 113	3293, 5213
——— *v.* Court of Wards	6 W. R., Mis., 127	5115
——— *v.* Kashee Dyal	1 W. R., 6	5347
Lotf Aly *v.* Gujraj Thakoor	11 W. R., 408	3978
Lotfoollah *v.* Nusseebun	10 W. R., 24	1460, 1777
Lotlikar *v.* Lotlikar	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 643	427
——— *v.* Wagle	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 596	2255
Loughnan *v.* Joosub Bhulladina	5 Moore's I. A., 137	2832
Louis. In the matter of	15 B. L. R., Ap., 14	6026
Love. In re	9 B. L. R., Ap., 35 : 18 W. R., Cr., 44.	1152
——— *v.* Ainsworth	22 W. R., 336	956
Lowa Jha *v.* Bisseshur Singh	11 W. R., 6	300, 322
Lucas *v.* Stephen	9 W. R., 301	1487, 5119 5135
Lucheeram *v.* Mahaniram	1 Agra, 10	4902
Luchi Behara *v.* Nityanund Doss	19 W. R., Cr., 55	972
Luchman Bharti *v.* Dukharan Bharti	6 C. L. R., 138	4663
Luchman Lall *v.* Ram Lall	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 521 : 8 C. L. R., 115.	1131
Luchmee Buksh Roy *v.* Runjeet Ram Panday	13 B. L. R., 177 : 20 W. R., 375.	3118, 3213 4592
Luchmee Chund. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 317	924, 4591
——— *v.* Bengal Coal Company	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 317	924, 4591
——— *v.* Zorawur Mull	6 Moore's I. A., 204	4644, 4645
Luchmee Chund Radhakishen *v.* Zorawar Mull	1 W. R., P. C., 35 : 8 Moore's I. A., 291.	2820
Luchmeedhur Pattuck *v.* Rughoobur Singh	24 W. R., 284	333, 1742 6108
Luchmeedhur Singh *v.* Ekbal Ali	8 W. R., 75	2154
Luchmee Kant Ghose *v.* Bamun Dass Mookerjee	17 W. R., 472	1892, 3457
Luchmee Koer *alias* Bhugobutty Koer *v.* Futteh Singh	24 W. R., 400	476
Luchmee Koonwar *v.* Bhugwan Doss	6 W. R., Mis., 116	80
Luchmee Narain *v.* Bhairow Pershad	1 Agra, Mis., 4	2048
——— *v.* Bhairow Pershad	1 Agra, Mis., 5	209
——— *v.* Bheemul Doss	8 W. R., 500	3727
——— *v.* Bhyroo Pershad	1 Agra, Mis., 11	5468
——— *v.* Choomun Meah	14 W. R., 184	3318
——— *v.* Pyle	2 N. W., 150	354, 357 368
——— *v.* Shudasheo Singh	5 W. R., Mis., 12	2708, 3204

Name of Case.	Volume and Page	Column of Digest.
Luchmee Narain Sahoo *v.* Kashukee Dutt Jha	8 W. R., 107	4527
Luchmee Narain Shaha *v.* Jutadharee Holdar	7 W. R., 89	3427
Luchmee Narain Shaha *alias* Gopeenath Shaha *v.* Koochil Kant Roy.	6 W. R., Act X, 46	1604
Luchmee Narain Singh *v.* Gibbon	14 W. R., 197	2326
Luchmeenath Singh *v.* Madho Dass Sahoo	2 N. W., 70	1496
Luchmee Persad *v.* Ramgolam Singh	2 W. R., Act X, 30	1604
Luchmee Pershad *v.* Fuzelutoonissa Bibee	7 W. R., 367	4574
Luchmee Pershad Doobey *v.* Kullasoo	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 620 : 2 Ind Jur., N. S., 89 : 7 W. R., 175.	2751
Luchmee Pershad Narain Singh *v.* Tiluckdharee Singh	24 W. R., 295	3130, 3135 3231
Luchmeeput *v.* Lekraj Roy	8 W. R., 415	450, 5453 5460
Luchmeeput Dokur *v.* Jugutinder Bunwary Lall	Marsh., 195 : 1 Hay, 459	1884
Luchmeeput Doogur *v.* Jugut Indur Tewaree	W. R., 1864, Mis., 5	3775
Luchmeeput Doss *v.* Ensee Ali	22 W. R., 346	2975, 6107
Luchmeeput Singh. In the matter of the petition of	14 W. R., Cr., 17	4095
——— *v.* Adoyto Churn Mullick	24 W. R., 452	805, 5451
——— *v.* Jowahur Ali	18 W. R., 497	1912
——— *v.* Jungulee Kullyan Doss	9 W. R., 147	1612, 1752
——— *v.* Khoobunissa	14 W. R., 280	878
——— *v.* Moshurruff Ali	25 W. R., 80	303
——— *v.* Nund Coomar Goopto	22 W. R., 388	4943
——— *v.* Raj Coomaree Dabea	23 W. R., 275	546
Luchmeeput Singh Doogur *v.* Humphrey	14 W. R., 101	450
——— *v.* Mooktakashee Debia	9 W. R., 388	5451, 5454
——— *v.* Woomanath Mundul	10 W. R., 490	1753
Luchmenauth Doogur *v.* Haradhun Mookerjee	2 Hay, 95	1494
Luchmeput Dogare *v.* Sibnarain Mundle	1 Hyde, 97	5586
Luchmessur Singh *v.* Leelanund Singh	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 599 : 3 C. L. R., 427.	5249
Luchmeswar Singh *v.* Lutf Ali Khan	8 B. L. R., 110	629, 2694
Luchmi Dai Koori *v.* Asman Sing	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 213 : 25 W. R., 421.	1461, 2172
Luchmi Dass *v.* Pallat Lall	23 W. R., Cr., 54	4509
Luchmi Narain Singh *v.* Asgrup Koer	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 43	3251, 3268
Luchmin Nath *v.* Maharaja of Vizianagram	7 N. W., 310	5416
Luchmiput Singh. In the matter of	14 W. R., Cr., 3	4109, 4724
——— In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., Cr., 43	3611
——— *v.* Amir Alum	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 176 : 12 C. L. R., 22.	3663
——— *v.* Lekraj Roy	2 W. R., Mis., 56	209
——— *v.* Secretary of State	11 B. L. R., Ap., 28 : 20 W. R., 11.	2604
——— *v.* Sita Nath Doss	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 477 : 10 C. L. R., 517.	204
Luchmissur Singh *v.* Dakho	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 708 : 10 C. L. R., 127.	4787, 4791
——— *v.* Runglal	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 708 : 10 C. L. R., 127.	4787, 4791
Luchmon Sahai Chowdhry *v.* Kanchun Ojhain	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 525	3270, 4860
Luchmun *v.* Saidho	4 N. W., 169	2882
Luchmun Chunder Geer Gossain *v.* Kalli Churn Singh	19 W. R., 292	1712, 2498
Luchmun Chunder Singh *v.* Kaleechurn Singh	12 W. R., 293	276

Name of Case.	Volume and Page	Column of Digest.
Luchmun Dass v Giridhur Chowdhry	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 855 · 6 C. L R, 473	2173
Luchmun Dass v Narayan	3 N. W., 191	2098, 3850
Luchmun Doss v. Heera Lal	3 N W., 187	809
——— v Priag Dutt	2 Agra, 305	4867
Luchmun Koonwar v. Luchmun Bhukut	7 W R, 79	3215
Luchmun Lall v Mohun Lall Bhuya Gayal	16 W R, 179	2111, 2223
Luchmun Lall Doobey v. Hursohoy Lall	W R, 1864, 361	4905
Luchmun Persad v. Ameer Ali	W R, 1864, Mis., 15	209
Luchmun Persad Singh v. Kishun Persad Singh	I. L R, 8 Calc, 218: 10 C. L R, 425	3543
Luchmun Pershad v. Juggernath Doss	W. R, 1864, 2	3121
——— v Maharanee of Burdwan	17 W R, 181	4198
——— v. Moonnee Koonwer	1 Agra, 220	2360
——— v. Nimboo Pershad	17 W R, 266	3191
——— v Rumzan Ali	8 W R, 513	3204
Luchmun Pershad Gorgo. In the matter of the petition of.	18 W. R, Cr, 22	2599
——— v Lukhun Churn Kur	3 C. L R., 74	4965
Luchmun Ramonooj Doss v. Ramhuree Roy	22 W. R, 67	5308
Luchmun Ram Unooj v. Watson	W R, 1864, 146	184, 289
Luchmun Sahae Chowdhry v Seami Jha	5 W R, Act X, 93	1178
Luchmun Singh v. Kazim Ali Khan	5 W. R, 219	3158
——— v Mahomed Hossein	1 Agra, Rev, 42	538
——— v. Miriam	5 W R, 219	3158, 3334
——— v. Narain	2 N. W, 185	3497
——— v. Shumshere Singh	L R, 2 I A., 58	5132
——— v Tirbani Baksh	14 B. L R, 373	5132
Luchmun Suhae Chowdry v. Gujraj Jha	4 W R, 45	3107
Luchomun Pershad v. Debee Pershad	1 W. R, 317	2293
Luckeemonee Dossee v. Shunkurree Dossee	2 W R, 252	6242
Luckhee Debea Chowdhrain v Gooroodoss Sein	W. R, 1864, Act X, 54	4502
Luckhee Debia Chowdhrain v Collector of Mymensingh	7 W R, 231	4471
——— v Malick	W. R, 1864, 237	5748
Luckhee Kant Doss v Deen Dyal Doss	14 W. R., 82	3335
——— v. Shib Chunder Chuckerbutty	12 W. R, 416	1137
Luckhee Kant Dutt v Jugobundhoo Chuckerbutty	11 W R, 561	3748
Luckhee Monee Dossee v. Bhoobun Mohun Bose	23 W R, 147	197
Luckhee Monee Dossia v Rajkishore Paul	4 W. R., 106	5767
Luckhee Narain Aung Bheem v. Sooruj Monee Pat Mohadaye	2 W R, Mis., 6	92
Luckhee Narain Chuckerbutty v. Ramchand Sircar	6 W R, Mis, 63	3197
——— v. Taramonee Dossee	3 W R, 92	2020
Luckhee Narain Roy v Ram Mohun Doss	13 W R, 151	2888
Luckhee Prea Dabea v Juggodumba Dabea	3 W R, Act X, 8	539
Luckee Prea Debia v. Bundabun Dey	12 W R, 313	4412, 5326
——— v Khyroollah Kazee	14 W R, 367	3248
Luckhee Ram Doss v. Joybunkur Goohe	7 W R., 236	4975
Luckhey Money Dossee v. Khetter Coomary Dossee	2 Ind. Jur, N. S, 117	4399, 4424
Luckhi Narain Mitter v Khettro Pal Singh Roy	13 B L R, 146 20 W. R, 380 24 W. R, 407, note.	3184, 3344 4071, 5324
Luckhydass Mustoofee v. Jogeshur Mookerjee	Marsh., 562 2 Hay, 667	1060
Luckhy Narain v Kally Puddo Banerjee	I L R, 4 Calc., 882 4 C. L R, 60.	3835
Luckhy Narain Nagoy In the matter of the petition of	24 W R., Cr, 24	5158
Luckmee Ram v Mahani Ram	1 Agra, 10	2814
Luckmidas Khimji v. Mulji Canji	1 L R., 5 Bom, 295	5717

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Luckmidas Vithaldas *v.* Ebrahim Oosman	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 644	197, 198
Luckumsey Ookerda *v.* Fazulla Cassumbhoy	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 177	4248
Luckumsey Rowji *v.* Hurbun Nursey	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 580	1509
Lucky Churn Chowdhry *v.* Budurrunnissa	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 627 : 12 C. L. R., 484.	240
Luddun Sahiba. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 736 : 11 C. L. R., 237.	3647, 3700 3702
——— *v.* Kamar Kudar	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 736 : 11 C. L. R., 237.	3647, 3700 3702
Luddy *v.* Johnson	6 B. L. R., 141	2816
Lukhee Kanto Dass Chowdhry *v.* Sumeeruddi Lusker	13 B. L. R., 243 : 21 W. R., 208.	6110, 6114
Lukhee Kant Sein *v.* Ramdeyal Doss	Marsh., 281 : 2 Hay, 288	881
Lukhee Monee Dossee *v.* Brojo Bullub Seal	11 W. R., 132	3365
——— *v.* Taramonee Gooptea	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 22 : Marsh., 29 ; Hay, 67.	2304
Lukheenarain Mitter *v.* Seetanath Ghose	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 317 ; 6 W. R., Act X, 8.	5324
Lukhimoni Dasi *v.* Koruna Kant Moitro	3 C. L. R., 509	1783, 1994 4400
Lukhi Moni Haldar *v* Gunga Gobind Mundle	W. R., 1864, Act X, 126	1618
Lukh Ram *v.* Bunsee Dhur	5 N. W. 180	223
Lukhun Chunder *v.* Modhoo Mookhee Dossee	5 W. R., 278	2342
Lukhun Singh *v.* Nuffur Sing	6 W. R., 311	6106
Lukhykant Bose. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 180	5943
Lukhynarain Chuttopadhya *v.* Gorachand Gossamy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 116 : 12 C. L. R., 89.	1766, 5749
Lukkea Debea *v.* Gungagobind Dobey	W. R., 1864, 56	2284
Lukmidas Khimji *v.* Purshotam Haridas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 700	4263
Luleeta Kooer *v.* Collector of Tirhoot	19 W. R., 283	5363
Luleet Mohun Roy Chowdhry *v.* Sowtra Beebee	10 W. R., 42	5125, 5132
Luleet Narain Deo *v.* Juggurnath Misser	8 W. R., 476	1378
Luleet Narain Gossamee *v.* Keshub Deb Gossamee	15 W. R., 209	4955
Luleet Narain Singh *v.* Gopal Singh	9 W. R., 145	1655, 4317
——— *v.* Narain Singh	1 W. R., 333	5986
Luleet Pandey *v.* Byjnath Singh	14 W. R., 285	4918
Luleet Singh *v.* Ali Reza	8 W. R., 322	2700 3816 5830
Lulleo *v.* Ram Pershad	2 Agra, 34	313
Lulleet Biswas *v.* Prosonnomoyee Dossee	10 B. L. R., 353, note	1148
Lulloo Singh *v.* Thakoor Pershad	2 N. W., 249	567
Lungessur Kooer *v.* Sookha Ojha	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 151	579
Lungut Mahtoon *v.* Rameshur Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 54	537
Lutafut Hossein *v.* Chowdhry Mahomed Moonem	22 W. R., 269	4028
Lutchman Sahai Chaodhri *v.* Rai Jang Bahadur	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 224 : L. R., 8 I. A., 210.	3407
Lutcheemund Sett *v.* Komulmoney Dossee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 9	129
Lutchmeeput Dogaree *v.* Kenaram Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 393	425
Lutchmeeput Singh *v.* Sadaulla Nushyo	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 698 : 12 C. L. R., 382.	3232
Lutchmun *v.* Jogul Kishore	3 Agra, 99	1640, 4902
Lutchmun Pershad *v.* Dabee Deen	3 Agra, 264	1177
Lutchoo Khan *v.* Foley	24 W. R., 273	4176
Lutchumanan Chetty *v.* Mutta Iburaki Marakkayer	5 Mad., 90	3210
Luteefoonissa *v.* Goor Surun Dass	18 W. R., 485	146, 1846
Luteefoonissa Beebee *v.* Poolin Behary Sen	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 10 : W. R., F. B., 31 & 91.	1606, 3014 5750

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Luteefoonissa Bibee *v.* Luckeemonee Dossee	Marsh., 93 : 1 Hay, 161	5001
Luteefoonissa Bibee *v.* Rajaoor Ruhman	8 W. R., 84	3675, 4476 5172
Luteefoonissa Beebee *v.* Poolin Behary Sein	W. R., F. B., 31 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 10 : 1 Hay, 242.	1606, 5750
Luteefun *v.* Bego Jan	5 W. R., 120	6061
——— *v.* Rajroop Singh	10 B. L. R., 361 : 19 W. R., 185.	3510
Lutifunissa Bibi *v.* Nazirun Bibi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 33	5230
Lutf Ali Khan *v.* Afzalunissa Begum	9 B. L. R., 348 : 16 W. R., P. C., 20.	3293
——— *v.* Fikira Singh	6 C. L. R., 208	6114
Lutful Huq *v.* Sumbhudin Pattuck	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 248 : 10 C. L. R., 143.	3488
Lutfullah *v.* Kirat Chand	13 B. L. R., Ap., 30 : 21 W. R., 330.	1884
Luthi Bewa. In re	11 W. R., Cr., 24	1982
Lutifunnissa Bibi *v.* Nazirun Bibi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 33	4273
Lutpotee Doomony *v.* Tikha Moodoi	13 W. R., Cr., 52	3741
Lutteefunnissa Bibee *v.* Poolin Beharee Sein	W. R., F. B., 91	1506, 3044 5750
Luvar Chunilal Ichharam *v.* Luvar Tribhoban Lal Das	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 688	3209, 3219
Luximon Row Sadasew *v.* Mullar Row Bajee	5 W. R., P. C., 67	2453
Lyakut Ali *v.* Court of Wards	10 W. R., 423	4195
Lyall & Co. *v.* Amorabutty Dossee	20 W. R., 12	5975
——— *v.* Ram Chunder Bagdee	18 W. R., Cr., 53	100, 5157
——— *v.* Sam Mundle	W. R., 1864, Cr., 23	159
Lyell *v.* Ganga Dai	I. L. R., 1 All., 60	1382, 4069
Lyon *v.* Banessur Paul	2 Hay, 120	1637
——— *v.* Gray	11 W. R., 189	43
Lyons *v.* Betts	13 W. R., 94	4930
Lyster *v.* Ko Mihone	7 W. R., 354	3378

M

Maadan *v.* Erlandi	5 Mad., 147	2538
Macdonald *v.* Lalla Shib Dyal Singh Paurey	21 W. R., 17	1171
——— *v.* Munar Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 358 : 3 W. R., Act X, 153.	5777
——— *v.* Rajaram Roy	3 B. L. R., Ap., 28 : 11 W. R., 371.	324, 531
——— *v.* Riddell	16 W. R., Cr., 79	2796, 3612
Macfarlane. In re	11 W. R., 69	1897
——— *v.* Carr	8 B. L. R., 459 : 17 W. R., 244.	1086
——— *v.* Robert	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258	1053
Macgiveron *v.* Wallace	5 N. W., 65	6107
MacGillivray *v.* Jokai Assam Tea Company	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 33	3794
Machooram Sen *v.* Gaurhari Gui	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48 : W. R., F. B., 165.	2207
Mackenzie *v.* Jowahir Mahtoon	25 W. R., 137	5755, 5756
——— *v.* Thiruvengadathan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 271	3222
——— *v.* Shere Bahdoor Sahi	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 378	4465
Mackenzie, Lyall, & Co. *v.* Moses	22 W. R., 156	4587
——— *v.* Shib Chunder Seal	12 B. L. R., 360	2046
Mackertich. In the matter of	15 B. L. R., Ap., 15	458
——— *v.* Rebeiro	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 628	1215

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mackillican v. Compagnié des Messageries Maritimes de France.	I. L. R., 0 Calc., 227 : 7 C. L. R., 49.	658
Mackinnon v. Dundas	Bourke, O. C., 228	2687
——— v. ———	Bourke, A. O. C., 155	335
——— v. Gunnes Chunder Dey	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 370	5017
——— v. Shibchunder Seal	Bourke, O. C., 354	1064
Mackinnon, Mackenzie & Co. v. Bhugram Doss	Bourke, O. C., 242	3556
——— ——— v. Lang, Moir, & Co.	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 584	4605
——— ——— v. Minchin	6 Mad., 353	595
Mackintosh v. Crow	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 689 : 13 C. L. R., 102.	2729
——— v. Gill	12 B. L. R., 37 : 20 W. R., 358.	4890, 5720
——— v. Gopee Mohun Mojoomdar	4 W. R., 24	2996
——— v. Gore	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 689 : 13 C. L. R., 102.	2729
——— v. Hunt	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 202	2724
——— v. Kally Doss Mullick	11 B. L. R., 1 : 19 W. R., 234.	5589
——— v. Koylas Chunder Chatterjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 59	3810
——— v. Lall Chand Malee	23 W. R., 332	2741
——— v. Nobin Money Dossee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 160	457, 1203, 6241
——— v. Temple	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 333	30, 2735
——— v. Watson	3 W. R., Act X, 123	3809
——— v. Wilkinson	3 B. L. R., Ap., 3	128
——— v. Wingrove	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 137 : 2 C. L. R., 433.	1080, 2724
——— v. Woomesh Chunder Bose	3 W. R., Act X, 121	555
Maclean. In the goods of	6 N. W., 214	1235
———. In the matter of	1 Mad., 220, note	2668, 2671
Macleod v. Kunhoje Sahoo	9 W. R., 271	1921
——— v. Macleod	6 B. L. R., 318	1555
——— v. Mah Mah Yet	7 W. R., 390	151
Macnaghten v. Bheekaree Singh	2 C. L. R., 323	2597
——— v. Lalla Mewa Lall	3 C. L. R., 285	3012
——— v. Mahabir Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 656	5461
MacNair v. Hogg	2 Hyde, 89	5988
Macqueen v. Fuzzul Mahomed Essan	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 303	637
Macrae v. Jhoomuck Misser	Marsh., 386 : 2 Hay, 391	1057, 1375
Madubhushi Seshacharlu v. Singara Seshaya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 55	3222
Madai Thalavay Kummarasamy Mudalyar v. Nallakaunn Tevan.	5 Mad., 289	2860
Madanmahan Sen v. Chandra Kumar Mookerjee	9 B. L. R., 328 : 18 W. R., 379.	2618
Madan Mohan v. Puran Mal	I. L. R., 6 All., 288	2196
——— v. Ramdial	I. L. R., 5 All., 195	679
Madan Mohan Biswas v. Stalkart	9 B. L. R., 97 : 17 W. R., 441.	1590
Madan Mohan Bose v. Lawrence	1 B. L. R., O. C., 66	1209
Madan Mohan Das v. Gaur Mohun Sirkar	6 B. L. R., 293, note	3174
Madan Mohan Lal v. Holloway	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 555	4042
——— v. Lala Sheosanker Sahai	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 482	4872
Madan Mohan Mazumdar v. Baistab Churn Mandal	6 B. L. R., 617, note : 13 W. R., 67.	2885
Madan Singh v. Madanram Deb	1 B. L. R., S. N., 11	1593, 4926

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Madan Thakur *v.* Lopez	9 B. L. R., Ap., 22 : 18 W. R., 253.	1206, 1219, 2695
Madaree *v.* Bulloo Kooeree	2 N. W., 450	4959
Madari *v.* Malki	I. L. R., 6 All., 428	2482
Madar Saheb *v.* Sabbarayalu Nayudu	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 88	4839
Madary *v.* Gobirdhun Hulwai	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 694 : 9 C. L. R., 303.	2763, 5269
Madava Naikan *v.* Appavu Naikan	2 Mad., 394	2204
Madavji Dharramsi. Ex parte	12 Bom., 217	5886, 6025
Madda *v.* Sheo Baksh	I. L. R., 3 All., 385	3343
Madhab Chandra Bhadory *v.* Mahima Chandra Mazumdar.	8 B. L. R., Ap., 83, note : 12 W. R., 442.	2973
Madhab Chandra Guho *v.* Kamalakant Chuckerbutty	6 B. L. R., 643 : 15 W. R., 293.	1440
Madhab Chandra Roy *v.* Gangadhar Samant	3 B. L. R., A. C., 83 : 11 W. R., 450.	1817
Madhab Chandra Rudar *v.* Amrit Sing Naryan Sing	5 B. L. R., 111	1071
Madhava *v.* Narayana	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 244	3421
Madhavan *v.* Achuda	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 301	3235, 3308
Madhava Panikar *v.* Govinda Panikar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 4	671
Madhavarayya *v.* Tirtha Sami	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 307	2512
Madhavbhai Shivbhai *v.* Fathesing Nathubhai	10 Bom., 487	3305, 3307
Madhav Morar. In re	8 Bom., Cr., 1	2028, 6183
Madhavrav Deshpande *v.* Ramrav Deshpande	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 151	367
Madhavrav Keshav Tilak *v.* Gungabai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 689	2413
Madhavrav Panse *v.* Bapurav Panse	4 Bom., A. C., 62	4387
Madhavrav Raghavendra *v.* Balkrishna Raghavendra	4 Bom., A. C., 113	1342
Madhobee Dossee. In the matter of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 587 : 6 W. R., Mis., 102.	241
Madho Das *v.* Kamta Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 539	2332
——— *v.* Rukman Sewak Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 287	5137
Madho Doss *v.* Sitaram	3 N. W., 121	5657
Madho Koeery *v.* Ram Chunder Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 411	3423
Madhoo Pershad *v.* Lalljee Shahoo	9 W. R., 557	5064
Madhoo Proshaud Singh *v.* Purshan Ram	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 520	5321
Madho Parshad *v.* Durga Parshad	7 N. W., 85	4133
Madho Pershad *v.* Lalla Jeetun Lall	17 W. R., 98	6105
Madhopersad *v.* Gajudhar	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 111 : L. R., 11 I. A., 186.	4010
Madho Prakash Singh *v.* Murli Manohar	I. L. R., 5 All., 406	4080
Madho Prasad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 3 All., 508	5969
——— *v.* Ambar	I. L. R., 5 All., 503	2870
——— *v.* Bhola Nath	I. L. R., 5 All., 268	2653
——— *v.* Hansa Kuar	I. L. R., 5 All., 314	240
Madho Ram *v.* Dilbur Mahul	2 N. W., 449	4946
Madho Rao Apa *v.* Thakoor Pershad	3 Agra, 127	79, 4250
Madho Row Chinto Punt Golay *v.* Bhookun Das Boolaki Das.	5 W. R., P. C., 33 : 1 Moore's I. A., 351.	4659
Madho Singh *v.* Bindessery Roy	3 Agra, 101	2297
——— *v.* Guneshee Lall	2 Agra, 275	4588
——— *v.* Hurmat Ally	3 Agra, 432	2152
——— *v.* Jehangeer	2 Agra, 229	3277
——— *v.* Mahtab Singh	3 N. W., 325	4007
——— *v.* Thakoor Pershad	5 N. W., 35	632, 3219
——— *v.* ———	5 N. W., 209	632
Madhub Ali Khan *v.* Hossain Reza Khan	4 C. L. R., 52	6097
Madhub Anund Moitro *v.* Joy Koomaree Bibee	5 W. R., 201	5303

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Madhub Chunder Adit Chowdhry *v.* Ram Kaloo Beparee	16 W. R., 151	565
Madhub Chunder Bera *v.* Ram Lochun Bera	14 W. R., 143	1207
Madhub Chunder Bidyarutton *v.* Tara Soonderee Gooptanee.	2 W. R., Act X, 92	529
Madhub Chunder Biswas *v.* Okhoy Chunder Biswas	13 W. R., 103	5707
Madhub Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Buktessuree Debea	12 W. R., 102	4281
——— *v.* Promothonath Roy	20 W. R., 264	1754, 5345
Madhub Chunder Dey *v.* Law	13 B. L. R., 394	594
——— *v.* Ram Dyal Guho	8 W. R., 303	541, 4909
Madhub Chunder Dhunput *v.* Madhub Lall Khan	14 B. L. R., 288, note: 15 W. R., 542.	842
Madhub Chunder Dutt *v.* Haradhun Paul	14 W. R., 294	3838
——— *v.* Rajkisto Sett	Cor., 148	1762
Madhub Chunder Ghose *v.* Radhika Chowdhrain	6 W. R., Act X, 42	551
——— *v.* ———	7 W. R., 405	550, 5133
Madhub Chunder Giree. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 243	5922, 5928
——— *v.* Sham Chand Giree	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 243	5922, 5928
Madhub Chunder Hajrah *v.* Gobind Chunder Banerji	9 W. R., 350	2197
Madhub Chunder Janah *v.* Rajkissen Mookerjee	7 W. R., 86	1582
Madhub Chunder Mozoomdar *v.* Sidhee Nuzeer Ali Khan	8 W. R., 54	2985
Madhub Chunder Nath Biswas *v.* Tamee Bewah	5 W. R., 279	3711
——— *v.* Tomee Bewah	7 W. R., 210	1343, 4554
Madhub Chunder Pal *v.* Hills	1 B. L. R., A. C., 175: 10 W. R., 197.	2857
Madhub Chunder Poramanick *v.* Raj Coomar Doss	14 B. L. R., 76: 22 W. R., 370	1111
Madhub Chunder Roy *v.* Lukhee Jellanee	9 W. R., 212	1110, 1377
Madhub Chunder Sircar *v.* Banee Madhub Roy	15 W. R., 85	1362
Madhub Doss *v.* Jogendro Nath Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 673: 8 C. L. R., 39.	3809
Madhub Ghose *v.* Bullye Metea	7 W. R., Cr., 11	3529
Madhub Kissen Sett *v.* Gour Soonder Sett	Cor., 90	691
Madhub Kyburtho *v.* Keshub Singh	9 W. R., Cr., 22	1270
Madhub Lal Khan *v.* Noyan Ghose	6 C. L. R., 231	2710
Madhub Pershad *v.* Fool Coomaree Bibee	19 W. R., 121	4527
Madhub Thakoor *v.* Jhoonuck Lall Doss	12 W. R., 105	4002
Madhu Singh *v.* Achraj Singh	9 C. L. R., 369	3924
Madhusudan Chowdhry *v.* Brajanath Chandra	6 B. L. R., 299	3203
Madhusudan Chuckerbutty *v.* Rymani Dasi	7 B. L. R., 664, note: 13 W. R., 415.	169, 5831
Madhusudan Das *v.* Adoito Charan Das	8 B. L. R., 316, note: 12 W. R., 85.	170
Madhusudan Kundu *v.* Ramdhan Ganguli	3 B. L. R., A. C., 431: 12 W. R., 383.	5312
Madhusudan Manji *v.* Debigobinda Newgi	1 B. L. R., F. B., 49	3748
Madhusudan Sagory *v.* Nipal Khan	8 B. L. R., Ap., 87, note; 15 W. R., 440.	2973
Madhusudan Sing *v.* Collector of Midnapore	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 199: 3 W. R., 82.	1251
Madon Mohun Poddar *v.* Purno Chundra Purbot	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 297	3456, 5705
Madras Railway Company *v.* Zemindar of Carvetinagaram.	14 B. L. R., 209: 22 W. R., 279: L. R., 1 I. A., 364.	1570, 4706 6282
——— *v.* Zemindar of Kavetinuggur.	5 Mad., 139	6282
Madud Ali Khan *v.* Saleem Ahmed Khan *alias* Kummun Khan.	4 N. W., 142	4871
Madur Khan *v.* Wooma Moyee Dabee	Marsh., 389: 2 Hay, 434	4480
Maduthan *v.* Subbier	6 Mad., 34	5677

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Madvala bin Ginapa *v.* Bhagvanta bin Devji	9 Bom., 260	3234
Mafizuddin *v.* Karimunissa Bibee	6 B. L. R., Ap., 11: 14 W. R., 381.	6089
Magaluri Gurudiah *v.* Naryana Rungiah	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 359	1949, 3346
Magam Timmaya *v.* Tangattur Kandappa	2 Mad., 82	4053, 4054
Maganbhai Hemchand *v.* Manchabhai Kallianchand	3 Bom., O. C., 79	1065, 4902
Maganbhai Purshotamdas *v.* Vithoba bin Narayan Shet	7 Bom., A. C., 7	3859
Magandas Khemchand *v.* Ram Chandra Airaji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 137	5844
Maghan Misra *v.* Chamman Teli	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7: 10 W. R., Cr., 46.	4732, 5543
Magistrate of Maldah *v.* Golebunnessa	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 630: 7 W. R., 191.	2842
Magju Pandaen *v.* Ramdyal Tewari	8 B. L. R., 50; 15 W. R., 531	2842
Magni Ram *v.* Jiwa Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 336	5958
Magon Mallo *v.* Doola Gazee Koolan	19 W. R., 198	4800
Magun Pollan *v.* Money	7 W. R., 474	6188
Mahabalaya bin Parmaya *v.* Timaya bin Appaya	12 Bom., 138	2409
Mahabeer Persad *v.* Pranputty Koer	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 709: 7 W. R., 515.	3513
——— *v.* Ram Surun	3 Agra, 6	2290
——— *v.* Ramyad Singh	12 B. L. R., 90: 20 W. R., 192	2383, 2468
Mahabeer Pershad *v.* Oomrao Singh	1 Agra, 162	4207
Maha Beer Pershad Singh *v.* Dumreeram Opadhya	W. R., 1864, 166	2085
Mahabir Kower *v.* Jubha Singh	8 B. L. R., 38: 16 W. R., 221	2151
Mahabir Parshad *v.* Debi Dial	I. L. R., 1 All., 291	1471, 4543
Mahabir Prasad *v.* Basdeo Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 234	2401
——— *v.* Dhuman Das	I. L. R., 3 All., 527	5440
——— *v.* Lalaram	5 B. L. R., 327, note: 11 W. R., 193.	755
Mahabir Rai *v.* Raghunandan Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 720	4545
Mahabu Bibi *v.* Amnia	10 Bom., 430	3332
Mahadaji *v.* Vyankaji Govind	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 197	321, 4784
Mahadaji Gopal Baklekar *v.* Vithal Ballal	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 78	1688
Mahadaji Govind *v.* Sonu bin Davlata	9 Bom., 249	2105
Mahadaji Karandikar *v.* Hari D. Chikne	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 332	906, 1885
Mahadaji Ram Chandra Mule *v.* Vithal Vishvanath	1 Bom., 185	5121
Mahadei *v.* Ramkishen Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 528	310, 1230
Mahadeo Dubey *v.* Bholà Nath Dichit	I. L. R., 5 All., 86	5453
Mahadeo Ojha *v.* Parmeswar Panday	2 B. L. R., Ap., 20	318, 2773
Mahadeo Prasad *v.* Mathura	I. L. R., 8 All., 189	3008
Mahadeo Singh *v.* Ziatannissa	7 B. L. R., 45, note: 11 W. R., 169.	3712
Mahadevappa *v.* Srinivasa Rau	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 417	444
Mahadeva Rayar *v.* Sappani	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 396	5128
Mahadev Narsingh *v.* Ragho Keshav	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 292	237
Mahadoo Begum *v.* Hubeebool Hossein	15 W. R., 44	6148
Mahalakshmamma *v.* Venkataratnamma	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 83	2429
Mahalakshmi Ammal *v.* Lakshmi Ammal	8 Mad., 105	3181, 3469
Mahalakshmibai *v.* Firm of Nageshwar Purshotam	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 71	3206
Mahalatchmi Ammal *v.* Palani Chetti	6 Mad., 245	134, 3056
Mahalingaiyan. Ex parte	6 Mad., 191	5521
Mahalinga Rau *v.* Venkoba Ghosami	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 157	112
Mahammad Azmat Ali Khan *v.* Lalli Begum	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 422: L. R., 9 I. A., 8.	3634, 4392
Maharaja of Benares *v.* Angan	I. L. R., 7 All., 112	2866
——— *v.* Debi Dayal Noma	I. L. R., 3 All., 575	741
Maharaja of Bulrampore *v.* Uman Pal Singh	L. R., 5 I. A., 225	4226

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Maharaja of Burdwan. In the matter of the petition of.	7 W. R., 475	3883
v. Chairman of the Darjeeling Municipality.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 194: 4 C. L. R., 324.	4507
——— v. Heera Lall Seal	11 W. R., 54	5422, 5942
——— v. Kristo Kamini Dasi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 931: 13 C. L. R., 427.	5331
——— v. Luckhee Monee Debee	8 W. R., 359	3523
——— v. Ram Lall Mookerjee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 351: 1 C. L. R., 158.	2695
——— v. Sree Narain Mitter	9 W. R., 346	1884
——— v. Tarasundari Debi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 619: 13 C. L. R., 34: L. R., 10 I. A., 19.	5330
——— v. Wooma Soonduree Dossee	10 W. R., 12	6283.
Maharaja of Calicut v. Mallichery Kristnan Nambudri	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 68	180, 388 5953
Maharaja of Durbhungah. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 21	5845
Maharaja of Vizianagram v. Lakshmi Challaya	12 B. L. R., 443: 18 W. R., 301.	4402
——— v. Suryanarayana	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 307: I. L. R., 13 I. A., 32.	1679, 2056 3050
Maharaj Gir v. Anandrav	8 Bom., A. C., 25	5891
Maharaj Singh v. Beechook Lall	1 W. R., 233	4543
——— v. Beela Kooer	W. R., 1864, 320	4961
——— v. Lallah Bhuchook Lall	W. R., 1864, 294	3723
Mahar Ali v. Amani	2 B. L. R., A. C., 306	3332, 3650 3695
Maharam Sheikh v. Nakowri Das Mahaldar	7 B. L. R., Ap., 17	3118, 5754
Maharani v. Nundolal Misser	1 B. L. R., A. C., 27: 10 W. R., 73.	2207
Maharani of Burdwan v. Baradasundari Debi	1 B. L. R., F. B., 31: 10 W. R., F. B., 21.	4237
——— v. Krishna Kamini Dasi	I. L. R., 14 Calc., 365	5331
Maharval Mohansangji v. Government of Bombay	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 437	5810
Maharval Mohansinghji Joysinghji v. Government of Bombay.	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 408: L. R., 8 I. A., 77.	4390
Mahashankar Harishankar v. Valibhai Umanji	6 Bom., A. C., 174	5922
Mahashur Singh Bahadur v. Hurruck Narain Singh	9 Moore's I. A., 268	5365
Mahasingavastha Aiya v. Gopaliyan	5 Mad., 425	3594
Maha Singavastha Ayyar v. Gopala Ayyan	6 Mad., 239	3593
Mahasookh v. Budree	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 153	2264
Mahatab Chand v. Judoo Mohun Mitter	5 W. R., Act X, 91	555, 556
——— v. Tarucknath Mookerjee	6 W. R., Mis., 94	3541
Mahatab Chand Bahadoor v. Bengal Coal Company	10 W. R., 391	2951
——— v. Brojonath Mitter	8 W. R., 111	5747
Mahatab Deo v. Leelanund Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 613: 9 C. L. R., 398.	5466
Mahatab Singh v. Ramtahal Misser	6 B. L. R., 43, note: 10 W. R., 314.	3715
Mahatala Bibee v. Ahmed Haleemoozooman	10 C. L. R., 293	3704
Mahboob Ali v. Zur Banoo Bibee	9 W. R., 78	247
Mahbuban v. Raheemun	6 N. W., 217	443
Mahbub Hossein v. Patasu Kumari	1 B. L. R., A. C., 120: 10 W. R., 179.	770, 2034
Mahcum Chund v. Mahtab	3 Agra, 318	2706, 4759
Maheeput Singh v. Lok Inder Singh	2 Agra, 179	1057

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahendra Nath Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	7 B. L. R., Ap., 9	163
Mahendra Singh v. Jokha Singh	19 W. R., 211	2053, 2224, 5594
Maherban Singh v. Sheo Koonwar	1 Agra, 106	2285
Mahes Chandra Chattapadhya v. Guruprasad Roy	5 B. L. R., 115 : 13 W. R., 401.	1875
Mahes Chandra Mookerjee v. Ramutam Palit	5 B. L. R., Ap., 68	4503
Mahesh Chandra Banerjee. In the matter of	4 B. L. R., Ap., 1 : 13 W. R., Cr., 1.	968, 3603, 6183, 6252, 6259
——— v. Barada Debi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 274 : 11 W. R., 185.	4195
Mahesh Chandra Das v. Madhab Chandra Sirdar	2 B. L. R., S. N., 13 : 10 W. R., 388.	4903
Mahesh Chandra Sen v. Tarini	1 B. L. R., F. B., 15	515
Mahesh Pandey v. Baldut Pandey	3 Agra, Rev., 10	5920
Mahesh Singh v. Chauharja Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 245	6101
Maheswar Bax Sing v. Bhikha Chowdhry	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 403 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 122 : 5 W. R., 61.	4820, 6144
Mahima Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Rajkumar Chuckerbutty.	1 B. L. R., A. C., 1 : 10 W. R., 22.	3281, 5036
Mahima Chandra Kundu v. Nuruddin	3 B. L. R., A. C., 70 : 11 W. R., 422.	4131
Mahima Chandra Roy v. Pyari Mohan Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 43 : 11 W. R., 262.	1925
Mahima Chandra Shah. In the matter of the petition of.	4 B. L. R., Ap., 78 : 15 W. R., Cr., 15.	6253
Mahin Bibi. In the matter of	13 B. L. R., 160	2094, 3638, 3701
Mahipatrav Chandrarav v. Nensuk Anandrav Shet Marvadi.	4 Bom., A. C., 199	3161
Mahip Singh v. Chotu	I. L. R., 5 All., 429	2866
Mahi Sahu v. Forbes	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 500 : 6 W. R., Act X, 61.	3344
Mahmooda Bebee v. Hareedhun Khuleefa	5 W. R., Act X, 12	1608, 1610
Mahmood Ali Khan v. Gunga Ram	3 Agra, 304	3037
Mahmood Lushkur v. Pakar Khan	Marsh., 496	534
Mahomed Abdul Vakab Sahib v. Comandur Rama Samy Aiyengar.	4 Mad., 277	406
Mahomed v. Abedoollah	12 C. L. R., 279	3501
Mahomed v. Ashrufoonnissa	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 759	3320
Mahomed v. Kanizuk Fatima	6 N. W., 231	1441
Mahomed v. Oomdah Khanum	13 W. R., 184	2799
Mahomed Abdool Ruzzah v. Asif Ali Shah	3 N. W., 119	3212
Mahomed Abdool Ruzzak v. Sadik Ali	3 Agra, 142	3980
Mahomed Abdul Hye v. Lunjeet Singh	13 B. L. R., Ap., 14 : 22 W. R., 200.	5212
Mahomed Abdur Rahim v. Birju Sahu	5 B. L. R., 676 : 14 W. R., 103.	2854
Mahomed Aboo v. Lalla Bissessur Dyal	21 W. R., 154	1355
Mahomed Afak v. Ekram Ali	14 W. R., 374	3697
Mahomed Afsuruddin v. Beer Chunder Manikya	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 470 : 10 C. L. R., 416.	5062
Mahomed Afzul v. Kanhya Lall	2 W. R., 263	3243, 3255

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Aga Ali Khan *v.* Widow of Balmakund	L. R., 3 I. A., 241 : 26 W. R., 82.	5211, 5239
Mahomed Ahmed *v.* Alibur Gazee	10 W. R., 337	5070
Mahomed Ahsan *v.* Mahomed Yasin	9 W. R., 106	4908
Mahomed Aizaddi Shaha *v.* Shaffi Mulla	8 B. L. R., 26	5753
Mahomed Akil *v.* Asadunnissa Bibee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 774: 9 W. R., 1.	2059, 2770
Mahomed Aktur *v.* Reily	24 W. R., 447	1733, 4204
Mahomed Akul Beg *v.* Mahomed Koyum Beg	25 W. R., 199	3693
Mahomed Ali *v.* Batook Dao Narain Singh	1 W. R., 52	534, 3079
——— *v.* Bolakee Bhuggut	24 W. R., 380	3021
——— *v.* Buttook Deo Narain Singh	1 W. R., 352	3021
——— *v.* Gobar Ali	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 88	3665
——— *v.* Gokul Chund	1 N. W., 19 : Ed. 1873, 16	442
——— *v.* Jugal Ram Chandra	5 B. L. R., Ap., 84: 14 W. R., 124.	5752
——— *v.* Shurum Ali	8 W. R., 422	1736, 6187
Mahomed Ali Khan *v.* Abdul Gunny	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 744: 12 C. L. R., 257.	4176
——— *v.* Kalunder Ali Khan	4 N. W., 81	4951
——— *v.* Oomrao Singh	2 N. W., 425	3280, 5224
Mahomed Ali Khan Chowdhry *v.* Jadub Chunder Chuckerbutty.	W. R., 1864, 60	66
Mahomed Altaf Ali Khan *v.* Ahmed Buksh	25 W. R., 121	3733
——— *v.* Pertab Singh	5 N. W., 91	4806
Mahomed Alum *v.* Akil	16 W. R., Cr., 68	975
Mahomed Ameenoodeen Khan *v.* Moozuffur Hossein Khan.	5 B. L. R., 570: 14 W. R., P. C., 5.	337, 1459 5059
Mahomed Ameer *v.* Peryag Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 566 : 9 C. L. R., 185.	569, 3028
Mahomed Amidoolla *v.* Durbesh Shaikh	24 W. R., 314	779
Mahomed Anjole *v.* Gouree Pershad Sha	6 W. R., 62	235
Mahomed Ansur *v.* Sefatoollah	22 W. R., 340	5280
Mahomed Anwar Chowdry *v.* Raj Chunder Ghose	17 W. R., 522	1757
Mahomed Arsad Chowdhry *v.* Yakoob Ally	15 B. L. R., 357 : 24 W. R., 181.	3160
Mahomed Arshad Chowdhry *v.* Sajida Banoo	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 702 : 2 C. L. R., 46.	3695
Mahomed Arsud Chowdhry *v.* Oosun Bebee	2 W. R., 217	3748
Mahomed Ashruf *v.* Brojessuree Dossee	11 B. L. R., 118 : 19 W. R., 426.	2199
——— *v.* Kureemoddeen	24 W. R., 468	3939, 4825
Mahomed Askur *v.* Mahomed Wasuck	22 W. R., 413	5316
Mahomed Assanoollah Chowdhry *v.* Shamshir Ali	4 C. L. R., 165	5343
Mahomed Assudoollah Khan *v.* Karamutoollah	4 N. W., 11	3909
Mahomed Asnr *v.* Pogose	2 C. L. R., 8	2934
Mahomed Ata-ool-lah *v.* Mahomed Mohib-ool-lah	1 Agra, 231	5632
Mahomed Ausur *v.* Prokash Chunder Sha	8 W. R., 8	4199
Mahomed Ayenooddeen *v.* Kaleodoss Chundo	15 W. R., 279	5337
Mahomed Azeemoollah *v.* Ali Buksh	5 N. W., 74	765, 5118
Mahomed Azeemoollah Khan *v.* Suhoodra Bebee	W. R., 1864, 227	668
Mahomed Azim Bhooyah *v.* Mahomed Somee	21 W. R., 413	5745
Mahomed Azimul *v.* Chadee Lall Pandey	12 W. R., 104	3841
——— *v.* Chundee Lall Pandey	7 W. R., 250	2979, 3039
Mahomed Azasar Ali *v.* Nassir Mahomed	3 B. L. R., A. C., 304	4152
Mahomed Badsha *v.* Nicol, Fleming, & Co.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 355: 2 C. L. R., 330.	4286

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Bahadur Khan *v.* Collector of Bareilly	13 B. L. R., 292: 21 W. R., 318: L. R., 1 I. A., 167.	3128
Mahomed Bahadur Mozoomdar *v.* Raj Kishen Singh	10 B. L. R., 401, note: 15 W. R., 522.	3801, 3803
Mahomed Baker Khan *v.* Sham Dey Koer	12 W. R., 2	3497, 3526
Mahomed Banazeer *v.* Gungaram	3 Agra, 260	3239
Mahomed Basirulla *v.* Abdulla	4 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 15 W. R., 196, note.	5485
Mahomed Bassir *v.* Kureem Buksh	11 W. R., 268	6187
Mahomed Bauker Hossein Khan *v.* Shurfoonissa Begum.	3 W. R., P. C., 37: 8 Moore's J. A., 136.	3633
Mahomed Buksh *v.* Mahomed Hossein	3 Agra, 171: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 145.	3256, 5376
Mahomed Busheeroollah Chowdhry *v.* Hedaet Ali Chowdhry.	8 W. R., 42	3829
——— *v.* Ramkant Chowdhry.	9 W. R., 394	5918
Mahomed Busheerul Hossein. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 263: 10 C. L. R., 1.	3565
Mahomed Busseerooddeen *v.* Mahomed Khan Kufulbash	4 W. R., Mis., 13	3132
Mahomed Busheeroollah Chowdhry *v.* Abemoonissa	7 W. R., 513	1393
——— *v.* Ramkant Chowdhry.	16 W. R., 266	1454, 3479, 3510
Mahomed Bux *v.* Abdool Kureem *alias* Aboo	20 W. R., 458	1736, 4191
Mahomed Chaman *v.* Ramprasad Bhagat	8 B. L. R., 338: 22 W. R., 52, note.	5193
Mahomed Conjee *v.* Dundas	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 228	1669
Mahomed Danish *v.* Mahomed Kasem	25 W. R., 111	1732
Mahomed Elahee Buksh *v.* Brojo Kishore Sen	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 497, 3 C. L. R., 398.	546
Mahomed Elahee Buksh Chowdhry *v.* Brojo Kishore Sen	24 W. R., 14	1639
Mahomed Ennoos *v.* Lalla Jamarad Lall	13 W. R., 356	683
Mahomed Esa Khan *v.* Keshub Lal	14 W. R., 382	1373
Mahomed Faez *v.* Oomdah Begum	6 W. R., 111	3332
Mahomed Faez Chowdhry *v.* Shib Doolaree Tewaree	16 W. R., 103	1899, 3024
Mahomed Faiz Ahmed Khan *v.* Ghulam Ahmed Khan.	I. L. R., 3 All., 490: L. R., 8 I. A., 25.	3673
Mahomed Faizali Khan *v.* Gunga Ram	1 Agra, 112	1159, 6151
Mahomed Fayez Chowdhry *v.* Jamoo Gazee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 730	1104
Mahomed Fazul *v.* Oomakant Sein	1 W. R., 159	2883
Mahomed Fedye Sirdar *v.* Ozeeoodeen	10 W. R., 340	1767, 1846
Mahomed Foyez Chowdhry *v.* Goluck Dass	7 C. L. R., 191	5948
Mahomed Fyzooddeen *v.* Imrut	3 Agra, 285	3002
Mahomed Gazee Chowdhry *v.* Abdool Mahomed Ameeroodeen.	5 W. R., Mis., 31	3981
——— *v.* Lall Bebee	10 W. R., 103	5107
——— *v.* Noor Mahomed	24 W. R., 324	5190
——— *v.* Pearee Mohun Mookerjee	16 W. R., 136	5353
——— *v.* Ram Lall Sen	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 757	5473
——— *v.* Shumbhoonath Misser	22 W. R., 389	5220
Mahomed Gazi Chowdhry *v.* Dullab Bibi	5 B. L. R., 418, note: 11 W. R., 22.	5116, 5131
——— *v.* Leicester	7 B. L. R., Ap., 52	5353
Mahomed Ghasee *v.* Shunker Lall	11 W. R., 53	3040
Mahomed Gouhur Ali Khan *v.* Harratoonissa	2 W. R., 52	3633
Mahomed Gour Ali Khan *v.* Ahmed Khan	2 W. R., P. C., 13: 9 Moore's I. A., 504.	4173

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Gour Ali Khan *v.* Ashruffoonissa	2 W. R., P. C., 13 : 9 Moore's I. A., 492.	4173
Mahomed Gowhur Ali Khan *v.* Azeemooddeen	W. R., 1864, 93	6152
——— *v.* Gool Begum		
——— *v.* Shurufunissa Begum		
Mahomed Gulshere Khan *v.* Mariam Begum	I. L. R., 3 All., 731	3685
Mahomed Hadee *v.* Sheo Sevuk Doobey	6 N. W., 95	420, 3341
Mahomed Hajra *v.* Tarasoonderee Brahminee	Marsh., 201 : 1 Hay, 577	3837
Mahomed Hamidoollah *v.* Modhoo Soodun Ghose	11 W. R., 298	1751
Mahomed Hamidulla Khan *v.* Lotful Huq	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 744 : 8 C. L. R., 164.	3661
Mahomed Haneef Meajee *v.* Mozhur Ali	15 W. R., 280	145
Mahomed Haneff *v.* Mahomed Mascoom	21 W. R., 371	3694
Mahomed Hashim *v.* Hossein Ali	19 W. R., 433	989
——— *v.* Kaleechurn Banerjee	13 W. R., 91	4914
Mahomed Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	5 W. R., Mis., 49	3880
——— *v.* Afzul Ally	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 1 : Marsh., 296 : W. R., F. B., 83 : 2 Hay, 293.	221, 5732
——— *v.* Akaya Narayan Pal	2 B. L. R., Ap., 42 : 18 W. R., 37, note.	329
——— *v.* Akbur Hossein	17 W. R., 275	83
——— *v.* Boodhun Singh *alias* Roop Narain Singh.	7 W. R., 374	564
——— *v.* Forbes	22 W. R., 316 : L. R., 2 I. A., 1	1618
——— *v.* Hadzi Abdullah	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 727	163, 2033
——— *v.* Inodeen	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 946	648
——— *v.* Jafur Ali	4 W. R., 23	1360, 4565
——— *v.* Kokil Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 91 : 9 C. L. R., 53.	3450, 5450
——— *v.* Krishno Churn Misser	20 W. R., 147	4399
——— *v.* Mohsin Ali	6 B. L. R., 41 : 14 W. R., F. B., 1.	3714
——— *v.* Mohsun Ali	7 W. R., 195	3237
——— *v.* ———	14 W. R., 266	3714
——— *v.* Muntozul Huq	18 W. R., 400	765
——— *v.* Okhoy Narain Paul	4 W. R., 70	1076
——— *v.* Peeroo Mullick	W. R., 1864, Act X, 115	1675
——— *v.* Potun	20 W. R., 147	5778
——— *v.* Purundur Mahto	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 287	860, 3259
——— *v.* Surahtoonissa Khanum	2 W. R., 89	4173
——— *v.* Tuqueeroodeen	15 W. R., 284	2715
Mahomed Hossein Khan *v.* Khusroo Fakeer	1 N. W., 44 : Ed. 1873, 41	564
——— *v.* Lootf Ali Khan	18 W. R., 463	3515
——— *v.* Mahomed Nehaluddin Khan	13 C. L. R., 330	4968
——— *v.* Shib Dyal	5 N. W., 108 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 276.	497
Mahomed Hyder Hoossein *v.* Jeeawun	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 43	2984
Mahomed Ibrahim *v.* Lalla Jussodalal	W. R., 1864, 247	811
——— *v.* Lauder	Cor., 42	1051
——— *v.* Morrison	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 36	4179
Mahomed Imdadally *v.* Ameer Aly	2 Agra, 47	3272
Mahomed Inayetoolla *v.* Akbar Ali	2 Agra, 25	2991
Mahomed Isakhan *v.* Kisho Lal	6 B. L. R., Ap., 44	1389
Mahomed Ismail *v.* Lalla Dhundur Kishore Narain	25 W. R., 39	4258, 4403
Mahomed Ismailjee Nada *v.* British India Steam Navigation Co.	9 W. R., 396	601

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Ismail Khan *v.* Mahomed Jabir *alias* Motee Mean.	6 N. W., 38	3103
Mahomed Israile *v.* Wise	13 B. L. R., 118 : 21 W. R., 327	4252, 5628
Mahomed Issa Khan *v.* Onraet	8 W. R., 26	473
Mahomed Jakee *v.* Gopee Roy	10 W. R., 5	541
Mahomed Jan *v.* Ameerun	17 W. R., 180	193
——— *v.* Khadi Sheikh	16 W. R., Cr., 75	4626
Mahomed Kalee Shikdar *v.* Ali Hossein Chowdhry	3 W. R., Act X, 5	3129
Mahomed Kaloo Chowdhry *v.* Fedayo Shikdar	8 W. R., 219	2927
Mahomed Kalun *v.* Dinomoyee Dashya	8 C. L. R., 112	886
Mahomed Kamil *v.* Abdool Luteef	23 W. R., 51	5791
Mahomed Kana Meah *v.* Run Mahomed	24 W. R., 254	1735
Mahomed Karamutoollah *v.* Abdool Majeed	1 N. W. 205 : Ed. 1873, 288	5692
Mahomed Kazem *v.* Forbes	8 W. R., 257	1376, 3351
Mahomed Kazem Chowdhry *v.* Forbes	5 W. R., 277	1376, 3350
Mahomed Kazem Jowhurry *v.* Khetoo Bebee	20 W. R., 150	848
Mahomed Khan *v.* Shaista Khan	2 N. W., 248	1136
Mahomed Khan Kuzulbash *v.* Thakoor Singh	3 W. R., Mis., 1	210
Mahomed Khuleel *v.* Sona Kooer	22 W. R., 123	2826
Mahomed Kobeer *v.* Abdool Azeem	24 W. R., 315	4176
Mahomed Koya *v.* Kasmi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 206	5666
Mahomed Kumroodeen *v.* Ujooboonissa	1 N. W., 113 : Ed. 1873, 199	1885
Mahomed Kuzulbash *v.* Mahomed Buseeroodeen	7 W. R., 169	406
Mahomed Kuzulbash Khan *v.* Mahomed Shah	12 W. R., 48	5434
Mahomed Mahmood *v.* Safar Ali	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 407	1760, 2735
Mahomed Mahmud Shah. In re	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 66	2662
Mahomed Manaff. In the matter of the petition of	10 W. R., 332	4442
Mahomed Manoo Bhooyah *v.* Mahomed Asanoollah Chowdhry.	17 W. R., 349	5752
Mahomed Masik *v.* Malkai Mukhadrai Uzwa Badshah Mehal Saheba.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 380	1227
Mahomed Mirza *v.* Abdool Kureem	25 W. R., 41	4041
Mahomed Morul Hossein *v.* Hyder Buksh	W. R., 1864, 304	4201
Mahomed Mozuffer Hossein Chowdhry *v.* Ameerunnissa Khatoon.	20 W. R., 280	799
Mahomed Mubson *v.* Sumputtee Sahoon Chowdhrain	10 W. R., 305	4976
Mahomed Muddeen Mirdha *v.* Gopal Lall Tagore	2 Hay, 514	1619
Mahomed Mudsur *v.* Ram Lol Roy	6 W. R., Mis., 50	279
Mahomed Mudun *v.* Khodezunnissa *alias* Khookee Bebee.	2 W. R., 181	3731
Mahomed Munoor Mea *v.* Jybunee	10 B. L. R., Ap., 29 : 19 W. R., 200.	578
Mahomed Munsoor *v.* Umbica Churn Roy	W. R., 1864, 132	5105
Mahomed Muse *v.* Jijibhai Bhagvan	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 524	3963
Mahomed Musseh-ood-deen Khan *v.* Musschooddeen	2 N. W., 173	3178, 3699
Mahomed Myanobool Hek *v.* Mahomed Syud Khan	1 W. R., 15	1582
Mahomed Nasseer *v.* Kishen Mohun Goyee	W. R., F. B., 92	5303,
Mahomed Noor Buksh *v.* Mahomed Hameedool Huq	5 W. R., 23	3605
——— *v.* Mohun Chunder Poddar	6 W. R., Act X, 67	2886
Mahomed Noor Khan *v.* Hur Dyal	1 Agra, 67	3672
Mahomed Noor-oollah Khan *v.* Harcharan Rai	6 N. W., 98	1869
Mahomed Nukshund Khan *v.* Afzul Begum	3 N. W., 149	91
Mahomed Nuzzeroollah *v.* Fergusson	2 Agra, 139	1699
Mahomed Ohid *v.* Kalee Pershad Singh	24 W. R., 320	4812, 4851
Mahomed Rahamatulla *v.* Ward	5 Mad., 391	5824
Mahomed Rasid Khan Chowdhry *v.* Jadoo Mirdha	20 W. R., 401	2747, 3050
Mahomed Raza Khan *v.* Jawahir Singh	2 Agra, 1	4537

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Reezaoodeen *v.* Hossein Buksh Khan	1 W. R., 300	6094
Mahomed Rezaoodeen *v.* ————	6 W. R., Mis., 24	955
Mahomed Rijah *v.* Collector of Chittagong	6 B. L. R., Ap., 117 : 15 W. R., 116.	5832
Mahomed Roheemooddeen *v.* Indoor Chunder Jowhuree	12 W. R., 192	2697, 4610
Mahomed Rohimoodeen *v.* Radha Mohun Mundul	6 W. R., Act X, 96	1634
Mahomed Ruhwan Khan *v.* Khajah Buksh	5 W. R., 221	3695
Mahomed Saefoollah *v.* Anwar Ali	21 W. R., 112	880
Mahomed Said-ood-deen *v.* Mahomed Hossein	2 N. W., 438	1187
Mahomed Salamut Hossein *v.* Sookh Dayee	2 Agra, 116	4020
Mahomed Saleh *v.* Government	W. R., 1864, Mis., 26	84
———— *v.* Muriamoonissa	10 W. R., 284	6242
Mahomed Salleh *v.* Nusseerooddeen Hossein	21 W. R., 284	2773
Mahomed Samee Bhooya *v.* Alahee Buksh Chowdhry	10 W. R., 346	3500
Mahomed Sayad Phaki *v.* Navroji Balabhai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 214	3258, 3275
Mahomed Shah *v.* Usgur Hossein	5 N. W., 151	3048, 5190
Mahomed Shaha *v.* Lall Mahomed	15 W. R., 179	306
Mahomed Shahaboodeen *v.* Wedgeberry	10 B. L. R., Ap., 31	1844, 4967
Mahomed Shamsooddeen *v.* Abdool Huq	W. R., 1864, 379	1827
Mahomed Shamsool Hoda *v.* Shewakram	14 B. L. R., 226 : L. R., 2 I. A., 7 : 22 W. R., 409.	2268
Mahomed Share Khan *v.* Bahoo Begum	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 26 : Ed. 1873, 84.	6127
Mahomed Sheerun Khan *v.* Misser Koondun Lall	Agra, F. B., 158 : Ed. 1874, 119.	6091
Mahomed Shuffii *v.* Laldin Abdula	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 227	5532
Mahomed Shuhuroolah Chowdhry *v.* Roomya Bibee	7 W. R., 487	560
Mahomed Sidick *v.* Ahmed	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 1	1149, 1342 2282, 2369 3632, 6200
Mahomed Soaduck Golestan *v.* Forbes	5 W. R., Act X, 90	4893
Mahomed Toque (Court of Wards) *v.* Fouzdar Roy	25 W. R., 14	582
Mahomed Tuckee *v.* Ahmudee Begum	3 N. W., 22	5117
Mahomed Tukee *v.* Hujjee *alias* Khujjai	5 N. W., 142	4549
Mahomed Tukee Chowdhry *v.* Luchmeput Singh Doogur.	7 W. R., 291	280
Mahomed Tumeezooddeen Mundul *v.* Lukkee Narain Dey Sircar.	25 W. R., 104	5194
Mahomed Tuque Beg *v.* Wallis	2 Agra, Mis., 5	1934
Mahomed Tuque Chowdhry *v.* Judonath Jha	16 W. R., P. C., 28	3558
Mahomed Ubdoollah *v.* Moteechund	5 W. R., P. C., 34 : 1 Moore's I. A., 363.	4639
Mahomed Ussudoollah Khan *v.* Ghasheea Beebee	1 Agra, 150	3660
Mahomed Wajid *v.* Tayyuban	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 402 : L. R., 5 I. A., 211.	3640
Mahomed Waris *v.* Hazee Emamooddeen	6 W. R., 173	3726
Mahomed Warris *v.* Pitambur Sen	21 W. R., 435	450
Mahomed Wassil *v.* Zulekha Khatoon	2 Hay, 515	3015
Mahomed Wayez *v.* Sugeeroonissa	6 W. R., 38	481, 1679
Mahomed Yacoob *v.* Mahomed Zuhoorul Huq	22 W. R., 534	2708
Mahomed Yacoob Hossein *v.* Chowdry Waheyd Ally	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 29 : 4 W. R., Act X, 23.	1615, 2930
Mahomed Yakub Saheb *v.* Mahomed Jaffer Ali Saheb	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 167	214
Mahomed Yusuf Ali Khan *v.* Government	1 Agra, 191	3127
Mahomed Zahoor Ali Khan *v.* Rutta Kooer	9 W. R., P. C., 9 : 11 Moore's I. A., 468.	1250, 3868 4421, 4857
———— *v.* Rutta Kunwoor	2 N. W., 481	1030, 6014

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Zahur Alum *v.* Chunder Cumar	5 C. L. R., 449	1502
Mahomed Zakaoolla *v.* Banee Pershad	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 135	3891, 3958
Mahomed Zamir *v.* Abdool Hakim	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 67	5332
Mahomed Zuheerul Huq *v.* Butoolun	1 W. R., 79	3675
Mahomedan Association *v.* Bakshi Ram	I. L. R., 6 All , 284	4274
Mahomet Hossein *v.* Hadzi Abdullah	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 727	163, 2033
Mahommed Isaack Mushyack *v.* Azeezoon Nissa Begam.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 341	4391
Mahram Das *v.* Ajudhia	I. L. R., 8 All., 452	5235, 5236 6119
Mahtab Chunder Bahadoor *v.* Ram Lall Mookerjee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 351 : 1 C. L. R , 158.	2695
Mahtab Kooer *v.* Buldeo Singh	N. W., 58	1620
Mahtab Koomaree. In the matter of	10 W. R., 62	4949
Mahtab Kuar *v.* Collector of Shahjahanpur	I. L. R., 5 All., 419	4014
Mahtab Rai *v.* Sant Lal	I. L. R., 5 All., 276	3965
Mahtab Singh *v.* Misree Lall	2 Agra, 88	3969
——— *v.* Sadhooram Bhugut	25 W. R., 419	1116
Mahuddee Allee Khan *v.* Roheemoodeen	Bourke, O. C., 154	1193
Maidin Saiba *v.* Nagapa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 96	3118, 3420
Maijha Singh *v.* Jhow Lal	6 N. W., 354	221, 5469
Mailamdi Fakir *v.* Taripulla Pramanik	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 644	1303, 5565
Mailaraya *v.* Subbaraya Bhut	1 Mad., 81	2719
Maina Koer *v.* Luchmun Bhuggut	1 C. L. R., 250	5451
Mainath Kuari *v.* Debi Bakhsh Rai	I. L. R , 3 All., 757	3521
Mainath Singh *v.* Moorta Kooer	24 W. R., 375	4237
Mainooddeen *v.* Nubo Coomaree Debia	24 W. R., 247	5636
Majid Hossein *v.* Jeeawon Khewat	3 Agra, 233	1781
Majoram Ojha *v.* Nilmoney Singh Deo	13 B. L. R., 198 : 21 W. R., 326.	321, 4910 5313
Makandas Kalidas *v.* Shankardas Haribhai	12 Bom., 241	4824, 4829
Makath Unni Moyi *v.* Malabar Kandapunni Nair	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 268	6195
Makbul Ahmad *v.* Iftikharunnissa Begum	7 N. W., 124	5768, 5838
Makbul Ali *v.* Masnad Bibee	3 B. L R., A. C., 54 : 11 W. R., 396.	1786, 2080
Makhan Kuar *v.* Jasoda Kuar	I. L. R., 6 All., 399	3984
Makhan Lal *v.* Gulzari Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 289	2645
Makhanlal *v.* Srikrishna Singh	2 B. L. R., P. C., 44 : 11 W. R., P. C., 19 : 12 Moore's I. A., 157.	510, 1577 4020, 4187
Makhan Lall Saha *v.* Makhan Chora Saha	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 271	4108
Makhun Chunder Shaha *v.* Chand Monee Dassee	8 W. R., 105	675
Makhun Kooer *v.* Tincowree Dutt	14 W. R., 22	4914
Makhun Naikin *v.* Manchand Ladhabhai	5 Bom., A. C., 107	3151
Makoond Adit *v.* Suttoorghun Adit	17 W. R., 507	6232
Makoondonath Bhadoory *v.* Shib Chunder Bhadoory	19 W. R., 102	1880, 3526
Maksood Ali Khan *v.* Ghazeeooddeen Khan	3 Agra, 158	3369
Maktab Beg *v.* Hasan Ali	I. L. R., 8 All., 551	243
Maktum valad Mohidin *v.* Imam valad Mohidin	10 Bom., 293	5041
Makud *v.* Bahori Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 824	4144
Makudu Ravullan *v.* Mastan Sahib	1 Mad., 102	5788
Makund *v.* Bahori Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 824	314
Makunda valad Balacharya *v.* Sitaram	5 Bom., A. C., 102	3131, 3133
Makundi *v.* Sarabsukh	I. L. R., 6 All., 417	2086
Makundi Kuar *v.* Balkishen Das	I. L. R., 3 All., 328	1717, 3299
Makundi Lall *v.* Kaunsila	I. L. R., 1 All., 568	5492
Makund Lall *v.* Raghoput Doss	2 Agra, 83	1353

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Makund Lall Sookul *v.* Goluk Chunder Dutt	9 W. R., 572	4016
Makund Ram *v.* Debi Das	6 N. W., 324, note	3869
——— *v.* Makund Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 228	818
Malaguri Garudiah *v.* Narayana Rungiah	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 359	333
Malan *v.* Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 11	261
Malavaraya Nayanar *v.* Oppayi Ammal	1 Mad., 349	2062
Malchus *v.* Broughton	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 591	728
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 193	6207
Malcolm *v.* Gasper	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 278	6026
Maleebun *v.* Raseeda	25 W. R., 401	690
Maleshappa bin Karvirappa *v.* Bassappa bin Ningappa Shetavnekar.	1 Bom., 10	4820
Malhari *v.* Narso Krishna	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 174	5419, 5894
Malhari valad Raghoji *v.* Tukaram valad Darkoji	6 Bom., A. C., 86	3025
Maliçk Bapoo Meyan *v.* Hari Walub Nagurdas	5 W. R., P. C., 112	1401
Mallama *v.* Venkappa	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 277	848
Mallappah *v.* Nagannah	6 Mad., 131	668
Mallikarjunudu *v.* Mallikarjunudu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 185	3581, 3992
Mallik Jawad-ul-Huq *v.* Ramprasad Das	3 B. L. R., A. C., 281	4561, 6072
Maloddee Noshyo *v.* Bullubbee Kant Dhur	13 W. R., 190	3062
Maloji Santaji *v.* Vithu Hari	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 520	1519
Malraja *alias* Krishnama Rajah *v.* Narayanasamy Rajah	4 Mad., 281	3267
Maluk Chand Surma *v.* Karlu Chandra Surma	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 399: 5 W. R., 76.	1816
Mammali *v.* Pakki	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 428	4256
Mamoola Khanum *v.* Mahomed Essa Khan	13 W. R., 429	1783
Mamtazul Huq *v.* Nirbhai Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 711: 12 C. L. R., 318	574
Manally Chenna Kesavaraya *v.* Mangadu Vaidelinga	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 343	29, 3234, 3363
Manappa Mudali *v.* McCarthy	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 192	5071, 5701, 5735
Mana Vikrama *v.* Mallicherry Kristnan Nambudri	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 68	180, 388, 5953
Mana Vikraman *v.* Sundaran Pattar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 148	3755
Man Bhari *v.* Naunidh	I. L. R., 4 All., 40	2262, 4844
Mancharam *v.* Pranshankar	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 298	2251, 2454, 4300
Mancharam Kalliandas *v.* Ratilal Lalshankar	6 Bom., A. C., 39	3175, 3457
Mancharji Hirji Readymoney. In re	5 Bom., O. C., 55	2671
Mancharji Pestanji *v.* Narayan Lakshumanji	1 Bom., 77	2536, 6121
Mancharji Sorabji Chulla *v.* Kongseoo	6 Bom., O. C., 59	6137
Mancharsha Ashpandiarji *v.* Kamrunisa Begam	5 Bom., A. C., 109	4022, 4239
Mancherji Kawasji Davur *v.* Mithibai	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 506	4242
Manchharam Kalliandas *v.* Bakshe Saheb Mir Mainudin Khan.	6 Bom., A. C., 231	5681
Maneckji Limji Mancherji *v.* Goolbai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 241	4345, 4530
Maneerun *v.* Luteefun	3 W. R., 46	3146
Manekji Framji. In re	3 Bom., O. C., 167	2664
Maneklal Amratlal *v.* Shivlal Bhogilal	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 426	5594
Manessur Doss *v.* Collector and Municipal Commissioners of Chapra.	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 409	2848
Mangala Debi *v.* Dinanath Bose	4 B. L. R., O. C., 72: 12 W. R., O. C., 35.	2259
Mangaldas Nathubhoy *v.* Krishnabai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 38	2538
Mangal Khan *v.* Mumtaz Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 239	4090
Mangamma *v.* Timmapaiya	3 Mad., 134	4252

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mangina Khatun *v.* Collector of Jessore	3 B. L. R., Ap., 144 : 12 W. R., 311.	5367
Mangniram Marwari *v.* Dhowtal Roy	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 569	2712
Man Gobind Doss Mohapatter *v.* Jankee Ram	W. R., 1864, 211	1703
Man Gobind Sircar *v.* Umbika Monee Dossia	16 W. R., 218	2735
Mangu *v.* Lal Kandhai Lal	I. L. R., 8 All., 475	3188
Man Gurobinee Dassea *v.* Khettur Chunder Ghose	2 W. R., Act X, 47	8, 530
Mani Chandra Dass. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., A. C., 188 : 11 W. R., 62.	1040
Manickavelu Mudali *v.* Arbuthnot & Co.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 404	3172, 6056
Manickbai *v.* Hormasji Bomanji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 547	6197
Manick Chand *v.* Bishaishur Bukhsh Singh	2 Agra, 99	4536
Manick Chund *v.* Jomona Dass	7 C. L. R., 88	4680, 5851, 5855
——— *v.* Jomoona Doss	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 645	4680, 5851, 5855
Manick Chunder *alias* Protap Chunder Roy *v.* Raj Luckhee Dossee.	19 W. R., 252	687
Manick Chunder Doss *v.* Dwarkanauth Doss	2 Hay, 502	5301
Manick Chunder Dutt *v.* Bhuggobutty Dossee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 443	2130
Manick Chunder Roy *v.* Lallmon Sheikh	8 W. R., 214	5827, 5830
Manick Moyee Chowdrain *v.* Poorno Chunder Roy	17 W. R., 510	669
Manickram *v.* Ramyad Ram	2 W. R., 63	6247
——— *v.* Roopnarain Singh	Marsh., 5 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 36	884
Manick Sett *v.* Khettermohun Gossamee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 101	4911
Manick Singh *v.* Pirthee Singh	5 N. W., 163	4997
Manickya Moyee *v.* Boroda Prosad Mookerjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 355 : 11 C. L. R., 430.	3155, 4288, 4613
Manikam Mudali *v.* Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 63	463
Manik Chand *v.* Madhoram	3 B. L. R., P. C., 5 : 11 W. R., P. C., 42 : 13 Moore's I. A., 1.	36, 1343
Manikji Mehervanji *v.* Rahimtulla Alubhai	1 Bom., Ap., 1	5879
Manikji Shapurji Kaka. In re	5 Bom., O. C., 61	2670
Manikji Sorabji *v.* Cama	3 Bom., O. C., 159	1094
Maniklal Atmaram *v.* Manchersh Dinsha	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269	2550, 3386
Maniklal Baboo *v.* Ramdas Mazumdar	1 B. L. R., A. C., 92 : 10 W. R., 132.	1750, 4143
Maniklal Venilal *v.* Lakha	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 429	408, 5425
Manikya Row Lakshmayyah *v.* Manikya Row Gopal Row	7 Mad., 400	4416
Mani Lall Sahu *v.* Collector of Sarun	6 B. L. R., Ap., 93 : 14 W. R., 424.	40
Maniram Deb *v.* Debi Charan Deb	4 B. L. R., F. B., 97 : 13 W. R., F. B., 42.	1765, 4454
Maniram *v.* Mashihan	4 B. L. R., Ap., 66	1378, 4523
Maniruddin *v.* Gaur Chandra Shamadar	7 B. L. R., 165 : 15 W. R., Cr., 89.	6192
Maniruddin Ahmed *v.* Ram Chand	2 B. L. R., A. C., 341	322, 4032
Manirullah *v.* Ramzan Ali	1 C. L. R., 293	56
Mani Shankar Hargovan *v.* Trikam Narsi	5 Bom., A. C., 42	2854
Maniswar Das *v.* Bir Partab Sahu	6 B. L. R., 646 : 15 W. R., 188.	414
Maniyam Narasimma Gaundan *v.* Ayya Krishnama Chariyar.	7 Mad., 37	4578
Manjanatha *v.* Narayana	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 362	2465
Manjunath Badrabhat *v.* Venkatesh Govind Shanbhog	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 54	1865, 4983
Mankee Koer *v.* Khedoo Lall	2 Hay, 623	2476

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mankee Kooer v. Munnoo	14 B. L. R., 315: 22 W. R., 543.	3390
Mankoonwer v. Dilawur Hossein Khan	1 Agra, Rev., 36	4969
Mankuar v. Jasodha Kuar	I. L. R., 1 All., 478	1097
——— v. Ram Kishore	I. L. R., 5 All., 314	240
——— v. Tara Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 583	5275, 5451
Manly v. Manly	14 W. R., 136	2022, 5615
——— v. Patterson	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 339: 9 C. L. R., 166.	3095
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 394	1462, 3440
Manmahini Dasi v. Balak Chandra Pandit	8 B. L. R., 22: 15 W. R., 498.	2421
Manmal valad Surat Mal v. Dashrath valad Narayan	9 Bom., 147	4826
Manmohini Chowdhrain v. Premchand Roy	6 B. L. R., 1: 14 W. R., F. B., 4.	215, 3810
Mannalal v. Bank of Bengal	I. L. R., 1 All., 309	1105
Manna Singh v. Ramadhin Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 252	4542
Manni Kasaundhan v. Crooke	I. L. R., 2 All., 296	3228, 4078
Mannoo Dossee v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee	15 W. R., 245	747
Mannu Lal v. Harsukh Das	I. L. R., 3 All., 233	3246
Mannu Lall v. Pegue	9 B. L. R., 175, note: 10 W. R., 379.	3380
Manockji Petit Manufacturing Company v. Mahalaxmi Spinning and Weaving Company.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 617	1384
Manohar v. Gebiapa	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 31	1522, 3541
Manohar Bhivrav Potania. Ex parte	2 Bom., 396: 2nd Ed., 374	2800
Manohar Das v. Bhagabati Dasi	1 B. L. R., O. C., 28	1823, 4233
——— v. Manzur Ali	I. L. R., 5 All., 40	4249
Manohar Ganesh v. Bawa Ram Charan Das	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 219	165, 1246, 5890
Manohar Ganesh Tambekar v. Chutabhai Mithabhai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 347	4064
Manohar G. Tambekar. In re	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 26	1235
Manohar Lal v. Gauri Shankar	I. L. R., 1 All., 476	3564
Manohar Ram Chandra v. Lakshman Mahadev	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 371	4342
Mano Mohun Ghose v. Mothura Mohun Roy	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 225: 8 C. L. R., 126.	4183
Manoollah v. Gunes	3 Agra, 390	5278
Manooram Shaw v. Hurry Persaud Roy	Bourke, O. C., 162	4676
Manraj Kuari v. Radha Prasad Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 466	1244
Mansang v. Government of Bombay	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 443	4389
Mansha Devi v. Jiwan Mal	I. L. R., 6 All., 617	2436
Man Singh v. Narayan Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 480	5025
Mansing Laling v. Theram Doloye	22 W. R., 395	5676
Man Singh Mahtoon v. Bhaik Narain Mahtoon	19 W. R., 210	1770
Manson v. Golam Kebria Moonshee	15 W. R., 490	689, 1724
Mansuk Das v. Rangayya Chetti	1 Mad., 162	1115, 1367
Mansukhram Purshotam v. Javarevohu	7 Bom., A. C., 122	1939
Mansur Ali Khan v. Sarju Pershad	L. R., 13 I. A., 113: I. L. R., 9 All., 20.	3987
Mantappa Nadgowda v. Baswantrao Nadgowda	15 W. R., P. C., 33: 14 Moore's I. A., 24.	5824
Mantena Rayaparaj v. Chekuri Venkataraj	1 Mad., 100	2215
Mantharesswara Atyar v. Kamala Naiker	3 Mad., 88	636
Man Turunginee Dabee v. Boistub Churn Bhudder	1 W. R., 110	480
Manual Fruval v. Sanagapalli Latchmidevamma	7 Mad., 104	3549

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Manu Miya *v.* Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 371 : 11 C. L. R., 52.	2756
Manwar Ali *v.* Annodapersad Rai	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 644 : 6 C. L. R., 71 : L. R., 7 I. A., 1.	3427
Maracho Kooer *v.* Chutoorbhooj Sahoy	24 W. R., 459	3501
Marakar *v.* Parameswaran	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 140	3764, 6088
Marakar Akath Kondarakayil Mamu *v.* Punjapatath Kuttu.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 61	3964
Marakkal *v.* Kandappa Goundan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 373	3739
Marana Ammanna *v.* Pendyala Perubotulu	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 230	3956, 3965
Maratib Ali *v.* Abdul Hakim	I. L. R., 1 All., 567	4416
Marcar *v.* Sigg	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 239	1052
Mari *v.* Chinnammal	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 167	2312
Mariam Begum *v.* Rye Churn Dutt	13 W. R., 269	6102
Markundi Dial *v.* Ram Baran Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 735	5201
Marshall. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 421 ; and on appeal, 10 C. L. R., 591.	2640
Marthamma *v.* Kittu Sheregara	6 Mad., 91	5663
Martin *v.* Baker	15 B. L. R., 372	4325
——— *v.* Lawrence	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 655	1042
——— *v.* Pursram	2 Agra, 124	3886
——— *v.* Sheoram Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 232	4812
Maruti Narayan *v.* Lilachand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 564	1468, 2410
Marwady Beejarajoo *v.* Haynes	6 Mad., 83	5686
"Mary Stuart," The, *v.* The "Nevada"	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 866	126
Masarat-un-nissa *v.* Adit Ram	I. L. R., 5 All., 568	4774
Maseyk. In the matter of	15 W. R., Cr., 1	4503
——— *v.* Fergusson	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304	5901, 6219
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 670	6220
——— *v.* Grish Chunder Chuckerbutty	24 W. R., 34	4593
——— *v.* Hedger	W. R., 1864, 306	36
Mashishuree Dossee *v.* Ram Sagur Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 69	546
Mashook Ali Khan *v.* Jowala Buksh	2 N. W., 290	3335
Mashook Ameen Suzzada *v.* Marem Reddy	8 Mad., 31	3889
Mashoollah Khan *v.* Ram Lall Agurwallah	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 6	5890
Masooma Bebee *v.* Nazur Fatma	1 N. W., 117 : Ed. 1873, 203	497
Masseyk *v.* Jugobundhoo Dutt	1 W. R., Mis., 12	5863
Massim Meah *v.* Sham Doss	22 W. R., 189	6137
Massim Mollah *v.* Panjoo Ghoramee	21 W. R., 373	1167
Masuma Bibi *v.* Collector of Ballia	I. L. R., 7 All., 687	1252
Mata Deen *v.* Chundee Deen	2 N. W., 54	2918
Matadeen Doobey *v.* Chundee Deen Doobey	6 N. W., 118	2918, 2919
Matadeen Roy *v.* Mussoodun Singh	10 W. R., 293	1500
Matangini Debi *v.* Jaykali Debi	5 B. L. R., 466 : 14 W. R., O. C., 23.	2525
Mata Parshad *v.* Janki	7 N. W., 226	2864
Mata Pershad *v.* Bhageeruthee	2 N. W., 78	2208
——— *v.* Bhugmanee	1 N. W., 75 : Ed. 1873, 128	4033
Mata Prasad *v.* Gauri	I. L. R., 3 All., 59	5699
Matapulut Singh *v.* Mata Dyal	3 Agra, 275	3043
Mathappa *v.* Chellappa	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 196	1996
Mathews *v.* Giridharilal Fatechand	7 Bom., O. C., 1	2048
Mathoo Sahoo *v.* Lalah Ameer Chand	15 B. L. R., 303 : 24 W. R., 24.	3969
Mathra Parshad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 All., 296	5123, 5936
Mathura Das *v.* Babu Lal	I. L. R., 1 All., 683	3208
——— *v.* Mitchell	I. L. R., 4 All., 206	4191, 4845

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mathura Das *v.* Nathuni Lall Mahta	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 731	5473
Mathuradas Govardhundas *v.* Fatma Ulka Begam	5 Bom., A. C., 63	1901, 5923
Mathuradas Jagjivandas *v.* Natha Baja	6 Bom., A. C., 131	5660
Mathuradas Lowji *v.* Goculdas Madhowji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 468	6060
Mathuradas Nandvalabh *v.* Bai Amthi	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 522	3231
Mathuradas Ranchoddas *v.* Kalla Khushal	7 Bom., A. C., 24	4828
Mathura Mohun Saha *v.* Gyaram Haldar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 128	1599
Mathura Naikin *v.* Esu Naikin	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 545	2116, 2220 2458
Mathuranath Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the petition of.	9 B. L. R., 354: 17 W. R., Cr., 55.	5946
Mathuranath Dutt *v.* Kedar Nath Mookerjee	2 B. L. R., A. C., 128	2081
Mathuranath Kundu *v.* Campbell	9 B. L. R., 115, note: 15 W. R., 463.	4925
——— *v.* Steel	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 533	530, 3330
Mathura Pandey *v.* Ram Rucha Tewari	3 B. L. R., A. C., 108: 11 W. R., 482.	902, 1722 2017, 5761
Mathura Persad Singh *v.* Luggun Koer	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 615	2728
Mathura Prasad *v.* Durjan Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 639	2724
Mati Singh *v.* Lilanand Singh	2 B. L. R., A. C., 173	3416
Matonginy Dassee *v.* Chowdhry Junmunjoy Mullick	25 W. R., 513	438, 3266
Mattongeney Dossee *v.* Ramnarain Sadkhan	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 83: 2 C. L. R., 428.	4808
Matuki Misser *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 619	2600, 4366
Matungee Churn Mitter *v.* Moorrary Mohun Ghose	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 175: 24 W. R., 453.	5333
Matungineo Debea *v.* Gugun Chunder Bhooy	2 W. R., Mis., 41	4215
Matunginee Dossee *v.* Haradhun Doss	5 W. R., Act X, 60	531
——— *v.* Hurcepershad Mundul	24 W. R., 25	1216
Matungunee Dabea *v.* Kalee Dabea	2 W. R., 4	6231
Mauji Ram *v.* Tara Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 852	3850
Mavulali Amirudin Sharif *v.* Gundu Sobhanadri	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 339	3903
Mayabhai Prembhai *v.* Tribhuvandas Jagjivandas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 258	786, 3544
Mayadeb Gossami. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 762: 8 C. L. R., 292.	1799
Mayandi *v.* McQuhae	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 124	3602
Mayandy Tevan *v.* Naranaiyan	4 Mad., 108	1173
Mayaram *v.* Lachho	I. L. R., 2 All., 631	4550
——— *v.* Prag Dat	I. L. R., 5 All., 44	5806
Mayaram Bhairam *v.* Motiram Govindram	2 Bom., 331: 2nd Ed., 313	2510
Mayashankar *v.* Harishankar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 661	5224
Mayavanjari Chumaren *v.* Nimini Mayuran	2 Mad., 109	3763
Mayer *v.* Sowlatoonissa	2 W. R., Act X, 96	3129
Mayhew *v.* Tulloch	4 N. W., 25	2804, 2806 5658
Mayho *v.* Williams	2 N. W., 268	4668
Mayna Bai *v.* Uttaram	2 Mad., 196	2322
Mayor of Lyons *v.* Advocate General of Bengal	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 303: 26 W. R., 1: L. R., 3 I. A., 32.	728
——— *v.* East India Company	1 Moore's I. A., 175	1576
Mazedoonissa Beebee *v.* Fuozen Beebee	4 W. R., Mis., 6	3457, 3526
Mazha Ali *v.* Mahomed Sahib Khan	7 N. W., 336	1120
Mazhar Ali *v.* Budh Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 297	3728
Mazhar Ali Khan *v.* Sardar Mal	I. L. R., 2 All., 769	2725
Mazzum Ally Khan *v.* Pirthee Singh	3 Agra, 263	1715
McConnell *v.* Mayer	2 N. W., 315	1706
McGavin *v.* Wilson	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 405	4603

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
McGiveran v. Hurkhoo Singh	18 W. R., 203	1625
McGiveron v. Durjaw Chowdhry	20 W. R., 479	1629
Melvor v. Stainbank	5 Mad., 70	1386
McKellar v. Wallace	5 Moore's I. A., 372	31, 4636, 4659
McCorkindale. In the matter of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 1 : 6 C. L. R., 406.	459
——— v. Young	18 W. R., 466	3317, 3331, 3333
Meah Jan Munshi v. Kurruna Mayi Debi	8 B. L. R., 1	2890, 3011, 5305
Meah Khan v. Narain Chunder Chowdhry	18 W. R., 197	5480, 5589
Meajan Khan v. Akally	Marsh., 384 : 2 Hay, 426	4245, 4588
Mean Jan. In re	5 W. R., Mis., 20	666
Meanooddeen v. Greesh Chunder Roy Chowdhry	7 W. R., 230	4193
Meckjee Khetsee v. Kasowjee Devachund	4 C. L. R., 282	5889
Meda Bibi v. Imaman Bibi	I. L. R., 6 All., 207	3324
Medhi Ullee Khan v. Mahomed Wajid Ullee	1 N. W., Pt. II, 10 : Ed. 1873, 70.	4869
Meechoo Chunder Sircar v. Ravenshaw	11 B. L. R., 9 : 19 W. R., 345.	2845
Meelan Khalifa v. Dwarkanath Goopto	1 W. R., Cr., 7	5556
Meer Nawaub. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 315	6179
Meerun v. Najeebun	2 Agra, 335	3659
Meethun Bibee v. Busheer Khan	7 W. R., P. C., 27 : 11 Moore's I. A., 213.	2761
Meo Tsee. In the matter of	15 W. R., 351	4668, 5944
Meghbarun Singh v. Mohessur Buksh Singh	5 W. R., 211	4870
Megh Lal Pooree v. Mohammed Dutt Jha	8 C. L. R., 369	5424, 5465
Megh Lall Pooree v. Shib Pershad Madi	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 34	5424, 5465
Megh Narayan Sing v. Radha Prasad Singh	4 B. L. R., A. C., 200 : 13 W. R., 224.	208, 796
Meghraj v. Beejoy Gobind Burral	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 197 : 23 W. R., 438.	5123
——— v. Zakir Hussain	I. L. R., 1 All., 280	2782
Meghraj Singh v. Rashdharee Singh	17 W. R., 281	1440, 3351
Megji Hansraj v. Ramji Joita	8 Bom., O. C., 169	1397, 5840
Megraj Jagannath v. Gokaldas Mathuradas	7 Bom., O. C., 137	2575
Megraj Singh v. Joy Mungul Singh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 78	6118
Meharban Khan v. Muhboob Khan	7 W. R., 462	1811
Mehdee Ally Khan v. Luchmeeput Baboo	1 W. R., Mis., 28	663
Mehdee Beg v. Zellal Thakoor	15 W. R., 530	1474
Mehdee Hossein Khan v Anjud Ali	6 N. W., 259	1168
Mehdi Husain v. Madar Bakhsh	I. L. R., 2 All., 889	308
Meher Ali v. Golam Nuzuff	11 W. R., 333	3672
——— v. Showkut Ali	8 W. R., 39	3694
Meher Banoo v. Keramut Ali	22 W. R., 402	5762
Meherban Singh v. Sheo Koonwer	1 Agra, 106	2422
Meher Chand Sahoo v. Moroblyram	14 W. R., O. C., 7	3317
Meher Jan v. Gerda	25 W. R., 270	3823
Meheroonissa v. Bhashaye Merdha	2 W. R., Act X, 29	2762, 3693
——— v. Roushan Jehan	17 W. R., 396	842, 3521
Meheroonissa Bibee v. Hur Churn Bose	10 W. R., 220	4247, 5320
Meheroonnissa v. Abdool Gunee	17 W. R., 509	4144, 4791
Mehervanji Mancharji v. Punja Velji	5 Bom., O. C., 147	5713
Mehpul Roy v. Hidayutoollah	1 N. W., 60 : Ed. 1873, 114	442

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mehran v. Kubiran	6 B. L. R., 60, note: 13 W. R., 49.	3332, 3650
Mohtab Singh v. Purma	3 Agra, 241	3416
Mehur Ali v. Kunhyee	1 Agra, Rev., 13	1680
Mehuroonissa Khatoon v. Wise	15 W. R., F. B., 2, note	5139
Meislebach. In the matter of the petition of	17 W. R., Cr., 49	3741
Meharam Nudial v. Thanooram Bamun	9 W. R., 552	2439, 5095 5100
Melgirappa bin Solbappa Teli v. Shivappa bin Erappa	6 Bom., A. C., 270	2193, 2200
Mellus v. Vicar Apostolic of Malabar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 295	1780, 4412 6052
Meltoon Bibee v. Gibbon	12 W. R., 101	84, 94
Memon Hajee Haroon Mahomed v. Abdul Karim	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 91	4628
Menadee Mahomed Cazun Serazee v. Ally Mahomed Shoosbey.	6 Moore's I. A., 27	2768
Menglas Tea Estate. In re	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 383	5857
Mengur Mundur v. Huree Mohun Thakoor	23 W. R., 447	2589, 3143
Merbai v. Perozebai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 268	2045, 2265 6051
Mercantile Credit and Financial Association. In re	3 Bom., O. C., 125	935
——. ——	9 Bom., 27	2626
——. —— (Damaskar's case).	8 Bom., O. C., 117	2669
Mercer v. Narpat Rai	I. L. R., 1 All., 730	422
Merjah Janand v. Krishto Chunder *alias* Kinoo Lahary	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 507	5080
Merwanji Hormusji v. Rustomji Burjorji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 628	4330
Merwanji Nowroji v. Ashabai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 1	5242, 5714
Mether Ali v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 530	5159, 5577
Mewa. In the matter of	6 N. W., 254	5913
Mewa Koonwer v. Lalla Oudh Beharee Lall	2 Agra, 311	2339, 2366
Méwa Kuwar v. Hulas Kuwar	13 B. L. R., 312: L. R., 1 I. A., 157.	1684, 3412
Mewa Lall v. Beharee Lall	14 W. R., 195	307, 4896
——— v. Mahato	25 W. R., 152	2762
Mewa Lall Thakur v. Bhujhun Lall	13 B. L. R., Ap., 11	1488
Mewa Sing v. Azeezooddeen Khan	13 W. R., 311	1914
Mhalsabai v. Vithoba Khandappa Gulve	7 Bom., Ap., 26	682, 2117 2131
Mia Khan v. Bibijan	5 B. L. R., 500: 14 W. R., 308	3730
Mian Jan v. Man Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 686	5470
Michael v. Ameena Bibi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 733: 13 C. L. R., 161.	5585
——— v. Gordon	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 271	3168
Micharaya Gúruvu v. Sadasiva Parama Guruvu	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 319	180
Michell. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 339	1126, 1322
Miller. In re	4 B. L. R., A. C., 72, note: 12 W. R., 103.	5922
——— v. Administrator General of Bengal	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 412	5901
——— v. Akhoree Ram	15 W. R., 412	1245
——— v. Barlow	14 Moore's I. A., 209	2638, 2699 3099
——— v. Beer	6 C. L. R., 294	5611
——— v. Brindabun	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 946: 4 C. L. R., 460.	5715
——— v. Chartered Mercantile Bank of India, London, and China.	6 B. L. R., 701	1527, 2642
——— v. Gouripore Company	8 B. L. R., 285	1085, 1219

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Miller v. Mon Mohun Roy	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 213 : 8 C. L. R., 213.	2628
—— v. Nasmyth's Patent Press Company	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 312	3105
—— v. Ram Ranjan Chakravarti	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1014	4714
—— v. Runga Nath Moulick	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 389	2382, 3353
—— v. Sheo Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 84 : L. R., 10 I. A., 98.	3380
Mills v. Mitchell	Bourke, O. C., 18	2643
—— v. Modee Pestonjee Khoorshedjee	2 Moore's I. A., 37	3101
Mina Kouwari v. Juggat Setani	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 196 : 13 C. L. R., 385 : L. R., 10 I. A., 119.	59, 4648
Minakshi v. Velu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 373	1705, 3458
—— v. Virappa	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 89	4677
Mina Kumari Bibee v. Jagat Sattani Bibee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 220	2154, 2533
Minnu Sirkar v. Rhedoy Nath Roy	6 C. L. R., 135	5449
Minto v. Kalee Churn Doss	8 W. R., 327	1845
Mirabivi v. Vellayanna	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 464	1352
Miritherjeet Singh v. Choker Narain Singh	2 W. R., 58	3639
Mirtoonjoy Chuckerbutty v. Buroda Kant Roy	6 W. R., Act X, 18	2989
—— v. Cochrane	8 W. R., 202	4169
Mirtunjoy Bose v. Shumsheroonnissa Begum	W. R., F.-B., 60	1974
Mirtunjoy Chuckerbutty v. Cochrane	4 W. R., P. C., 1 : 10 Moore's I. A., 229.	4161
Mirtunjoy Dutt v. Kameenee Dossee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 95	2706, 4585
Mirtunjoy Sircar v. Gopal Chunder Sircar	10 W. R., 466	1208
Mirza Nawab v. Bahadoor Ali	7 W. R., 156	3013
Missjoolnissa v. Bunseedhur	1 N. W., 193 : Ed. 1873, 277	1471
Misir Ragho Bardial v. Sheo Baksh Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 439 : 12 C. L. R., 520 : L. R., 9 I. A., 197.	4596
Misrain v. Gopal Lall Doss	10 W. R., 376	5066
Misri Kooer v. Maheswar Buksh Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 13 : Marsh., 527 : W. R., F. B., 116.	4586
Misri Lal v. Mozhar Hossein	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 262	210
Misser v. Naser Ali	21 W. R., 33	3889
Misser Debeepershad v. Buldeopershad	5 N. W., 116	1733
Misser Deo Kishun v. Misser Bhugwan Doss	3 Agra, 199	1216
Missleback v. Luchmee Narain	17 W. R., 504	171
Missree Loll v. Ramnarain	Cor., 63	3010
Mitchell v. Gladstone	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 406	4320
—— v. Mathura Das	I. L. R., 8 All., 6 : L. R., 12 I. A., 150.	2686
—— v. Oriental Gas Company	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 323	4816, 4845
Mithibai v. Limji Nowroji Banaji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 45	2677
—— v. ——	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 506; and on appeal, I. L. R., 6 Bom., 151.	217
Mitho Lall v. Seeta Ram	1 Agra, Rev., 40	4240
Mitna v. Fuzlrub	6 B. L. R., 148 : 15 W. R., P. C., 15 : 13 Moore's I. A., 573.	1645
Mitrajit Singh v. Tundan Singh	3 B. L. R., Ap., 88 : 12 W. R., 14.	2748
Mitta Kunth Audhicarry v. Neerunjun Audhicarry	14 B. L. R., 166 : 22 W. R., 437.	1611
Mitter Sein v. Narain Singh	1 N. W., 206 : Ed. 1873, 289	2454, 4300
Mitterjeet Singh v. Duli Chund	5 C. L. R., 246	4853
		3923

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mitterjeet Singh *v.* Heirs of the widow of Juswunt Singh.	6 W. R., P. C., 15 : 3 Moore's I. A., 42.	5369
——— *v.* Mookh Lall Singh	25 W. R., 139	4003
——— *v.* Radhapershad Singh	23 W. R., 368	6187
Mitthoo Lall *v.* Gholam Nuseer-ood-deen	3 Agra, 276	4306
Mittoo Khan *v.* Ruhman Khan	8 W. R., 361	194, 3452
Mittoo Lall *v.* Mahtab Kooeree	19 W. R., 98	226
Mittrajit Singh *v.* Raghubunsi Singh	8 B. L. R., Ap., 5	2154
Mittun Bibee *v.* Buzloor Khan	8 W. R., 392	5623
Mittunlal Sahoo *v.* Nadur	1 W. R., 53	4931
Mitturjeet Singh *v.* Fitzpatrick	11 W. R., 206	1609
Miya Gulam Nabi *v.* Kharaubibi	6 Bom., A. C., 177	312
Mizajool Nissa *v.* Bunshee Dhur	1 N. W., 193 : Ed. 1873, 277	249
Mobaruck Ali *v.* Ameer Ali	21 W. R., 252	5337, 5340
——— *v.* Soomee Kunja Charee	3 N. W., 168	203, 1880
Mobaruck Shaw *v.* Toofany	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 206 : 2 C. L. R., 446.	5292
Mobaruk Ali *v.* Boistub Churn Chowdhry	11 W. R., 25	2700, 3835
——— *v.* Imdad Ali	16 W. R., 200	4191
Mobarukoonissa Begum *v.* Ozeer Jemadar	8 W. R., 107	5734
Mobkoonissa *v.* Dewan Ali	4 W. R., Mis., 22	411, 5375
Modalatha. Ex parte	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 75	198, 5733
Modee Huddin Jowardar *v.* Sandes	12 W. R., 439	1582, 3018
Modee Kaikhooscrow Hormusjee *v.* Cooveerbhaee	4 W. R., P. C., 94 : 6 Moore's I. A., 448.	265, 622 4242
Modhe *v.* Dongre	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 609	2739, 4295 4411
Modhoo Bibee *v.* Ram Manicko Dey	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 31	5071
Modhoo Dossia *v.* Nobin Chunder Roy	16 W. R., 25	4523
Modhoo Dyal Singh *v.* Golbur Singh	9 W. R., 511	2189
——— *v.* Kolbur Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1018	2189
Modhoomutty Dobia *v.* Dhunput Singh	13 W. R., 167	3515, 5725 5728
Modhoo Ram Dey *v.* Boydonath Doss	9 W. R., 592	2934, 5025
Modhoo Soodun *v.* Kaemoolah Biswas	9 W. R., 327	2092
Modhoosoodun Chatterjee *v.* Juddooputty Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., 115	3054, 4298
Modhoosoodun Chowdhry *v.* Ram Mohun Ghur	8 W. R., 473	2929, 2930
Modhoosoodun Chuckerbutty *v.* Nufur Bawal	1 W. R., 196	531, 540
Modhoosoodun Dey *v.* Bissonath Dey	15 B. L. R., 361	4568
——— *v.* Mohendronath Mookerjee	9 W. R., 206	4414, 4609
Modhoosoodun Ghose *v.* Romanath Ghose	12 W. R., 65	1490, 1884
Modhoosoodun Ghose *alias* Madhub Chunder Ghose *v.* Joyram Haztah.	13 W. R., Cr., 39	959
Modhoosoodun Koondoo *v.* Madhubram Sewloll	15 W. R., 161	5719
Modhoo Soodun Manjee *v.* Dabee Gobind Newgee	10 W. R., F. B., 36	3748
Modhoo Soodun Mojoomdar *v.* Brojonath Koond Chowdhry	5 W. R., Act X, 44	3152, 3180
Modhoosoodun Mookerjee *v.* Jadub Chunder Banerjee	3 W. R., 194	2275, 2437
——— *v.* Kirtee Chunder Ghose	18 W. R., 7	3480, 3508
Modhoo Soodun Mulick *v.* Panch Cowree Mulick	7 W. R., 302	6221
Modhoo Soodun Roy Chowdhry *v.* Bhikaree Roy Chowdhry.	5 W. R., Mis., 11	2943
Modhoosoodun Sandial *v.* Soroop Chunder Sircar Chowdhry.	7 W. R., P. C., 73 : 4 Moore's I. A., 431.	3334, 4650
Modhoosoodun Singh *v.* Collector of Midnapore	Marsh., 244	93
——— *v.* Moran & Co.	11 W. R., 43	4245, 4588
——— *v.* Prithee Bullub Paul	16 W. R., 231	81, 4257 5122

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Modhoosoodun Singh v. Purtee Bullub Paul	W. R., 1864, 140	67
Modhu Soodun Chowdhry v. Cochrane	6 C. L. R., 417	2805
Modnarain Puri. In the matter of the petition of	18 W. R., Cr., 26	389
Modoosoodun Mozoomdar v. Bindobashiny Dossee	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 15	5674
Modoosoodun Paul v. Doyal Chand Mullick	10 B. L. R., Ap., 35	5241
Modun Mohun. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 376	4693
Modun Mohun Chowdhry v. Ashad Ally Beparee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 68: 13 C. L. R., 51	3431
Modun Mohun Doss v. Gokul Doss	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 269: 5 W. R., P. C., 91: 10 Moore's I. A., 563.	1366
Modun Mohun Ghose Hazra v. Boroda Sondori Dasia	8 C. L. R., 261	2800
Mofizooddeen v. Amooddeen	23 W. R., 58	4980
Mofukhurl Moolk Musseerud Dowla Syed Sufdar Ally Khan v. Mackintosh.	2 Hay, 123	2704
Mofuzel Hossein v. Golam Ambiah	10 B. L. R., 361, note: 10 W. R., 196.	4823
Mofuzzel Hossein v. Tussooduk Ali Khan	W. R., 1864, Act X, 89	536
Mogul Begum v Fukeerun Beebee	3 Agra, 288	3734
Mohabat Ali v. Ali Mahomed Kulal	3 B. L. R., Ap., 80: 12 W. R., 1	3161
Mohabeer Doss v. Lalla Roy	1 W. R., 12	303, 4676
Mohabeer Pershad Singh v. Collector of Tirhoot	13 W. R., 423	97, 98; 3778
——— v. ———	15 W. R., 137	5363
——— v. Mohabeer Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 591: 9 C. L. R., 164.	4178
Mohabeer Singh. In the matter of the petition of	15 W. R., Cr., 48	5161
——— v Dhujjoo Singh	20 W. R., 172	352
Mohabir Pershad Singh v. Olpherts	9 C. L. R., 134	5461
Mohabir Singh v. Ram Baghowán Chowbey	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 150	213
Mohabuth Ally v. Mymonissa	Marsh., 361	3645
Mohadeay Kooer v. Haruk Narain	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 244	4303
Mohadeb Mundul v. Cowell	15 W. R., 445	4336, 5313
——— v. Modhoo Mundul	16 W. R., 59	897
Mohadeb Shaha v. Sheo Suhoy Geer	9 W. R., 359	6236
Mohadeo Lall v. Nundun Lall	12 W. R., 22	3340
Mohadeo Misser v. Lahoree Misser	24 W. R., 250	1453, 4133
Mohamaya Chowdhrain v. Durga Churn Shaha	9 C. L. R., 332	6222
Mohamaya Dossee v Bindoo Bashinee Dossee	19 W. R., 35	3166
Mohamaya Goopta v. Nilmadhab Rai	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 533	3052
Mohamed Azeeur Ali v. Nassir Mohamed	3 B. L. R., A. C., 304	4152
Mohamed Beg v. Jugger Nauth Dass; claim of Omerchand.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 248	896
Mohamed Elahee Buksh v. Kally Mohun Mookhopadhya.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 589. 5 C. L. R., 519.	3877
Mohamed Eshak. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 476	3609
Mohamed Ghous v. Kurreemoonissa	1 Agra, Rev., 52	2918
Mohamed Golab v. Mohabeer Singh	23 W. R., Cr., 34	1302
Mohamed Masik v. Malkai Mukhadrai Uzwa Badshah Mehal Saheba.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 380	1227
Mohamed Villayet-ool-lah Khan v. Ahmed Hussun Khan	3 Agra, 70	4535
Mohammed Singh v. Mughy Chowdhrain	1 W. R., 253	1181
Mohamoya Dossee v. Doyamoye Chowdhrain	7 W. R., 63	1595
Moha Moyee Dossee v. Joodhister Deb	10 W. R., 112	1736
Mohamudee Begum v Oomdutoonissa	15 W. R., 271	95
Mohana v. Sadodin	7 Bom., A. C., 69	3077
Mohan Chand Kandu v. Azim Kazi Chowkidar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 233: 12 W. R., 45	3196

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mohandas v. Krishnabai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 597	2301
Mohan Ishwar v. Haku Rupa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 638	616, 2074 2796, 3859 4066
Mohan Kooer v. Ajoodhya Doss	20 W R., 119	4592
Mohan Lal v. Ram Dial	I. L. R., 2 All., 843	5030
Mohanlal Jechand v. Amrat Lal Becherdas	I. L. R, 3 Bom., 174	1342, 4579
Mohan Lall v. Nathu Ram	1 B. L. R, O. C., 144	355
Mohan Lall Mittra v. Bishun Chandra Chatterjee	1 B. L. R, A. C., 100	4408
Mohan Lall Sukul v. Ulfutunnisa	5 B. L R., 164, note: 11 W. R., 98.	3457
Mohan Manor v. Togu Uka	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 224	3948
Mohan Ram Jha v. Shib Dutt Sing	8 B. L. R., 230: 17 W. R., 21.	5366
Mohansing Chawan v. Conder	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 478	3273
Mohanund Banerjee v. Shushee Shekhur Chatterjee	20 W. R., 132	5197
Mohanund Chowdhry v. Eglinton	1 W. R., 343	1390
Mohanund Holdar v. Akial Mehaldar	9 W. R., 118	5457
Mohanj Singh v. Lalla Bheechuck Lall	3 W. R., 71	3712
Mohatab Chand v. Chittro Coomaree Bibee	16 W. R., 201	6
Mohedeen Ahmed Khan v. Mahomed	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 132	1811, 3694
Mohee Lall v. Khetaram Marwary	25 W. R., 76	6076
Moheem v. Raheemotoollah	Marsh., 341: 2 Hay, 433	5246
Moheeooddeen v. Ahmed Hossein	14 W. R., 384	2603
Moheeooddeen Ahmed v. Elahee Buksh	6 W. R, 277	3669
——— v. Prannath Roy Chowdhry	3 W. R., Act X, 142	5817
Mohepnt Singh v. Etbaree Chowdhry	19 W. R., 226	422
Mohendra Lal Khan v Rosonoyi Dasi	I. L R., 12 Calc., 207	1741
Mohendro Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Surbo Rokhya Dabia.	11 W. R., 534	1515
Mohendro Coomar Dutt v. Heera Mohun Coondoo	I. L R, 7 Calc., 728	5457, 5462
Mohendro Lall Bose v. Jadub Kissen Singh	14 W. R., O C., 5	3305
Mohendro Narain Singh v Lalla Ruttun	Marsh., 289: 2 Hay, 278	553
Mohendronath Ash v. Beedobodun Dutt	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 220	5241
Mohendronath Bose v. Nusseo Mangea	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 224	370
Mohendro Nath Dawn v. Ishun Chunder Dawn	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 56	2676
Mohendronath Dutt v Koylash Chunder Dutt	6 W R., 245	1509
Mohendro Nath Mojoomdar v. Futtick Paroee	24 W. R., 319	5771
Mohendro Nath Mookerjee v. Bama Soonduree Dabea	15 W. R, 493	163
Mohendro Nath Mukerjea v. Naffur Chunder Pal Chowdhry.	1 C. L. R, 537	3426
Mohendro Nath Mullick v. Rakhal Doss Sircar	10 W. R., 344	1676
Mohesh Chunder. In the matter of	20 W. R., Cr, 55	4113, 5155
Mohesh Chunder Bagchee v. Dwarkanath Moitro	24 W. R, 260	5336
Mohesh Chunder Banerjee v. Chunder Monee Dabee	9 W. R., 139	6090
——— v. Chunder Monee Debee	10 B. L. R., 150, note: 15 W R., 237.	5314
——— v. Chundra Monee Debia	9 W. R., 436	4952
——— v. Ramprosonno Chowdhry	I. L R., 4 Calc., 539: 6 C. L. R, 280.	1120, 1176 5328
Mohesh Chunder Biswas v. Gooroopersad Bose	Marsh., 377: 2 Hay, 473	1681
——— v. Taramonee Dossee	9 W R., 240	3539
Mohesh Chunder Bose v. Thakoor Doss Gossamee	20 W. R., 425	885
——— v. Ugrakant Banerjee	24 W. R, 127	2490
Mohesh Chunder Brohmochatee v. Dino Bundhoo Bose	24 W. R, 265	1732
Mohesh Chunder Bundopadhya v. Joykishen Mookerjee.	15 B. L. R., 248, note: 22 W. R., 362.	5072

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mohesh Chunder Chakladar *v.* Gungamonee Dossee	18 W. R., 59	551
Mohesh Chunder Chatterjee *v.* Issur Chunder Chatterjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 266	1698, 3391 6122
——— *v.* Kamini Kumari Dabia	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 313	1073
Mohesh Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Mohun Lall Sircar	8 W. R., 80	3539
Mohesh Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Koylash Chunder Chuckerbutty.	11 W. R., 443	2242
Mohesh Chunder Doss *v.* Madhub Chunder Sirdar	13 W. R., 85	300, 5873
Mohesh Chunder Gungopadhya *v.* Bishonath Doss	24 W. R., 402	5180
Mohesh Chunder Khan. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 417	4495
Mohesh Chunder Kopali *v.* Mohesh Chunder Dass	10 C. L. R., 553	1798
Mohesh Chunder Lahoory *v.* Sumbhoo Chunder Roy Chowdhry.	2 Hay, 303	1567
Mohesh Chunder Moiter *v.* Buloram Moiter	6 W. R., 94	384
Mohesh Chunder Mookerjee. In re	W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	1047
——— *v.* Ramdhun Pal	13 W. R., 248	4397, 4405
——— *v.* Ramoottum Palit	14 W. R., 163	4503
Mohesh Chunder Roy. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 571	4738
——— *v.* Chunder Mohun Roy	14 B. L. R., 273; 23 W. R., 78.	2335
Mohesh Chunder Sein *v.* Collector of Dinagepore	16 W. R., 312	83
Mohesh Chunder Sen *v.* Juggut Chunder Sen	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 212	1750
Mohesh Chunder Shah *v.* Shoshee Mookhee Debia	6 W. R., 196	5769
Mohesh Chunder Singh Surma *v.* Bhoobun Moyee Debia.	13 B. L. R., 217, note: 18 W. R., 252.	494
Mohesh Dutt *v.* Begnarain Singh	16 W. R., 82	4940
Moheshee Lall *v.* Christian	6 W. R., 250	3710, 3713
Mohesh Lal *v.* Bawan Das	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 961: 13 C. L. R., 221: L. R., 10 I. A., 62.	3930
——— *v.* Busunt Kumaree	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 340: 7 C. L. R., 121.	3136, 3207 3235
Mohesh Lall *v.* Christian	8 W. R., 446	3706
Mohesh Mahto *v.* Piru	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 470: 1 C. L. R., 83.	5747
Mohesh Mistree. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 282: 25 W. R., Cr., 30, 67.	5157, 5159
Mohesh Mundul *v.* Bhola Nath Mundul	3 C. L. R., 404	464, 1988
——— *v.* Bholanath Biswas	3 C. L. R., 405, note	464, 1988
Mohesh Narain Singh *v.* Kishnanund Misser	Marsh., 592: 2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 1: 5 W. R., P. C., 7: 9 Moore's I. A., 324.	447, 1941 4212, 4213 5436, 5474
Mohesh Nath *v.* Hurro Mohun Ghosal	16 W. R., Cr., 12	5548
Mohesh Roy *v.* Boodhun Mahtoon	18 W. R., 315	1846
Moheshur Buksh Singh *v.* Collector of Ghazeepore	2 Agra, 214	231
——— *v.* Gunoon Koonwar	6 W. R., 245	2261
Moheshur Mondul *v.* Koilash Nath Mondul	7 C. L. R., 71	5684
Moheshur Singh *v.* Government of India	3 W. R., P. C., 45: 7 Moore's I. A., 283.	4499, 4630 5107, 5122
——— *v.* Ramaput Singh	W. R., F. B., 7: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 35.	4198
Mohessur Buksh Singh *v.* Jhubboo Chowdhry	2 Hay, 68	1494
——— *v.* Muthoora Pershad	8 W. R., 515	324, 1453
——— *v.* Sheo Narain Singh	6 W. R., Mis., 59	4406
Mohessur Mookerjee *v.* Kalee Dass Mookerjee	11 W. R., 573	4162
Mohessur Roy *v.* Collector of Rajshahye	16 W. R., 263	3851

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Moheswar Das v. Carter	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 210 : 12 C. L. R., 122.	658
Moheyodin v. Chhotibibi	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 578	2851
Mohi Chowdhry v. Dhiro Missrain	6 C. L. R., 139	1840
Mohidin v. Muhammad Ibrahim	1 Mad., 245	5044
Mohidin Ahmid Khan v. Muhammad	1 Mad., 92	1811, 3693
Mohidin Hussen Saheb. In the matter of the petition of	8 Mad., 44	3597
Mohidin Sahib v. Khader Sahib	2 Mad., 42	3130
Mohima Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Poorno Chunder Banerjee.	11 W. R., 165	1745, 1763
Mohima Chunder Chund v. Mothooranath Ghose	9 W. R., 151	1742
Mohima Chunder Dey v. Gooroodoss Sein	7 W. R., 285	1596, 5314
Mohima Chunder Dey Sircar v. Hurro Lall Sircar	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 768 : 2 C. L. R., 364.	4459
Mohima Chunder Dhur v. Jugul Kishore Bhuttacharji	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 736 : 9 C. L. R., 471.	1410, 4137
Mohima Chunder Ghose v. Madhub Chunder Nag	24 W. R., 80	1167
——— v. Nobin Chunder Adhikaree	8 W. R., 449	849
Mohima Chunder Mookerjee v. Ram Churn Roy	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 16	5702
Mohima Chunder Mozoomdar v. Asradha Dassia	15 B. L. R., 251, note : 21 W. R., 207.	5075
Mohima Chunder Mullick v. Buroda Soonduree Dossee	12 W. R., 147	4140
Mohima Chunder Roy v. Durga Monee	23 W. R., 194	2503
——— v. Hurnath Chungo	18 W. R., 446	5706
Mohima Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Kishore Acharjee Chowdhry.	15 B. L. R., 142 : 23 W. R., 174.	1700, 2517
——— v. Wise	25 W. R., 277	1749
Mohima Chunder Sein v. Pitambur Shaha	9 W. R., 147	3039, 3077
Mohima Runjun Roy Chowdhry v. Nobo Coomar Misser	18 W. R., 339	5607
Mohim Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Chunder Churn Gooho	10 W. R., 452	5279
Mohim Mundul v. Kala Chand Naek	13 W. R., 147	5678
Mohindro Bhoosun Biswas v. Shoshee Bhoosun Biswas	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 882	4276, 4286
Mohindro Lall Mookerjee v. Rookiney Dabee	Cor., 42	2110
Mohindro Nath Mitter v. Koylas Nath Banerjee	Cor., 1 : 2 Hyde, 121	1064
Mohinee Dossee v. Juggodumba Dossee	W. R., 1864, 382	5328
——— v. Pokhur Doss Paul	4 W. R., 2	1676
——— v. Ram Coomar Kurmokar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 77	1539
Mohinee Mohun Doss v. Assanoollah	17 W. R., 73	40, 739
——— v. Juggobundoo Bose	7 W. R., 103	41
——— v. Ram Kant Chowdry	15 W. R., 322	3776
Mohini Chunder Mozumdar v. Jotirmoy Ghose	4 C. L. R., 422	5318
Mohini Mohun Doss v. Juggobundoo Bose	9 W. R., 312	39
Mohinudin v. Manchershah	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 650	3675, 6144
Mohiny Mohun Das v. Krishno Kishore Dutt	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 802 : 12 C. L. R., 337.	4182
Mohiny Mohun Dass Chowdhry v. Bhoobun Joy Shaha	6 C. L. R., 237	5471
Mohiny Mohun Roy v. Ichamoyee Dassea	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 612	1583
Mohooddeen Mahomed v. Ram Kishore Koondoo	22 W. R., 311	5316
Mohip Narain v. Shaw	25 W. R., 250	5974
Mohno Bibee v. Juggurnath Chowdhry	2 W. R., 78	3705
Mohomuddy Begum v. Oomdutoonissa	13 W. R., 454	77, 93
Mohroo Kooeree v. Gunsoo Kooeree	8 W. R., 385	2447
Mohsum Ally v. Balasoo Koer	2 Hay, 576	1816, 6155
Mohubut Mundul v. Shoorendronath Roy	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 20	4872
Mohumdee Begum v. Bairam Khan	1 Agra, 130	3701
Mohun Bagchi v. Girish Chunder Bundopadhya	1 C. L. R., 152	3917
Mohun Chunder Dhur v. Kidge	Marsh., 381 : 2 Hay, 419	5763

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mohun Chunder Kurmokar v. Mohesh Chunder Kurmokar.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 568	3539
Mohun Dass. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 11 : 6 C. L. R., 265.	4671
——— v. Lutchmun Dass	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 11 : C. L. R., 265.	4671
Mohun Geer v. Tota	4 N. W., 153	2258
Mohun Gobind Sein v. Nittaye Haldar	6 W. R., Act X, 101	2927
Mohun Kishen v. Bhoobun Shyam	7 W. R., 406	357
Mohun Koowur v. Zoramun Singh	Marsh., 166 : 1 Hay, 372	2192
Mohun Lal v. Ali Afzul	W. R., 1864, 219	3955
——— v. Beharee Lal	3 N. W., 336	5809, 6148
Mohun Lal Bhaya Gyal v. Lachman Lal	5 B. L. R., 663 : 14 W. R., 73	5028
Mohun Lall v. Baroo Mull	6 N. W., 69	1891
——— v. Government	2 Agra, Mis., 6	3822
——— v. Jhummun Lall	6 N. W., 246	4042
——— v. Noor Ahmud	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 202	4562
——— v. Noor Khan	3 Agra, 218	4400
Mohun Lall Mitter v. Bahoo Soonduree Debia	10 W. R., 145	4408
——— v. Choolun Singh	1 Hay, 438	5485
Mohun Lall Roy v. Urnopoorna Dassee	9 W. R., 566	156, 1813
Mohun Lall Shaha v. Joy Narain Shaha Chowdhry	23 W. R., 105	368, 2693
Mohun Lall Sookool v. Goluck Chunder Dutt	1 W. R., P. C., 19 : 10 Moore's I. A., 1.	3962 4005 4017
Mohun Lall Sookul v. Bebee Doss	7 Moore's I. A., 428	4639
——— v. ———	8 Moore's I. A., 193	4632, 4688
Mohun Loll Sookul v. Debee Doss Dutt	2 W. R., P. C., 9 : 8 Moore's I. A., 492.	4632
Mohun Lall Tagore v. Collector of Tirhoot	1 W. R., 350	2888
Mohun Mundur v. Brij Bhookun Singh	9 W. R., 128	297, 6235
Mohun Sahoo. In the matter of	11 W. R., 245	5708
——— v. Chutoo Mowar	21 W. R., 34	142
Mohun Singh v. Ghuriba	6 B. L. R., 495 : 15 W. R., P. C., 8	4651
——— v. Jugbutty Kooer	24 W. R., 297	5760
——— v. Karsem Oonissa Begum	8 Mad., 57	1539, 5719
——— v. Shib Koonwer	1 Agra, 85	6125
Mohun Sirdar v. Obhoy Churn Mookopadhya	13 W. R., Cr., 72	4097
Mohun Soondur Koonwar v. Ramanoogro Narain	3 W. R., Mis., 3	676
Mohur Ali Khan v. Ram Ruttun Sein	21 W. R., 400	5180, 5187
Mohur Mandar. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 431	4108
Mohur Matoon v. Umatum	18 W. R., 499	5756
Mohur Ram Moodee v. Karbaree Sirdar	18 W. R., 312	5660
Mohurum Shaikh v. Nowkurree Dass Mohuldar	14 W. R., 357	3118
Moidin v. Chandu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 273	4720
——— v. Sundaramurthia	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 9	2645
Moinoollah v. Goluck Monee Chowdrain	15 W. R., 270	5588
Moizoddy Shaik. In the matter of	14 W. R., 450	2706
Moizzunnissa v. Mooraree Dhur Dey	22 W. R., 314	248
Mojon Mollo v. Dula Ghazi Kulan	12 B. L. R., 492, note	5411
Mokha Harakraj Joshi v. Biseswar Doss	5 B. L. R., Ap., 11 : 13 W. R., 344.	747
Mokhoda Dossee v. Nobin Chunder Mitter	16 W. R., 259	1247
Mokhoda Soonduree Dassee v. Kureem Shaikh	23 W. R., 11	582, 5921
Mokoond Chunder Roy v. Prankissen Paul Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 287	2982
Mokeond Narain Deo v. Jonardun Dey Burnick	15 W. R., 208	4141, 4973
Mokoondo Lall Shaw v. Gonesh Chunder Shaw	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 104	2475

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mokoondonath Bhadoory *v.* Shib Chunder Bhadoory	22 W. R., 302	5280
Mokoondy Lall Doobey *v.* Crowdy	17 W. R., 274	5189
Mokuddima of Mouza Kunkunwady *v.* Enamdar Brahmins of Mouza Soorpal	7 W. R., P. C., 8: 3 Moore's I. A., 383.	1684, 4397 4646
Mokund Lal *v.* Hurbullubh Narain Singh	12 C. L. R., 136	4901
Mokund Lall *v.* Chotay Lall	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1061	316, 339 3872, 4248 5797
Mokund Mooraree Biswas *v.* Wooma Churn Mookerjee	23 W. R., 173	5984
Mokundo Lall Roy *v.* Bykunt Nath Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 289: 7 C. L. R., 478.	2289
Mokut Singh. In the matter of the petition of	6 N. W., 16	4101
Molakatalla Naganna *v.* Pedda Narappa	7 Mad., 288	3134, 3306
Molka *v.* Sumput Koonwar	6 W. R., Mis., 62.	281
Molla Abdoor Rub *v.* Hurryhur Mookerjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S, 50	4165
Mollwo, March, & Co. *v.* Court of Wards	10 B. L. R., 312: 18 W. R., 384: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 86.	4320
——— *v.* Pertab Chunder Singh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 283	4518
Moltan Allee *v.* Shew Buksh	Marsh., 603	5781
Mona Bibee *v.* Oomed Ali	16 W. R., 276	4970, 6223
Monajan *v.* Kashi Nath Panday	5 C. L. R., 305	1868
Mona Sheikh *v* Ishan Bardhan	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 581	961
Mona Singh *v.* Mosrud Singh	5 W. R., 203	3727
Moneekurnika Chowdhrain *v.* Collector of Mymensingh	16 W. R., 159	5117
Monee Lall *v.* Fuzul Hossein	14 W. R., 337	4293
Moneemotho Nath Day *v.* Aushootosh Day	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 24	2075, 2438
Moneerooddeen *v.* Ramdhun Bajeekur	18 W. R., Cr., 28	3700
Moneerooddeen Merdha *v.* Keanie	4 W. R., Act X, 45	2994
Moneerooddeen Mojoomdar *v.* Parbutty Churn Ghose	15 W. R., 121	4475
Monemothonauth Dey *v.* Ononthnauth Dey	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 24	2136, 2543
Monessur Doss *v.* Kishen Protab Shahee	23 W. R., 427	414
Money Mohun Dey *v.* Dinonath Mullick	22 W. R., Cr., 11	5525
Mongola Dossee *v.* Saroda Dossee	12 B. L. R., Ap., 2	3854
Mongola Koiborto *v.* Annoda Ram, In the matter of	12 C. L. R., 277	3219
Mongula Dossee *v.* Sharoda Dossee	20 W. R., 48	3854
Monindrobhoosun Biswas *v.* Shosheebhoosun Biswas	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 866	911
Monindro Chunder Sirkar *v.* Moneeruddeen Biswas	11 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 20 W. R., 230.	3003
Moniram Kolita *v.* Keri Kolitani	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 776: 6 C. L. R., 322: L. R., 7 I. A., 115.	2502, 2525
Moni Roy *v.* Rajbunsee Kooer	25 W. R., 393	5040
Monji Premji Sett *v.* Maliyakel Koyassan Koya Haji	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 59	180, 356
Monkee Dumber Sahee *v.* Monkee Bhullunder Sahee	15 W. R., 423	293
Monmohinee Dabee *v.* Sreedhama Churn Ranna	14 W. R., 302	770
Monmohinee Debee *v.* Binode Beharee Shaha	25 W. R., 10	1732
Monmohinee Debi *v.* Secretary of State	13 B. L. R., 359: 22 W. R., 106	2049
Monmohinee Dossee *v.* Bishen Moyee Dossee	7 W. R., 112	4796
——— *v.* Khetter Gopaul Dey	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 127: 24 W. R., 362.	183
Monmohinee Joginee *v.* Jugobundhoo Sadookha	19 W. R., 233	1697
Monmohiney Dossee *v.* Koylashnath Chund	2 Hay, 154	336
Mon Mohun Buksee *v.* Gunga Soondery Dabee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 181: 11 C. L. R., 34.	3152
Monohur Chowdhry *v.* Nursingh Chowdhry	11 W. R., 272	4129
Monohur Doss *v.* Gungapershad	2 N. W., 264	4144
——— *v.* Seetul Pershad	23 W. R., 418	4883

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Monohur Doss v. Kally Dhun Dobey	8 W. R., 116	3106
——— v. Khodrum Begum	Bourke, O. C., 110	5533
——— v. Khoolsun Begum	Cor., 121	4236
——— v. Macnaghten	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 231	2597
——— v. Romanauth Law	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 473	453
——— v. Situl Pershad	23 W. R., 325	2702
Monohur Khan v. Troylucko Nath Ghose	4 W. R., 35	3247
Monohur Lall v. Gouri Sunkur	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 283 : 12 C. L. R., 434.	4873
Monohur Mookerjee. In the matter of	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 756 : 7 C. L. R., 228.	4664, 4747
——— v. Huromohun Mookerjee	1 W. R., 27	5344
——— v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee	5 W. R., 1	4211, 5354
Monohur Pal v. Wise	15 W. R., 246	3107
Monomothonath Day v. Aushootosh Day	Cor., 8	4524
——— v. Greender Chunder Ghose	24 W. R., 366	2102, 4019
——— v. Sreenath Ghose	20 W. R., 107	1372, 4807
Monomothonath Dey v. Glascott	20 W. R., 275	5313
Monoour Hossein v. Kanhya Lall	3 W. R., 218	5285
Monoshee v. Abdool Hossein	7 W. R., 297	5300
Monowar Khan v. Abdoollah Khan	3 N. W., 177	3702
Monseor Ali v. Ramdyal	3 W. R., 50	3748
Montoora v. Ablak Roy	11 W. R., 197	5125
Moead Mullick v. Belat Mullick	9 W. R., 385	6008
Moochee Ram Majhee v. Bissambhur Roy Chowdhry	24 W. R., 410	1769, 3419, 4229
Mookhesur Rai v. Ramphul Sahoo	5 N. W., 70	450
Mookhya Huruckraj Joshee v. Ram Lall Gomashta	14 W. R., 435	5764
Mookoond Narain Deb v. Moomlee Mohun	6 W. R., 91	4134
Mookoondonath Bhadoory v. Shib Chunder Bhadoory	19 W. R., 102	5582
Mookta Kashee Dabee v. Gungadass Roy	14 W. R., 483	3497
Mooktakashy v. Luckee	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 4	4469
Mooktakeshee Debea v. Collector of Burdwan	12 W. R., 204	5211, 6094
Mookta Keshee Debee v. Kunuck Monee Debee	7 W. R., 267	434, 5454
——— v. Oomabutty	14 W. R., 31 : 8 B. L. R., 396, note.	1172
Mooktakeshee Debia v. Luchmeeput Singh Doogur	10 W. R., 137	5451, 5454
——— v. Wooma Churn Bhuttacharjee	12 W. R., 233	2234
Mooktakeshee Debia Chowdhrain v. Collector of Moorshedabad.	4 W. R., 30	5590
Mooktakeshee Dossee v. Koylash Chunder Mitter	7 W. R., 493	1765, 5186
——— v. Pearee Chowdhrain	7 W. R., 158	4481
Mooktaram Bhuttacharjee v. Hurro Chunder Roy	7 W. R., 1	6071
Mookta Soonduree Chowdhrain v. Muthooranath Ghose	22 W. R., 209	5378
Mooktokashee Dassia v. Brojunder Coomar Roy	3 W. R., Act X, 156	2888
Mookto Keshee Debee v. Anundo Chunder Chattopadhya	2 C. L. R., 48	480
Mookundee Misrain v. Shoo Lochun Pattuck	21 W. R., 39	231
Moola v. Nundy	4 N. W., 109	5097
Moolchand v. Chestree	1 N. W., 175 : Ed. 1873, 254	5182
——— v. Robinson	1 B. L. R., O. C., 68	465
Mool Chund v. Ajoodhya Pershad	3 N. W., 162	3874
——— v. Rajnarain Ghose	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330	5623
Moolji Lilla v. Gokuldas Vulla	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 154	2348, 2354
Moolook Chand Mundul v. Moodhoosoodun Bachaspatty.	10 B. L. R., 398, note : 16 W. R., 526.	3801
Mooltan Mull v. Gunsam Doss	3 N. W., 75	166, 3845
Moona v. Chandmonee Gossain	7 W. R., 206	1403, 3106

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Moona *v.* Jey Mungul Singh	4 N. W., 164	4814
Moondur Bibee *v.* Honooman Pershad	11 W. R., 277	4162
Mooneappah *v.* Vencatarayadoo	6 Mad., 32	342
Mooneappa Oodian *v.* Queen	5 Mad., 326	1967
Moonee Rangappen. Ex parte	3 Mad., 352	6076
Moonee Ummah *v.* Municipal Commissioners for the Town of Madras.	8 Mad., 151	3534, 3769
Mooney *v.* Mussoorce Savings Bank	6 N. W., 319	4604
Moonye Surmah *v.* Lomun Surmah	2 W. R., 288	2342
Moonzoorad Dowla *v.* Mehidi Begum	7 C. L. R., 206	367, 1483 2697
Mooradee Bebee *v.* Syefoollah	W. R., 1864, 318	4587
Moorajee Poonja *v.* Visranjee Visonjee	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 658	278
Mooraree Doss *v.* Bucha Singh	4 N. W., 122	4589
Mooraree Moobajim *v.* Mahomed Akmal	22 W. R., 161	5129
Mooraree Singh *v.* Puryag Singh	2 C. L. R., 189	4047
"Moorhill." In re the	15 B. L. R., Ap., 3	5642
Mooroobbee Sahoo *v.* Latoo Coomar	W. R., F. B., 70	5075
Moorooly Ram *v.* Huree Ram	8 W. R., 106	4536
Moorul Singh *v.* Mohun Kooer	9 W. R., 167	1503
Moorut Koonwar *v.* Dhurm Narain Singh	2 W. R., Mis., 7	3561
Moosa *v.* Essa	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 241	4673, 5873
Moosey Khulerey *v.* Mahomed Tuqueo	1 Agra, Rev., 3	1587, 2987
Mootee *v.* Ropra	3 Agra, 159	2986
Moothora Kant Shaw *v.* India General Steam Navigation Company.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 166 : 13 C. L. R., 342.	659
Moozdeen Gazee *v.* Dino Bundhoo Gossamee	13 W. R., 99	5663
Moparti Pitchi Naidu *v.* Vuppala Kondamma	6 Mad., 136	3596
Morad Ali *v.* Jeebun Bux	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 354	6026
Moran *v.* Bindubasinee Debia	W. R., 1864, Act X, 5	546
——— *v.* Dewan Ali Sirang	8 B. L. R., 418	3089, 3874
——— *v.* Mittu Bibee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 58	1820, 3109 3778, 5833
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 228	271
——— *v.* River Steam Navigation Co.	14 B. L. R., 352	2607
Moran & Co. *v.* Anund Chunder Mozoomdar	6 W. R., Act X, 35	1615
Mora Rabha *v.* Dhow Rabha	17 W. R., 158	5196
Morbhat Purohit *v.* Gangadhar Karkare	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 234	3299
Moreshwar Bapuji Phatak *v.* Kushaba Shankroji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 248	404, 5173
Morgan. In the matter of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 633 : 7 C. L. R., 29 : 9 C. L. R., 385.	...2640
——— *v.* Abdool Hye	23 W. R., 393	5458, 5493
——— *v.* Kirby	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 46	4576
——— *v.* Leech	2 Moore's I. A., 428	4632, 5972
——— *v.* Morgan	I. L. R., 4 All., 306	1546, 1557
Morison *v.* Administrator General of Madras	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 515	6201
Mor Joshi *v.* Muhammad Ibrahim	10 Bom., 344	483
Morno Moyee Debee *v.* Bheem Koomar Chowdhry	6 W. R., 231	6238
Moro Balkrishna Mule *v.* Shek Saheb valad Badruddin Kamble.	5 Bom., A. C., 199	5013
Moro Desai *v.* Ramchandra Desai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 508	4177
Moro Vishvanath *v.* Ganesh Vithal	10 Bom., 444	2350, 2458 2472, 6077
Moro Vithal *v.* Tukraram valad Malharji	5 Bom., A. C., 92	3064, 4789
Morris *v.* Atmakuru Lutchmana Row	6 Mad., 43	2808
——— *v.* Baumgarten	Bourke, O. C., 127 : Cor., 152	2804, 2806
——— *v.* Muthusami Pillai	6 Mad., 363	5256

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Morris v. Pauchanada Pillay	5 Mad., 135	1818
——— v. Sambamurthi Rayar	6 Mad., 122	3182, 5256, 5874
——— v. Sapamtheetha Pillay	6 Mad., 45	3183, 4814
——— v. Sivaramayyan	7 Mad., 243	3191
Moru bin Fattaji v. Gopal bin Satu	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 120	3116, 3351
Morun Moyee Dabea v. Beejoy Kishen Gossamee	W. R., F. B., 121	2129
Mosaheb Ali v. Nund Kishore	20 W. R., 316	3283
Mosechun v. Munoorun	24 W. R., 69	1211
Moshahel Singh v. Konomutty Bewa	15 W. R., 172	355
Moshaollah Khan. In the matter of	14 W. R., 445	4345
Moshaullah v. Ahmedullah	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 78	200, 3153, 3155
Moshingan v. Mozari Sajad	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 271	185
Mosoodun Lall v. Bekaree Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 602: 6 W. R., Mis., 109.	2707
Mosson. In the goods of	6 B. L. R. Ap., 139	1236
Mosuruf Ali Chowdhry v. Janokenath Odhicaree	W. R., 1864, Mis., 40	3147
Motee Chand v. Radhamadhub Chand	2 W. R., Mis., 34	5118
Motee Chund Doss v. Moorulee Dhur Doss	15 W. R., 432	4281
Motee Doss v. Modhoosoodun Chowdhry	1 W. R., 4	2254
Motee Dossee v. Deeta Hurukmun Singh	11 W. R., 64	2808
Motee Lall v. Bhoop Singh	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245: 8 W. R., 64.	1432, 1749, 2781, 4032
——— v. Janki Roy	3 Agra, 364	4207
——— v. Ram Doss	W. R., 1864, Mis., 38	238, 838
——— v. Ranee	8 W. R., 64: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245.	1432, 1749, 2781, 4032
Motee Lall Aduck v. Judooputhee Doss	2 W. R., Act X, 44	4169
Motee Lall Bose v. Howrah Municipality	23 W. R., 222	5260
Motee Lall Mookerjee v. Kandhai Lall	1 N. W., 155: Ed. 1873, 237: Agra, F. B: Ed. 1874, 185.	849
Motee Lall Opadhiya v. Juggurnath Gurg	5 W. R., P. C., 25: 1 Moore's I. A., 1.	4137
Motee Ram v. Kaisree	2 Agra, 52	4826
Motee Ram Sahoo v. Khuleeloollah	2 Agra, 338	2076
Motee Roy v. Meajan	20 W. R., 443	3010
Motee Saboo v. Forbes	6 W. R., 278	1374, 3344
Motee Singh v. Leelanund Singh	11 W. R., 49	*3416
Motee Soonderee v. Indrajit Kowaree	Marsh., 112	202
Motendro Chandra Ghose v. Mohendro Nath Ghose	10 C. L. R., 330	3523
Mothoora Lall Paul v. Chrinebash Dutt	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 24	3288
Mothoora Mohun Roy v. Huree Kishore Roy	18 W. R., 286	1454
——— v. Peary Mohun Shaw	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 259: 2 C. L. R., 409.	1774, 5836
Mothoora Nath Bhoomick v. Kenaram Kurmokar	7 W. R., 33	986, 1103
Mothooranath Chatterjee v. Khetturnath Biswas	2 W. R., Act X, 92	1639
Mothoora Nath Chuttopadhya v. Kristo Kumar Ghose.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 369	1119, 1143, 1161
Mothooranath Dey v. Gopal Roy	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 16	1101
Mothoora Nath Gungopadhya v. Sheeta Monee	9 W. R., 354	5108
Mothooranath Mozoomdar v. Eusuff Ali Khan	14 W. R., 356	2311
Mothooranath Sircar v. Nil Monee Deo	13 W. R., 297	1632
Mothooranath Tewaree v. Brindabun Tewaree	14 W. R., 327	180
Mothoora Pershad Singh v. Shumbhoo Geer	19 W. R., 413	820
Mothoor Chunder Doss. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 509	4111

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mothoor Chunder Kurmokar *v.* Manick Chunder Bungo	6 W. R., 192	4302
Mothoor Ghose. In the matter of	11 W. R., Cr., 10	959
Mothoor Mohun Mundul *v.* Khemunkuree	5 W. R., P. C., 182	4867
Mothoor Mohun Pal Chowdhry *v.* Ram Lal Bose	4 C. L. R., 469	569
Mothoormohun Roy *v.* Bank of Bengal	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 392 : 1 C. L. R., 507.	466
——— *v.* Jadoomoney Dossee	10 B. L. R., 122	2820
——— *v.* Soorendro Narain Deb	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 108 : 24 W. R., 464.	1079, 3746
Mothoornath Chuckerbutty *v.* Kissen Mohun Ghose	W. R., 1864, Mis., 9	2777
Mothura Nath Dutt *v.* Borkant Nath Dutt	11 C. L. R., 312	3370
Moti Bhagvan *v.* Harjivan Girdhurdas	2 Bom., 34 : 2nd Ed., 32	4903
Moti Bibi *v.* Bikanu	I. L. R., 2 All., 772	290
Moti Chand *v.* Mahomed Hossein Khan	7 N. W., 147	500, 3707
Motichand Jaichand *v.* Dadabhai Pestanji	11 Bom., 186	182, 1437, 1679
Motigavri *v.* Pranjivandas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 302	309
Moti Kahanji *v.* Dip Chand Virchand	5 Bom., A. C., 81	4744
Moti Lal *v.* Moti Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 78	2576
——— *v.* Munshook Kuramchand	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 328	5842
Motilal Ramdas *v.* Jamnadas Javerdas	2 Bom., 42 : 2nd Ed., 40	2794, 6015
Motiram Rupa Chand. In re	11 Bom., 21	3860
Motoji bin Ratnaji *v.* Husen	6 Bom., A. C., 8	2719
Moula Buksh *v.* Jodoonath Sadoo Khan	21 W. R., 267	1618
——— *v.* Kuruck Lall	7 W. R., 245	689
Moung Hmoon Htaw *v.* Mah Hpwah	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 777 : L. R., 11 I. A., 109.	647
Moung Htoon Oung. In the matter of	21 W. R., 297	151
Moung Khine *v.* Burn	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 2	12
Moung Shoay Att *v.* Ko Byaw	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 330 : L. R. 3 I. A., 61.	1560
Muzzum Ali Khan *v.* Sheoruttun Singh	3 Agra, 277	1624
Mowla Buksh *v.* Ali Khan	9 W. R., 101	4441
——— *v.* Hodgkinson	24 W. R., 150	3094
——— *v.* Kishen Pertab Sahi	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 102	3094
Mowla Koomaree *v.* Mutty Singh	25 W. R., 129	4180
Mowlas Koonwar *v.* Shiva Sahai	1 Agra, Rev., 65	1744
Mowri Bewa *v.* Surendra Nath Roy	2 B. L. R., A. C., 184 : 10 W. R., 178.	3151, 5793
Mozaffur Ally *v.* Girish Chandra Das	1 B. L. R., A. C., 25 : 10 W. R., 71.	290, 3280
Mozhuruddin *v.* Gobind Chunder Nundi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 436	3032
Mozuffur Ali *v.* Kumeerunissa Bibee	W. R., 1864, 32	3648
Mozuffer Wahid *v.* Abdus Samad	6 C. L. R., 539	4465
Mrinamoyi Dabia *v.* Jogodishuri Dabia	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 450 : 5 C. L. R., 361.	82, 3855
Mrinmoyee Dabea *v.* Bhoobun Moyee Dabea	15 B. L. R., 1 : 23 W. R., 43	1837, 3162, 3347
Mrityunjaya Sirkar *v.* Gopal Chandra Sirkar	2 B. L. R., A. C., 131	3013
Muazzim Ali Khan *v.* Sheo Pershad	7 N. W., 259	2865
Mubarik Ali *v.* Kadir Buksh	7 N. W., 351	372
Muchoo *v.* Arzoon Sahoo	5 W. R., 235	1339, 2276, 5096
Muchul Kooer *v.* Laljee	2 N. W., 112	3147
Mudaree Lall *v.* Gilmore	3 Agra, 196	4595

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Muddomutty Guptee *v.* Bamasoondery Dossee	14 B. L. R., 21	88, 1945 2234, 2382 3209, 4134
Muddoosooden Dey *v.* Bamachurn Mookerjee	1 Hyde, 100	5873
Muddoosoodun Acharj *v.* Kishore Hazrah	W. R., F. B., 36	2886
Muddoosoodun Chowdry *v.* Koylas Chunder Shaw	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 12	355
Muddun Gopal Lall *v.* Gowrunbutty	15 B. L. R., 264: 23 W. R., 365.	2164
——— *v.* Gowurbutty	21 W. R., 190	2393, 4261
Muddun Gopal Thakoor *v.* Ram Buksh Pandey	6 W. R., 71, 74	2155
Muddun Lall *v.* Kolnul Bibee	8 W. R., 43	2240
Muddun Mohun *v.* Kartick Nath Pandey	14 W. R., 335	4310
Muddun Mohun Biswas. In re	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 29	3880
——— *v.* Puddo Monee Dossee	17 W. R., 139	493
Muddun Mohun Ghosal *v.* Nuboram Chuckerbutty	2 W. R., 69	2841
Muddun Mohun Mitter *v.* Peer Buksh	7 W. R., 485	841
Muddun Mohun Roy *v.* Gopee Mohun Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 8	555
Muddun Mohun Roy Chowdhry *v.* Chundee Sekhur Bhuttacharjee.	25 W. R., 115	2986
——— *v.* Ram Chunder Goopto.	2 W. R., Mis., 41	220
Muddun Mohun Shaha *v.* Anundmoyi	5 C. L. R., 49	2487
——— *v.* Bharut Chunder Roy	11 W. R., 249	478
Muddun Mohun Tewaree *v.* Nand Kishore Doss	5 W. R., 295	3156
Muddun Ram Doss *v.* Israil Ali Chowdhry	21 W. R., 291	6223
Muddun Thakoor *v.* Kantoo Lall	14 B. L. R., 187: 22 W. R., 56: L. R., 1 I. A., 321.	2164
——— *v.* Morrison	18 W. R., 253	1219, 2695
Mudhoo Manjee *v.* Nilmonee Singh Deo	18 W. R., 533	381
Mudhoo Mokee Dabee *v.* Gunga Govind Mundle	W. R., 1864, 299	243, 5064
Mudhoo Soodun Chatterjee *v.* Juddooputty Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., 115	3054, 4298
Mudhoosoodun Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the petition of.	23 W. R., Cr., 60	1272
Mudhoosoodun Gossamee *v.* Hills	10 W. R., 242	6095
Mudhoo Soodun Roy *v.* Sreeputty Bhuttacharjee	25 W. R., 488	4932
Mudhoosoodun Shaha *v.* Bejoy Gobind Chowdhry	21 W. R., Cr., 55	4496
——— *v.* Gopal Shaikh	22 W. R., 508	3806
Mudhun Mohun Doss *v.* Gokul Doss	10 Moore's I. A., 563: 5 W. R., P. C., 91.	4661
Mudhun Mohun Singh *v.* Kanyee Doss Chuckerbutty	12 B. L. R., 201	810
Mudoosoodun Sha *v.* Haridass Dass	22 W. R., Cr., 40	974
Mudun Bhukut *v.* Deoar Bharatee	8 W. R., 320	3506
Mudun Mohan Roy *v.* Gourmonee Goopto	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 31: W. R., F. B., 126.	5078
Mudun Mohun Dut *v.* Futtarunnissa	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 297	6039
Mudun Mohun Poddar *v.* Bhoggomanto Poddar	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 923	4199, 4895
Mudun Mohun Singh *v.* Ram Dass Chuckerbutty	6 C. L. R., 357	2700, 3819
Mudun Singh *v.* Nurput Singh	2 W. R., 290	1178, 3042
Mudvirapa Kulkarni *v.* Fakirapa Kenardi	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 427	3274
Mufasa *v.* Mahomed Akbar Gazee	2 W. R., 74	5459
Mufeezooddeen *alias* Bhaloo Mean *v.* Woolfutoonissa Bibee.	7 W. R., 194	1785
Mufti Jalaluddeen Mahomed *v.* Shoborullah	15 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 22 W. R., 422.	1242
Mugneeram Chowdhry *v.* Gonesh Dutt Singh	5 W. R., 134	3769
——— *v.* Gunesh Dutt Singh	W. R., 1864, 275	2609, 4481
Mugnee Roy *v.* Lall Khoonee Lal	6 W. R., Act X, 19	541

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mugno Moye Debia *v.* Hur Chunder Raoot	3 W. R., Act X, 146	336
Mugun Chunder Chuttoraj *v.* Surbessur Chuckerbutty	8 W. R., 479	3817
Muhamdee *v.* Nazirun	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 19 : 6 C. L. R., 210.	77, 163
Muhamdi Begam *v.* Abbas Ali Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 531	5687
Muhammad *v.* Husain	I. L. R., 3 All., 203	5951, 5954
——— *v.* Imamuddin	2 Bom., 53 : 2nd Ed., 50	3731
——— *v.* Kashi Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 199	5238
——— *v.* Tirumala Chariar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 205	3059
Muhammad Abdul Kadar *v.* E. I. Railway Co.	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 375	2813
Muhammad Abdul Majid *v.* Fatima Bibi	I. L. R., 8 All., 39 : L. R., 12 I. A., 159.	3734
Muhammad Abdul Rahman Khan *v.* Muhammad Qutab-ud-din.	I. L. R., 6 All., 446	4088
Muhammad Abid *v.* Muhammad Asghar	I. L. R., 8 All., 64	175, 378 3447
Muhammad Abu Jafar *v.* Wali Muhammad	I. L. R., 3 All., 81	2860, 2916 5084
Muhammad Ali *v.* Debi Din Rai	I. L. R., 4 All., 420	806
——— *v.* Kalian Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 659	4085
Muhammad Ali Bava Labbi *v.* Mohiadin Nainar	1 Mad., 107	3816
Muhammad Alladad Khan *v.* Muhammad Ismail Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 234	3636
Muhammadan Association of Meerut *v.* Bakshshi Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 284	4274
Muhammad Ashgar Ali *v.* Muhammed Zain-ul-abdin	I. L. R., 5 All., 573	3258
Muhammad Awais *v.* Har Sahai	I. L. R., 7 All., 716	3643
Muhammadbhai Dharamsi *v.* Bhanji Topan	3 Bom., O. C., 64	4530
Muhammad Bukhsh *v.* Muhammad Ali	I. L. R., 5 All., 294	3327
Muhammad Ewaz *v.* Birj Lal	I. L. R., 1 All., 465 : L. R., 4 I. A., 166.	4801
Muhammad Faiz Ahmad Khan *v.* Ghulam Ahmad Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 490 : L. R., 8 I. A., 25.	3673
Muhammad Habibullah Khan *v.* Safdar Husain Khan	I. L. R., 7 All., 25	3171, 3658
Muhammad Hamin Khan. In re	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 178	6044
Muhammad Hasan *v.* Munna Lal	I. L. R., 8 All., 434	1346
Muhammad Ibrahim *v.* Gulam Ahmed	1 Bom., 236	1357, 3701
Muhammad Ismail *v.* Chattar Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 69	336
Muhammad Ismail Khan *v.* Fidayat-un-nissa	I. L. R., 3 All., 723	3684, 3692
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 8 All., 516	1347, 4656
Muhammad Janula *v.* Venkatanayar	2 Mad., 79	3205, 3219
Muhammad Latif *v.* Gobind Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 382	4555
Muhammad Malik Khan *v.* Nirhai Bibi	I. L. R., 7 All., 761	6091
Muhammad Mohidin *v.* Ottayil Ummache	1 Mad., 390	1063, 6119
Muhammad Salim *v.* Nabian Bibi	I. L. R., 8 All., 282	4979
Muhammad Sileman *v.* Satu valad Harji	5 Bom., A. C., 90	5691
Muhammad Sulaiman *v.* Muhammad Yar	I. L. R., 6 All., 30	1493
Muhammad Umar *v.* Kamila Bibi	I. L. R., 4 All., 34	3502
Muhammad valad Abdul Mulna *v.* Ibrahim valad Hasan	3 Bom., A. C., 160	1770, 3975 4902
Muhammad Yakoub *v.* Muhammad Ismail	9 Bom., 278	4165
Muhammad Yussub *v.* Ahmed	1 Bom., Ap., 18	3699, 4216 5265
Muhammad Yusuf *v.* Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Company.	6 Bom., O. C., 98	5646
Muhammad Zaki *v.* Chatku	I. L. R., 7 All., 120	3383
Muhammed Esuf Sahib *v.* George	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 385	5716
Muhbool Buksh *v.* Suheedun	14 W. R., 378	4711
Muhesh Doobey *v.* Kishun Doobey	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 42	2444

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Muhesh Dutt Pandey v. Seetul Sonar	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 146	2928
Muhessur Buksh Singh. In the matter of the petition of	5 W. R., Mis., 33	4406
——— v. Meghburn Singh	9 B. L. R., 150 : 16 W. R., P. C., 5.	35, 4870
Muhi-ud-din Ahmad Khan v. Majlis Rai	I. L. R., 6 All., 231	3339
Muhkun v. Jusram	4 N. W., 165	5271
Muhroos v. Heeraram Misser	1 Hay, 277	1209, 3842
Muhtab v. Ram Dyal	3 Agra, 319	3147, 3174
Muhumdee Beg v. Hossein Ali	2 N. W., 26	4298
Mujavar Ibrahibibi v. Mujavar Hussain Sheriff	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 95	3670
Mujeedoonissa v. Dildar Hossein	14 W. R., 216	3963, 4260
Mujeedunnissa v. ———	20 W. R., 178	4189
Mujlis v. Moher	3 Agra, 223	1658
Mukandi Lal Dubei v. Crowdy	8 B. L. R., Ap., 95	5189
Mukant Lal v. Nasiruddin	I. L. R., 4 All., 416	5664
Mukbool Ali v. Wajid Hossein	25 W. R., 249	4463
Mukhdoomun v. Bhugwan Doss	24 W. R., 33	1933
Mukdoomunnissa v. Nokhy Singh	24 W. R., 296	327, 5767
Mukhi v. Fakir	I. L. R., 3 All., 382	195
Mukhoda Dossee v. Queen	18 W. R., Cr., 4	4490
Mukhoda Soondury Dasi v. Ram Churn Karmokar	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 871 : 11 C. L. R., 194.	4417, 6225
Mukhtar Bahadur v. Brojraj Singh Chowdhry	9 C. L. R., 143	5188
Mukhun Lall v. Sreekishen Singh	8 W. R., 92	4433
Mukhun Lall Panday v. Koondun Lall	15 B. L. R., 228 : 24 W. R., 75 : L. R., 2 I. A., 210.	3245, 4763 4797, 4800 4806
Mukim Mullick v. Ramjan Sirdar	9 C. L. R., 64	2023
Mukkanni v. Manan Bhatta	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 182	3212, 3215
Mukkum Lall v. Imtiaz-ood Dowlah	5 W. R., P. C., 18 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 142 : 10 Moore's I. A., 362.	3200, 3219
Mukoond Narain Deo v. Joy Coomaree Debia	1 W. R., 16	2852
Mukootnath v. Jugwunt Lall	3 Agra, 389	3160
Mukrumunnissa v. Abdool Jubbar	17 W. R., 171	91
Muksood Ali v. Gowhur Ali	W. R., 1864, 364	3196
Mukta Bibee. In re	17 W. R., Cr., 11	253
Muktakasi Debi v. Ubabati	8 B. L. R., 396, note : 14 W. R., 31.	2446
Muktakeshi Debee Chowdhrain v. Sajed Sheik	2 B. L. R., Ap., 5	1587
Mukun Mullick v. Ramjan Sirdar	9 C. L. R., 64	1680
Mukurbhanoo Deo v. Kostoora Koonwaree	5 W. R., 215	2039
Mukurdhooj Singh v. Ram Churn	1 N. W., 14 : Ed. 1873, 12	2974
Mula Raj v. Debi Dihal	I. L. R., 7 All., 371	3463
Mulbai. In the goods of	2 Bom., 292 : 2nd Ed., 276	3695
Mulchand v. Balgobind	I. L. R., 1 All., 610	3892
——— v. Bhikari Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 624	4087, 4894
——— v. Motichand Hargovandas	9 Bom., 37	668
——— v. Shib Charan Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 676	1232
Mulchand Badhatsha v. Bai Mancha	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 491	2511
Mulchand Chutumal v. Sundarji Naranji	7 Bom., O. C., 39	4584
Mulchand Gulabchand v. Girdhar Madhav	8 Bom., A. C., 6	342, 3206 3301
Mulchand Joharimal v. Suganchand Shivdas	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 23	1035, 2576 2819
Mulchand Kuber v. Lallu Trikam	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 404	3940
Mulchand Ranchoddas v. Chhagan Naran	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 74	1023

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mulji Bechar *v.* Anupram Bechar	7 Bom., A. C., 136	139, 4773
——— *v.* Jetha Jeshankar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 239	5819
Mulkah Do Alum Nawab Tajdar Bohoo *v.* Jehan Kudr	2 W. R., P. C., 55 : 10 Moore's I. A., 252.	3656
Mulka Jehan Sahiba *v.* Deputy Commissioner of Lucknow.	L. R., 6 I. A., 63	1027
——— *v.* Mahomed Ushkurree Khan	L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 192 : 26 W. R., 26.	3692, 3701
Mulka Mukhdra, Begum of ex-King of Oudh *v.* Tekaeth Roy.	14 W. R., P. C., 24	1729
Mulla Jaffarji Tyeb Ali *v.* Yacali Kadar Bi	7 Mad., 128	699
Mulleeka *v.* Jumeela	5 W. R., 23	3648
——— *v.* ———	11 B. L. R., 375 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 135.	3331, 3632, 3648, 3654
Mullick Abdool Guffoor *v.* Muleka	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1112	3676
Mullick Ahmed Zumma *alias* Tetur *v.* Mahomed Syed	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 194 : 6 C. L. R., 573.	3483
Mullick Amanut Ali *v.* Ukloq Pasee	25 W. R., 140	4901, 4924
Mullick Enaet Ali *v.* Wahed Ali	13 W. R., 315	3523
Mullick Fukeerun. In the matter of	11 W. R., Cr., 6	4726
Mullick Karim Baksh *v.* Harribar Mandar	5 B. L. R., 174 : 13 W. R., 440.	6071
Mullick Mahomed Yakoob *v.* Chowdhry Shaikh Zuhoorul Huq.	25 W. R., 309	3481
Mullins *v.* Beddy	6 N. W., 150	1034, 3203
Mulluk Ali Shah *v.* Meher Banoo	8 W. R., 448	910
Mulo. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 All., 299	5488
Mulraz Lachmia *v.* Chalakany Vencata Rama Jaganadha Row.	2 Moore's I. A., 54	2531
Muluk Fuqueer Buksh *v.* Laller Manohur Doss	2 N. W., 29	4866
Mumford *v.* Peal	I. L. R., 2 All., 857	3311
Mumtaz Begum *v.* Fateh Husain	I. L. R., 6 All., 391	4016
Mumtaz Bibee *v.* Grish Chunder Chowdhry	22 W. R., 376	570
Mumtaz Hossein *v.* Brij Mohun Thakoor	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 888	229, 2649
Munbasee Kooer *v.* Nowrungee Lall	15 W. R., 135	673
Munbasi Kooer *v.* Nowruttun Kooer	8 C. L. R., 428	2164, 3135, 3917
Munchershaw Bezonji *v.* New Dhurumsey Spinning and Weaving Co.	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 576	1726, 3794, 4628, 6276
Munda Chetti *v.* Timmaju Hensu	1 Mad., 380	2282, 2458
Mundakinee Debee *v.* Collector of Beerbhoom	1 W. R., Mis., 27	2840
Mundy Chinna Comarappa Setti *v.* Ramasamy Setti	4 Mad., 409	3173
Munee Dutt Singh *v.* Campbell	11 W. R., 278; affirmed on review, 12 W. R., 149.	2981, 5761
Muneekurnicka Chowdhrain *v.* Anund Moyee Chowdhrain.	8 W. R., 6	1608
Muneeram Acharjee *v.* Turungo	7 W. R., 173	2699, 3334
Muneerooddeen Mordha *v.* Kennie	4 W. R., Act X, 45	1635
Muneerooddeen Mojoomdar *v.* Parbutty Churn Ghose	15 W. R., 121	404
Muneeroodeen *v.* Kadir Buksh	24 W. R., 410	5123
Muneeruddeen *v.* Mahomed Ali	6 W. R., 67	3635
Muneerun *v.* Museehun	13 W. R., 139	1450, 1900
Mungamuru Ananta Lakshminarasu Pantalu *v.* Yarlagedda Aukinid.	7 Mad., 22	3198
Mungazee Chaprassee *v.* Shibo Soondaree	21 W. R., 369	5331, 5339
Munger Bhobyan. In re	10 W. R., Cr., 56	1003
Munghroo Manjhee *v.* Gyaram Nundee	14 W. R., 253	4871

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Munghun v. Mahomed Busheerul Hossein	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 263 : 10 C. L. R., 1.	3565
Mungle Pershad v. Gudooree Singh	2 W. R., Mis., 17	1867
Munglo v. Durganarain Nag	25 W. R., Cr., 74.	4491, 4497, 5150
——— v. Jumna Dass	2 N. W., 454	3742
Mungol Prashad Dichit v. Shama Kanto Lahory Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 708	3216, 3470, 3490
Mungroo Sahoo v. Joydar Singh	2 Agra, 231	3256
Mungul Pershad Dichit v. Grija Kant Lahiri	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 51 : L. R., 8 I. A., 123 : 11 C. L. R., 113.	449, 3130, 3133, 3470, 3490, 4982
Munia v. Balak Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 513	2237
—— v. Puran	I. L. R., 5 All., 310	2494
Muniappah Naidu v. Iyasamy Mudely	5 Mad., 313	4009
Muni Chundra v. Hariram Ahom	8 C. L. R., 254	99
Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta. In the matter of the petition of.	6 B. L. R., Ap., 28 : 14 W. R., Cr., 67.	5651
Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta v. Amanat Ali.	7 B. L. R., 516	4109
Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta v. Mahomed Ali.	7 B. L. R., 499 : 16 W. R., Cr., 6.	4109, 4112
Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta v. Zamir Shaikh.	16 W. R., Cr., 4	505, 5652
Municipal Committee of Dacca. In the matter of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 38	507
Municipal Commissioners of Madras v. Branson	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 201	2620, 3575
Municipal Commissioners of Mangalore v. Davies	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 65	3600
Municipal Commissioners of Mannargudi v. Nallapa	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 327	3600
Municipal Commissioners of Negapatam v. Sadaya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 74	3600
Municipal Committee of Moradabad v. Chatri Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 269	334, 4077
Municipality of Poona v. Mohanlal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 51	617
Munirakhun Singh v. Bhodoy Singh	12 W. R., 133	699
Muni Reddi v. Venkata Reddi	3 Mad., 241	3916
Munir-ud-din Khan v. Abdul Rahim Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 674	222
Munisami v. Dakshanamurthi	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 371	3545
Muniyan v. Periya Kulandai Ammal	1 Mad., 184	1900
Mun Mohinee Dabee v. Soodamonee Dabee	3 W. R., 31	2359
Mun Mohinee Dossee v. Ichamoye Dossee	13 W. R., 60	2602
——— v. ———	15 W. R., 352	1466
——— v. Joykissen Mookerjee	W. R., F. B., 174	4208
Mun Mohun Dey v. Sreeram Roy	14 W. R., 285	4151
Munmohun Ghose v. Husrut Sirdar	2 W. R., Act X, 39	1610
Mun Mohun Ghossal v. Pureshnath Roy	22 W. R., 174	4672
Munmohun Singh v. Amritnath Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 167	5093
——— v. Watson & Co.	W. R., F. B., 22 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 78	1605
——— v. ———	2 Hay, 398	5328
Munna Jhunna Koonwar v. Laljee Roy	1 W. R., 121	80, 3192, 3308, 4962
Munna Singh v. Gajadhar Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 577	5488
Munnee Dutt Sing v. Campbell	12 W. R., 149 ; affirming on review, 11 W. R., 278.	2981, 5796
Munni Ram Awasty v. Sheo Churn Awasty	7 W. R., P. C., 29 : 4 Moore's I. A., 114.	275, 988
Munnoo Bebee v. Jehandar Khan	1 Agra, 250	3682
——— v. Jhandar Khan	3 Agra, 389	3286
Munnoo Lal v. Reet Bhoobun Singh	6 W. R., 283	2019, 4013

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Munnoo Lall v. Choonee Shahoo	7 W. R., 116	5480
——— v. Lalla Choonee Lall	21 W. R., 21 : L. R., 1 I. A., 144.	1706
Munnoo Lall v. Reetbhungun Singh	9 W. R., 544	441
Munnoo Singh. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., 306	5942
——— v. Amrut Lall	5 W. R., 234	6242
Munohur Doss v. Deen Dyal	3 N. W., 179	2978, 4583
Munohur Paul v. Wise	15 W. R., 246	5935
Munoo Dossee v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee	15 W. R., 245	747
Munorunjun Singh v. Leelanund Singh	13 B. L. R., 124 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 181.	2037
Mun Peary v. Shiva Deen	1 Agra, 91	3977
Munraj Muthon v. Hudson	12 W. R., 309	522
Munrakhun Lall v. Raheem Buksh	4 N. W., 72	4915
Munrakhun Roy v. Juggut Doss	10 W. R., 124	326
Munro v. Baluck Singh	1 N. W., 153 : Ed. 1873, 235	5346
Munrunjun Singh v. Leela Nund Singh	11 W. R., 5	401
——— v. Lelanund Singh	3 W. R., 84	2033, 3068
Munsaram Doss Kurmokar v. Gridharee Ram Doss	10 W. R., 278	4209
Munshad Bibee v. Luchmeeput Singh	9 W. R., 129	5127
Munshi Sheikh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 616	1014
Munsoor Ali v. Azmut Ali	9 W. R., 282	4822
——— v. Bunoo Singh	7 W. R., 282	1617, 2930
——— v. Harvey	11 W. R., 291	1
Munsoor Ali Khan v. Ojoodhyaram Khan	8 W. R., 399	482, 3929, 3962, 4685, 6138
Munsoor Bibee v. Ali Meah	17 W. R., 358	2773
Munsoorooddeen v. Mahomed Sufdar	23 W. R., 259	2447
Munsuk Mosundas v. Shivram Devising	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 532	5703
Munsurunnissa Bibi v. Mohesh Chunder Bagchi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 683	5315
Mupanagari Narayanan Mayar v. Virupatchan Nambudripad.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 287	3764
Muppidi Papaya v. Ramana	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 85	2257
Murar Daya v. Nagria Ganeshia	6 Bom., A. C., 17	2838
Murari v. Suba	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 725	2839
Murari Singh v. Pryag Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 362	5438
Murarji Gokuldas v. Parvatibai	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 177	2335
Murch. In the goods of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 725	1239
Murdan Ali v. Tufuzzul Hossein	16 W. R., 78	1135, 1453, 5144
Murder Kooer v. Maheswar Buksh Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 13 : Marsh., 527 : W. R., F. B., 116.	210
Muringa Mangalath Gopalan Nayar v. Valia Tamburatti.	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 87	3760
Murlidhar v. Ishri Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 576	1186
——— v. Parsotam Dass	I. L. R., 2 All., 91	188, 5619
——— v. Supdu	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 149	3861
Murli Rai v. Ledri	I. L. R., 7 All., 851	4081, 5205
Murray. In re	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 58	2639
Murriam Bibee v. Mahomed Jamal	12 W. R., 380	2885
Murugayi v. Viramakali	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 226	2524
Musa Asmal. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 164	5606
Musabhi v. Shaunuddin Hismuddin	4 Bom., A. C., 35	230
Musadee Mahomed Cazum Sherazee v. Ally Mahomed Shoostry.	6 Moore's I. A., 27	4650, 6132

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Columns of Digest.
Musa Miya Saheb v. Gulam Hussein	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 100	5744
Muse Ali Adam. In re	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 653	964
Musharraf Begum v. Ghalib Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 189	787
Musmud Ali Chowdhry *alias* Moochee Mean. In the matter of the petition of.	7 W. R., Cr., 38	5605
Mussa v. Atharaman	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 512	3254, 5480
Mussahur Daoudh. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 92	5553
Musserooddeen v. Khoda Bux	10 W. R., 386	5686
Mussitee Khanum v. Hookoom Bibee	15 W. R., 88	6235
Mussoorie Bank v. Raynor	I. L. R., 4 All., 500 : L. R., 9 I. A., 70.	4660, 6218
Mustan Saib v Brooks	7 Mad., 347	424
Musutti v. Shekharan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 41	822
Musyatulla v. Noorzahan	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 808	569, 3026
Mutha v. Sami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 200	3917
Muthaya v. Muthaya	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 334	5647
Muthaya Chetti v. Allan	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 209	2807
Muthayammal v. Tirumala Gaundan	4 Mad., 22	227
Muthialu Chetti v. Bapun Saib	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 140	4102
Muthoora Doss v. Kanoo Beharee Singh	21 W. R., 287	2078, 2084, 2089
——— v. Shumbhoo Dutt	20 W. R., 53	3159
Muthoora Koonwaree v. Bootun Singh	13 W. R., 31	2390
Muthoora Mohun Roy Chowdhry v. Pearee Mohun Shaha.	23 W. R., 344	4347 5018
Muthoora Nath Chuckerbutty v. Heera Lall Doss	17 W. R., Cr., 55	4920, 6182
Muthoora Nath Doss v. Raickomul Dossee	24 W. R., 278	485
Muthoora Nath Koond v. Sameeruddee Mollah	1 W. R., 42	537
Muthooranath Mojoomdar v. Mohobuttoonnissa Bibee	20 W. R., 206	1215
Muthoora Nath Mozoomdar v. Tarinee Churn Singh	8 W. R., 164	45
Muthoora Pershad Singh v. Shumboo Geer	22 W. R., 211	3468
Muthooroollah v. Toraboddeen	15 W. R., 305	475, 1504
Muthora Nath Pal v. Chundermoney Dabia	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 817	3945
Muthra v. Jawahir	I. L. R., 1 All., 527	1328, 4360
Muthra Chowdry v. Sheoruttun Mull	6 N. W., 126	821
Muthra Doss v. Magh Singh	2 N. W., 207	142, 5755
Muthra Pershad v. Bundee Roy	5 N. W., 20	1202, 4907
Muthumadeva Naik v. Sevattamuthumadeva Naik	7 Mad., 160	4991
Muthur Ali v. Sheo Sahoy Singh	W. R., 1864, 281	5799
Muthusami Pillai v. Muthu Chidambara Chetti	7 Mad., 356	6090
Mutirakal Kovilagatha Rama Varma Raja v. Queen	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 351	5599
Mutloob Ali v. Kiria	1 Agra, 276	6095
Muttalagiri v. Muttayyar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 357	5420
Muttammal v. Bank of Madras	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 115	665
——— v. Chinnana Gounden	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 220	4952, 6085, 6090
——— v. Kamakshy Ammal	2 Mad., 337	2435
——— v. Venga Laksmiammal	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 32	2312
Muttasvami Gaundan v. Subbiramanyya Gaundan	10 Mad., 309	2378
Muttaya v. Sivaraman	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 229	2614
Muttayan Chetti v. Sangili Vira Pandia Chinna Tambiar (Zemindar of Sivagiri).	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 370	2158, 2370, 2497, 5506
——— v. Sangili Vira Pandia Chinnatambiar (Zemindar of Sivagiri).	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 1 : L. R., 9 I. A., 128 : 12 C. L. R., 169.	2159
Muttealaummal v. Chellayammal	5 Mad., 98	285

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mutteeram Kowar *v.* Gopaul Sahoo	11 B. L. R., 416 : 20 W. R., 187.	2198
Muttirangot Manakal Bhavathradan Bhatta Thiripad *v.* Eraugot Trikovil Pisbareth Rama Pisharoti.	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 99	3236
Muttiya Pillai *v.* Western	1 Mad., 27	5879
Mutto *a.* Ilahi Begam	I. L. R., 6 All., 65	5118
Muttra Pershad *v.* Rampershad	6 N. W., 39	862
Muttu *v.* Kudalalaga	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 97	4305
Muttu Chetti *v.* Muttan Chetti	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 296	4993, 5837
Muttu Chidambara *v.* Karuppa	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 382	4305
Muttukaruppa Kaundan *v.* Rama Pillai	3 Mad., 158	134, 5624
Muttukaruppan Chetti *v.* Mutturamalinga Chetti	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 47	5443
Muttukumarappa Reddi *v.* Arumuga Pillai	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 145	5034
Muttumira *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 590	4113
Muttu Ramalinga Setupati *v.* Periananyagum Pillai	L. R., 1 I. A., 209	1758, 2219 2242
Muttusamy Jagavira Yettapa Naikar *v.* Venkatasubha Yettia.	2 Mad., 293	2417
Muttusamy Mudaly *v.* Sadagopa Gramany	4 Mad., 398	3588
Muttu Vaduganadha Tevar *v.* Dorasingha Tevar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 290 : L. R., 8 I. A., 99.	2496, 5506
Muttuvelu Pillai *v.* Vythilinga Pillai	5 Mad., 185	810
Muttuvelu Pillay *v.* Samu Pillay	5 Mad., Ap., 2	4528
Muttu Viran Chetti *v.* Kattama Natchiyar	4 Mad., 463	3579
Muttu Vizia Ragunada Rani Kolundapuri Nachiar *alias* Kattama Nachiar *v.* Dorasinga Tevar	6 Mad., 310	2286, 2291 2303
Muttyjan *v.* Ahmed Ally	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 370 : 10 C. L. R., 346.	3641
Mutty Khan *v.* Mungloo	5 W. R., Cr., 50	4384
Mutty Lall *v.* Chogemull	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 344	2574
Mutty Lall Chuttopadhya. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 74	2590, 5546
Mutty Lall Ghose. In the matter of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 308	1315
Mutty Loll Seal *v.* Annundo Chunder Sandle	5 Moore's I. A., 72	1823
——— *v.* Dent	5 Moore's I. A., 328	591
——— *v.* O'Dowda	4 Moore's I. A., 382	6047
Muttylall Sen Gwyal *v.* Deshkar Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 774 : 9 W. R., 1.	2059
Mutty Ram Sahoo *v.* Mohi Lall Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 291 : 7 C. L. R., 433.	2846
Mutty Soonur *v.* Gundur Soonur	20 W. R., 129	3037
Mutu *v.* Veerapah Chetty	8 B. L. R., Ap., 91 : 17 W. R., 243.	4743
Mutukdharee Lall *v.* Fuzul Hossein	6 W. R., Mis., 131	4799, 4848
Mutura Kant Shaha *v.* Meajan Mundul	5 C. L. R., 192	3017
Mutusawamy Jagavera Yettappa Naiken *v.* Vencatasawara Yettappa.	2 B. L. R., P. C., 15 : 11 W. R., P. C., 6 : 12 Moore's I. A., 203.	2417
Mutusawmy Jagavera Yettapa Naiker *v.* Venkatasawara Yettia.	10 Moore's I. A., 313 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 205.	4633
Muzaffar Hussain *v.* Ali Hussain	I. L. R., 5 All., 297	5415
Muzboot Singh *v.* Chunder Mashee Kooer	16 W. R., 44	2746
Muzhur Ali *v.* Basoo	8 W. R., 47	4428
——— *v.* Ganesh Kooer	3 N. W., 46	5220
——— *v.* Gundowree Sahoo	25 W. R., Cr., 72	4104, 4109 4111
Muzhur Ali *alias* Sat Cowree Meah *v.* Nawab Nazim of Bengal.	7 W. R., 308	3820

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Muzhur Hossain *v.* Denobundoo Sen	Bourke, O. C., 119	5533
——— *v.* Dinobundo Sen	Bourke, C. O., 8 : Cor., 94	1405, 1769, 4036, 4399, 6052
Muzhur Hossein *v.* Dinobundoo Sein	Bourke, A. O. C., 40	5536
——— *v.* Hur Pershad Roy	15 W. R., 353	3961
Muzhurool Huq *v.* Puhraj Ditarey Chuppattee	13 W. R., 235	3661
Myenooddeen *v.* Rammonee Chowdhrain	7 W. R., 182	5635
Mymonissa *v.* Mohabuth Ally	2 Hay, 404	3645
Myna Boyee *v.* Oottoram	2 W. R., P. C., 4 : 8 Moore's I. A., 400.	2322, 4638, 4694
N		
Nabadwip Chandra Shaw. In the matter of the petition of.	1 B. L. R., O. C., 47	4801
Nabadwip Chandra Sirkar *v.* Kali Nath Pal	3 B. L. R., Ap., 130	83, 753, 3850
Nabakrishna Mookerjee *v.* Collector of Hooghly	7 B. L. R., A. C., 276	1359
——— *v.* Kailas Chandra Buttacharjee.	8 B. L. R., 566 : 20 W. R., 459 : 14 Moore's I. A., 152.	4202, 5106
Naba Kumar Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	5 B. L. R., Ap., 45	3613
Nabakumar Haldar *v.* Bhabasundari Debi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 375	2206
Nabi Bax *v.* Didar Bax Shah	3 B. L. R., A. C., 10 : 11 W. R., 326.	6185
Nabin Chandra Mazumdar *v.* Mukta Sundari Debi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 38 : 15 W. R. 309.	5051
Nabin Chandra Mitter *v.* Mahes Chandra Mitter	3 B. L. R., Ap., 111 : 12 W. R., 69.	1168, 6106
Nabira Rai *v.* Achampat Rai	1 L. R., 3 All., 422	4768
Nabjan Bibi *v.* Chand Bibi	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 238 : 13 C. L. R., 401 : L. R., 10 I. A., 133.	2064
Nabu Kristo Mookerjee *v.* Parbutty Churn Bhuttacharjee.	13 W. R., 23	1869
Nachiyappa Mudali *v.* Ayyasami Ayyar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 189	3148
Nader Ali *v.* Majoo	21 W. R., 377	382
Nadiar Chand Roy *v.* Baikant Nath Misser	4 B. L. R., A. C., 33, note	5740
Nadir Beg *v.* Muddurram	2 W. R., Act X, 2	5195
Nadir Hossein *v.* Bissen Chand Bassarat	3 C. L. R., 437	742, 1921, 2031
——— *v.* Pearoo Thovildarinee	14 B. L. R., 425, note : 19 W. R., 255.	448, 3504, 3919
Nadirjan Bibee *v.* Kureemoonissa Chowdhrain	12 W. R., 121	476
Nadirjumma Chowdhry *v.* Ram Chunder Surma	W. R., 1864, 362	2609
Nadurooniss Bibee *v.* Aghur Ali Chowdhry	7 W. R., 103	1695
Naduvath Mannakel Subramanyan Nambudripad	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 187	1540
Nafar Chand Pal Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of.	6 B. L. R., Ap., 126	5980
Nafer Chunder Mundul *v.* Baikanto Nath Roy	4 C. L. R., 156	797
Naffar Chand Pal Chowdhry *v.* Sandes	8 B. L. R., Ap., 35, note : 10 W. R., 432.	5130
Nagabhushanam *v.* Seshamma Garu	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 180	2117
Nagalinga Mudali *v.* Subbiramanya Mudali	1 Mad., 77	2458
Nagalutchmee Ummal *v.* Gopoo Nadaraja Chetty	6 Moore's I. A., 309	284, 2530
Nagappa bin Hulgappa. In the matter of the petition of.	5 Bom., A. C., 215	4524

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nagappa Udapa *v.* Subba Sastry	2 Mad., 367	2116
Nagar *v.* Shurintoollah	20 W. R., 77	880
Nagardas *v.* Conservator of Forests, Bombay	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 264 : L. R., 7 I. A., 55.	2935
Nagar Mal *v.* Macpherson	I. L. R., 3 All., 766	4427
Nagasawmy Naik *v.* Rungasamy Naik	8 Mad., 46	360, 361 364
Nagayasami Kemaya Naik (Zemindar of Saptur) *v.* Pandya Tevar.	7 Mad., 53	2914
Nagendra Lal Chatterjee. In the matter of the petition of.	13 B. L. R., 307 : 21 W. R., Cr., 58.	4332
Nagesh Bhat *v.* Balvantrav	9 Bom., 151	4826
Naginbhai *v.* Abdulla	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 717	479
Nagindas. In the goods of	3 Bom., O. C., 135	4844
Nagindas Devchand *v.* Natha Pitamber	10 Bom., 297	812, 5437
Nagindas Jeychand *v.* Halalkhore Nathwa Gheesla	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 470	5848
Nagireddi *v.* Ramanna	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 592	5704
Nago Kanaturia *v.* Babaji Katari	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 610	4765
Nago Mahadev *v.* Narayan Ramchandra	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 465	4068, 5259
Nagova kom Jakan Gauda	3 Bom., A. C., 108	2858
Nag Thakur *v.* Madnaji Sadashiv	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 239	3861
Nagu *v.* Yeknath	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 400	6274
Nagubai *v.* Motigir Guru	1 Bom., 5	6143
Nagurchand *v.* Doorga Doss Chowdhry	11 W. R., 137	4249, 6007
Nagur Singh *v.* Mushunund Khan Sirdar	11 W. R., 309	1731
Nahal Chand *v.* Bai Shiva	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 470	2212
Nahalchand Harakchand *v.* Hemchand	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 31	2298, 2312
Nahana *v.* Dya Ram	5 N. W., 170	6054
Nahanibai *v.* Nathu Bhau	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 414	3302
Naharmul Marwari *v.* Sadut Ali	8 C. L. R., 468	5441
Nahor Habi Tea Company. In re	3 B. L. R., Ap., 11	952
Naigar Timapa *v.* Bhaskar Parmaya	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 444	411, 5414
Naik Ram Singh *v.* Murli Dhar	I. L. R., 4 All., 371	4081
Najmudda Jowardar *v.* Moncrieff	3 B. L. R., A. C., 283	322, 1590 2794, 4898
Nainsukh Rai *v.* Umadai	I. L. R., 7 All., 273	361, 371
Naipal Singh *v.* Ram Narain	2 Agra, 93	5002, 5190
Nait Ram *v.* Shib Dat	I. L. R., 5 All., 238	1378
Najhan *v.* Mahomed Taki Khan	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 872 : 12 C. L. R., 571.	806
Najibulla Mulla *v.* Nusir Mistri	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 196 : 8 C. L. R., 454.	3893
Najibunnissa. In the matter of the petition of	4 B. L. R., A. C., 55	3633
Naki Hazi. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 72	4741
Nakur Chunder Saha *v.* Judoo Nath Chuckerbutty	24 W. R., 389	879
Nalit Mohan Das *v.* Ganga Dhye	7 B. L. R., 333	4410
Nallana Gaundan *v.* Palani Gaundan	2 Mad., 420	3976
Nallappa Goundan *v.* Ibram Sahib	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 73	4835
Nallapa Redd *v.* Bilammal	2 Mad., 182	2082
Nallatambi Mudaliar *v.* Ponnusami Pillai	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 400	1999
Nallatambi Pattar *v.* Chinnadeyvanayagam Pillai	1 Mad., 109	3046, 3584
Nallathambi Battar *v.* Nellakumara Pillai	7 Mad., 306	1573, 1739
Namasevayam Pillay *v.* Annammi Ummal	4 Mad., 339	2437
Namasivaya Chetti *v.* Sivagami	1 Mad., 374	2509
Namasivaya Gaundan *v.* Kylasa Gaundan	7 Mad., 200	1100
Nambiatan Nambudiri *v.* Nambiatan Nambudiri	2 Mad., 110	3757

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Namboory Seetapaty *v.* Kanoo Colanoo Pullia	7 W. R., P. C., 7 : 3 Moore's I A., 359.	2828
Nana Bayaji *v.* Pandurang Vasudev	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 97	5959
Nanabhai Narotomdas *v.* Ramshet Govindshet	6 Bom., A. C., 156	5780
Nanabhai Ruhtamji *v.* Pestanji Jamsetji	6 Bom., A. C., 31	3047
Nanabhai Sorabji Mestri. In the goods of	11 Bom., 87	6197
Nanabhai Vallabhdas *v.* Nathabhai Haribhai	7 Bom., A. C., 46	2455
——— *v.* ———	9 Bom., 89	5129
Nana bin Lakshman *v.* Anant Babaji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 353	4766
Nanack Chand *v.* Teluckdye Koer	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 265 . 4 C. L. R., 358.	4482
Nanah *alias* Narain Rao *v.* Jumna Baee	2 Agra, 192	5024
Nanakchand *v.* Ram Narayan	I. L. R., 2 All., 181	358
Nanakram *v.* Mehin Lal	I. L. R., 1 All, 487	1091, 4612
Nana Narain Rao *v.* Hurree Punt Bhao	6 Moore's I. A., 464	4637
——— *v.* Hurree Punth Bhao	Marsh., 436 : 9 Moore's I. A., 96.	2528, 4661 6244
——— *v.* Rama Nund	2 Agra, 171	1678; 3361
Nanchand Hansraj *v.* Bapusaheb Rustambhai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 131	2499, 2716
Nancy *alias* Zuhoorun *v.* Burgess	1 W. R., 272	3693
Nanda Kumar Banerjee *v.* Ishan Chandra Banerjee	1 B. L. R., A. C., 91 : 10 W. R., 130.	5662
Nanda Kumar Shaha *v.* Gaur Sankar	5 B. L. R., Ap., 4 : 13 W. R., 305.	1367, 3277
Nanda Lal Rai *v.* Bonomali Lahiri	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 544	2776, 5787
Nandan Misser *v.* Chatter Bati	13 B. L. R., Ap., 33	5837
Nanda Rai *v.* Raghunandan Singh	I. L. R, 7 All., 282	3538
Nandipat Mahata *v.* Urquhart	4 B. L. R., A. C., 181 : 13 W R., 209.	860, 3096 4445, 5461
Nandkishor Balgovan *v.* Bhagubai Pranvalubhdas	I. L. R, 8 Bom., 95	2616, 4569
Nand Kishor Das *v.* Ram Kalp Roy	6 B. L. R., Ap., 49 : 15 W. R., 8.	5749
Nand Kumar *v.* Radha Kuari	I. L. R., 1 All., 282	5046
Nand Lal *v.* Naitram	7 N. W., 105	3300
Nand Ram *v.* Fakir Chand	I. L. R., 7 All., 523	351, 368 376
——— *v.* Muhammad Bakhsh	I. L. R., 2 All., 616	194
——— *v.* Ramprasad	I. L. R, 2 All., 641	3302, 5840
Nandram *v.* Sitaram	I. L. R., 8 All, 545	3477
——— *v.* Sitla Prasad	I. L. R., 5 All, 484	2577
Nandram Motiram *v.* Kacha Bhan	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 526	4521
Nandram Sardarmal *v.* Bhavani Haibati	6 Bom., A. C., 241	4614
Nandram Sundarji Naik *v.* Balaji Vithal	5 Bom., A. C., 153	5783, 6088
Nandvallabh *v.* Allibhai Isyagani	6 Bom., A C., 254	3141
Nanerbi Kunwar *v.* Kasturi Kunwar	4 B. L. R., A. C., 581	3524
Nangali *v.* Raman	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 226	4816, 6116
Nanhak Joti *v.* Jaimangal Chaubey	I. L. R., 3 All., 294	2161
Nanha Malla *v.* Empress	13 C. L. R., 326	345
Nanhoon Singh *v.* Tofanee Singh	12 B. L. R., 113 : 20 W. R, 33	6075
Nanhu Koer *v.* Somirun Thakur	8 C. L R., 287	4667
Nani Bibee *v.* Hafizullah	I L. R., 10 Calc., 1073	4839
Nanjundepa *v.* Hemapa	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 16	3948
Nau Karay Phaw *v.* Ko Htaw Ah	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 124 : L. R, 13 I. A., 48.	5617
Nankoo Koeree *v.* Nund Coomar Paurey	22 W. R., 326	583
Nanku *v.* Board of Revenue	I. L. R., 1 All., 444	4040, 5667

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nanku Roy *v.* Mahabir Prasad	8 B. L. R., Ap., 35 : 11 W. R., 405.	2883
Nannee Bibee *v.* Surwar Hossein	7 W. R., 522	91
Nanomi Babuasin *v.* Modhun Mohun	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 21 : L. R., 13 I. A., 1.	2185
Nanoo Roy *v.* Jhoomuck Lall Doss	12 B. L. R., 292, note : 18 W. R., 376.	322, 1181
Nanuk Pershad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 40 : 6 C. L. R., 388.	182
——— *v.* Lalla Nitya Lall	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 40 : 6 C. L. R., 388.	182
Naoroji Beramji *v.* Rogers	4 Bom., O. C., 1	1578, 2962 4239, 5800 6042
Naraganti Achammagaru *v.* Venkatachalapati Nayanivaru	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 250	2327
Naragunty Luchmedavamah *v.* Vengama Naidoo	1 W. R., P. C., 30 : 9 Moore's I. A., 66.	1785, 3124 4649
Narain Acharjee *v.* Gregory	8 W. R., 304	206
Narain Acharjee Chowdhry *v.* Mohamoya Dabee Chowdhrain.	10 W. R., 240	3506
Naraina Putter *v.* Aya Putter	7 Mad., 372	6081
Narainasami Pillai. In the matter of the petition of	4 Mad., 91	4796, 4802
Narain Babu *v.* Gouri Pershad Bias	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 21	3305
Narain Chunder *v.* Tayler	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 103 : 3 C. L. R., 151.	64, 5215
Narain Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Dataram Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 597 : 10 C. L. R., 241.	4834, 6037 6143
Narain Chunder Dhur *v.* Cohen	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 565	1763
Narain Coomary *v.* Ramkrishna Dass	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 364 : 6 C. L. R., 286.	4795
Narain Das. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 All., 610	1269
——— *v.* Chait Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 179	2728
——— *v.* Lachman Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 135	1486
——— *v.* Lujja Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 693	14
Narain Dass *v.* Newton	6 N. W., 43	2808
——— *v.* Nownit Lal	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 809 : 4 C. L. R., 67.	2396
Narain Dat *v.* Bhairo Bakhshpal	I. L. R., 3 All., 189	5043
Narain Deen Tewaree *v.* Ram Dass	8 W. R., 425	334, 4449
Narain Dhara *v.* Rakhal Gain	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 1 : 23 W. R., 334.	2320, 2439
Narain Doss *v.* Estate of the ex-King of Delhi	10 W. R., P. C., 55	2712, 3112
——— *v.* Maharajah of Burdwan	10 W. R., 174	5783
——— *v.* Mahatab Chund Bahadoor	7 W. R., 137	2419
——— *v.* Mahtab Chunder	10 W. R., 174	5783
——— *v.* Niddha Lall	3 Agra, 19	3434
——— *v.* Ram Coomar Mytee	15 W. R., 513	5669
Narain Doss Bepary *v.* Soobul Bepary	1 W. R., 113	3014
Narainee Dabee *v.* Chundee Churn Chowdhry	11 W. R., 512	5929
Narainee Dossee *v.* Nurrohurry Mohonto	Marsh., 70	6108
Narainee Koonwaree *v.* Joogul Kishore Roy	6 W. R., 309	4587
Narain Geer *v.* Gour Surun Doss	23 W. R., 368	6177
Narain Giree *v.* Goluck Chunder Mytee	22 W. R., 102	1450
Naraini Kuar *v.* Durjan Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 738	4275
——— *v.* Piarey Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 738	4275
Narain Khootia *v.* Lokenath Khootia	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 461 : 9 C. L. R., 243.	2149, 3139

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Narain Lall *v.* Lalla Nund Kishore Lall	19 W. R., 78	3212
Narain Mal *v.* Kooer Narain Mytee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 251	676
Narain Mundul *v.* Bhookto Mahato	25 W. R., 56	3045
Narain Pattro *v.* Aukhoy Narain Manna	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 152	5807
Narainpersad Mytee *v.* Roodur Narain Mungle	2 Hay, 490	2240
Narain Roy *v.* Opnit Misser	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 304: 11 C. L. R., 417.	5184
——— *v.* Sreenath Mitter	9 W. R., 485	3504, 4436
Narain Sahai *v.* Posoo	2 Agra, 78	6053
Narain Sahoo *v.* Ochoot Sahoo	14 W. R., 233	5400
Narain Singh *v.* Bheurab Churn Panda	8 C. L. R., 350	885
——— *v.* Madho Parshad	7 N. W., 153	1118
——— *v.* Muhammad Faruk	I. L. R., 1 All., 277	4539
——— *v.* Munsur Raoot	25 W. R., 155	5189
——— *v.* Ram Lall Mookerjee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 370	4525
——— *v.* Simbhoo Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 325: L. R., 4 I. A., 15.	5215
Narain Singh Roy *v.* Nurendro Narain Roy	22 W. R., 296	1748, 1985
Narain Sooboddhee. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 37	5542
Narain Sooboodhi. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 6	5537
Narakant Mazumdar *v.* Baradakant Roy	3 B. L. R., Ap., 31	1438
Naran Appa Aiyan *v.* Nanna Ammal *alias* Parvathy Ammal.	8 Mad., 97	3181, 3469
Naranbhai Virjbhukandas *v.* Naroshankar Chandro Shankar.	4 Bom., A. C., 98	2771, 5768
Narandas *v.* Bai Manchha	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 217	1864
Naranji Bhikabhai *v.* Dipa Umed	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 3	4963
Naranjoli Chirakal Kunhi Raman *v.* Naranjoli Chirakal Puttalathu Kunhunni Nambiar.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 314	6083
Naran Purshotam *v.* Dalatram Virchand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 538	3945, 6148
Narappa bin Appa Hegdi *v.* Gapaya bin Kapaya	2 Bom., 361: 2nd Ed., 341	769, 1765
Narasamal *v.* Balaramacharlu	1 Mad., 420	2132, 2138, 2216
Narasanna *v.* Gavappa	3 Mad., 270	4753, 4822
——— *v.* Gurappa	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 424	2186
Narasayya *v.* Jungam	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 418	4834
——— *v.* Narasimma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 510	2645
——— *v.* Venkatakrishnayya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 397	1888
Narasayya Chetti *v.* Guruvappa Chetti	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 378	4766
Narasidavur *v.* Marana Kaundan	2 Mad., 440	5656
Narasimah *v.* Venkatadri	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 290	2192, 2511
Narasimha. In re	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 201	4106
Narasimha Rau *v.* Lakshmipati Rau	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 263	1200
Narasimharav Krishnarav *v.* Antaji Virupaksh	2 Bom., 64: 2nd Ed., 61	296, 2234
Narasimma *v.* Kistnama	1 Mad., 456	6243
Narasimma Chariar *v.* Kristna Tata Chariar	6 Mad., 449	2838
Narasimma Chârya *v.* Raghupathyacharya	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 176	1440, 3276
Narasimma Thatha Acharya *v.* Anantha Bhatta	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 391	2251
Narasimulu *v.* Somanna	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 167	4840
Narasu Reddi *v.* Krishna Padayache	1 Mad., 358	3114
Narattam Das Chowdhry *v.* Rosopyari Chowdhrain	3 B. L. R., A. C., 271	320
Narayan *v.* Chintaman	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 393	1574
——— *v.* Satvaji	9 Bom., 83	2500
Narayana. In re	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 49	4509
——— *v.* Krishna	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 214	249, 339, 2361, 4345
——— *v.* Narayana	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 284	3765

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Narayana Aiyan *v.* Srinivasa Aiyan	2 Mad., 435	3832
Narayana Charya *v.* Narso Krishna	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 262	2178
Narayana Chetti *v.* Champion	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 1	3316
Narayana Malya *v.* Govind Shetty	6 Mad., 18	6016
Narayanan *v.* Kannan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 315	3755
——— *v.* Sridharan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 165	3753
Narayanan Chetti *v.* Karuppathan	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 251	5849
Narayanan Chetty *v.* Jensen	2 Mad., 383	2586
Narayana Pillay *v.* Ramasawmy Thavutharan	8 Mad., 100	3413
Narayanappa *v.* Bhaskar Parmaya	7 Bom., A. C., 125	3204, 3309
Narayanasami *v.* Samidas	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 293	2235
Narayanasami Ayyar. In the matter of the petition of	7 Mad., 182	3621
Narayanasami Mudali *v.* Kumarasami Gurukkal	7 Mad., 267	4479
Narayanasamy Naikar *v.* Velu Pillay	4 Mad., 188	2859
Narayana Sanabhaga *v.* Narayana Nayak	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 327	3028
Narayana Sawmy Naick *v.* Saravana Mudaly	6 Mad., 58	5493
Narayanasvami Chetti *v.* Arunachala Chetti	1 Mad., 487, note	2529
Narayan Ayyar *v.* Lakshmi Ammal	3 Mad., 216	241, 5787
——— *v.* ———	3 Mad., 289	2449
Narayan Babaji *v.* Nana Manohar	7 Bom., A. C., 153	2119, 2225 2303, 2355
Narayan Babaji Dabholkar *v.* Pandurang Ramchandra Dabholkar.	12 Bom., 148	4473, 4882
Narayanbhai Lalbhai *v.* Gangakrishna Balkrishna	4 Bom., A. C., 87	5115, 5924
Narayan Bharthi *v.* Laving Bharthi	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 140	2221, 2321 4256
Narayan Bhivrav *v.* Kashi	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 67	3050
Narayan bin Babaji *v.* Gungaram bin Krishnaji	5 Bom., A. C., 157	2500
Narayan bin Raghoji *v.* Bholagir Guru Manjir	6 Bom., A. C., 80	52
Narayan bin Sidoji *v.* Davudbhai valad Fatebhai	9 Bom., 238	5119
Narayan Das *v.* Maharaja of Burdwan	1 B. L. R., S. N., 11 : 10 W. R., 174.	3165
Narayan Deshpande *v.* Anaji Deshpande	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 130	2347, 5474
——— *v.* Rangubai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 127	2713, 5819
Narayan Dev *v.* Gajanan Dikshit	10 Bom., 1	5975
Narayanga Devu *v.* Harischandana Devu	1 Mad., 455	2062
Narayan Gop Habbu *v.* Pandurang Ganu	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 685	5049
Narayan Govind Ok *v.* Ganesh Atmaram Fadke	7 Bom., A. C., 118	4615
Narayani *v.* Govinda	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 352	3762
Narayani Dayi Debi *v.* Chandi Charan Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., Ap., 65	5929
Narayan Krishna Laud *v.* Collector of Bombay ——— *v.* Norman	5 Bom., O. C., 1	2913, 5718
Narayan Madhavrao Naik *v.* Collector of Thana	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 145	165
Narayan Mandal *v.* Beni Madhab Sircar	4 B. L. R., F. B., 32 : 12 W. R., F. B., 21.	3147
Narayan M. Pendshe. In re	11 Bom., 102	1222
Narayan Nagarkar *v.* Vithu Jakhoji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 539	1569, 4304
Narayan Naik. In the matter of	14 W. R., Cr., 34	967
Narayan Raghunath *v.* Bhagvant Anant	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 238	1234
——— *v.* Kashinath	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 299	5828
Narayan Ramchandra *v.* Dhondu Raghu	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 173	5858
Narayanrav Damodar *v.* Balkrishna Mahadev	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 529	1438
Narayanrav Ram Chandra Pant *v.* Ramabai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 415 : L. R., 6 I. A., 114 : 6 C. L. R., 162.	2425, 3373
Narayan Sadanand Bava *v.* Balkrisna Shideshvar	9 Bom., 413	1358
Narayan Sadashiv *v.* Bapuji Balal	7 Bom., A. C., 140	5834

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Narayan Shamji *v.* Gujerat Trading Company	3 Bom., O. C., 20	946
Narayan Succaram *v.* Bhawoo Dajee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 154	6121
Narayan Undir Patil *v.* Motilal Ramdas	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 45	630, 1684
Narayan Venkoba *v.* Pandurang Kamat	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 526	3954
Narayan Venku Kalgutkar *v.* Sakharam Nagu Koregaunkar.	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462	604, 625 2877
Narayan Visaji *v.* Lakshuman Bapuji	10 Bom., 324	3057
Narayan Vithe Parab *v.* Krishnaji Sadashiv	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 233	5244
Narayan Vyankatesh *v.* Dhandu Damodhar	4 Bom., A. C., 167	238
Narbadabai *v.* Mahadeo Narayan	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 99	2415, 2428
Narbadashankar *v.* Rugh-nath Ishvarji	2 Bom., 349	3200
Narbhase Singh *v.* Luchmee Narain Pooree	11 W. R., 307	3723
Narbheram Kisandas *v.* Navnidram Kushiram	5 Bom., A. C., 46	239
Narendra Narain Roy *v.* Bishun Chundra Das	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 182	4205
Narendra Narayan Roy Chowdhry *v.* Ishan Chandra Sen.	13 B. L. R., 274: 22 W. R., 22.	5194, 5201
Narendronarain Singh. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 48	6010
Narhar Govind Kulkarni *v.* Narayan Vithal	I. L. R, 1 Bom., 607	2109
Narhar Singh *v.* Dirgnath Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 407	2413
Narisi. In re	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 504	4344
Narmada Bai. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 14	28
Naro Damodar Ghugri *v.* Collector of Poona	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 209	4390
Naro Ganesh Datar *v.* Muhammad Khan	9 Bom., 280	3340
Naro Hari Bhave *v.* Vithalbhat	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 648	3972, 4257
Narotam *v.* Nanka	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 473	2212
Narotam Bapu *v.* Ganpatrav Pandurang	8 Bom., O. C., 69	4561
Narotamdas Bhagtandas *v.* Dayabhai Iobhachand	6 Bom., A. C., 34	3322
Narotam Dass *v.* Sheo Pargash Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 740: L. R., 11 I. A., 83.	4227
Narottam Jagjivan *v.* Narsandas Harikisandas	3 Bom., A. C., 6	2532
Narpat Singh *v.* Mahomed Ali Hussain Khan	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 1	2062
Narraina Tantri *v.* Ukkoma	6 Mad., 267	3212
Narrandas Hemraj *v.* Vissandas Hemraj	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 134	3321
Narronji Bhimji *v.* Mugniram Chandaji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 103	3179, 3221
Narsappa Lingappa *v.* Sakharam Krishna	6 Bom., A. C., 215	2309
Narsidas Jitram *v.* Joglekar	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 57	3924
Narsinbhat bin Bapubhat *v.* Chenapa bin Ningapa	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 479	2374
Narsingdas Multan Chand *v.* Nahanubai	7 Bom., O. C., 183	424
Narsingh Das *v.* Mangal Dubey	I. L. R., 5 All., 163	4039
——— *v.* Narain Das	I. L. R., 2 All., 763	3485
Narsingh Dass *v.* Najmooddin Hossein	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 20: 10 C. L. R., 225.	3641
Narsingh Sewak Singh *v.* Madho Das	I. L. R., 4 All., 274	3482
Narsing Porkaet *v.* Bewah	5 B. L. R., Ap., 86: 14 W. R., 250.	4804
Narsing Rao Rama Chandra *v.* Luxuman Rao	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 318	905
Narsingrav Ramchandra *v.* Venkaji Krishna	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 395	3861
Narsinvacharya *v.* Svami Raya Charya	5 Bom., A. C., 55	6077
Naru *v.* Gulabsing	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 83	6146
Naru Pira *v.* Naro Shidheshvar	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 28	5691
Nasarvanji Aspandiarji *v.* Shivji Manikbhai	9 Bom., 438	3782
Nasarvanji Hormasji *v.* Narayan Trimbak Patil	4 Bom., A. C., 125	2973, 4589
Nasarvanji Pestanji *v.* Deputy Commissioner of Customs	2 Bom., 2nd Ed., 75	1561
——— *v.* Nasarvanji Darasha	2 Bom., 366: 2nd Ed., 345	35
Naseerooddeen Ahmed *v.* Oomeedeh	3 Agra, 1	1347
Nasibun. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 534	5832
——— *v.* Preosunker Ghose	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 534	5832

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nasir Ali *v.* Government	3 Agra, 394	5799
Nasir bin Abdul Habib Fazal *v.* Dayabhai Itchachand	10 Bom., 300	3290, 3291
Nasir Husain *v.* Mataprasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 891	1107
——— *v.* Sughra Begum	I. L. R., 5 All., 505	3673
Nasir Khan *v.* Karamat Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 168	5691
Nasiruddin *v.* Khodabaksh	2 B. L. R., S. N., 7	5686
Nasiruddin Khan *v.* Indronarayan Chowdhry	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 367 : 5 W. R., 93 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 147.	5121
Nasoo *v* Mahatal Beebee	4 W. R., 7	3660
Nasrat Husain *v.* Hamidan	I. L. R., 4 All., 205	3651
Nasrudin *v.* Venkatesh Prabhu	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 382	813
Nassib Chowdry *v.* Nannoo Chowdhry	15 W. R., Cr., 47	5994
Nassir *v.* Chunder	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 951 : 9 W. R., Cr., 41.	6191
Nassir Jan. In the matter of the petition of	7 B. L. R., 144 : 15 W. R., 418.	5935
Natabar Nandi *v.* Kalidass Pali	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 608 : 9 C. L. R., 8.	5246, 5481 5666, 5681
Natall *v.* Natall	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 12	1553
Natchammal *v.* Gopalakrishna	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 126	2436
Natesa Ayyar *v.* Venkataramayyan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 135	487
Natha Hari *v.* Jamni	8 Bom., A. C., 37	1920, 4944 5403
Natha Hira *v.* Janardan Ram Chandra	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 503	3307
Nathaji Krishnaji *v.* Hari Jagoji	8 Bom., A. C., 67	2127, 2138
Natha Singh *v.* Jodha Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 406	141, 3328 4213, 4397 4437
Nathi *v.* Daud	2 Bom., 309 : 2nd Ed., 293	3331
Nathibai. In the goods of	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 9	2296, 2439
Nathmal Das *v.* Tajammul Husain	I. L. R., 7 All., 36	830
Nathooram *v.* Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	Cor., 118	6005
Nathooram Shaha *v.* Doorga Munjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 92	1588
Nath Prasad *v.* Baijnath	I. L. R., 3 All., 66	2863, 5671
——— *v.* Ram Paltan Ram	I. L. R., 4 All., 218	3354
Nathu *v.* Badri Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 614	3257
Nathu Achalai Ayyangar *v.* Parthasaradi Pillai	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 114	3596
Nathubhai Bhailal *v.* Javher Raiji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 121	2212
Nathubhai Panachand *v.* Mulchand Hirachand	5 Bom., A. C., 196	2500
Nathud Bi *v.* Jafar Hussain	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 365	392
Nathu Ganesh *v.* Kalidas Umed	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 365	5664
Nathu Lal Chowdry *v.* Chadi Sahi	4 B. L. R., A. C., 15 : 12 W. R., 446.	2189
Nathuni Mahton *v.* Manraj Mahton	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 149	4254, 4261
Nathuram *v.* Phulchand	I. L. R., 6 All., 581	4805
Nathu Sadashiv *v.* Ramchandra Annaji	5 Bom., A. C., 76	4132
Nathu Sheikh *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 405	1966, 1977 1981
Nattam Venkataratnum *alias* Balakonda Venkata Narayana Row *v.* Nattam Ramaiya *alias* Balakonda Rama Row.	2 Mad., 470	2055, 2748
Nattu Miah *v.* Nandrani	8 B. L. R., 508 : 17 W. R., 309.	5690
Natukhee Koeri *v.* Chowdhry Chintamun Singh	20 W. R., 247	2222, 2232
Naubat Singh *v.* Kishan Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 753	1472
Naunhee Koonwar *v.* Kustooree Koonwar	13 W. R., 141	3524
Naunhoo Singh *v.* Tofan Singh	14 W. R., 228	2799, 6085

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Naun Singh *v.* Rash Behari Singh	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 255	6086
Nauth Sahoy *v.* Jugdum Sahoy	2 Hay, 499	2023
Navalmal Gambhirmal *v.* Dhondiba bin Bhagvantram	11 Bom., 155	3310
Navalram Atmaram *v.* Nandkishor Shivnarayan	1 Bom., 209	2493
Navivahoo *v.* Narotamdas Candas	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 5	1042, 3093 5726
Navlu *v.* Raghu	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 303	4021
Navroji Pestanji *v.* Mansukh Jayachand	5 Bom., A. C., 70	5706
Navsa bin Biba *v.* Raba bin Bahiru	1 Bom., 163	306
Nawab Ali *v.* Uzir Mahomed	23 W. R., 233	1894
Nawab Nazim of Bengal *v.* Amrao Begum	21 W. R., 59	2741, 4065 4397
——— *v.* Heera Lall Seal	10 B. L. R., 444	458
——— *v.* Prosono Narain Deb	2 Hyde, 236	6236
——— *v.* Raj Coomaree Debee	6 W. R., 113	3334, 3819
——— *v.* Ram Lall Ghose *alias* Jogobundhoo Ghose.	6 W. R., Act X, 5	1582
Nawab of Surat. In re	5 Moore's I. A., 499	266
Nawabun *v.* Jumeerun Nawabunnissa *v.* Fuzooloonissa	Marsh., 428	3702
Nawal Singh *v.* Bhagwan Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 427	2297
Nawazish Aly Beg *v.* Vilaytee Khanum	2 Agra, 23	809
Nayanchandra *v.* Narayan	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 293	317
Nayan Manni *v.* Goda Shangara	1 Mad., 252	1427
Nazimoodeen Ahmed Chowdhry *v.* Wise	5 W. R., 282	1731
Nazimudin Hossein *v.* Lloyd	6 B. L. R., Ap., 130: 15 W. R., 232.	3118, 3395
Nazina Bibee *v.* Juggomohun Dutt	14 W. R., 461	3885
Nazir Khan *v.* Proladh Dutta	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 659	2029
Naziroodeen Khan *v.* Inder Narain Chowdhry	5 W. R., 237	3711
Nazirun. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 19: 6 C. L. R., 210.	77, 163
Nazur Ali Khan *v.* Ojoodhyaram Khan	1 W. R., Mis., 13	266
Neamut Ali *v.* Gooroo Doss	22 W. R., 365	1739
Nechterlein. In the goods of	1 B. L. R., O. C., 19	3084
Needoo Monee Dossee *v.* Saroda Monee Dossee	2 W. R., 61, 62	5121
Neelanund Singh *v.* Nusseeb Singh	6 W. R., 80	1787
Neel Kanto Pundit *v.* Juggobundoo Ghose	12 B. L. R., Ap., 18	1842
Neel Kunth Sahee *v.* Asmun Matho	3 N. W., 67	5485
Neel Madhub Dutt *v.* Radha Mohun	3 W. R., 205	4954
Neelmonee Singh Deo *v.* Gordon, Stuart, & Co.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 356: 6 W. R., 152.	530, 5738 6121
Neelmoney Singh Deo *v.* Chutterdhun Singh ——— *v.* Government ——— *v.* Shobhan Bibee	Marsh., 308: 2 Hay, 226	3003
Neeloo Bebee *v.* Misser Biswas	Marsh., 600	235, 5002
Neemchand Sahoo *v.* Ram Golam Singh	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 12	5683
Neem Chund Dey *v.* Anund Coomar Roy Chowdhry	24 W. R., 424	214, 3804
Neerbhoy Singh *v.* Ram Pershad Singh	7 W. R., 147	228, 6231
Neerunjun Mookerjee *v.* Oopendro Narain Deb	18 W. R., 261	497
Neet Lall *v.* Miller	10 B. L. R., 57	1462, 4518
Nehal Chunder Mistree *v.* Huree Pershad Mundul	11 W. R., 420	239
Nehalo *v.* Kishen Lal	8 W. R., 183	4151
——— *v.* Nawal	I. L. R., 2 All., 150	2526
Nehaloonissa *v.* Dhunnoo Lall Chowdry	I. L. R., 1 All., 428	2071
Nehal Singh *v.* Ali Ahmed	13 W. R., 281	3040
Nehchul *v.* Than Singh	15 W. R., 110	291
	2 N. W., 222	4559

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nobora Roy *v.* Radha Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 64 : 4 C. L. R., 353.	2739, 2740 2741, 4411
Nekram Jemadar *v.* Iswariprasad Pachuri	5 B. L. R., 643 : 14 W. R., 305.	5879
Nellaikumaru Chetti *v.* Marakathammal	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 166	2512
Nellaya Variyath Silapani *v.* Vadikapat Munakel Ashtamurti Nambudri.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 382	1459, 3763
Nellikel Edatthil Itti Pungy Achen. Ex parte	2 Mad., 240	4726
Nemai Charan Dhabal *v.* Kokil Bag	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 534 : 7 C. L. R., 487.	4805, 5801 6140
Nemchand *v.* Savaichand	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 84, note	2839
Nem Roy *v.* Bharut Roy	22 W. R., 129	380
——— *v.* Lalmun Roy	25 W. R., 376	303, 4779 4815
Neomutoolah *v.* Govind Chunder Dutt	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 2 : 4 W. R., Act X, 25.	1662
Nepal Singh *v.* Ram Surun Singh	W. R., 1864, 5	3127, 5591
Nepoor Aurut *v.* Jurai	10 B. L. R., Ap., 33 : 19 W. R., Cr., 73.	3740
Nesbitt. In the goods of	4 B. L. R., Ap., 49	3084
Netai Luskar *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 410	4432
Nettietom Perengaryprom *alias* Panisherry Damodhen Nambudry *v.* Tayanbarry Parameshwaren Nambudry.	4 Mad., 472	3246
Netye Roy *v.* Odeet Roy	10 W. R., 241	5386
New Beerbhoom Coal Co. *v.* Buloram Mahata	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 175 : 2 C. L. R., 268	3338
——— *v.* Bularam Mahata	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 175, 932 : L. R., 7 I. A., 107.	3338, 5803
New Fleming Spinning and Weaving Co. In the matter of.	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 439	939
———. ———	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 275	939
——— *v.* Kessowji Naik	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 373	932, 3172 5614
Newaj *v.* Maksud Ali	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 131	82, 3855
Newaj Bundopadhya *v.* Kaliprosonno Ghose	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 543 : 8 C. L. R., 6.	1633, 4151
Newajee *v.* Lloyd	8 W. R., 464	1743
Newar. In the matter of	7 N. W., 230	1533
Newton. In re	10 B. L. R., 88: 17 W. R., 35 : 14 Moore's I. A., 267.	468
——— In re the goods of	8 B. L. R., Ap., 76	5903
——— *v.* Kurnaedhone	9 B. L. R., Ap., 15	755
Neynum *v.* Muzuffer Wahid	11 W. R., 265	1752, 5358
Neypal Singh *v.* Guyadut	3 Agra, 311	1761, 5074
Nga Bike *v.* Snadden	9 W. R., 276	1875
Nga Hoong *v.* Queen	4 W. R., P. C., 109 : 7 Moore's I. A., 72.	2905
Nga Tha Yah *v.* Burn	2 B. L. R., F. B., 91 : 11 W. R., F. B., 8.	4130
——— *v.* Mi Khan Mhaw	5 B. L. R., 371 : 13 W. R., 443.	683, 4274 4283
Niamat Ali *v.* Asmat Bibi	I. L. R., 7 All., 626	4551
Niamut Khan *v.* Bhadu Buldia	7 C. L. R., 227	5022
——— *v.* Phadu Buldia	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 319	5022
Niamutoollah Khadim *v.* Himmut Ali Khadim	22 W. R., 519	137, 1844 5754, 5765

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Niamutulla *v.* Gopal Saha	11 B. L. R., Ap., 6: 14 W. R., Cr., 63.	977
Nibarun Chunder Dass *v.* Bhuggobutty Churn Chatterjee	20 W. R., Cr., 40	4748
Nichhabhai Pragji *v.* Issekhan Haji Abdula Khan	2 Bom., 313: 2nd Ed., 297	3731, 4902
Nicholls *v.* Wilson	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 560: 3 C. L. R., 361.	2066
Nicol & Co. *v.* Castle	9 Bom., 321	598
Nidha Chowdhry *v.* Bundah Lall Thakoor	6 W. R., 289	1675
Nidhee Singh *v.* Bissonath Doss	24 W. R., 79	471
Nidhi Krishna Bose *v.* Nistarini Dasi	13 B. L. R., 416: 21 W. R., 386.	3042
——— *v.* Ramdoss Sein	20 W. R., 341	5182
Nidhi Lal *v.* Mazhar Husain	I. L. R., 7 All., 230	316, 2798, 5896
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 7 All., 436	3908
Nidhoo Monee Joginee *v.* Kishen Nath Banerjee	20 W. R., 442	1629, 2747
Nidhoomoni Debya *v.* Saroda Pershad Mookerjee	L. R., 3, I. A., 253: 26 W. R., 91.	2114, 2271
Nidhoo Sircar *v.* Phillippe	10 W. R., 153	1758
Nidra Dossee *v.* Abdool Wahed	25 W. R., 532	475
Nidree Telhinee. In the matter of	11 W. R., Cr., 7	3618
Nightingale *v.* Faiz-ulla	I. L. R., 4 All., 334	2944
Nihal Chand *v.* Azmat Ali Khan	I. L. R., 7 All., 362	4230, 4689
Nihal Chand *alias* Chutto Lall Dass *v.* Rameshari Dassee.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 214: 12 C. L. R., 53.	228
Nihal Khan *v.* Hur Churn Lall	1 Agra, 219	2190
Nihal Singh *v.* Kokale Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 29	4555
Nijabutoolla *v.* Wazir Ali	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 910: 10 C. L. R., 333.	3149
Nijamudin *v.* Mahammadali	4 Mad., 385	3201, 3202
Nikka Mal *v.* Sulaiman Shikoh Gardner	I. L. R., 2 All., 193	3904
Nilakandan *v.* Thandumma	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 460	3257, 4188
Nilakant Banerjee *v.* Suresh Chandra Mullick	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 414: L. R., 12 I. A., 171.	3972
Nilamber Sen *v.* Kali Kishor Sen	3 B. L. R., Ap., 94: 12 W. R., 28.	1497
Nilambur Sein *v.* Pitambur Sein	5 W. R., Mis., 28	2708
Nilatatchi *v.* Venkatachala Mudali	1 Mad., 131	50, 5754
Nilava kom Rachappa *v.* Rudraya bin Rachappa	12 Bom., 141	4765
Nilayatatchi *v.* Venkatachalam Mudali	1 Mad., 250	5788
Nil Comul Banerjee *v.* Mudoosoodun Chowdhry	14 W. R., 478	5717
Nil Comul Lahuri *v.* Jotendro Mohun Lahuri	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 178: 8 C. L. R., 401.	2143
Niljaree *v.* Mujeeboollah	19 W. R., 209	3114
Nil Kamal Banerjee *v.* Madhusudan Chowdry	6 B. L. R., 177	5717
Nilkamal Lahuri *v.* Gunomani Debi	7 B. L. R., 113: 15 W. R., P. C., 38.	3335, 3821, 6157
Nilkant Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the petition of	W. R., 1864, Mis., 9	5588
Nil Kant Biswas. In the matter of	9 W. R., Cr., 29	3882
Nilkant Chatterjee *v.* Peari Mohan Das	3 B. L. R., O. C., 7: 11 W. R., O. C., 21.	1946, 2234, 2737, 4259
Nilkanth *v.* Dattatraya	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 103	3230
Nilkanth Anaji Kargupi *v.* Baslinga	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 104	2097
Nilkanthapa *v.* Magistrate of Sholapur	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 670	4421
Nilkanth Surmah *v.* Bishen Bashee	6 W. R., 322	5746
——— *v.* Soosela Debia	6 W. R., 324	1198, 6239

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nil Kant Sahoo v. Jugoo Sahoo	20 W. R., 328	51
Nilkant Sein v. Jaenooddeen	7 W. R., 30	4012
Nilkissen Thakoor v. Beer Chunder Thakoor Gossain	2 W. R., Mis., 23	281
Nil Komul Chuckerbutty v. Shama Soondaree	6 W. R., Mis., 46	5453
Nilkomul Ghose v. Nobin Chunder Bose	9 W. R., 463	1887
Nilkomul Mookhopadhya v. Anund Chunder Lushkur	19 W. R., Cr., 6	4097
Nilkomul Roy v. Rohinee Dossia	13 W. R., 330	1488, 1869
Nil Komul Sein v. Danesh Shaikh	15 W. R., 469	5176
Nilkomul Shaw v. Reed	12 B. L. R., 287 : 17 W. R., 513.	5904
Nilkristo Deb Barmono v. Bis Chandra Thakur	3 B., L. R., P. C., 13 : 12 W. R., P. C., 21 : 12 Moore's I. A., 523.	2227
Nilkund Anantapa v. Menshi Apuraya	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 346	2574
Nilkunt Chuckerbutty v. Sheo Narain Koonwar	8 W. R., 276	1762, 4904
Nilkunth Sahee v. Hunooman Pershad	3 N. W., 136	1124
Nilkunto Dey v. Hurro Soonderee Dossee	I L. R., 3 Calc., 414 : 1 C. L. R., 412.	418
Nillumbhur Mustophy v. Doorga Churn Biswas	2 W. R., Act X, 94	2989
Nilmadhab Das v. Biswambhar Das	3 B. L. R., P. C., 27 : 12 W. R., P. C., 29 : 13 Moore's I. A., 85.	2129, 2130 6076
Nilmadhab Karmokar v. Shibu Pal	5 B. L. R., Ap., 18 : 13 W. R., 410.	5316
Nilmadhab Singh Das v. Fattoh Chand Saha	3 B. L. R., A. C., 310 : 12 W. R., 222.	4781
Nilmadhub Chuckerbutty v. Ramsodoy Ghose	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 857	3312
Nilmadhub Doss v. Bissumbhur Doss	21 W. R., 411	1207, 2696
Nilmadhub Ghosal. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 1	4736, 4740 4741
Nilmadhub Gossamee v. Chunder Mookhee Gossamee	22 W. R., 397	1341
Nilmadhub Mookerjee v. Dookeram Khottah	15 B. L. R., 161	2837, 5649
Nilmadhub Mundul. Ex parte	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 169	3545
Nilmadhub Shaha v. Srinibash Kurmokar	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 442 : 9 C. L. R., 137.	535
Nilmadhub Surnokar v. Kristo Doss Surnokar	5 W. R., 281	3189
Nilmani Burnick v. Puddo Lochan Chuckerbutty	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 379 : 5 W. R., Act X, 20.	2889
Nilmani Singh v. Annadaprasad Mookerjee	1 B. L. R., F. B., 93 : 10 W R., F. B., 41.	8, 4873
——— v. Madhab Singh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 195	2038
Nilmonee Banerjee v. Shurbo Mungola Debee	6 W. R., 14	6231
——— v. Shurbo Mungula Debee	7 W. R., 193	4527
Nilmonee Bose v. Mohima Chunder Dutt	17 W. R., 516	363, 6230
Nilmonee Chowdhry v. Zuheerunissa Khanum	8 W. R., 371	1752, 4185
Nilmonee Roy v. Hills	4 W. R., Act X, 38	5325
Nilmonee Shaha v. Ram Churn Deb	6 W. R., Mis., 45	5482
Nilmonee Singh v. Bukronath Singh	10 W. R., 255	2038
——— v. Chunder Kant Banerjee	14 W. R., 251	1582, 1620
——— v. Gordon, Stuart, & Co.	9 W. R., 371	6120
Nilmonee Singh Deo v. Chunderkant Banerjee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 125 : 25 W. R., 200.	1620
——— v. Heera Lall Chowdhry	23 W. R., 442	1439
——— v. Issur Chunder Ghosal	9 W. R., 92	1076
——— v. ———	9 W. R., 121	1382
——— v. Kashee Mahtoon	25 W. R., 206	5592
——— v. Ooma Churn Roy	19 W. R., Cr., 49	1532

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nilmonee Singh Deo *v.* Rambundhoo Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 757 : 3 C. L. R., 211.	2950
——— *v.* Ram Huree Misser	2 W. R., 161	768
Nilmoney Bhooya *v.* Gunga Narain Shahur	1 W. R., 334	2342
Nilmoney Sing. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 429	4670
Nilmoney Singh *v.* Chinibas Mahanti	12 B. L. R., Ap., 8 : 20 W. R., 405.	2778
——— *v.* Heera Lall Dass	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 23 : 8 C. L. R., 257.	1735, 4963
——— *v.* Issur Chunder Ghosal	9 W. R., 92	5
——— *v.* Ram Chuckerbutty	21 W. R., 439	1625
——— *v.* Sagurmonee Debia	12 W. R., 441	1627
Nilmoney Singh Deo *v.* Anunt Ram Putnaik	15 W. R., 393	1605
——— *v.* Baneshur	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 91	2416
——— *v.* Bhobany Churn Panda	Marsh., 327 : 2 Hay, 305	310
——— *v.* Government	6 W. R., 121	2058
——— *v.* ———	18 W. R., 321	5596
——— *v.* Hingu Lall Singh Deo	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 256	2416
——— *v.* Ramanoograh Roy	7 W. R., 20	137
——— *v.* Ramgopaul Singh Chowdhry	Marsh., 518	1582
——— *v.* Ramjeebun Surkel	8 C. L. R., 335	3491
——— *v.* Sharoda Pershad Mookerjee	16 W. R., 173	529
——— *v.* ———	18 W. R., 434	9
——— *v.* Sheo Tewary	W. R., 1864, 324	5593
Nilmoni Singh Deo *v.* Bhoyharini Debi	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 19 : 13 C. L. R., 314 : L. R., 10 I. A., 80.	4670
——— *v.* Bukronath Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 187 : L. R., 9 I. A., 104.	2041
——— *v.* Ram Bandhu Rai	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 388 : 10 C. L. R., 393 : L. R., 8 I. A., 90.	2954
——— *v.* Ram Golam Bondopadhya	21 W. R., 154	558
——— *v.* Taranath Mukerjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 295 : 12 C. L. R., 361 : L. R., 9 I. A., 174.	1886, 5930
——— *v.* Umanath Mookerjee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 19 : 13 C. L. R., 314 : L. R., 10 I. A., 80.	4670
Nilmony Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 68	978
Nilmony Mookhopadhya *v.* Aimunissa Bibee	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 156	2024
Nilmony Singh Deo *v.* Kalee Churn Bhuttacharjee	14 B. L. R., 382 : 23 W. R., 150 : L. R., 2 I. A., 83.	1423
——— *v.* Nilcomul Tuppadar	25 W. R., 546	3497, 3527
Nilo Pandurang *v.* Rama Patloji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 35	5247
Nilo Ramchandra *v.* Govind Ballal	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 24	3371, 5010
Nilowa *v.* Fakirappa	6 Bom., A. C., 75	5726
Nilrutton Sein. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 45	5552
Nilumber Sen *v.* Haranund Sporee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 10	539
Nilvaru *v.* Nilvaru	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 110	5092
Nimaye Churn Poojaree *v.* Moorooloe Chowdhry	1 W. R., 108	2242
Nimaye Churn Putseetundee *v.* Jogendro Nath Banerjee.	21 W. R., 365	901, 2240
Nimaye Churn Sein *v.* Rammonee Beebee	10 W. R., 152	6283
Nimba Harishet *v.* Sitaram Parji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 458	814
Nim Chand Borooah *v.* Mooraree Mundul	8 W. R., 127	5191

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nimdhari Sing *v.* Kachun Sing	4 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 13 W. R., 162.	512
Ningangavda Patil *v.* Satyangavda Patil	11 Bom., 232	2850
Ningappa *v.* Gangawa	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 423	223
Nirban Singh *v.* Kumla Sahoy	17 W. R., 345	2812
Nirman Singh *v.* Phulman Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 65	5032
Nirmul Chandra Mookerjee *v.* Doyal Nath Bhuttacharjee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 130	4337
Nirod Krishno Roy *v.* Woomanath Mookerjee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 718: 3 C. L. R., 234.	3558
Nirput Muhtoon *v.* Deep Koonwar	8 W. R., 2	3714
Nirunjun Acharjee *v.* Kuralee Churn Banerjee	1 W. R., 197	3374
Nirunjun Rai *v.* Rujjoo Rai	5 N. W., 166	5379
Nirvanaya *v.* Nirvanaya	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 365	2277
Nishadiney Dossee *v.* Cally Kristo Ghose	Cor., 24	2804
Nishan Singh *v.* Jugdeo Singh	4 B. L. R., Ap., 97	4317
Nissa Bibee *v.* Abdoor Ruhman	18 W. R., 413	5190
Nissar Hossein *v.* Ramgolam Sing	25 W. R., Cr., 10	980, 1958
Nissar Murtojah *v.* Dhunwunt Roy	Marsh., 609	2320
Nistarinee *v.* Kalee Pershad Doss Chowdhry	21 W. R., 53	535
Nistarinee Debee *v.* Collector of 24-Pergunnahs	23 W. R., 330	87
Nistarinee Debia. In the matter of	7 W. R., Cr., 114	4238
Nistarinee Dossee *v.* Debnath Bose	20 W. R., 286	763
Nistarini Dasi *v.* Bonomali Chatterjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 941: 4 C. L. R., 278.	1622
——— *v.* Makhan Lal Dutt	9 B. L. R., 11: 17 W. R., 432.	1442, 2430 4858
Nistarini Debi *v.* Brojo Nath Mookhopadhya	10 C. L. R., 229	3909, 4970
Nistarinny Dossee *v.* Anundmoye Dossee	2 C. L. R., 561	3325
Nitai Charan Pyne *v.* Ganga Dasi	4 B. L. R., O. C., 265 note	2149
Nitai Shaha *v.* Shubal Shaha	2 B. L. R., S. N.: 10 W. R., 349.	1094
Nitambini Chowdhrain *v.* Shashi Mukhi Chowdhrain	4 B. L. R., Ap., 24: 12 W. R., 518	3564
Nitaram *v.* Nanuck Dass	1 N. W., Pt. III, 47: Ed. 1873, 103.	6282
Nitia Nund *v.* Mya Run	3 N. W., 80	3981
Nitrasur Singh *v.* Nund Lall Singh	8 Moore's I. A., 199. 1 W. R., P. C., 51.	4173, 4657
Nitressur Singh *v.* Jhottee Tely	23 W. R., 343	580
Nittai Chandra Pal *v.* Thakur Das Biswas	8 B. L. R., 258, note	429
Nitta Kolita *v.* Bishunram Kolita	2 B. L. R., Ap., 49	3246
Nittanund Murdiraj *v.* Sreekurun Jugger Nath Bewartah Patnaick.	3 W. R., 116	2329
Nittanund Roy *v.* Bydonath Mohapathur	W. R., 1864, 350	5079
Nittianand Ghose *v.* Krishna Dyal Ghose	7 B. L. R., 1: 15 W. R., 300	2112
Nitto Gopal Ghose *v.* Mackintosh	6 W. R., Ci. Ref., 11	3237, 3321
Nitto Kallee Debee *v.* Obhoy Gobind Chowdhry	5 W. R., Mis., 10	677
Nitto Kissoree Dossee *v.* Jogendro Nauth Mullick	L. R., 5 I. A., 55	2413
Nittyanund Gossain *v.* Shama Churn Chatterjee	23 W. R., 163	6031
Nittyanund Koondoo *v.* Nugendro Chunder Ghose	16 W. R., 299	3509
Nittyanund Kur *v.* Rajballubh Adya	25 W. R., 267	1504
Nittyanund Roy *v.* Abdar Raheem	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 76	1843
Nittyanund Surmah *v.* Kasheenath Nyalunkar	5 W. R., 26	5089
Nittyo Kali Debia *v.* Kedar Nath Chatterjee	5 C. L. R., 368	680, 1240
Nityanund Ghose *v.* Kissen Kishore	W. R., 1864, Act X, 82	2930, 2971
Nityanundo Bur *v.* Kalachand Bur	24 W. R., Cr., 75	982
Nitye Gopal Sircar *v.* Nagendra Nath Mitter	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 429	6199

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nitye Laha *v.* Soondaree Dossee	9 W. R., 475	2434
Nivath Singh *v.* Bhikki Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 649	5758
Nixon *v.* Chartered Mercantile Bank	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 97	2671
Nizam of Hyderabad. In re	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 256	5954
Nizam-ud-din *v.* Zaheda Bibi	6 N. W., 338	3677
Nizamut Ali *v.* Ramesh Chandra Roy	3 B. L. R., A. C., 78 : 11 W. R., 430.	330
Noban Nusya *v.* Dhon Mahomed	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 820 : 6 C. L. R., 136.	4797
Nobbo Doorga Debee *v.* Kistareenee Dossee	1 W. R., 48	536
Nobbo Kissen Singh *v.* Kaminee Dassee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 349 : 2 W. R., Mis., 35 : Bourke, A. O. C., 38.	3150
Nobeen Chunder Dutt *v.* Madhub Chunder Mundul	5 W. R., 225	5238
Nobeen Chunder Ghose *v.* Ram Gogenath Gope	W. R., 1864, 63	696
Nobeen Chunder Sil *v.* Bhobosoonduri Dabee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 460	4669
Nobeen Kishen Bose *v.* Shofatoollah	1 W. R., 24	4148
Nobeen Kishen Mookerjee *v.* Shib Pershad Pattack	7 W. R., 490	2794, 2796
——— *v.* Shib Pershad Pattuck	8 W. R., 96	536
——— *v.* ———	8 W. R., 96; upheld on review, 9 W. R., 161.	3010, 5311
——— *v.* ———	9 W. R., 161	5128
Nobeen Kishore Roy *v.* Jogesh Prokash Gangooly	10 W. R., 272	41
Nobin Chand Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 32	6270
Nobin Chandra Roy *v.* Maganţara Dassya	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 924	5016
Nobin Chunder *v.* Buroda Kant Shaha	19 W. R., 341	5659
Nobin Chunder Banikya *v.* Empress	10 C. L. R., 369	5165, 5945
Nobin Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Dokhobala Dasi	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 686	2361
——— *v.* Gridharee Lall	11 W. R., 264	5141
Nobin Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Gurupersad Doss	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1008	3397
——— *v.* Issur Chunder Chuckerbutty.	9 W. R., 505	3397
Nobin Chunder Dey *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 11 : 24 W. R., 309.	5218
Nobin Chunder Doss *v.* Nim Chand Doss	17 W. R., 191	5064
Nobin Chunder Dutt. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 50	5163
——— *v.* Modun Mohun Pal	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 677 : 9 C. L. R., 233.	4463
Nobin Chunder Gossamee *v.* Dribo Moyee Debee	17 W. R., 520	5683
Nobin Chunder Koondoo *v.* Jogendronath Bhuttacharjee	25 W. R., Cr., 18	4496, 4501
——— *v.* Sreedhur Bhuttacharjee	15 W. R., 24	6096
Nobin Chunder Kurr *v.* Rojomoye Dossee	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 264	3194
Nobin Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Prosunno Coomar Banerjee	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 126	1069
Nobin Chunder Mozoomdar *v.* Jutadharee Holdar	2 W. R., 289	4953
——— *v.* Kenny	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 3	3199, 3236
Nobin Chunder Mudduck. In the matter of the petition of.	22 W. R., Cr., 46	4381
Nobin Chunder Pordhan *v.* Janardhun Missor	W. R., F. B., 67	2283
Nobin Chunder Pooshalee *v.* Rungo Chunder Chatterjee	22 W. R., 363	288
Nobin Chunder Roy *v.* Brojendro Coomer Roy	12 C. L. R., 541	3176
——— *v.* Goora Gobind Surmah	14 W. R., 447	1105
——— *v.* Rup Lall Das	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 377	1162
Nobin Chunder Roy Chowdhry *v.* Bhowanee Pershad Doss.	W. R., 1864, Act X, 52	532, 2792
——— *v.* Pearee Khanum	3 W. R., 143	5104
——— *v.* Radha Pearee Debia	6 W. R., 17	1993

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nobin Chunder Sen Chowdhry *v.* Nobin Chunder Chuckerbutty.	22 W. R., 46	576, 3014
Nobin Chunder Shaha *v.* Raj Narain Shaha	9 W. R., 582	77
Nobin Chunder Shahoo *v.* Suroop Chunder Doss	6 W. R., 328	3317
Nobin Chunder Sircar *v.* Gour Chunder Shaha	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 759 : 8 C. L. R., 161.	1602
Nobin Chunder Vodro *v.* Kedar Nath Chuckerbutty	16 W. R., 228	5669
Nobin Chundra Banikya. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 560 : 10 C. L. R., 369.	346, 1154 5165, 5945
Nobin Kalee Debea *v.* Bindobashinee Debea	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5	5684
Nobin Kishor Roy *v.* Jages Prasad Gangopadya	6 B. L. R., 343 : 14 W. R., 352	40
Nobin Krishna Bose *v.* Mon Mohun Bose	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 573 : 9 C. L. R., 182.	1124
Nobin Krishna Chakravati *v.* Ram Kumar Chakravati	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 605 : 9 C. L. R., 90.	5674
Nobin Krishna Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 194	489
——— *v.* Chairman, Suburban Municipality.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 194	489
——— *v.* Rassick Lall Laha	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1047	1802, 5150
Nobin Kristo Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L R. 10 Calc., 268	1302, 1309
——— *v.* Russick Lall Laha		
Nobin Mohun Ghossal *v.* Gopal Chunder Mookerjee	11 W. R., 538	1453
Nobo Chunder Banerjee *v.* Ishur Chunder Mitter	12 W. R., 254	2776
Nobocoomar Biswas *v.* Oman	7 W. R., 148	1642
Nobo Coomar Bose. In the matter of	12 B. L. R., 457, note : 17 W. R., 431.	2721
Nobo Coomar Chuckerbutty *v.* Koylashchunder Barooee	17 W. R., 518	3182, 5709
Nobo Coomar Doss *v.* Gobind Chunder Roy	9 C. L. R., 305	1748, 4495
——— *v.* Kewata Mug	10 B. L. R., 358	289, 1208
Nobo Coomar Ghose *v.* Kishen Chunder Banerjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 112	1636
Nobocoomar Mookhopadhya *v.* Siru Mullick	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 94	3345
Nobodeep Chandra Shaw. In the matter of the petition of.	1 B. L. R, O. C., 47	4801
Nobodeep Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Brojendro Lall Roy	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 406 : 9 C. L. R., 117.	2955, 4970
Nobodeep Chunder Shaha *v.* Sonaram Dass	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 592 : 3 C. L. R., 359.	1639
Nobodeep Chunder Shaw. In the matter of	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 68	2661
Nobodeep Chunder Sircar *v.* Lalla Seeb. Loll	Marsh., 325	8
Nobodeep Chunder Sirkar. In the matter of the petition of.	11 W. R., Cr., 2	1953
Nobodip Chunder Biswas. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 868	665
Nobodoorga. In the matter of the petition of	7 C. L. R., 387	4665
Nobo Doorga Dossee *v.* Foyzbux Chowdhry	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 200 : 24 W. R., 40.	4966
Nobo Gopal Roy *v.* Amrit Moyee Dossee	24 W. R., 428	2411
Nobo Gopal Sircar *v.* Srinath Bundopadhya	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 877 : 11 C. L. R., 37.	5324
Nobo Kanth Dey *v.* Borodakanth Roy	1 W. R., 100	549, 1390 5874
Nobo Kishen Bose *v.* Mazamooddeen Ahmed Chowdhry	19 W. R., 338	1625
Nobo Kishore Chuckerbutty. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 291	4361, 4492
Nobokishore Dass *v.* Protap Chunder Banerjee	1 C. L. R., 534	1541
Nobokishore Dey *v.* Ramkishen	9 W. R., 131	1424, 4173

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nobokishore Sarma Roy *v.* Hariuath Sarma Roy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1102	2490
Nobo Kissen Singh *v.* Kaminee Dassee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 349 : 2 W. R., Mis., 35 : Bourke, A. O C., 38.	3150
Nobo Kisto Koondoo *v.* Mahomed Sheikh	10 B. L. R., Ap., 30 : 19 W. R., 202.	579
Nobo Kristo Bhunj *v.* Rajbullub Bhunj	3 W. R., 134	3330
Nobokristo Mojoomdar *v.* Tara Monee	12 W. R., 320	1625, 4149
Nobo Kristo Mookerjee *v.* Parbutty Churn Bhuttacharjee	13 W. R., 23	1454
Nobokristo Mukerjee *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 632	495
Nobokristo Sircar *v.* Kalachand Doss	12 W. R., 470	322
Nobo Lal Khan *v.* Adheeranee Narain Koonwaree	5 W. R., 191	4206
Nocoor Chunder Bose *v.* Kally Coomar Ghose	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 328	3133, 3235 3306
Nocoordass Mullick *v.* Jewraj Baboo	12 B. L. R., 263	2993
Nocury Lall Chuckerbutty *v.* Bindabun Chunder Chuckerbutty.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 708	1166
Noferdoss Roy *v.* Modhu Soondari Burmonia	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 732 : 5 C. L. R., 551.	2489
Nogender Chunder Ghose *v.* Mahomed Esof	10 B. L. R., 406 : 18 W. R., 113	38
——— *v.* Mahomed Eusuff	12 Moore's I. A., 107	4633
Nogender Chundro Ghose *v.* Dossee	8 W. R., P. C., 17	2516, 5360
Noggendro Chundro Mittro *v.* Kishen Soondory Dassee	19 W. R., 133	1411, 2112
Nohur *v.* Jaffer Hossein	2 N. W., 195	4439
Noimollah Pramanick *v.* Grish Narain Moonshee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 674	5791
Nojabut Ali Chowdhry *v.* Moha Busseeroollah Chowdhry	11 B. L. R., 42 : 20 W. R., 5	815, 5448
Nolita Mohan Roy Chowdhry *v.* Dinonath Mookerjee	13 B. L. R., 427, note	5130
Nolitmohun Doss. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., Ap., 33	2664
Nomaz Mollah *v.* Lall Mohun Tagadgeer	15 W. R., 279	1356
Nomullu Akond. In the matter of	11 C. L. R., 416	502
Nona *v.* Dhoomun Dass	5 N. W., 30	3180
Nonidh Singh *v.* Sohun Kooer	4 N. W., 135	5469
Nonkoo Ram *v.* Woojogur Roy	5 W. R., Act X, 68	6280
Nonoo Singh Monda *v.* Anand Singh Monda	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 291	4875
Noor Ahmed. In the matter of	17 W. R., 338	4443
——— *v.* Lulta Pershad	2 N. W., 189	3749
Nooral Hossein *v.* Ram Coomar Sahee	25 W. R., 326	5479
Noor Ali *v.* Imteazoodeen Khan	3 Agra, Rev., 2	2976
Noor Ali Chowdhuri *v.* Koni Mean	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 13	571
Noor Ali Mian Khondkar *v.* Ashanullah	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 608	1638
Noor Ally *v.* Lalla Sooklall Sing	W. R., 1864, 347	318
Noor Bibee *v.* Naivas Khan	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 221	3645
——— *v.* Rumzan	24 W. R., 198	5835, 5837 5851
Noor Buksh *v.* Mean Jan	6 W. R., Act X, 60	2889
Noor Bux Kazi *v.* Empress	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 279 : 7 C. L. R., 385.	1023, 6267
Noor Jehan Begum *v.* Mashitty Khanum	8 C. L. R., 7	419
Noor Mahomed. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 31	1535
——— *v.* Nilrutun Bagchee	18 W. R., Cr., 2	4733
——— *v.* Zuhoor Ally	11 W. R., 34	2773
Noor Mahomed Mundul *v.* Hurriprosonno Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 75	1657
Noor-ool-Hossein *v.* Mooneeram	4 N. W., 103	3730
Noorul Hossein *v.* Omatool Fatima	25 W. R., 34	5470
Noorul Hossein *alias* Waheed Jan *v.* Fabre-Tonnerre	24 W. R., Cr., 26	15, 5289
Noorun *v.* Khoda Buksh	1 Agra, 50	1500, 4915

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Norender Narain Singh *v.* Dwarkalal Mundur	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 397 : 1 C. L. R., 369 : L. R., 5 I. A., 18.	4009
Noronarain Roy *v.* Neemacchand Neogy	6 W. R., 303	3696
Norrotom Sikdar *v.* Juggernath Shaw	Bourke, O. C., 371	5265
Northern Assam Tea Company. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 39: 12 W. R., Cr., 29.	492
Noshai Mistri *v.* Empress	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 958 : 6 C. L. R., 353.	1012
Nosheeram Roy *v.* Shushee Bhooshun Roy	5 W. R., 169	3160
Noubat Rai *v.* Bajrangi Lal	6 N. W., 412	161
Noujan *v.* Queen	7 Mad., 375	5551
Noushabah Sooltan Begum *v.* Nubeerah Sooltan Begum	3 Agra, 44	4387
Nousha Begum *v.* Umrao Begum	7 N. W., 60	3656, 5010
Nowab Begum *v.* Rustum Khan	2 Agra, 149	4314
Nowab Khan *v.* Rughoonath Doss	20 W. R., 474	5764
Nowab Singh *v.* Kokil Singh	24 W. R., Cr., 70	1306
Nowa Koowar *v.* Abdool Ruheem	W. R., 1864, 374	3949
Nowaraja Chowdhry *v.* Ram Kanaye Doss	7 W. R., 330	3131
Nowa Tewaree. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 80	1255
Nowbat Roy *v.* Lala Kedar Nath	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 219	79
Nowbut Rai *v.* Bhagmanee	2 Agra, 5	2205
Nowbut Ram *v.* Durbaree Singh	2 Agra, 145	1678, 2387, 3864
Nowbut Singh *v.* Chutter Dharee Singh	19 W. R., 223	5764
——— *v.* Lad Kooer	5 N. W., 102	2438, 5805, 6111
Nowcouree Chunder Surmah *v.* Birmomoyee Dabea	3 W. R., 169	3770
Nowcowree Mundul *v.* Mookta Bibee	2 W. R., 181	5780
Nowindh Singh *v.* Sohun Kooer	3 N. W., 12	4160
Nowlakhu Kunwari. In re	8 B. L. R., 389, note	2446
Nowla Ooma *v.* Bala Dhurmaji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 91	5716
Nowlas Koonwor *v.* Shiva Suhai	1 Agra, Rev., 65	1603
Nowlaso Kooeree *v.* Lalljee Modi	2 W. R., 202	2373
Nowrjee Nusserwanjee *v.* Johannes	1 Hyde, 293	5880
Nowrutton Koer *v.* Gource Dutt Singh	6 W. R., 103	2154
Noyna Misser *v.* Rupikun	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 609 : 12 C. L. R., 300	54, 3024
Nozumuddy *v.* Hasmi Khan	21 W. R., Cr., 54	2925
Nubbee Buksh *v.* Hingon	8 W. R., 412	1103
Nubbi Buksh *v.* Chasni	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 168 : 7 C. L. R., 282.	229
Nubee Buksh. In the matter of	7 W. R., 481	4432
——— *v.* Ram Suhai	1 Agra, Rev., 57	1645
Nubee Buksh *alias* Golam Nubee *v.* Kaloo Lushker	22 W. R., 4	3728
Nubeen Chunder Bullub *v.* Bhoobun Chunder Mundul	15 W. R., 526	5282
Nubeen Chunder Paul *v.* Stephenson	15 W. R., 534	313, 4245, 4246, 4283, 4403
Nubo Coomar Chatterjee *v.* Ramdhun Chatterjee	15 W. R., 266	6173
Nubo Coomar Ghose *v.* Oozir Shikdar	23 W. R., 238	4171
Nubo Doorga *v.* Seetamonee	23 W. R., 407	5242
Nubo Doorga Dossia *v.* Dwarka Nath Roy	24 W. R., 301	3067
Nubo Kant Roy Chowdhry *v.* Mahatab Bibee	20 W. R., 164	3635
Nubo Kishen Mookerjee. In the matter of	11 W. R., 188	3457
——— *v.* Debnath Roy Chowdhry	22 W. R., 194	847, 1911
——— *v.* Hurish Chunder Banerjee	7 W. R., 142	564
——— *v.* Kalachand Mookerjee	15 W. R., 438	1600, 2978

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nubo Kishen Mookerjee v. Sreeram Roy	15 W. R., 255	3000
Nubo Kishen Roy v. Gobind Chunder Sein	6 W. R., 317	5983
Nubo Kishore Biswas v. Jadub Chunder Sircar	20 W. R., 426	5130, 5141
Nubo Kishore Bose v. Pandul Sircar	8 W. R., 312	1596
Nubo Kishore Doss v. Joy Doorga Dossee	22 W. R., 189	6199
Nubo Kishore Mojoomdar v. Anund Mohun Mojoomdar.	17 W. R., 19	1473, 1927 2707
Nubo Kishore Mundul v. Fukeer Paramanick	17 W. R., 558	1660
Nubokisto Mookerjee v. Ramessur Goopto	18 W. R., 412, note	568
Nubo Kristo Mojoomdar v. Tara Monee	12 W. R., 320	1625, 4140
Nubo Kristo Mookerjee v. Nadiar Chund Hattee	12 W. R., 374	767
Nubo Lall Khan v. Maharanee of Burdwan	9 W. R., 590	5621
Nubo Tarinee Dossee v. Gray	11 W. R., 7	4923, 4925 4937
Nubudeep Chunder Sircar v. Lalla Sheeb Loll	Marsh., 325	525
Nubungo Moonjuree Dabea v. Goluckmonee Dabea	Marsh., 45 : 1 Hay, 76	3984
Nudar Chand Bhooya v. Reedoy Mundul	11 B. L. R., 424, note : 17 W. R., 458.	5130
Nuddea Kishore Doss v. Bungshee Mohun Doss	17 W. R., 210	5468, 5477
Nuddear Chand Poddar v. Modhoosoodun Doy Poddar	7 W. R., 153	3036
Nuddear Chand Sein v. Kishoree Lall Chuckerbutty	7 W. R., 463	4590, 4792 4821
Nuddyabashee Doss v. Reza Chowdhry	15 W. R., 337	1896
Nuddyar Chand Shaha v. Gobind Chunder Guha	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1092	1473, 1867
——— v. Meajan	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 820	3019
Nudiar Chand Shaha v. Prannath Shaha	21 W. R., 8	1358, 4858
Nudyar Chand Chuckerbutty v. Roop Doss Banerjee	22 W. R., 475	4004
Nuffar Ali Biswas v. Rameshar Bhunick	3 C. L. R., 28	3840
Nuffar Chunder Shaha v. Gossain Jysingh Bharuttee	3 W. R., Act X, 144	1592, 1597
Nuffer Chunder Banerjee v. Guddadhur Mundle	3 W. R., 122	5361
Nuffer Chunder Bhutto v. Jotendro Mohun Tagore	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 505 : 8 C. L. R., 553.	1571
Nuffer Chunder Paul v. Nudurconissa Beebee	9 W. R., 387	1198, 1881
Nuffer Chunder Paul Chowdry v. Poulson	12 B. L. R., 53 : 19 W. R., 175.	4997
——— v. Soorendronath Roy	14 W. R., 410	5980
Nuffer Chunder Shah v. Gunga Dutt Bharutty	11 W. R., 190	1658
Nuffer Mytee v. Monohur Sirdar	13 W. R., 334	541
Nuffur Chunder Chunder v. Khoodeeram Poramanick	24 W. R., 434	5808
Nuffur Merdha v. Ram Lall Adhicary	15 W. R., 308	3551
Nufisa Banoo v. Mahomed Sufdar	24 W. R., 336	1406
Nufur Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Luckheemonee Dabee	9 W. R., 300	5018
Nufusa v. Mahomed Akbar Gazee	2 W. R., 74	4212
Nugender Chunder Ghose v. Dossee	8 W. R., P. C., 17	2516, 5360
——— v. Kaminee Dossee	11 Moore's I. A., 241	2516, 5360
——— v. Ram Comul Mundul	3 W. R., 213	4956
Nugendro Chunder Ghose v. Musruff Bibee	15 W. R., 17	2883, 5331
Nugendur Narain v. Rughoonath Narain Dey	W. R., 1864, 20	1341, 1342 2318, 5020
Nugur Mull v. Azeemollah	1 N. W., 146 : Ed. 1873, 228	1812
Nujeeboonissa v. Zumeerun	11 W. R., 426	3633
Nujeemooddeen Ahmed v. Hosseinee	4 W. R., 110	3649
Nujeeni Mullick v. Erfan Mollah	22 W. R., 298	849
Nujmooddeen Ahmed v. Abdool Azeez	15 W. R., 95	5482
——— v. Zuhoorun	10 W. R., 45	333, 3633
Nujmooddeen Hossein v. Lloyd	15 W. R., 232	3395
Nujuf Ali v. Patterson	2 N. W., 103	5253

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nujuf Ali v. Patterson	2 N. W., 104	4126
Nukanna v. Ramasami	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 218	3521
Nukchad Singh v. Hunooman Dutt Singh	10 W. R., 69	5800
Nullit Biswas v. Prosunno Moyee Dossee	17 W. R., 179	2704
Nullit Chunder Gohoo v. Bagola Soonduree Dossee	21 W. R., 249	2338
Number v. Ambu	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 381	962
Nund Coomar Bannerjee v. Barry	Marsh., 121 : 1 Hay, 260	4898
Nund Coomar Foutehdar v. Bunso Gopal Sahoy	23 W. R., 342	1895, 1927
Nund Coomar Lall v. Razeeooddeen Hossein	10 B. L. R., 183 : 18 W. R., 477.	2152
Nund Coomar Singh v. Gunga Pershad	3 W. R., 207	1145
——— v. Gunga Pershad Narain Singh	10 W. R., 94	4154, 5173
Nund Doolal Sircar v. Dwarkanath Biswas	2 W. R., 9	3183
Nund Duntpat v. Tara Chund Pritheebaree	2 W. R., Act X, 13	1760
Nundeeput Mahatah v. Urquhart	9 W. R., 355	4324
Nundeeput Mohatoon v. Stewart	23 W. R., 20	5612
Nundeeram Singh v. Inderchund Dogare	Cor., 89	1386
Nunde Lall v. Tayler	1 Ind Jur., N. S., 55 : 5 W. R., 37.	472
Nund Kishore v. Nuthoo Ram	1 Agra, 223	144
Nund Kishore Doss v. Maharaja of Burdwan	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 947 : 9 W. R., 236.	3447
Nund Kishore Lal v. Kurcem Buksh Khan	5 W. R., Act X, 62	1582
Nund Kishore Lall v. Mohun Lall	22 W. R., 164	5808
——— v. Sheo Dyal Oopadhya	11 W. R., 168	4193
Nund Kishore Singh v. Huree Pershad Mundul	13 W. R., 64	5044, 5216
——— v. Ismed Kooor	20 W. R., 189	3012
——— v. Ram Kishore Singh Deb	17 W. R., 359	2746
Nund Koomar Lall v. Shumboo Singh	8 W. R., 34	3425
Nund Lall v. Baluk	2 Agra, 122	3079, 3982
——— v. Kullianabuttee	Marsh., 209 : 1 Hay, 532	3894
Nund Lall Bose v. Aboo Mahomed	1. L. R., 5 Calc., 597 : 5 C. L. R., 45.	3352, 4888
——— v. Abu Syed	5 C. L. R., 45	4888
Nund Lall Doss v. Delawur Ali	11 W. R., 244	5434
Nund Lall Roy v. Gooroo Churn Bose	15 W. R., 6	5308
Nund Lall Sootrodhor v. Bhagirutty Sootran	10 W. R., Cr., 31	975
Nund Mohun Chowdhry v. Goluck Nath Neogee	11 W. R., 99	6236
——— v. Manikarnika Chowdhrain	W. R., 1864, 119	4610
Nund Mohun Chutteraj v. Rohinee Debia	22 W. R., 293	2027
Nundo Coomar Bukshee v. Koonjo Kishore Roy	6 W. R., Mis., 73	5622
Nundo Coomar Mookerjee v. Issur Chunder Bhuttacharji.	12 B. L. R., Ap., 9	3469
Nundo Gopal Roy v. Jankeeram Chuckerbutty	W. R., 1864, 316	3223
Nundo Kishore Haldar v. Anundo Chunder Chatterjee	23 W. R., Cr., 64	5151
Nundo Kishore Lall v. Ramsookhee Kooer	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 215 : 4 C. L. R., 361.	3211
Nundo Kishore Singh v. Lalla Burjun Lall	15 W. R., 154	1448
Nundo Kumaree Peshagur v. Anund Mohun Gooho	24 W. R., Cr., 68	4104
Nundo Lal Bose v. Corporation for the Town of Calcutta	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 275	652, 601
Nundo Lall Bhuttacharjee v. Bidhoo Mookhy Debee	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 17	5039
Nundolall Mitter v. Prosunno Moyee Debia	19 W. R., 333	1823, 2747
Nundo Lall Mookerjee v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 356	383
Nundo Pershad Thakur v. Gopal Thakur	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1008	3712, 3726 3727
Nund Pandah v. Gyadhur	10 W. R., 89	1743

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nund Ram *v.* Chootoo	1 Agra, 255	2342, 6102
Nundram Dyaram *v.* Dula Bhaee Kurparam	1 Moore's I. A., 414	3123
Nundram Ghose *v.* Mannoo Bibee	10 W. R., 177	4787
Nundun Lall *v.* Lloyd	22 W. R., 74	1163, 1179
——— *v.* Smith	7 W. R., 188	3799
Nundun Misser *v.* Chittur Buttee	21 W. R., 446	5837
Nundun Singh *v.* Koolsoom	W. R., 1864, 377	3127, 3128
Nunhoo Mahtoon *v.* Teeloco Kooer	18 W. R., 313	5779
Nunhoo Sahoo *v.* Boodhoo Jummadur	13 W. R., 2	1689
Nunhoo Shahee *v.* Rampershad Narain Singh	21 W. R., 38	1172
Nunhuk Sahoo *v.* Juggessur Oopadhya	20 W. R., 408	5399
Nunkee *alias* Parbuttee *v.* Beseesurnath	3 Agra, 428	1709
Nunkoo Lall *v.* Dhunesh Kooer	17 W. R., 497	1923
Nunkoo Singh *v.* Purm Dhun Singh	12 W. R., 356	675, 2126
Nura Bibi *v.* Jagatnarain	I. L. R., 8 All., 295	3444, 4188
Nuraddin Mahomed *v.* Asgar Ali	12 C. L. R., 312	3726
Nur Ahmad *v.* Altaf Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 58	439, 5581
Nurbibi *v.* Husen Lal	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 537	5685
Nureem Mundle *v.* Boramdy Sirdar	25 W. R., 208	5093
Nurendro Narain Roy *v.* Narain Singh Roy	22 W. R., 296	1748, 1985
Nurjahan *v.* Marfan Mundul	11 C. L. R., 91	6081
Nurjoon Sahoo *v.* Moojeerooddeen	3 W. R., 6	3106
Nur Kadir *v.* Zuleikha Bibi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 649	3686
Nurkoo Ram *v.* Ramjoorawun Singh	9 W. R., 481	5370
Nur Mohammed *v.* Thakoor Bibi	1 B. L. R., S. N., 18	3162
Nur Muhammad *v.* Abubakar Ibrahim Meman	8 Bom., O. C., 29	425
Nurqnarain Doss *v.* Brojo Mohun Doss	W. R., 1864, 70	1137
Nuronath Das Roy *v.* Goda Kolita	20 W. R., 342	2347
Nurrender Narain Singh *v.* Sreenarain Doss	18 W. R., 262	497
Nurrohurry Mohonto *v.* Naraincc Dossee	1 Ind. Jur., O., S. 9: W. R., F. B., 23: 1 Hay, 234.	145, 6108
Nursey Kessowji. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 270	2664
Nursey Spinning and Weaving Co. In the matter of	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 92	937
Nursingdas Rughunathdas *v.* Tulsiram bin Doulatram	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 558	5693
Nursingh Churn Sein *v.* Bidya Dhuree Dossee	2 W. R., 275	819
Nursingh Deb *v.* Ram Mohun Mookerjee	Marsh., 176: 1 Hay, 379	4466, 6246
——— *v.* Roy Koylashnath	9 Moore's I. A., 55	5503
Nursingh Doss *v.* Kumrooddeen	20 W. R., 412	5242
——— *v.* Moosharoo Bhandaree	25 W. R., 282	3234
——— *v.* Narain Doss	3 N. W., 217	916, 2364, 2387, 3333
——— *v.* ———	26 W. R., 17	2372
Nursingh Doyal *v.* Hurryhur Saha	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 897: 6 C. L. R., 489.	3140, 3235
Nursingh Gariwan *v.* Puttoo Ostagur	20 W. R., 420	384
Nursingh Narain *v.* Bhuttun Lall	W. R., 1864, 194	2132, 2286, 2309
Nursingh Narain Singh *v.* Ameerun	22 W. R., 551	3377
——— *v.* Roghoobur Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 609	5400
Nursingh Narayan Singh *v.* Lukputty Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 333	3080
Nur Singh Roy *v.* Anderson	16 W. R., 21	3840
Nursingh Roy *v.* Anderson	19 W. R., 125	3839, 5792
Nurubdessur Pershad Roy *v.* Jungole	24 W. R., 49	581
Nur-ul-hasan *v.* Muhammad Hasan	I. L. R., 8 All., 573	3488
Nurunnissa *v.* Roushan Jan	2 B. L. R., Ap., 1	4250
Nuseeboonissa *v.* Danush Ali	3 W. R., 133	3649
Nusibunnissa Bibee *v.* Krad Ali	4 C. L. R., 413	5527

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nusrun v. Ram Debul Singh	17 W. R., 273	4788
Nusrun Beebee v. Watson & Co.	3 W. R., 215	2792, 2915
Nusrut Ali v. Zeinunnissa	15 W. R., 146	3732
Nusrut Banoo v. Mahomed Sayem	18 W. R., 230	4237
Nusrut Reza v. Umbul Khyr Bibee	8 W. R., 309	3707
Nusseebun Bibee v. Ashruff Ally	Marsh., 315 : 2 Hay, 163	3684
Nusseeroodeen Biswas v. Ujjul Biswas	17 W. R., 68	4345
Nusseerun v. Gouree Sunker Singh	22 W. R., 192	1766
Nuseeutoollah v. Roop Sona Bibee	7 W. R., 499	3272
Nusserwanjee v. Pursotum Dass	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 298	5720
Nusserwanjee Pestonjee v. Mynoodeen Khan	6 Moore's I. A., 134	350
Nusserwanji v. Gordon	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 266	926, 2621 4420
Nussur Ali v. Hart	6 W. R., Cr., 42	4238
Nussurooddeen Hossein Chowdhry v. Lall Mahomed Puramanick.	13 W. R., 234	5781
Nussurut Ali v. Abdool Kareem Chowdhry	11 W. R., 373	1183
Nussurut Ali Chowdhry v. Mahomed Kanoo Sikdar	11 W. R., 541	308, 2928
Nusur Mahomed v. Kazbai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 202	5588
Nuthoo v. Dan Suhai	2 Agra, 279	533
——— v. Ghunessam Singh	8 W. R., 267	1756
Nuthoo Lall v. Budree Pershad	1 N. W., 1	456, 699
——— v. ———	3 Agra, 286	1106, 5841
Nuthoo Lall Chowdhry v. Saadat Lall	W. R., 1864, 271	4315
——— v. Shoukee Lall	10 B L. R., 200 : 18 W. R., 458.	5016
Nuthoo Singh v. Ram Buksh Singh	18 W. R., 34	1449
Nuttha Ram v. Sookh Ram	3 Agra, 90	1716
Nuzeeroodeen Ahmed v. Railway Commissioners	Marsh., 91 : 1 Hay, 157	1199
Nuzeerun v. Ameeroodeen	24 W. R., 3	2515
Nuzmooddeen Ahmed v. Abdool Azeez	13 W. R., 242	214
Nuzmoodeen v. Kanye Jha	Marsh., 555 : 2 Hay, 651	3705
Nuzur Ali v. Emdad Ali	1 W. R., 206	4820
Nuzur Banoo v. Hossein Ali Khan	W. R., 1864, 378	5240
Nyan v. Sher Ali	22 W. R., Cr., 86	4112
Nyan Suk Mether. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 49	5572
Nymooddee Joardar v Moncrieff	12 W. R., 140	1590, 2794 4899
Nynakka Routhen v. Vavana Mahomed Naina Routhen	5 Mad., 123	4819, 5245
Nyna Kooer v. Doolee Chund	22 W. R., 77	1928
O		
Oahad Buksh v. Bindoo Bashinee Dossee	7 W. R., 298	2386
Oakes v. Jackson	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 134	1112, 5832
Obedul Hossein v. Goluck Chunder	8 W. R., 443	3271
Obedur Reza v. Mahomed Muneer	16 W. R., 88	3679
Obhoya Churn Dey v. Rajendro Coomar Ghose	22 W. R., 406	4463
Obhoya Churn Simlye v. Mohesh Chunder Doss	23 W. R., 22	1433
Obhoy Chandra Mookerjee. In the matter of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 78 : 13 C. L. R., 410.	4487
——— v. Mohamed Sabir	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 78 : 13 C. L. R., 410.	4487
Obhoy Chunder Bundopadhya v. Nilambur Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 73	5319
Obhoy Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Pearee Mohun Gooho	13 W. R., F. B., 75	2373, 4321
Obhoy Chunder Sirdar v. Radha Bullubh Sen	1 C. L. R., 549	1659

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Obhoy Churn Chowdhry *v.* Collector of Dacca	4 W. R., 59	65
Obhoy Churn Coondoo *v.* Golam Ali *alias* Nocowry Meah	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 410 : 9 C. L. R., 361.	5444
Obhoy Churn Dey *v.* Lukhy Monee Bewa	2 C. L. R., 555	4146
——— *v.* Rajendro Coomar Ghose	16 W. R., 288	4955
Obhoy Churn Doss *v.* Meer Saheb Ali	5 W. R., 244	1750
Obhoy Churn Dutt *v.* Huro Chunder Doss Buxee	13 W. R., 150	3237, 3293
——— *v.* Modhoo Soodun Chowdhry	9 W. R., 330	3526
——— *v.* Nobin Chunder Dutt	10 W. R., 298	5280
Obhoy Churn Ghose *v.* Gobind Chunder Dey	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 237	2353, 3370
Obhoy Churn Mohapattur *v.* Kanye Rawot	1 C. L. R., 394	5180
——— *v.* Shunkur Dobey	12 W. R., 500	4852
Obhoy Churn Mookerjee *v.* Pearee Dossia	22 W. R., 270	838
——— *v.* Punchanun Bose	Marsh., 564 : 2 Hay, 630	472
Obhoy Churn Mullick *v.* Woomes Chunder Paul	2 Hyde, 263	6094
Obhoy Churn Mustafi *v.* Uma Churn Mustafi	1 C. L. R., 362	4666
Obhoy Churn Newgee *v.* Sristidhur Bagdee	1 W. R., 101	531
Obhoy Churn Nundee *v.* Bhoobun Mojoomdar	12 W. R., 524	5064
Obhoy Churn Nundi *v.* Kritarthamoyi Dossee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 284	3187, 3227
Obhoy Churn Pal *v.* Kali Prosad Chatterjee	6 C. L. R., 260	1431, 4204
Obhoy Churn Roy *v.* Asanoollah	2 W. R., Act X, 81	6174
Obhoy Churn Roy Chowdhry *v.* Nobin Chunder Roy Chowdhry.	23 W. R., 95	1144
Obhoy Churn Sen *v.* Dabendro Nath Mullick	8 C. L. R., 437	1195
Obhoy Churn Sirkar *v.* Huri Nath Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 72 : 10 C. L. R., 81.	4190
Obhoy Gobind Chowdhry *v.* Beejoy Gobind Chowdhry	9 W. R., 162	135
——— *v.* Hury Churn Chowdhry	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 277	4249, 4420
——— *v.* Kenny	8 W. R., 518	5
——— *v.* Surnomoyee	W. R., F. B., 163.	3192
Obhoykant Lahoree *v.* Ramsoonduree Dabee Chowdhrani	20 W. R., 209	1136
Obilashery Debia. In the matter of the petition of	9 W. R., Cr., 18	683
Oddit Chunder Paul *v.* Comolokanto Paul	Marsh., 401 : 2 Hay, 529	4935
Oddoyto Chunder Koondoo *v.* Prosunno Koomar Bhuttacharjee.	2 W. R., 325	2080
Odhesh Coomar Singh *v.* Ram Gobind Singh	9 W. R., 145	2887
Odhiranee Narain Koomaree *v.* Nawab Nazim of Bengal.	4 W. R., 41	36
Odhoya Pershad *v.* Mohadeo Dutt Bhandaree	17 W. R., 415	200
Odit Narain *v.* Maheshur Bux Singh	Agra, F. B., 52 : Ed. 1874, 39	1815, 3068 5764
Odit Narain Singh *v.* Dhurm Mahtoon	W. R., 1864, 263	2205
Odiutzuman *v.* Mohioodeen Ahmed *alias* Moguljan	9 W. R., 241	1752
Odoit Roy *v.* Radha Pandey	7 W. R., 72.	1353
Odoy Churn Rana *v.* Bhujohury Janah	11 W. R., 310	3953
Odoytoo Churn Roy. In re	Bourke, Ins., 3	2664
Odyte Narain Singh *v.* Ubhurun Roy	4 W. R., Act X, 1	4171
Official Assignee *v.* Frazer	14 W. R., O. C., 51	3350, 4681
——— *v.* Ramalinga	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 79	2062
Official Trustee of Bengal *v.* Krishna Chandra Mozumdar.	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 239 : L. R., 12 I. A., 166.	320
Oghra Singh *v.* Ablakh Koer	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 536 : 3 C. L. R., 434.	2032, 4763 4825, 4831
Ogle *v.* Thomas	2 Hyde, 279	2676
——— *v.* Nashholm	Bourke, O. C., 171	600
Ognee Coomar Roy *v.* Kumolakant Roy	11 W. R., 38	5633
Ograkant Chowdhree *v.* Mohesh Chunder Sickdar	4 C. L. R., 40	4176

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Oheed Khan *v.* Collector of Shahabad	9 W. R., 502	671, 3693
Oh Ling Tee *v.* Awkinifee	10 W. R., 86	128, 4274
Ojheoonissa Begum *v.* Rusutm Ali	W. R., 1864, 219	3706, 3722
Ojooderam Khan *v.* Nobinmoney Dossee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 396	6019
Ojoodhya Persad *v.* Bhugwantajah	Marsh., 12	4964
Ojoodhyapershad Singh *v.* Ramsurn	6 W. R., 77	2151
Okhetoonissa *v.* Koochil Sirdar	2 W. R., 45	3121
Okhil Chunder Biswas. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 48	4490, 4731
Okhorah Soot *v.* Bhedea Barianee	10 W. R., 34	2524, 4280
Okhoy Chunder Dutt *v.* Erskine	3 W. R., Mis., 11	5470, 5588
Okhoy Coomar Chatterjee *v.* Dhiraj Mahtab Chund	22 W. R., 299	2740, 5324
Okhoy Coomar Chuttopadhya *v.* Mahatapchunder Badoor.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 24	4892
Okhoy Kumar. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 393	1296, 5547
Okhoy Teli *v.* Modhoo Sheikh	19 W. R., Cr., 55	4750
Okoor Persaud Bustooree *v.* Fool Coomaree Dabee	10 B. L. R., 15 : 16 W. R., P. C., 35 : 14 Moore's I. A., 134.	3317
Olaga Sundaram Pillay *v.* Muttien Chetty	4 Mad., 227	213
Oleo-unissa *v.* Buldeo Narain Singh	7 W. R., 151	3261
Olner *v.* Davezzo	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 878	5722
Olongo Moonjoree Dossee *v.* Gobind Nath Sen	W. R., 1864, Mis., 13	669
Olpherts *v.* Mahabir Pershad Singh	11 C. L. R., 494 : L. R., 10 I. A., 25.	5461
Oma Churn Banerjee *v.* Collector of Hooghly	15 W. R., 525	6041
Oman *v.* Pramathanath Roy	1 B. L. R., S. N., 25 : 10 W. R., 256.	1725
—— *v.* Ram Gopal Mozoomdar	18 W. R., 251	3836
Omanath Chowdhry *v.* Nujeeb Chowdhry	8 Moore's I. A., 498	4637
Omanath Roy Chowdhry *v.* Suroop Chunder Bose	10 W. R., 485	4347
Omar *v.* Abdool Guffoor	9 W. R., 425	3009, 4786
Omarchand Mahata *v.* Nawab Nazim of Bengal	11 W. R., 229	5812
Omar Salmia Bibi *v.* Lakhi Prya Debi	7 B. L. R., 617, note : 10 W. R., 47.	1408
Omda Bebee *v.* Acowrie Singh	7 W. R., 425	758
Omda Khanum *v.* Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 451 : 20 W. R., 317 : 21 W. R., 352.	1079, 1091 2718
Omed Ali *v.* Nidhee Ram	22 W. R., 367	1091, 6157
—— *v.* Nittyanund Roy	24 W. R., 171	3806
Omerchand. Claim of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 248	896
Omer Dutt Jha *v.* Burn	24 W. R., 470	1739
Omer Kurim *v.* Lala Shewan Lall	4 C. L. R., 291	5690
Omertolall Daw. In the matter of	13 B. L. R., Ap., 2	2667
Omesh Chunder Mundul *v.* Thakoordoss Mookerjee	23 W. R., 345	6223
Omesh Chunder Roy *v.* Dukhina Soondery Debia	W. R., F. B., 95	4203
Omesh Chunder Sen *v.* Ichanath Mozumdar	21 W. R., Cr., 64	2925
Omirta Lall Chowdhry *v.* Kalee Pershad Shaha	25 W. R., 179	1845
Omirto Lall Bose *v.* Arbach Cazi	4 W. R., Act X, 47	1642
Omirto Lall Dey *v.* Howell	2 C. L. R., 426	3137
Omirto Moyee Dossee *v.* Gooroo Doss Roy	17 W. R., 395	224
Omoola Koowur *v.* Gohun Patuck	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 36	5267
—— *v.* Sohun Patuck	1 Hay, 29	5267
Omra Nushyo *v.* Gugun Sootur	11 W. R., 130	5924
Omrao Begum. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., 310	3092, 5295
—— *v.* Commissioners under Act XVII of 1873	24 W. R., 394	4065, 5925
—— *v.* Government of India	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 704 : 12 C. L. R., 595 : L. R., 10 I. A., 39.	4065, 4260

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Omrao Khan v. Imdad Allee Khan	1 N. W., 9: Ed. 1874, 8	3240
Omrao Mirza v. Jones	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 599	1247
——— v. ———	12 C. L. R., 148	5971, 6075
Omrao Singh v. Man Koonwer	2 Agra, 136	2416
——— v. Pertab	3 Agra, 143	2285, 2297
——— v. Premnarain Singh	24 W. R., 264	1899
Omrit Koomaree Dabee v. Luckheenarain Chuckerbutty	10 W. R., F. B., 76	2300
Omrito Lall Dey.* In the matter of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 78	392, 395 2095
Omrito Lall Sircar v. Ramdhun Chakee	18 W. R., 503	1461, 6150
Omrit Ram v. Nonao Ram	6 W. R., Cr., 90	3628
Omrunissa Bibee v. Dilawar Ally Khan	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 350	2857, 2957
Omur Ali v. Weylayet Ali	4 C. L. R., 455	4038
Omur Sirdar v. Pertab Chunder Banerjee	25 W. R., 212	3
Omuthoonnissa v. Puresmun Narain Singh	25 W. R., 202	2233
Onookool Chunder Mookerjee v. Burodakant Roy	13 W. R., 467	4957
Onoop Chunder Paul v. Ekkowree Singh	6 W. R., 167	5134
Onooram v. Lamessor	9 W. R., Cr., 70	4112
Onooroop Chunder Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	6 W. R., Mis., 45	282
——— v. Heera Monee Dossee	11 W. R., 418	320, 6231
——— v. Pertab Chunder Paul	6 W. R., Mis. 4	271
Onraet v. Kishen Soonduree Dossee	15 W. R., 83	4146
——— v. Sankar Dutt Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 60: 14 W. R., 26	1487
Onungo Moonjuree Dossia v. Punchanun Bose	12 W. R., 72	5445
Oodai v. Bhowanee Pershad	1 Agra, 264	5210
Oodeychand Booduji v. Bhaskar Jagonnath	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 371	1073
Oodey Kurrun v. Chait Ram	2 Agra, 125	5375
Oodey Ram v. Sirajool Hussun	2 Agra, 241	2916
Oodey Singh v. Phool Chund	5 N. W., 197	2504
Oodeyta v. Gopal	2 Agra, 234	5741
Oodhoy Chunder Mullick v. Petamber Pyne	6 W. R., Mis., 75	1902
Oodhun Misser v. Hoobdar Singh	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 271	2409
Oodia v. Bhopal	3 Agra, 137	4999
Oodit Doss v. Government	Marsh., 259: 2 Hay, 117	2002
Oodit Narain Sing v. Hudson	2 W. R., Act X, 15	2989
Oodit Purkash Singh v. Martindell	4 Moore's I. A., 444	3905
Oodit Rai v. Ramgobind Singh	2 Agra, Pt. II, 206	3015
Oodiut Zuman. In the matter of the petition of	8 W. R., 109	221, 5939
Oodia v. Barkat	18 W. R., Cr., 7	4750
Oodoy Chand Jha v. Dhunmonee Debia	3 W. R., 183	80, 1415
Oodoychand Luskur v. Nobocoomar Poramanick	10 W. R., 428	3498
Oodoychurn Mitter. In the matter of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 411	674, 2290
Oodoyessurree v. Hurokishore Dutt	4 W. R., 107	3411
Oodoy Monee Dabee v. Bishonath Dutt	7 W. R., 14	1785
——— v. ———	9 W. R., 455	3190
Oodoynarain Sircar v. Kristo Chunder Roy Chowdhry	13 W. R., 433	553
Oodoy Singh v. Paluck Singh	16 W. R., 271	878, 2878 3278
Oodoy Tara Chowdhrain v. Jonab Ali Chowdhry	17 W. R., 358	2945
Oodoy Tara Chowdhranee v. Khaja Asanoollah	22 W. R., 180	1169
Oodwunt Mahtoon v. Biddhi Chand Chowdry	13 B. L. R., 216, note: 18 W. R., 207.	* 494
Oognee Chowdrain v. Keramutoollah	17 W. R., 219	4269
Oojagur Roy v. Ram Khelawan Singh	10 W. R., 384	5393
Oojan Dewan v. Prannath Mundul	8 W. R., 220	6279
Oojulmoye Dossee v. Chunder Koomar Neogee	12 W. R., F. B., 18	2844

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Oojul Mundul v. Horasutoollah Mundul	7 W. R., 150	4849
Ookerda Poonsey v. Steam-ship "Savitri"	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 408	5646
Oolagappa Chetty v. Arbuthnot	14 B. L. R., 115: 21 W. R., 358: L. R., 1 I. A., 268, 282.	2236, 2442 3578, 6071
Oolfut Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., 197	3846
Oomabuttee v. Parushnath Pandey	15 W. R., 135	143
Oomabutty v. Pureshnath Pandey	12 W. R., 521	5231
Ooma Churn *alias* Gopal Chunder Roy Mozoomdar v. Girish Chunder Banerjee.	25 W. R., 22	1380, 5771
Ooma Churn Banerjee v. Rajluckhee Debia	25 W. R., 19	3000
Ooma Churn Biswas v. Shibnath Bagchee	8 W. R., 14	3798, 6090
Ooma Churn Dutt v. Beckwith	5 W. R., Act X, 8	5072
Ooma Churn Koondoo v. Bhoobun Mohun Pal	10 W. R., 98	6178
Oomadhur Bhut v. Mahomed Luteef	1 W. R., 229	536
Ooma Dutt Chowdhry v. Hunooman Chowdhry	22 W. R., 453	4312
Ooma Lochun Mojoomdar v. Nittye Chund Poddar	14 W. R., 467	2994
Oomanath Roy Chowdhry v. Raghoonath Mitter	Marsh., 43: W. R., F. B., 10: 1 Hay, 75.	1674, 4988 5089
Oomanund Roy v. Suttish Chunder Roy	9 W. R., 471	5119
Ooma Soonduree Dossee v. Bipin Beharee Roy	13 W. R., 292	2801
Oomatara Debia v. Peena Bibee	2 W. R., 155	4589
Oomatool Fatima v. Azhur Ali	15 W. R., 356	2696
——— v. Ghunnoo Singh	19 W. R., 22	327
Oomda Bibee v. Jonab Ali	5 W. R., 132: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 143.	3632
Oomed Ali v. Mohdee Hossain	2 N. W., 2	1743
Oomedee v. Cheda Lall	2 Agra, 264	6149
Oomesh Chunder Shaha v. Manick Chunder Bonick	8 W. R., 128	4302
Oommut Fatima v. Bhujo Gopal Doss	13 W. R., 50	1748
Oomrao Begum v. Hamid Jan	3 Agra, 279	3418
——— v. Khooseram	1 N. W., 181: Ed. 1873, 260	3378
——— v. Nawab Nazim of Bengal	24 W. R., 28	4862
——— v. Nizamoonnissa	1 Agra, 224	3962
Oomrao Doolhaen v. Aga Meer	12 W. R., 119	676
Oomrao Singh v. Methab Koonwer	3 Agra, 103a	1710, 2111 2119, 5821
——— v. Sutun Lall	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 77: Ed. 1873, 168.	1488
——— v. Shimboo Nath	2 N. W., 38	5379
Oomur Shah v. Rumzan Ali	10 W. R., 363	5280
Oomutoonnissa Beebee v. Ooreefoonissa Beebee	4 W. R., 66	3734
Oonkur Dass v. Heera Singh	1 Agra, 141	3832
Oo Noung v. Moung Htoon Oo	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 322	1528, 4777
Oopendra Krishna Deb v. Nobin Krishna Bose	17 W. R., 370, note	312
Oopendro Mohun Moostafee v. Poorno Chunder Bhuttacharjee.	19 W. R., 85	1782, 5622
Oopendronath Roy v. Kalee Churn Roy	8 W. R., 394	4468
Oopendur Mohun Mustafee v. Tripp	5 W. R., Mis., 40	3516
Oorhya Kooer v. Rujoo Nye Sookool	14 W. R., 208	2293
Oosman Khan v. Chowdhry Sheoraj Singh	5 N. W., 42	2878, 3000 4923
Ootacamund Municipality v. O'Shaughnessy	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 38	3600
Ootshub Narain Chowdhry v. Chittra Recka Goopta	17 W. R., 154	4759, 5018
Oottum Singh v. Ram Surun Lall	23 W. R., 287	3775
Ootum v. Mullick Gholam Hossein	2 Hay, 359	288
Ootum Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Obhoy Churn Misser	W. R., F. B., 67	2283
Opender Narain Mookerjee v. Gudadhur Dey	25 W. R., 472	26

Name of Case	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Opendar Narain Mookerjee *v.* Gudadhur Dey	25 W. R., 476	26, 3527
Opendro Nath Ghose *v.* Dukhini Bewa	I L. R., 12 Calc., 473	1303
Opendur Lall Roy *v.* Bromo Moyee	10 W. R., 347	2129
Oram. In the goods of	12 B. L. R., Ap., 21: 21 W. R., 245.	1238
Ord *v.* Ord	4 B. L. R., O. C., 53	6204
— *v.* —	5 B. L. R., Ap, 34	1554
Orde *v.* Skinner	I. L. R., 3 All., 91: 7 C. L. R., 295: L. R., 7 I. A., 197.	2803, 6202
Oriental Bank *v.* Baree Tea Company	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 880: 13 C. L. R., 412.	4595
——— *v.* Brown and Co.	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 265	2079, 4629
——— *v.* Charriol	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 642	3113, 4288
——— *v.* Fleming	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 242	1078
——— *v.* Gobind Lall Seal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 604: 13 C. L. R., 142.	4526
——— *v.* Gobinloll Seal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 713	129
——— *v.* Mani Madhab Sen	3 B. L. R., Ap., 14	2650
Oriental Financial Association *v.* Mercantile Credit and Financial Association.	3 Bom., O. C., 1	933
Oriental Finance Corporation *v.* Mercantile Credit and Finance Corporation.	2 Bom., 282: 2nd Ed., 267	760
Orjoon Sahoo *v.* Anund Singh	10 W. R., 257	1105, 1734, 4930
Oroon Chunder Mundul *v.* Romanath Rukheet	10 W. R., 260	3236
Oseemunnissa Khatoon *v.* Ameeroonnissa Khatoon	20 W. R., 162	827
Osman Singh *v.* Chummun Mahtoo	15 W. R., 87	328
Osseemooddeen *v.* Futteh Mahomed	7 W. R., 259	5650
Ossuff *v.* Shama	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 558: 5 C. L. R., 21.	3740
Ostoche *v.* Haridas	I. L. R., 2 All., 869	1226
Otiooila *v.* Aseerun	7 W. R., 194	1453
Oudh Beharee Singh *v.* Dost Mahomed	22 W. R., 185	1632
Oudh Behari Lal *v.* Brajamohan Lal	4 B. L. R., Ap., 41: 13 W. R., 128.	1923, 3535
Oudh Behari Narain Singh. In re	1 C. L. R., 143	400
Ounda *v.* Raheem Shere Khan	3 N. W., 138	1657
Outar Singh *v.* Ablakhee Koonwer	2 Agra, 328	4536
Overend, Gurney, and Co. *v.* Steele	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 39	4409
——— *v.* ———	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40	6275
Owners of the ship "Brenhilda" *v.* British India Steam Navigation Company.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 547	276
Ozeer Mahomed *v.* Bydnath Doss Chowdhry	5 W. R., Act X, 6	6231
Ozeeroonissa Bibee *v.* Ramdhun Roy	11 W. R., 17	3731
Ozerun *v.* Heeranund Sahoo	7 W. R., 336	3377

P

P. *v.* P.	9 B. L. R., Ap., 6	1553
Paba Khoji. In re. The petition of	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 272	616, 617
Pabitra Dasi *v.* Damudar Jana	7 B. L. R., 697: 24 W. R., 397, note.	318, 2267
Pachaiperumal Chetti *v.* Savayyar Audoni Kurusu Ravvel	6 Mad., 351	4762
Pachsoni Awasthi *v.* Ilahi Baksh	I. L. R., 4 All., 478	233, 2796
Pachoo Baree *v.* Gooroo Churn Dass	15 W. R., 556	5701, 5747
Padagaligum Pillai *v.* Shanmugham Pillai	2 Mad., 333	1424

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Padamani Dasi *v.* Jagadamba Dasi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 56	2988
Padarath Singh *v.* Raja Ram	I. L. R., 4 All., 235	11
Paddo Sundari Dasi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 3 All., 304	183
Padmakar Vinayak Joshi *v.* Mahadev Krishna Joshi	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 21	3854
Padmakumari Debi Chowdhrani *v.* Court of Wards	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 302; L. R., 8 I. A., 220.	2140
Padma Lochan *v.* Sirdar Khan	3 B. L. R., Ap., 91	773
Padmalochan Sen *v.* Lalchand Gupta	1 B. L. R., S. N., 26: 10 W. R., 283.	4281
Padmamani Dasi *v.* Jagadamba Dasi	6 B. L R., 134	2455, 2464 2826, 4306
Padmanabha. In re	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 18	1302
——— *v.* Thanakoti	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 119	1895
Padmavati. Ex parte	5 Mad., 415	4376
——— *v.* Doolar Singh	7 W. R., P. C., 41; 4 Moore's I. A., 259.	2280
Padu Malhari *v.* Rakhmai	10 Bom., 435	4773, 4774
Padsha *v.* Tiruvembala	I L. R., 9 Mad., 479	3596
Pagi Partap Hamir *v.* Varajlal Mulchand	I L. R., 8 Bom., 259	5666
Pahaladh Singh *v.* Luchmunbutty	12 W. R., 256	4254, 4261
Pahalwan Singh *v.* Muhessur Buksh Singh	9 B L. R., 150: 16 W. R., P. C., 5.	35, 4870
——— *v.* Muheshur Buksh Singh	12 B. L. R., 391: 18 W. R., 182.	5071
Pahlud Singh *v.* Ahlud Singh	6 N. W., 91	5685
Pahlwan Singh *v.* Risal Sjngh	I. L. R., 4 All., 55	5032
Paidal Kidavu *v* Parakal Imbichuni Kidavu	1 Mad., 13	3763
Paigi *v.* Sheonarain	I. L. R., 8 All., 78	5098
Pakaktar *v.* Jakriram Bhakat	2 B. L. R., Ap., 12	760, 6243
Pakatur *v.* Jakriram Bhokath	11 W. R., 5	760, 6243
Pakhandu *v.* Manki	I. L. R., 3 All., 506	3852
Pakir Razu *v.* Jaganadha Naravana Ramachandra Razu	I. L. R., 7 Mad , 268	5595
——— *v* Zamindar of Salur	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 268	5595
Palak Dhari Rai *v.* Radha Prasad Singh	I. L. R , 2 All., 65	268
Palamcottah Municipality *v.* Annasami	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 100	3602
Palani *v.* Selambara	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 267	4805
——— *v.* Sivalinga	I. L. R , 8 Mad., 6	5338
Palaniappa *v.* Raya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 325	3594
Palaniappa Chetty *v.* Rayappa Chetty	4 Mad., 119	384, 5220
Palanivellappa Kaundan *v.* Mannaru Naikan	2 Mad., 416	2387
Palaniyaudi Kaundan *v.* Muttusami Kaundan	2 Mad., 441	5776
Palaniyappa Chetti *v.* Arumugam Chetti	2 Mad., 26	477, 1812 2215
Palany Andy Pillay. Ex parte	4 Mad., 330	3174
Palavarapu Mutanna *v* Chaudurl Narappa	2 Mad., 349	5144
Palkonda Zamindar *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 91	3166
Pallingatha Ummer Kutti *v.* Abdul Kadar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 57	3221
Pallikunath Ramen Menon *v.* Mullankaji Sri Kumaran Nambudri.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 31	10
Palmer *v.* Balgobind Doss	7 W. R., 230	3837
——— *v.* Cohen	1 Hyde, 123	1060
——— *v.* Madhoo Persaud	2 Agra, 131	2698
——— *v.* Secretary of State for India	2 Agra, 194	1376
Palmer's Estate. In re	Cor., 68	3167
Paltu *v.* Janki Prasad	6 B. L. R., 119	2665
Palut Bhugut *v.* Monohur Bhugut	13 C. L. R., 171	177, 1234
Pana Ali *v.* Sadik Hossein	7 N. W., 201	2088
Panamchand valad Surajmal *v.* Bhivraj valad Dashrat	6 Bom., A. C., 38	3530

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Panaollah Nushyo *v.* Nubodeep Chunder Shaha	15 W. R., 270	2998
Panappa Mudali *v.* Srinivasa Mudali	3 Mad., 86	4054
Panaul Huq *v.* Kishen Mun Dabee	9 C. L. R., 297	790
Pancham *v.* Jhinguri	I. L. R., 4 All., 278	5124
Pancham Singh *v.* Ali Ahmad	I. L. R., 4 All., 58	1142
Panchanada Tambiran. In the matter of the petition of	4 Mad., 229	1048, 1989
Panchanadayyan *v.* Nilakandayyan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 191	1430, 4391
Panchanan Bose *v.* Gurudas Roy	9 B. L. R., 187 : 18 W. R., 317	5135
Panchanan Mookerjee *v.* Radhanath Mookerjee	4 B. L. R., A. C., 213	5129
Panch Cowree Mahtoon *v.* Kaleo Churn	9 W. R., 490	697, 2502 4032
Pancheowrie Mull *v.* Chumroolall	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 563 : 2 C. L. R., 121.	1573
Panchi Dassi *v.* Ahmedulla	12 C. L. R., 444	4769
Panchudas *v.* Shudhamayi	8 B. L. R., Ap., 19 : 16 W. R., Cr., 72.	3742
Pandah Gazi *v.* Jennuddi	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 665 : 2 C. L. R., 526.	3285
Pandaiya Telaver *v.* Puli Telaver	1 Mad., 478	2319, 2416 2440
Pandey Bishonath Roy *v.* Bhyrub Singh	7 W. R., 145	4859
Pandit Hait Ram *v.* Mulu	7 N. W., 110	5388
Pandoorung Buhal Pundit *v.* Balkrishen Hurbajee Mahajun.	5 W. R., P. C., 124 : 2 Moore's I. A., 60.	1666
Pandu *v.* Devji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 287	4532
——— *v.* Surbomongola Dossee	1 W. R., 22	1578
Pandurang *v.* Krishnaji Vyanktesh	12 Bom., 65	2279
Pandurang Anandrav *v.* Bhaskar Shadashiv	11 Bom., 72	2396, 5385
Pandurang Anpai *v.* Keshavji Jadhavji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 742	6232
Pandurang Govind *v.* Balkrishna Hari	6 Bom., A. C., 125	4173, 4177
Pandurang Kamti *v.* Venkatesh Pai	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 95, note	2384
Pandurang Ramchandra *v.* Narayan	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 300	1037
Pandurang Sadashiv *v.* Moro Vasudev	6 Bom., A. C., 68	5129
Pandurang Sakharam *v.* Yedneshwar	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 70	3050
Pandurungy Annachariyar *v.* Iyathory Mudaly	4 Mad., 443	110
Pandya Nayak. In re	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 436	21, 2599
Panioty *v.* Dwarka Mohun Dass	4 C. L. R., 145	4614
——— *v.* Juggut Chunder Dutt	9 W. R., 379	1634, 3016 4168
——— *v.* Parbutty Chowdhrain	8 W. R., 492	1695
Panjab Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 579	1287
Pan Kooer *v.* Bhugwunt Kooer	6 N. W., 19 : Agra, F. B., 1874, 295.	161
Panna Lall *v.* Gopiram Buzurish	3 B. L. R., Ap., 2	1761
Pannalal Seal *v.* Bama Sundari Dasi	6 B. L. R., 732	48, 2202
Panye Chunder Sircar *v.* Hurchunder Chowdhry	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 496	5272
Papammal *v.* Ramaswami Chetti	2 Mad., 365	5211
Papasastrial *v.* Anuntarama Sastrial	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 98	1864
Parabdi Sahani *v.* Mahomed Hossein	1 B. L. R., A. C., 37	1575, 4815
Parabhudas Hirachand *v.* Dhondu	2 Bom., 233 : 2nd Ed., 222	4821
Paradutt Lall *v.* Ruttun Singh	5 N. W., 242	5447
Paraga Kuar *v.* Bhagwan Din	I. L. R., 8 All., 301	792
Parakh Govardhanbhai Haribhai *v.* Ransardas Dulabhdas	12 Bom., 51	1068
Parakut Assen Cutty *v.* Edapally Chennen	2 Mad., 266	3191
Param *v.* Achal	I. L. R., 4 All., 289	315, 1172
Paramina *v.* Ramachandra	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 302	1072, 6199
Param Shook Doss *v.* Rasheed-ood-Dowlah	7 Mad., 285	395, 3559

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Param Singh *v.* Lalji Mal	I. L. R., 1 All., 403	1687, 3429
Paran Sukh *v.* Ram Dayal	I. L. R., 8 All., 650	1872
Paran Chandra Pal *v.* Karunamayi Dasi	7 B. L. R., 90 : 15 W. R., 268	2088
Paran Chunder Ghose *v.* Chukkun Lall Roy	20 W. R., 402	5727
Paran Kusam Narasaya Pantulu *v.* Stuart	2 Mad., 396	464, 2784 6277
Paranjpe *v.* Kanade	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 148	618
Paran Singh *v.* Jawahir Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 366	3515
Parasara Bhattar *v.* Rangaraja Bhattar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 202	2122, 2288
Parasoorama Pillay *v.* Ramasawmy *alias* Coolla Ramasawmy.	5 Mad., 45	5656
Parasram *v.* Gardner	I. L. R., 1 All., 355	3475
Parasurama Chedumbraiyan *v.* Kristnaiyan	5 Mad., 462	5674
Paravartani. Ex parte ——— *v.* Ambalavana Pillai	1 Mad., 197	4280
Parbati *v.* Sundar	I. L. R., 8 All., 1	2133, 4305
Parbati Charan *v.* Panchanand	I. L. R., 6 All 243	1889, 5704
Parbati Charan Mookerjee *v.* Rajkrishna Mookerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 162	4203
——— *v.* Ramnarayan Mati Lal	5 B. L. R., 396 : 16 W. R., 164, note.	1577, 2213 3290, 3291 3438
Parbati Charan Mukhopadhya *v.* Kali Nath Mukhopadhya.	6 B. L. R., Ap., 73	338, 2855
Parbati Churn Deb *v.* Ain-ud-deen	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 577 : 9 C. L. R., 170.	4262, 4306
Parbatti *v.* Bhikun	8 B. L. R., Ap., 98	11, 2770 4290
Parbhudas Pranjivandas *v.* Ramlal Bhagirath	3 Bom., O. C., 69	923, 1065
Parbhudas Rayaji *v.* Motiram Kalyandas	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 203	4389
Parbhu Narayan Singh. In re	3 B. L. R., A. C., 370 : 12 W. R., 323.	224
Parbuttee *v.* Krishan Purtab Bahadur Sahie	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 44	4294
Parbutti *v.* Misser Chimmun Lall	1 Agra, 82	1373
Parbutti Churn Bose. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 406	4740
Parbutti Churn Mozoomdar *v.* Nobin Chunder Sen	13 C. L. R., 195	871
Parbutti Dassi *v.* Ram Chand Bhuttacharjee	3 C. L. R., 576	4474
Parbuttinath Roy *v.* Tejomoy Banerji	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 303	558, 3210 4247
Parbutty *v.* Girdaree Lall ——— *v.* Greedharee Lall	6 W. R., Mis., 125 4 W. R., Mis., 15	5452 6091
——— *v.* Higgin	17 W. R., 475	11, 2770 4290
Parbutty Bewa *v.* Woomatara Dabee	14 B. L. R., 201	3054
Parbutty Churn Doss *v.* Protap Chunder Sen	23 W. R., 275	1173, 5782
Parbutty Churn Sen *v.* Mondari	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 594 : 5 C. L. R., 513.	579
Parbutty Churn Soor *v.* Promothonath Ghose	W. R., 1864, 174	5254
Parbutty Coomar *v.* Sudabut Persad	2 Hay, 315	2358
Parbutty Dassi *v.* Purno Chunder Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 586	1841
Parbutty Moflessa *v.* Rajoo	W. R., 1864, 88	3397
Parbutty Nath Roy Chowdhry *v.* Mudho Paroe	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 276 : 1 C. L. R., 592.	3232, 3441
Pareechut *v.* Rugho Goordeo	2 N. W., 48	847
Parell Mill Company. In re	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 211	954
Parell Spinning and Weaving Company *v.* Manek Haji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 483	3357
Pareshmani Dasi *v.* Dinanath Das	1 B. L. R., A. C., 117 : 11 W. R., O. C., 19, note.	2335

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Paresh Narain Roy *v.* Gaur Sunker Bhumick	6 B. L. R., Ap., 154: 15 W. R., 39.	1627
Paresh Nath Dey *v.* Nabin Chandra Dutt	5 B. L. R., Ap., 77, note: 12 W. R., 93.	170
Paresnath Mookerjee *v.* Binadiram Sen	4 B. L. R., Ap., 25: 13 W. R., 29.	4346
Pares Nath Mukhopadhya *v.* Kistomohan Saha	3 B. L. R., Ap., 105: 12 W. R., 50.	2701, 5773
Pareyasami *alias* Kottai Tevar *v.* Saluckai Tevar *alias* Oyya Tevar.	8 Mad., 157	336, 1400, 2325, 2394, 2518
Pariag Dutt Roy *v.* Fekoo Roy	9 W. R., 160	539
Parichat *v.* Zalim Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 214: L. R., 4 I. A., 159.	2153, 2417
Parimi Bapurazu *v.* Bellamkonda Chinna Venkayya	3 Mad., 238	3771
Parkash Ojha *v.* Dusruth Ojha	25 W. R., 74	4340
Parke Pittar. In the matter of	8 B. L. R., 118	2066
Parke Pittar & Co. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 144	2668
Parmanandhs *v.* Vennyckrao	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 19: 12 C. L. R., 92: L. R., 9 I. A., 86.	2545
Parmaya *v.* Sonde Shrimivassapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 459	4826
Parmeshar Dat *v.* Hari Naik	7 W. R., 357	364, 375, 1452
Parmeshari Proshad Narain Singh *v.* Mahomed Syud	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 608: 7 C. L. R., 504.	1984, 4571
Parmeshar Rai *v.* Bisheshar Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 53	2476
Parrakel Kondi Menon *v.* Vadakentil Kunni Penna	2 Mad., 41	3757
Parrott *v.* Ram Suhay Singh	W. R., 1864, 35	4941
Parry & Co. *v.* Appasami Pillai	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 407	1999, 3195
Parshadi Lal *v.* Chunni Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 142	2653, 3460
——— *v.* Muhammad Zain-ul-abdin	I. L. R., 5 All., 573	3258
——— *v.* Ram Dial	I. L. R., 2 All., 744	1472
Parshotam Ranchod *v.* Jagjivan Mayaram	1 Bom., 60	4820
Parshram *v.* Hiraman	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 413	4440
Parsidh Narain Singh *v.* Honoman Sahai	11 C. L. R., 263	2398
Parsotam Keshavdas *v.* Kalyan Rayji	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 348	1584
Parsotam Lal *v.* Bijai	I. L. R., 6 All., 101	5515
Partab Singh *v.* Beni Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 61	819
Parthasaradi. In re	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 14	5861
Parthasaradi Ayyangar *v.* Chinna Krishna Ayyangar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 304	5033, 5262, 5269
Parum Soobh *v.* Seeta Ram	2 Agra, 119	2895
Parush Nath Misser *v.* Bundah Ali	6 W. R., 132	3378
Parushullah Mundul *v.* Khoroo Mundul	23 W. R., Cr., 4	1223
Parusnath Shaha *v.* Brojolal Gossain	8 W. R., 44	1378
Parvathi *v.* Manjayakarantha	5 Mad., 193	2082, 5217
——— *v.* Mannar	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 175	1362, 2837, 5051
Parvati *v.* Bhiku	4 Bom., A. C., 25	2525, 4902
——— *v.* Kisan Sing	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 567	2260, 3553
Passamma Row Garu *v.* Toleti Venkiya	5 Mad., 198	634
Passmore *v.* Calcutta Docking Company	Bourke, A. O. C., 74	2646
Pasupati Latchmia *v.* Pasupati Muthambhatlu	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 52	1883
Patankar *v.* Devji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 146	821
Patashee Dobain *v.* Hurdeo Narain Sahoo	24 W. R., 457	2704
Pater *v.* Gordon	7 Mad., 82	4608

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Pathoo Lal v. Radhika Doss	3 N. W., 106	6119
Pathuma v. Salimamma	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 83	5069
Patil Hari Premji v. Hakamchand	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 363	2406
Patinharipat Krishnan Unni Nambiar v. Chekur Manakkal Nilakandan Bhattathiripad.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 141	4251
Pattabhiramier v. Venkatarow Naicken	7 B. L. R., 136: 15 W. R. P. C., 35: 13 Moore's I. A., 560.	3956, 6009
Pattakara Annamalai Goundan v. Rangasami Chetti	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 365	795
Pattaravy Mudali v. Audimula Mudali	5 Mad., 419	4880
Pattarey Sircar v. Surno Moyee	24 W. R., 185	563
Patterson v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 3 All., 669	653
Patthauji. In re	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 202	3460
Patton v. Huree Ram	3 Agra., 409	2785
Pattu Lal v. Luchman Parshad	7 N. W., 155	3876
Paul v. Donohoy	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 27	1946
Paul Doss. In the matter of	10 W. R., Cr., 51	4110
Pauliem Valoo Chetty v. Pauliem Sooryah Chetty	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 252: L. R., 4 I. A., 109.	2370
Paul Rai v. Ram Hit Panday	1 Agra, 139	1777
Pauparamma v. Chinna Reddy	5 Mad., 432	5685
Paupayya v. Narasannah	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 216	1865, 1913
Pavaday Chetti. Ex parte	2 Mad., 319	1044
Pava Nagaji v. Govind Ramji	10 Bom., 382	2717
Payappa bin Sheshappa Nadni v. Dhondo Narayan Damle.	5 Bom., A. C., 26	1542
Payn v. Administrator General of Bengal	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 766: 6 C. L. R., 221.	6020
Payne .. Constable	I. B. L. R., O. C., 49	3113
Payyayinda Avidatha Peringadi Ibrayi v. Pudiya Madathumal Peringadi Amanatha.	5 Mad., 283	5266
Peacock v. Byjnath	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 58	1038
Peal v. Campbell	3 C. L. R., 515	6195
Pearee Bewah v. Nokoor Kurmokar	19 W. R., 308	5696
Pearee Daye v. Hurbuns Koer	14 W. R., 299	266
Pearee Dayee v. Hurbunsee Kooer	19 W. R., 127	5266
Pearee Debea v. Hoydonauth Baugh	Marsh., 413: 2 Hay, 537	3776
Pearee Lall v. Bukhoree Lall	12 W. R., 124	2343
Pearee Lall Sahoo. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 130	5917
Pearee Lall Shaha v. Woomesh Chunder Mozoomdar	9 W. R., 140	2069, 3199
Pearee Mohun Bera v. Shama Churn Mytee	19 W. R., 34	775
Pearee Mohun Bose v. Gobind Chunder Addy	10 W. R., 56	3317, 3382
Pearee Mohun Bose v. Hurish Chunder Ghose	17 W. R., 141	756, 768
Pearee Mohun Dutt v. Gooroodoss Dutt	20 W. R., 401	1487
——— v. Radha Madhub Mookerjee	10 W. R., 427	1611
Pearee Mohun Ghose v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co	22 W. R., 338	555, 558
Pearee Mohun Mitter v. Mohendro Narain Singh	23 W. R., 465	3204
Pearee Mohun Mookerjee v. Annund Moyee Debia	9 W. R., 158	1607
——— v. Brojo Mohun Bose	21 W. R., 36	6175
——— v. ———	22 W. R., 423	6175
——— v. Gosto Behary Dey	26 W. R., 104	690, 5382
——— v. Koylash Chunder Byragee	23 W. R., 58	1603
——— v. Madhub Chunder Ghosal	9 W. R., 489	6233
——— v. Raj Kristo Mookerjee	21 W. R., 259	3067
——— v. ———	20 W. R., 385	3798, 3801
Pearee Mohun Poddar v. Jugobundhoo Sen	24 W. R., 448	4467
Pearee Mohun Roy v. Chunder Nath Roy	18 W. R., 408	4264

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Pareemohun Roy Chowdhry *v* Assadkhan	18 W. R., 444	5697
Pareemohun Soor *v.* Abdool Sobhan Chowdhry	7 W. R., 258	6127
Pearee Monee Bibee *v.* Madhub Singh	15 W. R., 93	2350
Pearee Monee Dossee *v.* Collector of Beerbhoom	8 W. R., 300	5436
——— *v.* Thakoor Doss Dutt	21 W. R., 443	628
Pareenath Brohmo *v.* Juggessuree *alias* Rakhalee Dossee.	15 W. R., 128	1903
Pearee Soonduree Dossee *v.* Eshan Chunder Bose	16 W. R., 302	3843
Pearee Soonduri Debia *v.* Bhubo Soonduree Debia	23 W. R., 32	1879
Peari Mohun Dutt *v.* Borkant Nath Dutt	11 C. L. R., 312	3370
Peari Mohun Mookhopadhya *v.* Madhub Chunder Baboo	23 W. R., 385	580
Peari Mohun Mukerjee *v.* Audhiraj Aftab Chand	10 C. L. R., 526	7
Peari Mohun Mukherji *v.* Banshi Maghi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 757	1613
——— *v.* Drobomoyi Dabia	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 745	1740
Pearson *v.* Madhub Chunder Ghose	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 387, note	5641
Pearun *v.* Ahmed Ali Khan	4 W. R., Mis., 7	3816
——— *v.* Ram Narain Singh *alias* Runnoo Singh	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 213	3022
Peary Lall *v.* Bhawoot Koer	W. R., F. B., 18: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 100.	2360
Pearee Lall Mozoomdar *v.* Komal Kishore Dassia	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 30	5017
Peary Mohun Doss *v.* McArthur	Marsh., 637	546
——— *v.* Parbutty Churn Mookerjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40	752
Peary Mohun Ghosaul *v.* Harran Chunder Gangooly	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 261	5717, 5970
Peary Mohun Gooho. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 312	4443
Peary Mohun Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	24 W. R., Cr., 40	4505
——— *v.* Kena Bewah	11 W. R., 90	5831
Peary Mohun Roy *v.* Chundee Kanth Roy	Marsh., 33: 1 Hay, 69	3396
Peary Mohun Sircar. In the matter of ——— *v.* Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 639	6067
Pearymohun Sirkar. In the matter of	13 C. L. R., 80	6067
Pedda Amani *v.* Zemindar of Marungapuri	14 B. L. R., 115: 21 W. R., 358: L. R., 1 I. A., 268, 282.	2442, 3578 6071.
Peddamuthulaty *v.* Timma Reddy	2 Mad., 270	50, 1577 2942
Peddamuttu Viramani *v.* Appu Rau	2 Mad., 117	2313
Pedda Ramappa *v.* Bangari Seshamma	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 286: 8 C. L. R., 315: L. R., 8 I. A., 1.	2327
Pedda Siva Reddi. In re	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 238	5538
Pedda Vencatapa Naidoo *v.* Arcovala Roodrapa Naidoo.	6 W. R., P. C., 13: 2 Moore's I. A., 504.	4458
Peeloo Chowdhrain *v.* Court of Wards	7 W. R., 219	5621
Peera *v.* Shimbhoo	2 Agra, 348	145, 4557
Peer Bux *v.* Meahjan	W. R., F. B., 146	5189
Peerbux *v.* Mowzah Ally	W. R., F. B., 10: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7: Marsh., 25: 1 Hay, 89.	2979
Peer Mahomed *v.* Nekjan Bibee	25 W. R., 49	4330
Peerun *alias* Kureemun Ayah *v.* Field	21 W. R., Cr., 66	2912
Peetambur Chatterjee *v.* Kaleechurn Roy	11 B. L. R., 127, note: 14 W. R., 430.	2721
Peet Koonwar *v.* Chutter Dharee Singh	13 W. R., 396	2244
Pegoo Jan *v.* Mullick Waizooddeen	18 W. R., 464	4032, 5141
Peitsch *v.* Commercial Banking Corporation	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 363	945
——— *v.* Eastern Bengal Indigo Company	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 278	5638

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Pelaram Kotal v. Nund Coomar Chuttoram	6 W. R., Act X, 45	1642
Pell v. Valetta	5 C. L. R., 464	4523
Pem Kooer v. Upper Balee Sing	2 N. W., 86	538, 2331
Pemraj Bhavaniram v. Narayan Shivaram Khisti	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 215	4200, 4459
Pendse v. Malse	3 Bom., A. C., 94	289, 5822
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. Konnylall Dutt.	2 Hyde, 21	3072
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. Manikji Nasarvanji Padsha.	4 Bom., O. C., 169	593, 1764
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. Secretary of State for India.	Bourke, A. O. C., 166 : 5 Bom., Ap., 1.	3791
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. Small	Bourke, O. C., 309	601
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. Somaji Vishram.	5 Bom., O. C., 113	593
Penuballi Subharamareddi v. Bhimaraju Ramaya	2 Mad., 21	3341
People's Bank v. Obbard	2 Hyde, 57	467
Pepin v. Chunder Seekur Mookerjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 811 : 6 C. L. R., 167.	1201, 3314
Perammal v. Venkatammal	1 Mad., 223	2313
Pereira. In the matter of	1 Mad., 217	2671
Pereira v. Pereira	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 118	1548
Pergash Koer v. Mahabir Pershad Narain Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 582	4011, 6034
Periandi v. Angappa	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 423	3956
Periasami v. Periasami	L. R., 5 I. A., 61 : I. L. R., 1 Mad., 312.	2325, 2394 2450
Peria Sidden v. Doddali	6 Mad., 307	1425
Periya Gaundan v. Tirumala Gaundan	1 Mad., 206	1415, 1469
Periyanayagam Pillai v. Virappa Naikan	7 Mad., 51	3589
Periyanna Chetty v. Govinda Gounden	5 Mad., 104	6237
Periyasami v. Seshadri Ayyangar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 11	3746
Perladh Sein v. Bhoodoo Singh	10 Moore's I. A., 78	4640
——— v. Gunness Lall Tewary	25 W. R., 540	3183
Perladh Sen v. Runjeet Roy	W. R., 1864, 68	3289
Perladh Singh Bahadoor v. Broughton	24 W. R., 275	2748, 4027
Perlathail Subba Rau v. Mankude Narayana	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 113	3581
Permeshree Doss v. Raikoondun Singh	3 Agra, 3	4549
Permessur Dutt Sahoo v. Audh Sahajee	5 W. R., 40	4299
Permessuree Pershad Narain Singh v. Nubee Bukah	2 W. R., Act X, 101	3800
Perrin v. Ledlie	15 W. R., 304	4770
Perroux v. Luchmeeput Singh	12 W. R, 113	6095
Pershad v. Shivaram	2 N. W., 59	1488
Pershad Doss v. Denonath Dey	2 Hyde, 14	3200
Pershadi Lal v. Irshad Ali	2 N. W., 100	3715
Pershad Sein v. Rajendra Kishore	7 W. R., 338	274
Pershad Singh v. Chedee Lall	15 W. R., 1	3363, 3396
"Persia." In re the	6 Bom., O. C., 138	5647
Pertab Chunder Banerjee v. Omur Sirdar	25 W. R., 89	3
——— v. Philippe	2 W. R., Act X, 56	2931
Pertab Narain Singh v. Subhao Kooer	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 626 : 1 C. L. R., 113 : L. R., 4 I. A., 228.	2535, 4226
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 184 : L. R., 5 I. A., 171.	4657
——— v. Trilokinath Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 186 : L. R., 11 I. A., 197.	2515, 4228 5058
Pertab Singh v. Khoosial Singh	2 Agra, 90	2267
Peru Bepari v. Ronno Maifarash	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 164	417

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Peru Nayar *v.* Ayyaappan Nayar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 282	3761
Pestanji Cursetji. In re	3 Bom., O. C., 42	408
Pestanji Mancharji Wadia *v.* Matchett	7 Bom., A. C., 10	1033, 4280 5828
Pestanji Shapurji Kaka. In re	8 Bom., O. C., 37	2073
Pestonjee Eduljee Gurdur *v.* Mahomed Ali	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 541	4260
Pestonjee Nesserwanjee *v.* Gool Mahomed Sahib	7 Mad., 369	4594, 4595
Pestonjee Nuserwanjee *v.* Manockjee & Co.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 69	352
Pestonjee Nusserwanjee *v.* Maneckjee	3 Mad., 183	181, 362
——— *v.* Maneckjee & Co.	12 Moore's I. A., 112 : 10 W. R., P. C., 51.	181, 361 362
Pestonji Bezonji *v.* Abdool Rahiman	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 463	3380, 4886
Petambar Baboo *v.* Nilmony Singh Deo	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 793	5102
Petamber Manikjee *v.* Motee Chund Manikjee	5 W. R., P. C., 53 : 1 Moore's I. A., 420.	1073, 1724 4653
Petambur Dutt *v.* Hurrish Chunder Dutt	15 W. R., 200	2353
Petambur Jugi *v.* Nasaruddy	25 W. R., Cr., 4	4105, 6160
Petambur Shaha *v.* Kuroona Moyee Debea	W. R., 1864, 321	3174
Peter *v.* Dufour	6 W. R., 92	1509
——— *v.* Vardon	23 W. R., 62	1036
Peters *v.* Manuk	13 B. L. R., 383 : 22 W. R., 175	1215, 5900
Petman *v.* Bull	I. L. R., 5 All., 371	4335, 6017
Petumber Bhugutt *v.* Muthoora Dass	1 Agra, 121	4595
Petumber Dey *v.* Juggunnath Roy	18 W. R., 130	3445
Petumber Mundle *v.* Cochrane	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 11 : Bourke, O. C., 339.	2627
——— *v.* Gocool Doss Soonderjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 327 : Bourke, O. C., 240.	424, 2627
Petumber Shadoo Khan *v.* Doyamoyee Dossee	12 W. R., 17	5706
Phate Saheb Bibi *v.* Damodar Premji	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 84	3662
Phelob Monee Dossia *v.* Greesh Chunder Bhuttacharjee	8 W. R., 515	1815
Phillips *v.* Nund Coomar Banerjee	8 W. R., 385	6103
Phillott *v.* Morris	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 375	6215
Phiran *v.* Bahoran	7 N. W., 367	173, 375 1702
Phoodee Bibee *v.* Gobind Chunder Roy	22 W. R., 272	5763
Phookun Pandey *v.* Sookkia	10 W. R., 436	2355
Phoolbasee Koer *v.* Parjun Singh	12 W. R., 369	1362, 2837 5650
Phoolbas Kooer *v.* Lalla Juggessur Sahoy	18 W. R., 48	2391, 4254 4261
——— *v.* Lall Juggessur Sahi	14 W. R., 340	902, 2346 2445, 2515 3160, 4254 4261
Phoolbas Koonwur *v.* Lalla Jogeshur Sahoy	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 226 : L. R., 3 I. A., 7 : 25 W. R., 285.	2392, 3159 3160, 4649
Phool Bibee *v.* Goor Surun Doss	18 W. R., 485	146, 1846
Phool Chund *v.* Shiva Pershad	2 Agra, Mis., 4	4245
Phool Chund Brojobassee. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 35	2599
Phool Chunder Lall *v.* Sheoranee Koonwar	9 W. R., 104	1408
Phool Chund Lall *v.* Rughoobuns Suhaye	9 W. R., 107	2206
Phool Coomaree Beebee *v.* Oonkurpershad Boistobee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 50 : 7 W. R., 67	3114, 3317
Phoolharee *v.* Bishessur Pershad	3 Agra, 301	5728
Phool Koer *v.* Sheoburun Singh	12 W. R., 489	1496

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Phool Koer *alias* Kunhya Koer *v.* Dabeepershad	12 W. R., 187	282, 2203
Phool Koomaree Bibee *v.* Woonkar Pershad Rustoby.	7 W. R., 67 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 50.	3114
Phool Koonwar *v.* Chardon.	6 W. R., Act X, 89	6154
Phukar Singh *v.* Ranjit Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 661	2495
Phulahra *v.* Jeolal Sing	I. L. R., 6 All., 52	2916, 2975, 5083
Phul Chand *v.* Lachmi Chand	I. L. R., 4 All., 486	2162
——— *v.* Man Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 309	2184
——— *v.* Miller	I. L. R., 7 All., 340	2644
Phuljhari Kooer. In the matter of the petition of	8 B. L. R., 385 : 17 W. R., 102.	2447
Phul Koeri *alias* Ghina Koeri. In re	8 B. L. R., 388, note	2446
Phul Kuar *v.* Murli Dhar	I. L. R., 2 All., 527	3888
——— *v.* Surjan Pandey	I. L. R., 4 All., 249	6241
Phulman Rai *v.* Dani Kuari	I. L. R., 1 All., 452	4545
Piarey Lal *v.* Saliga	I. L. R., 2 All., 394	3167, 6054
Piari *v.* Khiali Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 857	4887
Pichandi *v.* Kandasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 539	3222
Picharkutty Mudali *v.* Narayanappa Aiyan	2 Mad., 243	1095
Pierce *v.* Opendra Shetti Ganapathy	7 Mad., 364	4405, 4414, 4614
Pietsch *v.* Commercial Banking Corporation	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 363	945
——— *v.* Eastern Bengal Indigo Company	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 278	5638
Piffard. In the matter of	1 Hyde, 79	1040
Pigou *v.* Mohamed Aboo Syed	3 C. L. R., 253	5005
——— *v.* Ram Kishen	2 W. R., 301	591
Pigue *v.* Golabram	1 W. R., 75	588, 2211
Pillans *v.* Peninsular and Oriental Steam-ship Company.	1 Ind., Jur., O. S., 68	690
Pinsent. Ex parte	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 276	432
Piran *v.* Ram Buksh	2 Agra, 346	1656
Pirathi Singh *v.* Vencatramanayyan	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 419	5787
Pirbhai Khimji *v.* Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company.	8 Bom., O. C., 59	690
Pirbhai Ravji *v.* Nenbai	3 Bom., O. C., 164	3115
Pirjada Nasarudin *v.* Venkat Prabhu	9 Bom., 113	1891
Pirjade *v.* Pirjade	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 681	3195, 3493
Pirthee Singh *v.* Dya Kishun	5 N. W., 226 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 278.	5233
——— *v.* Raj Kooer	12 B. L. R., 238 : 20 W. R., 21 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 203.	2414, 2426
——— *v.* Sheo Soonduree	8 W. R., 261	2280, 2284
Pirthipal Singh *v.* Husaini Jan	I. L. R., 4 All., 361	3642
Pirthiram Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of	20 W. R., Cr., 51	4495
Pirthi Singh *v.* Sobhan Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 1	82, 3856
Pirtum Koormy *v.* Bhikaree	2 N. W., 364	5182
Pitambar Dhari *v.* Sambhajirav	8 Bom., A. C., 185	609
Pitambar Sadhu Khan. In re	6 B. L. R., 390, note	5706
Pitamber Dey Mozoomdar *v.* Ishan Chunder Dutt Biswas.	18 W. R., 169	93
Pitamber Girdhar. In the matter of	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 638	4531, 4670, 5117
Pitamber Narayandas *v.* Vanmali Shamji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 1	3909
Pitamber Panda *v.* Damoodur Doss	24 W. R., 129	5329, 5338, 5339

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Pitamburee Chowdhrain *v.* Nobin Kristo Mookerjee	18 W. R., 205	5308
Pitambur Chuckerbutty *v.* Bhyrubnath Paleet	15 W. R., 52	1135, 1137 1453, 5675
Pitambur Dey. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 57	4359
Pitambur Kurmokar *v.* Ramtunoo Roy	10 W. R., 123	1640
Pitambur Misser *v.* Ram Surun Sookool	25 W. R., 7	3906
Pitambur Mookerjee *v.* Huree Narain Thakoor	W. R., 1864, 50	4399
Pitambur Pyne *v.* Toolsee Dossee	7 W. R., 39	4294, 4446
Pitambur Sen *v.* Debnath Roy Chowdhry	18 W. R., 132	3337
Pitambur Shaha *v.* Ramjoy Ghose	7 W. R., 92	4860, 5076
Pitam Singh *v.* Ujagar Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 651	993
Pitaye Ahung *v.* Girghee Koer Ajoodah	11 W. R., 365	5822
Pitchakutti Chetti *v.* Kamalla Nayakkan	1 Mad., 153	697, 3061 5804
——— *v.* Ponnamma Natchiyar	1 Mad., 148	3579
Pitchayya *v.* Narasayya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 246	1134
Pitchi *v.* Ankappa	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 102	961
Pittapur Raja *v.* Buchi Sitayya	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 219	4661, 5028
——— *v.* Suriya Rau	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 520	4878
Pittumber Doss *v.* Rutton Bullub Doss	W. R., 1864, 213	1356
Pitumber Doss *v.* Dwarkaporshad	2 N. W., 435	1363
Pitum Koonwar *alias* Munar Bibee *v.* Joy Kishen Doss.	6 W. R., 101	2301, 2329 2528
Piziruddin *v.* Madhusudan Pal Chowdhry	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 75 : 2 W. R., 15.	2058
Pleaders of the High Court, Bombay. In re	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 105	4435
Pogha Mahton *v.* Gooroo Baboo	14 W. R., 114	753
Pogose *v.* Anund Chunder Gohoo	1 W. R., 81	4618
——— *v.* Aozan Bibee	18 W. R., 274	5627
——— *v.* Ashanoollah	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 710, note	5938
——— *v.* Bank of Bengal	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 174	590
——— *v.* Boistub Lall	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 1 : 6 W. R., Mis., 104.	3469
——— *v.* Catchick	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 708 : 2 C. L. R., 278.	186, 5938
——— *v.* Delhi and London Banking Co.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 951	2585
——— *v.* Ekram Hossein	15 W. R., 483	5106
——— *v.* Fukurooddeen Mahomed Ahsan *alias* Alimooddeen Chowdhry.	25 W. R., 343	1922
——— *v.* Mokoond Chunder Surma	25 W. R., 36	1750
——— *v.* Rajoo Dhopee	22 W. R., 511	4926
Pohkar Pershad *v.* Punchum Rae	2 N. W., 235	358
Poholi Mullick *v.* Fukeer Chunder Patnaik	22 W. R., 349	5072
Pokhraj Singh *v.* Gossain Munraj Pooree	12 W. R., 281	5467
Pole *v.* Gordon	2 Hyde, 289 : Cor., 83 : Bourke, O. C., 1.	4603
Poli *v.* Narotum Bapu	6 Bom., A. C., 183	2304
Pollard *v.* Mothial	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 234	102
Polokdhari Roy *v.* Radha Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 50 : 4 C. L. R., 342.	204
Polokdhari Rai *v.* Radha Persad Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 28 : L. R., 8 I. A., 165.	204
Ponambilath Parapravan Kunhamod Haji *v.* Ponambilath Parapravan Kuttiath Haji.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 169	3759, 3761
Ponampilath Parapravan Kuthath Haji *v.* Ponampilath Parapravan Bavotti Haji.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 79	3538
Ponaoollah *v.* Tumeezooddeen	2 W. R., 32	379

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ponnambala Mudaliyar v. Varaguna Rama Pandia Chinnatambiar.	7 Mad., 117	2841
Ponnappa Pillai v. Pappuvayyangar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 1	2169, 5393
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 343	2186
Ponnusami v. Thatha	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 273	2265
Ponnusami Chetti v. Krishna Aiyyar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 222	1541, 5890
——— v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 69	463
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 203	4099, 5262, 5267
Ponnusami Nadan v. Dorasami Ayyan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 209	1148, 5905
Ponnusami Pillai v. Pachai	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 336	1541
Ponnusamy Tevar v. Collector of Madura	3 Mad., 35	906, 2856, 5876
Ponnusawmi Taver v. ———	5 Mad., 6	2617, 4572
Poolin Beharee Sein v. Neemaye Chand	7 W. R., 472	1612
——— v. Watson & Co.	9 W. R., 190	138, 4148, 4401
Poolin Behary Sein v. Luteefoonnissa Bibee	Marsh., 107 : 1 Hay, 242	1606, 5750
Poolunder Singh v. Rampershad	2 Agra, 147	2084
Poona Churn Pal. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 447	5945
Poona Kooer. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 101 : 24 W. R., 376.	5115
Poonen. Ex parte	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 174	3598
Poonheet Kooer v. Kishen Kishore Narain Singh	23 W. R., 419	3364
Poonit Singh v. Madho Bhet	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 270	4358
Poonoo Bibee v. Fyoz Buksh	15 B. L. R., Ap., 5 : 23 W. R., 66.	1107
Poorna Chunder Sen v. Prosunno Coomar Dass	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 253 : 8 C. L. R., 443.	4513
Poornanund Surkhel v. Huro Soondoree Debia	13 W. R., 208	3513
Poornimah Chowdhrain v. Dropodee Dossee	W. R., 1864, 103	2349
Poorno Chunder Banerjee v. Ram Kanaye Ghose	24 W. R., 243	5345
Poorno Chunder Bose v. Nobin Chunder Ghose	14 W. R., 77	5399
Poorno Chunder Chatterjee v. Chunder Coomar Roy	24 W. R., 171	5754
Poorno Chunder Coondoo v. Prosonno Coomar Sikdar	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 123	3449
Poorno Chunder Doss Chowdhry v. Sreenath Goopto	6 W. R., 173	5325
Poorno Chunder Dutt v. Gopal Chunder Doss	17 W. R., 87	3290
Poorno Chunder Gangooly v. Kishen Chunder Ghose	5 W. R., 112	1140
Poorno Chunder Ghose v. Gobind Chunder Mookerjee	22 W. R., 28	4758
Poorno Chunder Mookerjee. In the matter of	Bourke, O. C., 377	455
Poorno Chunder Roy v. Balfour	9 W. R., 535	506
——— v. Beharee Lall Mookerjee	14 W. R., 473	5607
——— v. Kristo Chunder Singh	23 W. R., 171	578
——— v. Nund Lall Ghose	7 W. R., 25	4934
——— v. Stalkartt	10 W. R., 362	2026, 4413
Poorno Doss v. Oojoodhiproshad	3 W. R., Act X, 16	2915
Poorno Persad Roy v. Chunder Nath Chatterjee	1 W. R., 249	5776
Poorno Singh v. Hurry Churn Surmah	10 B. L. R., 117 : 18 W. R., 440.	3711
Poorsuttum Chunder v. Gour Soonder Pandey	18 W. R., 283	5738
Poorun Chand Galeecha v. Paresh Nath Singh	12 W. R., 82	5264
Poorunmull v. Toolsee Ram	3 Agra, 350	2439
Poorun Narain Dutt v. Kasheesuree Dossee	3 W. R., 180	2247
Poorun Singh v. Kasheenath Singh	6 W. R., 20	3158
Poosun Lall Sahoo v. Gowrunbutty	15 B. L. R., 264 : 23 W. R., 365.	2164
Pootee Begum v. Indurjeet Kooer	12 W. R., 201	827

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Poran Bebee v. Ally Khan	W. R., 1864, Act X, 61	4394
Poran Chunder Ghose v. Gopeenath Singh	8 W. R., 505	6237, 6239
Poran Misra v. Harsaran Misra	8 B. L. R., Ap., 55	6151
Poran Mundul Mollah v. Sham Chand Ghose	1 W. R., 228	4274, 5778
Poran Sookh Chunder v. Parbutty Dossee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 612 : 1 C. L. R., 404.	1431, 4520 5072
Poresh Narain Mondul v. Khettro Monee Debia	20 W. R., 284	1487
Poresh Narain Roy. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 45	4732
——— v. Kassi Chunder Talukdar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 661	2991
——— v. Surut Soonduree Debee	16 W. R., 100	6224
——— v. Watson	5 W. R., 283	38, 4409
——— v. ———	17 W. R., Cr., 3	4500
——— v. Watson & Co.	23 W. R., 451	4653
Poresh Narain Roy v. ———	3 C. L. R., 543	1592
Poreshnath Mukerji v. Anathnath Deb	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 265 . L. R., 9 I. A., 147.	1707
Poreshnath Mundul v. Khettromonee Debia	20 W. R., 284	5118
Poreshnath Roy v. Bishroop Dutt	W. R., 1864, Act X, 16	5381
——— v. Gopal Kristo Deb	15 W. R., 61	3151
Porgash Paray v. Hachim	7 W. R., 417	5658
Potomanand Khasnabish v. Khepoo Paramanick	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 354	824
Port Canning Company. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., 278	926
——— v. ———	7 B. L. R., 583	940
Port Canning Land Company. In the matter of	16 W. R., 208	5840, 5873
——— v. Smith	21 W. R., 315 : L. R., 1 I. A., 124.	4050, 4788
"Portugal." In the matter of the ship	5 B. L. R., 258	637
——— v ———	6 B. L. R., 323	638, 2834
Postmaster of Bareilly v. Earle	3 N. W., 195	657
Potitpabun Sen v. Chunder Caunt Mookerjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 329	3219
Potter v. Gentle	Bourke, O. C., 41	4452
Poulson v. Chowdhry	2 W. R., 21	3337
——— v. Dunn	18 W. R., 11	5450
——— v. Madhusudan Pal Chowdhry	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 101 : 2 W. R., Act X, 21.	545, 3129
Powell. In the goods of	6 N. W., 54	6063
——— v. Wahid Khan	1 N. W., 133 : Ed. 1873, 217	1590
Poynor Bibee v. Nujjoo Khan	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 437 : 5 C. L. R., 524.	4530
Prabhacara Row v. Potannah	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 1	3499, 3528
Prabhakarbhat v. Vishwambhar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 313	4425, 5873
Prabhakar Chintaman Dikshit v. Pandurang Vinayak Dikshit.	12 Bom., 88	4023
Prabhulanadha Pillay v. Ponnuswamy Chetty	6 Mad., Ap., 1	2706
Prabhuram Hazra v. Robinson	3 B. L. R., Ap., 49 : 11 W. R., 398.	2753, 4853 5801, 6150
Prag Chaubey v. Bhajan Chaudhri	I. L. R., 4 All., 291	3239
Prag Das v. Hari Kishen	I. L. R., 1 All., 503	2514
Pragdutt Raoot v. Luchmun Pershad	3 N. W., 111	36
Pragi Lal v. Fateh Chand	I. L. R., 5 All., 207	403
——— v Maxwell	I. L. R., 7 All., 284	3228, 3289 3315, 5609
Pragji Rudarji v. Endarji Bhimbhai	9 Bom., 257	4879
Prahlad Maharudra v. Watt	10 Bom., 346	3286, 5255
Prahlad Misser v. Udit Narain Singh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 197 : 10 W. R., 291.	4820

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest
Prahlad Sen v. Budhu Sing	2 B. L. R., P. C., 111 : 12 W. R., P. C., 6 : 12 Moore's I. A., 275.	1030, 6117
——— v. Durgaprasad Tewari	2 B. L. R., P. C., 111 : 12 W. R., P. C., 6 : 12 Moore's I. A., 286.	1030, 4172 6283
——— v. Gopee Bebee	4 N. W., 40	2752, 2753
——— v. Rajendra Kishore Singh	2 B. L. R., P. C., 111 : 12 W. R., P. C., 6 : 12 Moore's I. A., 292.	66, 280 1030, 4455
——— v. Run Bahadur Singh	2 B. L. R., P. C., 111 : 12 Moore's I. A., 289 : 12 W. R., P. C., 6.	142, 1030 3430
Praim Sookh v. Abbas Aly	2 Agra, Rev., 4	2917
Pramada Dasi v. Lakhi Narain Mitter	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 60	4882
Pramatan Tupen Nambudripad v. Madatil Ramen	1 Mad., 296	3763
Pramatha Bhusana Deb Roy v. Doorga Churn Bhattacharji.	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 413	4484
Pran Bandhu Chatterjee v. Madusudan Patra	13 B. L. R., Ap., 12	1433
Pran Bandhu Sirkar v. Sarbasundari Debi	3 B. L R., A. C., 52, note : 10 W. R., 434.	5411
Pran Chunder Roy v. Juggessur Mookerjee	11 W. R., 417	752
Prangour Mozoomdar v. Himanta Kumari Debya	I. L. R. 12 Calc., 597	5321, 5482
Pran Huree Doss v. Parbutty Churn Mojoomdar	13 W. R., 227	1640
Pranjivandas Harjivandas v. Mayaram Samaldas	1 Bom., 148	2616, 4565
Pranjivandas Tulsidas v. Devkuvarbhai	1 Bom., 130	2305
Pranjivan Dayaram v. Bai Reva	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 482	1344
Pranjivan Gavan v. Jai Shankar Bhagvan	2 Bom., A. C., 46	605
Pranjivan Govardhondas v. Baju	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 34	3552, 3943
Pran Khan. In the matter of the petition of	17 W. R., 238	678, 679
Prankishen Banerjee v. Juggobundoo Dutt	7 W. R., 207	4129
Pran Kishen Bhuttacharjree v. Bukshee Cazee	10 W. R., 26	5133
Prankishen Deb v. Lokenath Singh Mojoomdar	10 W. R., 445	4137
Prankishen Paul Chowdhry v. Mothoora Mohun Paul Chowdhry.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 73 : 5 W. R., P. C., 11 : 10 Moore's I. A., 403.	2359
Prankishen Surma v. Ramrooder Surma	Marsh., 214	5267
Prankishore Deb v. Kishore Chunder Chowdhry	16 W. R., 267	3537
——— v. Mahomed Ameer	21 W. R., 338	248, 316
Prankishoree v. Chundee Churn Biswas	19 W. R., 429	4188
Pran Kishore Gossami v. Dinobundhoo Chatterjee	9 W. R., 291	1163
Prankissen Baycheg v. Monmohinee Dassee	17 W. R., 33	1623
Prankissen Laha v. Noyanmoney Dassee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 222	2492
Prankisto Banerjee v. Nuzimooddeen	9 W. R., 397	3480
Prankisto Biswas v. Nobodip Chunder Biswas	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 868	665
Prankisto Chunder v. Bamasoondery Dossee	9 W. R., P. C., 1	2551
Prankisto Chunder v. Pissonath Chunder	15 W. R., Cr., 6	1326
Prankrishna Banerjee v. Nadiar Chand Chatterjee	4 B. L. R., A. C., 35, note : 10 W. R., 115.	5740
Prankrishna Chandra. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 80	1326
——— v. Biswanath Chandra	8 B. L. R., Ap., 101	910
Prankrishna Dey v. Biswambhar Sein	2 B. L. R., A. C., 207 : 11 W. R., 81.	4256, 6031
Pran Krishna Surma. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 969 : 11 C. L. R., 6.	1339, 2938
Prankristo Deo v. Kalee Doss Deo	7 W. R., 460	5784
Prankristo Mozoomdar v. Bhageerutee Gooptia	20 W. R., 158	2343

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prankristo Roy *v.* Collector of Moorshedabad	15 W. R., 205	4343
——— *v.* Huro Chunder Roy	10 W. R., 435	2617
Prankumari Dasi *v.* Abinash Chandra Mookerjee	9 B. L. R., 210	1214
Prannath Bhadoory *v.* Sreekant Lahoory	2 C. L. R., 257	313, 5143
Prannath Chowdhry *v.* Ganendro Mohun Tagore	3 W. R., 108	3089
——— *v.* Kashinath Roy Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 169	2342
——— *v.* Mirnomoyee Chowdhry	W. R., F. B., 39	1757
——— *v.* Ramrutton Roy	4 W. R., P. C., 37	3983
——— *v.* Surnomoyee Dossee	9 Moore's I. A., 431	3072
Prannath Mitter *v.* Mothoornauth Chuckerbutty	6 W. R., Mis., 65	1926
——— *v.* Sumbhoo Chunder Nath	7 W. R., 430	441
Prannath Roy *v.* Troyluckonauth Roy	14 W. R., 284	3260
Pran Nath Roy Chowdhry *v.* Kasheenath Chowdhry	2 W. R., Mis., 26	179
——— *v.* Mohehoodeen Ahmed	6 W. R., Act X, 39	4149
——— *v.* Preonath Roy Chowdhry	8 W. R., 398	4949
——— *v.* Rookea Begum	7 Moore's I. A., 323	3983
——— *v.* Surnomoyee	7 Moore's I. A., 653	4634
Prannath Sandyal *v.* Ram Coomar Sandyal	2 C. L. R., 33	5060, 5769
Prannath Shaha. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 69	3866, 5911
——— *v.* Madhu Khulu	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 96	3033
Pran Nath Surma Jowardar *v.* Surut Chunder Bhuttacharjee.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 460: 10 C. L. R., 484.	2286
Pranputtee Kooer *v.* Lalla Futteh Bahadur Singh	2 Hay, 608	1414
Pranshankar *v.* Prannath Mahanand	1 Bom., 12	5262
Prasanna Chandra Bose *v.* Prasanna Chandra Roy	7 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 15 W. R., 343.	2811
Prasanna Kumar Sandyal *v.* Mathuranath Banerjee	8 B. L. R., Ap., 26: 15 W. R., 487.	1409
Prasannamayi Dasi *v.* Kadambini Dasi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 85	2541, 2822.
Prasannamayi Debi *v.* Chandranath Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., S. N., 5: 10 W. R., 361.	3801
Prasidha Narayan Koer *v.* Man Koch	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 330: 11 C. L. R., 554.	5177, 5183
Pratab Daji *v.* Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company.	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 25	4703
Pratabnarayan Das *v.* Court of Wards	3 B. L. R., A. C., 21: 11 W. R., 343.	2154
Pratap Chandra Binwa *v.* Swarnamayi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 81: 12 W. R., 5.	3334, 3336
Pratab Chandra Burua *v.* ———	4 B. L. R., F. B., 113: 13 W. R., F. B., 15.	3825, 4873
Pratap Chandra Singh *v.* Mollwo, March, & Co.	3 B. L. R., A. C., 238	4319
Pratapnarayan Mookerjee *v.* Madhu Sudan Mookerjee	8 B. L. R., 197: 16 W. R., 35.	5109, 5632
Prataprav Gujar *v.* Bayaji Namaji	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 141	1584
Prayag Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 103	4096
——— *v.* Fuzool Hossein	6 C. L. R., 206	4501
Preeonath Chowdhry *v.* Prannath Roy Chowdhry	8 W. R., 100	3535
Premabhai Hemabhai *v.* Brown	10 Bom., 319	4593
Premanund Ghose *v.* Shoorendronath Roy	20 W. R., 329	*5191
Premanund Gossamee *v.* Ram Churn Deb	20 W. R., 482	4867
Prem Chand Barik *v.* Brojonath Koondoo Chowdhry	10 W. R., 205	4152
Premchand Burral *v.* Collector of Calcutta	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 103	2949
Prem Chand Kybutta *v.* Huree Doss Kybutta	22 W. R., 259	3403
Prem Chand Laha *v.* Addoito Doss	20 W. R., 445	6280
Premchand Peparah *v.* Hulaschand Peparah	4 B. L. R., Ap., 23: 12 W. R., 494.	2419

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prem Chund Dan v. Darimba Debia	15 W. R., 238	2355
Premji Ludha v. Dossa Doongersey	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 358	3223, 4295
Premji Trikamdas v Madhowji Munji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 447	4601, 5530
Prem Lall Chowdhry v. Brown	6 W. R., Act X, 92	1591
Prem Lall Gossamee v. Hosseeinoodeen	13 W. R., 36	1920
Prem Moyee Bewah v. Nobin Chunder Chowdhry	4 W. R., Mis., 8	6041
Prem Narain Singh v. Parasram Singh ——— v. Rooder Narain Singh	L. R., 4 I. A., 101	1080
Premoo Beebee v. Dassoo Debia	10 W. R., 93	809
Prem Sahoo v. Nyamut Ali	6 W. R., Act X, 89	1614
Premshankar Raghunathji v. Government of Bombay	8 Bom., A. C., 195	2061, 5594
Prem Showuk Doss v. Ishree Pershad	2 W. R., 303	4209
Prem Shook v. Bheekoo	3 Agra, 242: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 149.	2811
Prem Sook v. Pirthee Ram	2 Agra, 222	4438
Premsookh Chunder v. Rajkisto Mitter	1 Hyde, 145	770, 4676
Prem Soonduree Dossia v. Grish Chunder Bhuttacharjee	10 W. R., 194	6155
Prem Sukh Das v. Bhupia	I. L. R., 2 All., 517	2992
Preo Lall Gossain v. Gyan Turunginee Dossia	13 W. R., 161	5339
Preo Madhub Sircar v. Bissumbhur Sircar	15 W. R., 514	1911, 3519
Preonath Chowdhry v. Beepin Beharee Chuckerbutty	11 W. R., 120	4272, 4938
——— v. Ramdhun	11 W. R., 104	177, 1195
Preonath Sircar. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., 325	672
President of the Municipal Commission, Guntur, v. Srikakulapu Padmarazu.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 124	3355
Prestomjee Dhunjeebhoy v. Gregory	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 412	2069
Priag Lall v. Brockman	13 W. R., 346	1646
Price v. Khilat Chandra Ghose	5 B. L. R., Ap., 50: 13 W. R., 461.	506, 4976
Pringle v. Jafar Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 443	1070
Prithee Bullubh Pal Sree Chundum Mari Sultan v. Harkdhun Shome.	22 W. R., 331	912
Prithee Ram Chowdhry v. Chidam Chundee Shaha	8 W. R., 8	4152
Prithee Singh v. Court of Wards	23 W. R., 272	1843, 2280 2295.
Pritun Koormee v. Edil Singh	18 W. R., 464	417
Priyanath Chowdhry v. Bepinbehari Chuckerbutty	2 B L. R., A. C., 237: 11 W. R., 120.	4272, 4938
——— v. Prasanna Chandra Roy Chowdhry.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 249	177, 1195
Probhoo Doss v. Sheo Nath Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 27	138
Proby v. Bell	20 W. R., 6	4421
——— v. Proby	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 357: 5 C. L. R., 1.	1553
Proceeds of the "Asia." In re	5 Bom., O. C., 64	637
Prodhan Gopaul Singh v. Bhoop Roy Ojha	9 W. R., 570	3190
Prodhan Ram v. Jerna Kooer	17 W. R., 189	675
Prohibitory notice under Bombay Regulation XII of 1827.* In the matter of.	8 Bom., Cr., 23	4094
Prohlad Tewar Manjee v. Dobnarain Ghose	18 W. R., 247	1354
Prokash Chunder Doss. In the matter of the petition of.	12 W. R., Cr., 64	1047
Prokash Chunder Dass v. Tarachand Dass	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 82: 12 C. L. R., 1.	4774
Prokash Chunder Lahory v. Poorno Chunder Roy	21 W. R., 410	3541
Prokas Singh v. Jogeswar Singh	2 B. L. R., A. C., 12	3721, 3724

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Promotho Dossee v. Radhika Pershad Dutt	14 B. L. R., 175	2239
Promotho Nath Banerjee v. Jogendro Nath Roy	12 C. L. R., 15	2987
Promotho Nath Ghose v. Jodoo Nath Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 293	1405
Promothonath Roy v. Poorno Chunder Banerjee	11 W. R., 543	1433
——— v. Tripoora Soonduree Dabee	10 W. R., 463	3838
——— v. Watson & Co.	24 W. R., 303	3181
Pronnoda Debia v. Prankishen Singh	2 W. R., 80	296, 1783
Prosad Doss Dutt v. Ramdhone Mullick	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 255	3986
Prosad Doss Mullick v. Kedar Nath Mullick	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 157 : 8 C. L. R., 329.	497, 1132
——— v. Russick Lall Mullick	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 157 : 8 C. L. R., 329.	497, 1132
Prosonna Nath Roy Chowdry v. Afzolonnessa Begum	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 523 : 3 C. L. R., 391.	3153
Prosonno Chunder Roy v. Land Mortgage Bank of India.	25 W. R., 453	1749
Prosonno Coomar Pal Chowdry v. Koylash Chunder Pal Chowdhry.	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 759 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 327 : 8 W. R., 428.	4745, 4939 5058
Prosonno Coomar Pal Chowdhry v. Mudden Mohun Pal Chowdhry.	11 B. L. R., Ap., 31, note : 13 W. R., 390.	545, 3184 4939
Prosonno Narain Deb v. Romonee Dossee	10 W. R., 236	6272
Prosonomoyi Devi v. Beni Madhab Rai	1. L. R., 5 All., 556	4713
Prosunno Chunder Banerjee v. Gouree Dass Bhuttacharjee.	7 W. R., 478	4411
——— v. Kally Persaud Ghose	W. R., 1864, 134	4883
Prosunno Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Kristo Chytunno Pal.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 342 : 3 C. L. R., 154.	4943
Prosunno Chunder Roy v. Mookoond Pershad Roy	11 W. R., 210	3511
——— v. Sreenath Sreemanee	7 W. R., 422	5661
Prosunno Chunder Roy Chowdhry. In re	11 B. L. R., 372, note	5863
——— v. Gyan Chunder Bose.	13 W. R., 354	3361
Prosunno Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Nubokristo Chatterjee.	18 W. R., 434	5863
Prosunno Coomar Chatterjee v. Empress	8 C. L. R., 231	4102, 4360
——— v. Jagun Nath Baisak	10 C. L. R., 25	3004
Prosunno Coomar Dutt v. Chytunno Churn Bidyalunkar.	25 W. R., 74	5777
Prosunno Coomaree Debea v. Rutton Bepary	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 696 : 1 C. L. R., 577.	3004, 3046
Prosunno Coomar Ghose. In the matter of the petition of.	19 W. R., Cr., 56	5157
——— v. Sham Lal Gungopadhya	5 W. R., Mis., 8	5620
——— v. Tarrucknath Sircar	10 B. L. R., 267	2533
Prosunno Coomar Ghuttuck v. Wooma Churn Mookerjee.	20 W. R., 274	2090
Prosunno Coomar Mookerjee v. Buldeo Narain Singh	18 W. R., 62	136
——— v. Shama Churn Mookerjee.	17 W. R., 351	3369
Prosunno Coomar Paul Chowdhry v. Radha Nath Dey Chowdhry.	7 W. R., 97	1659
Prosunno Coomar Paul Chowdhry v. Ramdhun Chatterjee.	18 W. R., 8	549
Prosunno Coomar Roy v. Haran Chunder Chatterjee	5 C. L R., 599	4000
Prosunno Coomar Roy Chowdhry v. Gooroochurn Sein	3 W. R., 159	4246

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prosunno Coomar Roy Chowdhry v. Kashee Kant Bhuttacharjee.	5 W. R., Mis., 31	3204
Prosunno Coomar Shaha v. Gooroo Pershad Roy	1 W. R., 25	777
Prosunno Coomar Sircar v. Ram Coomar Paroocy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 53	1994
Prosunno Kumari Debya v. Golab Chand Baboo	14 B. L. R., 450: 23 W. R., 253: L. R., 2 I. A., 145.	2253
Prosunno Moyee Dossee v. Bhubo Tarinee Dossee	10 W. R., 494	565, 4266
——— v. Doya Moyee Dossee	22 W. R., 275	3342
——— v. Koonjo Beharee Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 157	2252
——— v. Wooma Moyee Dossee	14 W. R., 409	2603
Prosunnomoyi Dasi v. Kalidas Roy	9 C. L. R., 347	2984, 4473
Prosunnonath Dutt v. Judoonath Paul	9 W. R., 589	5127
Prosunnonath Lahiree v. Tripoora Soonduree Dabee	24 W. R., 88	1771, 5836
Prosunno Nath Roy v. Jogut Chunder Pundit	3 C. L. R., 159	3813
Prosunno Pandey v. Gungaram	W. R., 1864, 2	3127
Prosuno Moyee Dossee v. Soondur Coomaree Debia	2 W. R., Act X, 30	7, 529, 530
Protab Chunder Burooah v. Kantaeswurree Dabee	2 W. R., 250	3235
Protab Chunder Burrooah v. Surnomoyee	19 W. R., 361	1755
Protab Chunder Chowdhry v. Brojolal Shaha	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 638: 7 W. R., 253.	3403, 4959
Protab Chunder Dutt v. Koorbanissa Bibee	14 W. R., 130	879
Protab Chunder Mukerjee v. Empress	11 C. L. R., 25	263
Protab Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Joymonee Dabee Chowdhrain.	1 W. R., 98	2489
Protap Chunder Banerjee v. Krishto Kishore Shaha	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 885	4407
Protap Chunder Borooah v. Collector of Gowalpara	22 W. R., 216	327, 6094
——— v. Surno Moyee	14 W. R., 151	2699
Protap Chunder Chowdhry v. Shukhee Soondaree Dassee	2 C. L. R., 569	3374
Protap Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Panioty	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 506: 12 C. L. R., 488.	5440
Protap Chunder Dass v. Arathoon	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 455: 10 C. L. R., 443.	1114, 1717
——— v. Gour Chunder Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 132: 2 C. L. R., 455.	4619
Protap Chunder Doss v. Peary Chowdhrain	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 174: 9 C. L. R., 453.	1910, 5793
Protap Chunder Ghose. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 848: 12 C. L. R., 407.	3808
Protap Chunder Roodro v. Huro Monee Dossia	24 W. R., 188	374
Protap Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Jogendro Chunder Ghose.	4 C. L. R., 168	4267
Protap Chunder Singh v. Chunder Coomar Roy	W. R., 1864, 76	5797
——— v. Gooroodoss Roy	W. R., 1864, 76	5797
Protap Narain Doss v. Poorno Mashee Daye	14 W. R., 415	678
Protap Narain Mookerjee v. Kartick Chunder Mookerjee	10 W. R., 192	4184
Protap Narain Singh v. Monohur Doss	W. R., 1864, 96	2187
Protima Aurat v. Dukhia Sirkar	9 B. L. R., Ap., 38: 18 W. R., 450.	1094
Protona Kolita v. Mottea Kolita	11 W. R., 334	4764
Provabutty Dabee v. Mohendro Lall Bose	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 453	4568
Puchai Khan v. Abed Sirdar	21 W. R., 140	4746
Puchooa v. Mahomed Tala Assudoollah	2 Agra, 217	537, 1345
Pudar Bindoo Mahantee v. Mohesh Chunder Sen	20 W. R., 183	4455
Puddo Koomaree Debee v. Juggut Kishore Acharjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 615	2140
Puddolabh Deo v. Obhoyram Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 30	535
Puddolabh Roy v. Ram Gopal Chatterjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 133: 11 C. L. R., 333.	112

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Puddo Lochun v. Sirdar Khan	12 W. R., 23	773
Puddolochun Bhadoori v. Chunder Nath Roy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 171: 5 W. R., Act X, 51.	1663
Puddomokey Dossee v. Shama Churn Chuckerbutty	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 226	4407
Puddomonee Dassee v. Juggodumba Dassee	25 W. R., Cr., 2	4484, 4489
Puddomonee Dossee v. Baneekant Ghose	9 W. R., 344	1176
——— v. Bisseshur Dutt Chowdhry	5 W. R., 34	1747
——— v. Dwarkanath Biswas	25 W. R., 335	1503, 1711 2056, 2190 4311
——— v. Roy Muthooranath Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 411: 20 W. R., 133.	438
Puddomonee Dossia v. Jholla Pally	7 W. R., 283	4172
——— v. Puromanund Sein	7 W. R., 158	1598
Puddum Mookhee Dossee v. Rayee Monee Dossee	12 W. R., 409	2415, 2466
Pudishary Krishnen v. Karampally Kunhunni Kurup	7 Mad., 378	1100, 1560
Pudiyakovilngalla v. Allunannalatta Kadinni	1 Mad., 146	3442
Pudiyaporayil Mamy v. Madakorath Amman Kutti	3 Mad., 199	4757
Pudiyar Vasudavan Nambudripad v. Keyaka Kovilagatha Valia Rany.	4 Mad., 231	779
Pugardin Ravutan v. Moidinsa Ravutan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 414	172, 377 5958
Puhlwan Thakoor v. Godooree Koonwar	W. R., F. B., 142	1585
Puhoop Singh v. Khooman	3 Agra, 313	2321
Puju bin Kadan v. Malhari bin Rama	1 Bom., 171	5631
Pulash Monee Dossee v. Anund Moyee Dossee	8 W. R., 398	672
Pulin Behari Sen v. Watson	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 904: 9 W. R., 190.	138, 4148 4401
Pulisanki Reddi v. Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 20	1267
Pullen Chetty v. Ramalinga Chetty	5 Mad., 368	1393
Pultoo Roy v. Greedharee Singh	W. R., F. B., 12: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 5.	67
Pultun Singh v. Reshal Singh	1 W. R., 7	3079, 3982
Puna Bibee v. Khoda Buksh Beparee	22 W. R., 396	4915
Puna Karuppana Pillai v. Virabadra Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 277	5668
Punchanada Chetti v. Raman Chetti	1 Mad., 446	3481
Punchanun Bose. In the matter of the petition of	15 W. R., Cr., 41	4103
Punchanun Bose v. Oomanath Roy Chowdry	14 W. R., 160	292
Punchanun Bose v. Peary Mohun Deb	2 W. R., 225	1599, 4589
Punchanund Dass Chowdhry v. Taramoni Chowdrain	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 64	5852
Punchanund Ojhab v. Lalshan Misser	3 W. R., 140	2492
Punchanun Ghose v. Brojendronarain Deb	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 38	1201
Punchanun Ghose v. Daly	15 B. L. R., 331	4618
Punchanun Mullick v. Heera Lall Seal	1 W. R., 173	34
Punchanun Muzoomdar v. Doorga Nath Roy	W. R., 1864, 300	696
Punchanun Roy v. Modoosoodun Roy	W. R., 1864, 245	4980
——— v. Troylucknohinee Dossee	14 W. R., 466	6101
Punchanun Sircar v. Gunesh Mundul	8 W. R., 214	5827, 5830
Punchoomoney Dossee v. Troylucko Mohincy Dossee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 342	2540
Punchum Singh v. Hoormutoonnissa	5 N. W., 64	5637
——— v. Mungle Singh	2 Agra, Pt. II, 207	4011, 4257 4596
Punjab v. Petum Singh	6 N. W., 192	1145
Punja Kuvarji v. Bai Kuvar	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 20	4575
Punju v. Oodoy	18 W. R., 337	1386, 4872 5663
Punjum Singh v. Ameena Khatoom	6 W. R., 6	3977, 4014

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Punna *v.* Juggur Nath	1 Agra, 236	3706
Punnett *v.* Vivayak Pandurang	9 Bom., 27	2626
Punnoo Singh *v.* Nirghin Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 298: 8 C. L. R., 310.	1601, 6115
Punnun Singh *v.* Meher Ali	W. R., 1864, 365	1380
Puran Chunder Chatterjee *v.* Greesh Chunder Chatterjee	9 W. R., 450	5766
Puran Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Protap Narain Paul	19 W. R., 120	4457
Puran Chunder Roy *v.* Juggessur Mookerjee	17 W. R., 298	3822
Puran Dai *v.* Jai Narain	I. L. R., 4 All., 482	2198
Puran Mal *v.* Ali Khan	I. L. R., 1 All., 235	487
——— *v.* Padma	I. L. R., 2 All., 732	2060, 2872
Puran Mudduck *v.* Ooday Chand Mullick	3 W. R., 29	1360, 4565
Puran Santra *v.* Tajooddeen	5 W. R., Act X, 20	4925
Puran Telee *v.* Bhuttoo Dome	9 W. R., Cr., 5	3629
Purappavanalingam Chetti *v.* Nullasivan Chetti	1 Mad., 415	1449, 2233
Purasara Bhattar *v.* Ranga Bhattar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 202	1406
Purbhoo Doss *v.* Goma Bhujun Singh	5 W. R., Mis., 4	447
Purbhoo Narain Singh *v.* Lelanund Singh	2 W. R., 256	3121
Purbhoo Tewaree *v.* Ramjeawun Patuck	1 N. W., 65: Ed. 1873, 119	4868, 5062
Purbhudas Velji *v.* Chugun Raichand	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 196	2646
Purbooddeen Mullick *v.* Molaem Bibee	14 W. R., 149	2746
Purdimun Kishen *v.* Roushun Singh	1 Agra, 111	3253
Puredag Singh *v.* Purtab Narayan Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 11 W. R., 253.	5316
Pureeag Lall *v.* Ram Jewan Lal	1 W. R., 264	4169
Pureeag Singh *v.* Jogessur Suhaye	8 W. R., 111	1355
——— *v.* Kheer Singh	8 W. R., 280	5799
——— *v.* Shib Ram Chunder Mundul	3 W. R., 165	66
Pureejan Khatoon *v.* Bykunt Chunder Chuckerbutty	7 W. R., 96	1423, 3351, 3803
——— *v.* ———	9 W. R., 380	1432, 1724, 4914
Puree Soondure Dabee *v.* Chunder Shekhur Ghossal	15 W. R., 252	4618
Puree Soondure Debia *v.* Bholanath Roodro	8 W. R., 159	556
Puresh Narain Roy *v.* Watson	23 W. R., 229	242
Puresh Nath Ghose Muhdul *v.* Krishto Lall Dutt	23 W. R., 50	571
Purgas Rai *v.* Juggun Singh	2 Agra, Pt. II, 201	6130
Puriag Bhuggut *v.* Donzelle	14 W. R., 21	1234
Puriag Dutt *v.* Brojo Koonwar	9 W. R., 503	323
Purikeet Saho *v.* Radhakishen Sahoo	3 W. R., 221	2020
Puri Sundari Debi *v.* Drobomayi Debi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 10	4618
Purmanundas *v.* Cormack	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 326	942
Purmanandas Jeewandas. In re	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 109	3073
Purmanand Das Jiwandas *v.* Dharsey Virji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 101	4766, 4791
——— *v.* Jamnabai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 49	3432, 3910
Purmanund *v.* Orumbah Koer	W. R., 1864, 143	2188
Purmanund Sein *v.* Puddo Monee Dossee	9 W. R., 349	1643
Purmaya *v.* Sonde Shinivasapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 459	4804
Purmeshur Rai *v.* Hidayutoollah	1 N. W., 60: Ed. 1873, 114	442
Purmessuree Dossee *v.* Nobin Chunder Tarun	24 W. R., 305	5425
Purmessuree Dutt Jha *v.* Joynauth Thakoor	15 W. R., 326	1454
Purmessuree Narain Singh *v.* Romeezooddeen Ahmed	5 W. R., 226	5116, 5123
Purmessuree Pershad Narain Singh *v.* Jankee Kooer	19 W. R., 90	339
Purmessur Ojha *v.* Goolbee	11 W. R., 446	2088, 2089, 3746
Purmessur Singh *v.* Soroop Audhi Kuree	13 W. R., Cr., 40	5155, 6265

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Purna Chandra Ganguli v. Madan Mohan Mazumdar	6 B. L. R., 617, note: 13 W. R., 67.	2885
Purna Chandra Mookorjee v. Sarada Charan Roy	3 B. L. R., Ap., 21: 11 W. R., 241.	1879, 1927
Purna Chandra Roy v. Abhaya Chandra Roy	4 B. L. R., Ap., 40	403, 473
Purnamal Deka Kohta v. Mayaram Deka Kohta	10 C. L. R., 201	2853
Purnamasi Dayi. In re	8 B. L. R., 395, note	2447
Purna Narain Audhikar v. Hemokant Audhikar	6 C. L. R., 46	3348
Purnanund Asrum v. Rookinee Gooptani	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 793	1607
Purnima Chowdhrain v. Nittanand Shah	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 3: W. R., F. B., 82.	27
Purno Chunder Roy v. Sadut Ali	2 C. L. R., 31	1592
Puroma Soonduree Dossee v. Prollad Chunder Doss	12 W. R., 289	2996, 4786
——— v. Tara Soonduree Dossee	9 W. R., 343	94
Purran Chunder Ghose v. Mutty Lall Ghose Jahira	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 50: 2 C. L. R., 543.	552
Purrunjote v. Deon Panday	2 C. L. R., 352	6022
Purseodh Narain Singh v. Bissessur Dyal Singh	7 W. R., 148	4200
Pursewalkum Hindu Janobacara Nidhi v. Narayana Acharry.	8 Mad., 198	921
Purshadeo Lall v. Umbika Pershad Lall	11 W. R., 290	5581
Purshotam Bapu v. Dattatraya	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 669	3034
Purshotamdass Tribhovandass v. Mahanant Surajbharthi Haribharthi.	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 588	5418
Purshotam Lalbhai v. Bhavanji Partab	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 360	1517
Purshotam Mancharam v. Abdul Latif	6 Bom., O. C., 67	3206
Purshotam Mansukh v. Ramchhod Purshotam	8 Bom., A. C., 152	963, 4948
Purshotam Shama Shenvi v. Vasudev Krishna Shenvi	8 Bom., O. C., 196	2139, 2528
Purshotam Sidheshvar v. Dhondu Amrit	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 582	5892
Purshottam Talvar v. Mudkangagavda Shidanyavda	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 420	2098
Purshottam Vithal v. Purshottam Iswar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 532	5374, 5451
Pursidh Narain Rai v. Mahomed Shookool Huq	1 N. W., 38: Ed. 1873, 35	4753
Pursid Narain Sing v. Honooman Sahay	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 845: 5 C. L. R., 576.	2161, 2471
Purson Chund Golacha v. Kajooram	10 B. L. R., 355: 19 W. R., 203.	5719
Purseo Ram v. Byjnath Lall	10 W. R., 475	3106
Pursooram Baroon. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 117: 25 W. R., Cr., 52.	3608
Pursotum Doss v. Oodey Narain Mull	1 Agra, Mis., 3	436
Pursun Gopal Paul Chowdhry v. Poordanund Mullick	21 W R., 272	4892, 4977
Pursun Lal v. Government	W. R., 1864, 102	1672
Pursut Koer v. Palut Roy	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 442	3400
Purtab Chunder-Boroonh v. Bhuggobutty Dabea	Marsh., 59: 1 Hay, 131	1912
Purumsookh v. Soobhan	2 Agra, 323	4891
Purus Ram v. Deo Koer	4 N. W., 29	167
——— v. Juyuntee Pershad	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 154.	762
Purvatha Vurdhay Nauchiar v. Jayareva Ramakomara Ettyapa Naicker.	4 W. R., P. C., 31	4127, 4647
Pussi v. Mahadeo Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 12	805
Pushong v. Munia Halwani	1 B. L. R., A. C., 95: 10 W. R., 128.	6128
Pusi v. Mahadeo Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 122	2200, 2213
Putali Meheti v. Tulja	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 223	3183, 4976
Putanvitil Tevan Nair v. Putanvitil Ragavan Nair	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 171	3762
Putbulwant Rao v. Futtehooddeen	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 143	5827
Puthuram Chowdhry v. Kuthe Narain Chowdhry	1 W. R., 124	34

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Columns of Digest.
Putona Kolita *v.* Mutia Kolita	2 B. L. R., Ap., 46	4764
Putooaram *v.* Sham Lall Sahoo	7 W. R., 206	4557
Putsahee Kooer *v.* Sheopershad Ram Oopadhya	24 W. R., 61	5753
Puyikuth Ithayi Umah *v.* Kairhirapokil Mamod	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 248	3744
Pyari Chand Mitter. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., 558	2660
——— *v.* Frazer	6 B. L. R., Ap., 40	3350, 4681
Pyari Lal *v.* Rooke	3 B. L. R., A. C., 305 : 12 W. R., 199.	2844
Pyari Lall. In the matter of	4 C. L. R., 504	1836
Pyari Mohan Mookerjee *v.* Kina Bewa	2 B. L. R., A. C., 226	5831
Pyari Mohan Singh *v.* Gazi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 337 : 11 W. R., 270.	1183, 1196
Pyaroo Tuhovildarinee *v.* Nazir Hossein	23 W. R., 183	3473
Pylwan Jarkan Sahib Vasthath *v.* Jenaka Raja Tevar	8 Mad., 87	3236

Q

Quarme. In re	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 503	432
Quarry. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 All., 511 : L. R., 7 I. A., 6.	4635
Queen *v.* Abbas	2 Hyde, 247	5207
—— *v.* Abbas Ali Chowdhry	6 B. L. R., 74	4100
—— *v.* Abdool	W. R., 1864, Cr., 38	6189
—— *v.* ———	10 W. R., 23	1260
—— *v.* Abdool Azeez	7 W. R., Cr., 59	5553
—— *v.* Abdool Azeez Khan	14 W. R., Cr., 36	963, 3631
—— *v.* Abdool Huq	20 W. R., Cr., 57	4729
—— *v.* Abdool Jaleel	W. R., 1864, Cr., 5	721, 4369
—— *v.* Abdool Kurreem	14 W. R., Cr., 32	2923
—— *v.* Abdoollah	2 N. W., 401	3615
—— *v.* Abdul Ali	25 W. R., Cr. 45	2912
—— *v.* Abdul Biswas	7 B. L. R., 8, note	975
—— *v.* Abheen Parrida	20 W. R., Cr., 17	5910
—— *v.* Abool Hossein	1 W. R., Cr., 48	5552
—— *v.* Aboo Sheikh	23 W. R., Cr., 19	5912
—— *v.* Acheet Lall	17 W. R., Cr., 46	1155
—— *v.* Adapa Venkanna	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 327	3616
—— *v.* Adhya Thakoor	17 W. R., Cr., 33	711
—— *v.* Adjoodhyá Pershad	2 N. W., 188	5821
—— *v.* Affazuddeen	W. R., 1864, Cr., 13	6265
—— *v.* Ahmed Ali	22 W. R., Cr., 42	1272
—— *v.* Ahmed Ally	11 W. R., Cr., 25	1805, 1961, 6273
—— *v.* Ahmedoollah	2 W. R., Cr., 60	2906
—— *v.* Aidrus Sahib	1 Mad., 38	1963
—— *v.* Aimona	1 W. R., Cr., 43	4350
—— *v.* Akbar Kazee	1 W. R., Cr., 21	4710
—— *v.* Akulputtee Gossain	5 W. R., Cr., 58	2733
—— *v.* Ala Buksh	7 B. L. R., 482, note : 12 W. R., Cr., 24.	4109
—— *v.* Aliah Buksh	6 B. L. R., Ap., 133 : 13 B. L. R., 310, note.	4332
—— *v.* Aman	5 N. W., 130	1333
—— *v.* Amanoollah Mollah	6 W. R., Cr., 5	1804

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Amanulla	12 B. L. R., Ap., 15 : 21 W. R., Cr., 49.	1290
—— v. Amarut	4 W. R., Cr., 20	6189
—— v. Ambigara Hulagu	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 163	1022
—— v. Ameer	2 N. W., 5	4449
—— v. Ameer Ali Khan	3 N. W., 133	1062
—— v. Ameer Chand Nohatta	13 W. R., Cr., 63	6251, 6262
—— v. Ameer Khan	7 B. L. R., 240 : 15 W. R., Cr., 69.	6028
—— v. ————	7 B. L. R., 244, note	5947
—— v. ————	7 B. L. R., 250, note : 15 W. R., Cr., 60.	5947
—— v. ————	2 N. W., 113	6258
—— v. Ameerooddeen	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 307	714
—— v. Ameeruddeen	12 W. R., Cr., 36	4100, 4361
—— v. Amgad Bugeah	2 W. R., Cr., 61	2938
—— v. Amjr Jau	7 N. W., 302	4353
—— v. Amir Khan	6 B. L. R., Ap., 87, note : 12 W. R., Cr., 35.	725
—— v. ————	9 B. L. R., 36 : 17 W. R., Cr., 15.	519, 1803 2906
—— v. Amirtam	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 335	5569
—— v. Amiruddin	7 B. L. R., 63 : 15 W. R., Cr., 25	399 1801 6172
—— v. Amiruddin Fakeer	21 W. R., Cr., 29	6270
—— v. Anoo	W. R., 1864, Cr., 16	5564
—— v. Anthony	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 280	4112
—— v. Anunto Chuckerbutty	14 B. L. R., 295, note : 22 W. R., Cr., 1.	4115
—— v. Anunto Rurnagat	6 W. R., Cr., 57	4055
—— v. Anyi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 17	4691
—— v. Araz Sircar	10 W. R., Cr., 29	3864
—— v. Arumuga Nadan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 200, note	4353
—— v. Arunja Bewa	19 W. R., Cr., 32	3862
—— v. Ashruff Sheik	6 W. R., Cr., 91	6249
—— v. Assanoollah	13 W. R., Cr., 15	5154, 6262
—— v. Asanulla	6 B. L. R., 693, note : 10 W. R., Cr., 21.	4855
—— v. Askur	W. R., 1864, Cr., 12	17, 2937 5291
—— v. Assam Shureff	13 W. R., Cr., 75	1671
—— v. Assuf Ali Khan	3 N. W., 126	3614
—— v. Audhun Roy	14 W. R., Cr., 24	1967
—— v. Azimoodeen	11 W. R., Cr., 15	2007
—— v. Baboolun Hijrah	5 W. R., Cr., 7	706, 1335
—— v. Bachu	7 N. W., 328	4724
—— v. Bachun Kadir	23 W. R., Cr., 6	490
—— v. Bahadur Singh	4 N. W., 128	2441, 3739
—— v. Baijoo Chowdhry	25 W. R., Cr., 43	24, 1021
—— v. Baijoo Koormee	5 W. R., Cr., 76	955
—— v. Baijoo Lall	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 450	1314
—— v. Baikantha Nath Banerjee	3 B. L. R., F. B., 2, note	23
—— v. Bajo Huri	19 W. R., Cr., 37	5883
—— v. Bakhoree Chowbey	5 W. R., Cr., 98	1980
—— v. Baktear Maifaraz	6 B. L. R., 698 : 15 W. R., Cr., 64.	4920
—— v. Bakur Khan	5 N. W., 213	1020

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Balkishen	2 N. W., 447	959
—— v. ————	3 N. W., 166: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 252.	1102
—— v. Ballabh Kant Bhattacharjee	7 B. L. R., 324, note: 11 W. R., Cr., 36.	4493
—— v. Banda Ali	6 B. L. R., Ap., 95: 15 W. R., Cr., 7.	5564
—— v. Banee Madhub Doss	23 W. R., Cr., 3	5913
—— v. Banee Madhub Ghose	8 W. R., Cr., 1	1258
—— v. Banessur Biswas	18 W. R., Cr., 46	2011
—— v. Bani Doss	14 W. R., Cr., 2	5548
—— v. Bani Madhub Banerjee	14 W. R., Cr., 23	503
—— v. Basooram Dass	19 W. R., Cr., 36	6278
—— v. Bassoo Rannah	2 W. R., Cr., 29	5555
—— v. Bawool Manjee	8 W. R., Cr., 32	5996
—— v. Bawul Singh	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306	3614
—— v. Bazu	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 750: 8. W. R., Cr., 47.	713, 1267
—— v. Bebheki Pathak	21 W. R., Cr., 12	489
—— v. Bechoo Saout	19 W. R., Cr., 35	1331
—— v. Beegun Mahtoon	12 W. R., Cr., 37	4368
—— v. Begarayi Krishna Saranu	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 373	5882
—— v. Begum	2 N. W., 349	4112
—— v. Beharee Dosadh	7 W. R., Cr., 7	1788, 5152
—— v. Behary Lall Bose	7 W. R., Cr., 44	25
—— v. Behary Singh	7 W. R., Cr., 3	4451
—— v. Belat Ali	10 B. L. R., 453: 19 W. R., Cr., 67.	1022
—— v. Belilios	20 W. R., Cr., 61	4628
—— v. Beshor Bewa	18 W. R., Cr., 29	2582
—— v. Bhadoo Poramanick	4 W. R., Cr., 23	2584
—— v. Bhagabati Sathran	7 B. L. R., 9, note	975
—— v. Bhagai Dafadar	2 B. L. R., F. B., 21: 10 W. R., Cr., 43.	1040, 2896
—— v. Bhagidhone Katchari	8 W. R., Cr., 53	2901
—— v. Bhairo Misser	7 W. R., Cr., 51	709
—— v. Bhakoas Tutum	7 W. R., Cr., 13	1962
—— v. Bhamour Doosadh	7 W. R., Cr., 41	5573
—— v. Bharut Chunder	1 W. R., Cr., 2	721, 5992
—— v. Bheebeekee	4 N. W., 16	1009, 1800 1855
—— v. Bheekoo Kalwar	10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W. R., Cr., 15.	2624
—— v. Bheekun	8 W. R., Cr., 30	4533
—— v. Bheekun Doss	7 W. R., Cr., 114	1791
—— v. Bhekoo Singh	7 W. R., Cr., 108	721, 1796
—— v. Bhikaree	4 N. W., 88	971
—— v. ————	15 W. R., Cr., 63	1800
—— v. Bhista bin Madanna	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 308	389
—— v. Bhitto Kahar	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123	1953, 1982
—— v. Bhohisan Mahatoon	W. R., 1864, Cr., 3	5605
—— v. Bhola Nath Banerjee	7 W. R., Cr., 31	975
—— v. Bholanath Mookerjee	7 B. L. R., 564: 16 W. R., Cr., 28.	6253
—— v. Bholanath Sen	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. R., Cr., 57.	1262, 2766
—— v. Bholay Pramanick	17 W. R., Cr., 32	2011

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Bhoobun Mohun	11 W. R., Cr., 39	5561
—— v. Bhoobun Singh	9 W. R., Cr., 36	5598
—— v. Bhoodeea	8 W. R., Cr., 3	5546
—— v. Bhootoo Mullick	6 W. R., Cr., 85	5572
—— v. Bhugner Putwa	11 W. R., Cr., 9	6267
—— v. Bhugwan Ahir	8 W. R., Cr., 65	1955
—— v. Bhugwan Chunder Koondoo	19 W. R., Cr., 4	5888
—— v. Bhugwan Lall	15 W. R., Cr., 8	398
—— v. Bhungee Aheer	2 W. R., Cr., 5	2938
—— v. Bhutia	7 N. W., 295	4378
—— v. Bhuttun Rujwan	12 W. R., Cr., 49	1008
—— v. Bhyro Dayal Sing	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 4: 11 W. R., Cr., 46.	1806, 4096 4489
—— v. Bhyrub Seal	W. R., 1864, Cr., 27	5552
—— v. Bidu Noshyo	13 B. L. R., 325, note: 11 W. R., Cr., 37: 12 W. R., Cr., 11.	1977
—— v. Bidur Ghose	7 B. L. R., 9, note: 12 W. R., Cr., 27.	976
—— v. Bilash Mosulmany	14 W. R., Cr., 46	4533
—— v. Bindu	8 W. R., Cr., 60	4749
—— v. Binod	24 W. R., Cr., 66	6069
—— v. Bipro Dass	19 W. R., Cr., 43	5599
—— v. Bipro Doss	8 W. R., Cr., 45	1532, 2791
—— v. Bishendharee Kahar	7 W. R., Cr., 100	4060
—— v. Bisheshur Pershad	2 N. W., 441: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 236.	20, 6184
—— v. Bishnooram Surma	1 W. R., Cr., 9	2580, 2582
—— v. Bishonath Bunneea	8 W. R., Cr., 53	4060
—— v. Bishonath Pal	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 20: 12 W. R., Cr., 3.	1790
—— v. Bishoo Manjee	9 W. R., Cr., 16	1807, 5882
—— v. Bissen Nath	7 W. R., Cr., 31	1805
—— v. Bissessur Roy	11 W. R., Cr., 51	1260
—— v. Bissessur Sein	9 W. R., Cr., 62	1988
—— v. Bissonath Mitter	6 W. R., Cr., 6	5578
—— v. Bissorunjun Mookerjee	6 W. R., Cr., 75	1796
—— v. Bistoo Churn Chuckerbutty	10 W. R., Cr., 27	4108
—— v. Biswambhar Das	6 B. L. R., Ap., 122: 15 W. R., Cr., 49.	1789
—— v. Bocha Chowkeedar	22 W. R., Cr., 33	6263
—— v. Bodhee Khan	5 W. R., Cr., 79	2733
—— v. Boidnath Singh	3 W. R., Cr., 29	2766, 5996
—— v. Boidonath	7 W. R., Cr., 48	5496
—— v. Bojjigan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 22	1669
—— v. Bokoo Sheikh	W. R., 1864, Cr., 21	5291
—— v. Bolaki Jolahad	1 B. L. R., S. N., 8: 10 W. R., Cr., 9.	4625
—— v. Bolaki Lall	19 W. R., Cr., 7	4447
—— v. Bommaya	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 26	391
—— v. Bonomally Ghose	W. R., 1864, Cr., 8	1349
—— v. Bonomally Sohai	5 L. R., Cr., 32	1954
—— v. Boodhoo	8 W. R., 38	1003, 1332
—— v. Boodhoos	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 869. 9 W. R., Cr., 6.	5575
—— v. Boodhun Ahir	17 W. R., Cr., 32	711
—— v. Boodhun Mooshur	8 W. R., Cr., 78	18

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Boolakee	14 W. R., Cr., 81	6254
—— v. Borodakant Mookhopadhya	15 W. R., Cr., 17	4447
—— v. Boron Dhoom	16 W. R., Cr., 1	1530
—— v. Bowka	22 W. R., Cr., 72	1293
—— v. Bowka Hari	22 W. R., Cr., 35	1293
—— v. Boya Linga	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 268	3628
—— v. Boydonath Mookerjee	17 W. R., Cr., 11	953
—— v. Boystum Moochee	17 W. R., Cr., 11	1261
—— v. Brij Mohan Lal	7 N. W., 134	1973
—— v. Brojendro Lal	21 W. R., Cr., 66	4107
—— v. Brojokishore Dutt	8 W. R., Cr., 17	1989, 4381
—— v. Brojo Lall Mitter	8 W. R., Cr., 45	504
—— v. Broond Shahoo *alias* Chundra Chatterjee	7 W. R., Cr., 112	4533
—— v. Budderoodeen	11 W. R., Cr., 20	4061
—— v. Budhu Nanku	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 475	1022
—— v. Budla	2 N. W., 455	5538
—— v. Budri Roy	23 W. R., Cr., 65	2583, 2779
—— v. Buldeo	2 N. W., 286	2936
—— v. ———	2 N. W., 453	5832
—— v. Buloram	7 W. R., Cr., 104	3619
—— v. Buloram Doss	4 W. R., Cr., 20	5576
—— v. Bulsingh	4 N. W., 8	5547
—— v. Bundhoo Banerjee	21 W. R., Cr., 37	1316
—— v. Bunwaree Lall	21 W. R., Cr., 53	1021
—— v. Bushmo Anent	3 W. R., Cr., 21	399, 1806
—— v. Bussiruddi	8 W. R., Cr., 35	1796, 1805
—— v. Bustee Khan	1 W. R., Cr., 17	722
—— v. Busti Singh	14 W. R., Cr., 76	5600
—— v. Buzleh Ali	22 W. R., Cr., 65	5910
—— v. Bykunt Nath Banerjee	5 W. R., Cr., 72	1964
—— v. ———	10 W. R., Cr., 17	344, 1788
—— v. Bysagoo Noshyo	8 W. R., Cr., 29	1797, 2581
—— v. Callachand	7 W. R., Cr., 60	5556
—— v. Cassy Mul	3 W. R., Cr., 10	1349
—— v. Chakor Huree	6 W. R., Cr., 16	2583
—— v. Chakrasahu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 185	3569
—— v. Chand Bagdee	7 W. R., Cr., 6	1151, 5600
—— v. Chand Mansal	19 W. R., Cr., 1	4070
—— v. Chando Chandalinee	24 W. R., Cr., 55	25
—— v. Chandra Jugi	9 B. L. R., 6: 17 W. R., Cr., 47.	262
—— v. Chandrakant Chuckerbutty	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 8: 10 W. R., Cr., 14.	4628
—— v. Chandra Sekhar Roy	5 B. L. R., 100: 13 W. R., Cr., 66.	1048
—— v. Chappu Menon	4 Mad., 146	259
—— v. Chedee Koonjra	14 W. R., Cr., 76	6250
—— v. Cheit Ram	5 N. W., 110	400
—— v. ———	2 N. W., 118	2595
—— v. Cheyt Singh	22 W. R., Cr., 70	4728
—— v. Chidda	3 N. W., 327	1953
—— v. Chinna Vedagiri Chetti	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 227	6260
—— v. Chirag Ali	12 W. R., Cr., 5	343
—— v. Chocha Rai	6 N. W., 366	4718
—— v. Choda Atchenah	3 Mad., 318	1004
—— v. Choonee	5 W. R., Cr., 13	723
—— v. Chooramoni Sant	14 W. R., Cr., 25	1326, 4748

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Chotey Lal	3 N. W., 271	707, 6261
—— v. Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 28	4722
—— v. Chrestien	2 N. W., 473	1511
—— v. Chubboa	5 N. W., 189	2939
—— v. Chullundee Poramanick	3 W. R., Cr., 55	4056
—— v. Chumroo Roy	7 W. R., Cr., 35	21
—— v. Chundee Churn Banerjee	6 W. R., Cr., 94	4238
—— v. Chundee Churn Dass	22 W. R., Cr., 71	5495
—— v. Chunder Bhuttacharjee	24 W. R., Cr., 42	1009, 1011 1021, 1268
—— v. Chunder Coomar Son	I Ind. Jur., N. S., 97 : 5 W. R., Cr., 4.	3604
—— v. Chunder Kant Lahoree	12 W. R., Cr., 2	5549
—— v. Chunder Koomar Mozoomdar	24 W. R., Cr., 77	724
—— v. Chunder Kumar Muzoomdar	25 W. R., Cr., 54	719
—— v. Chunder Prosaud Singh	10 W. R., Cr., 30	3625
—— v. Chunder Seekur Roy	12 W. R., Cr., 18	464, 1046 3623, 4360 5565
—— v. Chundi Churn Nauth	8 W. R., Cr., 5	1970
—— v. Chundro Mohun Bhooya	22 W. R., Cr., 82	5495
—— v. Chupput Khyrwar	15 W. R., Cr., 83	1799
—— v. Chutterdharee Singh	5 W. R., Cr., 59	23, 400 2762
—— v. Chytun Bowra	5 W. R., Cr., 49	5553
—— v. Clarke	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 137	3780
—— v. Dabee	W. R., 1864, Cr., 27	5573, 5578
—— v. Dabee Singh	7 W. R., Cr., 55	737
—— v. Dalapati Rau	1 Mad., 83	4509
—— v. Damodur Dass	2 N. W., 452	4104
—— v. Damoo Singh	8 W. R., Cr., 36	4451
—— v. Damu Haree	21 W. R., Cr., 35	5570
—— v. Daria Khan	2 N. W., 128	4356
—— v. Dassee Mosulmany	14 W. R., Cr. 46	727
—— v. Dassor Bhooyan	8 W. R., Cr. 71	4058
—— v. Dassoo Manjee	11 W. R., Cr., 39	975, 4719
—— v. Dayal Bawri	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 55	452
—— v. Dedar Nushyo	14 W. R., Cr., 81	4532, 5649
—— v. Deeyanutoollah	7 W. R., Cr., 32	1985
—— v. Degumber Ahir	21 W. R., Cr., 22	1671
—— v. Degumber Thakoor	19 W. R., Cr., 44	1794
—— v. Denonath Bujjur	9 W. R., Cr., 52	1975
—— v. Denoo Bundhoo Biswas	12 W. R., Cr., 1	3865
—— v. Deo Nundun Singh	11 W. R., Cr., 16	4730
—— v. Desilva	5 N. W., 120	5884
—— v. Dhaumun Teli	20 W. R., Cr., 36	4625
—— v. Dhona Bhooya	5 B. L. R., 658 : 14 W. R., Cr., 33.	256
—— v. Dhoonda Bhooya	8 W. R., Cr., 85	5545
—— v. Dhununjai Poly	14 W. R., Cr., 68	4623
—— v. Dhunput Ojhah	7 W. R., Cr., 51	737
—— v. Dhurrani Dutt Rai	8 W. R., Cr., 7	5545
—— v. Dhurum Dutt Ojha	8 W. R., Cr., 13	1807
—— v. Digambur Shaha	24 W. R., Cr., 3	1268
—— v. Dina Bundhoo Roy	24 W. R., Cr., 4	1790
—— v. Dinanath Gangooly	8 B. L. R., Ap., 58 : 17 W. R., Cr., 12.	4448

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Dina Sheikh	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 15, note : 10 W. R., Cr., 63.	5552
—— v. Dinobundo Rai	9 W. R., Cr., 19	6065
—— v. Dinoo Roy	16 W. R., Cr., 21	1853, 6255
—— v. Doma Ram	24 W. R., Cr., 66	5910
—— v. Domun Kahar	12 W. R., Cr., 82	1008
—— v. Doorga Churn Shome	24 W. R., Cr., 30	2923
—— v. Doorga Doss	7 W. R., Cr., 104	2940, 5556
—— v. Doorgagutty	11 W. R., Cr., 55	6251
—— v. Doorga Nath Roy	8 W. R., Cr., 9	967
—— v. Doorgessur Surmah	7 W. R., Cr., 97	17
—— v. Doorjodhun Shamonto *alias* Deejobor	19 W. R., Cr., 26	727
—— v. ———	19 W. R., Cr., 45	5548, 6167
—— v. Dowlut	8 W. R., Cr., 95	710
—— v. Doyal Shilydar	6 W. R., Cr., 87	5884
—— v. Dudraj Dosadh	22 W. R., Cr., 83	972, 1533
—— v. Dukaran	7 N. W., 196	252
—— v. Dulesloddeen Sheikh	5 W. R., Cr., 19	1950
—— v. Dullee	6 N. W., 307	6045
—— v. Durbaroo Dass Sirdar	13 W. R., Cr., 14	1020
—— v. Durbarro Polie	8 W. R., Cr., 30	712
—— v. Durgadas Bhuttacharjee	7 B. L. R., Ap., 37	4719
—— v. Durzoolla	9 W. R., Cr., 33	706, 713, 5556
—— v. Dushrutto Roy	7 W. R., Cr., 58	6068
—— v. Dussorut Dass	18 W. R., Cr., 63	5883
—— v. Dwarka	5 W. R., Cr., 18 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 100.	23
—— v. Dwarka Aheer	2 W. R., Cr., 49	4379
—— v. Dwarkanath Bose	2 W. R., Cr., 31	5511
—— v. Dwarkanath Ghose	20 W. R., Cr., 49	2009, 4691
—— v. Dwarka Nath Hazra	8 B. L. R., Ap., 9 : 16 W. R., Cr., 70.	504
—— v. Dwarka Nath Mullick	21 W. R., Cr., 45	490
—— v. Dwarkanath Sen	13 W. R., Cr., 34	721
—— v. East Indian Railway Company	Bourke, O. C., 395 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258.	3782
—— v. Eduljee Byramjee	3 Moore's I. A., 468	285, 5971
—— v. Elahi Bax	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 459 : 5 W. R., Cr., 80.	23, 343, 5164, 5578
—— v. Emoo	11 W. R., Cr., 20	396
—— v. Enayet Hossein	5 W. R., Cr., 43	4119
—— v. Esan Chunder Dey	21 W. R., Cr., 40	708, 727, 6191
—— v. Eshan Meah	12 W. R., Cr., 52	17
—— v. Etwaree Dharee	21 W. R., Cr., 12	1838, 6264
—— v. Fata Adaji	11 Bom., 247	1794
—— v. Fatik Biswas	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 13	709, 1801, 1964
—— v. Feojdar Roy	9 W. R., Cr., 14	711, 713
—— v. Firman Ali	16 W. R., Cr., 73	5653
—— v. Flood	8 W. R., Cr., 42	4702
—— v. Foktu Shah	2 B. L. R., S. N., 6 : 10 W. R., Cr., 49.	978
—— v. Fukeera Chamar	6 W. R., Cr., 50	4058
—— v. Futteali Biswas	10 W. R., Cr., 37	709, 1802, 1964

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Fyzo	Bourke, O. C., 269	5575
—— v. Gabind Chandra Ghose	7 B. L. R., 28, note: 10 W. R., Cr., 41.	5515
—— v. Gagalu	6 B. L. R., Ap., 50: 12 W. R., Cr, 80.	1792, 4239 6249
—— v. Gajraj	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 43	725
—— v. Ganga	7 N. W., 131	1292
—— v. Ganga Singh	5 N. W., 44	4057
—— v. Ganni	7 N. W., 249	5541
—— v. Gaub Gorah	8 W. R., Cr., 6	99
—— v. Gendoo Khan	7 W. R., Cr., 14	4722
—— v. Ghasee	4 N. W., 50	5604
—— v. Ghasseeram	3 N. W., 90	5605
—— v. Ghasoo Khan	5 W. R., Cr., 49	2910
—— v. Ghisa	7 N. W., 375	4740
—— v. Gholam Ismail	I. L. R., 1 All., 1	5156
—— v. Gholam Mahomed	22 W. R., Cr., 17	5289
—— v. Gholam Mustuffa	3 W. R., Cr., 29	5545
—— v. Ghurbhurnee	W. R., 1864, Cr., 1	5548
—— v. Girdharee Singh	6 N. W., 26	1335
—— v. Girish Chandra Ghose	7 B. L. R., 513: 16 W. R., Cr., 40.	970, 3612
—— v. Gobardhan Bhuyan	4 B. L. R., Ap., 101: 13 W. R., Cr., 55.	713, 4623
—— v. Gobind Dobey	21 W. R., Cr., 35	16
—— v. Gobin Tewari	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 281	253
—— v. Goburdhun Bera	6 W. R., Cr., 80	4367
—— v. ————	12 W. R., Cr., 65	1534
—— v. Goburdhun Pari	14 W. R., Cr., 74	4624
—— v. Godai Raout	5 W. R., Cr., 11	23
—— v. ————	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 436: 5 W. R., 61.	5145
—— v. Gogun Sein	2 W. R., Cr., 57	957
—— v. Gokool Bowree	5 W. R., Cr., 33	4058
—— v. Gokool Kahar	25 W. R., Cr., 36	6165
—— v. Golab Singh	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 10	5512
—— v. Golam Arabee	25 W. R., Cr., 20	4449
—— v. Golam Darbesh	1 B. L. R., S. N., 27: 10 W. R., Cr., 36.	4099
—— v. Golam Hossein Chowdhry	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 88: 7 W. R., Cr., 10.	258
—— v. Goluck Chunder	13 W. R., Cr., 16	2015
—— v. Goluck Chunder Gooho	12 W. R., Cr., 38	4097
—— v. Goluck Doss	1 Hyde, 282	1989
—— v. Gonowri	22 W. R., Cr., 2	1799, 1977
—— v. Goojree Panday	11 B. L. R., Ap., 3: 20 W. R., Cr., 21.	5577
—— v. Gooroodoss Rajbunsee	4 W. R., Cr., 7	2937
—— v. Gopai	2 N. W., 430	958
—— v. Gopal Doss	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 271	1851
—— v. Gopal Hajjam	15 W. R., Cr., 16	4533
—— v. Gopal Prosaud Sein	8 W. R., Cr., 16	5545
—— v. Gopal Thakoor	6 W. R., Cr., 72	1788
—— v. Gopee Mohun Mitter	22 W. R., Cr., 26	986
—— v. Gopeenath Kullu	13 W. R., Cr., 69	1796
—— v. Gopi Noshyo	21 W. R., Cr., 47	1154, 2763

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Gorachand Gope	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 443: 5 W. R., Cr., 45: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 177.	4056, 5148, 5159
—— v. Goreeboollah	5 W. R., Cr., 42	4056
—— v. Goshto Lal Dutt	7 B. L. R., Ap., 62: 15 W. R., Cr., 68.	1855
—— v. Gossain Luchmee Narain Pooree —— v. Gossain Munraj Pooree	24 W. R., Cr., 23	4733
—— v. Gour Benode Dutt	13 B. L. R., 308, note: 21 W. R., Cr., 10.	4333
—— v. Gour Chunder Das	24 W. R., Cr., 5	4059
—— v. Gour Chunder Roy	8 W. R., Cr., 2	5574
—— v. Gour Chund Polie	1 W. R., Cr., 17	1332
—— v. Gour Churn Doss	11 W. R., Cr., 53	956
—— v. Gouree Churn Doss	19 W. R., Cr., 38, note	5883
—— v. Gour Mohan Sen	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 6: 11 W. R., Cr., 48.	5826
—— v. Gour Mohun Singh	16 W. R., Cr., 44	1958, 1960
—— v. Gowree Singh	8 W. R., Cr., 28	396
—— v. Greedhary Manjee	7 W. R., Cr., 39	720
—— v. Grish Chunder Sirkar	19 W. R., Cr., 33	5520
—— v. Gugun Misser	8 W. R., Cr., 61	2006, 3628
—— v. Gulam Hussain	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 71	5853
—— v. Gullie Mullick	W. R., 1864, Cr., 10	1980
—— v. Gumbheer	2 N. W., 234	984
—— v. Gunesh Lushkar	9 W. R., Cr., 72	725
—— v. Gunesh Mana	20 W. R., Cr., 54	4217
—— v. Gunesh Sircar	7 W. R., Cr., 38	4622
—— v. Gunga Bishen	1 W. R., Cr., 26	721
—— v. Gunga Govind Palit	23 W. R., Cr., 21	6165
—— v. Gungaram Potdar	24 W. R., Cr., 10	5541
—— v. Gunga Singh	20 W. R., Cr., 36	4726
—— v. Gunsha Moonda	20 W. R., Cr., 38	2048
—— v. Gur Baksh	I. L. R., 1 All., 193	1315
—— v. Gurib Shek	23 W. R., Cr., 4	1223
—— v. Gurjoon Aheer	7 W. R., Cr., 37	5545
—— v. Guru Charan Chang	6 B. L. R., Ap., 9: 14 W. R., Cr., 69.	4625
—— v. Gyanee Ram	6 W. R., Cr., 78	2008
—— v. Hakim Sirdar	2 B. L. R., S. N., 2: 10 W. R., Cr., 35.	1304
—— v. Hanmanta	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 610	1726, 1797, 2757
—— v. Hardewa	5 N. W., 217	1797
—— v. Hardyal	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 35	1970, 5604
—— v. Hargobind Datta Sirkar	8 B. L. R., Ap., 12	2571
—— v. Hargobind Pal	7 B. L. R., Ap., 57	2924, 2925
—— v. Haridas Kundu	4 B. L. R., Ap., 69: 13 W. R., Cr., 21.	4855
—— v. Hari Giri	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 11: 10 W. R., Cr., 26.	1330
—— v. Hariprosad Gangooly	8 B. L. R., 557: 14 W. R., Cr., 59.	6162
—— v. Haroo Rujwar	13 W. R., Cr., 27	5563
—— v. Harrakchand Nowlaka	8 W. R., Cr., 12	977, 4450
—— v. Haru	9 B. L. R., 146	971
—— v. Harvey	20 W. R., Cr., 68	4730

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest
Queen v. Hazar Mir Khan	7 N. W., 237	4449
—— v. Hearn	3 N. W., 128	4447
—— v. Heera Lall Ghose	13 W. R., Cr., 37	980
—— v. Heeramun Hulwye	5 W R., Cr., 5 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 97.	735
—— v. Heeramun Singh	8 W. R., Cr., 30	5603
—— v. Hekmut Ali	24 W. R., Cr., 72	5577
—— v. Hicks	10 B. L. R., Ap., 1	1005
—— v. Hiddun Khan	2 N. W , 285	5603
—— v. Hiralal Das	8 B. L. R., 422	3604, 4854
—— v. Hiralal Sing	5 B. L. R., Ap., 48 : 14 W. R., Cr., 8.	3620
—— v. Hoolas	23 W. R., Cr., 32	1961
—— v. Hossain Ali Chowdhry	8 W. R., Cr., 74	1151, 6178, 6263
—— v. Hossein Ali	5 N. W., 49	1152
—— v. ————	8 B. L. R., Ap., 25	1976
—— v. Hossein Ally	7 W. R., Cr , 47	5546
—— v. Hosseinee	8 W R., Cr , 60	727
—— v. Hossein Manjee	9 W. R., Cr , 70	969, 4853
—— v. Hossein Sirdar	13 W. R., Cr., 17	6259
—— v. Hulkoree Singh	21 W. R., Cr , 61	6251, 6264
—— v. Hullodhur Ghose	5 W. R., Cr , 40	260
—— v. Hunooman Lall	19 W. R., Cr., 5	1955
—— v. Hurdeep Sahoy	23 W. R., Cr., 27	1728
—— v. Hurdut Surma	8 W. R., Cr., 68	1805, 4364
—— v. Hurgobind	2 N. W., 336	1796
—— v. ————	3 N. W., 174	5291
—— v. Hurish Chunder Bose	W. R., 1864, Cr., 22	2011
—— v. Hurkumari Dassia	24 W. R., Cr., 10	4729
—— v. Hurnath Roy	2 W. R., Cr., 50	1853, 4622
—— v. ————	3 W. R., Cr., 54	5289
—— v. Hurnath Singh	20 W. R , Cr., 22	5160
—— v. Huroo Saha	16 W. R , Cr., 20	2922
—— v. Hurpaul	4 W. R., Cr., 9	5570
—— v. Hurpershad	4 N. W., 23	983
—— v. Hurree Ram	3 N. W., 194	4357
—— v. Hurribole Chunder Ghose	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 207 : 25 W. R., Cr., 36.	1015, 1852
—— v. Hurri Kishen Doss	15 W R., Cr., 62	1047
—— v. Hurro Manji	14 B. L. R., Ap., 2, note : 21 W. R., Cr., 4.	6164
—— v. Hurry Paramanick Tantee	15 W. R., Cr., 5	1047
—— v. Hursookh	2 N. W., 479	5604
—— v. Hurynath Chowdhry	7 W. R., Cr., 58	6237
—— v. Hushrut	6 W. R., Cr., 85	5294
—— v. Hussun Ali	5 N. W., 49	5547
—— v. Huttoon	3 N. W., 2	5538
—— v. Ickabur Dobey	4 W. R , Cr., 11	5602
—— v. Imambandee	7 W. R , Cr., 26	4494, 4500
—— v. Imandi Bhooyah	21 W. R., Cr., 8	16
—— v. Imamoodeen Bhima	13 W. R., Cr., 73	4737
—— v. Ishan Dutt	6 B. L. R., Ap., 88 : 15 W. R., Cr., 34.	6252, 6268
—— v. Ishree Pershad	24 W. R., Cr., 49	5996
—— v. Ishwar Chandra Jogee	W R., 1864, Cr., 21	5551
—— v Isree Panday	7 W. R., Cr., 56	2939

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Isree Pershad Singh	9 B. L. R., Ap., 44 : 18 W. R., Cr., 61.	4737
—— v. ————	20 W. R., Cr., 18	4728, 4731
—— v. Issen Mundle	3 W. R., Cr., 8	343
—— v. Issur Chunder Ghose	14 W. R., Cr., 53	1980
—— v. Issur Raut	8 W. R., Cr., 63	5153
—— v. Itwaree Dome	6 W. R., Cr., 83	2756
—— v. Itwarya	14 B. L. R., Ap., 1	6164
—— v. ————	14 B. L. R., 54 : 22 W. R., Cr., 14.	1267, 4116
—— v. Jackson	13 B. L. R., 474 : 22 W. R., 20.	2903
—— v. Jadub Chunder Biswas	W. R., 1864, Cr., 15	1965
—— v. Jaffir Ali	19 W. R., Cr., 57	1020, 1297
—— v. Jagannath	7 N. W., 133	1317
—— v. Jaga Poly	11 W. R., Cr., 39	399, 1801
—— v. Jagatmal	I. L. R., 1 All., 162	1315, 1318
—— v. Jageshur Pershad	6 N. W., 56	2009
—— v. Jaha Bux	8 W. R., Cr., 81	2011
—— v. Jaichand Mundle	7 W. R., Cr., 60	4055
—— v. Jaipal Koiree	11 W. R., Cr., 6	4481
—— v. Jakir	22 W. R., C., 39	708
—— v. Jaloo Sirdar	W. R., 1864, Cr., 18	464
—— v. Jamal	16 W. R., Cr., 75	5548
—— v. Jamurha	7 N. W., 137	710, 1154 1284
—— v. Jan Mahomed	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 47 : 12 W. R., Cr., 41.	3619
—— v. Jeebun Sheikh	9 W. R., Cr., 4	4720
—— v. Jeenut Bebee	1 W. R., Cr., 46	1327
—— v. Jeetoo Chowdry	4 W. R., Cr., 23	5545
—— v. Jeetun Khan	11 W. R., Cr., 45	5603
—— v. Jema	8 W. R., Cr., 40	1007
—— v. Jeolall	7 W. R., Cr., 34	4626
—— v. Jetoo	23 W. R., Cr., 16	1011
—— v. Jhantah Noshyo	6 W. R., Cr., 59	5546
—— v. Jhapoo	20 W. R., Cr., 37	4723
—— v. Jhurree	7 W. R., Cr., 41	1003
—— v. Jhurrup	5 N. W., 133	2938
—— v. Jodoonath Shaha	23 W. R., Cr., 33	1270, 5911
—— v. Joge Poly	7 B. L. R., 67, note: 11 W. R., Cr., 39.	399, 1801
—— v. Jogeshur Bagdee	7 W. R., Cr., 109	5882
—— v. Jogo Mohun Mala	24 W. R., Cr., 5	2623
—— v. Johrie Singh	22 W. R., Cr., 28	5909
—— v. Joomnee	8 W. R., Cr., 16	1809
—— v. Jotee Ghoraee	1 W. R., Cr., 7	2581
—— v. Joyah Mohun Chunder	14 W. R., Cr., 19	5551
—— v. Joygopal	4 W. R., Cr., 4	2581
—— v. Joykishen Lall	12 W. R., Cr., 46	4750
—— v. Joynarain Patro	20 W. R., Cr., 66	2600
—— v. Judoo	6 W. R., Cr., 60	587
—— v. Juggurnath Singh	2 W. R., Cr., 55	5996
—— v. Juggut Chunder Dutt	6 W. R., Cr., 81	1963
—— v. Jugroop Ugrabee	3 N. W., 341	972
—— v. Jumdem Singh	12 W. R., Cr., 73	1793, 6260
—— v. Jumiruddin	23 W. R., Cr., 58	1290

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Junghoo Khan	3 W. R., Cr., 36	6191
—— v. Jungle Lall	19 W. R., Cr., 40	1973
—— v. Jungli Beldar	8 B. L. R., Ap., 47	73
—— v. Justices of the Peace for Calcutta	1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 41 : 18 W. R., Cr., 44, note.	1152
—— v. ————	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 182	3781
—— v. Kabil Cazee	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1	6069
—— v. Kabil Manji	2 B. L. R., A. C., 23	4117
—— v. Kabul Pattur	15 W. R., Cr., 4	3862
—— v. Kadar Khan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 380	4723
—— v. Kadar Ravuttan	4 Mad., 18	1982
—— v. Kadir Bux	3 N. W., 16	736
—— v. Kadir Bux *alias* Kadir Mahomed	11 W. R., Cr., 17	5514
—— v. Kalachand Boidyo	8 W. R., Cr., 18	5564
—— v. Kala Chand Pal	24 W. R., Cr., 29	1009
—— v. Kalai	3 W. R., Cr, 57	2623
—— v. Kala Khan	12 W. R., Cr., 23	709
—— v. Kalaram Singh	7 W. R., Cr., 8	706, 714
—— v. Kaleechurn	3 W. R., Cr., 10	2590
—— v. Kali Chandra Shah	7 B. L. R., 322 : 16 W. R., Cr., 13.	4492
—— v. Kali Charan Das	6 B. L. R., Ap., 86 : 15 W. R., Cr., 17.	725
—— v. Kali Charan Misser	7 B. L. R., Ap., 55	980, 5994
—— v. Kali Churn Gangooly	7 W. R., Cr., 2	1802, 5165
—— v. ————	21 W. R., Cr., 11	6265
—— v. Kalichurn Lahooree	9 W. R., Cr., 54	19
—— v. Kalikaprasad	5 B. L. R., Ap., 82, note : 11 W. R., Cr., 5.	711, 1264
—— v. Kalil	11 W. R., Cr., 18	4101
—— v. Kalinath Biswas	6 B. L. R., Ap., 116 : 15 W. R., Cr., 18.	4117
—— v. Kalinath Nag Chowdhry	9 W. R., Cr., 1	4724
—— v. Kalipuddo Poramanick	23 W. R., Cr., 43	1326
—— v. Kalisankar Sandyal	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 14	736
—— v. Kali Sirkar	4 B. L. R., Ap., 1 : 13 W. R., Cr., 1.	5552
—— v. Kalla Chand Doss	11 W. R., Cr., 21	968, 3603, 6183, 6252, 6259
—— v. Kallachand Moitree	7 W. R., Cr., 99	344
—— v. Kally Bhyrub Sandyal	11 W. R., Cr., 52	4693
—— v. Kally Churn Gangooly	6 W. R., Cr., 92	4738
—— v. Kalu Mal	7 W. R., Cr, 103	1792
—— v. Kalundar Doss	2 N. W., 100	6273
—— v. Kaly Kishore Roy	25 W. R., Cr., 68	6265
—— v. Kamal Fukeer	17 W. R., Cr., 50	4504
—— v. Kaminee Dossee	12 W. R., Cr., 25	1809
—— v. Kanhai Shahu	23 W. R., Cr., 40	1804, 2582
—— v. Kanjamalai Padayachi	I. L. R., 6 Mad, 372	460, 4626, 6044
—— v. Kantiram	1 W. R., Cr., 24	1307
—— v. Kanye Sheikh	W. R., 1864, Cr., 38	6189
—— v. Kareem Bux	3 N. W., 30	6265
—— v. Karoo	6 W. R., Cr., 44	1260
—— v. Karri Papayamma	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 230	724
		3743

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Kartick Chunder Holdar	9 W. R., Cr., 58	1792, 1969, 1989, 5514
—— v. Kashee Nath Chungo	8 W. R., Cr., 84	5551
—— v. Kasim Ali	15 W. R., Cr., 67	4534
—— v. Kasim Shaikh	23 W. R., Cr., 32	2779
—— v. Kasseemoddeen	4 W. R., Cr., 38	1331
—— v. Kassim Ally	11 W. R., Cr., 10	5575
—— v. Kassimuddin	8 W. R., Cr., 55	1154
—— v. Kassy Singh	21 W. R., Cr., 61	6251, 6264
—— v. Kazim Mundle	17 W. R., Cr., 49	143, 4436
—— v. Kazim Thakoor	2 B. L. R., F. B., 25 : 10 W. R., Cr., 45.	264
—— v. Keifa Singh	3 W. R., Cr., 16	5573
—— v. Keilasum Putter	5 Mad., 373	1969
—— v. Kendra Kamar	7 W. R., Cr., 97	1349
—— v. Keshub Bhoonia	25 W. R., Cr., 8	1021
—— v. Kewal Dosad	W. R., 1864, Cr., 36	4058
—— v. Khader Moidin	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 235	3576, 4371
—— v. Khadim Sheik	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7	14
—— v. Khan Mahomed	24 W. R., Cr., 53	3622
—— v. Khedun Misser	7 W. R., Cr., 54	1335
—— v. Khemee Singh	1 W. R., Cr., 19	6065
—— v. Kheraj Mallah	11 B. L. R., 33	5913
—— v. Khetter Nath Ghose	11 W. R., Cr., 56	118
—— v. Khetter Nath Shaha	22 W. R., Cr., 31	73
—— v. Khoab Lall	9 W. R., Cr., 66	709, 1980
—— v. Khoaz Sheikh	5 W. R., Cr., 20	5573
—— v. Khodabux Fakeer	10 W. R., Cr., 52	4057
—— v. Khooderam	8 W. R., Cr., 39	2001
—— v. Khotub Sheikh	6 W. R., Cr., 17	724
—— v. Khoyrat Ally Beg	3 W. R., Cr., 60	1348
—— v. Khukree Ooram	21 W. R., Cr., 48	1021
—— v. Khyroo	2 N. W., 289	2028
—— v. Khyroolla	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 11 : 6 W. R., Cr., 21.	1802
—— v. Kidar Nath	7 N. W., 233	4730
—— v. Kishen Pershad	2 N. W., 202	2008, 2897
—— v. Kishto Doba	14 W. R., Cr., 16	3603
—— v. Kishtoram Doss	13 W. R., Cr., 59	2923
—— v. Kissoree Pater	7 W. R., Cr., 35	1349
—— v. Kisto Chunder Ghose	2 W. R., Cr., 58	6029
—— v. Kisto Mundul	7 W. R., Cr., 8	1004, 1790
—— v. Kisto Soonder Deb	2 W. R., Cr., 5	2015
—— v. Koa	19 W. R., Cr., 48	24
—— v. Kodai Kahar	5 W. R., Cr., 6	1004
—— v. Kola	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 4 : 12 W. R., Cr., 66.	1976
—— v. Komul Kishen	11 W. R., Cr., 35	5539
—— v. Komul Manjee	7 W. R., Cr., 13	3627
—— v. Komurooddee Sikhdar	13 W. R., Cr., 17	5602
—— v. Koonee	7 W. R., Cr., 48	453
—— v. Koonjo Leth	11 B. L. R., 14: 20 W. R., Cr., 1.	6166
—— v. Koordan Singh	8 W. R., Cr., 15	2938
—— v. Koylas Boona	22 W. R., Cr., 8	74
—— v. Kripamoyee Chassanee	8 W. R., Cr., 35	2005
—— v. Krishnama	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 230	3607

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Krisno Churn	16 W. R., Cr., 66	2922
—— v. Kristendro Roy	7 W. R., Cr., 30	4725, 5541
—— v. Kristna Rau	7 Mad., 58	5513, 5520, 5527
—— v. Kudum	W. R., 1864, Cr., 13	16, 4384
—— v. Kulum Sheikh	10 W. R., Cr., 39	1788
—— v. Kullian Singh	2 N. W., 468	6027
—— v. Kullimoodeen	7 W. R., Cr., 53	19
—— v. Kultaran Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 129	1314, 1318
—— v. Kumarasami	2 Mad., 331	4385
—— v. Kumodinikant Banerjee Chowdhry	9 B. L. R., Ap., 30: 18 W. R., Cr., 44.	4724
—— v. Kunee Sonar	7 W. R., Cr., 57	5537
—— v. Kunhiya	4 N. W., 154	4722
—— v. Kunj Beharee	5 N. W., 187.	1222
—— v. Kureem	11 W. R., Cr., 16.	709
—— v. Kyamut	W. R., 1864, Cr., 1	6265
—— v. Lachmipat Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 81: 14 W. R., Cr., 17.	4095, 4107
—— v. Lahai Mundul	7 W. R., Cr., 29	2599
—— v. Lakkun Santhal	21 W. R., Cr., 56	1839
—— v. Lalchand Kowrah	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 147: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 83: 5 W. R., Cr. 23.	1980
—— v. Lal Gumul	2 N. W., 11	2010
—— v. Lalla Chowbey	2 N. W., 49	1151, 6263
—— v. Lall Beharee Singh	11 W. R., Cr., 50	4730
—— v. Lall Mahomed	6 N. W., 284	6271
—— v. Lalloo Singh	8 W. R., Cr., 54	957, 958
—— v. Lall Singh	10 W. R., Cr., 39	956
—— v. ———	6 N. W., 270	6270
—— v. Lal Mahomed	22 W. R., Cr., 82	736
—— v. Lekhraj	2 N. W., 132: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 206.	3620, 5512, 5514, 5518
—— v. Lokenath Shaha	W. R., 1864, Cr., 12	4383
—— v. Luchmee Pershad	19 W. R., Cr., 43.	24
—— v. Luchoo	5 N. W., 86	1014
—— v. Luckhee Singh	12 W. R., Cr., 23.	4354
—— v. Luckhinarain Agoori	23 W. R., Cr., 61.	6163
—— v. Luckhy Narain Nagory	24 W. R., Cr., 18.	148, 2923
—— v. Lucky Narain Dutt	20 W. R., Cr., 50	1854
—— v. Lukhini Agradanini	22 W. R., Cr., 27.	4350
—— v. Lukhun Doss	2 W. R., Cr., 52	2583
—— v. Luntro Singh	14 W. R., Cr., 18.	256
—— v. Lutoef Hossein	10 W. R., Cr., 1	4094
—— v. Luthi Bewa	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 25	1982
—— v. Macdonald	10 B. L. R., Ap., 2	1832
—— v. ———	22 W. R., Cr., 6	6030
—— v. Madan Mohun	6 N. W., 302	984
—— v. Madan Mundul	16 W. R., Cr., 71	2732
—— v. Madaree	3 W. R., Cr., 2	5992
—— v. Madhoo Churn	13 W. R., Cr., 51	4104, 4505
—— v. Madhoosurun	7 W. R., Cr., 40	20
—— v. Madhub Chunder Giri	21 W. R., Cr., 13	149, 263, 1270
—— v. Madhub Chunder Misser	13 W. R., Cr., 45	1048
—— v. Magenee Behara	11 W. R., Cr., 3	1335

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Mahadeo	W. R., 1864, Cr., 15	722
—— v. Maharaj Misser	7 B L. R., Ap., 66: 16 W. R., Cr., 47.	709, 1962
—— v Maha Singh	3 N. W., 27	3603
—— v. Mahbub Khan	1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 39	4450
—— v. Mahendra Narayan Bangabhushan	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7	463
—— v. Mahendranath Chatterjee	5 B. L. R., Ap., 39	5579
—— v. Mahima Chandra Chuckerbutty	4 B. L. R., Ap., 77: 12 W. R., Cr., 77.	6262
—— v. ————	7 B. L. R., 26 15 W. R., Cr., 45.	5512, 5516
—— v. Mahima Chandra Dass	6 B. L. R., Ap., 108: 15 W. R., Cr., 37.	263, 343 1788
—— v. Mahim Chandra Chuckerbutty	5 B. L. R., A. Cr., 67	970, 1270
—— v. Mahmood Hossein	2 N. W., 298	1258
—— v. Mahomed Afzul	7 W R, Cr., 59	4733
—— v. Mahomed Akhir *alias* Totah Mecah	12 W. R., Cr., 17	2005
—— v. Mahomed Ali	13 W. R, Cr., 50	2778
—— v. Mahomed Elim	3 W. R., Cr, 40	4055
—— v. Mahomed Hoomayoon Shaw	13 B. L R., 324 21 W. R., Cr., 72.	1977
—— v. Mahomed Hossain	16 W. R, Cr., 37	1963, 5517
—— v. Mahomed Hossein	W. R., 1864, Cr., 31	4055
—— v. ————	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 293	5291
—— v. Maithya Gazee	6 W R, Cr, 42	1331
—— v. Majohur Roy	24 W. R, Cr., 11	1289
—— v. Malapa bin Kapana	11 Bom, 196	24
—— v. Marimuttu	I. L R, 4 Mad, 243	6046
—— v. Mau	5 N. W., 235	1335
—— v. Mana Singh	7 W R., Cr., 103	6068
—— v. Manphool	5 N. W., 240	4703
—— v. Mata Dyal	4 N. W., 6	1953, 1964 5602
—— v. Matam Mal	22 W. R., Cr., 34	398
—— v. Mati Khowa	3 B. L R., A. Cr., 36: 12 W. R., Cr., 31.	1789, 1976 2781
—— v. Meajan	4 W. R., Cr., 5	1950
—— v. Meajan Sheikh	20 W. R., Cr., 50	6161
—— v. Meares	14 B. L. R., 106: 22 W. R., Cr., 54.	2002
—— v. Megha Meeah	2 W R., Cr., 39	2583
—— v. Mehar Dowalia	16 W. R., Cr., 63	711, 5994
—— v. Menazoodin	2 W. R, Cr., 33	5564
—— v. Meriam	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 10 W. R., Cr., 10.	5575
—— v. Methi Mullah	13 W. R, Cr., 49	397
—— v. Mewa Lall	13 W. R., Cr., 53	4718
—— v. Mina Nuggerbhatin	3 W. R., Cr., 6	399
—— v. ————	3 W. R., Cr., 19	5555
—— v. Misree Lall	4 N. W., 117	5540
—— v. Misser Sheikh	14 W. R., Cr., 9	1801
—— v. Mitto Singh	3 W. R., Cr., 41	4626
—— v. Mittun Chowdhry	11 W. R., Cr., 53	4432
—— v Mobarick	7 W. R., Cr., 28	1951
—— v. Modhoo Paul	3 W R, Cr., 9	2938
—— v. Modhoosoodun Shaw	7 W. R, Cr., 23	2008
—— v. Modoosoodun Day	3 W. R., Cr., 61	1990

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen *v.* Modun Mohun Pal Chowdhry	23 W. R., Cr., 7	5496
—— *v.* Mohamed Asger	23 W. R., Cr., 11	4059
—— *v.* Mohanundo Bhundary	5 W. R., Cr., 16	5574
—— *v.* Mohesh Biswas	10 B. L. R., 455, note: 19 W. R., Cr., 16.	1022
—— *v.* Mohesh Chunder Acharjee	6 W. R., Cr., 20	711
—— *v.* Mohesh Chunder Chuttopadhia	2 W. R., Cr., 13	257
—— *v.* Mohesh Chunder Roy	24 W. R., Cr., 67	4488
—— *v.* Mohesh Chunder Sircar	3 W. R., Cr., 13	5546
—— *v.* Mohima Chunder Chuckerbutty	5 W. R., Cr., 77	1980
—— *v.* Mohim Chunder Sil	16 W. R., Cr., 42	737, 2937
—— *v.* Mohit Pandey	3 N. W., 316	15
—— *v.* Mohun Banfor	22 W. R., Cr., 38	6265
—— *v.* Mohuree	2 N. W., 393	6254
—— *v.* Moizudin	11 W. R., Cr., 41	4624
—— *v.* Mokee	12 W. R., Cr., 15	1333, 4624
—— *v.* Moneeah	11 W. R., Cr., 38	5560
—— *v.* Mon Mohun Roy	14 W. R., Cr., 33	1807, 5881
—— *v.* Mookhtaram Mundle	17 W. R., Cr., 45	727
—— *v.* Mooktaram Sirdar	17 W. R., Cr., 18	1350
—— *v.* Mookun	19 W. R., Cr., 22	6262
—— *v.* Moondee	13 W. R., Cr., 23	2582
—— *v.* Moonee Doobey	2 N. W., 189	4728
—— *v.* Moonsai Bibee	24 W. R., Cr., 54	1798
—— *v.* Moorut Lall	6 W. R., Cr., 93	1989
—— *v.* Moorut Mahton	8 W. R., Cr., 3	5546
—— *v.* Moosubroo	8 W. R., Cr., 37	712
—— *v.* Mootkee Kora	2 W. R., Cr., 1	5574
—— *v.* Morly Sheikh	6 W. R., Cr., 51	259, 460
—— *v.* Motee Jolaha	5 W. R., Cr., 66	1349
—— *v.* Mothoora Nath Roy	22 W. R., Cr., 72	4385
—— *v.* Mowjan *alias* Nane Khan	20 W. R., Cr., 69	1888
—— *v.* Mozafar Khalifa	9 B. L. R., Ap., 36	4100
—— *v.* Muddun Ally	1 W. R., Cr., 27	5560
—— *v.* Muddun Mohun Bose	7 W. R., Cr., 22	4366
—— *v.* Muddun Mohun Podar	3 W. R., Cr., 34	20
—— *v.* Mudhoo Dutt	14 W. R., Cr., 71	254
—— *v.* Mudhoosoodun Roy	16 W. R., Cr., 49	6249
—— *v.* Mudsooddeen	2 N. W., 148	2590, 6253
—— *v.* Mukta Singh	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 15: 13 W. R., Cr., 60.	2768, 6248
—— *v.* Mullick Jeechoo	23 W. R., Cr., 12	345
—— *v.* Mungroo	6 N. W., 293	2939
—— *v.* Mungul Dass	23 W. R., Cr., 28	710, 1799 1961
—— *v.* Muppen	7 Mad., 233	5884
—— *v.* Mustafa	1 W. R., Cr., 15	2623
—— *v.* Muthoorapershad Panday	2 W. R., Cr., 10	460
—— *v.* Muthoora Singh	18 W. R., Cr., 66	5165
—— *v.* Muttee Nushyo	W. R., 1864, Cr., 18	1789
—— *v.* Mutturaman Chetti	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 325	895
—— *v.* Muttu Reddi	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 199	3569
—— *v.* Muzhur Hossein	5 N. W., 208	5290
—— *v.* Nabadwip Goswami	1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 15: 15 W. R., Cr., 71, note.	396, 1807 2782, 2896 6028
—— *v.* Nabi Saheb	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 247	3583

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Nachimuttu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 18	4691
— v. Nadi Chand Poddar	24 W. R., Cr., 1	1264, 3604, 4854, 5837
— v. Naga	23 W. R., Cr., 24	1021
— v. Nagardi Paramanik	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 3: 10 W. R., Cr., 3.	259
— v. Naiada	I. L. R., 1 All., 43	5574
— v. Naimuddi Sheikh *alias* Abbas Sheikh	14 W. R., Cr., 7	5570
— v. Nakori Paroee	25 W. R., Cr., 31	2926
— v. Nakur Sircar	6 B. L. R., 729	1767
— v. Nandkumar Bose	3 B. L. R., Ap., 149	4361
— v. Narain	7 N. W., 209	6067
— v. Naraina	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 241	3569
— v. Narain Acharj	8 W. R., Cr., 80	1983
— v. Narain Singh	14 W. R., Cr., 20	1046, 1295, 6258
— v. Narapureddy	4 Mad., 242	5160
— v. Narayan Naik	5 B. L. R., 660	967
— v. Narsing Narayan	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7, note: 10 W. R., Cr., 1.	4792
— v. Nawab Jan	8 W. R., 19	343, 724
— v. Nawab Khan	7 W. R., Cr., 25	721
— v. Nawab Singh	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 9	5523
— v. Neela Bibee	10 W. R., Cr., 33	2937
— v. Neetie Dulal	8 W. R., Cr., 41	1534, 5605
— v. Nehal Mahtee	9 W. R., Cr., 13	905
— v. Nga Cho	6 B. L. R., Ap., 98: 15 W. R., Cr., 2.	120
— v. Nga Shoay-De	19 W. R., Cr., 68	5548
— v. Niaz Ali	5 N. W., 80	4731
— v. Nidamarti Nagabhushanam	7 Mad., 119	1336
— v. Nidheeram Bagdee	18 W. R., Cr., 45	6165
— v. Nijabut Hossein	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 304	4727
— v. Nijanund	3 W. R., Cr., 60	958, 1987
— v. Nim Chand Mookerjee	20 W. R., Cr., 41	17, 1966, 2582, 5164
— v. Niruni	7 W. R., Cr., 49	15, 1800, 1855, 1960
— v. Nirunjun Singh	2 N. W., 431	4730
— v. Nittar Mundle	3 W. R., Cr., 38	4121
— v. Nitto Gopal Paulit	13 W. R., Cr., 69	4621
— v. Nityo Gopal Dass Byragee	24 W. R., Cr., 80	1003
— v. Nobin Chunder Banerjee	13 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 20 W. R., Cr., 70.	2623, 6164
— v. Nobin Chunder Holdar	6 W. R., Cr., 79	5995
— v. Nobin Chunder Sirkar	12 W. R., Cr., 39	1260, 1261
— v. Nobocoomar Banerjee	14 W. R., Cr., 12	6030
— v. Nobokisto Ghose	8 W. R., Cr., 87	720, 1790, 1805, 1956
— v. Nodiar Chand Koondoo	14 W. R., Cr., 70	5567
— v. Nokul Nushyo	7 W. R., Cr., 27	1331
— v. Nomal	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 9: 12 W. R., Cr., 60.	1976
— v. Noorkhan Chowdhry	1 W. R., Cr., 11	2623
— v. Notobur Bera	15 W. R., Cr., 87	6257
— v. Notabur Nundy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 43	706
— v. Noujan	7 Mad., 375	5551

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Nourjan	6 B. L. R., Ap., 34: 14 W. R., Cr., 39.	4377
—— v. Nowabdee	W. R., 1864, Cr., 11	4623
—— v. Nubas Muhton	8 W. R., Cr., 65	972
—— v. Nujeebutoollah	9 W. R., Cr., 20	2009
—— v. Nujnah	22 W. R., 68	258
—— v. Nujum Ali	6 W. R., Cr., 41	1791, 2007
—— v. Nunda	4 N. W., 133	453
—— v. Nundmohun Sirkar	12 W. R., Cr., 33	6045
—— v. Nunhoo	9 W. R., Cr., 28	23
—— v. Nunkoo Doss	2 W. R., Cr, 48	2583
—— v. Nurput Singh	4 N. W., 86	1049
—— v. Nursingh Narain	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7, note: 10 W. R., Cr., 1.	5543
—— v. Nusseer-ood-deen	2 N. W., 461	4733
—— v. Nussurooddeen Shazwal	11 W. R., Cr., 24	1967, 5601
—— v. Nussuruddin	21 W. R., Cr., 5	1289
—— v. Nuzee Nushyo	15 W. R., Cr., 52	1806, 6192
—— v. Nuzur Ali	6 N. W., 39	1152
—— v. Nyaz Ali	25 W. R., Cr., 47	461
—— v. Nyn Singh	2 N. W., 117: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 196.	3100, 5146 5148
—— v. Nytaram Mytee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 171	343
—— v. Obhoy Churn Chuckerbutty	3 W. R., Cr., 19	1802, 2590
—— v. Ofatulla	6 B. L. R., 381	5494
—— v. Okhoy Coomar Shaw	13 B. L. R., 307: 21 W. R., Cr., 58.	4332
—— v. Oman	5 N. W., 130	1297
—— v. Omerto Lall	19 W. R., Cr., 32	4729
—— v. Oojeer	6 W. R., Cr., 17	2938
—— v. Ooma Moyee Debea	13 W. R., Cr., 25	5514
—— v. Oomrao Singh	3 N. W., 317	965
—— v. Ooram Sungra	6 W. R., Cr., 82	5573
—— v. Oottum Dhoba	19 W. R., Cr., 38	6167
—— v. Oottur Narain Singh	8 W. R., Cr., 79	1975
—— v. Ootim Chund	2 N. W., 287	5520
—— v. Oozeerun	7 W. R., Cr., 98	2937
—— v. Pagaree Shaha	19 W. R., Cr., 51	1808
—— v. Palany Chetty	4 Mad., 51	1976
—— v. Panhee Aurut	15 W. R., Cr., 66	5548
—— v. Panna	7 N. W., 371	461
—— v. Panna Lall Mookerjee	19 W. R., Cr., 4	965, 4510
—— v. Parasurama Naikar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 329	981, 6254 6259
—— v. Parbutty Churn Chuckerbutty	14 W. R., Cr., 13	1261, 1789
—— v. Parbutty Churn Sircar	3 W. R., Cr., 57	504
—— v. Parka	10 W. R., Cr., 6	2904
—— v. Patthchi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 161	3569
—— v. Paun Pandah	18 W. R., Cr., 28	17
—— v. Péari Raur	8 W. R., Cr., 40	5158
—— v. Pelkoo Nushyo	2 W. R., Cr., 42	4367, 4625
—— v. Pemkoer	5 N. W., 38	1336
—— v. Periannan	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 241	3569, 4356
—— v. Peterson	I. L. R., 1 All., 316	586
—— v. Petumbur Dhoobee	14 W. R., Cr., 10	1798, 1800
—— v. Phomonee Ahum	8 W. R., Cr., 78	4057

Name of Case.	Volume and Page	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Phoolchand *alias* Pholeel Ahir	8 W. R., Cr., 11	1788, 1806 6263
—— v. Phoollee Misser	12 W. R., Cr., 72	5546
—— v. Piran	13 B. L. R., Ap., 4	2912
—— v. Pittambur Sirdar	7 W. R., Cr., 25	1802, 6265
—— v. Pitti Singh	8 W. R., Cr., 37	4106
—— v. Pochun Churg	2 W. R., Cr., 35	149
—— v. Poholee Mullick	12 W. R., Cr., 28	2925
—— v. Pooran Jolaha	11 W. R., Cr., 47	4717
—— v. Poorno Chunder Doss	8 W. R., Cr., 59	256, 1151 1154
—— v. Poorun	5 N. W., 219	1530
—— v. Poorusoolah Sikhdar	7 W. R., Cr., 14	4060
—— v. Poosaram	6 W. R., Cr., 11	5524
—— v. Pooshoo	4 W. R., Cr., 33	4059
—— v. Poran Mal	23 W. R., Cr., 49	1296
—— v. Poromeshur Aheer	23 W. R., Cr., 16	5882
—— v. Powell	3 N. W., 96	4727, 4735
—— v. Prankissen Bid	6 W. R., Cr., 15	1955
—— v. Prankisto Pal	14 W. R., Cr., 41	3629, 5166
—— v. Prem Chund Ousowal	W. R., 1864, Cr., 35	5574
—— v. Prosonno Bose	5 W. R., Cr., 96	2011
—— v. Prosonno Chunder Gossami	22 W. R., Cr., 36	4735
—— v. Prosunno Coomar Moitro	23 W. R., Cr., 56	6253
—— v. Protab Chandra Barooah	21 W. R., Cr., 2	4494
—— v. Puban	7 W. R., Cr., 1	5562, 5576
—— v. Pubon	5 W. R., Cr., 66	5571
—— v. Punai Fattama	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 25 : 12 W. R., Cr., 7.	4060
—— v. Punamalai	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 199	4353
—— v. Punchanun Tantee	5 W. R., Cr., 97	2581
—— v. Puriag Singh	13 W. R., Cr., 20	4734
—— v. Purna Chandra Banerjee	4 B. L. R., Ap., 1 : 13 W. R., Cr., 1.	968, 3603 6183, 6251 6259
—— v. Purnanund Barick	11 W. R., Cr., 13	1804
—— v. Pursoram Doss	2 W. R., Cr., 36	1509
—— v. ————	7 W. R., Cr., 42	2624
—— v. Radhakanth Paul	9 W. R., Cr., 12	5549
—— v. Radhakishen Sein	W. R., 1864, Cr., 18	2103
—— v. Radhanath Dosadh	8 W. R., Cr., 53	1797
—— v. Radhu Jana	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 59 : 12 W. R., Cr., 44.	1800, 5154
—— v. Radhu Sing	8 B. L. R., Ap., 60 : 17 W. R., Cr., 34	4448
—— v. Raj Coomar Banerjee	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 105	15, 1971
—— v. ————	W. R., 1864, Cr., 25	735
—— v. Rajcoomar Bose	10 B. L. R., Ap., 36 : 19 W. R., Cr., 71.	720
—— v. ————	19 W. R., Cr., 41	708
—— v. Rajcoomar Mookerjee	16 W. R., Cr., 14	6254
—— v. Rajcoomar Singh	8 W. R., Cr., 17	1151, 6263
—— v. Rajkishore Roy	15 W. R., Cr., 55	5529
—— v. Rajkisto Doss	12 W. R., Cr., 43	6068
—— v. Rajkrishna Biswas	8 B. L. R., Ap., 1	1256
—— v. Rajkrishna Mitter	1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 37	1790
—— v. Rajoo Ghose	7 W. R., Cr., 106	1335

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest
Queen v. Rakhma	10 Bom., 373	707
—— v. Ramachandrappa	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 249	1046
—— v. Ramaotar Panre	25 W R, Cr., 5	5509, 5911
—— v. Rampasamy	4 Mad., 152	1670
—— v. Ramayya	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 131	3568
—— v. Ram Chandra Mookerjee	5 B. L. R., 131	4100
—— v. Ram Charan Kairi	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 488: 6 W R., Cr., 39.	5161
—— v. Ram Chunder Roy	21 W. R., Cr., 28	4725
—— v. Ramchunder Sircar	13 W. R., Cr., 18	6268
—— v. Ramchurn	3 N. W., 272	978
—— v. Ramchurn Chung	24 W. R., Cr., 36	1015
—— v. Ram Churn Ghose	20 W. R., Cr., 33	5284, 6166
—— v. Ram Churn Kurmokar	24 W. R, Cr., 28	2762, 5573
—— v. Ram Churn Singh	7 W. R., Cr., 57	5993
—— v. Ramcomul Mitter	1 Hyde, 195	1792
—— v. Ram Coomar Chung	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 108	4056
—— v. Ram Dass	16 W. R., Cr., 69	74
—— v. Ramdass Boistub	11 W. R., Cr., 35	6263
—— v Ramdharry Singh	10 W. R, Cr, 5	4855, 5511
—— v. Ramdhone Mundul	4 W. R, Cr., 15	5578
—— v. Ramdhun Dey	13 W. R., Cr., 77	1261
—— v. Ramdhun Singh	11 W. R., Cr., 22	6263
—— v. Ramdoyal Mahara	21 W. R., Cr., 47	1154
—— v. Ram Dutt Chowdhry	23 W. R., Cr., 35	1836, 2922
—— v. Ramdyal Mundle	7 W. R., Cr., 28	1326
—— v. Ramdyal Singh	8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., Cr., 65.	117
—— v. Ram Gobind Chuckerbutty	2 B. L R., Ap., 40: 11 W. R., Cr., 13.	118
—— v. Ramgolam Singh	11 W. R., Cr., 22	4356, 5519
—— v. Ramgopal Dhur	10 W. R., Cr., 7	722, 2007
—— v. Ramjai Mazumdar	6 B. L. R., Ap., 46	4435, 4627
—— v. Ramkishan Halwai	25 W. R., Cr., 48	6272
—— v. Ramkishen	8 W. R., Cr., 4	74
—— v. Ramkisto Doss	16 W. R., Cr., 27	4692
—— v. Ramkrishna Das	7 B. L. R., 446	4692
—— v. Ram Lall Singh	22 W. R., Cr., 51	4625
—— v Ram Lochun Singh	18 W. R., Cr., 15	4854
—— v. Ram Lugun Lall	7 W. R., Cr., 54	19
—— v. Rammoni Sein	7 W. R., Cr., 105	726
—— v. Ramnarain	3 C. L. R., 32	1260
—— v. Ram Narain Ghose	8 W. R., Cr., 5	5166
—— v. Ramnarain Josh	4 W. R., Cr., 37	5545
—— v. Ramnath	7 W. R., Cr., 45	977, 1151, 4505, 6263
—— v. Ramnath Surma Biswas	21 W. R., Cr., 9	4692
—— v. Ram Panda	9 B. L R., Ap., 16	17
—— v. Ram Rutton Doss	9 W. R., Cr., 23	2624
—— v. Ram Rutton Mookerjee	24 W. R., Cr., 8	464
—— v. Ram Sagor	8 W. R., Cr., 57	343
—— v. Ram Sahoy Bhar	W. R., 1864, Cr., 24	2733
—— v. Ram Saran Tewary	24 W. R., Cr., 45	1670
—— v. Ramsarun Chowbey	4 N. W., 46	453
—— v. Ramsodoy Chuckerbutty	20 W. R., Cr., 19	25, 1535, 2922, 4116
—— v. Ramtahal Kahar	3 B. L. R, A. Cr., 33	1332

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Ramtohul Singh	5 W. R., Cr., 12	2583, 5606
— v. Ramtonee Singh	12 W. R., Cr., 49	4359
— v. Ramzan	6 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 15 W. R., Cr., 53.	1806
— v. Rasookoollah	12 W. R., Cr., 51	16, 1801
— v. Rassul Nushy	2 B. L. R., Ap., 9	4506
— v. Reaz Ali *alias* Dulloo Khan	6 W. R., Cr., 77	343
— v. Reazoodeen	3 W. R., Cr., 33	1039
— v. Reddon	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 286	956
— v. Revu Pothadu	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 390	5995
— v. Rewat Rajwar	W. R., 1864, Cr., 39	1349
— v. Rezza Hossein	8 W. R., Cr., 55	1855
— v. Rhiday Patro	26 W. R., Cr., 1	253
— v. Roghoo Dome	24 W. R., Cr., 12	257
— v. Roheem	21 W. R., Cr., 39	5577
— v. Rohimkant Ghose	1 W. R., Cr., 15	4446
— v. Roopa Gowalla	15 W. R., Cr., 42	4431
— v. Ross	6 Mad., 342	1975
— v. Rubbeeoolah	7 W. R., Cr., 13	5552
— v. Ruchee Ahen	2 W. R., Cr., 39	4056
— v. Rughoo	W. R., 1864, Cr., 30	5552, 5575
— v. Rughoo Barick	11 W. R., Cr., 44	2011
— v. Rughoobur Singh	25 W. R., Cr., 1	5160
— v. Rughoonundun Puttronuvees	15 W. R., Cr., 19	4383
— v. Rugonath Pershad	3 N. W., 275	4450
— v. Rugoonath Dass	23 W. R., Cr., 59	2763, 5600
— v. Rungatoonee	22 W. R., Cr., 52	1316
— v. Runjeet Molla	2 W. R., Cr., 31	4490
— v. Rupan Rai	6 B. L. R., 296: 15 W. R., Cr., 9.	961
— v. Russeek Monee	11 W. R., Cr., 54	973
— v. Russick Doss	24 W. R., Cr., 76	6263
— v. Ruthee Ram	2 N. W., 21	709, 3614
— v. Rutton Dass	16 W. R., Cr., 19	5164
— v. Rutton Meah	8 W. R., Cr. 57	4533
— v. Rutton Sahoo	11 W. R., Cr., 49	1048
— v. Sabed Ali	11 B. L. R., 347: 20 W. R., Cr., 5.	6069
— v. Sachee *alias* Sachee Boler	7 W. R., Cr., 112	4624
— v. Sadhu Mundul	21 W. R., Cr., 69	24, 1021
— v. Sageena	7 W. R., Cr. 56	1809
— v. Sagur Bewah	11 W. R., Cr., 20	396
— v. Saha Mahomed	3 W. R., Cr., 70	2623
— v. Sahrae	8 W. R., Cr., 31	5560
— v. Sajowpa	7 W. R., Cr., 100	4238
— v. Sakiya	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 137	3569
— v. Salamut Ali	23 W. R., Cr., 59	719
— v. Salim Sheik	2 W. R., Cr., 57	986
— v. Sankara	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 381	1510
— v. Sarob Roy	5 W. R., Cr., 28	19
— v. Seebchurn Haree	11 W. R., Cr., 12	5560
— v. Seetanath Ghosal	2 W. R., Cr., 60	726
— v. Seetul Pershad	5 N. W., 168	5604
— v. Sellam	6 Mad., 25	5569
— v. Setul Chunder Bagchee	3 W. R., Cr., 69	712
— v. Sewa Bhogta	14 B. L. R., 294: 23 W. R., Cr., 12.	4115

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen *v.* Shadhuchurn Ghose	23 W. R., Cr., 63	1988
—— *v.* Shadry	1 W. R., Cr., 34	3629
—— *v.* Shahabut Sheikh	13 W. R., Cr., 42	2756
—— *v.* Shahon	11 W. R., Cr., 19	2785
—— *v.* Shama Churn Roy	8 W. R., Cr., 27	1967, 1973
—— *v.* Shama Khankee	7 W. R., Cr., 22	726
—— *v.* Shama Sheikh	8 W. R., Cr., 35	5556
—— *v.* Shama Sunkur Biswas	10 W. R., Cr., 25	917, 5156 6271
—— *v.* Sham Bagdi	13 B. L. R., Ap., 19 : 20 W. R., Cr., 73.	6164
—— *v.* Sham Chand Chowdhry	1 W. R., Cr., 34	3882
—— *v.* Sham Kishore Holdar	13 W. R., Cr., 36	5154, 6281
—— *v.* Shamshere Beg	9 W. R., Cr., 51	720, 727
—— *v.* Sham Soonder Dass	25 W. R., Cr., 18	255
—— *v.* Sham Soonder Shootar	7 W. R., Cr., 52	4364
—— *v.* Shamsoondur	2 N. W., 475	1260
—— *v.* Shamsunder	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 310	3790
—— *v.* Sham Sunder Chowdry	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 11	4740
—— *v.* Sharoda Peshagur	2 W. R., Cr., 32	5564
—— *v.* Sheetaram Chowdhry	2 W. R., Cr., 44	918, 5156 5605
—— *v.* Sheo Buksh	2 N. W., 295	5541
—— *v.* Sheobun *alias* Sheopershad	3 N. W., 150	1222
—— *v.* Sheo Churun	3 N. W., 314	710
—— *v.* Sheodurshun Dass	3 N. W., 17	736
—— *v.* Sheogolam Das	6 B. L. R., 692 : 15 W. R., Cr., 58.	4855, 5597
—— *v.* Sheopershun Roy	2 N. W., 57	389
—— *v.* Sheosunkur Singh	3 N. W., 1	2028
—— *v.* Sheoumber Lal	4 N. W., 4	4622
—— *v.* Sheppard	13 W. R., Cr., 23	740
—— *v.* Sheriff	6 W. R., Cr., 13	1532, 1720
—— *v.* Shib Chandra Das	6 B. L. R., 730, note	1767
—— *v.* Shib Chunder Mundle	8 W. R., Cr., 59	5993
—— *v.* ———	18 W. R., Cr., 46	6165
—— *v.* Shib Chunder Rai	9 W. R., Cr., 57	5603
—— *v.* Shib Dyal	5 N. W., 188	1971
—— *v.* Shibprosad Giri	19 W. R., Cr., 69	1963
—— *v.* Shifait Ali	2 B. L. R., A., Cr., 12 : 10 W. R., Cr., 61.	2006
—— *v.* Shoora Dagee	24 W. R., Cr., 13	5547
—— *v.* Shonaullah	5 W. R., Cr., 44	5374
—— *v.* Shukur Mahomed	22 W. R., Cr., 68	4728
—— *v.* Shumeeruddeen	2 W. R., Cr., 40	18
—— *v.* Shunkur	2 N. W., 312	5881
—— *v.* ———	2 N. W., 406	5543
—— *v.* Shurroop Chunder Doss	2 W. R., Cr., 65	1223
—— *v.* Shurruffooddeen	13 W. R., Cr., 26	5883
—— *v.* Sib Narain Palodhee	7 W. R., Cr., 33	5548
—— *v.* Siddappa	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 60	390
—— *v.* Sidham Sircar	20 W. R., Cr., 16	6166
—— *v.* Sikundur Bhukut	3 N. W., 146	2939, 5653
—— *v.* Sitwa *alias* Sitaram	14 W. R., Cr., 66	721
—— *v.* Smith	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 8 : 4 W. R., Cr., 31.	148, 149
—— *v.* Sobeel Mahee	5 W. R., Cr., 32	4058

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Sobrai Gowalah	20 W. R., Cr., 70	2755, 5550
—— v. Soffiruddi Palwar	13 B. L. R., Ap, 23 : 22 W. R., Cr., 5.	5577
—— v. Sohraie	13 W. R., Cr., 33	5164
—— v. Soleemooddeen	7 W. R., Cr., 99	1982
—— v. Soliin	5 W. R., Cr., 41	4057
—— v. Somiruddin	24 W. R., Cr., 48	1331
—— v. Sonaoollah	2 W. R., Cr., 44	4186, 4493
—— v. ———	25 W. R., Cr., 23	1003, 4432
—— v. Sonoo	10 W. R., Cr., 48	4121
—— v. Soobhoi	12 W. R., Cr., 26	99
—— v. Soobjan	10 B. L. R., 332	1003, 1792
—— v. Sookee	7 W. R., Cr., 36	2938
—— v. Sookmoy Ghose	10 W. R., Cr., 23	1791, 2009
—— v. Soonder Mohooree	9 W. R., Cr., 25	711, 1975
—— v. Soondur Putnaick	3 W. R., Cr., 59	5563
—— v. Soorut	4 N. W., 62	1222
—— v. Soumber Ahir	20 W. R., Cr., 57	4489
—— v. Soyumber Singh	9 W. R., Cr., 2	1796
—— v. Sreemunt Adup	2 W. R., Cr., 63	5560
—— v. Sreenath Dey	15 W. R., Cr., 61	1633
—— v. Sreenath Mookhopadhya	7 W. R., Cr., 45	6260
—— v. Sreeputt Giri Gossain	11 W. R., Cr., 23	683, 4499
—— v. Srikant Charal	2 B. L. R., F. B., 22 : 10 W. R., Cr., 43.	1151
—— v. Srimonto Kotal	7 W. R., Cr., 31	5566
—— v. Sristidhur Shaha	25 W. R., 42	74
—— v. Stephenson	3 Moore's I. A., 488	5971
—— v. Subal Chunder Gangooly	22 W. R., Cr., 16	5520
—— v. Subbanna Gaundan	1 Mad., 30	1954
—— v. Subbayya Gaundan	1 Mad., 138	5148
—— v. Subbramanya Pillai	3 Mad., 251	4366
—— v. Subdar Meeah	3 W. R., Cr., 44	1256
—— v. Subramanyam	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 377	1045
—— v. Subsookh	2 N. W., 476	2028
—— v. Subun Singh	23 W. R., Cr., 57	4359
—— v. Suffurudee	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 122	1970
—— v. Sujjad Ali	3 N. W., 134	2028
—— v. Sukhimonee Raur	12 W. R., Cr., 55	4686
—— v. Suleem	1 W. R., Cr., 23	1331
—— v. Sundara Dass Tevan	4 Mad., 20	4385
—— v. Suppi	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 159	390
—— v. Surendranath Roy	5 B. L. R., 274 : 13 W. R., Cr., 27.	968, 6182
—— v. Suroop Chunder Dutt	7 W. R., Cr., 29	73, 1987
—— v. Surroop Chunder Paul	12 W. R., Cr., 75	6066, 6268
—— v. Surwan Singh	11 W. R., Cr., 11	1326
—— v. Sustiram Mandal	21 W. R., Cr., 1	6162
—— v. Sutherland	14 W. R., Cr., 20	1295, 6258
—— v. Tajumaddi Lahory	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1 : 10 W. R., Cr., 4.	1044, 3611
—— v. Talukanam	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 131	101
—— v. Tamma Ghantaya	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 228	5996
—— v. Tarinee Churn Bose	21 W. R., Cr., 31	1153, 2767, 4049, 4714
—— v. Tarinee Churn Chuttopadhya	7 W. R., Cr., 3	19
—— v. Tarinee Mytee	7 W. R., Cr., 13	5546

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v Tarinicharan Dey	9 B. L R., Ap., 42	1834
—— v. Tarucknath Mookerjee	10 B L. R., 285 : 19 W. R., Cr., 30.	1304
—— v. Tasuduk Hossein	6 N. W , 301	6045
—— v. Teekai Bheer	5 W. R., Cr., 95	5294
—— v. Tepoo	3 W. R., Cr , 15	5548
—— v Tepra Fakeer	5 W. R , Cr., 78	1330
—— v. Thakoor Chund Surma	13 W. R , Cr., 22	1805
—— v. Thakoor Doss	5 W. R., Cr., 22	254
—— v. Thakoordoss Chootur	7 W. R., Cr., 7	1806
—— v Thakoor Ram	25 W. R., Cr., 35	1533
—— v Thakur Dass	6 N. W., 86	1511
—— v. Thakur Pershad	I L. R , 1 All., 151	462
—— v. Thompson	1 B. L R., O. Cr., 1	396, 4120
—— v. Thunji	I. L. R , 7 Mad., 163	5496
—— v. Tilkoo Goala	8 W. R., Cr., 61	1534, 3621
—— v. Tincouree	W. R , 1864, Cr., 31	5554
—— v Tofuzzal Ali	7 W. R., Cr., 109	4067
—— v. Tonaokoch	2 W R., Cr., 63	5553, 6989
—— v. Tonoo	6 W. R., Cr., 46	5573
—— v. Tonooram	3 W. R., Cr., 44	5574
—— v. Toobana Gaundan	1 Ind Jur., O. S., 136	1954
—— v. Toolshee Rai	5 N. W., 186	4366
—— v. Toral Gujar	3 N. W., 126	5569
—— v Totaram	11 W. R., Cr., 15	5154, 6239
—— v. Toti Chengan	6 Mad., 349	261
—— v. Toyluckonath Sircar	2 W. R., Cr., 64	4506
—— v Tulsi Dosad	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 66	343
—— v. Tulsi Dosadh	18 W. R., Cr., 57.	6269
—— v Tulsi Singh	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16 : 10 W. R., Cr., 64.	4624
—— v. Turnbull	6 Mad., 7	2904
—— v. Uckoor Ghose	1 W. R., Cr., 50	6163
—— v. Udai Patnaik	4 B. L R., A. Cr., 5 : 12 W. R., Cr., 68.	6190
—— v. Udhan Bind	19 W. R., Cr., 68	24
—— v. Udit Singh	25 W. R., Cr., 46	707
—— v. Udya Changa	20 W. R., Cr., 73	6168
—— v. Ujrail	3 N. W., 212	1793
—— v. Umbica Tantinee	4 W. R., Cr., 21	2584
—— v. Umeschandra Chowdry	5 B. L. R., 160 : 14 W. R., Cr., 1.	970
—— v. Ungun Lall	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 303	1045
—— v. Upendronath Doss	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 356	6025
—— v. Vaiyapuri Gaundan	1 Mad., 4	3600
—— v. Varthappa	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 382	1326
—— v Vasantappa	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 231	3569
—— v. Vaughan	5 B. L. R., 418	2094, 3851
—— v. Vedamuttu	4 Mad., 185	1963
—— v. Velayudam	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 233	3617
—— v. Velayudam Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 146	5522
—— v. Vencanna	3 Mad., 354	2901
—— v. Venguvayyangar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 25	1536
—— v. Venkatachalam Pillai	2 Mad., 43	1963
—— v. Vitti Chokkan	1. L. R., 4 Mad , 229	4371
—— v. Vyapuri	1. L. R., 5 Mad., 401	3867
—— v. Vyapuri Kangani	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 70	390

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen *v.* Wahed Ali	13 W. R., Cr., 42	461
—— *v.* Waris Ali	3 N. W., 337	715
—— *v.* Wazira	8 B. L. R., Ap., 63 : 17 W. R., Cr., 5.	263, 4384
—— *v.* Willans	1 Mad., 31 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 94.	708, 713 714
—— *v.* Womesh Chunder Ghose	5 W. R., Cr., 71	4353
—— *v.* Woodurnul Singh	10 W. R., Cr., 24	5529
—— *v.* Wuzir Mundul	25 W. R., Cr., 25	6160
—— *v.* Yar Ali	13 W. R., Cr., 70	5881
—— *v.* Yasin Sheikh	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 6 : 12 W. R., Cr., 68.	1331, 4056
—— *v.* Yellamandu	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 157	5568
—— *v.* Yendava Chandramma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 189	5525
—— *v.* Zahir	10 W. R., Cr., 11	4055
—— *v.* Zahoor Ali Khan	4 N. W., 97	4353
—— *v.* Zakir Ally	8 W. R., Cr., 4	6252
—— *v.* Zalim Rai	1 W. R., Cr., 33	1333
—— *v.* Zamiran	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 521 : 6 W. R., Cr., 65.	1976
—— *v.* Zemindar of Colgong	1 W. R., Cr., 12	3627
—— *v.* Zuhir	10 W. R., Cr., 11	1794
—— *v.* Zuhiruddin	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 219 : 25 W. R., Cr., 27.	6027
—— *v.* Zuhoorul Huq	25 W. R., Cr., 64	1535
—— *v.* Zulfukar Khan	8 B. L. R., Ap., 21 : 16 W. R., Cr., 36.	1790, 2733
Queen on the information of Narain Koer *v.* Roshun Lall.	4 N. W., 123	3742
Queen on the prosecution of Dowlath Bee *v.* Ali	5 Mad., 473	4377
Queen on the prosecution of Gocul Chand *v.* Seith Roshun Lal.	2 N. W., 82	1325
Queen on the prosecution of Madras Railway Co. *v.* Jones. Queen on the prosecution of Madras Railway Co. *v.* Malony.	1 Mad., 193	2912
Queen on the prosecution of Rookia Banu *v.* Roberts	1 B. L. R., S. N., 5	4236
Queen on the prosecution of Ward *v.* Turner	4 N. W., 94	959
Queen-Empress *v.* Abdulla	I. L. R., 7 All., 385	1796
—— *v.* Abdul Latib valad Abdul Rahiman	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 186	2911
—— *v.* Abhran Umar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 575	5887
—— *v.* Adam valad Shaik Farid	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 193	5995
—— *v.* Ademma	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 369	3862
—— *v.* Ahmed	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 448	262, 5888
—— *v.* Ala Bakhsh	I. L. R., 6 All., 484	5148, 5149
—— *v.* Amarsang Jetha	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 506	395
—— *v.* Amir Khan	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 336	1310
—— *v.* Appa Subhana Mendre	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 200	718, 1269 4749, 5285
—— *v.* Appathorai	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 167	3677
—— *v.* Appava	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 141	3567
—— *v.* Atar Ali	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 79	1954
—— *v.* Autal Muchi	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1026	1789
—— *v.* Bai Rukshmoni	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 340	964
—— *v.* Baldeo	I. L. R., 8 All., 509	344
—— *v.* Bandhu	I. L. R., 8 All., 51	5882

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen-Empress v. Bapuji Dayaram	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 288	802, 850 1970, 4368
——— v. Batesar Mandal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 604	1968
——— v. Bepin Biswas	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 970	344, 721 1004, 1853
——— v. Burke	I. L. R., 6 All., 224	914, 1839 5884
——— v. Chenchugadu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 421	4070
——— v. Dala Jiva	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 190	1798, 1964 5511, 5513
——— v. Dan Sahai	I. L. R., 7 All., 862	6265
——— v. Dhananjai Chaudhuri	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 931	6258
——— v. Dhum Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 220	1511
——— v. Dhundi	I. L. R., 8 All., 304	454
——— v. Dorabji Hormasji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 131	1311
——— v. Dorásami	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 284	707
——— v. Dosa Jiva	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 231	1025
——— v. Dungar	I. L. R., 8 All., 252	4364
——— v. Dungar Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 29	555[illegible]
——— v. Durga Charan	I. L. R., 7 All., 672	5147
——— v. Durga Sonar	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 580	1798
——— v. Edwards	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 333	1952, 2107 6024
——— v. Erramreddi	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 296	1219, 1308
——— v. Fox	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 176	5147
——— v. Gangaram	I. L. R., 8 All., 38	1960
——— v. Gangaram Santram	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 135	5992
——— v. Ganpat Tapidas	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 256	1258
——— v. Ghulet	I. L. R., 7 All., 44	1980
——— v. Girdhari Lal	I. L. R., 8 All., 653	1974, 2014
——— v. Goundadu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 350	655
——— v. Govinda Punja	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 295	3867
——— v. Gross Chunder Banerjee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1024	1726, 5207
——— v. Gujria	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 568	618
——— v. Gustadji Barjorji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 181	462, 2897
——— v. Hasnu	I. L. R., 6 All., 367	1309
——— v. Havia Tellapa	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 196	3614
——— v. Honkerapa	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 534	619
——— v. Husain	I. L. R., 7 All., 403	2014
——— v. Husein Gaibu	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 307	1269, 1298
——— v. Imdad Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 120	262, 1258 6288
——— v. Iskri Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 672	1793, 3604
——— v. Ishwar Chandra Sur	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 13	5538
——— v. Ismail Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 649	2584
——— v. Jacquiet	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 85	5163, 6169
——— v. Jagannath Bhikaji Bhave	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 183	3866
——— v. Jagrup	I. L. R., 7 All., 646	1003
——— v. Janki Prasad	I. L. R., 8 All., 293	4626, 6180
——— v. Jethmal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 27	5854
——— v. Jokhu	I. L. R., 8 All., 99	4113
——— v. Joti Rajnak	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 338	5886
——— v. Juala Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 174	2758
——— v. Jugal Kishore	I. L. R., 8 All., 382	1954, 4357 5510
——— v. Juggernath	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 267	5861
——— v. Kallu	I. L. R., 7 All., 160	346, 4534

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen-Empress v. Kamalia	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 595	1019
——— v. Kandhaia	I. L. R., 7 All., 67	1670
——— v. Kethigadu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 373	3573
——— v. Khairati	I. L. R., 6 All., 204	6070
——— v. Kharga	I. L. R., 8 All., 665	718, 5598
——— v. Khasim	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 202	390
——— v. Kishan Bapu	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 93	1045
——— v. Krishna	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 347	3631
——— v. Krishnabhat	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 319	919, 1025; 1324, 6287
——— v. Krishnappa	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 276	4689
——— v Kuppu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 560	5523
——— v. Lakshmana	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 42	6169
——— v. Lakshman Dagdu	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 512	2623
——— v. Lalla	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 428	655
——— v. Lalli	I. L. R., 7 All., 749	4365
——— v. Laskari	I. L. R., 7 All., 853	1307
——— v. Lingaya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 258	3146, 3178
——— v. Lochan	I. L. R., 8 All., 635	4057
——— v Madho	I. L. R., 8 All., 291	4688
——— v. Maheshri Bakhsh Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 380	4363
——— v. Mancherji Kavasji Shapurji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 97	3560
——— v. Mangal Tekchand	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 258	253, 2909
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 263	2909
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 274	3555, 6024
——— v. Mania Dayal	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 497	4750
——— v. Mathews	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1022	1017
——— v. Mathura Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 491	18
——— v. Mehrban Sing	I. L. R., 6 All., 626	1969
——— v. Mitthu Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 18	6287
——— v. Mohan	I. L. R., 8 All., 622	4056
——— v. Morton	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 288	2106, 2789; 5528
——— v. Nandkishore	I. L. R., 6 All., 248	1338
——— v. Nanjappa	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 432	3568
——— v. Náráyanasámi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 36	258
——— v. Nathu	I. L. R., 6 All., 214	4734
——— v. Nga Tha Moung	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 643	6023
——— v Nowab Jan	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 551	985, 1310
——— v. Nur Mahomed	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 223	1025, 1223
——— v. O'Shaughnessy	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 429	3575
——— v. Palani	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 537	5854
——— v. Pandu valad Gopala	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 199	961
——— v. Papadu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 454	1309
——— v Parmeshar Dat	I. L. R., 8 All., 201	4691
——— v. Parshram Raysing	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 216	1966
——— v. Pershad	I. L. R., 7 All., 414	716, 3611; 5560
——— v. Pestanji Barjorji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 456	604
——— v. Pillala	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 101	850
——— v. Pir Mahomed	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 254	5553
——— v. Pirya Gopal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 100	1307
——— v. Podiathal	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 342	5497
——— v. Polavarapu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 563	963
——— v. Purshotam Kala	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 269	1514
——— v. Ramakka	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 5	5906
——— v. Ramji Sajabarao	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 124	1979, 4357

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY
6657

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Paragraph of Digest.
Queen-Empress *v.* Ram Kuria	I. L. R., 6 All., 622	5159
——— *v.* Ram Narain	I. L. R., 8 All., 514	5149, 5157
——— *v.* Ram Sahai Lall	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1070	6261
——— *v.* Ram Saran	I. L. R., 8 All., 306	6288
——— *v.* Ram Sarup	I. L. R., 7 All., 757	5559
——— *v.* Ramzan	I. L. R., 7 All., 461	4861
——— *v.* Raya Lakhma	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 230	165
——— *v.* Sadhee Kasal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 936	4239
——— *v.* Sakharam Bhau	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 493	5555
——— *v.* Saligram	I. L. R., 6 All., 495	4447
——— *v.* Sama Papi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 287	1017
——— *v.* Saminada	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 274	4450
——— *v.* Seshaya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 97	3569
——— *v.* Shaik Raju	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 173	3865
——— *v.* Sheo Dayal	I. L. R., 7 All., 459	2014
——— *v.* Sheodihal Rai	I. L. R., 6 All., 487	22
——— *v.* Shib Chunder Mitter	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1079	723
——— *v.* Shivaram	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 591	2625
——— *v.* Sinha	I. L. R., 7 All., 135	5159
——— *v.* Subbanna	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 197	1045
——— *v.* Subbarayan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 9	4384
——— *v.* Sukha	I. L. R., 8 All., 14	919
——— *v.* Taki Husain	I. L. R., 7 All., 205	1510
——— *v.* Tafaullah	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 190	1668
——— *v.* Thaku	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 312	1270
——— *v.* Tribhovan Manekchand	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 131	1017, 1809 5887
——— *v.* Tulla	I. L. R., 7 All., 904	6261
——— *v.* Uzeer	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 775	1006
——— *v.* Viran	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 224	1009
——— *v.* Viranna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 377	3614
——— *v.* Yusuf Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 677	4080
Quiros. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 83 : 6 C. L. R., 463.	1262, 1312
Qurban Ali *v.* Ashraf Ali	I. L. R., 4 All., 219	445
Qutab Husain *v.* Abul Hasan	I. L. R., 4 All., 134	5671

R.

Rabbaba Khanum *v.* Noorjehan Begum *alias* Dalim Shahiba.	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 90	4276, 5955
Rabia Khanum *v.* Wise	23 W. R., 329	4136
Rabutty Dossee *v.* Radhanauth Sein	6 Moore's I. A., 346	4642
——— *v.* Sib Chunder Mullick	6 Moore's I. A., 1	2505
Rachapa *v.* Amingovda	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 283	2100
Rachuri Venkubaiyamma *v.* Guduru Ramanna Pantulu	6 Mad., 391	4829
Rackaldoss Moduck *v.* Bindoo Bashinee Debea	Marsh., 293 : 2 Hay, 157	1708
Radaik Ghaserain *v.* Budaik Pershad Singh	Marsh., 644	2322
Radha *v.* Bisheshur Dass	6 N. W., 279	2493
——— *v.* Koar	W. R., 1864, 148	2105
Radhabai *v.* Anantrav Bhagvant Deshpande	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 198	5592
——— *v.* Nanarav	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 151	2330
——— *v.* Radhabai	4 Bom., A. C., 181	3825
——— *v.* Shamrav Vinayak	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 168	3947
Radhabai bin Ramji *v.* Ganesh Tatya Gholap	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 7	2536
Radhabai kom Chimnaji Sali *v.* Chimnaji bin Ramji Sali	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 27	5225

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Radha Ballab Ghose *v.* Peharilal Mookerjee	6 B. L. R., Ap., 155 : 12 W. R., 537.	1627
Radhabenode Misser *v.* Kripamoyee Dabee	10 B. L. R., 386 : 17 W. R., 262 : 14 Moore's I. A., 443.	4026
Radhabhai *v.* Shama	4 Bom., A. C., 155	3395, 3441 4474
Radha Bibee *v.* Government	2 Hay, 562	2002
——— *v.* Mucksoodun Doss	21 W. R., 204	213, 1718 2825
Radha Binode Chowdhry *v.* Digumburee Dossee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 947 : 9 W. R., 236.	759, 3447
——— *v.* Juggut Surnokar	6 W. R., 300	196
——— *v.* Mudhoo Soodun Sircar	7 W. R., 198	3447
Radha Binode Dutt *v.* Kootabode Mundul	15 W. R., 363	6096
Radha Binode Misser. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 730	279
——— *v.* Kripa Moyee Debia	7 W. R., 531	279
Radha Bullub Gossain *v.* Kishen Gobind Gossain	9 W. R., 71	4192
Radha Bullubh Chuckerbutty *v.* Banee Madhub Chuckerbutty.	23 W. R., 230	341
Radhabullubh Sil. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 300 : 11 C. L. R., 274.	4580
Radha Bullubh Singh *v.* Dheraj Mahtab Chand	2 W. R., Mis., 51	2879
Radhabullub Surma *v.* Anundmoyee Debea	W. R., 1864, Mis., 39	235, 4910
Radha Chowdhrain *v.* Gireedharee Sahoo	20 W. R., 243	1749
Radha Charan Ghatak *v.* Zamirunissa Khanum	2 B. L. R., A. C., 67 : 11 W. R., 83.	1731, 5813
Radha Churn Chowdhry *v.* Chunder Monee Shikdar	9 W. R., 290	140
Radha Churn Dass *v.* Kripa Sindhu Dass	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 474 : 4 C. L. R., 428.	2355, 2455
Radha Churn Dass Gossamee *v.* Akran Khootea	20 W. R., 420	5985
Radha Churn Gangooly *v.* Anund Sein	15 W. R., 444	1748, 1768
——— *v.* Gudadhur Bahadoor	15 W. R., 166	5701
Radha Churn Roy *v.* Moran & Co.	13 W. R., 342	6274
Radha Churn Shaha *v.* Parbuttee Churn Dutt	25 W. R., 52	3907
Radhagobind Dass *v.* Proksh Chunder Dass	14 W. R., 108	4463
Radha Gobind Gossami *v.* Ooma Soonduree Dossia	24 W. R., 363	2800
Radha Gobind Koer *v.* Rakhaldas Mukerji	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 82	1742, 3049 5195
Radha Gobind Kur *v.* Ram Kishore Dutt	8 W. R., 340	2774
Radha Gobind Roy *v.* Inglis	7 C. L. R., 364	4174
——— *v.* Kyamutoollah	21 W. R., 401	1616
——— *v.* Shama Soonduree Dabee	21 W. R., 403	1613
Radha Gobind Shah *v.* Ouzeer	15 W. R., 219	5419
Radha Gobind Shaha *v.* Bank of Bengal	2 C. L. R., 565	631
——— *v.* Brijendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry	18 W. R., 527	1906
——— *v.* Brojender Coomar Roy Chowdhry	7 W. R., 372	805, 3830
——— *v.* Brojendro Coomar Chowdhry	15 W. R., 207	3512
——— *v.* Chunder Nath Dass Shaha	6 W. R., 301	2574
——— *v.* Inam Buksh Ostagur	15 W. R., 217	1369
Radhagobind Surmah *v.* Umber Ali	15 W. R., 27	3918, 3919
Radhajeebun Moostaffee. In re	5 W. R., Cr., 8 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 97.	4119
Radha Jeebun Mostoofee *v.* Bissessur Mostoofee	2 Hay, 178	1500
Radhajeebun Mustafee *v.* Denonath Banerjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 49	4931
Radha Jeebun Mustofee *v.* Tara Monee Dossee	3 W. R., Mis., 25	1919
Radhajiban Mustafi *v.* Taramani Dasi	2 B. L. R., P. C., 79 : 11 W. R., P. C., 31 : 12 Moore's I. A., 380.	990, 1914

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Radhajibon Mustofee v. Forlong	2 Hay, 122	1196
Radha Kant Deb v. Khema Dossee	7 W. R., 105	1615, 6245
Radha Kant Doss v. Ayesh Ali Shaha	8 W. R., 109	997
Radha Kant Roy v. Sadafut Mahomed Khan	21 W. R., 86	5426
Radhakant Shaha v. Abhoy Churn Mitter	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 721	5856
Radhakant Shuba v. ———	11 C. L. R., 310	5856
Radhakisan Hakunji v. Balvant Ramji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 530	409
Radha Kishen v. Ameeroodeen	11 W. R., 204	226
——— v. Bukhtawur Lall	1 Agra, 1	337, 2484
——— v. Chotey Lall	3 N. W., 155	5665
——— v. Shureefunnissa	W. R., 1864, 11	1697
Radha Kishen Chowdhry v. Komul Shaw	25 W. R., 128	1696
Radhakishen Man v. Bachhaman	I. L. R., 3 All., 118	2183, 2466, 4292
Radhakishen Manjee v. Ram Mundul	6 W. R., 147	2305
Radhakishore v. Giridharee Sahee	13 W. R., Cr., 19	4098
Radhakishore Debia v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry	12 W. R., 79	4883
Radha Kishore Mookerjee v. Mirtoonjoy Gow	7 W. R., 23	2085, 4156
Radha Kishore Roy v. Ameer Chunder Mookhoty	20 W. R., 386	557
——— v. Sittoo Sirdar	24 W. R., 172	3064
Radhakishore Talookdar v. Goluck Chunder Roy	11 W. R., 366	2744, 4162
Radhakissen Mahapatter v. Sreekissen Mahapatter	1 W. R., 62	3348, 3796
Radhakissen Rowra Dakna v. Choonololl Dutt	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 445 : 5 C. L. R., 172.	4266, 4849
Radhakissore Bose v. Aftab Chandra Mahatab	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 61	1915, 1940, 3520
Radhakissoree Dossee v. Guthee Kissen Sircar	W. R., 1864, 272	3348
Radha Kisto Mytee v. Bhugwan Chunder Bose	1 W. R., 248	3379
Radha Kisto Singh Deo v. Gudadhur Banerjee	8 W. R., 453	4214, 6247
Radha Koonwar v. Jankee Koonwar	9 W. R., 199	3256
Radha Krishna v. O'Flaherty	3 B. L. R., A. C., 277 : 12 W. R., 145.	2998
Radhakrishna Sett v. Harakrishna Das	1 B. L. R., O. C., 1	1466
Radha Kristo Balo v. Rup Chunder Nundy	3 C. L. R., 480	3331
Radhakristo Chaklanuvis v. Kaleeprossono Roy	15 W. R., 268	1212
Radha Kristo Dutt v. Gunga Narain Chatterjee	23 W. R., 328	167
Radha Kristo Panjah v. Bamasoondaree Dossee	13 W. R., 9	1908
Radhamadhub Dutt v. Ramrunjun Chuckerbutty	17 W. R., 461	1139
Radhamadhub Ghose v. Khirudnauth Roy	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 84	907
Radhamadhub Gossain v. Radhabullub Gossain	1 Hay, 311 : 2 Ind. Jur., O. S. 5.	1506, 2110, 2114
Radha Madhub Pal v. Kalee Churn Pal	18 W. R., 41	3036
Radha Madhub Panda v. Juggernath Doosb	14 W. R., 183	1426, 3042
Radha Malakar v. Srishtee Narain Shaha	21 W. R., 88	4267
Radhamohan Dhar v. Ramdas Dey	3 B. L. R., A. C., 362 : 24 W. R., 86, note.	2208, 2488
Radha Mohan Naskar v. Jadunath Das	3 B. L. R., A. C., 261 : 12 W. R., 68.	544, 6278
Radhamohun Deb v. Nund Lal Dey	7 W. R., 363	6124
Radha Mohun Dutt v. Bissessur Bundopadhya	6 W. R., 90	1394
Radhamohun Gowee v. Mohesh Chunder Kotwal	7 W. R., 3	3161
Radha Mohun Mundul v. Buckshee Begum	Marsh, 471 : 2 Hay, 595	566
——— v. Jadoomonee Dossee	23 W. R., 369	2019, 6113
——— v. Neel Madhab Mundul	24 W. R., 200	1991
——— v. Shoshi Bhoosun Biswas	3 C. L. R., 530	2520
Radhamohun Roy v. Raj Chunder Dass	2 C. L. R., 377	4229
——— v. Raj Chunder Shah	22 W. R., 522	5922

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Radhamonee *v.* Ram Narain Dey	22 W. R., 440	4267
Radhamonee Chowdhrain *v.* Gray	12 W. R., 295	2989
Radhamonee Dossia *v.* Shibessurree Debia	6 W. R., Act X, 25	1439
Radha Monee *v.* Kishna	2 Agra, Pt. II, 188	539
Radhamoye Dey Chowdhry *v.* Aghore Nath Biswas	25 W. R., 384	1612
Radhanath Banerjee *v.* Jodoonath Singh	7 W. R., 441	1030, 2770 3243, 4141
——— *v.* Joy Kishen Mookerjee	1 W. R., 288	51
——— *v.* Kangalee Mollah	Marsh., 407 : 2 Hay, 538	5523
Radha Natn Bose *v.* Bama Churn Mookerjee	25 W. R., 415	3294
——— *v.* Suttoprosono Ghose	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 269	2092
Radhanath Chowdhry. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 289	5289
——— *v.* Joy Soonder Moitra	2 C. L. R., 302	2931
Radhanath Das *v.* Elliott	6 B. L. R., 530	3326, 3364 3385, 6125 6145
Radhanath Dhoopee *v.* Luckhee Kant Pal	12 W. R., 224, note	302
Radhanath Dhubi *v.* Ram Gobind Pal	3 B. L. R., A. C., 218	302
Radhanath Doss *v.* Gisborne & Co.	14 Moore's I. A., 1 : 15 W. R., P. C., 24.	3326, 3364 3386, 6125 6145
——— *v.* Khellut Chunder Ghose	17 W. R., 558	1737
Radhanath Dutt *v.* Gobind Chunder Chatterjee	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 19	3322
Radhanath Kundu *v.* Land Mortgage Bank of India	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 559 : 8 C. L. R., 10.	5014
Radha Nath Mozoomdar *v.* Purikhit Bodrik	W. R., 1864, Act X, 60	531
Radhanath Panja *v.* Wooma Churn Chowdhry	22 W. R., Cr., 12	962
Radhanath Sein *v.* Bungo Chunder Sein	W. R., 1864, 157	3985
Radhanath Shaha *v.* Kameenee Soonderee Dossee	2 W. R., 37	5235, 5745
Radhanath Sugracharji *v.* Baidonath Seal	3 B. L. R., Ap., 118	5282
Radhaparshad Singh *v.* Balmukand Ojha	7 N. W., 318	2865, 5188
Radhapearee Dossee *v.* Doorga Monee Dossia	5 W. R., 131	2302
Radhapershad Misser *v.* Monohur Das	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 317 : 7 C. L. R., 293.	3895
Radha Pershad Singh *v.* Bajhawun Oopadhya	24 W. R., 116	2990
——— *v.* Phuljuri Koer	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 402	5980
——— *v.* Ramjeewun Singh	11 W. R., 389	5983
——— *v.* Ramparmeswar Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 797 : 13 C. L. R., 22.	1211
——— *v.* Sansar Roy	14 W. R., 27	5117
——— *v.* Taloak Raj Kooer	20 W. R., 38	2277
Radha Prasad Singh *v.* Bhagwan Rai	I. L. R., 5 All., 289	3310, 3312 3533
——— *v.* Bhajan Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 677	636, 4185
——— *v.* Rajendra Kishore Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 209	998
——— *v.* Salik Rai	I. L. R., 5 All., 245	5086
Radha Prosad Singh *v.* Sundur Lall	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 644	3135, 3471
Radha Proshad Singh *v.* Ram Coomar Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 796 : 1 C. L. R., 259.	44
Radha Proshad Wasti *v.* Esuf	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 414 : 9 C. L. R., 76.	1178, 1471
Radha Pyari Debi *v.* Nabin Chandra Chowdhry	5 B. L. R., 708 : 13 W. R., F. B., 80.	4956
Radha Ram Deb *v.* James	20 W. R., 410	5613
Radha Rumon Koondoo *v.* Phool Koomaree Bibee	10 W. R., 28	2342
Radhay Kishan *v.* Kali Misser	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 151	579
Radhecka Chowdrain *v.* Ram Mohun Ghose	1 W. R., 367	1593

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Radhey Koonwer v. Ajoodhya Pandey	3 Agra, 69	5129
Radhey Lal v. Mahesh Prasad	I. L. R, 7 All., 864	1713
Radhey Pandey v. Munsaram	2 Agra, 85	4548
——— v. Nund Koomar Pandey	2 Agra, Pt. II, 164	3268
Radhey Tewari v. Bujha Misr	I. L. R., 3 All, 413	4003
Radhia v. Beni	I. L. R., 1 All., 560	5011
Radhika Chowdhrain v. Bama Sundari Dasi	4 B. L. R., P. C., 8: 13 Moore's I. A, 248.	1595, 4150
——— v. Lukhee Chunder Ghose	18 W. R., 513	3472
Radhika Churn Sen v. Judoonath Gossamee	20 W. R., 412	687
Radhika Mohan Sett. In the goods of	7 B. L. R., 563	4665
Radhika Mohun Roy v. Gunga Narain Chowdhry	21 W. R., 115	1747
——— v. ———	22 W. R., 23	49
Radhika Pershad Chatterjee v. Ramdhun Pooroheet	6 W. R., Act X, 27	556
Radhika Pershad Shadhoo v. Gooroo Prosunno Roy	20 W. R., 125	3434
Radhikaprasad Chunder v. Ramsunder Kur	1 B. L. R., A. C., 7	1253
Radhika Prashad Dey v. Dharma Dasi Debi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 124: 11 W. R., 499.	2350
Radhika Prosunno Chunder v. Nehalee Churn Dey	15 W. R, 416	3071
——— v. Urjoon Majhee	20 W. R., 128	2691
Radhoo Chowdhrain v. Heet Lall Roy	11 W. R., 209	3493
Radhoo Nissee v Ram Junoo Nissee	2 Hay, 83	2893
Radho Panday v. Rup Kuar	7 N. W., 223	3379
Radoinath Shaha. In the matter of the petition of	I L. R., 8 Calc., 195	715, 1287
Rae Damodhur Doss v. Bholanath	2 N. W., 413	1926
Rae Manick Chund v. Beharee Lall	2 N. W., 263	3888
Raesoonissa Begum v. Sharodu Soonduree Chowdhrain	16 W. R., 25	3823
Rafautoollah Chowdhry v. Shushee Shikhur Banerjee	14 W. R., 57	4228
Raffee Mahomed v Abbas Khan	8 W. R., Cr., 67	1955
Raffin v. S S. "Chilka"	I. L. R., 7 Bom, 196	5500
Ragava v. Rajagopal	I. L. R., 9 Mad, 39	2886
Ragava Chariar v. Vedanta Chariar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 259	915
Ragava Pishardi v. Ayumanjiri Maukal Thupan *alias* Valia Thambrakle.	4 Mad., 261	3472
Ragavendra Rau v. Mahomed Kanitaraganar	1 Mad., 230	3584
Raghab Chandra Banerjee v. Brajanath Kundu Chowdhry.	9 B. L. R., 91, note: 14 W. R., 489.	5330
Raghapa bin Hanmapa v. Parapa bin Shivapa	I. L R., 1 Bom., 217	760
Raghia v. Dharma Jhatu	1 Bom., 52	5819
Ragho Bagaji v. Anaji Manaji Patil	5 Bom., A. C., 116	4022
Raghobar Misser v. Sital	7 N. W., 228	2865
Raghobir Singh v. Dharam Kuar	I. L. R., 3 All., 108	1233
Raghu Govind v. Balvant Amrit	I. L. R, 7 Bom., 101	1400
Ragho Govind Paranjpe v. Dipchand	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 96	635, 1074, 2714
Raghoji Bhikaji v. Abdul Karim	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 590	3209
Raghoobur Dyal v. Dwarka Dass	3 N W., 343	2818
Raghoonadha Perya Oodya Taver v. Katama Nauchear	10 W.R., P.C., 1: 11 Moore's I. A., 50.	1700, 4962, 4988
Raghoonath v. Luchmun Singh	1 Agra, 132	4026
Raghoonath Narain Singh v. Ram Churn Sahoo	2 W. R., Mis., 48	209
Raghoonath Pershad v. Surjoo Pershad Singh	22 W. R., 162	3193
Raghoo Pandey v. Kassy Parey	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 73: 13 C. L. R., 263.	3443
Raghoo Parirah. In the matter of the petition of	10 B. L R, Ap., 26: 19 W. R., Cr., 28.	978
Ragho Salvi v. Balkrishna Sakharam	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 128	1174, 3967

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Raghubai Ram Chandra. In the matter of	6 Bom., O. C., 86	2661
Raghubans Gir v. Sheosaran Gir	I. L. R., 5 All., 243	3462
Raghubans Kuar v. Mahpal Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 744 : 6 C. L. R., 593 : L. R., 7 I. A., 63	1841
Raghubanund Doss v. Sadhu Churn Doss	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 425 : 6 C. L. R., 534.	2451, 2466
Raghubar Chowdry v. Bhaikdhari Singh	3 B. L. R., Ap., 41 : 11 W. R., 455.	1408
Raghubar Dayal v. Bank of Upper India	I. L. R., 5 All., 384	5494
——— v. Budhu Lal	I. L. R., 8 All., 95	3979
——— v. Ilahi Buksh	I. L. R., 7 All., 450	5459
——— v. Lachmin Shankar	I. L. R., 5 All., 461	3381
Raghubar Dyal Sahu v. Bhikya Lal Misser	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 69	2076, 3429
Raghumoni Audhicary v. Nilmoni Singh Deo	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 393	3293
Raghunandan Rai v. Mahabir Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 720	4545
Raghunandan Singh v. Majbuth Singh	6 B. L. R., 387, note : 10 W. R., 379.	3720
Raghu Nandan Thakur v. Ramcharan Kapali	1 B. L. R., F. B., 55 : 10 W. R., F. B., 39.	5828
Raghu Nath v. Thakuri	I. L. R., 4 All., 16	2481
Raghu Nath Bali v. Maharaj Bali	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 777 : L. R., 12 I. A., 112.	3371
Raghunath Das. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 All., 21	5952
——— v. Ashraf Husain Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 252	5615
——— v. Badri Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 21	5952
——— v. Kakkan Mal	I. L. R., 3 All., 568	5435, 6017
——— v. Raj Kumar	I. L. R., 7 All., 276	233, 5951
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 7 All., 876	5951
Raghunath Ganesh v. Gangadhar Bhikaji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 60	1227
Raghunath Gopal v. Nilu Nathaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 452	190, 2774
Raghunath Prasad v. Gobind Prasad	I. L. R., 8 All., 76	2186
——— v. Jurawan Rai	I. L. R., 8 All., 105	3939
Raghunath Shaw v. Rajkrishna Deb	1 B. L. R., S. N., 2	158
Raghunath Singh v. Ram Kumar Mandal	5 B. L. R., Ap., 64 : 14 W. R., 81.	4974
Raghu Ram v. Dannu Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 285	3508
Raghu Ram Biswas v. Ram Chandra Dobay	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 34 : W. R., F. B., 127.	5701
Ragunada Rau v. Nathamuni Thathamayyangar	6 Mad., 423	2786
Rahi v. Govinda valad Teja	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 97	2319, 2440
Rahiman Khan Samoji Sahib v. Patcha Miyah	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 285	810
Rahimatbai v. Hirbai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 34	2226, 2312, 4133
Rahim Bibi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 All., 59	4236
Rahmani Bibi v. Hulasa Kuar	I. L. R., 1 All., 642	3213, 3214
Rahmatulla v. Sariutulla Kaghi	1 B. L. R., F. B., 58 : 10 W. R., F. B., 51.	1429, 4812, 4829, 4851, 5800
Rahoman v. Fuzuloonissa	W. R., 1864, 326	5789
Rai Balkishen v. Rai Sitaram	I. L. R., 7 All., 731	437, 2402
Rai Bishen Chand v. Asmaida Koer	I. L. R., 6 All., 560 : L. R., 11 I. A., 164.	2272, 2560
Rai Chand v. Mathura Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 292	193
Raichand Mangal v. Abdulla Amruddin Kotval	5 Bom., A. C., 99	5655
Raicharan Pal v. Pyari Mani Dasi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 70	2486
Rai Dhanpat Singh Bahadur v. Madhumati Debi	9 B. L. R., 197, note : 18 W. R., 316.	498

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Raie Churn Paul *v.* Suroop Chunder Mytee	9 W. R., 598	2514
Rai Gobind Singh *v.* Soonder Pal	2 Agra, Pt. II, 177	5617
Raiji Manor *v.* Desai Kallianrai Hukmatrai	6 Bom., A. C., 56	3377
Raiji Narottam *v.* Purushottam Girdhar	2 Bom., 244 : 2nd Ed., 233	5630
Rai Kishen Chand *v.* Sheo Baram Rai	7 N. W., 121	4603
Raikisori Dasi *v.* Bopomali Charan Maiti	1 B. L. R., S. N., 14 : 10 W. R., 209.	2698, 4924
Rai Komul Dossee *v.* Laidley	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 957	5178
Railoo Mull *v.* Nanuk	1 N. W., 171 : Ed. 1873, 250	4111
Rai Manik Chand *v.* Madhoram	3 B L. R., P. C., 5 : 11 W. R., P. C., 42 : 13 Moore's I. A., 1.	36, 1343
Rai Mohun Roy *v.* Wise	16 W. R., Cr., 24	4501
Rai Mooraree Doss *v.* Bucha Singh	4 N. W., 122	4589
Rai Narain Dass *v.* Newton	6 N. W., 43	2808
——— *v.* Nownit Lal	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 809 . 4 C. L R, 67.	2396
Rainey. In re	6 B. L. R., 725, note	901
——— *v.* Ishur Chunder Bhattacharjee	12 W. R., 333	901
——— *v.* Nobo Coomar Mookerjee	5 C. L. R., 543	3157
Rai Purdimun Kishen *v.* Roushun Singh	1 Agra, 111	3253
Rai Seeta Ram *v.* Kishun Dass *alias* Kishnaram	3 Agra, 402	1714
Rai Sri Kishen *v.* Rai Huri Kishen	5 Moore's I. A., 432	1729
Raisuddin Chowdhry *v.* Khoda Newaz Chowdhry	12 C. L. R., 479	3007, 3992
Raisunnissa Begum. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., A. C., 129 : 10 W. R., 62.	677
——— *v.* Khujjunnissa	4 B. L. R., A. C., 149 : 13 W R., 143.	679
Raja *v.* Subbaraya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 253	2466
Rajabai *v.* Ismail Ahmed	7 Bom., O. C., 27	3674
Rajagopal. In re	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 447	3091
Rajagopala Ayyangar *v.* Collector of Chingleput	7 Mad., 98	3035
Rajagopalashari *v.* Subbaraya Mudali	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 31	5302
Rajagopal Takkaya Naiker *v.* Muttupalem Chetti	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 103	997
Rajah of Cherakal Kovilagom *v.* Kottayath Kiyaki Kovilagath Revi Varma Mootha Rajah.	7 Mad., 210	3753
Rajah of Kantit. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 All., 668	6257
Rajah of Pittapur *v.* Buchi Sitayya Garu	L. R., 12 I. A., 16	4661, 5028
——— *v.* Venkata Mahipati Surya	L R., 12 I. A., 116	4878
Rajah of Venkatagiri. In the matter of the petition of	6 Mad., 92	5508
Rajah of Venkatagiri *v.* Mahommed Rahimtulla Sahib	I. L R, 3 Mad, 13	223
——— *v.* Pitchana	I. L R, 9 Mad., 27	3592
Rajah Ram *v.* Baines Madho	5 N. W., 81	5400
Rajan *v.* Basuva Chetti	2 Mad., 428	55
Rajan Harji *v.* Ardeshir Hormusji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 70	1096, 1403 6131
Rajanikanth Biswas *v.* Ram Nath Neogy	I. L. R, 10 Calc., 244	1902, 5385
Rajanikanth Nag Rai Chowdhuri *v.* Hari Mohan Guha	I. L. R., 12 Calc, 470	6039
Rajaram *v.* Bansi	I. L. R., 1 All., 207	3163, 3718
Rajaram Banerjee *v.* Sonatum Roy	23 W. R., 404	2518, 6096
Rajaram Bhagvat *v* Jibai	I L. R., 9 Bom., 151	4293
Rajaram Chowdhry *v.* Seetola Buksh Missor	7 W. R., 113	239
Rajaram Govindram *v.* Brown	7 Bom., O. C., 97	5644, 5586
Rajaram Kalita *v.* Roopa Kagatee Kalita	13 W. R., 113	1758
Rajaram Lala *v.* Kaliappen	5 Mad., 129	906
Rajaram Towari *v.* Lachman Prasad	4 B. L. R, A. C., 118 : 12 W. R., 478.	1455, 1750 4255

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rajaram Tewary v. Luchmun Persad	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 731 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 216 : 8 W. R., 15.	2188, 4032
Raja Singh v. Sulka	I. L. R., 6 All., 398	216
Raja valad Hussein, In re	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 174	5540
Raja valad Shivapa v. Krishnabhat	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 232	1358, 2610 4134
Raj Bahadur v. Birmha Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 85	2863, 5082
——— v. Bisheu Dayal	I. L. R., 4 All., 343	499
Raj Bahadur Singh v. Achumbit Lal	L. R., 6 I. A., 110 : 6 C. L. R., 12.	3348
Raj Begum v. Reza Hossein	2 W. R., 76	1353, 3686
Raj Beharee Roy v. Tarapershad Roy	20 W. R., 188	5283
Rajballab Saha v. Ramsadey Ghose	5 B. L. R., Ap., 65 : 12 W. R., 155.	837
Raj Bullub Addhya v. Gobindo Chunder Moitro	12 W. R., Cr., 66	4097
Rajbullub Buye v. Taranath Roy	3 W. R., Mis., 2	3496
Raj Bullub Gope v. Issan Chunder Hajrah	7 W. R., 355	1366, 5431
Raj Bullubh Mitter v. Sreeram Sircar	25 W. R., 109	5315
Rajbullubh Sen v. Oomesh Chunder Rooz	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 44 : 3 C. L. R., 384.	2196
Raj Bullub Shaha v. Gossain Dass Shaha	13 W. R., 400	1878
Rajbullub Shammee v. Waria Mahomed	8 W. R., 450	4479
Rajbunsee Lall v. Judoobuns Suhaye	9 W. R., 285	1417
Raj Chandra Bose v. Dharma Chandra Bose	2 B. L. R., A. C., 77 : 8 B. L. R., 510, note : 10 W. R., 416.	5690
Raj Chandra Poddar v. Manorama	3 B. L. R., Ap., 148 : 12 W. R., 353.	3999
Raj Chookun Duswandi v. Busjeet Tewaree	20 W. R., 165	782
Raj Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Meertunjoy Sheeromoney	2 Hay, 299	666
Raj Chunder Bundoo v. Rajessory Dossee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 240	4799
Raj Chunder Burmun v. Roma Kant Chuckerbutty	15 W. R., 324	2772
Raj Chunder Chatterjee v. Modhoosoodun Mookerjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 395 : 10 C. L. R., 435.	3140
Raj Chunder Chowdhry v. Busheer Mahomed	24 W. R., 476	98
——— v. Rajnath Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 222	6117
Rajchunder Chuckerbutty v. Kinoo Khan	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 329	3260
——— v. Punchanun Surmah Chowdhry.	4 W. R., 7	5738
Raj Chunder Deb Biswas v. Sheeshoo Ram Deb	7 W. R., 146	2199
Raj Chunder Ghose v. Joy Chunder Dutt	12 W. R., 197	4162
——— v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee	4 W. R., 76	3286
——— v. Koylash Chunder Banerjee	6 W. R., Act X, 86	778
Raj Chunder Gope v. Joogal Gope	18 W. R., 172	4068
Raj Chunder Mojoomdar v. Rajaram Gope	22 W. R., 385	1187
Raj Chunder Roy v. Chundee Churn Naik	8 W. R., 437	5828
——— v. Isser Chunder Roy	Bourke, O. C., 139	425
——— v. Kishen Chunder	4 W. R., Act X, 16	3799
——— v. Shama Soondari Debi	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 583	393, 430 4237
Raj Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry.	21 W. R., 182	178
——— v. Greesh Chunder Roy	5 W. R., Mis., 45	201, 5921
——— v. Unnoda Pershad Mookerjee.	17 W. R., 221	4336
Raj Chunder Shaha v. Gobind Chunder Koolal	13 W. R., 102	5824
——— v. Hur Mohun Roy	22 W. R., 98	3919, 5417

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Raj Chunder Surma Gossain *v.* Ali Newaz Khan	W. R., 1864, Act X, 133	538
Raj Coomar *v.* Kirthu Ojha	13 W. R., Cr., 67	5510
Raj Coomar Baboo *v.* Judoo Bungshee	14 W. R., 112	3496
Raj Coomar Baboo Deo Nund Singh. In the matter of the petition of.	7 W. R., 90	280
Raj Coomaree Dassee *v.* Gopal Chunder Bose	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 514	4312
Raj Coomar Goopto. In the matter of	11 W. R., 354	3846
Raj Coomar Lall *v.* Bissessur Dyal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 688	9134
Raj Coomar Roy *v.* Alfuzuddin Ahmed	8 C. L. R., 419	3745, 6065
——— *v.* Assa Bebee	3 W. R., Act X, 170	1610
——— *v.* Kadumbiny Debi	13 W. R., F. B., 63	899
——— *v.* Mahomed Wais	7 W. R., 337	3152
Raj Coomar Roy Chowdhry *v.* Debendronarain Roy	15 W. R., 41	1352
Raj Coomar Singh. In the matter of the petition of	2 C. L. R., 62	6668, 6251
——— *v.* Choto Raj Coomar Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 12	6114
——— *v.* Dinonath Ghuttuck	1 C. L. R., 352	5945
——— *v.* Rajbunsee Kooer	W. R., 1864, Act X, 108	539
——— *v.* Ram Suhaye Roy	11 W. R., 151	4145, 5822
Raj Coomar Singh *alias* Nanhoo Lall *v.* Lalljee Sahoo	18 W. R., 333	5451
Raj Dharee Lall *v.* Mohadeo Singh	11 W. R., 511	5119
Rajdhur Chowdhry *v.* Kalikristna Bhattacharjya	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 963 : 11 C. L. R., 330.	5813
Raj Doollab *v.* Mohessur Bhutt	10 W. R., 364	1611
Raj Doollub Sircar *v.* Ooma Churn Biswas	21 W. R., 109	4962
Rajeeb Lochun Saha Chowdhry *v.* Masseyk	18 W. R., 193	3497, 3526
Rajeeb Lochun Sawunt *v.* Mohessuree Dossee	10 W. R., 365	5485
Rajeeb Ram Doss *v.* Mahomed Hossein	6 W. R., Mis., 51	1887
Rajender Chunder Newgee *v.* Bhoobun Kalee Debea	W. R., 1864, 65	6129
——— *v.* Mahomed Aynooddeen	W. R., 1864, 143	4591
Rajender Dutt *v.* Sham Chund Mitter	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 106	2475
Rajender Kishore Singh *v.* Perlhad Sein	22 W. R., 165	3125
Rajender Nath Roy *v.* Putto Soondery Dassee	5 C. L. R., 18	2414
Rajendor Pertab Saha *v.* Beer Pertab Saha	W. R., 1864, 111	2345
Rajendra Kishore Singh *v.* Pershad Sen	2 C. L. R., 183	2702
——— *v.* Radha Prasad Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 854	233
Rajendra Matilal *v.* Ramnarain Matilal	3 B. L. R., Ap., 3	912
Rajendra Nath Haldar *v.* Jagendra Nath Haldar	7 B. L. R., 216 : 15 W. R., P. C., 41 : 14 Moore's I. A., 67.	2537
Rajendra Rau *v.* Sama Rau	1 Mad., 436	2820
Rajendro Chunder Roy Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 737	4721
Rajendro Kishore Narain Singh *v.* Doorga Koonwar	7 W. R., 154	5344
Rajendro Kishore Roy Chowdhry. In the matter of	10 W. R., Cr., 55	4732
Rajendro Kishore Singh *v.* Bulaky Mahton	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 367	3194
——— *v.* Hyabul Singh	17 W. R., 379	641
Rajendro Lall Gossami *v.* Shama Churn Lahori	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 188 : 4 C. L. R., 417.	1166, 6087
Rajendro Narain Biswas *v.* Mohendro Lall Mitter	3 C. L. R., 21	1188
Rajendro Narain Lahoree *v.* Saroda Soonduree Debia	15 W. R., 548	2114, 2116
Rajendro Narain Neogi *v.* Kumud Narain Bhup	3 C. L. R., 569	6234
Rajendro Nath Dutt *v.* Mahomed Lal	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 42	4251
Rajendronath Mookhopadhya *v.* Bassider Ruhman Khondkhar.	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 146 : 25 W. R., 329.	3045
Rajendronath Roy Bahadoor *v.* Chunnoomul	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 448	1913
Rajendro Protab Sahee *v.* Bhowabul Singh	14 W. R., 105	5139

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rajendur Kishwur Singh *v.* Sheopurshun Missur	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 273 : 5 W. R., P. C., 65 : 10 Moore's I. A., 438.	4042
Rajendur Pertab Sahee *v.* Lalljee Sahoo	20 W. R., 427	4181
Rajeshuree Debia *v.* Raj Coomaree Dossee	15 W. R., 182	3514
Rajessuree Debia *v.* Brindabutty Debia	7 W. R., 212	4198, 4483
——— *v.* Jogendro Nauth Roy	23 W. R., 278	86, 93
——— *v.* Shibnath Chatterjee	4 W. R., Act X, 42	1752, 4394
Rajessuree Koonwar *v.* Inderjeet Koonwar	6 W. R., 1	1411
Rajeswara Gajapaty Naraina Deo Maharajulungaru *v.* Virapratapah Rudra Gajapaty Naraina Deo Maharajulungaru.	5 Mad., 31	2325, 3366
Raj Gobind Dey *v.* Rajessuree Dossee	4 W. R., 10	2293
Rajhuni Singh *v.* Empress	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 455 : 11 C. L. R., 569.	1804
Rajiblochan *v.* Bimalamani Dasi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 82	5485, 5487
Rajjo *v.* Lalman	1. L. R., 5 All., 180	4558
Raj Kishen Mookerjee *v.* Dusruth Sootrodhur	15 W. R., 234	5314
——— *v.* Huro Mohun Mookerjee	5 W. R., 248	5776
——— *v.* Modhoo Soodun Mundle	17 W. R., 413	2889
——— *v.* Pearee Mohun Mookerjee	20 W. R., 342	1162
——— *v.* ———	20 W. R., 421	4192
——— *v.* ———	24 W. R., 114	584
——— *v.* Radhamadhub Haldar	21 W. R., 349	3550, 4774, 4819
——— *v.* Sree Nath Dutt	23 W. R., 408	584
Raj Kishen Roy *v.* Prankishen Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 89	1637
——— *v.* Surut Chunder Chuckerbutty	4 W. R., 79	2895
Raj Kishen Singh *v.* Huro Soonduree Dossee	15 W. R., 255	279
Rajkishen Sircar *v.* Chowdhry Jahescorul Huq	W. R., 1864, 351	2514
Rajkishor Dutt Roy *v.* Girish Chandra Roy Chowdhry	4 B. L. R., A. C., 136	3396
Rajkishore Bhuddur *v.* Huree Mohun Bhuddur	19 W. R., 195	6106
Raj Kishore Dutt *v.* Bydonath Shaha	12 W. R., 365	5588
Rajkishoree Dossee *v.* Budden Chunder Shaw.	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 49 : 6 W. R., 298.	3223
Rajkishore Lahoory *v.* Gobind Chunder Lahoory	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 27 : 24 W. R., 234.	2295
Raj Kishore Mookerjee *v.* Hureehur Mookerjee	1 B. L. R., S. N., 8 : 10 W. R., 147.	1618
Rajkishore Mozoomdar *v.* Heeraloll Bukshee	Marsh., 188	4934
Raj Kishore Mullick *v.* Brindabun Chunder Poddar	15 W. R., 119	2883
Rajkishore Shaw *v.* Bhadoo Nashoo	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 78	3926
Rajkissen Singh. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 605 : 6 W. R., Mis., 111.	284
——— *v.* Ramjoy Surma Mozoomdar	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 186 : 19 W. R., 8.	2228
Rajkisto Majee *v.* Nobaee Seal	1 W. R., 351	2892
Rajkisto Paul *v.* Nittyanund Paul	20 W. R., Cr., 50	4749
Raj Kisto Roy *v.* Beer Chunder Joobraj	6 W. R., 308	3188
Raj Koomar Singh *v.* Sahebzada Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 20	2856, 4745
Rajkrishna Mookerjee *v.* Kali Charan Dobain	6 B. L. R., Ap., 122 : 15 W. R., 109.	1654, 4148
Raj Krishna Singh *v.* Collector of Mymensingh	19 W. R., 232	1899
——— *v.* Pronoda Dabee Raj Coomaree	21 W. R., 74	1454
Rajkristo Banerji *v.* Bama Soonduree	23 W. R., 296	166
Rajkristo Moitro *v.* Koylash Chunder Bhuttacharjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 24	1104
Raj Kristo Mookerjee *v.* Issur Chunder Mookerjee	23 W. R., 90	5981

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rajkristo Roy v. Dinobundoo Surma	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 360: 3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5.	3147
——— v. Kishoree Mohun Mojoomdar	3 W. R., 14	2514
Rajkristo Singh v. Bungshee Mohun	14 W. R., 448, note	5378
——— v. Huro Soonduree Chowdrain	13 W. R., 313	628
——— v. Sefatoollah	7 W. R., 85	2660
Rajkumar Banerji v. Rajlakhi Dabi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 441	3524
Rajkumar Mookerjee v. Prannath Mookerjee	7 B. L. R., Ap., 41: 15 W. R., 499.	5691
Rajkumar Nobodip Chundro Deb Burmun v. Bir Chundra Manikya Bahadoor.	25 W. R., 404	2894
Rajkumar Paramanik v. Stewart	5 B. L. R., Ap., 23	5721
Raj Kumar Ramgopal Narayan Singh v. Ram Dutt Chowdry.	5 B. L. R., 264: 13 W. R., F. B., 82.	3891
Raj Kumar Roy v. Kadambini Debi	4 B. L. R., F. B., 175	899
——— v. Kalikrishna Roy	7 B. L. R., 197	4776
Rajkumar Sing v. Kali Charan Sing	1 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 11 W. R., 57.	177
Raj Kunwar v. Indirjit Kunwar	5 B. L. R., 585: 13 W. R., 52.	331, 3397, 5047, 5138
Rajlakhi Debi v. Taramani Chowdhrain	2 B. L. R., A. C., 281: 11 W. R., 218.	1138
Rajlakhi Debia v. Gakul Chandra Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., P. C., 57: 12 W. R., P. C., 47: 13 Moore's I. A., 209.	1750, 2195
Raj Luckhee Chowdhrain v. Shib Dyal Tewaree Chowdhry	18 W. R., 520	840
Rajluckhee Debia v. Mohesh Chunder Mojoomdar	14 W. R., 191	1202
Raj Lukhee Debia v. Chunder Kant Chowdhry	14 W. R., 173	2856
Rajlukhee Dassee v. Pearun Bibee	23 W. R., 82	5367
Rajlukhy Dassee v. Rash Munjury Chowdrain	5 C. L. R., 515	3508
Raj Mahomed v. Banoo Rasmah	12 W. R., 34	1754
Raj Manick Singh v. Ruttun Manick Bose	15 W. R., 46	5282
Rajmoheeney Dabee v. Muddoosoodun Dey	Bourke, O. C., 24	913
Raj Mohinee Chowdhrain v. Dino Bundhoo Chowdhry	17 W. R., 566	183
Rajmohun Bose v. East Indian Railway Company	10 B. L. R., 241	2824, 4705
Rajmohun Gossain v. Gourmohun Gossain	4 W. R., P. C., 47: 8 Moore's I. A., 91.	1718, 2018, 2366
Rajmohun Mitter v. Commissioner of the Soonderbuns	1 W. R., 344	5984
——— v. Gooroo Churn Aych	6 W. R., Act X, 106	3018
Rajmohun Mookerjee v. Nilmonee Mitter	11 W. R., 222	4758
Rajmohun Neogee v. Anund Chunder Chowdhry	10 W. R., 166	2691
Rajmonee Dabee v. Chunder Kant Sandel	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 440: 10 C. L. R., 437.	1193, 4290, 4291
Rajmungul Roy v. Anundmoyee	11 W. R., 63	4465
Rajnarain v. Rowshun Mull	22 W. R., 124	2018, 2801
Rajnarain Bose v. Universal Life Assurance Company	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 594: 10 C. L. R., 561.	1697, 2682, 2705, 5860
Rajnarain Deb Chowdhry v. Kassee Chunder Chowdhry.	10 B. L. R., 324: 18 W. R., 404.	2089
Raj Narain Dutt v. Gourmonee Dossee	6 W. R., 215	1730, 4562
Raj Narain Koer v. Inder Chunder Baboo	W. R., 1864, Mis., 39	220
Rajnarain Koonwar v. Lala Tamoli Raut	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 91	2759
Raj Narain Roy v. Juggessur Mookerjee	14 W. R., 247	856
——— v. Modhoo Soodun Mookerjee	20 W. R., 19	534
——— v. Woomesh Chunder Goopto	8 W. R., 444	3392
Rajnarain Roy Chowdhry v. Atkins	1 W. R., 45: 5 W. R., Act X, 30	1613

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rajnarain Sein. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 42	1509
——— v. Deegobur Paul	14 W. R., Cr., 22	1509
Rajnarain Singh v. Heeralal	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 142	2465
——— v. Sheera Mean	7 W. R., 67	5393
Rajnarayan Pal. In the matter of	13 B. L. R., Ap., 25	2661
Rajnath Pandah v. Doorga Lall	12 W. R., 136	1755
Rajoo v. Raj Coomar Singh	7 W. R., 137	2775
Rajoo Singh v. Guneshmonee Burmonee	15 W. R., 400	3367
——— v. Lalla Balgobind Lal	1 W. R., 26	6237
Rajpal v. Chooramun	4 N. W., 10	753, 765 1940
Rajpati Singh v. Ram Sukhi Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 40	4766
Rajranee Dossee. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 52: 24 W. R., 206.	4662
Rajrani of Burdwan v. Purikhit Rawtra	7 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 15 W. R., 426.	5918
Rajroopram v. Buddoo	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 93: 1 Hyde, 155.	2572
Raj Roop Singh v. Buldeo Singh	2 W. R., 258	2234
Rajrup Koer v. Abdul Hossein	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 394: L. R., 7 I. A., 240: 7 C. L. R., 529.	4577
Raju Balu v. Krishnarav Ramchandra	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 273	1030, 1353 3229, 4763 4776, 4794 4813
Rajub Mundle v. Lochun Mundle	W. R., 1864, Cr., 73	969
Rajunder Narain Rae v. Bijai Govind Singh	2 Moore's I. A., 181	992, 4043
——— v. ———	2 Moore's I. A., 253	2694, 4437
Rajvi Narayan Mandlik v. Dadaji Bapuji	I L. R., 1 Bom., 523	4388, 4389
Rakal Doss Tewaree v. Kinooram Haldar	7 W. R., 242	1608
Rakhab Doss. In re	Bourke, O. C., 59	430, 5897
Rakhal Chandra Rai Chowdhuri v. Secretary of State for India.	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 603	4687
Rakhal Chunder Bose v. Dwarka Nath Misser	I. L. R, 13 Calc., 346	5452
Rakhal Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Mahtab Khan	25 W. R, 221	1183
Rakhal Chunder Tewaree v. Kinooram Haldar	10 W. R., 442	4912
Rakhal Churn Mundul v. Watson & Co.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 50	1992, 4195
Rakhal Das Bundopadhya v. Indurmonee Dabee	1 C. L. R., 155	1170, 1782
Rakhaldas Madak v. Madhusudhan Madak	3 B. L. R., A. C., 409: 12 W. R., 319.	6061
Rakhal Das Mookerjee v. Tunoo Poramanic	7 W. R., 239	215
Rakhaldass Singh v. Sheo Pershad Singh	24 W. R., Cr., 73	4504
Rakhaldas Bose v. Golam Surwar	2 W. R., Act X, 69	4997
Rakhaldoss Moduok v. Bindoo Bashinee Debia	Marsh, 293: 2 Hay, 157	1708
Rakhaldoss Mookerjee v. Shurno Moyee	6 W. R., 100	6286
Rakhal Doss Mundul v. Protab Chunder Hazrah	12 W. R., 455	333, 5784 6239
Rakhaldoss Singh v. Heera Motee Dossee	22 W. R., 282	5028
Rakhaldoss Singhee v. Roy Chunder Dutt	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 124	5820
Rakhal Monee Dossee v. Brojendro Gopal Roy	23 W. R., 303	4336
Rakhi Bibi v. Rahat Bibi	7 N. W., 191	3729
Rakhmabai v. Radhabai	5 Bom., A. C., 181	2120
Rakhmaji. In re the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 558	398
Rakub Doss v. Sooraj Mull	Bourke, O. C., 131	1201, 5126 5130
Raku Bibi v. Mahomed Musa Khan	4 B. L. R., A. C., 10	3092

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ralli v. Fleming	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 417: 2 C. L. R., 99.	6012
—— v. Gau Kim Swee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 939	1780
Rama v. Chengalvaraya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 429	3595
—— v. Jan Mahomed	3 B. L. R., A. C., 18: 11 W. R., 574.	53
—— v. Kunji	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 375	4441, 5955
—— v. Ranga	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 552	2198
—— v. Shivram	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 116	5244
—— v. Venkatachalam	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 576	3588
—— v. Venkatesa	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 171	3216
Ramabai v. Trimbak Ganesh Desai	9 Bom., 283	2422, 5684 5927
Ramabai Saheb Patvardhan v. Appa	12 Bom., 13	321, 2797
Ramabhai Girdharbhai v. Ali Akbar Kajrahi	1 Bom., 6	2684
Ramachandra v. Bhimrao	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 577	2499
—— v. Krishna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 495	4840
—— v. Pitchaikanni	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 434	3568
Ramachandra Mankeshwar v. Bhimrao Raoji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 577	4865
Rama Chandra Shastry v. Papu Aiyan	3 Mad., 27	6227
Rama Chandra Surya Arichandrana Deo v. Darvada Ramanna Chandiri.	3 Mad., 207	5007
Ramadhar v. Ram Dayal	I. L. R., 8 All., 536	792
Ram Adheen Pandey v. Goordial Pandey	2 N. W., 434	4545
Ramadhin v. Mahesh	I. L. R., 2 All., 471	172
Ramadhin Mahton v. Ganesh	I. L. R., 4 All., 238	269
Ramagopal v. Majeti Mallikkarjanudu	1 Mad., 396	5607
Ramaishor Singh v. Saiva Zalim Singh	2 Agra, 8	3281, 3435
Ramaiya v. Narayanasamy	3 Mad., 209	1062
Ramakistnam v. Ragavachari	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 406	4052
Rama Koer v. Lalla Bhugwan Lall	22 W. R., 224	4904
Ramakrishna v. Namasivaya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 295	5248
Ramakrishna Chetti v. Palanyandi Kudambar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 262	3866
Ramakrishnappa v. Adinarayana	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 511	488
Ramakristnacastrulu v. Darba Lakshmidevamma	1 Mad., 320	3191
Ramalakshamma v. Ramanna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 482	3422
Ramalakhshmi Ammal v. Sivananantha Perumal Sethurayer.	12 B. L. R., 396: 17 W. R., 553: 14 Moore's I. A., 570	2223, 2318 2323
Ramalinga Pillai v. Sattesaiva Pillai	7 Mad., 97	3828
—— v. Sudasiva Pillai	1 W. R., P. C., 25: 9 Moore's I. A., 506.	1723, 2117
Ramamani Ammal v. Kulanthai Nauchear	17 W. R., 1: 14 Moore's I. A., 346.	55, 1723 2442
Raman v. Hassan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 247	3584
—— v. Kurunatha Tharakan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 10	5123
—— v. Pakrichi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 385	3584
—— v. Vairavan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 392	3203, 4598
Ramanada Butt v. Bithee	4 Mad., 263	3265
Ramanadamisaraiyar v. Ramabhattar	2 Mad., 412	1811
Ramanadan v. Srinivasa Murthi	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 80	3593, 6282
Ramanadan Chetti v. Kunnappu Chetti	6 Mad., 304	819
Ramanadan Chetty v. Vijiasami	4 Mad., 425	4801
Ramanada Sastri v. Minatchi Ammal	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 236	3453
Ramanagra Singh v. Ramyad Singh	5 C. L. R., 176	1901
Raman Ammal v. Subhan Annavi *alias* Subramaniyan Annavi.	2 Mad., 399	1568, 2486
Ramanand v. Bank of Bengal	I. L. R., 1 All., 377	167

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramanandan Chetti *v.* Periatambi Shervai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 250	3499
Ramanand Kuar *v.* Raghunath Kuar	I. L. R., 8 Calc. 769 : 11 C. L. R., 149 : L. R., 9 I. A., 41.	1418, 4221, 6052
Ramanand Singh *v.* Gobind Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 384	2392
Ramanatha Mudali *v.* Vaithalinga Mudali	2 Mad., 238	3114
Ramanath Dass *v.* Boloram Phookun	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 677 : 9 C. L. R., 233.	3925
Ramanath Dutt *v.* Joykishen Mookerjee	11 W. R., 3	1630, 6221
——— *v.* Matunginee Dossee	12 B. L. R., 110	1194
Ramanath Rakhit *v.* Chand Hari Bhuya	6 B. L. R., 356	330, 1180, 2927
——— *v.* Muchiram Paramanik	3 B. L. R., Ap., 63	3810
Ramanihi Nayar. In the matter of	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 266	1271, 4368
Ramanjem Naidoo *v.* Rungiah Naidoo	8 Mad., 192	2955
Ramanoogra Chobey *v.* Putmoorta Chobayan	7 W. R., 205	172
Ramanoogra Narain. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., P. C., 17	275
Ramanoogra Sahoy *v.* Byjnath Lal	10 B. L. R., 291, note : 15 W. R., 164.	498
Ramanoogro Singh *v.* Kishen Kishore Narain Singh	23 W. R., 265	807, 1922
Ramanooj Doss *v.* Government	2 W. R., Mis., 36	223
Ramansar Pandey *v.* Raghubar Jati	I. L. R., 5 All., 490	3406
Ramanugra Narain *v.* Mahasundur Kunwar	12 B. L. R., 433	2022
Ramanuja *v.* Devanyaka	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 361	1441, 3872
Ramanuja Aiyangar *v.* Venkata Charry	4 Mad., 260	3490
Ramanuja Ayyangar *v.* Virappa Tevan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 90	4303
Ramanuja Chariyar *v.* Venkata Varadh Aiyangar	4 Mad., 54	3157
Ramanuj Sewak Singh *v.* Hingu Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 157	3540
Ramanund Koondoo *v.* Chowdhry Soonder Narain Sarungy.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 331	1909
Ramanund Mahton *v.* Koylash Mahton	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 236	3614, 5912
Ramapa *v.* Umanna	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 123	4784
Ramappa Naicken *v.* Sithammal	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 182	2297
Ramapat Singh *v.* Furlong	3 W. R., 38	3334
Rama Rai *v.* Sridhur Pershad Narain Sahai	4 C. L. R., 397	1637
Ramaraja *v.* Arunachala	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 248	4836
Rama Raju *v.* Subbarayudu	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 387	3951
Rama Rao *v.* Suriya Rao	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 84	4980, 4985, 5052
——— *v.* ———	3 C. L. R., 265	5052
Ramasami *v.* Bhaskarasami	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 67	3588
——— *v.* Collector of Madura	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 67	3588
——— *v.* Kadar Bibi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 492	4332
——— *v.* Kandasami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 379	100
——— *v.* Lokanada	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 387	1511
——— *v.* Narassama	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 133	2312
——— *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 292	1960
——— *v.* Ramasami	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 115	4794
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 220	305
Ramasami Aien *v.* Manjeya Pillai	6 Mad., 61	3589
Ramasami Aiyan *v.* Venkatsramiyan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 91 : L. R., 6 I. A., 196.	2137
Ramasami Ayyan *v.* Ramu Mupan	3 Mad., 372	3769, 4410, 6230
——— *v.* Seshayyangar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 181	3500
Ramasami Ayyangar *v.* Bagirathi Ammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 180	4290
——— *v.* Marimuttu Bhattan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 404	6143
Ramasami Chetti *v.* Angappa Chetti	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 220	4052

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramasami Chetti v. Saluckai Tevar *alias* Oyya Tevar	8 Mad., 186	2518
Ramasami Mudaliar v. Sellattammal	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 375	2164, 2204
Ramasami Padeiyatchi v. Virasami Padeiyatchi	3 Mad., 272	2213, 5020
Ramasami Sastrigal v. Samiyappanayakan	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 179	3897
Ramasamy Aiyan v. Minakshi Ammal	2 Mad., 409	2214
Ramasamy Chetty v. Venkatachettapaty Chetty	2 Mad., 468	3146
Ramasamy Kon v. Bhavanni Ayyar	3 Mad., 247	1072
——— v. Sinthiwaiyan *alias* Chinna Bhavani Ayyar.	3 Mad., 247	1072
Ramasawmy Aiyan v. Venkata Achari	2 W. R., P. C., 21 : 9 Moore's I. A., 344.	5263
Ramasekara Pillai v. Dharmaraya Goundan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 113	3451
Ramashesharaya Panday v. Bhagavat Panday	4 Mad., 5	2370, 2448, 2455
Ramaswami Chetti v. Pappa Reddi	1 Mad., 190	5820
Ramaswami Ayyan v. Appavaiyan	1 Mad., 211	2707
Ramaswamy Mudali v. Valayuda Mudali *alias* Aiyathoray Mudali.	4 Mad., 266	3327
Ram Autar v. Ajudhia Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 231	3537
——— v. Dhanauri	I. L. R., 8 All., 540	4843
——— v. Dhuneeram	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 122	3160
——— v. Sheo Dutt	6 N. W., 243	4539, 4544
Ram Autar Rai v. Talimundi Kuar	7 N. W., 49	329, 2916
Ram Awtar Singh v. Tulsi Ram	5 C. L. R., 227	6174
Rama Varma Tamburam v. Raman Nayar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 89	3754
Rama Varan v. Krishnen Nambudri	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 270	4250
Ramaya Elapa v. Muhamadbhai	10 Bom., 495	3141, 6015
Ramayya v. Chandra Sekara	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 256	1133
Ram Bakhsh v. Panna Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 457	798
Ram Baksh Chatlangi v. Hridoy Mani Debi	8 B. L. R., 10, note: 10 W. R., 446.	5326
Rambaksh Chetlangi v. Banwari Gobind Bahadur	2 B. L. R., A. C., 65 : 10 W. R., 357.	1892
Ram Baksh Singh v. Madat Ali	7 N. W., 95	1926, 3516
——— v. Ram Lall Doss	21 W. R., 428	3963, 3980, 4258
Ram Baks Lal v. Kishori Mohan Shaha	3 B. L. R., A. C., 273 : 12 W. R., 130.	4584, 6243
Ram Bandhu v. Kusu Bhattu	5 C. L. R., 481	6001, 6187
Ram Baran Rai v. Murli Pandey	I. L. R., 3 All., 505	4830
Ram Baran Ram v. Salig Ram Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 896	3021
Ram Beharee Singh v. Sitara Khatoon	10 W. R., 315	3691
Rambhanjan Bhakat v. Srikrishna Bhakat	2 B. L. R., A. C., 260 : 11 W. R., 140.	171
Rambharose Lal v. Gopi Bibi	7 N. W., 300	1405
Rambhat v. Lakshman Chintaman	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 630	2274
Rambhat Agnihotri v. Collector of Puna	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 592	4462
Rambhau Bapushet v. Bhai Bapushet	2 Bom., 352 : 2nd Ed., 333	4561
Ram Bhisto Acharjee v. Cheyt Lall Tewary	15 W. R., 451	544
Ram Bhujun Bhukut v. Ketaye Ram Chowdhry	6 W. R., Act X, 21	538
Ram Bhurosee Chowdhry v. Hunooman Singh	21 W. R., 240	557
Ram Bhurosee Singh v. Bissesaur Narain Mahata	18 W. R., 454	4481
——— v. Mahomed Asgurree Khan	19 W. R., 205	1612, 1632
Ram Bhurose Singh v. Jewa Mahatoon	11 W. R., 319	4162
Rambhuros Lall v. Gopee Beebee	6 N. W., 66	6229
Rambrem Lall v. Abluckh Singh	Marsh., 267 ; 2 Hay, 148	328
Rambromo Chuckerbutty v. Bunsi Kurmokar	11 C. L. R., 122	5055

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Bromo Pandah *v.* Kaminee Soonduree Dossee	6 W. R., 295	2284
Rambuddun Singh *v.* Sree Koonwar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 22	1390, 1813
Ram Buksh *v.* Ram Sookh	3 Agra, 253	2853
——— *v.* Sookh Deo	1 N. W., 111 : Ed. 1876, 159	3891
Ram Buksh Singh *v.* Purdumun Kishore	2 Agra, Part II, 202	3029, 6284
Ram Buksh Thakoor *v.* Ajoodhya Lal	2 W. R., Mis., 45	6088
Rambundhoo Chatterjee *v.* Modhoo Soodun Patra	21 W. R., 134	1433
Ram Bunsee Koomaree *v.* Soobh Koomaree	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 193	2275, 3851
Ram Bunsee Koonwar *v.* Moheshur Koonwar	1 W. R., 338	2486
Ram Bunsee Koonwaree *v.* Soobh Koonwaree	7 W. R., 321	2275, 3851
Ramburun Singh *v.* Jankee Sahoo	22 W. R., 473	1393
Ram Bushan Mahto *v.* Jebli Mahto	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 853	4456, 6004
Ram Butty Kooer *v.* Kamessur Pershad	22 W. R., 36	896, 3242
Ram Bux *v.* Gindharee Lall	1 Agra, 178	6015
Rambux Chittangee *v.* Modoosoodhun Paul Chowdhry	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 675 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 155 : 7 W. R., 377.	5674
Ram Chand *v.* Collector of Mirzapore	3 Agra, 7	2063
——— *v.* Fateh Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 112	5362
——— *v.* Punna Lal	3 N. W., 323	31
Ram Chandar Bahadur *v.* Kamta Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 300	5466
Ram Chand Bera *v.* Government	6 C. L. R., 365	2883, 5630
Ram Chand Chuckerbutty *v.* Madhub Narain Roy	1 C. L. R., 359	800
——— *v.* Nuddiar Chand Ghose	23 W. R., 230	1359, 5287
Ram Chand Dutt *v.* Mosni Santal	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 738	5697
Ram Chand Koomar *v.* Modhoosoodun Mozoomdar	7 W. R., 119	4823
Ram Chand Mookerjee *v.* Kamenee Debea	10 W. R., 236	910, 912 4903
Ram Chandra *v.* Bhikibai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 477	4975
——— *v.* Soma	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 305, note	3133
Ram Chandra Apaji *v.* Balaji Bhaurav	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 137	4186
Ram Chandra Babaji *v.* Sitaram Vinayak	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 68	5117
Ram Chandra Ballal *v.* Baba Esgonda	12 Bom., 163	807
Ram Chandra Bapuji Gokhle *v.* Vasudev Morbhat Kale	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 451	6113
Ram Chandra Bhikaji *v.* Collector of Ratnagiri	10 Bom., 305	5687
Ram Chandra bin Madhavrav *v.* Abaji	1 Bom., 64	4563
Ram Chandra Bose *v.* Snead	7 B. L. R., Ap., 58	5587
Ramchandra Chintaman *v.* Kalu Raju	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 362	1106
Ram Chandra Chowdhry *v.* Brajanath Surma	3 B. L. R., Ap., 109	4461
——— *v.* Subal Patro	3 B. L. R., Ap., 74 : 11 W. R., 539.	543
Ramchandra Dabhalkar *v.* Anant Sat Shenvi	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 25	2851
Ram Chandra Dada Naik *v.* Dada Mahadev Naik	1 Bom., Ap., 76	2460, 5972
——— *v.* Lakshman Dada Naik	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561	1752, 2471 2534
Ram Chandra Das. In the goods of	9 B. L. R., 30 : 18 W. R., 153	1237
Ramchandra Dikshit *v.* Savitribai	4 Bom., A. C., 73	2428, 5684 5742
Ramchandra Ganesh *v.* Devba	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 626	3221
Ramchandra Goswami *v.* Matilal Bagchi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 169	1198
Ram Chandra Jana *v.* Jiban Chandra Jana	1 B. L. R., A. C., 203	1360
Ram Chandra Jivaji Tilve *v.* Khatal Mahomed Gori	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 28	757
Ramchandra Kolatkar *v.* Mahadaji Kolatkar	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 141	3974
Ramchandra Kulkarni *v.* Vithoji valad Malharji Patil	4 Bom., A C., 178	663
Ramchandra Lakshmanji. In re will of	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 118	1238
Ramchandra Mantri *v.* Venkatrao Mantri	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 598	2057
Ramchandra Narainha *v.* Collector of Ratnagiri	7 Bom. A. C., 41	2936

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Chandra Pal *v.* Becharam Dey	8 B. L. R., Ap., 28, note: 10 W. R., 329.	1410
Ramchandra Raghunath *v.* Abaji bin Rastya	6 Bom., A. C., 12	5746
Ramchandra Sakharam *v.* Keshav Durgaji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 100	5584
——— *v.* Sakharam Gopal	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 346	2419, 4388
Ram Chandra Tantra Das *v.* Dharmo Narayan Chuckerbutty.	7 B. L. R., 341: 15 W. R., F. B., 17.	413
Ramchandra Vasudev *v.* Nannji Timaji	7 Bom., A. C., 26	2109
Ramchandra Vishnu Bapat *v.* Sagunabai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 261	2426
Ram Chand Seal. In the goods of	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 2: 4 C. L. R., 290.	3086
Ram Chand Sen *v.* Audaito Sen	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1054	1101, 2847
Ram Chand Somardar *v.* Kalachand Chuckerbutty	1 W. R., 287	5064
Ram Charan *v.* Narbir Mahton	4 B. L. R., A. C., 216: 13 W. R., 259.	3723
Ram Charan Buhardar *v.* Reazuddin	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 856	5091
Ram Charan Bysak *v.* Harvey	2 B. L. R., S. N., 11: 10 W. R., 373.	6221
——— *v.* Lakhikant Bannik	7 B. L. R., 704: 16 W. R., F. B., 1.	3542
Ram Charan Lal *v.* Jhatu Sahu	12 B. L. R., 413, note: 14 W. R., 25.	438
Ramchhaibar Misr *v.* Beohu Bhagat	I. L. R., 7 All., 641	816, 5458
Ram Chund *v.* Zahoor Ali Khan	1 Agra, 134	1344, 3277 4969
——— *v.* ———	1 Agra, 135	1344, 4969
Ram Chunder *v.* Choonee Lall	12 B. L. R., 35	120, 4408
——— *v.* Mohendronath Bose	21 W. R., 141	1882, 5622
——— *v.* Nund Lall Bose	19 W. R., 132	417
Ramchunder Adhikaree *v.* Ramjeebun Adhikaree	12 W. R., 427	992
Ramchunder Banerjee *v.* Muddun Mohun Tewaree	W. R., 1864, 355	6007, 6282
Ram Chunder Biswas. In the matter of	16 W. R., 180	4799, 4846
Ram Chunder Bysack *v.* Dino Nath Surma Sirkar	5 C. L. R., 470	470
Ram Chunder Chatterjee *v.* Kanye Lalia	25 W. R., Cr., 19	5910
——— *v.* Ram Jeebun Dass	14 W. R., 141	5776
Ram Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Hurish Chunder Chowdhry	19 W. R., 196	1586, 1587
——— *v.* Kashee Mohun	21 W. R., 57	891, 5015
——— *v.* Mariott	15 W. R., 465	1210, 1379
Ram Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Brojo Nath Mozumdar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 929: 4 C. L. R., 247.	76, 88
——— *v.* Gooroo Churn Chuckerbutty.	6 W. R., 305	2250
——— *v.* Kashinath Moetro	W. R., 1864, 66	5342
Ram Chunder Dey *v.* Kissen Mohun Shaha	6 W. R., 68	1676
Ram Chunder Dutt *v.* Chunder Coomar Mundul	13 Moore's I. A., 181	4652
——— *v.* Din Doyal Poramanick	2 W. R., Act X, 16	534
——— *v.* Jogesh Chunder Dutt	12 B. L. R., 229: 19 W. R., 353.	1595, 1637
Ram Chunder Geer Gossain. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 40	4102
Ram Chunder Ghosaul *v.* Joggutmonmohiney Dabee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 283: 3 C. L. R., 336.	3234, 3235 3439
Ram Chunder Ghuttuck. In re	18 W. R., Cr., 18	971
Ram Chunder Goopta *v.* Bhagessur Surma	W. R., 1864, 357	4905
Ram Chunder Gossain *v.* Mutty Lall Bagchee	11 W. R., 19	1198
Ram Chunder Haldar *v.* Govind Chunder Sen	1 C. L. R., 542	4816
——— *v.* Jonab Ali Khan	17 W. R., 230	4008
Ram Chunder Lalla. In re	1 C. L. R., 134	4739

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Chunder Misser *v.* Kally Prosonno Singh	2 Hay, 625	3918
Ram Chunder Mitter *v.* Kistoo Kamineo Dossee	10 W. R., 194	4216
——— *v.* Ramzanee Bebee	2 W. R., Act X, 4	5206
Ram Chunder Mundle. In the matter of	9 W. R., Cr., 19	4533
Ram Chunder Paul *v.* Omora Churn Deb	16 W. R., 155	4034
——— *v.* ———	18 W. R., 26	879
Ram Chunder Poddar *v.* Haridas Son	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 463	2197, 5047
Ram Chunder Roy *v.* Ram Churn Bukshee	9 W. R., 372	1896
——— *v.* Umbica Dossea	7 W. R., 161	3157, 3334
Ram Chunder Roy Chowdhry *v.* Bholanath Lushkur	22 W. R., 200	5194
Ram Chunder Sao *v.* Bunseedhur Naik	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 741	1844
Ram Chunder Sen *v.* Doorga Nath Roy	2 C. L. R., 152	1197, 1476 1869
Ram Chunder Shaha *v.* Collector of Mymensingh	22 W. R., 48	5106, 5107
——— *v.* Manick Chunder Banikya	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 428 : 9 C. L. R., 157.	1132, 1205 4332
——— *v.* Manick Chunder Manikya	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 428 : 9 C. L. R., 157.	4400
Ram Chunder Shaw *v.* Empress	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 575 : 8 C. L. R., 250.	500
Ram Chunder Singh *v.* Joher Jumma Khan	14 B. L. R., Ap., 7 : 23 W. R., 376.	2038
——— *v.* Madho Kumari	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 484 : L. R., 12 I. A., 188.	3423, 5040
Ram Chunder Sircar *v.* Prangobind Boishnub	25 W. R., 71	2090
Ram Chunder Surmah *v.* Ram Chunder Pal	23 W. R., 226	3819
Ram Chunder Surmah Chowdhry *v.* Dagoo Khan	10 W. R., 339	4912
Ram Chunder Surmah Chuckerbutty *v.* Kalee Chunder Singh.	7 W. R., 307	5339
Ram Chung *v.* Gora Chand Chung	24 W. R., 344	3035
Ram Churn Banerjee *v.* Kisto Doogar	3 W. R., Act X, 132	1643
——— *v.* Muddun Mohun Tewaree	Marsh., 269 : 2 Hay, 198	5257
——— *v.* Torita Churn Paul	18 W. R., 343	4928
Ram Churn Bundopadhya *v.* Dropo Moyee Dossee	17 W. R., 122	3011, 4868 5327, 5335
Ram Churn Bysack *v.* Lucas	16 W. R., 279	2
Ram Churn Dey *v.* Ina Sheik	24 W. R., 463	3174
——— *v.* Jannu	17 W. R., Cr., 6	960
Ram Churn Lall *v.* Hatee Mahtoon	13 W. R., 247	4210
——— *v.* Koondun Koomaree	14 B. L. R., 423, note	843
——— *v.* Rughoobeer Singh	11 W. R., 481	843
Ram Churn Mahtoon *v.* Surubjit Mahtoon	9 W. R., 494	157
Ram Churn Pattuck *v.* Khoor Pandey	10 W. R., 176	4476
Ram Churn Pooree *v.* Nunhoo Mundul	14 W. R., 147	2254
Ram Churn Rahá Bukshee *v.* Mungul Sircar	16 W. R., 232	1453
Ram Churn Singh Khettree *v.* Meadhun Durjee	8 W. R., 90	4926
Ram Churn Tewaree *v.* Jussoda Koonwer	2 Agra, 134	2428
Ram Churun *v.* Gunga Pershad	2 N. W., 228	2917
Ram Comul Chuckerbutty *v.* Nund Ram Koolal	10 W. R., 262	6105
Ram Comul Mistry *v.* Gopeekisto Gossamee	1 Hay, 563	5311
Ramcomye Mitter. In re	Bourke, O. C., 149	2626
Ram Connoy Audicarry *v.* Jokur Lall Dutt	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 867 : 7 C. L. R., 294.	2498
Ram Coomar Bhuttacharjee *v.* Ram Coomar Sein	7 W. R., 132	1075
Ram Coomar Chowdhry *v.* Brojessuree Chowdhrain	6 W. R., Mis., 14	3512
——— *v.* Nobin Chunder Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Mis., 23	180
Ram Coomar Chunder Roy *v.* Faqueeroonissa Begum	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 119	3733

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Coomar Coondoo *v.* Chunderkanto Mookerjee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 233 : L. R., 4 I. A., 23.	704, 1214
Ram Coomar Dey. In the matter of the petition of ——— *v.* Shushee Bhooshun Ghose	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 626	5442
Ram Coomar Dhara *v.* Bhoyrub Chunder Mookerjee	6 W. R., Act X, 33	1645
Ram Coomar Doss *v.* Bidhoo Mookee Dossee	15 W. R., 31	498
Ram Coomar Dutt. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 340	6182
Ram Coomar Ghosal *v.* Debee Pershad Chatterjee	6 W. R., Act X, 87	4151
Ram Coomar Ghose *v.* Gobind Nath Sandyal	7 W. R., 480	5618
——— *v.* ———	12 W. R., 391	416, 5620
——— *v.* Kali Krishna Tagore	L. R., 13 I. A., 116 : I. L. R., 14 Calc., 99.	1661, 3807
——— *v.* Prosunno Coomar Sannyal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 106	1207
Ram Coomar Koondoo *v.* McQueen	11 B. L. R., 46 : 18 W. R., 166 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 40.	6134
Ram Coomar Kur *v.* Jakur Ali	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 716 : 10 C. L. R., 613.	3217
Ram Coomar Mitter *v.* Ichamoyi Dasi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 36 : 6 C. L. R., 429.	2199
Ram Coomar Mookerjee *v.* Raghub Mundul	2 W. R., Act X, 2	1608
——— *v.* Rugoonath Mundul	1 W. R., 356	1589, 1618
Ram Coomar Paul *v.* Jogender Nath Paul	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 56 : 2 C. L. R., 310.	2239
Ram Coomar Roy *v.* Bejoy Gobind Bural	7 W. R., 535	4164
——— *v.* Collector of Beerbhoom	5 W. R., 80	4439
Ram Coomar Sein *v.* Prosonno Coomar Sein	W. R., 1864, 375	3388
Ram Coomar Sen *v.* Khoda Neway	7 C. L. R., 223	4845
——— *v.* Ram Comul Sen	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 388	3875, 5700
Ram Coomar Shaha *v.* Dwarkanath Hazra	5 W. R., 207	3143
——— *v.* Kala Chand Shaha	21 W. R., 395	364
Ram Coomar Shome *v.* Gunga Pershad Sein	14 W. R., 109, note	4477
Ram Coomar Singh *v.* Ramsoondur Singh	17 W. R., 362	5588
Ram Coomar Sircar *v.* Kalee Coomar Dutt	10 W. R., 279	1464, 5778
Ramdan *v.* Beharee Lall	1 N. W., 114 : Ed. 1873, 200	2291
Ramdas *v.* Birjnundun Das *alias* Laloo Baboo	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 616 : 12 C. L. R., 284.	3215
Ram Das Brij Govandas. In re the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 107	670
Ram Das Maghi. In the matter of	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 110	2779
Ramdas Saha *v.* Man Mahini Dasi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 4	5756
Ramdas Sakharlal *v.* Gangadhar Raghunath Dongre	2 Bom., 186 : 2nd Ed., 178	4904
Ramdass Baboo *v.* Watson	W. R., 1864, 371	3191
Ramdas Sen *v.* Collector of Moorshedabad	2 B. L. R., S. N., 6	4403
Ram Dass Gossamee *v.* Prosunnomoye Dossee	16 W. R., 297	2701, 2712
Ramdass Sadhoo *v.* Anund Chunder Roy	19 W. R., Cr., 27	396
Ram Dass Singh *v.* Ram Narain	2 Agra, Rev., 9	2974
Ramdass Surmah *v.* Sonatun Goohoo	W. R., 1864, 275	1993
Ram Dayal *v.* Mahtab Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 506	6185
——— *v.* Megu Lall	I. L. R., 6 All., 452	1905
Ram Dayal Singh *v.* Latchmi Narayan	6 B. L. R., Ap, 25 : 14 W. R., 388.	1615
Ramdobul Lall *v.* Mitterjeet Singh	17 W. R., 420	1171
Ram Deehul *v.* Chukhoo	1 N. W., 208 : Ed. 1873, 291	5265
Ramdeo Koonwaree *v.* Shib Dyal Singh	7 W. R., 334	1816
Ram Dehul Pandey *v.* Kashee Rawut	14 W. R., 232	540
Ram Deye Kower *v.* Bishen Dyal Singh	8 W. R., 339	1816

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Dhan Biswas *v.* Kefal Biswas	1 B. L. R., S. N., 10: 10 W. R., 141.	5663
Ramdhan Chuckerbutty *v.* Jainarayan Panja	8 B. L. R., Ap., 36, note: 12 W. R., 586.	5130
——— *v.* Komaltara	3 B. L. R., A. C., 99, note: 11 W. R., 301.	4477
Ramdhani Sahai *v.* Rajrani Kooer	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 337: 9 C. L. R., 23.	5408
Ramdhan Khan *v.* Haradhan Paramanick	9 B. L. R., 107, note: 12 W. R., 404.	1591, 5176 5199
Ramdhan Mandal *v.* Rajballab Paramanik	6 B. L. R., Ap., 10	6231
——— *v.* Rameswar Bhattacharjee	2 B. L. R., A. C., 235: 11 W. R., 117.	3456
Ramdhan Mitter *v.* Kailas Nath Dutt	4 B. L. R., A. C., 20: 12 W. R., 457.	440
Ramdhan Rakhit *v.* Panchanan Chuckerbutty	1 B. L. R., S. N., 9: 10 W. R., 144.	827
Ramdhone Acharjee. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 17	715
Ramdhone Bhuttacharjee *v.* Ishanee Dabee	2 W. R., 123	4154
Ram Dhone Shaw *v.* Nobumony Dossee	Bourke, O. C., 218	2828
Ramdhul Singh *v.* Purmessuree Pershad Narain Singh	7 W. R., 78	3833
Ramdhun *v.* Bhowanee Singh	3 Agra, 139	3128
Ramdhun Banerjee *v.* Ram Narain Mookerjee	11 W. R., 311	5766
Ram Dhun Bhuggut *v.* Guneshee Mahtoon	16 W. R., 96	3386
Ram Dhun Dey *v.* Ram Monee Dey	21 W. R., 280	157
Ramdhun Dhur *v.* Mohesh Chunder Chowdhry	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 406: 11 C. L. R., 565.	3952, 5408
Ram Dhun Doss *v.* Ram Rutton Dutt	10 W. R., 425	80, 3114
Ramdhun Ghose *v.* Anund Chunder Ghose	2 Hyde, 93	2473
——— *v.* Eshan Chunder Ghose	17 W. R., 34	1847
Ramdhun Goor *v.* Gooroodossee Dossee	13 W. R., 40	3496
Ramdhun Mundle. In the matter of	18 W. R., Cr., 39	4750
Ramdhun Roy *v.* Abdool Gunee	9 W. R., 390	3498
Ramdhun Satra *v.* Nobin Chunder Chowdry	12 W. R., 250	4174
Ramdhyan Koonwar *v.* Phoolbas Kooer	14 W. R., 340	902, 2346 2445, 2515 3160, 4254 4261
Ram Din *v.* Kalka Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 502: L. R., 12 I. A., 12.	3381
Ram Dial *v.* Gulab Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 26	2870
——— *v.* Mahtab Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 701	5274
——— *v.* Ram Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 181	220, 3099
——— *v.* Shah Baz Khan	1 Agra, 15	4471
Ram Doolal Sen *v.* Radha Nath Sen	23 W. R., 167	1822
Ramdoolal Singh *v.* Gopal Kristo Singh	16 W. R., 156	1247
Ramdoolary Kooer *v.* Thacoor Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 61: 2 C. L. R., 547.	4795
Ram Doollub Sandyal *v.* Ram Naran Moitro	7 W. R., 455	3396
Ram Doss *v.* Lallah Nundocoomar	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 189	1881
——— *v.* Mohesur Deb Misree	7 W. R., 446	2255
Ram Doss Bhuttacharjee *v.* Ramjeebun Poddar	6 W. R., Act X, 103	2927
Ramdoss Byragee *v.* Gunga Doss	3 Agra, 295	2332
Ramdoss Koondoo *v.* Nilkanto Dhur	8 W. R., 6	3556, 5777
Ram Doss Lushkur *v.* Hureehur Mookerjee	23 W. R., 86	5172
Ramdoss Nushkur *v.* Rash Monee Dossee	25 W. R., 189	5075
Ram Doss Sen *v.* Stephenson	10 W. R., 366	4403

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramdoyal Khan *v.* Ajoodhia Ram Khan	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 1 : 25 W. R., 425.	2739, 3196 4404
Ramdular Misser *v.* Jhumack Lal Misser	8 B. L. R., 455 : 17 W. R., 265.	3710, 3724
Ramdut Singh *v.* Mahender Prasad	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 452 : 12 C. L. R., 47.	2177
Ram Dutt Misr. In the matter of the petition of	1 Agra, Cr., 29	4500
Ram Dutt Singh *v.* Horakh Narain Singh	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 549 : 8 C. L. R., 209.	1161, 3383
Ram Dyal *v.* Chinta Monee	W. R., 1864, Cr., 28	4504
——— *v.* Dhoobey Jhaunnan Lal	3 N. W., 14	5830
——— *v.* Jankey Dobey	3 Agra, 274	533
——— *v.* Mushtak Ahmad	1 N. W., 326	566
——— *v.* Ramdhun Dass	Agra, 43	5614
Ram Dyal Bajpie *v.* Heera Lall Pande	6 B. L. R., 386	1825
Ramdyal Banerjee *v.* Court of Wards	2 W. R., 269	4932
Ramdyal Deb *v.* Magnee	W. R., 227	2309
Ram Dyal Dutt *v.* Ram Doolal Deb	4 W. R., 273	333, 4033 4046, 4399
Ramdyal Gangooly *v.* Huro Soonduree Dossia	10 W. R., 272	5748
Ram Dyal Gooye *v.* Amrit Lall Khamaroo	9 W. R., 555	84, 92
Ram Dyal Gossain *v.* Kattyanee Debia	8 W. R., 256	3397
Ramdyal Koondoo *v.* Gooroo Dass Sen	18 W. R., 450	3341
Ramdyal Singh. In the matter of	5 B. L. R., Ap., 89	3604, 4854
Ramendra Bhuttacharjee *v.* Collector of Rajshahye	14 W. R., 113	2075
Ramen Nayar *v.* Kandapuni Nayar	1 Mad., 445	3763
Rameshar Chaubey *v.* Mata Bhikh	I. L. R., 5 All., 341	3286
Rameshar Pershad Narayan Sing. In the matter of the petition of.	9 B. L. R., Ap., 34 : 18 W. R., Cr., 1.	389
Rameshar Singh *v.* Bisheshar Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 734	14, 3455
——— *v.* Kanhia Sahu	I. L. R., 3 All., 653	3987
Rameshur Kulwar *v.* Beharee Seth	3 N. W., 208 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 254.	5266, 5680
Rameshur Panda *v.* Soujharee Coomar	4 W. R., 32	3929
Rameshur Rai *v.* Subhoo Rai	1 N. W., 81 : Ed. 1873, 134	4318
Rameshwar Mandal *v.* Ramchand Roy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1033	3343
Ramessur Audhikaree *v.* Watson & Co.	7 W. R., 2	2928
Ramessur Bhuttacharjee *v.* Bhanoo	12 W. R., 272	2773
——— *v.* Shib Narain Chuckerbutty	14 W. R., 419	397, 6239
Ramessur Dutt *v.* Lootfunnissa	6 W. R., Mis., 130	164
Ramessur Dyal Sing *v.* Rajkishore Singh	13 W. R., 325	307
Ramessur Ghose *v.* Azeem Joardar	17 W. R., 373	5064, 5733
Ramessuri Dassee. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 103 : 7 C. L. R., 85.	1878, 5459
——— *v.* Doorgadas Chatterjee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 103 : 7 C. L. R., 85.	1878, 5459
Ramessur Mahatah *v.* Kullyanessuree Debia	9 W. R., 283	166
Ramessur Misser *v.* Brojo Bhookun Misser	25 W. R., 271	4577
Ramessur Mookerjee *v.* Ishan Chunder Mookerjee	10 W. R., 457	1388
Ramessur Nath Singh *v.* Golamee Sahoo	24 W. R., 309	416
——— *v.* Huro Lal Singh	6 W. R., 87	5591
Ramessur Persad Narain Sing *v.* Koonj Behari Pattuk	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 633 : L. R., 6 I. A., 33.	4575
Ramessur Pershad Narain Singh *v.* Doolee Chand	19 W. R., 422	3960
Rameswar Nath Sing *v.* Haralal Sing	1 B. L. R., A. C., 170	5103
Rameswar Nath Singh *v.* Mewar Jugjeet Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 341	4004
Rameswar Sing *v.* Ramtanu Ghose	4 B. L. R., A. C., 24	440

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramey *v.* Broughton	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 652	3177
Ramfal Shaw *v.* Biswanath Mandal	5 B. L. R., Ap., 28	6230
Ramgati *v.* Imitari Banu	1 B. L. R., S. N., 20 : 10 W. R., 280.	6241
Ram Gholam *v.* Sheo Tahal	I. L. R., 1 All., 266	186
Ram Gholam Sahoo *v.* Jankee Pershad Sahoo	25 W. R., 31	673
Ram Gholam Singh. In the matter of the petition of	6 W. R., Cr., 59	3862
Ram Ghose *v.* Greedhur Ghose	14 W. R., 429	3158
——— *v.* Radha Churn Gangooly	15 W. R., 416	3006
Ram Ghulam *v.* Chotey Lal	I. L R., 2 All., 46	4851
——— *v.* Dwarka Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 170	3831
——— *v.* Hazaru Koer	I. L. R., 7 All., 547	838
——— *v.* Janki Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 124	1037
Ramgobind Jha *v.* Mungarram Chowdhry	13 C. L. R., 83	5068
Ramgobind Jugodeb *v.* Denobundhu Srichundun Mohapatter.	9 C. L. R., 281	242, 2031
Ram Gobind Koond *v.* Hossein Ali	7 W. R., 90	2355
Ram Gobind Roy *v.* Dushoobhoojah Debee	18 W. R., 195	2978
——— *v.* Kushuffudoza	14 W. R., 1	5350
——— *v.* ———	15 W. R., 141	5350, 5367
Ram Gobind Singh *v.* Gheenoo Singh	20 W. R., 406	3878
——— *v.* Magistrate of Ghazeepore	4 N. W., 146	1388, 1985, 2842
Ram Golam Dobey *v.* Ayma Begum	12 W. R., 177	4947
Ram Golam Singh *v.* Heet Narain Sahoo	2 C. L. R., 292	6103
——— *v.* Nursing Sahoy	25 W. R., 43	3705
Ram Gopal *v.* Khiali Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 448	828
Ramgopal Barick *v.* Shibpershad Sircar	12 W. R., 483	6102
Ramgopal Chuckerbutty *v.* Chundernath Sein	10 W. R., 289	5596
——— *v.* Poorno Chunder Banerjee	12 W. R., 475	4953
Ram Gopal Ghose *v.* Bullodeb Bose	W. R., 1864, 385	4156
Ramgopal Law *v.* Blaquiere	1 B. L. R., O. C., 35	2819, 3813
Ram Gopal Mookerjee *v.* Masseyk	2 W. R., P. C., 43 : 8 Moore's I. A., 239.	2069
Ram Gopal Roy *v.* Chunder Coomar Mundul	2 W. R., 65	3122
——— *v.* Gordon, Stuart, & Co.	14 Moore's I. A., 453 : 17 W. R., 285.	641, 1755, 1782, 4652
——— *v.* Nundo Gopal Roy	4 W. R., 42	3255
——— *v.* Oma Soondry Dassee	2 Hay, 41	67
Ram Gopal Sein *v.* Allum Mullick	7 W. R., 405	3075
Ramgopal Shah *v.* Ramgopal Shah	9 W. R., 136	5692
Ramgopal Chatterjee *v.* Bhobunmohun Banerjee	Cor., 126	1197
Ram Gopaul Singh *v.* Teluckdharee Sahie	W. R., F. B., 97	2228
Ramgopaul Tewaree *v.* Gorachund Poryal	15 W. R., 28	1430
Ram Gunga Doss *v.* Gobind Chunder Doss	16 W. R., 284	5279
Ramgut Singh *v.* Ram Sarun Singh	3 N. W., 141	2915
Ram Guttee *v.* Ibrahim Ismailjee Seedat	7 W. R., 353	1816
Ramguttee Acharjee *v.* Kristo Soonduree Debia	20 W. R., 472	2566
Ramguttee Doss *v.* Gholam Ahmed Khondkar	W. R., F. B., 84	5131
Ram Guttee Mundul *v.* Thakoor Doss Mundul	22 W. R., 418	371
Ram Gutty *v.* Goonomonee Dabee	11 W. R., 177	307, 4429
Ramgutty Doss *v.* Nundo Coomar Doss	2 W. R., 11	2329
Ramgutty Gangooly *v.* Kuralee Pershad Gangooly	17 W. R., 446	5678
Ramgutty Kurmokar *v.* Boistub Churn Mozoomdar	7 W. R., 167	2193
Ramgutty Nag Chowdhry *v.* Buroda Churn Bose	1 W. R., 120	67
Ramgutty Surma *v.* Kasi Chunder Surma	W. R., 1864, 317	3710
Rambari Sarma *v.* Trihiram Sarma	7 B. L. R., 337 : 15 W. R., 412	2299

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramhit Rai *v.* Satgur Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 247	3208, 3216
Ramhurry Mondul *v.* Mothoor Mohun Mondul	20 W. R., 450	4867, 4879 5140
Ramia *v.* Bhagi	1 Bom., 66	2315, 2526
Ramiengar *alias* Ramanuja Chariyar *v.* Gnanasambanda Pandarasannada.	5 Mad., 53	109
Rami Reddi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 48	1296, 1301 1837, 5527
Ramireddy Narsareddy *v.* Mumareddy Papireddy	5 Mad., 404	171
Ramjadoo Gangooly *v.* Luckhee Narain Mundul	8 W. R., 488	1616, 1753
Ram Jadub Chatterjee *v.* Rash Monee Dossee	8 W. R., 321	5734
Ramjadub Sircar *v.* Ameeroonissa Bibee	13 W. R., 27	890
Ramjai Mazumdar. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 67 : 14 W. R., Cr., 65.	3623
Ramjan Beg *v.* Cook	6 B. L. R., Ap., 91 : 14 W. R., 428.	5702
Ramjan Khan *v.* Raman Chamar	11 C. L. R., 480	739
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 89	1731, 5726
Ramjas *v.* Baij Nath	I. L. R., 2 All., 567	198
Ramjay Dey *v.* Srinath Singh	2 B. L. R., A. C., 170 : 11 W. R., 24.	3330
Ram Jeawan *v.* Ram Lall	2 Agra, 190	448
Ramjeeawan Rai *v.* Deep Narain Rai	Agra, F. B., 78 : Ed. 1874, 60	33, 1734
Ramjeebun Bose *v.* Tripoora Dossee	Marsh., 396 : 2 Hay, 449 : W. R., F. B., 93.	515, 2691
Ramjeebun Chowdhry *v.* Peary Lall Mundul	4 W. R., Act X, 30	5301
Ram Jeebun Chuckerbutty *v.* Khooderam Chatterjee	17 W. R., 338	2931
——— *v.* Pershad Shar	7 W. R., 458	4208
Ramjeebun Doobey *v.* Luchmonee Dabea	W. R., 1864, Cr., 5	4494, 5885
Ram Jeebun Doss *v.* Doyalee Dossee	11 W. R., 246	5117
Ramjeebun Koyee *v.* Shahazadee Begum	9 W. R., 336	5701, 5936
Ramjeebun Mookerjee *v.* Wooma Churn Hajrah	7 W. R., 117	1362
Ramjee Lall *v.* Anderson	7 W. R., 141	1785
Ramjeewan Lall *v.* Arjun Chowbey	10 W. R., 303	4913
Ram Jewun Lall *v.* Sham Lall Misser	20 W. R., 123	5439
Ram Jewun Singh *v.* Collector of Shahabad	19 W. R., 127	65, 2877
——— *v.* Collector and Magistrate of Shahabad.	15 W. R., 132	1985
——— *v.* Radha Pershad Singh	16 W. R., 109	2884, 6232
Ramji *v.* Dharma	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 683	1108, 3210
——— *v.* Ghaman	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 498	2123
Ramji bin Tukaram *v.* Chinto Sakharam	1 Bom., 199	3442, 3974 4022
Ramji Madauji *v.* Rangayya Chetti	1 Mad., 168	1367, 4676
Ramji Manar *v.* Little	10 Bom., 57	3795
Ramjisdas *v.* Bhagwan Bax	L. R., 5 I. A., 197	4228
Ramjiwan Mal *v.* Chand Mal	I. L. R., 7 All., 227	2852
Ram Joshi *v.* Lakshmibhai	1 Bom., 189	2462
Ramjoy Ghose *v.* Ram Ranjun Chuckerbutty	8 C. L. R., 367	4305
Ramjoy Goopto *v.* Jugodessuree	22 W. R., 399	5142
Ramjoy Kurmokar. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 10	6150, 5882
Ramjoy Mojoomdar *v.* Kedar Narain Roy	25 W. R., 75	5661
Ramjoy Mundul *v.* Kallymohun Roy Chowdhry	Marsh., 282	4588
——— *v.* Ram Sunder Mundul	2 C. L. R., 4	535
Ramjoy Muzoomdar *v.* Russell	W. R., 1864, 370	1379
Ram Joy Sein *v.* Nundomoyee Dabea	10 W. R., 374	4904
Ramjoy Singh *v.* Nagur Gazee	5 W. R., Act X, 68	1183

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramjoy Surmah v. Joynath Surmah	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 395 : 12 C. L. R., 314.	5672
——— v. Mirza Ali	18 W. R., Cr., 10	461
——— v. Prankishen Singh	2 W. R., 80	296, 1783 2228
Ramjoy Surmah Mojoomdar v. Puran Kishen Singh	W. R., F. B., 124	299
Ramjun v. Lachee	1 Agra, 49	11
Ram Jus Rae v. Ram Narain	2 N. W., 382 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 226.	5242
Ramjuthun Singh v. Mehdee	3 N. W., 282 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 258.	1658, 3003
Ram Kanai Roy Chowdry v. Trilochan Chuckerbutty	1 B. L. R., S. N., 13	3397
Ram Kanaye Chuckerbutty v. Prosunno Coomar Sein	13 W. R., 176	312
Ram Kanaye Doss v. Kishen Chunder Roy	Marsh., 22 : 1 Hay, 55	3113
Ram Kanaye Ghose v. Gooroo Prosunno Roy	16 W. R., 30	3827
Ram Kanaye Shaha v. Bykuntnath Shaha	15 W. R., 89	5693
Ram Kannye Gossamee v. Meernomoyee Dossee	2 W. R., 49	5212
Ram Kant Chowdhry v. Bindobun Chunder Gope	2 W. R., Act X, 71	4
——— v. Brindabun Chunder Doss	16 W. R., 246	3910, 4437
——— v. Brojo Mohun Mozoomdar	6 W. R., Act X, 20	556
Ram Kant Chuckerbutty v. Chunder Narain Dutt	2 C. L. R., 474	2198
——— v. Mohesh Chunder Singh	7 W. R., 172	1640
Ramkanth Chowdhry v. Bhubun Mohun Biswas	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 25 : W. R., F. B., 183.	2930, 4745
——— v. Kaloe Mohun Mookerjee	22 W. R., 84	3255
Ram Kanth Roy Chowdhry v. ———	22 W. R., 310	4018, 5777
Ram Kant Nandi v. Shib Nanda Rai	2 C. L. R., 166	4440
Ram Kant Pandey v. Guneshee Koonwur	6 W. R., 47	5780
Ramkant Roy v. Rajkishore Deb	24 W. R., 94	3918
Ramkant Sircar v. Jadub Chunder Dass	21 W. R., Cr., 44	967
Ram Kanye Dutt v. Haroo Chunder Mojoomdar	17 W. R., 229	6223
Ram Keran Deo v. Fuhima Bebee	7 W. R., 37	2945
Ram Khelawan Rai v. Shiva Dass	2 Agra, 76	3706
Ram Khelawun Singh v. Oudh Kooer	21 W. R., 101	1406, 6032
——— v. Soondra	7 W. R., 152	2994
Ram Khilowan Ram v. Fox	7 N. W., 239	5304
Ram Kinkur Sein. In the matter of	13 W. R., 67	4445
Ram Kirpal v. Rup Kuari	I. L. R., 6 All., 269 : L. R., 11 L. R., I. A., 37.	1694
Ram Kirpal Shukul v. Rup Kuar	I. L. R., 3 All., 633	274
Ram Kishan v. Bhawani Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 333	3294
Ram Kishen v. Doolee Chand	22 W. R., 547	3546
——— v. Sedhu	I. L. R., 2 All., 275	789
Ramkishen Doss v. Hurkhoo Singh	7 W. R., 329	5977
Ramkishen Sircar v. Deler Ali	W. R., 1864, Act X, 36	1605
Ramkishen Singh v. Cheet Banoo	W. R., 1864, 102	2503
Ram Kishore Acharjee Chowdhry v. Krishuo Monee Debia.	23 W. R., 106	3014
——— v. Lukhee Debee Chowdhrain.	W. R., 1864, 290	3156
Ramkishore Bhuttacharjee v. Biseshur Bhuttacharjee	Marsh., 231	5267
Ramkishore Chuckerbutty v. Kallykanto Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 479 : 8 C. L. R., 1.	1458, 2521
Ramkishore Gangopadhya v. Bandikaratan Tewari Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 203	3422
Ram Kishore Lall v. Nand Ram	4 C. L. R., 100	1820
Ramkishore Mundle v. Moorad Mundle	W. R., 1864, Act X, 67	6224

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Kishore Mundul *v.* Chand Mundul	5 W. R., Act X, 84	1614
Ram Kishore Narain Singh *v.* Anund Misser	21 W. R., 12	51
Ram Kishore Sein. In the matter of the petition of	10 B. L. R., Ap., 14: 19 W. R., Cr., 12.	20
Ram Kishore Singh *v.* Ramsurbo Chatterjee	11 W. R., 454	4132
Ramkissen Doss *v.* Luckeynarain	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 312	3466
Ram Kissen Singh *v.* Kundun Lall	W. R., 1864, 177	4013
——— *v.* Sheonundun Sing	23 W. R., 412	2446
Ramkisore Acharjee Chowdhry *v.* Arip Khan	21 W. R., Cr., 6	4731
Ramkisto Biswas. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 52	1256
Ramkisto Paul Chowdhry *v.* Hurry Dass Koondoo	Marsh., 219: 1 Hay, 569	1725, 3301
Ramkisto Shaha *v.* Nemy Churn Chollai	W. R., 1864, 110	4980
Ram Koomar Kyburto Dass *v.* Sonatun Dass Poramanick.	3 C. L. R., 23	5206, 5700
Ram Koomar Singh *v.* Kishari	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 68: 11 C. L. R., 318.	4764
Ramkrishna. In re	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 47	5849
Ramkrishnabhat *v.* Vithaba bin Malharji	3 Bom., A. C., 23	2498
Ramkrishna Das Surrowji *v.* Surfunnissa Begum	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 129: L. R., 7 I. A., 157.	323, 449 4189
Ramkrishna Gopal *v.* Vithu Shivaji	10 Bom., 441	5788, 5818
Ram Krishna Kine *v.* Narshiva Shanbog	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 478, note	2968
Ram Krishna Mahadev *v.* Bayaji Santaji	5 Bom., A. C., 35	633, 3309
Ramkrishna Roy *v.* Madan Gopal Roy	6 B. L. R., Ap., 103: 12 W. R., 194.	3334
Rama Kristna *v.* Ranga	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 424	2848
Ram Kristo Dass *v.* Harain	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 517: 12 C. L. R., 141.	2977
Ram Kristo Deb *v.* Rajchunder Surmah	11 W. R., 246	4520
Ram Kristo Manjhee *v.* Ameeroonissa Bibee	7 W. R., 314	3963
Ramkristo Roy *v.* Naik Tara Dass	12 C. L. R., 449	5952
Ram Kristo Sircar *v.* Kaloo	18 W. R., 284	2845
Ramkullee Koer *v.* Court of Wards	18 W. R., 474	1491
Ramkumar. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 521	1151, 4447
Ramkumar Mandal *v.* Brajahari Mridha	2 B. L. R., A. C., 75: 10 W. R., 410.	4788
Ramkuvarbai *v.* Damodhar Narbheram	6 Bom., A. C., 146	5133, 5792
Ram Lakhan Rai *v.* Bakhtaur Rai	I. L. R., 6 All., 623	851, 1704
——— *v.* Bandan Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 711	6132
Ram Lakhi *v.* Durga Charan Sen	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 680	3391
Ramlal *v.* Harrison	I. L. R., 2 All., 832	1814, 3144
——— *v.* Lancaster	3 N. W., 114	5613
——— *v.* Mahes Baboo	5 B. L. R., 677, note	2854
——— *v.* Radhey Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 330	1936
——— *v.* Tula Ram	I. L. R., 4 All., 97	5089, 5237 5258
Ram Lal Chowdhry *v.* Surdaree Jah	W. R., 1864, Mis., 21	758
Ram Lall. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 875	4748
——— *v.* Chummon Ghuttuck	24 W. R., 271	1584, 4925
——— *v.* Dalganjan	I. L. R., 5 All., 369	5253
——— *v.* Koondun Lall	3 Agra, 97	5614
——— *v.* Rung Lall	17 W. R., 47	5127
Ram Lall Agarwallah *v.* Moonia Bibee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 79	400
Ram Lall Chuckerbutty *v.* Tara Soondari Burmonya	8 W. R., 280	1745
Ram Lall Ghose *v.* Lalla Peeumlall Doss	Marsh., 403: 2 Hay, 526	1605
Ram Lall Jha *v.* Issur Chunder Dey	10 W. R., 451	297
Ram Lall Kurfurma *v.* Ram Tarun Koondoo	16 W. R., 254	4245
Ram Lall Misser *v.* Chundrabullee Dabee	13 W. R., 228	3005

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Lall Moitra v. Bama Sundari Dabia	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 307	1894
Ram Lall Mookerjee v. Chittro Coomaree	15 W. R., 277	2826
——— v. Jodu Nath Chatterjee	9 C. L. R., 337	5303
——— v. Komola Kaminee Debee	24 W. R., 92	5172
Ram Lall Shaw v. Joggendro Narain Roy	18 W. R., 328	2986
Ram Lall Singh v. Janki Mahatoon	4 C. L. R., 14	5936
——— v. Lill Dhary Muhton	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 776	1570
——— v. Thakoor Dyal	9 W. R., 576	4849
Ramlal Mookerjee v. Haran Chandra Dhar	3 B. L. R., O. C., 130: 12 W. R., O. C., 9.	401, 2214 2498, 4072 4682
——— v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 304: 10 C. L. R., 349: L. R., 8 I. A., 46.	2569
——— v. Tara Soondree Debia	W. R., 1864, 3	248, 2424
Ramlal Sett v. Kanai Lal Sett	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 663	2272, 2560
Ram Lal Sircar v. Gopal Doss	7 W. R., 154	2577
Ram Lal Tewari v. Supharam	1 B. L. R., S. N., 26: 10 W. R., Cr., 34.	462
Ramlal Thakursidas v. Lakmichand Muniram	1 Bom., Ap., 51	2376, 4263 4325, 5805
Ram Lapit Ram v. Chooaram	4 C. L. R., 97	1867, 1905
Ramlinga Khanapuri v. Virupakshi Khanapuri	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 538	2472
Ram Lochan v. Munsoor Ali Chowdhry	11 W. R., 339	3823
Ramlochan Das v. Mansur Ali	1 B. L. R., A. C., 65: 10 W. R., 96.	1467
Ram Lochan Sircar v. Kamini Debi	5 B. L. R., 460, note	1667
Ram Lochun Bubra v. Madhub Chunder Bubra	3 W. R., 118	5798
Ram Lochun Chowdhry v. Joy Doorga Dossia	11 W. R., 283	4175
Ram Lochun Chuckerbutty v. Ram Soonder Chuckerbutty.	20 W. R., 104	6006
Ram Lochun Dutt v. Petumber Paul	W. R., 1864, Act X, 111	1187, 1635
Ramlochun Lall v. Goorpershad	5 N. W., 172	4874
Ram Lochun Pattuck v. Rughoobur Dyal	15 W. R., 111	2456
Ram Lochun Patuk v. Kunhya Lal	6 W. R., 84	4016
Ram Lochun Paul v. Brojo Mohinee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 118	4149
Ram Lochun Shaha v. Unno Poorna Dossee	7 W. R., 144	1813, 5386
Ram Lochun Sircar v. Denonath Paul	2 W. R., 279	1206, 5104
——— v. Hogg	10 W. R., 480	4715
——— v. Prithee Ram Chowdhry	2 W. R., Mis., 37	4526
——— v. Ram Narain	1 C. L. R., 296	6134, 6137
Ramlochun Soor v. Nittya Kallee Debia	12 W. R., 211	335, 5583
Ram Loll Mookerjee v. Debender Nath Chatterjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 8	5303
Ramloll Thackooreydass v. Soorjunmull Dhoondmull	4 Moore's I. A., 339	1067, 1579
Ram Manick Roy v. Asgur	11 W. R., 112	4562
Ram Manick Shah v. Brindabun Chunder Potdar	5 W. R., 230	1256
Ram Manickya Dey v. Juggunnath Gope	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 563	3822
Ram Mohan Das v. Lakhi Narayan Roy	4 B. L. R., A. C., 207	2888
Ram Mohinee Debia v. Jabed Sircar	6 W. R., Act X, 82	882
Ram Mohinee Dossee v. Pran Koomaree	3 W. R., 88	1708
Ram Mohun Doss v. Jhuppoo Doss	14 W. R., 41	4194, 4480
Ram Mohun Mookerjee v. Nursing Deb	W. R., F. B., 54: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 63: 1 Hay, 379.	4406, 6246
Ram Monee v. Aleemoodeen	20 W. R., 374	4192
Rammonee Chuckerbutty v. Alla Buksh	4 W. R., Act X, 46	1635
Rammoney Dossee v. Gobind Chunder Lahoory	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 27: 24 W. R., 234.	2295
Ram Money Dossia v. Pearee Mohun Mozoomdar	6 W. R., 325	5674, 5737

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Monohur Singh *v.* Kooldeep Narain Singh	11 W. R., 514	2478
Ram Mundur *v.* Jankipershad	12 C. L. R., 139	1424
Ram Mungul Ghosa *v.* Lukheenarain Shaha	1 W. R., 71	5176
Ramnad Zamindar *v.* Dorasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 341	3376
Ram Naffer Khara *v.* Dogumber Chatterjee	W. R., 1864, 259	6108
Ram Nandan Rai *v.* Lal Dhar Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 775	1480
Ram Narain *v.* Heera Lall	1 Agra, 226	2852
——— *v.* Huree Dass	3 Agra, 81	3204
Ramnarain Bhuttacharjee *v.* Mohesh Chunder Roy	2 Hay, 79	241
Ramnarain Chuckerbutty *v.* Poolin Behari Lall Singh	2 C. L. R., 5	2945
Ram Narain Doss *v.* Mohesh Chunder Banerjee	19 W. R., 202	1767
——— *v.* Tweedie	12 W. R., 161	3012
Ram Narain Doss Biswas *v.* Sreemunth Poddar	9 W. R., 498	4787
Ramnarain Kallia *v.* Gopal Doss Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 613	1835
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 735	4530
——— *v.* Monee Bibee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 613	1835
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 735	4530
Ram Narain Koer *v.* Mahabir Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 208	97, 4687
Ram Narain Lal *v.* Bhawani Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 443	2162
——— *v.* Gumbeer Singh	19 W. R., 108	1623
Ramnarain Manjhee *v.* Futtema Sogra	23 W. R., 399	1451
Ram Narain Mitter *v.* Nobin Chunder Moordafarash	18 W. R., 208	570, 5078
Ramnarain Mookerjee *v.* Mahtab Chunder	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 48	3816, 5270
Ramnarain Poddar *v.* Levy	2 Hyde, 183	426
Ramnarain Poramanick *v.* Dossee	1 W. R., 280	2081
Ram Narain Rai *v.* Ram Coomar Chunder Poddar	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 562	1738
Ram Narain Roy *v.* Nil Monee Adhikaree	23 W. R., 169	2741
——— *v.* ———	24 W. R., 144	4229
Ram Narain Singh *v.* Goberdhun Lall Chowdhry	21 W. R., 2	159
——— *v.* Horonath Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 86	1609
——— *v.* Huronath Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 92	5193
——— *v.* Mahtab Bibi	I. L. R., 2 All., 828	5412
——— *v.* Peary Bhugut	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 830: 13 C. L. R., 109.	2260
——— *v.* Portum Singh	11 B. L. R., 397: 20 W. R., 189	2155
——— *v.* Ramoon Paurey	23 W. R., 76	2242
——— *v.* Ram Runjun Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 245	5724
Ram Narain Singh Deo *v.* Bistoo Thakoor	15 W. R., 299	4207
Ram Narayan Banerjee *v.* Jayakrishna Mookerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 70	8
Ram Narayan Mookerjee *v.* Sarada Debi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 39	5689
Ram Nath *v.* Gowhur	2 N. W., 230	1488, 5122, 6015
Ramnath Chowdhry. In re petition of	6 W. R., Mis., 17	281
——— *v.* Digumber Roy	3 W. R., Mis., 30	3836
Ramnath Ghose *v.* Amrit Moyee Dossee	5 W. R., 168	4216
Ramnath Ram *v.* Deen Dyal Ram	W. R., 1864, 311	3919
Ram Nath Roy *v.* Saleem Ahmed Khan	3 N. W., 188	4160
Ram Nath Roy Chowdhry *v.* Arnee Kally Debia	W. R., 1864, 177	2422
——— *v.* Bhagbut Mohapattur	3 W. R., Act X, 140	4973
——— *v.* Hurri Chunder Roy Chowdhry.	5 W. R., 50	3286
Ramnath Sen Lushkur *v.* Rash Mohun Mookerjee	24 W. R., 214	1057
——— *v.* Wise	25 W. R., 378	6118
Ramnath Singh *v.* Gondee Singh	10 W. R., 441	1161, 2989
——— *v.* Huro Lall Pandey	8 W. R., 188	2029
Ramnath Tolapattro *v.* Durga Sundari Debi	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 550	2341

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Needhee Koondoo v. Rughoo Nath Narain Mullo	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 456 : 25 W. R., 516.	1409
Ram Neodhy Boyde v. Neamut	Marsh., 355 : 2 Hay, 437	5075
Ram Newaz Singh v. Kishun Rai	6 N. W., 137	4467, 5267
Ram Nidhee Koondoo v. Ajoodhya Ram Khan	20 W. R., 123	1213, 2852 3873
——— v. Goluck Chunder Moshanto	11 W. R., 280	2753, 4136
Ramnidhee Manjie v. Parbutty Dassee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 823 : 6 C. L. R., 362.	1623, 3016
Ramnidhy Koondoo v. Ojoodhyaram Khan	11 B. L. R., Ap., 37 : 20 W. R., 123.	1213, 2852 3873
Ram Nirunjun Singh v. Prayag Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 138 : 10 C. L. R., 66.	990, 1101
Ram Nuffer Bhattacharjee v. Dhol Gobind Thakoor	1 C. L. R., 421	334
Ram Nursingh Chuckerbutty v. Dwarkanath Gangooly	23 W. R., 10	3023
Ram Nursing Mitter v. Tripoora Soonduree Dassia	9 W. R., 105	1733
Ram Onoogroho Singh v. Montorun	6 W. R., 223	5375
Ram Oomrao Singh v. Sutun Lall	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 77 : Ed., 1873, 168.	1488
Ram Parshad Hazaree v. Soomathra Dabea	5 W. R., Mis., 24	264
Ram Paul Singh. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 14	2657
Rampersad v. Juggernauth	6 C. L. R., 1	354
Rampersaud v. Callachund Doss	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 325; and on appeal, Id., 373.	2627
Rampersaud Roy v. Callachand Doss	Bourke, O. C., 423	431, 5896
Ram Pershad v. Buljeet Singh	2 Agra, 252	4557
——— v. Chaineram	1 N. W., 11 : Ed. 1873, 10	2445
——— v. Kishna	3 Agra, 146	4021, 4903
——— v. Mahomed Hashim	2 Agra, 249	1636
——— v. Mewa Kooer	2 N. W., 12	4814
——— v. Nazeer Hossein	1 Agra, Rev., 63	352, 3882
——— v. Sheva Pershad	1 Agra, 71	1719
Rampershad Chowdhry v. Jokhoo Roy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1003	1416
Rampershad Doss v. Sreehuree Doss	18 W. R., 399	2240
Ram Pershad Dutt v. Krishto Mohun Shaw	18 W. R., 297	2740
Ram Pershad Misser v. Phoolputtee	7 W. R., 98	4235
Rampershad Narain Tewaree v. Court of Wards	21 W. R., 152	2453
Rampershad Ojha v. Bhurosa Koonwar	9 W. R., 328	242, 6227
Ram Pershad Sahoo v. Rumzanee	2 Agra, 37	4548
Rampershad Singh v. Najbunnissa Kooer	9 W. R., 501	4156
——— v. Neerbhoy Singh	11 B. L. R., 76 : 19 W. R., 24.	1143
Rampershad Sookul v. Rajunder Sahoy	9 W. R., 262	5792
Rampershad Tewary v. Sheo Churn Doss	10 Moore's I. A., 490	31, 2314 2351
Rampershad Vogut v. Ramtohul Singh	Marsh., 655	529, 3080
Ram Pertab Singh v. Bholabutty Koonwar	9 W. R., 586	2816, 5216
Ramphal Lal v. Jafir Ali	7 N. W., 199	3330
Ramphal Rai v. Rambaran Rai	I. L. R., 5 All., 53	1868
——— v. Tula Kuari	I. L. R., 6 All., 116	2196
Ramphul v. Durga	I. L. R., 7 All., 815	4747
Ramphul Ahir v. Bhugwan Dutt Paurey	12 W. R., 326	1455
Ramphul Lall v. Chundee Pershad	1 N. W., 204 : Ed. 1873, 287	4829, 4852
Ramphul Pandey v. Wahed Ali Khan	14 W. R., 66	4016, 6232
Ramphul Sahoo v. Misree Lall	24 W. R., 97	1359, 2943 3276

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramphul Singh *v.* Deg Narain Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 517 : 10 C. L. R., 489.	2176, 2343
Rampiyari *v.* Mulchand	I. L. R., 7 All., 114	2317
Ram Prasad *v.* Dirgpal	I. L. R., 3 All., 744	102
——— *v.* Raghunandan Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 738	1778, 1783
——— *v.* Rai Kishen	I. L. R., 6 All., 36	5891
——— *v.* Sitla Prasad	I. L. R., 5 All., 484	2577
——— *v.* Sukh Dai	I. L. R., 2 All., 720	1226
Ramprasad Hazra. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 426	264
Ramprasad Rai *v.* Dina Kuar	I. L. R., 4 All., 515	1164, 2867 4086
——— *v.* Radha Prasad Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 402	34
Ramprasad Ram *v.* Raghunandan Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 239	1282
Ramprosad Das *v.* Rajo Koer	5 C. L. R., 94	5760
Ramprosad Janna *v.* Lakhi Narain Pradhan	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 197	3434
Ramprotab Misser *v.* Abhilack Misser	3 C. L. R., 170	683, 4194
Rampurgass Singh *v.* Ameer Ali	3 Agra, Mis., 3	3562
Ramputty Koer *v.* Kalee Churn Singh	14 W. R., 94	1196, 1198
Rampyari Debi *v.* Dinanath Mookerjee	2 B. L. R., S. N., 13 : 10 W. R., 375.	5742
Ramrao Trimbak *v.* Yeshvantrao Madhavrao	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 327	2231, 5593
Ram Rau *v.* Raja Rau	2 Mad., 114	50, 2942
Ramrav Khanderav *v.* Govind Pandshet	6 Bom., A. C., 63	317, 697
Ram Rewaz Koowar. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 536	1969
Ram Rooch Chowdree *v.* Deokee Nundun	2 N. W., 169	1360, 5221
Ramrooder Surma *v.* Prankishen Surma	2 Hay, 86	5267
Ram Roop Singh *v.* Sheo Golam Singh	25 W. R., 327	3824
Ram Rotton Mundul *v.* Netro Kally Dossee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 339	1569, 3047
Ram Rucha Doss *v.* Doorga Dutt Misser	13 W. R., 453	1481
Ramrudeegowda *v.* Dessai Sahib	17 W. R., 8	4457, 4653
Ram Rukha Roy *v.* Gobind Doss Byragee	15 W. R., 291	1755, 3797
Ramrunginee Dossee *v.* Gooroodoss Roy	17 W. R., Cr., 9	4485, 4491
——— *v.* ———	18 W. R., Cr., 36	516
——— *v.* ———	22 W. R., 212	517
Ram Runjun Bhandari *v.* Madhub Ghose	25 W. R., Cr., 33	5510
Ramrunjun Chuckerbutty *v.* Anund Coomar Mookerjee	15 W. R., 323	771
——— *v.* Banee Madhub Mookerjee	21 W. R., 253	1249
——— *v.* Court of Wards	21 W. R., 192	1711
——— *v.* Jowhurujumah Khan	23 W. R., 129	842
——— *v.* Ram Lall Mukhopadhya	5 C. L. R., 62	3338
——— *v.* Ram Prosad Dass	13 C. L. R., 26	366
——— *v.* ———	5 C. L. R., 128	5890
Ramrutno Sircar *v.* Chunder Mookhee Debia	2 W. R., Act X, 74	1609, 1617
Ramrutton *v.* Oriental Inland Steam Navigation Company.	2 Hyde, 89	6275
Ramrutton Rae *v.* Furrookonnissa Begum	4 Moore's I. A., 233	4198
Ram Ruttun Audo *v.* Ram Chunder Pal	25 W. R., 113	4873
Ram Ruttun Banerjee *v.* Ameeroolmolk Bunwaree Gobind.	6 W. R., Mis., 95	3479, 3509
Ram Ruttun Dass *v.* Gobind Dass	2 Agra, 141	4284
Ram Ruttun Ghose *v.* Prosunno Nath Bhuttacharjee	20 W. R., 203	325
Ram Ruttun Neogee. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 3	965
——— *v.* Phool Singh	W. R., 1864, 147	5288
Ramruttun Neogy *v.* Land Mortgage Bank of India	17 W. R., 193	3776
Ramruttun Rae *v.* Dwarka Doss	2 N. W., 193	3815
Ram Ruttun Saha *v.* Bawool Mundul	23 W. R., Cr., 4	1223
Ram Sabuk Bose *v.* Kaminee Koomaree Dossee	14 B. L. R., 394	4660, 5329

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Sabuk Bose *v.* Monmohinee Dossee	L. R., 2 I. A., 71 : 23 W. R., 113.	4660, 5329
Ram Sagur Dutt *v.* Nobogopaul Mookerjee	Bourke, O. C., 367	5879
Ram Sahai *v.* Bank of Bengal	I. L. R., 8 All., 262	2703
——— *v.* Gaya	I. L. R., 7 All., 107	1485, 4558
Ram Sahai Misser *v.* Bisraj Singh	1 Agra, Rev., 19	1675
Ram Sahai Singh *v.* Dhunookdharee Singh	1 W. R., 266	996
——— *v.* Maniram	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 807 : 6 C. L. R., 223.	3145, 5967
——— *v.* Sheo Sahai Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 492 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 421 : 6 W. R., Mis., 98.	3495, 3506 3526
Ramsahaya Singa *v.* Muzhar Ali	2 B. L. R., Ap., 40	4308
Ram Sahay Singh *v.* Rookhoo Singh	15 W. R., 414	1489
Ram Sahoy *v.* Balmokund	L. R., 3 I. A., 259 : 26 W. R., 55.	2215, 2216 2762, 4224
——— *v.* Seethoo	1 N. W., 28 : Ed. 1873, 26	6096
——— *v.* Sheo Dyal	L. R., 3 I. A., 259 : 26 W. R., 55.	2215, 2216 2762, 4224
Ram Sahoy Singh *v.* Koldeep Singh	15 W. R., 80	2852, 4468
——— *v.* Mohabeer Pershad	25 W. R., 185	2164
Ram Sahye Bhukkut *v.* Lalla Laljee Sahye	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 149 : 9 C. L. R., 457.	2337, 2398
Ram Saran Lal *v.* Amirta Kuar	I. L. R., 3 All., 369	6159
Ramsaran Mitter *v.* Rakhal Das Dutt	6 B. L. R., 244, note : 11 W. R., 412.	2846
Ram Saran Sing *v.* Bhajan Dobay Karpardaz	6 B. L. R., Ap., 155 : 11 W. R., 515.	1626
Ram Sarun Singh *v.* Pran Pearee	1 W. R., 156	1680
Ram Sarun Sahoo *v.* Veryag Mahton	25 W. R., 554	305, 5176
Ram Sarup *v.* Bela	I. L. R., 6 All., 313 : L. R., I. A., 44.	1097, 2042
——— *v.* Rukmin Kuar	I. L. R., 7 All., 884	1443
Ramsay *v.* Anderson	7 C. L. R., 336	391
Ramsebak Misser. In the matter of	5 B. L. R., 179	2675, 5533
———. ———	6 B. L. R., 119	2665
———. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., 310	2660
Ramsebuk *v.* Ramlall Koondoo	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 815 : 8 C. L. R., 457.	2376, 3219 3226, 4278
Ram Sevak Das *v.* Raghubar Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 72	2401
Ramsewak Chowdhuree *v.* Nackchedee Singh	3 Agra, 357 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 160.	2885
Ram Sewak Singh *v.* Nakched Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 261	3871, 4876 4998
Ram Shahai Chowdhry *v.* Sanker Bahadur	6 B. L. R., Ap., 65 : 15 W. R. Cr., 7.	6257
Ramshet Bachashet *v.* Pandharinath	8 Bom., A. C., 236	3442, 3975 4026
Ramshet bin Bachashet *v.* Balkrishna bin Ababhat	6 Bom., A. C., 161	5727
Ramshewuk Roy *v.* Sheo Gobind Sahoo	8 W. R., 519	2509, 2516
Ram Shewuk Sahoo *v.* Futto Roy	12 W. R., 184	5689
Ram Shodoy Ghose *v.* Juttadharee Haldar	7 W. R., 95	2843
Ram Shunker *v.* Lalee Bee	2 Agra, 268	3832
——— *v.* Sheo Pershad	5 N. W., 7	2919
Ram Shurn Shaha *v.* Bhote Kinkur	14 W. R., 268	36
Ram Singh Mohapattur *v.* Bhottro Manjee Sonthal	24 W. R., 298	3272
Ram Sookh *v.* Tara Singh	3 Agra, 40	5024

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Soondaree Debee. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 86	4503
Ramsoondaree Debia Chowdhrain v. Ram Pershad Sadhoo	8 W. R., 288	1733
Ram Soonder Bandopadhya. In the matter of	10 B. L. R., Ap., 21	494
Ram Soonder Doss v. Mahomed Abbed	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 102	5775
Ram Soonder Roy v. Ram Sahye Bhugut	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 919	2337
Ram Soonder Sandyal v. Gopessur Mostofee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 716 : 2 C. L. R., 220.	3513
Ram Soonder Sircar v. Kistobag Bag	Marsh., 322 : 2 Hay, 421	5763
Ram Soondur v. Ram Canto	11 W. R., 8	3496
Ram Soondur Banerjee v. Doorga Churn Barui	19 W. R., 128	494
——— v. Kalee Pershad Hajrah	19 W. R., 267	5775
Ram Soondur Chuckerbutty v. Kalee Komul Dutt	6 W. R., Act X, 92	5589
——— v. Ramessur Acharjee	8 W. R., 454	4205
Ram Soondur Dey v. Shoshi Mohun Pal Chowdhry	11 C. R., 389	2658, 5440
Ram Soonduree Dassia v. Surut Soonduree Debia	17 W. R., 33	5586
Ram Soondur Mundul v. Akima Bibee	8 W. R., 366	1810
Ram Soondur Poddar. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 515	1258, 5163
Ram Soondur Sein v. Krishno Chunder Goopto	17 W. R., 380	2752, 4892
Ram Soondur Shaha v. Delanney	20 W. R., 103	4867
Ram Soondur Singh v. Surbanee Dossee	22 W. R., 121	2141
Ram Soondur Thakoor v. Taruck Chunder Turkoruttun	19 W. R., 28	1458
Ram Subhag Das v. Gobind Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 622	3186
Ram Sudoy Ghose v. Rajbullubh Saha	15 W. R., 547	3530
Ram Sukh Bhunjo v. Brohmoyi Dasi	6 C. L. R., 470	3291
Ramsundar v. Nirotam	I. L. R., 6 All., 477	3610
Ram Sunday Poramanick v. Prasanna Kumar Bose	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 382 : 5 W. R., Act X, 22.	2890
Ram Sundar Singh v. Ram Bandhan Singh	7 N. W., 126	765, 5118
Ram Sunder De v. Rajab Ali	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 558	490
Ram Sunder Tewari v. Srimunt Dewasi	14 B. L. R., 371, note : 10 W.R., 215.	1734
Ram Sunker Bhadoofy. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 440	3452
Ram Sunker Sanaputty v. Gopaul Kishen Deo	1 W. R., 68	3129, 3180
Ram Sunker Senaputty v. Bir Chunder Manikya	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 714	560
Ram Sunker Sein v. Nilkant Biswas	9 W. R., 392	4913
Ram Surn Muhton v. Jinonauth Bhuggut	10 W. R., 396	5007
Ram Surohee Singh v. Kashee Roy	6 W. R., 176	1676
Ram Surun Dass v. Ram Chund	1 Agra, 283	4807, 6153
Ram Surun Lall v. Lokobas Kooer	18 W. R., 39	880, 5380
Ram Surun Singh v. Gooroo Dyal Singh	1 W. R., 83	228, 3334
——— v. Mahomed Ameer	13 W. R., 78	3251, 3442, 4287
——— v. Pran Pearee	15 W. R., P. C., 14 : 13 Moore's I. A., 551.	1680
Ram Surun Sokool v. Sheorutun Koormee	2 Agra, Pt. II, 166	2291
Ramtahal Ram v. Rameshar Ram	I. L. R., 8 All., 140	761
Ram Tahul v. Madho	2 Agra, 111	5100
Ramtanu Acharji v. Komal Lochan Roy	3 B. L. R., Ap., 37	5064
Ramtarak Karati v. Dinanath Mandal	7 B. L. R., 184	321, 2856
Ram Tarrun Koondoo v. Hossein Buksh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 785 : 2 C. L. R., 385.	4869
Ram Taruck Barrik v. Sidhessuree Dossee	13 W. R., 60	150
Ram Taruck Ghose v. Biressur Banerjee	6 W. R., Act X, 32	1653
Ram Taruck Ghossal v. Radha Bullub Sircar	15 W. R., 96	4284
Ramtonoo Surmah Sircar v. Gour Chunder Surmah Sircar	3 W. R., 64	4792, 4820, 5798

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramtonu Acharjee v. Pearymohun Acharjee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 551: 7 C. L. R., 557.	5693
Ramtuhul Lall v. Sheo Nath Singh	1 N. W., 24: Ed. 1873, 29	1361
Ramtuhul Singh v. Bisseswar Lal Sahoo	15 B. L. R., 208: 23 W. R., 305: L. R., 2 I. A., 131.	5492, 6150
Ramtuhul Thakoor v. Oodit Narain Singh	12 W. R., 36	6233
Ram Tunnoo v. Sreenath Doss	Marsh., 537: 2 Hay, 659	5262
Ram Tunoo Acharjee v. Radha Gobind	11 W. R., 407	5004
Ram Tunoo Koondoo v. Mullicka Dossee	14 W. R., 338	5796
Ram Tunoo Koloo v. Sharoda Pershad Mullick	19 W. R., 91	4891
Ramu Naikan v. Subbaraya Mudali	7 Mad., 229	3939
Ram Yad v. Bissessur Bhuttacharjee	2 W. R., Mis., 23	194
Ramyad Sahoo v. Doolar Sahoo	9 W. R., 441	384
Ram Yad Singh v. Lalla Saligram Singh	16 W. R., 98	4258
——— v. Nirunjun Koer	22 W. R., 420	371
Ramzan Ali v. Anwar Ali	2 B. L. R., Ap., 19: 11 W. R., 52.	536
——— v. Durpo Komilla	24 W. R., Cr., 58	49, 3616 6029
——— v. Janki Prasad	6 B. L. R., 119	2665
Ranchoddas Dayaldas v. Ranchoddas Nanabhai	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 581	606, 5378
Ranchoddas Krishnadas v. Bapu Narhar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 439	1741
Ranchoddas Nathubhai v. Jeychand Khusalchand	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 405	1108, 3210 3221
Ranchhod Jamnadas v. Lallu Haribhai	10 Bom., 95	2615
Ranchodji v. Lallu	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 304	290
Ranchod Varajbhai v. Municipality of Dakor	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 142	619
Rane v. Rane	3 Bom., A. C., 173	2365
Raneegunge Coal Association v. Hem Lall	24 W. R., Cr., 17	4501
Ranga v. Sithaya	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 368	1114
——— v. Suba Hedge	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 473	1075, 1093 2967
Rangai. In the matter of	12 C. L. R., 500	5167
Rangaiyan v. Hari Krishna Aiyan	2 Mad., 437	2822
Ranganayaka Ammal v. Venkatachellapati Nayudu	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 323	4341
Ranganmani Dasi v. Kasinath Dutt	3 B. L. R., O. C., 1: 13 W. R., 76, note.	2373, 4320
Rangasary v. Shappani Asary	5 Mad., 375	813
Rangasami Aiyyangar v. Narasimhulu Nayak	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 213	1301
Rangasami Mudelliar v. Sirangan	4 Mad., 254	762
Rangasvami Ayyangar v. Kristna Ayyangar	1 Mad., 72	1678
——— v. Vanjulatammal	1 Mad., 28	2192
Rangaswami Gounden v. Sabapathy Gounden	4 Mad., 162	978
Rangil Das v. Bai Girja	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 559	242
Ranglal Sahu v. Siali Dhar Das	3 B. L. R., Ap., 27: 11 W. R., 293.	3800
Rangoba Naik v. Collector of Ratnagiri	8 Bom., A. C., 107	3298, 5590
Rango Vinayak Dev v. Yamunabai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 44	2425
Rango Vithal v. Rikhivadas bin Rayachand	11 Bom., 174	4960
Rangubai v. Bhagirthibai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 377	2119, 2137
Ranjit Singh v. Ilahi Baksh	I. L. R., 5 All., 520	1448, 2871 4076
——— v. Jagannath Prosad Gupta	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 375	4674
——— v. Shoo Prasad Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 487	293, 3227
Rannak Husain v. Harbans Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 283	985
Ranoji v. Kandoji	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 557	2418
Ransordass Bhogilal v. Kesrising Mohanlal	1 Bom., 229	1348, 2085

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ranu valad Avaji Mali *v.* Ramabai kom Mahadu Mali	6 Bom., A. C., 265	3958, 4252
Rao Bahadur Singh *v.* Jowahir Kuar	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 887 : L. R., 11 I. A., 75.	5104
Rao Baneeram *v.* Newaz Bibee	8 W. R., 511	5121
——— *v.* Ram Nath Shah	10 B. L. R., Ap., 2 : 18 W. R., 412.	568
Raojee Gunesh *v.* Rao Jalee Pershad	8 W. R., 91	770
Raoji Narayan *v.* Krishnaji Lakshman	11 Bom., 139	3546
Rao Kurun Singh *v.* Mahomed Fyaz Ali Khan	10 B. L. R., 1 : 14 Moore's I. A., 176, 187.	2209, 2301, 4155
——— *v.* Mehtab Koonwer	3 Agra, 150	1751
Raol Gorain *v.* Teza Gorain	4 B. L. R., Ap., 90	2155
Rao Oomrao Singh *v.* Sutun Lall	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 77 : Ed 1873, 168.	1488
Rasaji bin Davlaji *v.* Sayana bin Sagdu	6 Bom., A. C., 7	2719
Rash Beharee Baboo. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 130	166
——— *v.* Gooroodass Baboo	7 W. R., 115	167
Rash Beharee Lall *v.* Burmessur Nauth	10 W. R., 30	3397
Rash Beharee Roy *v.* Doorgabur Roy	14 W. R., 211	375
——— *v.* Ezud Buksh	11 W. R., 276	2792, 4476
——— *v.* Nimaye Churn	W. R., 1864, 223	2300, 2333
Rash Beharee Singh *v.* Koonj Beharee Singh	W. R., 1864, Mis., 31	5121
——— *v.* Saheb Roy	12 W. R., 76	3556, 5776
Rash Behari Dass *v.* Gopinath Barapanda Mohapatu	11 C. L. R., 352	3249
Rash Behari Lal Sing *v.* Nabayi Poddar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 99 : 11 W. R., 465.	326, 4478
Rashbehari Mukherji *v.* Sakhi Sundari Dasi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 644	1190
Rash Behary Bundopadhya *v.* Peary Mohun Mookerjee.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 346 : 3 C. L. R., 116.	3014
Rash Behary Bysack *v.* Buddun Chunder Singh	12 C. L. R., 550	3244
Rashbehary Ghose *v.* Barroda Proshad Mookhopadhya	7 C. L. R., 380	3809
——— *v.* Ram Coomar Ghose	22 W. R., 487	1614, 3044
Rash Behary Lall *v.* Wajan	12 B. L. R., 208, note : 11 W. R., 516.	811, 1932
Rash Behary Mookerjee *v.* Khettro Nath Roy	1 C. L. R., 418	1637, 6110
Rashbehary Mookhopadhya *v.* Surnomoyee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 403 : 9 C. L. R., 79.	211
Rash Dharee Singh *v.* Nuthoonee Singh	24 W. L. R., 301	4476
Rashessur Surmah *v.* Kaleekanath Surmah	11 B. L. R., 138, note : 11 W. R., 455.	2721
Rashmohun Goshamy. In the matter of the petition of ——— *v.* Kalinath Raha	19 W. R., 3	5885
Rash Mohun Goswamy *v.* Issur Chunder Mookerjee	9 W. R., 113	3315
Rashmonee Dassee *v.* Bhubo Nath Bhuttacharjee	12 W. R., 252	34
Rashmonee Debea *v.* Hurronath Roy	1 W. R., 280	4150
——— *v.* Ramjoy Shaha	2 Hay, 516	525
Rashmonee Debia *v.* Rajaram Sircar	15 W. R., 86	5670
Rash Munjooree Chowdhrain *v.* Radha Soonduree Dossee	23 W. R., 283	1453
Rashomonee Dossee *v.* Chowdhry Juumojoy Mullick	9 W. R., 356	5830, 5831
Rashum Beebee *v.* Bissonauth Sircar	6 W. R., Act X, 57	3018
Rasik Lal *v.* Gajraj Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 414	3354
Rasmonee Debea *v.* Pran Kishen Das	7 W. R., P. C., 66	4000, 4008
Rasmonee Debia *v.* Mahomed Hafezzoollah	12 W. R., 29	5741
Ras Muni Dibiah *v.* Prankishen Das	4 Moore's I. A., 392	4000, 4008
Rassam *v.* Donzello	23 W. R., 121	2982
Rassmonee Dossee *v.* Monshar Ally	1 Hay, 483	510
Rassonada Rayar *v.* Sitharama Pillai	2 Mad., 171	4136

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rasul Bibi v. Mobarik Ali	2 B. L. R., A. C., 303, note : 11 W. R., 186.	230
Ratanbai v. Great Indian Peninsula Railway Company	7 Bom., O. C., 120, note	1382
——— v. ———	8 Bom., O. C., 130	1382
Ratan Chand Shri Chand v. Hanmantrav Shivbakao	6 Bom., A. C., 166	1542
Ratan Dabee v. Modhoosoodun Mohapator	2 C. L. R., 328	2317
Ratanji Hormasji v. Edalji Hormasji	8 Bom., O. C., 181	2615, 4313
Ratan Krishen Poddar v. Raghoo Nath Shaha	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 287 : 10 C. L. R., 275.	5707
Ratan Kuar v. Jiwan Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 194	4187, 4860
Ratanmani Dasi v. Miller	4 B. L. R., O. C., 63 : 15 W. R., O. C., 18, note.	2663
Ratan Rai v. Hanuman Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 118	4994
Ratan Shankar Revashankar v. Gulabshankar Lalshankar.	4 Bom., A. C., 173	4052, 5670 5933
——— v. ———	10 Bom., 21	5670
Ratansi Kalianji. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 148	1863
Ratan Singh v. Wazir	I. L. R., 1 All., 165	4916
Ratansi Pancham v. Saunders	8 Bom., O. C., 159	5586
Rateeah v. Phokondee	5 W. R., Cr., 1	957
Ratiram v. Chiranji Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 579	5423
Ratnam v. Govindarajulu	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 339	2379
Ratnasubbu v. Ponnappa	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 69	2294
Rau Narasinga. Ex parte	2 Mad., 164	684
Rautan v. Ibrahim Rautan	1 Mad., 441	2648
——— v. Kadangot Shupan	1 Mad., 112	3763
Ravichand Dalichand v. Moti Lal Narbheram	4 Bom., A. C., 77	1494
Ravi Varma v. Koman	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 223	800
Ravji bin Keshav. In re	8 Bom., Cr., 13	1952
Ravji v. Sharangpani v. Gangadharbhat	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 29	2378
Ravji Narayan v. Krishnaji Lakshman	11 Bom., 139	5397
Ravji Narayan Mandlik v. Dadaji Bapuji, Mamlatdar of Ratnagiri.	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 523	5502
Ravji Raghunath v. Mahadevrav Vishvanath	2 Bom., 237	5591
Ravji Ranchod Naik v. Vishnu Ranchod Naik	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 241	4664
Ravji Shivram Joshi v. Kaluram	12 Bom., 160	698
Ravlojirav bin Tamajirav v. Balvantrav Venkatesh	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 437	2097, 3363
Ravloji Tamaji v. Dholpa Raghu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 123	230, 4952
Rav Saheb V. N. Mandlik v. Kamaljabai Saheb Nimbalkar.	10 Bom., 26	1098, 4511
Rawlins v. Daniel	2 Agra, 56	3811
(Rawut) Urjun Singh v. (Rawut) Ghunsiam Singh	5 Moore's I. A., 169	2230
Rayachand Amichand. Ex parte	2 Bom., 391 : 2nd Ed., 369	4429, 4678
Rayacharlu v. Venkataramaniah	4 Mad., 60	2367
Rayadur Nallatambi Chetti v. Rayadur Makunda Chetti	3 Mad., 455	2460
Rayan Krishnamachariyar v. Kuppanayyangar	1 Mad., 180	2138, 2288
Rayappa Chetti v. Ali Saib	2 Mad., 336	4946
Rayappan v. Virabhadra	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 530	5285
Raya Raghoba Kamat v. Anapurnabai kom Subalbhat	10 Bom., 98	4855
Rayasangji Shivsangji v. Gulam Rasul	9 Bom., 286	181
Rayee Monee Dossee v. Puddum Mookhee Dossee	13 W. R., 66	2466
Rayekishoree Dossee v. Bonomallee Churn Mytee	10 W. R., 209	244
Raye Kissory Dassee v. Nilcant Dey	20 W. R., 370	2952
Raye Kumul Dossee v. Jhoroo Mollah	15 W. R., 543	6279
Raygo v. Pogose	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 709, note	186
Raynor v. Mussoorie Bank	I. L. R., 2 All., 55	6218
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 7 All., 681	832, 5966

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Raynor v. Sungheer Singh	5 N. W., 211	1363, 5431
Razabai kom Rangoji v. Sadu bin Bhavani	8 Bom., A. C., 98	1459
Razeeooddeen v. Jhubboo Singh	W. R., 1864, 75	3963
——— v. Zeenut Bibee	8 W. R., 463	3723
Reade v. Krishna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 391	3745
Reardon. In the matter of the petition of	8 Mad., 85	3812
Reasut Ali v. Abbott	12 W. R., 132	2745, 3667
Reasut Hossein v. Abdoollah	L. R., 1 I. A., 72	4635
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 131: 26 W. R., 50: L. R., 3 I. A., 221.	4764, 4849 5115, 5126
——— v. Chorwar Sing	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 470: 9 C. L. R., 260.	1177
——— v. Jusmunt Roy	15 W. R., 396	2715
Reaz Ali Khan v. Government of India	19 W. R., 267	3156
Reazooddeen v. Collector of Cuttack	10 W. R., 175	1249, 3207
Reazooddeen Mahomed v. McAlpine	22 W. R., 540	5637
Reazoonissa v. Bookoo Chowdhrain	12 W. R., 267	1753
——— v. Dad Ali	8 W. R., 326	1660
Reazoonissa Khanum v. Doyanauth Jha	Marsh, 638	5081
Rebeiro v. Rebeiro	3 W. R., 63	6200
Redunm Atchutaramayya v. Mahomed Amin Khan *alias* Dada Sahib.	5 Mad., 272	3777
Redoy Kissen Dutt v. Ram Coomar Sen	3 C. L. R., 231	5305
Reed. In the goods of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 20	4662
——— v. Gourmoney Dabee	6 Moore's I. A., 490	4641
——— v. Sreekishen Singh	15 W. R., 430	5177
Reednath Sahoo. In the matter of the petition of ——— v. Gopee Sahoo	19 W. R., 191	272
Reedoy Kisto Muzoomdar v. Puddo Lochun Muzoomdar	1 W. R., 12	354, 369
Reedoy Nath Dooripa v. Puddo Lochun Chuckerbutty	22 W. R., 205	580
Reet Bhunjun Singh v. Mitturjeet Singh	6 W. R., Mis., 31	5477
Reference by Board of Revenue	I. L. R., 2 All., 654	5846
———	I. L. R., 2 All., 664	5845
———	I. L. R., 3 All., 788	5862
———	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 15	5861
———	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 155	5858
———. In the matter of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 254: 10 C. L. R., 33.	5847
———	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 92: 13 C. L. R., 164.	5848
Reference by the Chief Presidency Magistrate. In the matter of.	6 C. L. R., 392	985
Reference by Sessions Judge of Surat	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 352	5606
Reference from District Judge under s. 49 of the Stamp Act, 1879.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 18	5848, 5856
Reference under Stamp Act, 1879	I. L. R., 5 All., 360	5863
———	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 18	5848, 5856
———	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 394	5852
———	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 176	5853
———	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 203	5858
———	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 209	5856
———	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 349	5846
———	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 350	5844
———	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 385	5845
———	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 421	5856
———	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 11	5853

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reference under Stamp Act, 1879	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 15	5844
———	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 87	5844
———	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 104	5860
———	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 453	5861
———	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 532	5845
———	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 564	305, 5852
———	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 138	5854
———	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 140	5863
———	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 146	5860
———	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 358	5860
Reg. v. Abdul Kadar	3 Bom., Cr., 45	1951
—— v. Acharjya	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 224	1338
—— v. Adivigallu	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 171	2911
—— v. Abone Akong	9 Bom., 356	2911
—— v. Ajam Dulla	8 Bom., Cr., 115	708, 5597
—— v. Alibhai Mitha	8 Bom., Cr., 103	1007
—— v. Ali valad Faker Muhammad	5 Bom., Cr., 9	713
—— v. Amba kom Girsoji	4 Bom., Cr., 1	5162
—— v. Amrita Govinda	10 Bom., 497	16, 1010; 1022, 5579
—— v. Amruta Nathu	7 Bom., Cr., 29	1313
—— v. Annaji valad Govindram	1 Bom., 93	4119
—— v. Anta bin Dadoba	1 Bom., 101	2582, 2584
—— v. Anvarkhan valad Gulkhan	9 Bom., 172	5554
—— v. Arjun	1 Bom., 87	1961, 5554
—— v. Arjun Megha	11 Bom., 281	1289, 5152
—— v. Arunachellam	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 164	4376
—— v. Atmaram Vaman Bhandarkar	3 Bom., Cr., 8	3630
—— v. Auba bin Bhivrav	4 Bom., Cr., 6	1047
—— v. Avji bin Naru	8 Bom., Cr., 22	1241
—— v. Babaji bin Bhau	4 Bom., Cr., 16	1256, 5161
—— v. Babji valad Bapu	4 Bom., Cr., 5	6190
—— v. Bagu valad Oswari	4 Bom., Cr., 34	965, 3612
—— v. Bahirji bin Krishnaji	1 Bom., 39	5561
—— v. Bai Ratan	10 Bom., 166	1010
—— v. Bai Divale	5 Bom., Cr., 48	966
—— v. Bai Rupa	2 Bom., 124: 2nd Ed., 117	149, 587; 2229
—— v. Balapa bin Dandapa	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 639	1297
—— v. Balvant V. Pendharkar	11 Bom., 137	1005, 6167
—— v. Bapu Parbat	7 Bom., Cr., 81	714
—— v. Bapu Yadav	11 Bom., 172	1222
—— v. Bassuji Gungaram	7 Bom., Cr., 2	619
—— v. Bechar Khushal	5 Bom., Cr., 43	5567
—— v. Bechar Mava	4 Bom., Cr., 38	2910
—— v. Bhagtidas Bhagwandas	5 Bom., Cr., 51	609, 4358
—— v. Bhagu bin Shabaji	5 Bom., Cr., 47	3618
—— v. Bhaishankar Hariram	3 Bom., Cr., 18	262
—— v. Bhaishankar Narbheram	6 Bom., Cr., 75	1789
—— v. Bhala Chula	1 Bom., 17	2581
—— v. Bhaskar Kharkar	3 Bom., Cr., 1	258, 4723; 5602
—— v. Bhau bin Vithu	3 Bom., Cr., 53	4363
—— v. Bhavanishankar	11 Bom., 3	2008
—— v. Bhavanishankar Haribhai	5 Bom., Cr., 55	709
—— v. Bhikoba Vinoba	4 Bom., Cr., 9	612
—— v. Bhista bin Madanna	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 308	5874

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Budhu Nanku	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 475	343
—— v. Byha valad Surjim	I Bom., 91	5543, 6263
—— v. Cassidy	4 Bom., Cr., 17	453, 707
—— v. Chand Nur	11 Bom , 240	1153
—— v. Changia valad Shumia	7 Bom., Cr., 68	6190
—— v. Chanviova	1 Bom., 4	5566
—— v. Chenappa valad Nagappa	5 Bom., Cr., 44	5568
—— v. Chill	8 Bom., Cr., 92	2105
—— v. Chima	8 Bom., Cr., 164	15, 451 1805
—— v. Chouthmal Lachiram	11 Bom., 144	1220
—— v. Connon	6 Bom., Cr., 15	1468
—— v. Dalpatram Pemabhai	5 Bom., Cr., 105	4782
—— v. Dalsukram Haribhai	2 Bom., 407 : 2nd Ed., 384	2785, 4110 4380
—— v. Damo Dhar Dalji	1 Bom., 181	6189
—— v. Damodhar Ram Chandra	5 Bom., Cr., 68	1963. 5156
—— v. Daya Anand	11 Bom., 44	1016
—— v. Dayabhai Parjaram	1 Bom., 140	735
—— v. Dayal Jairaj	3 Bom., Cr., 20	2922, 3097
—— v. Dayalji Endarji	8 Bom., Cr., 21	1967
—— v. Deva Dayal	11 Bom., 237	1011
—— v. Devama	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 64	984, 1221 4751
—— v. Devsanvat bin Shivram Sanvat	3 Bom., Cr., 34	1155
—— v. Dhania Daji	5 Bom., Cr., 59	4374
—— v. Dharmaya valad Sangapa	8 Bom., Cr., 12	3624
—— v. Dhondu Ramchandra	5 Bom., Cr., 100	966
—— v. Dhori Kullan	9 Bom., 165	4359
—— v. Diaz	3 Bom., Cr., 51	1155
—— v. Dip Chand Khushal	4 Bom., Cr., 30	3612
—— v. Dixon	6 Bom., Cr., 14	3607
—— v. Dod Basaya	11 Bom., 13	5549
—— v. Donoghue	5 Mad., 277	2104
—— v. Dorabji Balabhai. In the matter of	11 Bom., 117	251
—— v. Dossa Sera	1 Bom., 139	2907
—— v. Durgaram Madhavram	7 Bom., Cr., 55	1987
—— v. Durwan Geer	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 253 : 5 W. R., Cr., 73.	4058
—— v. Dwarka Nauth Dutt	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 67 : 7 W. R., Cr., 15.	460
—— v. Elmstone	7 Bom., Cr., 89	4120
—— v. Fattechand Vastachand	5 Bom., Cr., 85	23, 720, 964 5155, 5164 6165, 6267
—— v. Gaji kom Ranu	1. L. R., 1 Bom., 311	1318
—— v. Ganga kom Mhasu	5 Bom., Cr , 18	3626
—— v. Gangaram Malji	6 Bom., Cr., 43	711
—— v. Gania bin Bapu	3 Bom., Cr., 50	3626
—— v. Ganoji bin Pandji	5 Bom., Cr., 49	1975
—— v. Ganu Bapu	2 Bom., 422 : 2nd Ed., 398	1800, 4020 5153
—— v. Ganu bin Dharoji	6 Bom., Cr., 57	23, 724
—— v. Ganu bin Krishna Gurav	4 Bom., Cr., 25	5150
—— v. Ganu bin Tatia Selar	5 Bom., Cr , 38	5518
—— v. Ganu Ladu	2 Bom., 132 : 2nd Ed., 126	5549, 5572
—— v. Ganu valad Ramchandra	4 Bom., Cr., 3	5162

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Gapau kom Kusaji	1 Bom., 92	1955
—— v. Garbad Bechar	9 Bom., 344	1007
—— v. Gazi kom Aba Dore	7 Bom., Cr., 83	4358
—— v. Genu bin Aku	5 Bom., Cr., 83	6191
—— v. Girdhar Dharamdas	6 Bom., Cr., 33	1259, 5601
—— v. Goluck Doss	1 Hyde, 282	1989
—— v. Gopal Lakshuman	5 Bom., Cr., 25	5602
—— v. Govinda	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 342	1334
—— v. Govinda bin Babaji	5 Bom., Cr., 15	3604, 5602
—— v. Govindas Haridas	6 Bom., Cr., 76	714
—— v. Govind Babli Raul	11 Bom., 278	1020
—— v. Gregory	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 423	1950
—— v. Gulabdas Kubordas	11 Bom., 99	1317
—— v. Gulam Abas	12 Bom., 147	5550
—— v. Guna bin Regnak	3 Bom., Cr., 29	3619
—— v. Gurningapa	7 Bom., Cr., 58	960
—— v. Hanmanta	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 610	5994
—— v. Hanmant Gavda	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 228	1220
—— v. Hanmapa bin Malapa	7 Bom., Cr., 37	5546
—— v. Hargovandas	9 Bom., 448	735, 5945
—— v. Hari bin Vithoji	1 Bom., 139	5600
—— v. Harishankar Fakirbhat	2 Bom., 136 : 2nd Ed., 130	5881
—— v. Hart	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 333	4239
—— v. Hau Nagji	7 Bom., Cr., 74	2029
—— v. Hira Jiva	7 Bom., Cr., 59	3626
—— v. Hira Punja	1 Bom., 33	2623
—— v. Hossein Jan	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 190	1989
—— v. Imam valad Buban	3 Bom., Cr., 57	23
—— v. Irapa bin Basapa	8 Bom., Cr., 162	4726, 4733
—— v. Jafar Ali	8 Bom., Cr., 113	969, 6182
—— v. Jaffer Naik	2 Bom., 133 : 2nd Ed., 127	1256
—— v. Jagunnath Bhat bin Appa Bhat	5 Bom., Cr., 103	1153
—— v. Jaili Bhavin	6 Bom., Cr., 60	4376
—— v. Jaimal Shravan	10 Bom., 69	1047
—— v. Jeetaram Shaw	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 404	706
—— v. Jellapa bin Mudakappa	1 Bom., 181	958
—— v. Jetha Bhala	10 Bom., 68	986
—— v. Jetha Moti	2 Bom., 135 : 2nd Ed., 129	5821
—— v. Jethya valad Vestya	9 Bom., 171	101
—— v. Jibhai Vaja	11 Bom., 11	4114
—— v. Jivanji Limji	6 Bom., Cr., 1	4726, 4731
—— v. Jivan Usman	3 Bom., Cr., 12	254, 3625
—— v. Joma bin Balu	4 Bom., Cr., 37	1152, 5547
—— v. Jofa Hasji	11 Bom., 242	1809
—— v. Joti bin Satu	1 Bom., 37	5821
—— v. Justices of the Peace for Calcutta	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 293	691
—— v. ————	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 24	378[illegible]
—— v. Kabhai Rava Bhai	5 Bom., Cr., 40	707
—— v. Kadir valad Balu	7 Bom., Cr., 50	1802
—— v. Kala bin Hari Gama	7 Bom., Cr., 72	3620
—— v. Kala Karsan	6 Bom., Cr., 55	399
—— v. Kalidas Keval	5 Bom., Cr., 10	3624
—— v. Kalla Lakhmaji	2 Bom., 419 : 2nd Ed., 395	1800, 4929, 5153
—— v. Kalubhai Meghabhai	7 Bom., Cr., 35	260
—— v. Kalu Patil	11 Bom., 146	1019, 6272
—— v. Kalya bin Fakir	5 Bom., Cr., 34	4353

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Kandakora	I. L. R., 1 Mad. 223	3627
—— v. Karsan Goja	2 Bom., 124 : 2nd Ed., 117	587, 2229
—— v. Karsanlal Danatram	5 Bom., Cr., 20	6259
—— v. Kasamji	5 Bom., Cr., 6	3623
—— v. Kashinath Bachaji Bagul	8 Bom., Cr., 168	1511
—— v. Kashinath Dinkar	8 Bom., Cr., 126	150, 1004, 1221, 1264, 4364, 4532, 4621, 5523, 6249
—— v. Kashya Rama	8 Bom., Cr., 63	2898
—— v. Kassamia	1 Bom., 100	3626
—— v. Kastya Rama	8 Bom., Cr., 63	3860, 4121
—— v. Kasya bin Ravji	5 Bom., Cr., 35	5[illegible]
—— v. Katunji Bhukan	1 Bom., 17	3604
—— v. Keru bin Ramshet	5 Bom., Cr., 100	619
—— v. Keshavshet	6 Bom., Cr., 74	5601
—— v. Khanderav Bajirav	1 L. R., 1 Bom., 10	6164
—— v. Khandoji bin Tanaji	5 Bom., Cr., 21	4363
—— v. Khatabai	6 Bom., Cr., 9	5993
—— v. Khoda Jagta	1 Bom., 137	713
—— v. Khushal Hiraman	4 Bom., Cr., 28	2007, 5591
—— v. Kikabhai Parbadas	9 Bom., 451	709
—— v. Krishnaji Bapuji Gaikavad	3 Bom., Cr., 39	5543
—— v. Krishna Parashram	5 Bom., Cr., 69	3615
—— v. Krishnashet bin Narayanshet	5 Bom., Cr., 46	3773
—— v. Kuberio Ratno	4 Bom., Cr., 8	3619
—— v. Kusa valad Lakshman	7 Bom., Cr., 70	6189, 6190
—— v. Kushya bin Yesu	4 Bom., Cr., 11	5570
—— v. Ladu	7 Bom., Cr., 87	5518, 5566
—— v. Lakhu valad Sakru	8 Bom., Cr., 118	3626
—— v. Lakhya Govind	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 50	2907
—— v. Lakshman Balaji	3 Bom., Cr., 10	3630
—— v. Lakshuman Ram Chandra	3 Bom., Cr., 56	2922
—— v. Lala Shambhu	10 Bom., 70	3611
—— v. Lallubhai Jassubhai	5 Bom., Cr., 64	4718
—— v. Lallu Karwar	5 Bom., Cr., 63	1990
—— v. Lalubhai Gopaldas	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 232	2921
—— v. Larkins	4 Bom., Cr., 30	965
—— v. Lingana bin Ginbana	4 Bom., Cr., 14	3865
—— v. Locha Kala	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 340	6182
—— v. Lukhma Chango	9 Bom., 153	619
—— v. Mahipya valad Bomya Mahar	5 Bom., Cr., 99	6182
—— v. Malapa bin Kapana	11 Bom., 196	1003
—— v. Malhari bin Shivji	3 Bom., Cr., 41	1986
—— v. Malhari Lauji	6 Bom., Cr., 45	254
—— v. Malharji bin Nauloji	3 Bom., Cr., 36	3624
—— v. Malhar Ram Chandra	7 Bom., Cr., 64	1973, 5521
—— v. Mangla Bhulia	7 Bom., Cr., 69	3616
—— v. Manikram Surajram	11 Bom., 231	2680
—— v. Manohar Raiji	5 Bom., Cr., 17	149
—— v. Mansang Bhavsang	10 Bom., 74	5497
—— v. Maruti Dada	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 15	15
—— v. Mathur Purshotam	4 Bom., Cr., 13	3626
—— v. Mavji Dayal	5 Bom., Cr., 10	3624
—— v. Mehervanji Bejanji	6 Bom., Cr., 6	735, 1326
—— v. Mehtarji Gopalji	7 Bom., Cr., 67	5146

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Miya valad Daud	6 Bom., Cr., 10	724
— v. Moroba Bhaskarji	8 Bom., Cr., 101	1259, 2778
— v. Muhammad Ali valad Abdul Ali	8 Bom., Cr., 9	6189
— v. Muhammad Khan valad Imam Khan	6 Bom., Cr., 54	5518
— v. Muhammad Saib	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 277	5567
— v. Muliya Nana	5 Bom., Cr., 24	5600
— v. Murar Trikam	5 Bom., Cr., 3	1154, 5553, 5602
— v. Muthavan	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 191	985
— v. Nabaji valad Vithoji	4 Bom., Cr., 2	5162
— v. Nana Moroji	8 Bom., Cr., 1	2028, 6183
— v. Nantamram Uttamram	6 Bom., Cr., 64	256, 4691
— v. Narainappa Comte	5 Bom., Cr., 39	1044
— v. Narayan Babaji	9 Bom., 346	6277
— v. Narayan Gangaram	9 Bom., 343	396, 3625
— v. Narayan Krishna	2 Bom., 416 : 2nd Ed., 392	3864
— v. Narayan Sundar	5 Bom., Cr., 1	2029, 6250
— v. Naro Gopal	5 Bom., Cr., 56	2011, 5167
— v. Natha Kalyan	8 Bom., Cr., 11	5993
— v. Natha Lalla	5 Bom., Cr., 67	4371
— v. Nathalal Pitambar	10 Bom., 102	691, 4532
— v. Natha Mula	4 Bom., Cr., 37	1990
— v. Navranbeg Dulabeg	10 Bom., 73	1317
— v. Navroji Dadabhai	9 Bom., 358	1005, 1852, 3098
— v. Nevalmal valad Umedmal	3 Bom., Cr., 16	1957
— v. Omrito Nauth Jha	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 399 : 6 W. R., Cr., 61.	4490, 4494, 4500
— v. Padala Venkatasami	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 4	2015
— v. Pandu bin Vithoji	4 Bom., Cr., 7	1947
— v. Pandurang Mayral	5 Bom., Cr., 41	1532
— v. Papidio Muthdo	9 Bom., 167	3613
— v. Parbat	7 Bom., Cr., 82	399
— v. Parbhudass Ambaram	11 Bom., 90	1833
— v. Parsapa Mahadevapa	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 339	1318
— v. Parshram Keshav	7 Bom., Cr., 61	5512, 5529
— v. Pestanji Dinsha	10 Bom., 75	18, 286, 722, 3098
— v. Pevadi bin Bassappa	2 Bom., 421 : 2nd Ed., 397	1800, 4920, 5153
— v. Pirtal	10 Bom., 356	2906
— v. Poshu bin Dhambaji Patil	2 Bom., 134 : 2nd Ed., 128	1671, 4358
— v. Prabhakar N. Soman	3 Bom., Cr., 11	3624
— v. Prema Bhika	1 Bom., 89	1970
— v. Premji Bhagvan	10 Bom., 295	1220
— v. Purshotam Valji	5 Bom., Cr., 33	1043, 4363, 4690
— v. Pyla Atchi	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 278	5496
— v. Ragha Naranji	7 Bom., Cr., 31	4622
— v. Raghoji bin Kanoji	3 Bom., Cr., 42	4354, 5161
— v. Rahimat	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 147	986
— v. Rama Bhivgowda	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 223	5550
— v. Rama bin Gopal	1 Bom., 107	2761, 4711
— v. Rama bin Rabhaji	1 Bom., 34	5565
— v. Ramajirav Jivbajirav	12 Bom., 1	736, 1153, 1317, 1971, 4691

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rex. v. Ramasami Padayachi	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 394	24
—— v. Ram Chandra Eknath	6 Bom., Cr., 36	4099
—— v. Ramcomul Mitter	I Hyde, 195	1792
—— v. Ramdas Samaldas	12 Bom., 217	690, 5886 6025
—— v. Ramji valad Daji	5 Bom., Cr., 12	958
—— v. Ramlojerio	5 Bom., Cr., 27	984
—— v. Ramaswami Mudliar	6 Bom., Cr., 47	263, 5152
—— v. Ranchoddas Nathubhai	4 Bom., Cr., 35	5602
—— v. Ranchhod Dyal	10 Bom., 424	1917
—— v. Ratanrav bin Mahadevrav Chavan	3 Bom., Cr., 32	5563
—— v. Rattanji Bhukan	1 Bom., 17	1220
—— v. Ravji valad Tajuj	8 Bom., Cr., 37	1806, 1965
—— v Ravlojirav bin Hanmantrav	5 Bom., Cr., 7	3094
—— v. Reay	7 Bom., Cr., 6	2902
—— v. ——	7 Bom., Cr., 77	285
—— v. Remedios	3 Bom., Cr., 59	4239
—— v. Sada Shibappa Pandurang Gappa	5 Bom., Cr., 29	966
—— v. Sadu Dadabhai	9 Bom., 166	3626, 5597
—— v. Sadu valad Pavadi	3 Bom., Cr., 39	3626
—— v. Sajjan valad Vithu	5 Bom., Cr., 104	5831
—— v. Sakharam Anatoba	10 Bom., 109, note	691
—— v. Sakharam Manohar	11 Bom., 125	5150
—— v. Sakharam Mukundji	11 Bom., 166	1832, 5152 6269
—— v. Sakya valad Kavji	5 Bom., Cr., 36	5570
—— v. Sambhu Raghu	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 347	586
—— v. Samia Kaundan	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 173	2938
—— v. Samsen Babaji	3 Bom., Cr., 43	955
—— v. Sangapa bin Bashiapa	7 Bom., Cr., 76	5568
—— v. Sankar Bhagvat	2 Bom., 417 : 2nd Ed., 394	1950
—— v. Santu bin Lakhappa Kore	5 Bom., Cr., 45	5568
—— v. Sardar Pathu	1 Bom., 38	1043
—— v. Shankar Abaji Hoshing	6 Bom., Cr., 69	6028
—— v. Shankar Venkaji	3 Bom., Cr., 15	259
—— v. Shipping Master of Calcutta	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 371	3812
—— v. Shivbasapa	7 Bom., Cr., 73	956, 5601
—— v. Shivya	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 219	1011
—— v. Showdar Ghenar	7 Bom., Cr., 39	4217
—— v. Siddu bin Balnath	1 Bom., 95	713
—— v. Subhana bin Ganu	9 Bom., 169	3621
—— v. Subi Sani	8 Bom., Cr., 28	5519
—— v. Surkya valad Dhaku	5 Bom., Cr., 31	6183
—— v. Surya bin Krishna Mandavkar	3 Bom., Cr., 38	6190
—— v. Tai	8 Bom., Cr., 24	5516
—— v. Thaku bin Ira	5 Bom., Cr., 81	5168
—— v. Thomesit	5 Bom., Cr., 22	5601
—— v. Timmi	2 Bom., 131 : 2nd Ed., 125	1788, 1861
—— v. Tookia	1 Bom., 3	3623
—— v. Tribhuvan Ishwar	3 Bom., Cr., 54	3630
—— v. Tukaram Ragho	12 Bom., 234	3618
—— v. Tukaya bin Tamana	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 214	5554
—— v. Tunga Tuka	5 Bom., Cr., 14	3624
—— v. Ukha Sau	7 Bom., Cr., 88	625
—— v. Umtha Rugnath	5 Bom., Cr., 8	262
—— v. Uttamchand Kapurchand	11 Bom., 120	1808
—— v. Vahala Jetha	7 Bom., Cr., 56	1007, 3606

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Vakhatchand	1 Bom., 50	4217
—— v. Vakta valad Lakhu	9 Bom., 164	660
—— v. Venkaji Bhaskar	8 Bom., Cr., 19	1044
—— v. Venku Narsa	9 Bom., 170	5604
—— v. Vinayak Divakar	8 Bom., Cr., 32	5529,5
—— v. Vinayak Trimbak	2 Bom., 414 : 2nd Ed., 391	1267, 55
—— v. Virji Kuvarji	2 Bom., 135 : 2nd Ed., 129	5821
—— v. Vishvanath Daulatrav	4 Bom., Cr., 33	2796
—— v. Vithaldas Pranjivandas	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 462	2921
—— v. Vithal Lakshuman	5 Bom., Cr., 13	906
—— v. Vithoba bin Soma	5 Bom., Cr., 61	5569
—— v. Vithoji	2 Bom., 422 : 2nd Ed., 398	1800, 4920 5158
—— v. Vithu bin Mallu	5 Bom., Cr., 36	3630
—— v. Vyankatrav Shrinivas	7 Bom., Cr., 50	2762, 3603 4358, 4625
—— v. Vyankatsvami	2 Bom., 112 : 2nd Ed., 106	260, 5905 5991
—— v. Wells	7 Bom., Cr., 1	2905
—— v. Yed Ali Khan	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 424	3097
—— v. Yella valad Parshia	3 Bom., Cr., 37	6191
—— v. Yenku Bapuji	8 Bom., Cr., 39	3624, 5298
—— v. Yessappa bin Ningappa	5 Bom., Cr., 41	955
—— v. Zora Karubeg	4 Bom., Cr., 12	5549
Reg. on the prosecution of Shallard v. Watkins	2 Mad., 444	2904
Reg. on the prosecution of Toolseedas Nundy v. East Indian Railway Company.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 244	3783
Reg. on the prosecution of Toolseedoss Nundy v. East Indian Railway Company.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258 : Bourke, O. C., 395.	925
Registration Act, 1866. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., O. C., 151 : 11 W. R., O. C., 24.	4757
——. ——	3 B. L. R., O. C., 60 : 12 W. R., 386, note.	4800
——. ——	6 B. L. R., 576	4764
Registration Act, 1871. ——	11 B. L. R., 20	4797
Reid v. Scott Thomson & Co.	2 Hyde, 172	3792
Reily v. Bamasoonduree Dossee	25 W. R., 398	4166
—— v. Hur Chunder Ghose	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 722 : 12 C. L. R., 398.	1174, 5309
—— v. Judoo Nath Ghuttuck	25 W. R., 175	545
Remfry v. DePenning	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 929	5904
—— v. Shillingford	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 130	4072
Rennie v. Gunganarain Chowdhry	3 W. R., 10	3849, 6134
Reotee Singh v. Ramjeet	2 N. W., 50	2201
Rethbunjun Singh v. Mitturjeet Singh	4 W. R., Mis., 9	5455
Retoo Raj Pandey v. Lalljee Pandey	24 W. R., 399	2484
Revji Patil v. Sakharam	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 615	4337
Rewa Mahton v. Ram Kishen Singh	L. R., 13 I. A., 106 : I. L. R., 14 Calc., 18.	5623
Rewal Mahton v. Penum Mundar	22 W. R., 9	1435
Rewunpersad v. Radha Beeby	7 W. R., P. C., 35 : 4 Moore's I. A., 137.	2502, 2545
Rewun Pershad v. Jankee Pershad	11 Moore's I. A., 25	2748
Rewut Konwur v. Omrao Bahadoor Singh	21 W. R., 148	1879
Reza Ali v. Bhikun Khan	7 W. R., 334	4814
—— v. Purnanand Chuckerbutty	6 B. L. R., Ap., 84 : 14 W. R., 474.	4400

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rezaooddeen Hossein *v.* Fuzloonissa	5 W. R., Mis., 12	5618
Rezza Hossein *v.* Ifutoonnissa	2 Hay, 564	3650
Rhidoy Krishna Ghose *v.* Kailas Chandra Bose	4 B. L. R., F. B., 82: 13 W. R., F. B., 3.	572
Rhidoy Kristo Mestri *v.* Nobin Chunder Sen	12 C. L., R., 457	4128
Riasat Ali *alias* Babu Miya *alias* Bodiuzzuma. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 352: 8 C L R., 572.	452, 2015
Riayatullah Khan *v.* Nasir Khan	I. L. R., 6 All., 616	4879
Riazunissa *v.* Tekun Jha	1 B. L. R., S. N., 18. 10 W. R., 246.	1615
Ribban *v.* Partab Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 81	2864
Richardson *v* Government	1 W. R., P. C., 47	4050
Ricks. In the matter of	3 Mad., 151	2456
Ridai Nath Sandyal *v.* Iswar Chandra Saha	4 B. L R., Ap., 57, note	43[illegible]
Ridhoath Sahoy *v.* Gopee Sahoo	14 W. R., 90	227
Rigordy *v.* Smith	I Ind. Jur., N. S., 290	3787
Rindamma *v.* Venkataramappa	3 Mad., 268	2316
Ritchie, Stewart, & Co., *v.* Gladstone, Wyllie, & Co.	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 125	4676
Ritchie *v.* Stokes	2 Mad., 255	132
Ritooraj *v.* Oojagur Singh	15 W. R., 227	245
Rittoo Raj Rae *v.* Juggeshur Rae	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 40: Ed. 1873, 98.	536, 541
Rivett-Carnac (Administrator General, Bombay) *v.* Jivibai.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 478	2504
Robarts *v.* Harrison	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 333: 9 C. L. R., 209.	3456
Robert *v.* Jaquehim	Bourke, O. C., 112	5276
——— *v.* Lombard	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 192	3101, 3197
Robert and Charriol *v.* Isaac	6 B. L. R, Ap., 20	1389
——— *v.* Nobinmoney Dossee	Bourke, O. C., 92	425
——— *v.* Shiroorp	7 B. L. R., 510	5839
Robertson, Gladstone, & Co. *v.* Kasturymull	3 B. L. R., O. C., 103	1055, 1071
Robertson's case. In the matter of Act XIX of 1857	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	3146
Robinson. In the matter of	2 Ind Jur., N. S, 273	2633
Robinson *v.* Ayya Krishnama Chariyar	7 Mad., 37	4578
Robson *v.* Maseyk	3 W. R., Mis, 2	4465
Rochia Mohato. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 42: 8 C. L. R., 273.	722, 1838 2922
Rodeshwar *v.* Manroop Koer	L. R., 13 I. A., 20	1199, 1505
Rodh Mal *v.* Ram Harakh	I. L. R., 7 All., 711	3951
Roe *v.* Roe	3 B. L. R., Ap., 9	1547
Rogers *v.* Montriou	6 B. L R., 550	3199
——— *v.* Rajendro Dutt	2 W. R., P. C., 51: 8 Moore's I. A., 103.	1354, 4634 5880
Rogho Nundun Lall *v.* Mohesh Lall	3 C. L. R., 137	5927
Roghoobuns Tewaree *v.* Shib Dutt	4 W. R., Act X, 48	1629
Roghoobun Tewaree *v.* Bishen Dutt Dobey	2 W. R., Act X, 92	1619
Roghooburdyal Mundur *v.* Christian	3 W. R., 123	4598
Roghoonath Dos *v.* Alladeen Pattuck	5 W. R., 9	1924
——— *v.* ———	8 W. R., 201	1144
Roghoonath Doss Mohapattur *v.* Bydonath Doss Maharatha.	14 W. R., 364	292, 3245
Roghoonath Mundul *v.* Juggut Bundhoo Bose	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 214: 8 C. L. R., 393.	5004
Roghoonath Singh *v.* Mohun Lal Mitter	7 W. R., 296	5728
Roghoo Nundun Lall Sahoo *v.* Bunwaree Lall Sahoo	3 W. R., Mis., 27	355

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Roghooram Biswas v. Ram Chunder Dobey	W. R., F. B., 127 : B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 34.	5682
Roghuni Singh v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 455 : 11 C. L. R., 569.	6266, 6267
Rohan Singh v. Surat Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 318 : L. R., 12 I. A., 26.	4227
Rohee Lall v. Dindyal Lall	21 W. R., 257	1783, 5759
Roheemolla v. Palmer	Cor., 8	6276
——— v. ———	Cor., 133	656
Rohilkhand and Kumaon Bank v. Row	I. L. R., 6 All., 468	889
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 7 All., 490	3750
Rohima Bye v. Mahomed Hadjee Joosub	13 B. L. R., 91 : 21 W. R., 303.	213, 2822 4713
Rohimoni Dabi v. Zamiruddin	8 C. L. R., 597	315
Rohimoonissa v. Abdoollah Khan	22 W. R., 319	4806
Rohimunissa Begum v. Mohamed Mirza	10 C. L. R., 103	5810
Rohimunnissa v. Leakut Ali Khan	22 W. R., 506	2504
Rohinee Debia v. Digambur Chatterjee	23 W. R., 422	158, 2343
——— v. Shib Chunder Chatterjee	15 W. R., 558	4765
Rohinee Kant Roy v. Tripoora Soonduree Dassia	8 W. R., 45	6286
Rohini Chowdhrain v. Kishen Gobind Dass	4 C. L. R., 125	273, 285
Rohini Kant Ghose v. Mahabharat Nag	8 B. L. R., 514, note: 10 W. R., 258	5690
Rohini Nandan Gossain v. Ratneswar Kundu	8 B. L. R., Ap., 89, note: 15 W. R., 345.	2973
Rohini Nundun Mitter v. Bhogoban Chunder Roy	14 B. L. R., 144, note: 22 W. R., 154.	3527
Rohoman Sirkar. In the matter of the petition of	10 B. L. R., Ap., 4: 18 W. R., Cr., 67.	5945
Roikunt Nath Kundu v. Lukhun Majhi	9 C. L. R., 425	1846
Rojoni Kant Bhoomick. In the matter of	13 W. R., Cr., 24	344, 5543
Rollo v. Smith	1 B. L. R., O. C., 10	3751, 3853
Romanath Bal v. Behari Bag Bagdi	13 C. L. R., 303	978
Romanath Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 69	3866, 5911
Romanath Dutt v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee	6 W. R., Act X, 80	1635
Romanath Jha v. Luchimput Singh	19 W. R., 418	3511
Romanath Rakheet v. Dhookee Sham Bhooya	11 W. R., 510	3810
Romanath Roy Chowdry v. Kally Proshad Roy Chowdhry	18 W. R., 346	1747
Roma Nath Thakoor v. Chunder Narain Chowdhry	Marsh., 136 : W. R., F. B., 45 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 44.	44
Romanauth Gossain's case	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296	936
Roma Prosad Roy v. Shorup Paramanick	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 712	585
Roma Prosunno Singhee v. Boykanto Nath Ghosal	3 C. L. R., 504	1900
Romesh Chunder Banerjee v. Jadub Chunder Chatterjee	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 14	5463
Romesh Chunder Dutt v. Gooroo Doss Nundee	W. R., 1864, 204	1582
Romon Audheekaree. In the matter of	10 W. R., Cr., 37	2624
Rongai v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 513 : 12 C. L. R., 500.	255, 5167
Roocha Ram Misr v. Naga Doss	2 N. W., 92	56, 692 1617
Roodro Narain Roy v. Nittyanund Doss	8 W. R., 195	1919
Roodurmun Misser v. Damoodur Misser	1 Hay, 365	5262
Roodurnarain Roy v. Coomarnarain Patnaik	13 W. R., 320	1202
Rook Begum v. Walagowhur Shah	3 W. R., 187	3633
Rooke v. Pyari Lal	3 B. L. R., Ap., 43 : 11 W. R., 434.	2795, 2844
Rookhini Kant Roy v. Sharikatunissa Bibi	13 B. L. R., 246, note: 20 W. R., 64.	6114

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rookho *v.* Madho Doss	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 59	2215
Rookminee *v.* Choonee Lal	1 Agra, Mis., 6	679
Rookminee Koer *v.* Ram Tohul Roy	21 W. R., 223	4873
Rookmin Kooer *v.* Bukshee	5 N. W., 155	5182
Rookminy Bullub Roy *v.* Mulk Jamania Begum	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 914: 12 C. L. R., 534.	4065
Rookmonee Debia *v.* Krishno Churn Misser	20 W. R., 147	2531
Rooknee Kant Chowdhry *v.* Nund Lall Chowdhry	25 W. R., 120	1910
Rooknee Roy *v.* Amritli Lall	14 W. R., 254	5931
Rookonissa *v.* Woolfut Ali	3 W. R., 232	4598
Rookumee Kooer *v.* Ram Tuhul Roy	17 W. R., 156	3839
Roopa *v.* Sahib Singh	1 Agra, Rev., 58	1160
Roopa Bewa *v.* Ram Coomar Sundyal	Marsh., 248: 2 Hay, 13	5278
Roopa Koonwar *v.* Juggoo Lall Oopadhya	11 W. R., 257	4476
Roopchand Aswal *v.* Karfool	25 W. R., 54	480
Roopchand Bhukut *v.* Hur Kishen Doss	23 W. R., 162	1739
Roopchand Roy *v.* Ram Kant Kobeeraj	W. R., 1864, 98	5775
Roop Debee *v.* Gungoo Mull	3 N. W., 66	1709
Roop Kalee Kooer *v.* Doolar Pandey	20 W. R., 101	3151
Rooploll Khettry *v.* Mohima Churn Roy	10 B. L. R., 271, note	2533, 6008 6151
Roopmonjoree Chowdranee *v.* Ram Lall Sirkar	1 W. R., 144	1750, 1770 2080, 2146
Roop Moonjuree Kooeree *v.* Beer Chunder Joobraj	9 W. R., 308	2063, 2230
Roop Mungul Singh *v.* Anund Roy	3 W. R., 111	4142, 4932
——— *v.* Chooramun Singh	16 W. R., 182	3458
Roopnarain Dutt. In the matter of	18 W. R., Cr., 38	5162
Roopnarainee Kooer *v.* Ressal Tewaree	24 W. R., 119	5760
Roopnarain Misr *v.* Kashee Ram Singh Timbiram	2 N. W., 67	780, 1081
Roop Narain Singh *v.* Chatooree Singh	12 W. R., 283	1036
——— *v.* Gujadhur Pershad Narain	9 W. R., 297	4159, 4233
——— *v.* Juggoo Singh	10 W. R., 304	3074
——— *v.* Madho Singh	Marsh., 617	3985
——— *v.* Ramayee Singh	3 C. L. R., 192	1919, 4293
Roopo Bewah *v.* Kekaroo	21 W. R., Cr., 41	3882
Roop Ram Doss *v.* Sasseram Nath Kurmokur	23 W. R., 141	4213
Roopun Roy *v.* Purdeep Singh	22 W. R., 10	1586
Roopun Tewaree *v.* Buckle	10 W. R., 142	5659
Roostum Singh *v.* Alum Singh	1 Agra, 291	6149
Roshan Singh *v.* Harkishan Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 535	2277
Roshun Doosadh *v.* Empress	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 768: 6 C. L. R., 219.	1788, 1806
Roshun Jahan *v.* Enaet Hossein	5 W. R., 5	2080, 3633 3634, 3679 3680, 3747
Roshun Jehan *v.* Enayut Hossein	W. R., F. B., 41: Marsh., 127: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 44: 1 Hay, 269.	4408, 4412
Roshun Mahomed *v.* Mahomed Kuleem	7 W. R., 150	3714
Rosik Lall Nundi *v.* Karlik Shaut	22 W. R., Cr., 48	4505
Rossool Mahomed *v.* Bassoo Bewah	8 W. R., 163	1478
Rosul Singh *v.* Kishoree Lall	8 W. R., 499	294
Rotee Rumun Oopadhya *v.* Greeja Nund Oopadhya	5 W. R., 160	5615
Rotty Rumun Oopadhya *v.* Chunder Binode Oopadhya	5 W. R., Mis., 10	3159
Roushan Bibee *v.* Hurraykristo Nath	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 926	1119, 1744 6110, 6114
Roushan Sirkar *v.* Nabin Chandra Ghatak	6 B. L. R., 377, note: 12 W. R., 402.	3770

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Roushun Jahan v. Enaet Hossein	W. R., 1864, 83	2080, 3748
Rousseac v. Thacker & Co.	1 Hyde, 9	1156
Rousseau v. Nuboo Kishore Bhudro	12 W. R., 302	5206
——— v. Pinto	7 W. R., 190	2767, 6248
——— v. ———	10 W. R., 54	4529
Rowshun v. Bholanath Doss	5 W. R., Act X, 67	6279
Rowshun Beebee v. Kureem Buksh	4 W. R., 12	2020
Rowshun Bibee v. Chundermadhub Kur	16 W. R., 177	4937
Rowshun Jehan v. Collector of Purneah	14 W. R., 295	1251
Rowshun Koer v. Ram Dihal Roy	13 C. L. R., 45	3721
Rowson v. Hanama Mestri	I. L. R., 1 Mad, 280	100
Rowthakonni. In re	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 431	3572, 3613
Royal Bank of India v. Eastern Bengal Indigo Company	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 281	5638
——— v. Hormasji Kharsedji	3 Bom., O. C., 153	168, 5823
Royal Reddi v. Linga Reddi	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 1	884
Roy Chunder Roy v. Bampton	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 188	2629
Roychurn Dutt v. Ameena Bibi	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 385	5641
Roy Dhunput Singh v. Lekraj Roy	1 C. L. R., 525	3319
——— v. Prem Bibee	24 W. R., 72	776
Roy Dinkur Doyal v. Sheo Golam Singh	22 W. R., 172	4028, 5013
Roy Kally Prosonno Sein v. Kisto Nund Dundee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 13	3180
Royes Mollah v. Mudhoosoodun Mundul	9 W. R., 154	4164
Roy Goodur Suhaye v. Achebur Lall	13 W. R., 120	5132
Roy Gowree Sunkur v. Bholee Pershad	17 W. R., 211	3904
Roy Huree Kishen v. Asmedh Koonwar	W. R., 1864, 57	3314
——— v. Nursing Narain	6 W. R., Act X, 63	2885
Roy Jung Bahadoor v. Jugdeo Roy	25 W. R., 247	581
Roy Luchmeeput Singh v. Jowahur Ali	18 W R., 497	1912
——— v. Moshuruff Ali	25 W. R., 80	303
Roy Meghraj v. Beejoy Gobind Burral	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 197: 23 W. R., 438.	5123
Roy Mohan Lall Mittra v. Bishnu Chandra Chatterjee	1 B. L. R., A. C., 100	4408
Roy Mohun Lall Mitter v. Buhoo Soonduree Debia	10 W. R., 145	4408
——— v. Choolun Singh	1 Hay, 433	5486
Roymoney Dossee. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 150	6197
——— v. Roghunath Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 14	2239
Roy Nandipat Mahata v. Urquhart	4 B. L. R., A. C., 181: 13 W. R., 209.	860, 3096, 4445, 5461
Roy Nundeeput Mohatoon v. Stewart	23 W. R., 20	5612
Roy Odyte Narain Singh v. Ubhurun Roy	4 W. R., Act X, 1	4171
Roy Preconath Chowdhry v. Prannath Roy Chowdhry	8 W. R., 100	3535
Roy Priyanath Chowdhry v. Bepinbehari Chuckerbutty	2 B. L. R., A. C., 237: 11 W. R., 120.	4372, 4938
——— v. Prasanna Chandra Roy Chowdhry.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 249	177, 1195
Roy Sooltan Bahadoor v. Laloo Kooer	17 W. R., 300	3557
Rozesoodeen v. Jehangeer	5 W. R., Mis., 22	5622
Rucha Rae v. Permeshur Dyal	2 N. W., 252	4951
Ruchpaul v. Johuree	1 Agra, 147	4295
Ruckmaboye v. Lulloobhoy Mottichund	5 Moore's I. A., 234	1578, 3112, 5877, 5972
Ruddravarapu Vissam Raz *alias* Konamraze. Ex parte	3 Mad., 287	3825
Ruder Perkash Misser v. Hurdai Narain Sahu	5 C. L. R., 112	5049
——— v. ———	9 C. L. R., 16	2283
Rudrakant Surma Sircar v. Nobokishore Surma Biswas	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 663: 12 C. L. R., 269.	3163

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rudra Prokash Misser *v.* Bhola Nath Mukherjee	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 612	3745
Rudr Narain Singh *v.* Rup Kuar	I. L. R., 1 All., 734	2264, 5057
Ruff *v.* Stokoe	9 W. R., 213	2986
Rughobur Suhai *v.* Chuttraput	1 Agra, 73	2771
Rughonath Dass *v.* Ram Gopal	5 N. W., 29	3991
Rugho Nath Dobey *v.* Huttee Dobey	1 Agra, Mis., 17	3836
Rughoobar Doyal Singh *v.* Bhekaree Singh	22 W. R., 472	2487
Rughoo Bibee *v.* Noor Jehan Begum	12 W. R., 459	3092
Rughoobur Dyal *v.* Maina Koer	12 C. L. R., 564	172, 371
Rughoobur Dyal Sahoo *v.* Kishen Pertab Sahee	L. R., 6 I. A., 211	37
Rughoobur Dyal Singh *v.* Ram Narain Kolya	22 W. R., 312	1429, 5267
Rughoobur Singh *v.* Hurree Pershad	6 W. R., 75	3281
Rughoobur Thakoor *v.* Syefoollah Khan	23 W. R., 289	5305
Rughoomohinee Dossee *v.* Kashee Nath Roy Chowdhry	10 W. R., 156	564, 5170
Rughoonath Bose *v.* Qomed Ali	W. R., F. B., 177	769
Rughoonath Coondoo *v.* Hurish Chunder Roy	W. R., 1864, 326	3076
Rughoonath Dass *v.* Chuckerdhun Raut	15 W. R., Cr., 49	5161
Rughoonath Dass *v.* Halle	16 W. R., 60	3794
——— *v.* Shiromonee Pat Moliadebee	24 W. R., 20	3133, 3221, 3228, 3527
Rughoonath Dobey *v.* Puresh Ram Mahata	10 W. R., 9	4165
Rughoonath Doss *v.* Luchmee Narain Singh	10 W. R., 407	4143
Rughoonath Mitter *v.* Woomanath Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 47	5919
Rughoonath Panjah *v.* Luckhun Chunder Dullal Chowdhry.	18 W. R., 23	1902, 2459
Rughoonath Pershad *v.* Huree Mohunt	10 W. R., 37	327
Rughoo Nath Pershad Singh *v.* Byjnath Sahoy	24 W. R., 349	4269
Rughoo Nath Rai *v.* Chundoo Lall	2 Agra, Pt. II, 195	4187, 4459
Rughoonath Roy *v.* Anundo Pauray	10 W. R., 387	5140
——— *v.* Baraik Geereedharee Singh	7 W. R., 244	4021
Rughoonath Sahoy *v.* Boondir Mundir	1 W. R., 36	2915
Rughoonath Singh *v.* Toodey Singh	5 N. W., 19	5478, 5730
Rughoonath Sonar *v.* Mokoond Doss	25 W. R., 213	5185
Rughoonath Surmah *v.* Gobind Chunder Roy	14 W. R., 170	5634
Rughoonauth Sahai Chotayloll *v.* Manick Chand	6 Moore's I. A., 251	1068
Rughoo Nundun Pershad Singh *v.* Chuttur Paul Singh	10 W. R., 335	4912
Rughoo Nundun Singh *v.* Arcott	19 W. R., 46	2709
——— *v.* Cochrane	20 W. R., 16	5275, 5936
——— *v.* ———	24 W. R., 372	3135
——— *v.* Gopal Chund Chowdhry	20 W. R., 7	5275
——— *v.* Wilson	23 W. R., 301	690
Rughunath Panjah *v.* Issur Chunder Chowdhry	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 153	5070
Rughu Nath Singh Manku *v.* Pareshram Mahata	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 635: 13 C. L. R., 89.	3115
Rughu Nundun Rain *v.* Sumessar Panday	13 B. L. R., 489: 22 W. R., 235.	5621
Ruheemuddy Akun *v.* Poorno Chunder Roy Chowdhry	22 W. R., 336	3000
Ruhmoonissa *v.* Soopun Jan	18 W. R., 244	3026
Ruhmun *v.* Shamsooddeen Hyder	W. R., 1864, 183	3985
Ruhmut-oollah *v.* Tuffuzzool Hossein	1 Agra, Rev., 67	533
Rujabai *v.* Ismail Ahmed	7 Bom., O. C., 27	4235
Rujaput Rai *v.* Mahomed Ali Khan	5 N. W., 215	1146, 1453, 4041
Rujjomoney *v.* Sibchunder Mullick	2 Hyde, 103	2420
Rujoneekant Mitter *v.* Premchand Bose	Marsh., 241: 1 Hay, 513	2507
Ruka Bai *v.* Ganda Bai	I. L. R., 1 All., 594	2413
Rukhinee Bullubh *v.* Brojonath Sircar	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 308	5474

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rukhun v. Ahmed Hossein	22 W. R., 443	4232
Rukhyakur Bhuttacharjee v. Kuroona Moyee Dabee	25 W. R., 280	3857
Rukkini Dasi v. Kader Nath Ghose	5 B. L. R., Ap., 87	2309
Rukmin. In the matter of	I. L. R., 1 All., 287	183, 5115
Rukmini Burmonia v. Foodun Koomaree Burmonia	23 W. R., 408	312, 316
Ruknadawla Nowab Ahmed Ali Khan v. Hurdwari Mull	5 B. L. R., 578	478
Rummeezooddeen Bhooyan v. Joymala	15 W. R., 303	5752
Rummun Singh v. Eshree Pershad	2 Agra, 144	2980
Rumonee Debea v. Baluck Doss Mohunt	11 B. L. R., 336, note: 14 W. R., 101.	2252
Rumonee Soonduree Dossia v. Punchanun Bose	4 W. R., 105	3178
Rumun Doss v. Ashbul Doss	1 W. R., 160	2248
Runjan Ali v. Soorujbhan	7 W. R., 403	4346
Run Bahadoor v. Poodhee Roy	W. R., 1864, 319	5285
Run Bahadoor Singh v. Hardoyal Singh	21 W. R., Cr., 32	1544
——— v. Lucho Coowar	4 C. L. R., 270	1411
——— v. Lucho Koer	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 406: 7 C. L. R., 251.	1206, 5062
——— v. Muloorum Towaree	8 W. R., 149	3798
——— v. Roy Narain Doss	7 C. L. R., 82	2727
——— v. Tilessuree Kooer	22 W. R., Cr., 79	4728, 4731 4735
Run Bahadur Singh v. Lucho Koer	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 301: L. R., 12 I. A., 23.	5022, 5027 5062, 5067
——— v. Raj Rup Koer	4 C. L. R., 498	4663, 4666
Rundle v. Secretary of State	I Hyde, 37	2804
——— v. ———	2 Hyde, 25, 36	4586
Rungama v. Atchama	7 W. R., P. C., 57: 4 Moore's I. A., 1.	2135
Rungiah Pillai v. Chinnasami Pillai	3 Mad., 374	5660
Rung Lall v. Hem Narain Gir	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 166	847, 5295
Runglall Gossain v. Gowree Mundul	10 W. R., 285	878
Rung Lall Misser v. Rughoobur Singh	9 W. R., 169	4457, 4477
——— v. Tokhun Misser	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 114: 25 W. R., 304.	761
Rungo Bujaji v. Babaji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 83	3230
Rungo Debee Boistobee v. Huree Narain Oopadhya	11 W. R., 138	884
Rungo Kopobooa v. Dehassur Mussulman	13 W. R., 302	514
Rungolall Deo v. Deputy Commissioner of Beerbhoom	Marsh., 117: W. R., F. B., 34: 1 Ind Jur., O. S., 34: 1 Hay, 200.	2039
Rungo Lall Mundul v. Abdool Guffoor	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 314: 3 C. L. R., 119.	2990
Rungo Monee Debia v. Raj Coomar Bebee	6 W. R., 197	143
Rungo Monee Dossee v. Campbell	12 W. R., 111	1640
——— v. Unnopoorna Debia	7 W. R., 149	4162
Rungrav Ravji v. Sidhi Mahomed Ebrahim	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 482	4978
Rungunmoney Dossee v. Brijo Lall Day	Cor., 25	5284
Runjeet v. Choonnee Lall	1 Agra, 78	804
Runjeet Narain Singh v. Shureefoonissa	10 W R., 478	3211, 3996
Runjeet Ram Koolal v. Mahomed Waris	21 W. R., 49	2203
Runjeet Ram Panday v. Goverdhun Ram Panday	20 W. R., 25	6001
Runjeet Singh v. Gugraj Singh	L. R., 1 I. A., 9	3867
——— v. Madud Ali	3 Agra, 222	2359
Runjit Singh v. Bunwari Lal Sahu	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 993	4466
——— v. Meherban Koer	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 662: 2 C. L. R., 391.	161, 497 740, 742 2031, 3097

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Runjit Singh *v.* Schoene, Kilburn, & Co.	4 C. L. R., 390	4182
Runnoo Pandey *v.* Buksh Ali	8 N. W., 2	2088, 6031
Runnoo Roy *v.* Kadoo Lall	25 W. R., 58	571
Runnoo Singh *v.* Kalichurn Misser	16 W. R., Cr., 18	980, 5994
Runpal Singh *v.* Joy Mungul Singh	11 W. R., 106	4908
Run Singh *v.* Mahomed Abid	2 Agra, 127	5081
Rupabai *v.* Parbhuram Kirpashankar	8 Bom., A. C., 102	1125
Rupa Jagshet *v.* Krishnaji Govind	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 169	1574, 2238 3430
Rup Chand Chowdhry *v.* Latu Chowdhry	3 C. L. R., 97	2342
Rupchand Dagdusa *v.* Davlatrav Vithalrav	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 495	4841, 5402
Rupchand Hindumal *v.* Rakhmabai	8 Bom., A. C., 114	2121
Rupchandra Ghose *v.* Rupmanjari Dasi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 325 : 12 W. R., 274.	4861
Rupennessa Bibi Chowdrani *v.* Abeduddin Mahomed	8 C. L. R., 73	3801, 3802 3805
Rup Kishore *v.* Mohin	I. L. R., 3 All., 415	3138
Rup Kuari *v.* Ram Kirpal Shukul	I. L. R., 3 All., 141	4982
Ruplal Khettry *v.* Mahima Chandra Roy	5 B. L. R., 254	2603
Rup Narain *v.* Awadh Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 478	4551
Rup Narain Singh *v.* Junko Bye	3 C. L. R., 112	1573, 2250
Rup Singh *v.* Baisui	I. L. R., 7 All., 1 : L. R., 11 I. A., 149.	2328
——— *v.* Mukhraj Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 887	3488
Rushton. In the goods of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 736	1298
——— *v.* Atkinson	11 W. R., 485	332, 3012
——— *v.* Girdharee Tewaree	Marsh., 331 : 2 Hay, 394	1583
Russick Chunder *v.* Ram Lall Shaha	22 W. R., 301	1429, 2797
Russikdas Bairagy *v.* Preonath Misree	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 102 : 12 C. L. R., 405.	3856
Russick Lall Chatterjee. In the matter of	15 W. R., 518	5918
Russick Lall Day *v.* Jadubram Day	10 B. L. R., Ap., 25	5532
Russick Lall Mullick. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 382	979, 1959
Russickloll Mudduck *v.* Lokenath Kurmokar	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 688 : 5 C. L. R., 492.	2971, 3056
Russik Lal Shaha Chowdhry *v.* Prem Dhun Bural	24 W. R., 279	1767
Russool Bibee *v.* Jan Ali Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 267, note : 17 W. R., 31.	292
Russool Nushyo. In re	11 W. R., Cr., 3	4506
Russoolun *v.* Fuzeelutoonnissa	W. R., 1864, Mis., 36	4941
Rustamji Adesir Davar *v.* Ratangi Rustamji Wadia	7 Bom., O. C., 9	1032
Rustomji Burjorji *v.* Kessowji Naik	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 161	743, 4522
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 287	201, 744 5284
Rustomji Eduljee Croos *v.* Cursetjee Sorabjee Croos	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 349	5835
Rustum Ally *v.* Ameer Ally Soudagur	10 W. R., 487	1448, 1467 4263
Rustum Singh *v.* Mahurban Singh	5 N. W., 179	3239
Rutcheputty Dutt Jha *v.* Rajundur Narain Rao	2 Moore's I. A., 132	2281
Rutna Dobain *v.* Purladh Dobey	7 W. R., 450	2318
Rutnee *v.* Roghober Dyal	2 Agra, 278	79
Rutnessur Biswas *v.* Hurish Chunder Bose	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 221	1373
Rutnessur Dass. In the matter of	14 W. R., 381	4036
Rutnessur Koondoo *v.* Mujeda Bibee	7 W. R., 252	3256
Rutnessur Singh *v.* Ram Tunoo Ghose	12 W. R., 491	440
Rutta Bebee *v.* Dumree Lal	2 N. W., 153	2754, 4033 6128

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ruttan Chand Shooree *v.* Huree Monee	5 W. R., 225	2420
Ruttanjote Kooer *v.* Ram Dass	10 B. L. R., 290: 19 W. R., 131.	498
Ruttee Sirdar *v.* Sajoo Poramanick	11 B. L. R., 345: 20 W. R., 235.	1146
Ruttonjee Eduljee Shet *v.* Collector of Thanna	10 W. R., P. C., 13: 11 Moore's I. A., 295.	2960, 3074
Ruttonmonee Dabee *v.* Komolakanth Mookerjee	12 B. L. R., 283, note: 12 W. R., 364.	3117
Rutton Monee Debia *v.* Gunga Monee Debia Chowdhrain	3 W. R., 94	3441
Ruttonsey Lalji *v.* Pooribai	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 304	999, 4519
Ruttonsey Morarji *v.* Jamnadas Pitamberdas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 692	1088
Rutton Singh *v.* Greedharee Lall	8 W. R., 310	534, 3079
Rutteo Singh *v.* Crowdy	2 W. R., 477, note	3804
Rutto Singh *v.* Bajrang Singh	13 C. L. R., 280	480
Ruttun *v.* Dhoomee Khan	3 Agra, 21	3689
Ruttun Bebee *v.* Makarum Ali	2 Agra, 309	4459
Ruttun Bewa *v.* Buhur	14 W. R., Cr., 7	6191
Ruttun Chand Bysack *v.* Bocha Bibee	12 W. R., 529	4125
Ruttunessur Chatterjee *v.* Gooroo Churn Chatterjee	9 W. R., 296	1199
Ruttun Kristo Bosoo *v.* Bhugoban Chunder Bosoo	18 W. R., 32	2329
Ruttun Monee Dassee *v.* Kaleekissen Chuckerbutty	W. R., F. B., 147	2891, 5272
Ruttunmonee Dossee *v.* Jotendromohun Tagore	6 W. R., Act X, 31	4929
Ruttun Monee Dutt *v.* Brojo Mohun Dutt	22 W. R., 11	4310
v.	22 W. R., 333	6101
Ruttun Singh *v.* Oomrao Singh	4 N. W., 13	4548
Rutty Kant Bose *v.* Gungadhur Biswas	W. R., F. B., 13: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 6: Marsh., 40.	6175
Ruznudeen Hossein *v.* Fuzalun	3 W. R., 120	1357
Ryall *v.* Sherman	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 287	774
Ryasutulla *v.* Doogachurn Pal	15 B. L. R., 294: 24 W. R., 121.	4825
Ryaw Petee. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 15	4742
Rychurn Paul *v.* Pearymonee Dassee	Marsh., 622	2485
Ryesunnissa Begum *v.* Bydonath Saha	17 W. R., 354	1631
Ryrappan Nambiar *v.* Kelu Kurup	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 150	3757
S		
Saadoollah Shaikh *v.* Kaleechurn	12 W. R., 358	839
Sabapathi *v.* Subraya	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 58	5126, 5140
Sabapathi Chetti *v.* Subraya Chetti	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 250	5813
Sabapati Chetti *v.* Chedumbara Chetti	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 397	3137
Sabapati Mudaliyar *v.* Narayanasvami Mudaliyar	1 Mad., 115	1208, 3999, 5875
Sabeer Khan *v.* Kallidoss Dey	1 W. R., 199	4868
Sabetoollah Meah *v.* Rohim Dewan	9 W. R., 273	245
Sabhanatha Dikshatar *v.* Subba Lakshmi Ammal	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 80	3492, 5243
Sabhapati Mudali *v.* Muttusvami Mudali	1 Mad., 103	5660
Sabharam bin Vithoji *v.* Sadashiv bin Sayaji	1 Bom., 96	153
Sabhee Sing. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 50	4490, 4494, 4500
Sabir Khan *v.* Ram Luckhee Chowdhrain	10 W. R., 438	4900
Sabitra Monee *v.* Mudhoo Soodun Singh	Marsh., 519	1701
Sabo Bewa *v.* Nahagun Maiti	2 B. L. R., Ap., 51: 11 W. R., 380.	2114
Sabramanya *v.* Gnanasambantha	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 374	5177

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sabuktulla *v.* Hari	10 C. L. R., 199	1687
Sachit *v.* Budhua Kuar	I. L. R., 8 All., 429	1422, 2523 5045
Sadabart Prasad Sahu *v.* Foolbash Koer	3 B. L. R., F. B., 31 : 12 W. R., F. B., 1.	2391
Sadagopa Ayyangar *v.* Dorasami Sastri	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 214	4767
Sadagopa Chariyar *v.* Ruthna Mudali	5 Mad., 457	3929, 4823
Sadagopacharyar *v.* Ragavacharyar	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 282	971
Sadagopa Edintara Maha Desika Swamiar *v.* Jamuna Bhai Ammal.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 54	2104, 3253 5414, 5447
Sadakat Hossein *v.* Mahomed Yusuf	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 663 : L. R., 11 I. A., 31.	3635
Sadashiv Anant *v.* Vithal Anant	11 Bom., 32	4023
Sadashiv Bhaskar Joshi *v.* Dhakubai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 450	61[illegible]
Sadashiv Joshi *v.* Dinkar Joshi	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 520	2180
Sadashiv Moreshvar *v.* Hanso bin Shravan	5 Bom., A. C., 156	416
Sadashiv Moreshvar Ghate *v.* Hari Mooreshvar Ghate	11 Bom., 190	1711, 2126
Sadashiv Yeshwant *v.* Atmaram Sakharam	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 535	1243
Sadasiva *v.* Muttu Sabapathi Chetti	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 106	3553
Sadasiva Pillai *v.* Ramalinga Pillai	15 B. L. R., 383 : 24 W. R., 193 : L. R., 2 I. A., 219.	1684, 3828
Sadasivayyar *v.* Muttu Sabapathi Chetti	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 106	5437
Sada Sookh *v.* Shiva Dyal	1 Agra, 109	364
Sadat Ali *v.* Sadattunissa	3 B. L. R., Ap., 101 : 12 W. R., 37.	532
Sadaya Pillai *v.* Chinni	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 352	4985
Sadayappa *v.* Ponnama	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 554	2628, 2659
Saddanando Maiti *v.* Nowrattam Maiti	8 B. L. R., 280 : 16 W. R., 289.	526
Sadeeronnissa Bibi *v.* Shourubby Debea	25 W. R., 459	1780
Sadha *v.* Bhagwani	7 N. W., 53	3407, 3986
Sadhan Chandra Bose *v.* Guru Charan Bose	8 B. L. R., 6, note : 15 W. R., 99.	3000, 3011
Sadhoojunna *v.* Ramhurry Mundul	1 Hay, 461	4601
Sadho Singh *v.* Kishnee	3 N. W., 318	3796
Sadhu Sarun Singh *v.* Dwarka Singh	12 C. L. R., 45	13
Sadik Ali Khan *v.* Imdad Ali Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 286	360
——— *v.* Muhammad Husain Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 384	791
Sadodin *v.* Spiers	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 437	337, 2659
Sadu *v.* Baiza	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 37	2230, 5009
——— *v.* Sambhu	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 592	1521
Saduck Sircar *v.* Mohamoya Debia	5 W. R., Act X, 16	1606
Saduk Ali Khan *v.* Pearee	9 W. R., 142	4141
Sadut Ali *v.* Ramdhone Misser	12 C. L. R., 43	3245
Sadut Ali Khan *v.* Abdool Gunney	11 B. L. R., 203 : 19 W. R., 171 : L. R., 1. A., Sup. Vol., 165.	1439
——— *v.* Manikarnika Chowdhrain	W. R., 1864, 119	4610
——— *v.* Phillipe	1 W. R., 290	525
Saefoollah Khan *v.* Luchmeeput Singh Doogur	13 W. R., 58	5321
Saem Sirdar *v.* Kamaluddy Sirdar	22 W. R., 424	4874
Safdar Ali Khan *v.* Lachman Das	I. L. R., 2 All., 554	306, 2025 4780
Sagangowda bin Basangowda *v.* Basapa bin Chenapa	9 Bom., 62	4175
Sage *v.* Mackay & Co.	2 Hay, 463	5753
——— *v.* Nirunjun Chatterjee	9 W. R., Cr., 12	1255
Sagore Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Sherbourne	Bourke, O. C., 103	1933

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sagore Dutt *v.* Ramchunder Mitter	1 Hyde, 136	2103, 2823
Sagore Monee Dossee *v.* Biprodoss Dey	1 W. R., 249	5106
Sagur Dutt. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 41: 18 W. R., Cr., 44, note.	1152
Sahadeo Pandey *v* Nokhid Pandey	15 W. R., 573	4980
Sahai Nand *v.* Mungniram Marwari	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 542	78, 1225
Sahai Pandey *v.* Sham Narain	I. L. R., 2 All., 142	3934
Sahebdi *v.* Kuran	21 W. R., Cr., 37	4723
Sahebjan Bibee *v.* Sufdur Ali	22 W. R., 288	5131, 5782
Saheb Jehan *v.* Asudoollah	5 W. R., Mis., 28	209
Saheb Ram Jha *v.* Kasheenath Jha	21 W. R., 295	388
Saheb Roy *v.* Choonee Singh	9 W. R., 487	207, 4289
——— *v.* Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh	22 W. R., 221	4037, 6098
Sahebzadee Begum *v.* Himmut Bahadoor	12 W. R., 512: 4 B. L. R., A. C., 103.	2735, 3637 3693, 3700
Sahee Ram *v.* Mahomed Abdul Rahaman	6 N. W., 268	3044
Sahiba Begum *v.* Atchamma	4 Mad., 115	3677
Sahib Rautan *v.* Ibrahim Rautan	1 Mad., 441	2648
Sahib Singh *v.* Put Ram	1 Agra, Rev., 17	5074
Sah Koondun Lall *v.* Makhun Lall	1 N. W., 168, Ed. 1873, 247	329
Sahodra *v.* Rai Jang Bahadur	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 224: L. R., 8 I. A., 210.	3407
Sahoo Chund *v.* Geetum Singh	2 Agra, 206	441
Sahoo Gokul Pershad *v.* Zynub	1 N. W., 176: Ed. 1873, 255	4055
Saikappa Chetti *v.* Kulanda Puri Nachiyar *alias* Kattama Nachiyar.	3 Mad., 84	4062
Sainal Ranchhod *v.* Dullabh Dvarka	10 Bom., 360	5143
Sajan *v.* Roopram	2 Agra, 61	4902
Sajanji valad Godaji *v.* Anaji valad Lakshman	4 Bom., A. C., 142, note	4799
Sajibullah Sirkar *v.* Hazi Khosh Mohamed Sirkar	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 264	4850
Sajiwan *v.* Gopal	1 B. L. R., S. N., 15: 10 W. R., 149.	423
Sakalchand Savaichand *v.* Dayabhai Ichhachand	4 Bom., A. C., 70	4197
Sakharam Dikshit *v.* Ganesh Sathe	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 193	3361
Sakharam Govind Kale *v.* Damodar Akharam	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 468	815, 3451
Sakharam Krishnaji *v.* Madan Krishnaji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 232	4780
Sakharam Mahadev *v.* Hari Krishna	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 113	319, 1465 2453
Sakharam Mahipat *v.* Dawud valad Jawabhai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 76, note	6131
Sakharam Narasimha Sardesai *v.* Vithu Lakha Gouda	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 250: 2 Bom., 237: 2nd Ed., 225.	3977
Sakharam Narayan *v.* Gopal Lakshuman	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 656, note	3973
Sakharam Ramchandra Dikshit *v.* Govind Vaman Dikshit.	10 Bom., 361	1912, 2233 2234
Sakharam Sadashiv Adhikari *v.* Sitabai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 353	2311
Sakharam Shridhar Gadkari *v.* Chairman of the Municipality of Kaliyan.	7 Bom., A. C., 33	5260
Sakharam Trimbak *v.* Ranu valad Vithal Apaji	1 Bom., 191	2416
Sakharam Vithal Adhikari *v.* Collector of Ratnagiri	8 Bom., A. C., 288	3260
Sakhawat Ally *v.* Noorjehan Begum	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 429	86, 91
Sakhnandan Lal. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 All., 163	269
Sakho Narayan Khandalkar *v.* Narayan Bhikaji Khandalkar.	6 Bom., A. C., 238	5782, 5891
Sakkaji Rau *v.* Lutchmana Gaundan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 149	3058
Sakriman Dichut *v.* Dharam Nath Tewari	3 B. L. R., A. C., 141	3980
Sakvarbhai *v.* Bhavanji Raje Ghatji Zanjarra Deshmukh	1 Bom., 194	2412
Salahmunissa Khatoon *v.* Mohesh Chunder Roy	16 W. R., 85	5024, 5144

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Salamat Ali *v.* Budh Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 303	2020
——— *v.* Minahan	I. L. R., 4 All., 337	2649
Salamat Hossein *v.* Luckhi Ram	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 521	415
Salamut Bebee *v.* Bhugwan Doss	2 N. W., 33	2918
Salehoonissa Khatoon *v.* Mohesh Chunder Roy	17 W. R., 452	1180, 1622
Saleh Shabi Sabi-ud-din Abu Saleh. In the matter of the petition of.	6 B. L. R., 126 : 15 W. R., F. B., 1.	5139
——— *v.* Asadunissa Bibee.	6 B. L. R., 126 : 15 W. R., F. B., 1.	5139
Salgram Missor *v.* Janki Koer	9 W. R., 122	168
Saligram *v.* Azim Ali Beg	10 Moore's I. A., 114	3120
——— *v.* Debi Parshad	7 N. W., 38	1691, 4554
——— *v.* Jhunna Kuar	I. L. R., 4 All., 546	5[illegible]4
——— *v.* Juggun Nath	1 Agra, 137	4603
——— *v.* Lultaparshad	6 N. W., 329	2153
——— *v.* Meheen Lall	2 Agra, 235	4464
——— *v.* Pursidh Ram	1 Agra, 186	4543
——— *v.* Ram Sewuk	1 Agra, Mis., 14	1925
——— *v.* Secretary of State	12 B. L. R., 167 : 18 W. R., 389 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 119.	58, 2596
Saligram Singh *v.* Barun Rai	4 N. W., 92	3964
——— *v.* Gheenoo Singh	16 W. R., 19	4270
——— *v.* Gobind Sahai	4 B. L. R., Ap., 64	819
Saligram Tewaree *v.* Beharee Misser	W. R., 1864, 36	4011
Salikram *v.* Azim Ali Beg	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 117 : 8 Moore's I. A., 270.	4635
Saluji Kesraji *v.* Rajsangji Jalmsangji	2 Bom., 169 : 2nd Ed., 162	310, 3115, 4398, 4410, 5774
Salu kom Raghuji *v.* Ravaji bin Ramjee	1 Bom., 41	3126
Salur Zamindar *v.* Pedda Pakir Raju	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 371	2062
Sam *v.* Appundi Ibrahim Saib	6 Mad., 75	3545, 3549, 5796
Samachurn Audhicaree Byragee *v.* Roopdoss Byragee	6 W. R., 68	2265
Samakkaundan *v.* Perumal Chetti	2 Mad., 14	6134
Samalbhai Nathubhai *v.* Someshvar	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 38	2377, 4321
Samal Nathu *v.* Jaishankar Dalsukram	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 254	385
Samandra Kunwar. In re	8 B. L. R., 390, note	2447
Samantha Pandara *v.* Sellappa Chetti	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 175	2258
Samar Ali *v.* Karim-ul-lah	I. L. R., 8 All., 402	3989
Samarapuri Chetti *v.* Shanmuga Chetti	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 47	4981
Sama Rayar *v.* Annamalai Chetti	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 164	1998
Samasundari Debi *v.* Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	3 B. L. R., Ap., 120 : 12 W. R., 160.	1204
Samat *v.* Amra	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 394	2295
Samathal *v.* Mathoosri Kamatchi Amma Boyi Saib Avergul.	7 Mad., 395	3955
Sambanda Mudaliyar *v.* Nanasambandapandara	1 Mad., 298	2254
Sambasivanna *v.* Bhogappa	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 378	971
Sambhubhai Karsandas *v.* Shivlaldas Sadashivdas	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 89	4195, 6007
Sam Chunder Baboo *v.* Teeluck Chunder Baboo	2 Hay, 142	411
Sami Achari *v.* Somasundram Achari	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 119	4995
Sami Ayyangar *v.* Gopal Ayyangar	7 Mad., 176	2821
Sami Chetti *v.* Ammani Achy	7 Mad., 260	4034
Saminada Pillai *v.* Subba Reddiar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 333	3046, 4043
Saminadha Mudali *v.* South Indian Railway Company	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 420	4703

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Saminathaiyan *v.* Saminathaiyan	4 Mad., 153	2972, 5828
Saminatha Pillay *v.* Varisai Mahomed Ravattan	2 Mad., 304	5657
Samiraddi Khalifa *v.* Haris Chandra	3 B. L. R., A. C., 49 : 13 W. R., 451, noto.	5411
Samiruddin. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 211 : 10 C. L. R., 11.	1794
Samod Ali *v.* Mahomed Kassim	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 663 : 12 C. L. R., 269.	3163
Samshere Khan *v.* Empress	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 154 : 8 C. L. R., 158.	1334
Samsuddin Pirjade *v.* Ganpatrav Jagannath	7 Bom., A. C., 19	312
Samsuddin Sultan *v.* Ramji Bhika	5 Bom. A. C., 151	5826
Samuel Pillai *v.* Ananthanatha Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 351	1031
Samy Aiyangar *alias* Ramasawmy Aiyangar *v.* Alagasinga Aiyangar.	3 Mad., 33	991
Sandes *v.* Jomir Shaikh	9 W. R., 399	5242, 5682
——— *v.* Suroop Chunder Biswas	2 W. R., Act X, 11	2915
Sandys *v.* Setul Mundul	10 W. R., 420	1058
Sangamram *v.* Sheobart Bhagat	I. L. R., 3 All., 112	1467, 4541 4543, 5273
Sangapa bin Baslingapa *v.* Gangapa bin Niranjapa	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 476	5243
Sangapa Malapa *v.* Bhimangowda Mariapa	10 Bom., 194	2793, 5255 6004
Sangappa bin Chanbasappa *v.* Sahebanna bin Kengedappa.	7 Bom., A. C., 141	2378
Sangappa bin Ningappa *v.* Basappa bin Parappa	7 Bom., A. C., 1	4810
Sangaralingam Pillai. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 78	292
Sangili Vira Pandia Chinnatambiar *v.* Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 29	5525
Sangili Virapandia Chinnathambiar *v.* Alwar Ayyangar.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 42	198, 800 2234
Sangoovien *v.* Kollathoorayen	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 116	1676
Sangram Singh *v.* Bujharat Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 36	3485
——— *v.* Rajan Bahi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 219 : L. R., 12 I. A., 183.	1835
Sanioollah *v.* Aftabooddeen Mahomed	23 W. R., 245	5348
Sanjeeviyah *v.* Nanjiyah	4 Mad., 453	804
Sanjivi *v.* Errapa	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 290	3354
——— *v.* Ramasami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 494	828
Sanjoogee Kooer *v.* Hur Pershad	24 W. R., 274	2084
Sankana Kalana *v.* Virupakshapa Ganeshapa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 146	3958, 4028 4614
Sankara Naraina *v.* Vijaya Raghunadha Mattayan Pannikondar.	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 134	1247
Sankaranarayana *v.* Kunjappa	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 411	3597
Sankarappa *v.* Kamayya	3 Mad., 231	1395
Sankara Subbaiyan *v.* Subba Rau	2 Mad., 1	1741, 3427 6084
Sankaravadivammal *v.* Kumarasamya	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 473	829, 5174
Sankar Dobey. In the matter of the petition of	4 B. L. R., A. C., 65	4852
Sanniyasi Razu *v.* Jaganadha Narayana Ramachandra Razu. ——— *v.* Zamindar of Salur	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 268	5595
Sansar Roy *v.* Indrasun Roy	25 W. R., 6	4476
Santaiya *v.* Ramaraya	7 Mad., 257	180, 362
Santaya Mangarsava *v.* Narayan	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 182	4802
Santee Ram Jana *v.* Modoo Mytee	20 W. R., 363	4002

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Santiram Panja *v.* Bykunt Panjah	10 B. L. R., 397 : 19 W. R., 280.	3801
Saut Kumar *v.* Deo Saran	I. L. R., 8 All., 365	314, 1421 2292, 2523 5046
Santoo Mundle *v.* Abdool Biswas	13 W. R., Cr., 35	5154
Sanwul Singh *v.* Makhun Pandey	2 N. W., 143	5471, 5482
Sarabjit Singh *v.* Chapman	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 81 : L. R., 13 I. A., 44.	3567
Saradamayi Chowdhrain *v.* Nabin Chandra Roy Chowdhry.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 333 : 11 W. R., 255.	4957
Sarada Sundari Debi *v.* Gobindmani Debi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 137, note	2476, 2544
Sarangapani *v.* Narayanasami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 567	5124
Sarapu Venkadesau *v.* Malai Isvaraiyya	1 Mad., 1	916
Sarasibala Debi *v.* Nand Lal Sen	5 B. L. R., 389	3993
Sarasuti *v.* Mannu	I. L. R., 2 All., 134	338, 2320
Saraswati *v.* Pachanna Setti	3 Mad., 258	3114
Saraswati Dasi *v.* Dhanput Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 431 : 12 C. L. R., 12.	1840, 4456 6004
Saraswati Debi *v.* Nabadwip Chandra Gossain	5 B. L. R., 380	1666
Sarat Chandra Roy *v.* Collector of Chittagong	2 B. L. R., Ap., 3	1757
Sarat Soondary Dabea *v.* Anund Mohun Surma Ghuttack.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 273 : 4 C. L. R., 448.	1189, 1620
Sarat Sundari Debi *v.* Paresnarain Roy	8 B. L. R., 113 : 16 W. R., P. C., 9.	4654
——— *v.* Prosonno Coomar Tagore	6 B. L. R., 677 : 15 W. R., P. C., 20 : 13 Moore's I. A., 607.	293, 740 1754
——— *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 784	65
——— *v.* Surjakant Acharji Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 53 : 11 W. R., 397.	4032
——— *v.* Watson	2 B. L., A. C., 159	1180
Sarat Sundari Debya *v.* Soorjya Kant Acharjya	25 W. R., 242	44
Saravana Tevan *v.* Muttayi Ammal	6 Mad., 371	2381
Sarawak and Hindustan Banking and Trading Company. In the matter of.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 704 : 3 C. L. R., 581.	946
Sarboree Kanto Bhuttacharjee *v.* Anadya Kanto Bhuttacharjee.	12 B. L. R., Ap., 10 : 20 W. R., 226.	170, 171
Sardhari Lal. In the matter of the petition of	13 B. L. R., Ap., 40 : 22 W. R., Cr., 10.	1317, 1831 4855
Sardowan *v.* Hurbuns Narain Singh	11 W. R., 254	1442
Sarifunnissa *v.* Inayet Hossein	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 415 : 5 W. R., 88.	4186
Sarju Prasad *v.* Beni Madho	I. L. R., 6 All., 6	2726
Sarkies *v.* Bundhoo Bace	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 81 : Ed. 1873, 172.	424, 442 2630
——— *v.* Kali Coomar Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 98	528
——— *v.* Prosonomoyee Dossee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 794 : 8 C. L. R., 76.	1559, 1576 1709, 1751 5900
——— *v.* Sangram Singh	6 B. L. R., 578, note : 14 W. R., 194.	166
Sarnam Tewari *v.* Sakina Bibi	I. L. R., 3 All., 37	5668
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 3 All., 417	5961
Saroda Churn Chuckerbutty *v.* Mahomed Isuf Meah	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 376	5448
Saroda Mohun Roy Chaodhri *v.* Dakhina Mohun Roy Chaodhri.	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 308 : L. R., 13 I. A., 84.	3349

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Saroda Mohun Roy Chowdhry *v.* Shama Soonduree Dossee.	7 W. R., 209	478
——— *v.* Shibopooree Dossee	24 W. R., 35	1663
Sarodapersad Roy Chowdhry *v.* Nobinchand Dutt	Marsh., 417 : 2 Hay, 527	568
Sarodapershad Chattopadhya *v.* Brojonath Bhuttacharjee.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 910 : 6 C. L. R., 195.	3173
Saroda Pershad Ganguli *v.* Pahali Mahanti	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 913	546
Sarodapershad Mitter *v.* Kylash Chunder Banerjee	7 W. R., 315	4283
Saroda Prasad Mullick *v.* Lachmipat Singh Dugar	9 B. L. R., Ap., 23, note : 18 W. R., 89.	1207, 2696
Saroda Prosad Gangooly *v.* Prosonno Coomar Sandial	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 290 : 10 C. L. R., 223.	1352, 1390
——— *v.* Taruck Chunder Bhuttacharjee.	2 C. L. R., 325	5310
Saroda Prosaud Mullick *v.* Lutchmeeput Sing Doogur	10 B. L. R., 214 : 17 W. R., 289 : 14 Moore's I. A., 529	434, 1802 5481
Saroda Soondery Debea *v.* Wooma Churn Sircar	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 17	6286
Saroda Soondery Dossee *v.* Tincowry Nundy	1 Hyde, 70	2835
——— *v.* ———	1 Hyde, 223	287, 2531
Saroda Soonduree Debee *v.* Hazee Mahomed Mundul	5 W. R., Act, X, 78	3036
Saroda Soonduree Dossia *v.* Muddun Mohun Shaha	24 W. R., 162	4665, 4666
Saroda Soondury Dossee *v.* Doyamoyee Dossee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 938	3370, 3399
Sarstedt *v.* Agra Bank	12 Bom., 268	4752
Sarsuti *v.* Kunj Behari Lal	I. L. R., 5 All., 345	4470, 4877
Sarubai *v.* Bapu Narhar	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 660	4569
Sarupi *v.* Mukhram	2 N. W., 227	2285
Sarwan Singh. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 149	2033
Sashachellum Chetty *v.* Gobindappa	5 Mad., 451	1812
Sashadry Aiyangar *v.* Peria Natchiar *alias* Parwatha Vurthani Natchiar.	8 Mad., 94	2075
Sashannah Chetti *v.* Ramasamy Chetti	4 Mad., 7	1093
Sashi Bhusan Banerjee *v.* Tarachand Kar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 329 ; 11 W. R., 553.	5823
Sashi Bhusan Dutt *v.* Jadu Nath Dutt	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 552	3849, 3850
Sashti Charan Chatterjee *v.* Tarak Chandra Chatterjee	8 B. L. R., 315 : 15 W. R., F. B., 9.	169
Sasta Kooer *v.* Kundun Lal	8 W. R., 369	6100
Satapa valad Dau Dongre *v.* Karbasapa	7 Bom., A. C., 21	483
Satbhawan *v.* Sahoo Banarasee Doss	2 N. W., 365	424
Satcowree Singh *v.* Kristo Bangal	11 W. R., 529	3288, 8289
Satcowrie Sein *v.* Gobind Chunder Sein	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 56	2547
Satish Chunder Rai Chowdhuri *v.* Thomas	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 658	5483
Satku valad Kadir *v.* Ibrahim valad Mirza	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 457	5262
Satnarain Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 3 All., 392	6258
Satoor *v.* Satoor	2 Mad., 8	5210
Satooram Mojoomdar *v.* Peonath Banerjee	10 W. R., 424	336
Satracherla *v.* Setarama	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 61	635
Satrakumaji *v.* Visram Hasgavda	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 97	4794
Satto Saran Ghosal *v.* Tarini Charan Ghose	3 B. L. R., A. C., 287	5135
Sattyanand Ghosal *v.* Zahir Sikdar	6 B. L. R., Ap., 119	2691
Satyamoni Dassi *v.* Bhuggobutty Churun Chattopadhya	1 C. L. R., 466	479
Satyasaran Ghosal *v.* Bhairab Chandra Brahmo	2 B. L. R., A. C., 196 : 11 W. R., 80.	3507
——— *v.* Mahesh Chandra Mitter	2 B. L. R., P. C., 23 : 12 Moore's I. A., 263 : 11 W. R., P. C., 10.	1581, 3067 5357
Saudamini Dasi *v.* Thakomani Debi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 114	2886

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Saudamini Debee *v.* Anand Chandra Haldar	7 B. L. R., 178, note: 13 W. R., 37.	3839
Saudamini Debi *v.* Sarup Chandra Roy	8 B. L. R., Ap., 82: 17 W. R., 363.	2973
Saunders. In the goods of	6 N. W., 62	131
——— *v.* Nga Shoay Geen	8 W. R., 3	2835, 3083
——— *v.* Romanath Paul	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 395	4523
Savaklal Karsandas *v.* Ora Nizmuddin	8 Bom., O. C., 77	52, 6135
Savalgiapa Virbasapa *v.* Basvanapa Basapa	10 Bom., 399	5279
Savanta. In re	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 137	5512
Sava Ramji o. Jadhavji Nathu. Ex parte Gamble	2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 142	424
Savi *v.* Chand Sickdar	Marsh., 348: 2 Hay, 438	563
—— *v.* Issur Chunder Mundul	20 W. R., 146	4728
—— *v.* Jeetoo Meeah	Marsh., 186: W. R., F. B., 59: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 80: 1 Hay, 451.	1641
—— *v.* Mohesh Chunder Bose	W. R., 1864, Act X, 29	567
—— *v.* Obhoy Nath Bose	2 W. R., Act X, 27	2
—— *v.* Punchanun Roy	25 W. R., 503	3813, 5178, 5755
Savitriava *v.* Anandray	12 Bom., 224	5593
Savitribai *v.* Luximibai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 573	2412, 2423
Savji bin Satu *v.* Patlu	8 Bom., A. C., 139	4144
Sawantee *v.* Sewa Ram	2 N. W., 35	541, 4814
Sawruth Pandey *v.* Basdeo Singh	2 Agra, 168	2291
Sawruth Sing *v.* Bheenuck Sahoo	14 B. L. R., 422 note: 12 W. R., 522.	3919
Sayaji *v.* Umaji	3 Bom., A. C., 27	2993, 3341
Sayaji bin Nimbaji *v.* Ramji bin Langapa	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 446	5813
Saya Loo *v.* Nga Paw Loo	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 4	4742
Sayama Kumar Roy *v.* Jan Mahomed	4 B. L. R., F. B., 134: 13 W. R., F. B., 21.	3708
Sayama Lal Dutt *v.* Saudamini Dasi	5 B. L. R., 362	2117, 2526
Sayef Ali *v.* Mahomed Jowad Ali	7 W. R., 196	6120
Sayefoollah Khan *v.* Chaya Khakoor	18 W. R., 532	1632
Scanlan *v.* Herrold	10 W. R., 295	5615
Schiller *v.* Finlay	8 B. L. R., 544	731, 1370
——— *v.* Marker	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 283	4070
Schillizi & Co. *v.* Cox, Steel, & Co.	17 W. R., 545	5648
Schlaepfer, Pütz, & Co. *v.* Eastern Bengal Railway Company.	21 W. R., 380	4707
Scinde, Punjab, and Delhi Bank *v.* Mudoosoodun Chowdhry.	Bourke, O. C., 322	1689
Scott *v.* Finlay	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 386	598
—— *v.* Ricketts	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 356	6023
—— *v.* Scott	7 Mad., 394	4441
Seale *v.* Brown	I. L. R., 1 All., 710	1948
Sealy *v.* Raminarain Bose	4 W. R., Cr., 22	1515
Secretary of Ferry Fund Committee *v.* Ward	Marsh., 89: 1 Hay, 155	4612
Secretary of State for India. In the matter of the petition of.	2 C. L. R., 461	1492
——— *v.* Abdul Hakkim Khan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 294	4392
——— *v.* Administrator General of Bengal.	1 B. L. R., O. C., 87	1672, 5991
——— *v.* Anandomoyi Debi	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 95	522
——— *v.* Arathoon	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 173	1060

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Secretary of State for India v. Bombay Landing and Shipping Company.	5 Bom., O. C., 23	952, 6042
——— v. Hari Bhanji	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 273	5219
——— v. Jamnadas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 737	4391
——— v. Jagan Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 148	654
——— v. Judah	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 652	433
——— v. Kamachee Boye Sahiba	7 Moore's I. A., 476	57, 2322
——— v. Khanzadi	5 B. L. R., 312	2048
——— v. Khemchand Jeychand	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 432	407, 4392
——— v. Marjum Hosein Khan	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 359	184, 5371
——— v. Muhammad Husain	1 Mad., 360	1201, 2732
——— v. Mutu Sawmy	4 B. L. R., Ap., 84: 13 W. R., 245.	317, 3150
——— v. Narayanan	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 130	3598
——— v. Nilamekam Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 406	2069
——— v. Nundun Lall	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 435	4304
——— v. Nunja	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 163	331, 3059
——— v. Poran Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 740	2038
——— v. Radha Pershad Wasti	9 C. L. R., 139	1589
——— v. Ram Ugrah Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 140	2873, 6007
——— v. Rashbehary Mookerjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 591: 12 C. L. R., 27.	5364
——— v. Sassoon	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 513	2957
——— v. Sham Bahadoor	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 769	2949
——— v. Sheo Singh Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 756	1370
——— v. Vira Rayan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 175	3445, 4178 4230
Seebsaugor Tea Co. In the matter of	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257	953
Seedee Nazeer Ali Khan v. Ojoodhya Ram Khan	8 W. R., 399	4685, 6138
Seedich Ghossul v. Apcar	Bourke, O. C., 418	2685
Seedick Dhoosal v. ———	Bourke, O. C., 391	2686
Sees Ram v. Chait Ram	2 Agra, 266	28
Seeta Kulwar v. Jugurnath Pershad	3 Agra, 170	4810
Seetal Kisto Roy v. Gosseenath Shah	Marsh., 465	4934
Seetanath Bose v. Sham Chand Mitter	17 W. R., 418	4
Seetanath Ghose v. Madhub Narain Roy Chowdhry	1 W. R., 329	482
Seetanath Mundul v. Anund Chunder Roy	15 W. R., 5	3495
Seetaput Roy v. Ali Hossein	24 W. R., 11	797, 1931
Seetaram. In the goods of	4 N. W., 60	679
——— v. Dhunnook Dharee Sahye	1 Hay, 260	2128
——— v. Juggobundhoo Bose	2 W. R., 167	1738
——— v. Kishun Dass *alias* Kishuaram	3 Agra, 402	1714
——— v. Kummeer Ali	15 W. R., 250	1361
Seetaram Gossain v. Fakeer Chand Chuckerbutty	15 W. R., 433	2300
Seetaram Gower v. Golucknath Dutt	Marsh., 174: 1 Hay, 378	3144, 4338
Seetaram Mookerjee v. Ramnarain Mookerjee	6 W. R., 51	1755
Seetaram Sahoo v. DaCosta	12 W. R., 294	4616
——— v. Golam Sahoo Bahadur	18 W. R., 325	773
——— v. Sheo Gholam Sahoo	18 W. R., Cr., 34	668
——— v. Sheo Golam Sahoo	19 W. R., 183	782, 5526
——— v. Shewgolam Sahoo	18 W. R., Cr., 62	5512
Seetul Bahoo v. Hurkishen Doss	5 W. R., P. C., 76	1781
Seetul Pershad v. Birj Mohun Dass	1 Agra, 25	80
——— v. Doolhin Badam Konwur	8 W. R., P. C., 22: 11 Moore's I. A., 268.	4234
——— v. Hur Chund Sahoo	1 Agra, 263	4824
——— v. Mahomed Kureem Khan	5 N. W., 164	753

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Seetul Pershad Mitter *v.* Junmejoy Mullick	12 W. R., 244	325
Seetul Pershad Singh *v.* Gour Dyal Singh	1 W. R., 283	2087, 2188 5213
Seetul Singh *v.* Luchmun Singh	3 N. W. 23	2919
——— *v.* Sooruj Buksh Singh	6 W. R., 318	3378
——— *v.* Thakoor Tewary	1 N. W., Part II, p. 31 : Ed. 1873, 89.	567
Seith Gobin Dass *v.* Ranchore *alias* Rughobeer	3 N. W., 324	2203
Seith Hur Lall *v.* Manickpal	3 N. W., 176	4012
Seith Kishen Chand *v.* Kour Askunder Gir	1 N. W., 95 : Ed. 1873, 145	3495
Sekunder Mondul *v.* Nocowri Biswas	11 C. L. R., 547	4610
Selam Sheikh *v.* Baidonath Ghatak	3 B. L. R., A. C., 312 : 12 W. R., 217.	4458, 4826
Selamut *v.* Mowla Buksh	5 W. R., 194	3658
Sengamalathammal *v.* Valaynda Mudali	3 Mad., 312	2492
Sengotha *v.* Ramasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 241	2732
Semandan *v.* Kollkiran	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 208	1771
Sennay Poorasay Hindu Janoncookoola Nidhi *v.* Thayar Ammal.	8 Mad., 193	921
Senra Disai Venra Jagath Virarama Dhikku Vijaya Sethurayar *v.* Annasami Ayyar.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 359	793
Senuk Kachee *v.* Oree Doobey	2 N. W., 241	371
Sepahee Singh *v.* Chundun	2 N. W., 160 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 213.	1429, 4851
Serajgunge Jute Company *v.* Sorabdee Akoond	25 W. R., 252	1104
Seraj Mundul *v.* Bistoo Chunder Roy	7 W. R., 459	535
Serajool Huq *v.* Keramatoollah	19 W. R., 88	208
——— *v.* Roy Luchmeeput Singh	20 W. R., 242	1143
Serumah Umah *v.* Palathan Vitil Marya Coothy Umah	15 W. R., P. C., 47	1341, 2223
Seru Mohun Bania *v.* Bhagoban Din Pandey	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 602	5250
Seshadri *v.* Krishnan	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 192	5062
Seshadri Ayyangar *v.* Sandanam	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 146	3589
Seshaiya *v.* Gouramma	4 Mad., 336	2241
——— *v.* Kandaiya	2 Mad., 249	2022
Seshathri Ayyengar *v.* Sankara Ayen	7 Mad., 296	4809
Seshayiyangar *v.* Raghunatha Row	5 Mad., 345	2787
Seshayyangar *v.* Jainulavadin	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 66	4345, 4530
——— *v.* Seshayyangar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 143	5269
Seshayyar *v.* Pappuvaradayyangar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 185	1714
Setarama Krishna Rayudappa Ranga Rao *v.* Vijaya Rama Gajapaty.	3 Mad., 31	4402
Seta Rama Kristna Rayudappa Ranga Rao *v.* Jagunti Sitayamma Garu.	3 Mad., 67	3374, 3579
Seth Dhunraj *v.* Secretary of State for India	1 N. W., 118 : Ed. 1873, 204.	3790, 4422
Seth Gokul Dass Gopal Dass *v.* Murli	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 602 : 2 C. L. R., 156 : L. R., 5 I. A., 78.	1076, 1095 2708, 4029
Seth Gujmul *v.* Chahee Kowar	L. R., 2 I. A., 34	4649
Seth Jaidial *v.* Seth Sitaram	L. R., 8 I. A., 215	4225
Seth Lakhmi Chand *v.* Seth Indra Mull	4 B. L. R., P. C., 31 : 13 W. R., P. C., 36 : 13 Moore's I. A., 365.	1728
Seth Mulchand Badharsha *v.* Bai Mancha	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 491	2511
Seth Oodey Kurrun *v.* Chait Ram	2 Agra, 125	5375

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Seth Samur Mull v. Choga Lall	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 421 : L. R., 6 I. A., 238.	2067
Sethu v. Nayana	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 577	3310
—— v. Venkatarama	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 112	3554
Seto Luchmee Chund v. Seto Zorawur Mull	6 Moore's I. A., 204	4645
Seton v. Bijohn	8 B. L. R., 255 : 17 W. R., 165	430, 4127
Setrucherla Ramabhadra Raju Bahadur v. Vairicherla Surianarayana Raju Bahadur.	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 314	3979
Settiappan v. Sarat Singh	3 Mad., 220	3242
Seva Lall v. Mahomed	2 Agra, 160	6157
Seva Ram v. Ali Bakhsh	I. L. R., 3 All., 805	1688
Sevinthia Pillay v. Mootoosawmy	8 W. R., 2	668
Sevvaji Vijaya Raghunadha Valoji Kristnan Gopaldar v. Chinna Nayana Chetti.	10 Moore's I. A., 151	4193, 6001
Sewak Ram v. Ram Bhawan Ojha	1 Agra, 212	538
Sewa Ram v. Risal Chowdhry	1 Agra, 144	4537
Sew Bux Bogla v. Shib Chunder Sen	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 225	5418, 5962
Shaboo Majee v. Noorai Mollah	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 691 : 7 W. R., 386.	5675
Shabudin Mahomed v. Hirnak Rajnak	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 47	5846
Shadal Khan v. Amin-ulla Khan	I. L. R., 4 All., 92	5054
Shadee Lal v. Bhawanee	2 N. W., 52	3271, 3331
Shadee Ram v. Surma	2 Agra, 110	4902
Shadhoo Jha v. Bhugwan Chunder Opadhia	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 75 : 5 W. R., Act X, 17.	3076, 5185
Shadhoo Singh v. Ramanoograha Lall	9 W. R., 83	140, 158 1644
Shadhoo Suran v. Bhuggoo Lall	12 W. R., 98	4950
Shadi v. Ganga Sahai	I. L. R., 3 All., 538	823
Shadulla Howladar v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 875 : 12 C. L. R., 506.	399
Shafi-uddin v. Lochan Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 94	4954
Shafkatunissa v. Shib Sahai	I. L. R., 4 All., 171	4878
Shahabooddeen v. Futteh Ali	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 646 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 135 : 7 W. R., 260.	5314
Shahaboodeen v. Naduroojuma	12 W. R., 44	3419
Shahaboodeen Chowdhry v. Ramgutty Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., 556	4468, 5411
Shah Ahmed Sujad v. Taree Rai	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 343	319
"Shah Callander." In the matter of the ship	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 263	3782, 5642
Shaheb Tewaree v. Kishoree Sahoy Singh	24 W. R., 330	4911
Shahebzadi Begum v. Himmat Bahadur	4 B. L. R., A. C., 103 : 12 W. R., 512.	2735, 3637 3693, 3700
Shahiram v. Shib Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 378	4836, 5430 5700
Shahjan Bibee v. Shib Chunder Shaha	22 W. R., 314	3679
Shah Makhanlal v. Srikrishna Singh	2 B. L. R., P. C., 44 : 11 W. R., P. C., 19 : 12 Moore's I. A., 157.	510, 1577 4020, 4187
Shah Muhammad v. Kashi Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 199	5238
Shah Sahib. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 19	6178
Shahunshah Begum v. Fergusson	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 499	741, 4123
Shahye Royree v. Barton	Marsh., 250 : 2 Hay, 14	3076
Shair Mull v. Singaravelu Mudali	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 294	1665
Shakaram Mahadev v. Hari Krishna	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 113	4814
Shakur Mahomed v. Chunder Mohun Sha	21 W. R., Cr., 38	3864
Shalgram v. Kubirun	3 B. L. R., A. C., 61 : 11 W. R., 400.	4928

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shama Charan Chatterji *v.* Madhub Chandra Mookerji	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 93	813, 4467
Shamacharan Das *v.* Joyenoolah	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 750	4798, 4799 4850
Shama Charan Ghose *v.* Taraknath Mukhopadhya	3 B. L. R., Ap., 115	5777
Shama Charan Neogi *v.* Nabin Chandra Dhoba	6 B. L. R., Ap., 1 : 15 W. R., 239.	4824
Shama Churn Audhicaree Byragee *v.* Roop Doss Byragee	6 W. R., 68	2338
Shama Churn Bhutt *v.* Payne & Co.	18 W. R., 292	5801
Shama Churn Bose *v.* Bhola Nath Dutt	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 9	5277
Shama Churn Chatterjee *v.* Boidonath Banerjee	11 W. R., 2	5287
——— *v.* Prosono Coomar Santikaree	5 C. L. R., 251	5025
Shama Churn Chuckerbutty *v.* Bindabun Chunder Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 892 : 9 W. R., 181.	5131, 5133 5142
Shama Churn Dey *v.* Chunder Coomar Mookerjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 677	4771
Shama Churn Ghose *v.* Radha Kristo Chaklanuvis	14 W. R., 210	243
Shama Churn Halder *v.* Beharee Lall Koilay	14 W. R., 443	1380
Shama Churn Lall *v.* Collector of Tirhoot	1 W. R., 308	3288
Shama Churn Mullick. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 52 : 24 W. R., 206.	4662
Shama Churn Singh *v.* Protab Coomar Ghossal	20 W. R., 292	2732
Shamadanissa Beebee *v.* Ferasutoollah Sirdar	2 C. L. R., 23	4991
Shama Kant Bundopadhya. In the matter of	14 W. R., Cr., 69	2925
Shama Keshee *v.* Raj Kishore	14 W. R., 179	485
Shamanund *v.* Nund Koomar	3 Agra, 290 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 153.	5745
——— *v.* Ramavatar Pandey	1 Agra, Rev., 1	4902
Shamanund Surma *v.* Shumbhoo Chunder Doss	7 W. R., 205	795
Shama Pershad Roy Chowdhry *v.* Hurro Pershad Roy Chowdhry.	3 W. R., P. C., 11 : 10 Moore's I. A., 203.	3877
Shamapuddo Dutt *v.* Nobin Chunder Bose	15 W. R., 283	796
Shama Puddo Moitro *v.* Dinonath Bagchee	25 W. R., 108	4294
Shama Sankar Biswas. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., S. N., 16	1853
Shamasankar Mazumdar. In the matter of the petition of.	9 B. L. R., Ap., 45	1806, 4489 6255
Shama Soondary *v.* Hurro Soondary	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 348 : 8 C. L. R., 528.	309
Shama Soonderee Dabee *v.* Prosonno Coomar Tagore	1 W. R., 114	67
Shama Soonderee Debea *v.* Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	7 W. R., 376	1907
Shama Soonderee Dossee *v.* Bindabun Chunder Mozoomdar.	Marsh., 199 : 1 Hay, 574	4933
Shama Soondery Debia *v.* Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	6 W. R., Cr., 10	4501
Shamasoondery Debea *v.* Bejoy Gobind Bural	W. R., 1864, 331	5267
Shama Soondery Dossea *v.* Tarinee Churn Singh	3 W. R., 194	3418
Shamasoondery Dossee *v.* Kartick Churn Mitter	Bourke, O. C., 326	2457
Shama Soonduree *v.* Shurut Chunder Dutt	8 W. R., 500	2507
Shama Soonduree Chowdhrain *v.* Jumoona Chowdhrain	24 W. R., 86	2208, 2488
Shamasoonduree Debia *v.* Binode Lall Pakrashee	14 W. R., 396	1889
——— *v.* Collector of Maldah	12 W. R., 104	1994, 4192
——— *v.* Degumburee Debia	21 W. R., 368	325
——— *v.* Digamburee Debia	13 W. R., 1	161, 5171
——— *v.* Kristo Chunder Roy	13 W. R., 316	2974
——— *v.* Mallyut Mundul	11 W. R., 101	3789
——— *v.* Puresh Narain Roy	20 W. R., 182	2879
——— *v.* Rohimooddeen Sirdar	24 W. R., 71	4409
Shamasundari Debi *v.* Dukhu Mandal	2 B. L. R., A. C., 227	3789
——— *v.* Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	3 B. L. R., Ap., 120 : 12 W. R., 160.	4299

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shamasundari Debi *v.* Sital Khan	8 B. L. R., Ap., 85, note: 15 W. R., 474.	2973
Shamasunduri Dabi *v.* Nobin Chunder Kolya	6 C. L. R., 117	3047
Shama Sunker Chowdhry *v.* Sreenath Banerjee	12 W. R., 354	3818
Shamasunkur Mozoomdar *v.* Anundmoyee Dassya	18 W. R., Cr., 64	1806, 4489, 6255
Sham Bagdee *v.* Fukeer Chand Bagdee	6 W. R., 222	5280
Shambhu Nath Nath *v.* Ram Chandra Shaha	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 267	3211
Sham Chand Bysack *v.* Bungo Chunder Chatterjee	Marsh., 556: 2 Hay., 663	5765
——— *v.* Kishen Prosaud Surma	18 W. R., 4: 14 Moore's I. A., 595.	41, 2946
——— *v.* Lucas	5 W. R., Mis., 5	3526
Sham Chand Ghose *v.* Ram Krishto Bewrah	19 W. R., 309	1768
Sham Chand Gires. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 243	5928
Sham Chand Koondoo *v.* Kally Kanth Roy	Marsh., 336: 2 Hay, 314	3143
Sham Chand Kundu *v.* Brojonath Pal Chowdhry	12 B. L. R., 484: 21 W. R., 94	5305, 5309
Sham Chand Mitter *v.* Juggut Chunder Sircar	22 W. R., 50	4336, 5313
Sham Chund Doss *v.* Cox	Cor., 32	4587
Sham Chunder Adhiary *v.* Sobin Bhoopal Sing	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 397: 10 C. L. R., 419.	739
Sham Chund Ghose Mundul *v.* Doyamoyee Mundulnee	9 W. R., 338	1715
Sham Chund Mitter. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106	2921
Sham Churn Auddy *v.* Tariney Churn Banerjee	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 422: 25 W. R., 228.	4570
Sham Churn Koondoo *v.* Dwarkanath Kubeeraj	19 W. R., 100	1615
Sham Coomar Roy *v.* Juttun Bibee	14 W. R., 448	5378
Shamdance *v.* Bhojoo Ram	22 W. R., 44	5927
Sham Doss *v.* Bhola Doss	1 W. R., 324	2843
Shamee Mahomed *v.* Prodhan Palee	5 W. R., 178	5767
Shamee Mahomed Sircar *v.* Brinda Mundle	11 W. R., 100	3130
Shami Mohammed *v.* Mohammed Ali Khan	2 B. L. R., Ap., 22: 11 W. R., 67.	3446, 3479, 4344
Shamjee Nashyo. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 481	5570
Shamjha *v.* Doorga Roy	7 W. R., 122	4924
Shamkant Banerjee *v.* Gopal Lal Tagore	1 W. R., 328	67, 3186
Shamkaran *v.* Piari	I. L. R., 5 All., 596	3460
Sham Kishore Mundle *v.* Shoshibhoosun Biswas	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 707: 5 C. L. R., 509.	769, 4525
Sham Kishore Shaw *v.* Cowie	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7	1395
Sham Kuar *v.* Gaya Din	I. L. R., 1 All., 255	2288
Sham Lal *v.* Bahadoor Ali	7 W. R., 156	1471
——— *v.* Banna	I. L. R., 4 All., 296	2433
——— *v.* Hira Chand	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 367	1516
——— *v.* Kanahia Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 316	3633
Shamlal Das. In the goods of	5 B. L. R., Ap., 21	685
Sham Lall *alias* Shama *v.* Anuntee Lall	24 W. R., 312	5767
Sham Lall Ghose *v.* Boistub Churn Mozoomdar	7 W. R., 407	1614
——— *v.* Muddun Gopal Ghose	6 W. R., Act X, 37	1610
——— *v.* Sekunder Khan	3 W. R., 182	4206
Sham Lall Sahoo *v.* Afsuroonissa	22 W. R., 184	3725
——— *v.* Hady Bunjara	2 Hay, 522	5185
Sham Lall Sein *v.* Hurosoondry Gooptea	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 36: 5 W. R., 29.	1136, 2239
Shamlall Set *v.* Huro Soonduree Goopta	5 W. R., 29: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 36.	1136, 2239
Sham Lall Singh *v.* Radha Bibee	4 C. L. R., 173	1834
Sham Manna *v.* Ramdyal Goohoo	1 W. R., Mis., 3	677

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shamnarain *v.* Administrator General of Bengal	23 W. R., 111	4189
——— *v.* Court of Wards	20 W. R., 197	1567, 2298 5762
Sham Narain Chowdhry *v.* Court of Wards	23 W. R., 432	5183
Sham Narain Lall *v.* Khemajeet Matoo	12 W. R., F. B., 11	4812
Sham Narain Singh *v.* Rughoobur Dyal	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 508 : 1 C. L. R., 343.	2366, 3997
Sham Narayan Lal *v.* Khimajit Matoe	4 B. L. R., F. B., 1	4807, 4812
Shamrav Pandoji *v.* Niloji Ramaji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 200	5727, 5958
Shamrav Pandurang *v.* Trustees of Bhugvan Das Purshotom Das.	5 Bom., O. C., 163	458
Shamsonnessa Begum *v.* Love	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 527	433
Sham Soonder Bhuttacharjee *v.* Monee Ram Doss	25 W. R., 233	5282
Sham Soonder Kooer *v.* Jumna Kooer	25 W. R., 148	2164, 2255
Sham Soondur Kooer *v.* Rajendur Misser	10 W. R., 390	3820
Sham Soondur Koonwar *v.* Rughoonath Suhaye	11 W. R., 264	225
Sham Sunder Dass *v.* Raheem Buksh	6 N. W., 252	5457
Shand & Co. *v.* Atmakuri Adinarayana Chetti	2 Mad., 193	1061
Shankara *v.* Hanma	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 470	5224
Shankar Abaji Hoshing. In the matter of the petition of.	6 Bom., Cr., 69	3611, 6028
Shankarapa Dargo Patel *v.* Danapa Virantapa	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 604	1520, 4012
Shankar Bapu *v.* Vishnu Narayan	4 Bom., A. C., 79	4616
Shankarbhai Gulabbhai *v.* Kassibhai Vithalbhai	9 Bom., 69	3442, 3975
Shankar Bharati Svami *v.* Venkapa Naik	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 422	2257
Shankar Das *v.* Jograj Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 599	4802
Shankar Dial *v.* Amir Haider	I. L. R., 2 All., 752	226
Shankar Lal *v.* Sukhrani	I. L. R., 4 All., 462	5851
Shankar Ramchandra *v.* Vishnu Anant	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 67	4778
Shanks *v.* Savage	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 177	4517
Shanmuga *v.* Meddleton	1 Mad., 443	3845, 5686
Shannon. In the matter of the petition of	2 N. W., 79	3851
Shantapa *v.* Balapa	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 561	3933
Shanti Lakshminarasamma *v.* Vepa Venkatramadas	3 Mad., 237	5691
Shantomonee Gooptah *v.* Sutto Bhama Gooptah	7 W. R., 34	4184
Shanto Teorni *v.* Belilios	3 B. L. R., Ap., 151	976
Shapurji Jahangirji *v.* Superintendent of the Poona City Jail.	12 Bom., 238	3328
Shapurji Jehangir *v.* Morgan	4 Bom., A. C., 187	655, 5659
Shapurji Jivanji *v.* Collector of Bombay	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 483	2961
Shapurji Nowroji Pochaji *v.* Bhikaiji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 242	3357
Sharafatunnissa *v.* Lachmi Narain	7 N. W., 288	3256, 3257
Sharat Chunder Burmon *v.* Hurgobindo Burmon	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 510	1177, 2879
Sharat Chunder Ghose *v.* Kartick Chunder Mitter	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 810 : 12 C. L. R., 455.	998
Sharat Sundari Dabia *v.* Bhobo Pershad Khan Chowdhuri	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 101	3421
Sharman *v.* Gour Shah Bangally	Marsh., 542	1367
Sharo Bibi *v.* Baldeo Das	1 B. L. R., O. C., 24	4671
Sharoda Koomaree Dossee *v.* Mohinee Mohun Ghose	20 W. R., 272	5323
Sharoda Moyee Burmonee *v.* Wooma Moyee Burmonee	8 W. R., 9	333, 410 1880
Sharodapersaud Mookerjee *v.* Bengal Indigo Co.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 32	2817
Sharoda Pershad Gangooly *v.* Raj Mohun Roy	18 W. R., 165	3803
Sharoda Pershad Mookerjee *v.* Sreenath Mookerjee	15 W. R., 520	4935
Sharoda Pershad Mullick *v.* Dhunput Singh	19 W. R., 219	4958
Sharoda Pershad Roy *v.* Bholanath Banerjee	11 W. R., 441	1099
Sharoda Prosunno Mookerjee *v.* Bipeen Beharee Bose	13 W. R., 71	1596

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sharoda Soondari Debia *v.* Gonee Sheik	10 W. R., 419	3020
Sharoda Soondurees Debee *v.* Gobind Monee *alias* Brojo Soondurees Dabee.	24 W. R., 179	249, 317
Shashi Bhusan Chuckrabutty. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 623	1272
Shashi Bhushan Bhadury. In re	1 B. L. R., A. C., 45 : 10 W. R., 82.	4434
Sha Sufi Sufila *v.* Official Trustee of Bengal	L. R., 8 I. A., 197	3326
Shathuk Paul *v.* Gudadhur Roy	4 W. R., 100	2772
Shatyanundo Ghosal *v.* Camperdown Pressing Co.	21 W. R., Cr., 43	1267, 2924
Shaunkara Subbien *v.* Vellayan Chetty	5 Mad., 179	5675
Shaw *v.* Bill	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 38	1090
——— *v.* Subramier	5 Mad., 108	2647
Shayama Sunduri Dasi *v.* Watson & Co.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 720 : 11 C. L. R., 98.	1242
Shearman *v.* Fleming	5 B. L. R., 619	1780, 3200 5644
Sheboosoonduree Debea *v.* Mahomed Ali	W. R., 1864, 137	2018
Sheeb Chunder Bhuttacharjee *v.* Saadut Ally Khan	4 W. R., Cr., 12	4101
Sheeb Chunder Mahneesah *v.* Brojonath Aditya	14 W. R., 301	3071, 5073
Sheeb Dyal Pauleet *v.* Dwarkanath Sookul	2 W. R., Act X, 54	3024, 4171
Sheeb Narain Roy *v.* Chidam Doss Byragee	6 W. R., Act X, 45	4150
Sheebpershad Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the petition of.	17 W. R., Cr., 46	5508
Sheelabutty Debia *v.* Tarinee Churn Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., 597	763
Sheen *v.* Johnson	I. L. R., 2 All., 368	4334
Sheero Coomaree Dabee *v.* Keshub Chunder Bose	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 188 : 5 W. R., 224.	4956
Sheeroo Coomaree Dabee *v.* Mahatab Chund	W. R., 1864, 380	878
Sheetanath Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 303 : 7 C. L. R., 475.	681
——— *v.* Promothonath Mookerjee		
Sheetul Pershad *v.* Mahomed Kureem Khan	5 N. W., 164	765
Sheetul Pershad Mitter *v.* Juumejoy Mullick	12 W. R., 244	1723
Shekari Varma Valia Rajah *v.* Mangalom Amugar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 57	3707
Shekhara Paniker *v.* Ravu Nayar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 193	3763
Shemsulosman *v.* Bansidhar Dutt	7 B. L. R., Ap., 32	1627
Sheobaran *v.* Bhairo Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 880	1346, 1442
Sheobharos Rai *v.* Jiach Rai	I. L. R., 8 All., 462	4546
Sheoburn Lall *v.* Ram Purtap Singh	3 W. R., Act X, 20	4394
Sheoburrut Singh *v.* Lalljee Chowdhry	13 W. R., 202	80
Sheoburt *v.* Dharee Thakoor	2 Agra, Pt. II, 194	2500, 3985
Sheochurn *v.* Bussunt Singh	3 N. W., 282 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 258.	1658, 3003
Sheo Churn Doss *v.* Rampershad Tewarry	10 Moore's I. A., 490	2351
Sheo Churn Kandoo *v.* Goodur Burnwar	3 Agra, 138	1346
Sheo Churn Lall *v.* Heera Lall	11 C. L. R., 537	886
——— *v.* Ram Surun Sahoo	16 W. R., 49	1143, 1925
Sheo Churn Narain Singh *v.* Chukraree Pershad Narain Singh.	15 W. R., 436	4255
Sheo Churn Sahoo *v.* Curtis	3 W. R., 139	4604
Sheo Churn Singh *v.* Gorachand Ghose	3 W. R., Act X, 125	5190
Sheo Churun Singh *v.* Fakera Doobay	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 91 : 7 C. L. R., 69.	5061
Sheo Dayal Mal *v.* Hari Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 590	4798, 6136
Sheo Dial *v.* Prag Dat Misr. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 3 All., 229	4811
Sheo Dial Chaubey *v.* Collector of Gorakhpur	I. L. R., 5 All., 264	2091

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sheo Disht Narain Singh *v.* Rameshar Dial	I. L. R., 7 All., 188	2869
Sheodut Ram Tewary *v.* Ramadhree	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 35: Ed. 1873, 93.	4535
Sheodyal Aheer *v.* Gool Mahomed Khan	2 N. W., 296	4826
Sheo Dyal Pooree *v.* Mohabeer Pershad	10 W. R., 477	1736, 4473
Sheodyal Singh *v.* Girban Singh	6 W. R., Cr., 73, 79	19
——— *v.* Hodgkinson	24 W. R., 342	1755, 5765
——— *v.* Mahomed Kamil	3 Agra, Mis., 2	5916
Sheo Dyal Tewaree *v.* Bishonath Tewaree ——— *v.* Judoonath Tewaree	9 W. R., 61	1209, 2444 2454, 2466 2467
Sheogholam *v.* Buddree Nath	4 N. W., 36	4789
Sheo Gholam *v.* Ramjeawun Singh	5 N. W., 114	4945
Sheo Gholam Sahoo *v.* Rahut Hossein	1 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 6	5449
Sheo Gholam Sahu *v.* Khub Lall	4 C. L. R., 206	5449
Sheo Gholam Singh *v.* Nursingh	4 N. W., 120	161, 5171
Sheo Gobind *v.* Sham Narain Singh	3 N. W., 75	1464, 2454
Sheo Gobind Rawut *v.* Abhai Narayan Singh	1 B. L. R., Ap., 17	322, 6089
Sheo Golam Lall *v.* Beni Prosad	1 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 27: 4 C. L. R., 29.	1686
Sheogolam Sahoy *v.* Mohadeo Lall Sahoo	18 W. R., 110	5755
Sheo Golam Singh *v.* Ramroop Singh	15 B. L. R., 34, note: 23 W. R., 25.	4004
——— *v.* Roy Dinker Dyal	21 W. R., 226	3907
——— *v.* Roy Dinkar Dyal	12 W. R., 215	4929
Sheogolam Tewaree *v.* Faquera Misser	3 Agra, 400	46
Sheo Jalun *v.* Gunesh	2 Agra, 237	3530
Sheojuttun Roy *v.* Anwar Ali	13 W. R., 189	2737, 3710
Sheo Lall Bohree *v.* Mahomed	13 W. R., P. C., 4	2005
Sheo Lall Chowdhury *v.* Chunder Benode Oopadhya	9 W. R., 586	1408
Sheo Lall Sahoo *v.* Rumzanee	2 Agra, 35	4536
Sheo Narain *v.* Hira	I. L. R., 7 All., 535	4545
——— *v.* Jai Gobind	I. L. R., 4 All., 281	6101
——— *v.* Joogul Kishen Ram	7 W. R., 327	3181
Sheo Narain Bhuggut *v.* Budh Singh	11 W. R., 423	158
Sheo Narain Singh *v.* Bishen Prosad Singh	10 C. L. R., 337	2508, 3400
——— *v.* Choonee Bhuggut	24 W. R., 299	5623
——— *v.* Khurgo Koerry	10 C. L. R., 337	2508, 3400
Sheo Narayan Sing *v.* Harbans Lal	5 B. L. R., 497: 14 W. R., 65	795
Sheonath *alias* Burray Kaka *v.* Ramnath *alias* Chotay Kaka.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 161: 5 W. R., P. C., 21: 10 Moore's I. A., 413.	353
Sheonath Singh *v.* Ram Thul Rae	1 N. W., Pt. II, 39: Ed. 1873, 97.	567, 5119
Sheo Nauth Singh *v.* Bishonath Singh	2 Agra, Pt. II, 191	4579
Sheo Nund Rai *v.* Mahanund Ram	1 Agra, 45	388
Sheo Nundun Pershad *v.* Mukdoom Buksh	20 W. R., 364	6105
Sheo Nundun Singh *v.* Ghunsam Kooeree	21 W. R., 143	87
Sheonundun Singh *v.* Kahsa Kooer	6 N. W., 179	2078
Sheopal *v.* Deen Dyal	5 N. W., 145	3958
——— *v.* Khadim Hossein	7 N. W., 220	3443
Sheopalul Singh *v.* Nabee Ashruf Khan	3 N. W., 342	567
Sheopal Singh *v.* Rai Seetaram	3 N. W., 18	4927
Sheo Partab Narain Singh *v.* Sheo Gholam Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 875	3144
Sheo Pergah Roy *v.* Injore Tewaree	21 W. R., 433	5810
Sheo Persad Jha *v.* Gunga Ram Jha	5 W. R., 221	2392

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sheopersad Singh *v.* Leela Singh	12 B. L. R., 188 : 20 W. R., 160.	1166
Sheopershad Lall *v.* Thakoor Rai	3 Agra, 254 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 153.	1471
Sheo Pershad Narain *v.* Collector of Monghyr	7 W. R., 5	2338, 3565, 3566
Sheopershad Ram *v.* Thakoorpershad	5 W. R., 103	2084
Sheopershad Singh *v.* Gopal Lall	14 W. R., 276	5271
——— *v.* Kally Dass Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 543 : 5 C. L. R., 138.	519, 3060
——— *v.* Soorjbunsee Kooer	24 W. R., 281	4157
Sheo Pershad Sookool *v.* Shunkur Sahoy	16 W. R., 190	1434, 4309
Sheo Pershad Tewary *v.* Moloona Beebee	1 N. W., 53 : Ed. 1873, 108	1539
Sheo Portab Lal *v.* Issur Roy	5 W. R., Mis., 23	3496
Sheo Pertab Narain Singh *v.* Hurshunker Pershad Singh	5 N. W., 40	2919
Sheo Prasad *v.* Anrudh Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 273	3481
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 6 All., 440	295, 4906
——— *v.* Miller	I. L. R., 2 All., 474	2643
——— *v.* Udai Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 718	3437
Sheoprokash Doss *v.* Joyram Doss	5 W. R., Mis., 57	2332
Sheo Prokash Misser *v.* Fukeer Roy	13 W. R., 20	540
——— *v.* Hurdai Narain	22 W. R., 550	5482
——— *v.* Ram Sahoy Singh	8 B. L. R., 165 : 17 W. R., 62.	5189
Sheoproshad *v.* Jung Bahadoor	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 389 : 12 C. L. R., 494.	2176
Sheoprosun Misser *v.* Rajender Kishore Singh	W. R., 1864, 100	4531
Sheo Prosunno Chobey *v.* Gopal Surn	15 W. R., 529	88
Sheo Prosunno Singh *v.* Buldharee Lall	13 W. R., 232	1490, 1884
Sheo Prosun Singh *v.* Brojoo Sahoo	7 W. R., 232	3912
Sheo Purshun Chobey *v.* Collector of Sarun	13 W. R., 256	163, 686, 1487
Sheo Purshun Pandey *v.* Brun Pandey	24 W. R., 251	5766
Sheopurshun Singh *v.* Rajendro Kishore Singh	12 W. R., 123	5342
Sheoraj Kooer *v.* Nuckchedee Lall	14 W. R., 72	4155
Sheoraj Nundun Singh *v.* Rajcoomar Baboo Deo Nundun Singh.	24 W. R., 23	4998, 6223
Sheoraj Rai *v.* Kashi Nath	I. L. R., 7 All., 247	5031
Sheoraj Singh *v.* Banwari Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 172	5956
——— *v.* Collector of Moradabad	2 N. W., 379	1248, 3352
——— *v.* Legge	3 Agra, 293	5190
——— *v.* Nurkhan	7 N. W., 354	4398
Sheoram Singh *v.* Sewak Ram	20 W. R., 83	4764
Sheo Ratan *v.* Lappu Kuar	I. L. R., 5 All., 14	5126, 5788
Sheo Ratan Kuar *v.* Mahipal Kuar	I. L. R., 7 All., 258	1501, 3893, 4552
Sheo Ratan Lal *v.* Chotey Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 647	5398
Sheo Ratan Singh *v.* Sheo Sahai Misr	I. L. R., 6 All., 358	5030
Sheoruttungir *v.* Doorga	6 N. W., 36	4194
Sheo Ruttun Koonwar *v.* Gour Beharee Bhukut	7 W. R., 449	2150, 2342
Sheo Ruttun Singh *v.* Phool Koomaree	W. R., 1864, Act X, 68	3044
Sheo Sahae Panday *v.* Rutta Beebee	2 N. W., 180	3196
Sheo Sahai *v.* Ata Hossein	2 Agra, Rev., 10	2975
Sheo Sahoy Pandey *v.* Ram Bhunjun Singh	23 W. R., 127	1922
Sheo Sahoy Singh *v.* Bechun Singh	22 W. R., 31	2993, 4899
——— *v.* Birj Behary Singh	23 W. R., 195	3526
Sheo Sahoy Tewaree *v.* Rampershad Narain Tewaree	24 W. R., 333	4910

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sheo Saran Tato v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 877	5571
Sheo Shunkur Lall v. Dhurm Joy Pooree	8 W. R., 360	4589
Sheo Shunkur Sahoy v. Hirdey Narain Sahu	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 25 : 5 C. L. R., 194.	4845
——— v. Hridhoy Narain	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 143 : 12 C. L. R., 34.	4871
Sheo Singh Rai v. Dukho	6 N. W., 382	2125, 2225 2313
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 1 All., 688 : 2 C. L. R., 193 : L. R., 5 I. A., 87.	1405, 1408 2125, 4648
Sheo Sohye Roy v. Luchmeshur Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 577	3406
Sheo Soondary v. Pirthee Singh	L. R., 4 I. A., 147	2296
Sheo Soondyee v. ———	21 W. R., 89	2280, 2284
Sheo Suhaye Dhamee v. Bhooree Mahtoon	3 W. R., 33	2841
Sheo Suhaye Roy v. Goodur Roy	8 W. R., 328	1745, 1810
Sheo Surn Lall. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 280	4730
Sheo Surn Singh v. Ram Khelawun Singh	14 W. R., 165	135
Sheo Surun Lall v. Chumun Lall	24 W. R., 220	4476
Sheosurun Ojha v. Goolbanee Kooer	W. R., 1864, 264	1778
Sheo Surun Sahai v. Mohomed Fazil Khan	10 W. R., Cr., 20	4451, 6281
Sheotuhul Ram v. Ram Narain Singh	5 C. L. R., 291	2268
Sheo Tuhul Singh v. Ram Kooer	W. R., 1864, 311	3717
Sheoumbur Rai v. Sheobhung Rai	1 N. W., 45 : Ed. 1873, 41	3031
Sheoumber Sahoo v. Bhowaneedeen Kulwar	2 N. W., 223	3388
Shepherd v. Hogg	Cor., 67	132
——— v. Trustees of the Port of Bombay	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 132	2019
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 477	3102
Sherafutoollah Chowdhry v. Abedoonissa Bibee	17 W. R., 374	1899
Sheraj Ali Chowdhry v. Ramjan Bibee	8 W. R., 204 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 249.	3707
Sherajooddeen Ahmed v. Horel Singh	25 W. R., 116	2357
Shere Ali v. Prendergast	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 143	5663
Shere Bahadur Singh v. Dariao Kuar	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 645	4222, 4645
Sheriff v. Dina Nath Mookerjee	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 258	553
Sheriff of Bombay. In re	6 Bom., O. C., 22	5641
Sherif Saib v. Usanabibi Ammal	6 Mad., 452	3646
Sher Mahomed. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 511	1287, 5599
Sherman v. Schorn	24 W. R., 124	3562
Shero Coomaree Dabee v. Shitaram Hazra	21 W. R., 346	3878
Shero Koomaree Debee v. Keshub Chunder Bose	8 W. R., 131	4957
Sherokumari Debi v. Shitaram Hazdra	13 B. L. R., Ap., 17	829
Sheroocoomaree Debia v. Keshub Chunder Bosoo	18 W. R., 1	4457
Sheshgiri Shanbhog v. Salvador Vas	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 5	1720, 5402
Shetabdee Biswas v. Molamdee Mundul	25 W. R., 30	288
Sheth Kahandas Narandas v. Dahiabhai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 182	590, 3185 4260
Shetliff v. Scott	22 W. R., 39	592, 657
Shetul Shaikh v. Hills	24 W. R., 184	3805, 3809
Shewab Singh v. Asgur Ali	6 W. R., 267	1828
Shewak Mahtoon v. St. Joseph	9 C. L. R., 21	4325
Shewak Ram v. Bhowani Buksh Singh	6 C. L. R., 140	2205
Shewak Ram Roy v. Mohammed Shamsul Hoda	3 B. L. R., A. C., 196 : 12 W. R., 26.	1415
Shew Narain Singh v. Miller	17 W. R., 234	449, 2626
Shewram v. Rohomutoollah	W. R., 1864, 94	4331
Shew Sukoy Lall v. Wajed Ali Khan	13 W. R., 205	2737
Shiam Lall v. Narain Dass	2 Agra, 106	4002

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shiam Soonder v. Narain Dass	2 Agra, 343	79
Shibba v. Hulasi	I. L. R., 5 All., 518	5694
——— v. Ramlal	3 N. W., 216	1176
Shibban Lal v. Tiloke Chand	I. L. R., 2 All., 619	217
Shib Chandra Chakravati v. Johobux	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 570: 9 C. L. R., 224.	4832
Shib Chandra Mullick. In the matter of	8 B. L. R., 30	2666
Shibcharan v. Ratiram	I. L. R., 7 All., 20	377
Shib Chunder Bhadooree v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain	6 W. R., Mis., 51	3448
Shib Chunder Bidyaruttun v. Hureedoss Bhuttacharjee	13 W. R., 298	1144
Shib Chunder Dass v. Ram Chunder Poddar	16 W. R., 29	1928, 3537
Shib Chunder Doss v. Cochrane	Bourke, O. C., 388	3811, 5647
Shib Chunder Ghuttuck v. Collector of Tipperah	5 W. R., 139	38
Shib Chunder Goopto v. Allad Monee Dassia	5 W. R., Mis., 22	509, 884
Shib Chunder Mahtoon v. Kasheenath Kurmokar	12 W. R., 245	299
Shib Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 476	5718
Shib Chunder Sircar v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind	12 W. R., 212	5624
Shib Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi	7 C. L. R., 103	4910, 6103
Shib Churun Sen v. Jonardhon Dey	1 C. L. R., 397	528
Shibdas Bandapadhya v. Bamandas Mukhapadhya	8 B. L. R., 237: 15 W. R., 360.	3055, 5315
Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 443	3209, 3532
Shib Dayee v. Doorga Pershad	4 N. W., 63	2412, 2429
Shib Deen Tewary v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh	W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	5748
Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree	9 W. R., 61	1209, 2444, 2454, 2466, 2467
Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 605	195, 886
Shibeshuree Debia v. Mothooranath Acharjee	5 W. R., 202	5265
Shibessuree Debia v. Beckwith	3 W. R., Act X, 152	2255
Shibessuree Debia v. Ladly	17 W. R., 71	2698
——— v. Mothooranath Acharjee	13 W. R., P. C., 18: 13 Moore's I. A., 270.	2254, 6010
Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwaree	1 W. R.; Mis., 32	677
Shib Gopal v. Baldeo Sahai	I. L. R., 2 All., 264	1184, 4276
Shib Jaton Roy v. Panchanan Bose	3 B. L. R., Ap., 55: 11 W. R., 467.	1433, 1701
Shib Kishen Doss v. Abdul Sobhan Chowdhry	3 W. R., 103	4792, 5798
Shib Koonwer v. Sadho Singh	2 Agra, 255	5212
Shib Kristo Dah v. Abdool Sobhan Chowdhry	15 W. R., 408	4866
Shib Kristo Shaha Chowdhry v. Miller	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 150: 13 C. L. R., 433.	2629
Shibkristo Sircar v. Abdul Hakeem	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 692: 5 C. L. R., 455.	4418
Shib Kumar Joti v. Kali Prasad Sen	1 B. L. R., A. C., 167	3816
Shib Lal v. Ganga Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 551	3440
——— v. Hira Lal	I. L. R., 1 All., 622	1410
——— v. Radha Kishen	I. L. R., 7 All., 898	3512
Shib Lall v. Lochun Singh	3 Agra, Rev., 7	5376
Shib Lall Singh v. Moorad Khan	9 W. R., 126	5590
Shib Narain Banerjee v. Government	W. R., 1864, 138	5981
——— v. Ram Narain Lushkur	20 W. R., 142	4403
Shib Narain Bose v. Ramnidhee Bose	9 W. R., 87	2329, 2410
Shib Narain Dutt v. Ekramoonissa Begum	17 W. R., 356	1628, 1642
Shib Narain Ghose v. Aukhil Chunder Mookerjee	22 W. R., 485	1625
——— v. Kashee Pershad Mookerjee	1 W. R., 226	1613, 1653
Shib Narain Pal v. Koonjo Kaminee Dabee	22 W. R., 466	5422

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shib Narain Shaha *v.* Bipin Behary Biswas	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 512: 1 C. L. R., 539.	1882
Shib Narain Singh *v.* Gobind Dass Bhukut	23 W. R., 154	1894
Shib Narayan Pohraj *v.* Kishor Narayan Pohraj	1 B. L. R., A. C., 146: 10 W. R., 181.	3824
Shib Nath Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 58	1325
Shib Nath Chatterjee *v.* Nubokishen Chatterjee	21 W. R., 189	5025
Shib Nath Roy *v.* Watson & Co.	8 W. R., 374	5189
Shibnundun Roy *v.* Sreenarain Roy	11 W. R., 415	1035
Shibo Doorga Chowdhrain *v.* Hossein Ali Chowdhry	6 W. R., 218	3280
Shibo Kooeree *v.* Joogun Singh	8 W. R., 155	1414, 2120 2141
Shiboo Narain Singh *v.* Mudden Ally	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 608; 9 C. L. R., 8.	3242, 5246 5431, 5666 5681
Shiboo Roy *v.* Kashee Roy	25 W. R., 394	5587
Shiboo Soondurce Dabi *v.* Beckwith	9 W. R., 580	1424
Shiboo Soondurce Debea *v.* Secretary of State	7 W. R., 373	5266
Shibo Prosad Pandah. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 124: 3 C. L. R., 122.	707, 1512 1849
Shibo Soondery Dossee *v.* Rakhal Doss Sirkar	1 W. R., 38	2359
Shibo Soondurce Debia *v.* Sowdaminee Debia	25 W. R., 78	4809
Shibo Soondurce Dossee *v.* Chunder Kant Ghose	21 W. R., 217	5760
——— *v.* Panchcowree Chundra	14 W. R., 158	2889, 5364
Shibo Sundari Dasi *v.* Kali Churan Rai	W. R., 1864, 296	3166
Shib Persad Roy *v.* Rughoonath Singh	W. R., 1864, 295	4502
Shib Pershad Chuckerbutty. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 38	1046
——— *v.* Gungamonee Debee	16 W. R., 291	1210, 2343
——— *v.* Muddun Mohun Chuckerbutty.	W. R., 1864, Act X, 80	532
Shib Pershad Panah *v.* Muddun Mohun Doss	15 W. R., 415	5076
Shib Prasad Das *v.* Anna Purna Dayi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 451: 12 W. R., 435.	4807
Shib Prasad Panda. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 59: 14 W. R., Cr., 77.	4622
Shib Prokash Singh *v.* Sardar Doyal Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 544: 2 C. L. R., 260.	5468
Shib Prosad Doobey *v.* Promothonath Ghose	10 W. R., 193	1746
Shib Ram Dey *v.* Banee Madhab Mitter	11 W. R., 117	3523
Shib Ram Ghose *v.* Pran Piria	4 B. L. R., Ap., 89: 13 W. R., 280.	2931
Shib Ram Lall *v.* Raj Coomar Mitter	6 W. R., 48	513
Shib Ram Mundul *v.* Makhun Lall Biswas	7 W. R., 179	1401
——— *v.* Ruhumtoollah	15 W. R., 68	432
Shib Sahae *v.* Mukbool Ahmed	2 N. W., 204	2993
Shib Sahai *v.* Thika Ram	7 N. W., 97	4538
Shib Sahaye Singh *v.* Nursingh Lall	22 W. R., 352	339
Shib Shankar Lal *v.* Banarsi Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 891	4087
Shib Shunkur Neogy *v.* Huro Soondurce Goopta	13 W. R., 209	5005
Shib Singh *v.* Bhoop Singh	2 Agra, 303	1585
Shibsoondurce Debia *v.* Mahomed Ali	W. R., 1864, 137	2018
Shib Suhaye Sookool *v.* Beer Chunder Joobraj	11 W. R., 30	5743
Shibu Manjee *v.* Noshee Mookerjee	17 W. R., Cr., 3	973
Shiddeshvar *v.* Ram Chandrarav	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 463	1714, 2098 3751, 4596
Shidhojirav *v.* Naikjirav	10 Bom., 228	2230, 3366
Shidlingapa *v.* Chenbasapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 235	4785

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shidmal Gura v. Anderson	11 Bom., 39	2858
Shimbhoo v. Narain Singh	5 N. W., 153	5268
Shimbhu Narain Singh v. Bachcha	I. L. R., 2 All., 200	5086
Shinam Gounden v. Coomara Gounden	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 115	2129
Shiponessa Bibee v. Kaminee Bibee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 121	4340
Shirajdee Pramanick v. Emam Buksh Biswas	13 W. R., 104	5812
Shiroore v. Administrator General	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 50	6210
Shirekuli Timapa Hegda v. Mahablya	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 435	1478
Shiro Kumari Debi v. Govind Shaw Tanti	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 418	6005
Shistee Pershad Chuckerbutty v. Kumlakant Roy	17 W. R., 348	3840
Shistidhur Parui. In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., Ap., 19	1328
Shitanath Biswas v. Kishen Mohun Mookerjee	5 W. R., 130	365
Shitagam v. Ramanund	16 W. R., Cr., 66	257
Shiudas Narayan Singh v. Bhagwan Dutt	2 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 11 W. R., 10.	2740, 5789
Shiu Dayal Puri v. Mahabir Prasad	2 B. L. R., Ap., 8	4460
Shiu Golam Singh v. Barán Singh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 164: 10 W. R., 198.	2348
Shiu Suhai Mullick v. Lala Hari Suhai Singh	3 B. L. R., Ap., 142	4415
Shivalingaya v. Nagalingaya	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 247	5007
Shiva Charan Dass v. Roostum	Agra, F. B., 69: Ed. 1874, 53	3891
Shiva Nathaji v. Joma Kashinath	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 341	5949
Shivarambari v. Arjun	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 258	1106
Shivasundari Debi v. Ramshamayat Kurmi	1 B. L. R., S. N., 3	3832
Shivji Hasam v. Datu Mavji Khoja	12 Bom., 281	2226, 3752
Shivlal bin Khubchand v. Balvantrav Vinayak	2 Bom., 75: 2nd Ed., 70	5220
Shivlal Khubchand v. Apaji Bhivrav	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 654	5975
Shivram v. Genu	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 515	3945, 4842, 5403
——— v. Narayan	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 27	3285, 5000
Shivram Narayan v. Ravji Sakharam	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 254	5414
Shivram Udaram v. Kondaji Muktaji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 340	2032, 5875
Shivram Vithal v. Bhagirthibai	6 Bom., A. C., 20	2795, 2797, 4295
Shivrudrappa v. Kashinath Vishnu	6 Bom., A. C., 141	5581
Shiv Shankar v. Govindlal Parbhudas	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 467	1356
Shivshankar Govindram v. Justices of the Peace for Bombay.	5 Bom., O. C., 145	5260
Shoay Att v. Shoay Doang	14 W. R., 218	2006
Shodone Mohaldar v. Halalkhore Mohaldar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 645: 3 C. L. R., 462.	669
Shohrat Singh v. Bridgman	I. L. R., 4 All., 376	1873
Shoindoo Noshyo v. Rung Lall Jhah	25 W. R., Cr., 21	1303, 4487
Shojonee Dossia v. Dhuronee Dhur Ghose	16 W. R., 226	5707
Shokhee Bewa v. Mehdee Mundul	9 W. R., 327	4972
Shomaed Ali Sowdagur v. Eusoof Khan Chowdhry	15 W. R., 80	3452
Shome Dutt Chowdhry v. Surb Narain Chowdhry	24 W. R., 242	294, 4309
Shonai Bewa v. Monoram Mundul	11 C. L. R., 15	3855
Shonai Poramanick. In re	1 C. L. R., 486	4111, 5151
——— v. Jogendro Shaha	1 C. L. R., 486	4111, 5151
Shoobhunkuree Dossee v. Chand Monee Dossee	7 W. R., 335	2200
Shoobul Chunder Kulleah v. Koylash Chunder Mal	14 W. R., 23	5753, 6245
Shoo Chand Chunder v. Grant	7 W. R., 10	3497
Shoodan Kurmokar v. Ram Churn Pal	2 W. R., 137	3036
Shoodhun Mundle. In re	5 W. R., Cr., 58	1534
Shooghury Koer v. Boshisht Narain Singh	8 W. R., 331	79
Shook Deb Shaha v. Alladi	2 C. L. R., 13	5340

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sheokmoy Chunder Dass v. Monohari Dasi	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 269 : 8 C. L. R., 473; and on appeal, I. L. R., 11 Calc., 684 : L. R., 12 I. A., 103.	2562, 2563
Shookoomar Singh v. Cruise	6 W. R., Act X, 105	2742
Shokoor Ali v. Umola Ahalya	8 W. R., 504	5
Shookrah Shaikh v. Nund Coomar Banerjee	25 W. R., 246	301
Shookram Sookul v. Ram Lall Sookul	9 W. R., 248	1782
Shoorut Soondry Dabee v. Binny (Jardine, Skinner, & Co.)	25 W. R., 347	3008, 3066
Shooshi Bhoosun Pal v. Guru Churn Mookhopadhya	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 89 : 8 C. L. R., 285.	4400
Shoovankurry Dabee v. Dwarka Nath Mookerjee	25 W. R., 344	5924
Shorafut Ali v. Ramzan	W. R., 1864, Act X, 53	528
Shorendro Mohun Roy v. Bhuggobutty Churn Gungopadhya.	10 B. L. R., 403, note : 18 W. R., 332.	3801
Shornomoyee v. Watson & Co.	20 W. R., 211	1568
Shoroop Chunder Paul v. Mothoor Mohun Paul Chowdhry	4 W. R., 109	291, 4035
Shoroshee Bala Dabee v. Nund Lall Sein	13 W. R., 364	3993
Shorosutty Dassee v. Gopeesondery Dassee	Marsh., 423 : 2 Hay, 512	482
Short v. Pickering	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 138	4717
Shortt v. Abdul Rahiman	6 Bom., O. C., 53	5713
Shorussoti Dasi v. Parbutti Dasi	6 C. L. R., 362	1623, 3016
Shosheebhoosun Mozoomdar v. Muddomutty Guptee	14 B. L. R., 21	88, 1945, 2234, 2382, 3209, 4134
Shoshee Kishen Mookerjee. In the matter of	14 W. R., 328	224
Shoshee Mohun Shaha v. Sheer Sircar	5 W. R., Act X, 13	554
Shoshee Mookhee Burmonya v. Dwarkanath Biswas	6 W. R., Mis., 84	5470
Shoshee Shekhoreswar Roy v. Haro Gobind Bose	13 C. L. R., 356	428
Shoshi Bhooshun Pal v. Guru Churn Mookhopadhya	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 89 : 8 C. L. R., 285.	559
Shoshimohun Pal Chowdhry v. Nobo Krishto Poddar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 801	1126
Shoshimukhi Dabia v. Nobin Chunder Roy	4 C. L. R., 92	388
Shoshinath Ghose v. Krishna Sunderi Dasi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 381 : 7 C. L. R., 313 : L. R., 7 I. A., 250.	2113
Shoshi Shikuressur Roy v. Tarokessur Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 121; and on appeal, I. L. R., 9 Calc., 952 : 13 C. L. R., 62 : L. R., 10 I. A., 51.	2567, 2568
Shostee Churn Roy v. Collector of Chittagong	13 W. R., 155	1492
Shoteenath Mookerjee v. Obhoy Nund Roy	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 331	3451, 4466
Showdaminee Dossee v. Haran Chunder Surma	6 W. R., Act X, 103	1659
——— v. Manick Ram Chowdhry	9 W. R., 386	5930
——— v. Ram Roodro Gangooly	8 W. R., 367	306, 4291
——— v. Shookool Mahomed	7 W. R., 94	1653
Showers v. Gobind Dass	I. L. R., 1 All., 400	5365
Showkut Ali v. Ahmud Ali	8 W. R., 39	3694
Shoylendro Geer Sunnyasee v. Patoo Doss Busansa	23 W. R., 304	5680
Shreesh Chunder Doss v. Assimonissa	7 W. R., 234	1654
Shreesteedhur Chuckerbutty v. Sreenath Biswas	18 W. R., 332	878
Shreesteedhur Dey v. Doorga Narain Nag	17 W. R., 462	568
Shrewsbury. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 313	2659
Shridhar Hari v. Chima valad Ladu	10 Bom., 17	4425
Shridhar Narayan v. Atmaram Govind	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 455	2654
Shridhar Vinayak v. Babaji bin Jivaji	6 Bom., A. C., 220	1696
——— v. Narayan valad Babaji	11 Bom., 224	4994
Sringarpure v. Pethe	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 662	5396, 6145

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shrinivas *v.* Radhabai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 570	5424
Shrinivas Udpirav *v.* Reid	9 Bom., 266	2855
Shriram Venkatasami *v.* Queen	6 Mad., 120	725
Shri Shailapa *v.* Balapa Lokanna	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 446	4889
Shrish Chunder Mookhopadhya. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 161	90
Shudanund Mohapattur *v.* Bonomalee Dossa	6 W. R., 256	2366
Shudek Sircar *v.* Mohamoya Dabee	1 Ind Jur., N. S., 77	1606
Shugan Chand *v.* Government, North-Western Provinces	I. L. R., 1 All., 79	1124
Shuhochuree Dossee *v.* Showdaminee Dossee	7 W. R., 306	2740
Shumbhoo Chowdhry *v.* Combs	2 W. R., 179	5701
Shumbhoo Chunder Mowlick *v.* Prankristo Mullick	13 W. R., 105	5678
Shumbhoo Lall-Girdhur Lall *v.* Collector of Surat	4 W. R., P. C., 55 : 8 Moore's I. A., 1.	4215
Shumbhoo Mohun Roy *v.* Tripoora Sunkur Roy	12 W. R., 126	3824
Shumbhoo Nath Banerjee *v.* Tarinee Churn Bose	6 W. R., Act X, 33	543
Shumbhoonath Biswas *v.* Kisto Dhun Sircar	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 8	3188
Shumbhoonath Chowdhry *v.* Prokash Chunder Dutt	8 W. R., 272	2771
Shumbhoonath Ghose *v.* Nobinmoney Dossee	Bourke, O. C., 92	425
Shumbhoopath Mozoomdar *v.* Kasheessuree Debee	13 W. R., 100	5673
Shumbhoo Nath Poddar *v.* Luckynath Dey	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 920	5424
Shumbhoonath Shaha *v.* Guruchurn Lahiri	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 804 : 6 C. L. R., 437.	3135, 3140 3491
Shumbhu Nath Mookhopadhya *v.* Hurro Sundari Dabia Chowdrain.	11 C. L. R., 140	5293
Shumbhu Nath Sarkar *v.* Ram Komul Guha	13 C. L. R., 212	490, 1325
Shumboo Chunder Chowdry *v.* Modhoo Kyburt	10 W. R., 56	1760
Shumboo Chunder Holdar *v.* Ram Lall Ghose	13 W. R., 436	3448
Shumboo Chunder Mullick *v.* Prankristo Mullick	14 W. R., 322	3246
Shumboo Chunder Shaha *v.* Baroda Soonduree Debea	5 W. R., 45	3344
Shumboo Chunder Singh *v.* Ram Narain Doss	9 W. R., 217	4962
Shumboo Chunder Surnokar *v.* Russick Chunder Chung	15 W. R., 346	4903
Shumboo Geer Gossain *v.* Ram Jewan Lall	8 W. R., 509	1733, 4169
Shumboonath Roy *v.* Monowar Ali	W. R., 1864, 109	4012
Shumboonath Shaha *v.* Bunwaree Lall Roy	11 W. R., 102	3394
Shumbul Singh *v.* Toondun Singh	24 W. R., 469	581
Shumdan Ali *v.* Muthoornath Dutt	14 W. R., 226	4164
Shums Ahmud *v.* Goolam Moheeooddeen	3 N. W., 153	1682
Shumshare Ali *v.* Lutafut Kureem	18 W. R., 504	4852
Shum Sheer Singh *v.* Ramjeeawun Rae	2 N. W., 416	3824
Shumsheir Ali Khan *v.* Ram Chunder Goopto	22 W. R., 174	5130
Shumsher Ali *v.* Doya Bibi	8 C. L. R., 150	3032
Shumsher Ally *v.* Kurkut Shah	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 236 : 6 C. L. R., 549.	5705
Shumsher Bahadoor *v.* Mahomed Ali Beg	2 Agra, 158	6224
Shumsher Khan. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 624	5563
Shumshoolnissa *v.* Zohra Beebee	6 N. W., 2 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 286.	3072
Shumshuroddy *v.* Jan Mahomed Sikdar	21 W. R., 260	2774, 5767
Shumsool Osman *v.* Bunsheedhur Dutt	15 W. R., 366	1626
Shums-oon-nissa Khanum *v.* Rai Jan Khanum	6 W. R., P. C., 52	3702
Shundhabun Mohunt *v.* Shurut Chunder Roy	23 W. R., 160	5760
Shunguny Menon *v.* Kalampully Valia Nair	6 Mad., 117	1425
Shunker *v.* Hur Narain	1 Agra, Rev., 49	352, 3882
Shunker Lall *v.* Poorun Mull	2 Agra, 150	1500
Shunker Singh *v.* Burmah Mahto	23 W. R., Cr., 25	6066
Shunkur Lall *v.* Ram Lall	1 N. W., 177 : Ed. 1873, 256.	2860, 3832

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shunkur Patukh *v.* Lala Sheochurn Lal	2 N. W., 443: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 238.	5778
Shunkurputtee Thakoorain *v.* Saifoollah Khan	18 W. R., 507	5198
Shunkur Sahoo *v.* Gurbhoo Sahoo	15 W. R., 216	5287
Shunmugam *v.* Moidin	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 229	3196, 4714
Shunt Buksh *v.* Lalla Nund Ram	11 W. R., 48	1203
Shunto Doss Autith *v.* Hurechur Mookerjee	20 W. R. 368	2929
Shurbessur Ghose *v.* Sadhoo Churn Ghose	15 W. R., 130	2772
Shurbo Narain Singh *v.* Ally Buksh Shah	2 Hay, 415	3660
Shurfoonnissa *v.* Koolsoom	25 W. R., 447	3661
Shurfun Bibee *v.* Collector of Sarun	12 B. L. R., 66, note: 10 W. R., 199.	799, 4132
Shurfunnissa Bibee Chowdhrain *v.* Kylash Chunder Gungopadhya.	25 W. R., 53	4172
Shurfuraz Mollah *v.* Dhunoo	16 W. R., 257	139, 6243
Shurno Moyee *v.* Kashee Kant Bhuttacharjee	7 W. R., 511	342
——— *v.* Pattarri Sirkar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 625	812, 3336
——— *v.* Suttes Chunder Roy	2 W. R., P. C., 14	1724, 5356
Shurnomoyee Dasi *v.* Srinath Das	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 614	3387, 3993
Shurnomoyee Dassee *v.* Uma Soondery Chowdhrain	2 C. L. R., 138	634
Shurnomoyee Dossee *v.* In the matter of the petition of	8 W. R., 421	2476
Shurnomoyee Dossee *v.* Gopal Lall Doss	Marsh., 497	2424
Shurnomoyee Dossia *v.* Joy Narain Bose	8 W. R., 481	2771
Shuroop Chunder Bhoomick *v.* Pertab Chunder Singh	7 W. R., 219	5335
Shuroop Chunder Bhuttacharjee *v.* Kasheeshuree Dossia	6 W. R., Act X, 55	2889
Shuro Soonduree Debia *v.* Buloram Gooho	24 W. R., 423	3798
Shurroop Chunder Gooho *v.* Ameerunnissa Khatoon	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 703	1894
Shurrut Chunder Chuttopadhya *v.* Rajkissen Mookerjee	15 B. L. R., 350	89
Shurrut Chunder Kur *v.* Ram Shunkur Surmah	10 W. R., 214	5693
Shuruf Jan *v.* Futteh Ali	22 W. R., 389	2972
Shurufooddin *v.* Kashinath	13 W. R., Cr., 64	4626
Shurufoonissa Bebee *v.* Hushmut Ali	9 W. R., 533	2884
Shurut Chunder Banerjee *v.* Bama Churn Mookerjee	4 C. L. R., 410	4102
Shurutchunder Dey Sircar *v.* Jadubnarain Nundee	1 W. R., 90	3817
Shurut Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Thakoormonee Debia	9 W. R., 240	666
Shurut Chunder Sein *v.* Muthoora Nath Pudatick	7 W. R., 303	1415
Shurut Chunder Sen *v.* Abdool Khye Mahomed Mohutessur Billah.	23 W. R., 327	3474, 3527
Shurutoollah Merdha *v.* Gooroo Churn Dass	8 W. R., 310	434, 5453
Shurut Soonduree Dabee *v.* Puresh Narain Roy	12 W. R., 85	811
——— *v.* ———	13 W. R., 464	6095
Shurut Soonduree Debee *v.* Government	7 W. R., 42	67, 3130
Shurut Soonduree Debia *v.* Collector of Mymensingh	7 W. R., 221	1249
——— *v.* Khemunkuree Debia	5 W. R., Act X, 87	4424
Shurwar Hossein Khan. In the matter of the petition of.	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 720: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 200.	91
Suryutoollah Mirdha *v.* Teeta Gazee Howladar	21 W. R., 82	1939
Shusee Mookhee Dossee *v.* Bissessuree Dabee	10 W. R., 343	1748, 1769
Shushee Bhoosun *v.* Muddun Mohun Chattopadhya	2 C. L. R., 297	4289, 6176
Shushee Bhoosun Banerjee *v.* Nubo Coomar Chatterjee	8 W. R., 94	3802
Shushee Mohun Pal Chowdhry *v.* Aukil Chunder Banerjee.	25 W. R., 232	2343
——— *v.* Ram Koomar Koondoo.	22 W. R., 193	629
Shushee Shekhur Audhikaree *v.* Suleem Biswas	22 W. R., 191	30

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shustee Churn Patuck *v.* Aukhil Chunder Sen	23 W. R., 103	4666
Shustee Dhur Mozoomdar *v.* Nuteeja Bibee	7 W. R., 36	4480
Shustee Ram Paul *v.* Nobo Kant Roy Chowdhry	14 W. R., 190	4166
Shutt Churn Ghosal *v.* Mahomed Ally	2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5	5696
Shyam Chand Koondoo *v.* Land Mortgage Bank of India.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 695 : 12 C. L. R., 440.	420
Shyam Churn Chuckerbutty *v.* Heera Chand Mozoomdar.	Marsh., 48 : 1 Hay, 113	1456
Shyam Singh *v.* Punchum Majee	2 Agra, 243	4926
Shyam Soonder *v.* Cheita	3 N. W., 71	3886
Sia Dasi *v.* Gur Sahai	I. L. R., 3 All., 362	1710, 2490
Sibboosoondery Dabia *v.* Bussoomutty Dabia	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 191	2467
Sibchunder Kurmokar. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 93, note	2651
Sibessury Dabee *v.* Lukhy Dabee	1 W. R., 88	1993
Sibnarain Ghose. In re	5 Moore's I. A., 322	4633
——— *v.* Hullodhur Doss	6 Moore's I. A., 207	4632
Sib Sahaya Sukul *v.* Bir Chandra Jubaraj	2 B. L. R., A. C., 172	5743
Sibta *v.* Badri Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 134	2291
Sibt Ali *v.* Muniruddin	I. L. R., 6 All., 479	1347
Sibu Jelya *v.* Gopal Chandra Chowdhry	13 B. L. R., 423, note : 19 W. R., 200.	5183
Siddee Gopal Sadhoo Khan *v.* Nund Lall Dey	4 W. R., Mis., 8	2646
Siddesory Dossee *v.* Doorga Churn Sett	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 22 : Bourke, O. C., 360.	2113, 2136 2542
Siddessuree Chowdhrain *v.* Kissorekant Gossain	W. R., 1864, Act X, 101	1590
Siddha *v.* Biligiri	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 354	2907
Siddhessur Dutt *v.* Sham Chand Nundun	15 B. L. R., 9, note : 23 W. R., 285.	3162
Siddhessuree Debia *v.* Denobundhoo Koondoo	6 W. R., 65	6231
Sideshury Chowdhrain. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 50	6181
Sidhee Nazir Ali Khan *v.* Ojoodhyaram Khan	5 W. R., P. C., 83 : 10 Moore's I. A., 540.	1698, 3997 4431, 5334 5346, 5971
Sidhee Nuzeer Ali Khan *v.* Woomesh Chunder Mitter	2 W. R., 75	4173
Sidhee Nuzur Ally Khan *v.* Oojoodhyaram Khan	10 Moore's I. A., 322 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 185.	4640
——— *v.* ———	10 Moore's I. A., 540 : 5 W. R., P. C., 83.	1698, 3997 4431, 5334 5346, 5971
Sidh Gopal *v.* Ajudhiaprasad	I. L. R., 5 All., 392	1403
Sidhoo Lal. In the matter of the petition of	2 N. W., 398	86
Sidlingapa *v.* Sidava kom Sidlingapa	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 624	2411, 2434 5684
Sieveking, Droop, & Co. *v.* Focke	9 W. R., 215	4743
Sikher Chund *v.* Dulputty Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 363 : 5 C. L. R., 374.	90, 1750 3433, 4159
Sikki *v.* Vencatasamy Gounden	8 Mad., 144	2436
Sikundar Ali *v.* Purwurush Ali	3 N. W., 132	2895
Sikur Chund *v.* Sooringmull	1 Hyde, 272	3089
Simmani Ammal *v.* Muttammal	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 265	2304
Simpson. In the goods of	1 Mad., 171	132
——— *v.* Cleghorn	6 C. L. R., 91	4871
Simroo Kareegur *v.* Anund Chunder Roy	Marsh., 57 : 1 Hay, 130	6109
Simson *v.* Gorachand Doss	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 473	1054, 5712
——— *v.* Venkatagopalam	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 475	374, 5953
——— *v.* Virayya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 359	1061
Sinammal *v.* Administrator General of Madras	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 169	2339, 2443

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sinclair. In the matter of the petition of	6 N. W., 177	1270, 3559
——— v. Broughton	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 341 : 13 C. L. R., 185 : L. R., 9 I. A., 152.	2783
Sinde v. Sinde	4 Bom., A. C., 61	3400
Singamma v. Vinjamuri Venkatacharlu	4 Mad., 165	2111
Sinja Tevar v. Rangasami Aiyangar	7 Mad., 112	4760
Sinthayee v. Thanakapudayen v. *alias* Pondily Udayan	4 Mad., 183	1903, 2414, 3530
Siraj-ood-dowlah v. Noor Ahmud	5 N. W., 194	6149
Siraj-ul-huq v. Khadim Husain	I. L. R., 5 All., 380	187, 5533
Sirbadh Rai v. Raghunath Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 568	3937
Sirdar v. Molungo Sirdar	24 W. R., 1	3368
Sirdar Begum v. Izzut-ool-nissa	2 N. W., 149	4438
Sirdar Dyal Singh v. Ram Buddun Singh	17 W. R., 454	2089
Sirdar Khan v. Buldeo Singh	6 N. W., 99	3129
Sirdar Kuar v. Chandrawati	I. L. R., 4 All., 330	1773
Sirdar Sainey v. Piran Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 453	6055
Sital v. Madho	I. L. R., 1 All., 394	2265
Sitalparshad v. Jagdat Misser	7 N. W., 254	1414
Sital Prasad v. Amtul Bibi	I. L. R., 7 All., 633	5205
Sitanath Bose v. Mathura Nath Roy	2 B. L. R., Ap., 10 : 11 W. R., 68.	2711
Sita Nath Das v. Luchmiput Singh	11 C. L. R., 268	3639, 5407
——— v. Mohesh Chunder Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 627	1836
Sitanath Ghose v. Shamasundari Dasi	8 B. L. R., Ap., 37, note : 14 W. R., 26.	5130
Sitanath Koer v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 888 : 12 C. L. R., 574.	5248
Sitanath Mookerjee v. Haimabutty Dabee	24 W. R., 377	2434
Sitanath Shaha v. Nobin Chunder Roy	5 C. L. R., 102	4247
Sitapathi Nayudu v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 52	5874, 6027
Sitapershad Dass v. Thakurdass	5 C. L. R., 73	2249
Sitaram v. Aheeree Heerahnee	11 B. L. R., 129 : 20 W. R., 49	1099
——— v. Amir Begam	I. L. R., 8 All., 324	3691, 5054
——— v. Atmaram Baji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 227, note	416
——— v. Bhagwan Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 733	833
——— v. Dasrath Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 492	850
——— v. Mahipal	I. L. R., 3 All., 533	823
——— v. Zalim Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 231	2187
Sitarama v. Narayanan	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 130	3598
Sitaram Abbaji. In re	10 Bom., 58	2665
Sitarampaiyar v. Alagiry Iyer	4 Mad., 285	4302, 5051
Sitarama Krishna Rayadappa Ranga Raz v. Sanyasi Razu Pedda Baliyara Simhulu.	3 Mad., 4	5650
Sitaram Amrut v. Bhagvant Jaganath	6 Bom., A. C., 109	3825
Sitaraman v. Susa Pillai	2 Mad., 254	5676
Sitarama Razu v. Jaganada Narayana Ramachendra Razu Pedda Baliyar Simhulu.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 367	5595
Sitarambhat v. Sitaram Ganesh	6 Bom., A. C., 250	2246, 3860, 5265
Sitaram Dandekar v. Ganesh Gokhle	6 Bom., A. C., 121	3985
Sitaram Krishna v. Daji Devaji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 418	1074
Sitaram Sahu v. Mohan Mandar	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 345 : 3 W. R., 90.	2026
Sitaram Vasudev v. Khanderav Balkrishna	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 286	3135
Sithalakshmi v. Vythilinga	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 548	4950, 6018

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sitha Ummal *v.* Rungasami Iyengar	5 Mad., 385	3385, 6127
Sitla Bakhsh *v.* Lalta Prasad	I. L. R., 8 All., 388	4010
Sitla Din *v.* Sheo Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 60	3522
Sittaram Atmaram. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 23	1049
Situl Purshad *v.* Luchmi Purshad	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 30: 13 C. L. R., 382: L. R., 10 I. A., 129.	3809
Siva Bhagiam *v.* Palami Padiachi	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 401	2520
Siva Bux Savuntharam. In re	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 317	393
Sivaganga *v.* Lakshmana	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 188	5390
Sivagnana Tevar *v.* Periasami	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 312: L. R., 5 I. A., 61.	2394, 2450 2518
Sivagnanja Perumal Sethurayer *v.* Muttu Ramalinga Sethurayer.	3 Mad., 75	2264, 2318 2323
——— *v.* Meenakshi Ammal	5 Mad., 377	2422
Sivappachari *v.* Mahalinga Chetti	1 Mad., 50	5261
Sivarajadhani Nilakantham Pillai *v.* Kuppa Gantulu Ratniah Pantulu.	2 Mad., 311	759
Sivarama *v.* Rama	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 99	5487
——— *v.* Subramanya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 57	3264, 5430
Sivaramaiyar *v.* Samu Aiyar	1 Mad., 447	4143
Sivarama Pillai *v.* Turnbull	4 Mad., 43	3237
Sivasankara Mudali *v.* Parvati Anni	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 96	2170
Sivasubramanya *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 285	1728, 4230 4455
Sivu *v.* Chenamma	5 Mad., 417	5115
Skinner *v.* Aldwell	2 N. W., 3	1479
——— *v.* Jager	I. L. R., 6 All., 138	465
——— *v.* Mahtab	4 N. W., 160	3001
——— *v.* Orde	10 B. L. R., 125: 14 Moore's I. A., 309: 17 W. R., 77.	1340, 3785
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 1 All., 230	4338
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 2 All., 241: L. R., 6 I. A., 126.	3145, 6016
——— *v.* Rujub Ali Khan	2 W. R., Act X, 105	554
——— *v.* Skinner	2 Agra, 128	683
Skinner *alias* Nawab Mirza *v.* Orde	6 N. W., 225	4339
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 2 All., 241: L. R., 6 I. A., 126.	3145, 6016
Skinner *alias* Nowshaba Begum. In the matter of	13 Moore's I. A., 532	4635
Skinner & Co. *v.* Shama Soonduree	19 W. R., 429	4202
Smallwood *v.* Parry	Cor., 39	6276
Smith *v.* Boggs	5 B. L. R., Ap., 21	2649
——— *v.* ———	5 B. L. R., Ap., 22	2651
——— *v.* Bulwant Singh	2 W. R., Mis., 24	228
——— *v.* Dinonath Mookerjee	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 213	1123
——— *v.* Gopal Sheikh	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 14	5656
——— *v.* Guneshee Lal	3 N. W., 83	468
——— *v.* Mokhum Mahton	18 W. R., 526	1708
——— *v.* Nundun Lal	6 W. R., Act X, 13	3799, 6090
——— *v.* Secretary of State	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 340	4969, 5115
——— *v.* Sona Bibee	2 W. R., Mis., 10	3835
——— *v.* Stewart	Bourke, O. C., 292	6061
Smyth *v.* Smyth	5 B. L. R., Ap., 153	1555
Snadden *v.* Mahwine	2 B. L. R., A. C., 292	1860
——— *v.* Todd, Findlay, & Co.	7 W. R., 286	2776, 4215

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Snadden v. Todd, Finlay, & Co.	7 W. R., 313	300, 4898
Snaith v. McQuhae	7 Mad., 332	3599
Snell v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 201	4704
Sobbee Jha v. Shosheenath Jha	15 W. R., 150	770, 773
Sobha Bibee v. Sakhamut Ali	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 371 : 1 C. L. R., 831.	1917
Sobhagchand Gulabchand v. Bhaichand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193	4838, 5401, 6141, 6142
Sobha Kooeree v. Hurdey Narain Mohajun	25 W. R., 97	79, 80, 2444
Sobha Mahton v. Paharoo	2 N. W., 310	1630
Sobhan v. Shubraton	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 558 : 5 C. L. R., 21.	3740
Sobha Pandey v. Sahodra Bibi	I. L. R., 5 All., 322	3324
Sobharam v. Gungapershad	2 N. W., 260	1177
Sobha Sah v. Inderjeet	5 N. W., 148	3964
Sobil Dass v. Chundra Deb	20 W. R., Cr., 15	5160
Sobratun v. Toova	7 W. R., 273	4478
Sodamini Dasi v. Jageswar Sur	5 B. L. R., Ap., 27 : 13 W. R., 339.	1898
Sofaoll Khan v. Woopean Khan	9 W. R., 123	5812
Sofir Merdha v. Nobokishore	2 W. R., 286	1984
Sofiruddeen v. Empress	2 C. L. R., 132	1007
Sohan v. Mathura Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 449	5688
Sohan Lal v. Aziz-unnissa Begam	I. L. R., 7 All., 136	4918
——— v. Karim Bakhsh	I. L. R., 2 All., 281	789
Sohodea Bibee v. Deendyal Lal	11 B. L. R., 138, note	2721
Sohodwa v. Smith	12 B. L. R., 82 : 20 W. R., 139.	5197
Sohudra v. Roy Kaliha Sahoy	W. R., 1864, Mis., 23	187
Sohun Lall v. Lala Gya Pershad	6 N. W., 265	484
Sohun Patuck v. Omoola Koowur	Marsh., 7	5267
Sohun Singh v. Keola Bibee	16 W. R., 169	3055
Sojan v. Roop Ram	2 Agra, 61	1149, 3693
Sokabai v. Lakshmibai	12 Bom., 9	1215
Sokhina Bibi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 87 : 8 C. L. R., 387.	1958
Solah Bibee v. Keerun Bibee	16 W. R., 175	3675
Solano v. Ahmeida	10 C. L. R., 573	817
——— v. Doolhin Umrit Koer	W. R., 1864, Act X, 65	2989
——— v. Hoormut Bahadoor	1 Hay, 573	3076
——— v. Moran & Co.	4 C. L. R., 11	1483
——— v. Soobrun Roy	6 W. R., Act X, 4	3803
Soldar Mondul v. Nilcomul Chatterjea	1 C. L. R., 528	1696
Solicitor. In re a	4 B. L. R., P. C., 29	5722
Solomon. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 767	3154, 5136
——— v. Abdool Azeez	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 687 : 8 C. L. R., 169.	995
——— v. Abdool Aziz	4 C. L. R., 366	2817, 4402, 4407
——— v. Lalla Ram Lall	7 C. L. R., 481	1705
Somangouda bin Dajamangouda v. Bharmangouda	1 Bom., 43	2356
Somarimull v. Bhairodas Jobury	7 B. L. R., 431	589, 2211
Somashekhara v. Subhadramaji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 524	328, 2132
Somasundaram Chetti v. Administrator General	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 148	133, 3093
Somasundara Tambiran v. Sakkarai Pattan	4 Mad., 369	3942
Somerooddeen. In the matter of	11 W. R., 159	4393

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Somkali v. Bhairo	I. L. R., 5 All., 55	1431
Somree v. Jitun Sonar	22 W. R., Cr., 30	3606, 3740
Somu v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 316	962
Somu Gurukhal v. Rangammal	7 Mad., 13	4817
Sona v. Leelanund Singh	5 W. R., 290	2035
Sona Beebee v. Lall Chand Chowdhry	9 W. R., 242	5329
Sonachala v. Manika	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 516	6083
Sonai Sardar v. Bukhtar Sardar	25 W. R., Cr., 46	3864
Sonaka Chowdrain v. Bhoobunjoy Shaha	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 311	240, 5726
Sonamall v. Sudaram Rotti	7 B. L. R., Ap., 23	4398
Sonamonee Dossee v. Jov Doorga Dossee	17 W. R., 551	498, 1885
Sonamonee Dossia v. Motee Singh	14 W. R., 385	5730
Sonamoni Dasi v. Lilanund Singh	11 C. L. R., 30	5723
Sonasollah v. Imamooddeen	24 W. R., 273	1683
Sonatan Roy v. Ananda Kumar Mookerjee	2 B. L. R., Ap., 31: 11 W. R., 96.	2928
Sonatun Bukshee v. Gopal Chunder Shamunto	15 W. R., 31	5582
Sonatun Bysack v. Juggut Soondree Dossee	8 Moore's I. A., 66	2531
Sonatun Doss v. Kalee Pershad Doss	13 W. R., 146	4434
Sonatun Ghose v. Abdool Farur	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 109: 2 W. R., 91.	5106
——— v. Abdul Turrub	2 W. R., 105	4203
Sonatun Misser v. Ruttun Mollah	W. R., 1864, 95	2283
Sonaya Pillai v. Kalamegam	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 130	3598
Sonbai v. Ahmedbhai Haribhai	9 Bom., 398	268, 3090
Sonet Kooer v. Himmut Bahadoor	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 391: 25 W. R., 239: L. R., 3 I. A., 92.	2057, 3046
Sonkally Koonwar. In re	6 W. R., Mis., 53	85
Sonnum Sookul v. Elahee Buksh	7 W. R., 453	1105
Soobah Doorgah Lal Jha v. Neelanund Singh Soobah Pirthee Lal Jha v. Soobah Doorga Lal Jha	7 W. R., 73	1719, 2275
Soobanee Singh v. Juggesshur Koer	13 C. L. R., 86	3565
Soobba Tevan v. Moothookoody	6 Mad., 40	5221
Soobhudra Dossee v. Boloram Dewan	W. R., F. B., 57: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 82.	2352
Soobhul Chunder Paul v. Nitye Churn Bysack	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 663: 7 C. L. R., 201.	3957
Soobhul Singh v. Meeto Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 12	4931
Soobramania Telaver v. Sokka Telaver	5 Mad., 437	6176
Soobudra v. Ramprokash Singh	16 W. R., 5	6085
Soobudra Bebee v. Sheo Churn Lall	7 W. R., 375	2709
Soobul Chunder Gooho v. Tumeezooddeen Chowdhry	14 W. R., 414	5117
Soobul Chunder Paul v. Attur Ali	11 W. R., 32	2019, 5300
Soobumomonee Dabea v. Petumber Dobey	Marsh., 221: 1 Hay, 497	3348, 3796
Sooda Ram Doss v. Joogul Kishore Goopto	24 W. R., 274	2586
Soodha Monee Dossee v. Brojonath Mozoomdar	4 W. R., Mis., 14	209
——— v. Gooroopersaud Dutt	W. R., 1864, 176	194
Soodha Mookhee Dossee v. Ram Guttee Kurmokar	20 W. R., 419	1619
Soodharam Bhuttacharjee v. Odhoy Chunder Bundopadhya.	10 B. L. R., 380: 19 W. R., 279.	4827
Soodheekeena Chowdhrain v. Gopee Mohun Sein	1 W. R., 41	1395
Soodishtee Lall Chowdhry v. Nuthoo Lall Chowdhry	8 W. R., 487	1611
Soodukhins Chowdhrain v. Issur Chunder Mozoomdar	12 W. R., 24	338, 1433
——— v. Raj Mohun Bose	11 W. R., 350	338, 339 771, 1768 4458

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Soogun Chund v. Gopal Gir	4 N. W., 101	2332
Soojaat Ali v. Huree Thakoor	6 W. R., Act X, 44	1628
Soojan Bibee v. Achmut Ali	14 B. L. R., Ap., 3: 21 W. R., 414.	1837
Soojan Mull v. Hyder Jung Bahadoor	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 58	3112, 6184
Soojat Ali v. Zumeerooddeen	5 W. R., 158	3670
Soojat Mahomed v. Mahomed Torab	25 W. R., 281	6158
Soojaut Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 19	4105
Soojun Mundul v. Woozeer Mundul	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 20	5232
Sookhan Sahoo v. Government	18 W. R., Cr., 5	6255
Sookhanundamoyee Debia v. Baney Madhub Mookerjee	1 W. R., 73	317
Sookhee Monee Debia v. Brijoraj Mookerjee	17 W. R., 228	2700
Sookheemonee Dossee v. Mohendro Nath Dutt	13 W. R., P. C., 14	1397
Sookh Lall Bhoojwalla v. Goolzar Bhoojwalla	14 W. R., 228	4473
Sookhmoyee Chowdhrain v. Raghubendro Narain Chowdhry.	24 W. R., 7	3163
Sookh Moyee Dossee v. Nurmooda Dossee	15 W. R., 210	759, 3448
Sookhmun v. Chooramun	1 Agra, 172	4008
Sookhnund v. Nundoo Singh	2 Agra, 221	4965
Sookho Soonduree Dabia v. Wooma Soonduree Dabia	18 W. R., 255	684
Sookhraj Bahadoor v. Jureena Bibee	11 W. R., 341	681
Sookh Raj Singh v. Tuffazzool Hossein	2 N. W., 142	2772, 5452
Sookhram v. Nirput Singh	3 Agra, 67	1078
Sookna Medhee v. Gundhoo Ram Mundul	12 W. R., 264	1823, 2022
Sookoomar Singh v. Kashee Singh	13 W. R., 250	5940
Sookram Doss v. Nund Kishore Doss	22 W. R., 21	114
Sookram Misser v. Crowdy	19 W. R., 284	4216
Sookyaboye Ammal v. Lutchmi Ammal	13 W. R., P. C., 3	4234
Sooltan Ali v. Chand Bibee	9 W. R., 130	138
Sooltan Bahadoor v. Laloo Kooer	17 W. R., 300	3557
Sooltan Chund v. Schiller	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 252: 3 C. L. R., 287.	1115, 1117
Soomar Jaffer v. Abdool Kurreem	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 230	600
Soomrun Rai v. Mahesh Dutt	4 N. W., 33	3168
Soomrun Thakoor v. Chunder Mun Misser	3 C. L. R., 282: 5 C. L. R., 26.	2157, 2366
Soomungul Kooer v. Court of Wards	17 W. R., 560	1252
Soomut Doss v. ———	21 W. R., 292	203, 1883
——— v. ———	24 W. R., 151	1889
Soonar v. Khuderun	2 N. W., 251	3021
Soonatun Saha v. Ramjoy Saha	Marsh., 549	139
Soonder Bebee v. Khilloo Mull *alias* Ram Lall	2 N. W., 90	4327, 4866
Soonder Koomaree Debea v. Gudadhur Pershad Tewaree.	4 W. R., P. C., 116: 7 Moore's I. A., 54.	2105, 2144
Soonder Lall v. Baillie	24 W. R., 287	2318
Soonder Narain v. Bennud Ram	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 76	4047
Soonder Narain Pandah v. Namdar	21 W. R., 407	2277
Soondur Kooer v. Lalla Rughoobur Dyal	10 W. R., 246	2738
Soondur Koomaree Debia v. Kishoree Lall Seth	5 W. R., 246	3705
Soondur Monee Chowdhrain v. Bhoobun Mohun Chowdry	11 W. R., 536	4233
Soondur Singh v. Buhooria Alum Bashee	24 W. R., 36	1387
Soonea v. Ram Suha	2 N. W., 146	451
Sooney Ram Marwaree v. Byjnath Kooer	10 W. R., 88	183
Soonjharee Koonwur v. Ramessur Pandey	5 W. R., Mis., 47	3920
Soonut Dass v. Bhoban Lall	20 W. R., 478	5827
Soopersaud. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 91	203
		2645, 2651

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Soophul Singh *v.* Methoo Singh	1 W. R., 163	383
Soopromonian Setty *v.* Heilgers	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 71: 4 C. L R., 377.	4606
Soopun Khan *v.* Huro Pershad Paul	4 W. R., Act X, 50	778
Sooraj Buksh *v.* Ramjeewun	4 N. W., 5	5379
Sooraj Kant Acharje *v* Khoodee Narain Manna	22 W. R., 9	5756
Sooraj Monee Dayee *v.* Suddanund Mohapattar	12 W. R., 296	282
Soorasoondery Dabee *v.* Golam Ally	15 B. L. R., 125, note: 19 W. R., 142.	1598, 1663 4148
Soorendronath Roy *v.* Bhyrub Mundul	14 W. R., 462	145
——— *v.* Purmanund Ghose	15 W R, 342	291
——— *v.* Rughoobur Dyal Awustee	15 W. R., 392	337
Soorendro Nath Roy Chowdhry. In the matter of	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 106	393
Soorendro Pershad Dobey *v.* Jugobundhoo Pandey	22 W. R., 426	2749
——— *v.* Nundun Misser	21 W. R., 196	1038, 2765 4155
Sooriah Row *v.* Gotaghery Boochiah	5 W. R., P. C., 127: 2 Moore's I. A., 113.	3843, 6246
——— *v.* Enoogunty Sooriah	5 W. R., P. C., 125: 2 Moore's I. A., 72.	3842
Soorja Kant Acharj Chowdry. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 383	219, 5924
Soorja Koer *v.* Nath Buksh Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 102	2433
Soorj Buksh Singh *v.* Sreekishen Doss	9 W. R., 500	5409
Soorjee Kanto Roy *v.* Forlong	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 382: 6 W R, Act X. 44.	5074
Soorjeemoney Dossee *v.* Denobundo Mullick	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 37: 4 W. R, P. C., 114: 6 Moore's I A., 526.	2550
——— *v.* Denobundoo Mullick	9 Moore's I. A., 123	2552
Soorjmonee Dossee *v.* Suroop Chunder Shah	W. R., 1864, 198	1677
Soorjmukhi Koer. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 272	277
Soorjo Coomar Bhuttacharjee *v* Bhugwan Chunder Roy	24 W. R., 328	4784
Soorjo Coomar Surma Roy *v.* Kishto Coomar Chowdhry.	12 B. L R., 224: 14 W. R. F. B., 30.	5734
Soorjo Kant Banerjee *v.* Kristo Kishore Poddar	14 W. R., 423	322, 4007
Soorjo Koomar *v.* Gungadhur Roy	12 W. R., 80	6112
Soorjomonee Dayee *v.* Suddanund Mohapatter	12 B L. R, 304: 20 W. R., 377: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 212.	2344, 2367 5026
Soorjomonee Dossee *v.* Pearee Mohun Mookerjee	25 W. R., 331	1603
Soorjo Narain Panda *v.* Bissumbur Singh	23 W. R., 311	1833
Soorjoon *v.* Ishree Brahman	3 N. W., 74	2301
Soorjoo Pershad *v.* Krishan Pertab Bahadoor	1 N. W., 49: Ed. 1873, 46	2197
Soorma Khatoon *v.* Attaffoonnissa Khatoon	2 Hay, 210	3653
Soornum Butty *v.* Boodhessuree	10 W. R., 313	4848
Sooruj Buksh Singh *v.* Sreekishen Doss	6 W. R., Mis., 126	220, 5409
Sooruj Komar Pershad *v.* Mahadeo Dutt	5 N. W., 50	2331
Sooruj Pershad Tewary *v* Saheb Lall Tewary	3 W. R., 25	4879
Sorabjee Vacha Gauda *v.* Koonwarjee Manickjee	5 W. R., P. C., 29: 1 Moore's I. A, 47.	1726
Sorabji Fardunji *v.* Dulabhbhai Hargovandas	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 65	1131, 1541
Sorabji Nassarvanji Dundas *v.* Justices of the Peace for the City of Bombay.	12 Bom., 260	612, 1570 5876
Sorabji Ratanji *v.* Great Indian Peninsula Railway Company.	7 Bom., O. C., 119, note	1382
Sorbojit Roy *v.* Gonesh Prosad Misser	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 761	2103

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Soroop Chunder Hazra. In the matter of the petition of.	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 939	1144
Soroop Chunder Paul v. Durup De Dombal	1 W. R., 72	529
Soroop Narain Pandah v. Soondur Porya	11 W. R., 505	237
Soruth Soondery Dabee v. Brodie	1 W. R., 274	2089
Soshi Bhusan Chand. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 694	3454, 4291
——— v. Grish Chunder Taluqdar		
Soshikishore Bundopadhya v. Chooramonee Putto Mahadabee.	W. R., 1864, 107	2240
Sotish Chunder Lahiry v. Nil Comul Lahiry	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 45	4944, 5404, 5965
Soudaminee Dossee v. Bholanath Shaha	9 W. R., 363	2890
——— v. Bistoo Narain Roy	8 W. R., 323	3437
——— v. Dheraj Mahatab Chand	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 585: 6 W. R., Mis., 102.	276
Soudaminey Dossee v. Jogesh Chunder Dutt	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 262	2463, 2560
——— v. Juggomohun Sen	1 Hyde, 172	1208
Soudamonee Dossee v. Poorno Chunder Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 113	3180
Soujharee Coomar v. Rameshur Panda	4 W. R., 32	3929
Southern Cachar Tea Co. In the matter of	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	953
Southo Pursad Dass v. Parasu Padhan	26 W. R., 98	4789
——— v. Rughoo Padhan		
Sowdaminee Debia v. Mohesh Chunder Mookerjee	19 W. R., 262	2932
Sowdamini Chowdhrain v. Krishna Kishor Poddar	4 B. L. R., F. B., 11: 12 W. R., F. B., 8.	5486
Sowdamonee Debya v. Spalding. In the matter of	12 C. L. R., 166	1826
Spencer v. Kadir Buksh	6 B. L. R., 658: 15 W. R., 471.	338, 2880, 4308
——— v. Puhul Chowdhry	6 B. L. R., 658: 15 W. R., 471.	338, 2880, 4308
Spence's Hotel Company v. Anderson	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 294, note	427
——— v. ———	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 295	929
Speyer v. Janssen	Bourke, O. C., 28	2093, 5898
Spink v. Moran	21 W. R., 161	4594
Spooner v. Juddow	4 Moore's I. A., 353	4122, 4634, 5973
Sreechand v. Budhoo Singh	13 W. R., 301	2975
Sreechund Baboo v. Mullick Choolhun	9 W. R., 564	3279
Sreedhessury Chowdry v. Muddun Koowar Jha	1 W. R., 128	4997
Sreedhur Churn Nundee v. Juggobundoo Pau	W. R., 1864, Mis., 37	195
Sreedhur Jha v. Dabee Dutt	9 W. R., 170	1581
Sreegobind Singh v. Odit Narain Singh	W. R., F. B., 4	6111
Sreegopal Mullick v. Dwarkanath Sein	15 W. R., 520	325, 1629, 2978
——— v. Ram Churn Nuskur	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 856: 12 C. L. R., 125.	4771, 6124
Sreehuree Bukshee v. Gopaulchunder Samunt	15 W. R., 500	1997
Sreehuree Roy v. Hill	9 W. R., 156	1381
Sreehurry Paul v. Nilmoney Sen	1 Hyde, 169	402
Sreehurry Roy v. Hills	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 21	5251
——— v. ———	7 W. R., 476	6030
Sreekant Bhuttacharjee v. Rajnarain Chatterjee	10 W. R., 1	1845
Sreekant Ghose v. Bhugwan Chunder Sen	24 W. R., 13	1635, 5766
Sreekant Roy. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 74	279
Sreekant Roy Chowdhry v. Kitabooddeen Sirdar	10 W. R., 49	333, 541
Sreekant Shaha v. Kaltoo Doss	10 W. R., 135	1434
Sree Kishen v. Eshree Purtaub Rai	2 Agra, 299	4249

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sree Kishen Chowdhry *v.* Ramkisto Bhuttacharjee	10 W. R., 317	3225
Sreekissen *v.* Juglal	9 W. R., Cr., 5	5556
Sreekristo Biswas *v.* Joy Kristo Biswas	24 W. R., 304	4985
Sreekunt Doss *v.* Ramjeebun Roy	18 W. R., 342	5479
Sreemanchunder Mookerjee *v.* Mutty Loll Mookerjee	Cor., 57	6128
Sree Missser *v.* Crowdy	15 W. R., 243	1183, 3804
Sree Mohun Ghuttuck. In the matter of	13 Moore's I. A., 343	265
Sreemulmoney Bebee *v.* Goberdhone Bermono	2 Ind. Jur., N. S. 319	3425
Sreemunchunder Dey *v.* Gopal Chunder Chuckerbutty	7 W. R., P. C., 10 : 11 Moore's I. A., 28.	299, 300 477, 6127
Sreemunjuree Dossee *v.* Poorusuttum Doss	9 W. R., 499	877, 4320
Sreemunt Dutt *v.* Krishnanath Roy	25 W. R., 10	3979
Sreemunt Koondoo *v.* Sharoda Soonduree Dossee	8 W. R., 197	83
Sreemunt Kowar *v.* Akbur Mundul	8 W. R., 438	1784, 4752
Sreemunt Lall Ghose *v.* Shama Soonduree Dassee	12 W. R., 276	1467, 5367
Sreemunto Benaich Rao Imrit. In the goods of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 10	4526
Sreemunto Duloui *v.* Ramchand Aduck	5 W. R., Cr., 57	4506
Sreemunto Hajrah *v.* Tajooddeen	21 W. R., 409	3245
Sreemunto Koondoo *v.* Brijonath Paul Chowdhry	16 W. R., 296	2928
Sreemunto Puramanick *v.* Abhoy Churn Manna	11 W. R., 297	5271
Sreemunt Ram Dey *v.* Kookoor Chand	15 W. R., 481	56, 5351
Sreemunt Ram Totadar *v.* Ram Kishen Sen	22 W. R., 387	4115
Sreemunt Sen *v.* Gorai Gazee	18 W. R., 199	5694
Sreemutty *v.* Lukhee Narain Dutt	22 W. R., 171	4157
Sreenarain Bagchee *v.* Smith	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 807 : 4 C. L. R., 148.	5340
Sree Narain Berahe . Gooro Pershad Berah	6 W. R., 219	2467
Sreenarain Bysack. In re	2 Hyde, 180	2661
Sreenarain Chuckerbutty *v.* Miller	15 W. R., O. C., 7	6105
——— *v.* ———	5 B. L. R., 254, note	2603
Sreenarain Mitter *v.* Dheraj Mahtab Chund	17 W. R., 72	3511
——— *v.* Kishen Soondery Dassee	11 B. L. R., 171 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 149.	1411, 2112 2113
——— *v.* Mahatab Chand	3 W. R., 3	220
Sreenath Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 221	6277
——— *v.* Doorgadoss Nundy	9 W. R., 216	1034
——— *v.* Purrum Sookh Chunder	25 W. R., 105	4050
Sreenath Bhuttacharjee *v.* Ram Comul Gangooly	3 W. R., P. C., 43 : 10 Moore's I. A., 220.	4753
Sreenath Bose *v.* Nobin Chunder Bose	9 W. R., 473	3841
——— *v.* Poglian Mollah	17 W. R., 374	1614
——— *v.* Radhanath Bose	12 C. L. R., 370	3166
Sreenath Chatterjee *v.* Kylash Chunder Chatterjee	21 W. R., 248	175
Sreenath Chowdhry *v.* Grey	13 W. R., 114	879, 5232
——— *v.* Kritattomoyee Dossee	18 W. R., 286	5123, 5132
Sreenath Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Mohesh Chunder Bundopadhya.	1 C. L. R., 453	1186
Sreenath Churn Dass *v.* Dwarkanath Ghose	14 W. R., 318	4829
Sreenath Churn Soor *v.* Nilkanto Dey	22 W. R., 309	4782
Sreenath Doss. In the goods of	20 W. R., 440	1237
Sreenath Dutt *v.* Dwary Dhallie	2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 2	5668
——— *v.* Nandkishore Doss	5 W. R., 208	4312
——— *v.* Ramgopal Chatterjee	11 W. R., 108	5117
——— *v.* Unnoda Churn Dutt	23 W. R., Cr., 34	5946
Sreenath Ghosal *v.* Bissonath Ghose	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 10 : 5 W. R., 109.	3123
Sreenath Ghose. In the matter of	10 W. R., Cr., 33	1045

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sreenath Ghose v. Rajchunder Paul	8 W R., 171	172, 371
——— v. Sahib Ram Roy	12 B. L. R., 504, note: 12 W. R., 304.	1924
Sreenath Ghossal v. Joy Narain Kavar	3 W. R., Act X, 11	4316
Sreenath Gooho v. Yusoof Khan	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 556: 9 C. L. R., 334.	793
Sreenath Holdar v. Ram Spondur Chuckerbutty	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 4	5323
Sreenath Koondoo v. Huree Narain Mudduck	7 W. R., 399	81
Sreenath Kur. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 450: 10 C L. R., 421.	2759
Sreenath Lall v. Jumneejoy Mullick	Marsh, 550: 2 Hay, 649	4209
Sreenath Mookerjee v. Komul Kurmokar	16 W. R., 83	5277, 5650
——— v. White	13 W. R., 126	4166
Sreenath Mundle v. Sreeram Rajput	24 W. R., Cr., 62	981, 5154
Sreenath Nag v. Chunder Nath Ghose	17 W. R., 192	1708
Sreenath Nag Mozoomdar v. Mon Mohinee Dossia	6 W. R., 35	143, 2342
Sreenath Roy. In the matter of the petition of	17 W. R., 405	4442
——— v. Ainooddeen Shaha	25 W. R., 103	581
——— v. Bindoo Bashinee Debia	20 W. R., 112	146, 2023
——— v. Cally Doss Ghose	I L. R., 5 Calc., 82	2815, 2828
——— v. Goluck Chunder Sein	15 W. R., 348	1197, 6240
——— v. Radhanath Mookerjee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 773	206
——— v. Ross	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 13	4604
Sreenath Roy Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of.	7 W. R., 462	5829
Sreenath Singh Chowdhry v. Hurreepreа	10 W. R., 449	6141
Sreenath Thakoor v. Watson	4 W. R., Mis., 4	5588
Sreenegbash Roy v. Umbika Churn Roy	12 W. R., 375	4436
Sreenibash Laek v. Prosunno Coomar Mitter	25 W. R., 218	1456
Sreepershad v. Rajgoolooo Treeumbuknath Deo	10 W. R., 44	3158
Sreepershad Surmah Bhuttacharjee v. Shutoopa Dossia	9 W. R., 452	5383
Sreeputty Mirdha v. Kartick Singha	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 10: 11 C. L. R., 181.	903
Sreeputty Roy v. Loharam Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 687: 7 W. R., 384.	5672
Sreeram v. Hokoom Singh	2 N. W., 467	4760
Sreeram Bhuttacharjee v. Puddomookhee Debia	9 W. R., 152	2413, 2424
Sreeram Chandra Lerkan v. Bipindass	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 710	2029
Sreeram Chatterjee v. Lakhun Magilla	Marsh, 379: 2 Hay, 427	1641, 1659
Sree Ram Chowdhry v. Denobundhoo Chowdhry	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 490: 9 C. L. R., 147.	178
Sreeram Chunder Banerjee v. Mudhop Soodun Roy	24 W. R., 17	218
Sreeram Ghose v. Molook Chand Deb	4 W. R., Act X, 3	1636
——— v. Sreenath Dutt Chowdhry	7 W. R., 451	2356
Sreeram Hazrah v. Gyaram Hatee	11 W. R., 507	3870
Sreeram Roy Chowdhry v. Kolemooddee Mollah	9 W. R., 477	4758
Sreesh Chunder Doss v. Assimoniissa	7 W. R., 234	1654
Sreeshtoodhur Shaha v. Woomeshnath Roy	5 W. R., Mis., 1	2708
Sreestee Dhur Chuckerbutty v. Sreenath Biswas	18 W. R., 332	878
Sreestee Dhur Dey v. Doorga Narain Nag	17 W. R., 462	568
Sri Bullov Bhattacharji v. Baburam Chattopadhya	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 169	167, 5733
Sricband v. Nim Chand Sahu	5 B. L. R., Ap., 25: 13 W. R., 337.	1168
Sridhar Nandi v. Brajanath Kundu Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., A. C., 211: 14 W. R., 286, note.	4151
Srihari Mandal v. Jadunath Ghose	1 B L. R., A. C., 110: 10 W. R., 169.	2742

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Srihary Mundul *v.* Murari Chowdhry	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 257	3116
Srikant Bhuttacharji *v.* Kedar Nath Mookerjee	6 C. L. R., 242	1993
Srikant Dey *v.* Huri Das Pal	11 C. L. R., 131	2774
Srikant Roy *v.* Satcori Shaha	3 C. L. R., 181	5660
Srikhanti Narayanappa *v.* Indupuram Ramalingam	3 Mad., 226	4272
Sri Kishen *v.* Huri Kishen	5 Moore's I. A., 432	1729
Srikishen *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 143 : L. R., 12 I. A., 142.	2070
Srinarayan Mitter *v.* Krishna Sundari Dasi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 279 : 11 W. R., 196.	2112
Srinath Bhattacharji *v.* Ram Ratan De	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 606	543
Srinath Chuckerbutty *v.* Srimanto Lashkar	8 B. L. R., 240, note : 10 W. R., 467.	3013, 5317
Srinath Das *v.* Park Pittar	5 B. L. R., 550 : 14 W. R., O. C., 41.	3319
Srinath Dutt *v.* Gopal Chunder Mittra	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 511 : 12 C. L. R., 445.	410
Srinath Gangopadhya *v.* Mahesh Chandra Roy	4 B. L. R., F. B., 3 : 12 W. R., F. B., 14.	3364, 3399
——— *v.* Sarbamangala Debi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 144 : 10 W. R., 488.	2268, 2496
Srinath Ghose *v.* Haronath Dutt Chowdhry	9 B. L. R., 220 : 18 W. R., 240.	5316
Srinath Kur *v.* Prosunno Kumar Ghose	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 934 : 13 C. L. R., 372.	3400
Srinath Mazumdar *v.* Brajanath Mazumdar	4 B. L. R., Ap., 99 : 13 W. R., 309.	3511
Srinath Saha *v.* Saroda Gobindo Chowdry	5 B. L. R., Ap., 10	304
Srinivasa *v.* Emperumanar Pillai	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 42	3595
——— *v.* Ponnambalam	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 376	102
——— *v.* Venkatramana	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 121	3349
Srinivasa Ayyangar *v.* Kuppan Ayyangar	1 Mad., 180	2138, 2288
——— *v.* Peria Tambi Nayakar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 420	240
——— *v.* Queen	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 393	906, 4353
——— *v.* Rengasami Ayyangar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 304	2300
Srinivasa Bhatta *v.* Malayachan Mannadi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 211	4348
Srinivasachari *v.* Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 336	2032
Srinivasa Chetti *v.* Nunjunda Chetti	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 174	3046
Srinivasammal *v.* Vijayammal	2 Mad., 37	2534
Srinivasa Nayudu *v.* Yelaya Nayudu	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 251	2405
Srinivasa Rau Saheb *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 226	4572
Srinivasa Sastri *v.* Seshayyangar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 37	4775
Srinivasayyar *v.* Laksmamma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 206	5265
Srinivassa Chariyar *v.* Venkatasa Naikar	4 Mad., 440	114
Srinivassiengar *v.* Srinivassaranga Chariyar	4 Mad., 10	3414
Srinivas Udpirav *v.* Reid	9 Bom., 266	2855
Srinop *v.* Empress	12 C. L. R., 226	346
Sriongo Kooer *v.* Maheswar Buksh Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol 13 : Marsh. 527 : W. R., F. B., 116.	210
Sripoti Churn Dey *v.* Mohip Narain Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 643 : 13 C. L. R., 119.	4004
Sri Prasad *v.* Raj Guru Triambuknath Deo	6 B. L. R., 555 : 14 W. R., 386.	2391, 6096
Sri Ram *v.* Bhagirath Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 227	4833
Sriram Biswas *v.* Juggernath Doss	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 187 : 5 W. R., Act X, 45.	563

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sriram Chunder Haldar. In the matter of the petition of Sriram Chunder Haldar *v.* Chairman of the Howrah Municipality.	20 W. R., Cr., 65	505
Sriram Ghatak *v.* Brajamohan Ghosal	3 B. L. R., Ap., 41 : 11 W. R., 449.	881
Sriramulu *v.* Ramayya	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 15	2126, 2129, 2134
Srish Chunder Sircar Chowdhry *v.* Shib Narain Pal	22 W. R., 466	5422
Srishteedhur Biswas *v.* Mudan Sirdar	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 648	5196
Srishteedhur Bose *v.* Shama Churn Ghose	14 W. R., 53	554, 5661
Srishteedhur Mundul *v.* Gobind Surucker	6 W. R., Act X, 15	5320
Sristeedhur Bhuttacharjee *v.* Kaleedoss Dey	24 W. R., 479	1449
Sristeedhur Paroee *v.* Indrobhoosun Chuckerbutty	18 W. R., 25	1328
Sristeedhur Samunt *v.* Romanath Rokhit	6 W. R., 58	3373
Stalkart *v.* Gopal Panday	12 B. L. R., 197 : 20 W. R., 168.	1169
——— *v.* Lalla Bhurrut Lall	W. R., 1864, Act X, 115	56
Staves *v.* Dias	10 W R., 444	6186
Steel *v.* Ichchamoyi Chowdhrain	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 111	205
——— *v.* Roberts	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 809 : 8 C. L. R., 439.	355
Stephen. In the goods of	1 B. L. R., S. N., 3 : 10 W. R., 90.	3087
——— *v.* Gasper	1 W. R, 265	3129
——— *v.* Stephen	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 714 : 10 C. L. R., 533.	77
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 901 : 13 C. L. R., 430.	78
——— *v.* ———	10 C. L. R., 536	4254
Stephenson *v.* Baumgartner	3 Agra, 104	1399, 4250, 6078
——— *v.* Unnoda Dossee	6 W. R., Mis., 18	161, 435, 3540, 5171
Sterling *v.* Cochrane	1 B. L. R., O. C., 114	2635
Stewart. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., P. C., 55 : 10 W. R., P. C., 43.	454
——— *v.* Delhi and London Bank	17 W. R., 201	3105, 4683
——— *v.* Scinde, Punjab, and Dehli Railway Company	5 B. L. R, 195	928
Stowell *v.* Ajudhia Nath	I. L. R., 6 All., 355	5433, 5445
——— *v.* Billings	I. L. R, 1 All., 350	1704, 3519
Striman Sada Gopa *v.* Kristna Tatachariyar	1 Mad., 301	2849
Strimathoo Moothoo Vijia Ragoonadah Ranee Koilandapuree Natchiar *alias* Kattama Natchiar *v.* Dorasinga Taver.	15 B. L. R., 83 : 23 W. R., 314 : L. R., 2 I. A., 169.	1413
Strinarasimma Chariyar *v.* Narasimma Chariyar	5 Mad, 183	231
Strinivasa *v.* Narayanasami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 1	3591
Struthers *v.* Wheeler	6 C. L. R., 109	912
Studd *v.* Brij Nundup Pershad Singh	9 C. L. R., 350	5389
Suba Bibi *v* Balgobind Das	I. L. R., 8 All., 178	1398
Subad Domni *v.* Katiram Dome	20 W. R., Cr., 58	3741
Subah Roy *v.* Baldeo Singh	24 W. R, 225	306
Subba *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 83	6269
——— *v.* Trincal	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 460	4486
——— *v.* Venkata	I L. R., 8 Mad., 9	3586
——— *v* ———	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 21	432, 864
Subba Aitala. In re	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 304	261, 3880

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Subba Chetty *v.* Masti Immadi	3 Mad., 303	3756
Subbaiya *v.* Rajeswara Sastrulu	4 Mad., 354	3366, 3367
Subbaiyar *v.* Kristnaiyar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 383	4279
Subbaji Rau *v.* Srinivasa Rau	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 264	2026, 5968
Subbaluvammal *v.* Ammakutti Ammul	2 Mad., 129	2132
Subbarama *v.* Eastulu Muttusami	3 Mad., 378	3201, 3300
Subbaramien *v.* Ponnusawmy Chetty	5 Mad., 298	6222
Subba Rau *v.* Rama Rau	3 Mad., 376	2830
——— *v.* Virappa	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 162	3773
Subbaraya *v.* Devandra	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 301	1097
——— *v.* Srinivasa	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 580	3595
Subbaraya Gurukal *v.* Chellappa Mudali	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 315	5252
Subbaraya Kamti *v.* Krishna Kamti	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 159	3028
Subbaraya Mudali *v.* Collector of Chingleput	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 303	2853, 3041, 3059
——— *v.* Government	1 Mad., 286	2805, 4253
Subbarayana *v.* Subbakka	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 236	2418
Subbarayulu Nayak *v.* Rama Reddi	1 Mad., 141	2062, 3579
Subba Venkatara Maiyan *v.* Subraya Aiyan	4 Mad., 257	3823
Subbaya *v.* Buthaya	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 380	3564
——— *v.* Yarlagadda Ankinidu	1 Mad., 255	41
——— *v.* Yellamma	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 130	5960
Subbayya *v.* Chellamma	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 477	2345, 2534
——— *v.* Venkatesappa	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 49	4870
Subbayyan *v.* Ruppa Nagasami Ayyan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 155	5389
Subbiem Pillay *v.* Arnachala Irungol Pillay	5 Mad., 444	6176
Subbiramaniya Ayyan *v.* Velayuda Devar	1 Mad., 212	5702
Subbramania Mudaliar *v.* Kaliani Ammal	7 Mad., 226	2429, 3372
Subbramaniya Pillay *v.* Perumal Chetty	4 Mad., 251	214, 3597, 5117
Subbramanyian *v.* Ganapathi	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 123	5217
Subbroya Oodian *v.* Queen	5 Mad., 326	1967
Subbu *v.* Vasanthappan	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 351	3585
Subbu Hegadi *v.* Tongu	4 Mad., 196	2435
Subbuviyan. In the matter of the petition of	4 Mad., 233	4757
Subdul *v.* Buldeo	2 N. W., 23	4908
Subhabhat *v.* Vasudevbhat	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 113	3898
Subhaiyan *v.* Sankara Subhaiyan	2 Mad., 347	3365
Subhagi *v.* Muhammad Ishak	I. L. R., 6 All., 463	4542
Subhan Ali *v.* Sufdar Ali	24 W. R., 227	3526
Subhanji bin Bahirji *v.* Bhavanrav bin Anandrav	1 Bom., 173	1560, 5091
Subharaya Mudali *v.* Queen	4 Mad., 81	6257
Subjan Bibi *v.* Sariutulla	3 B. L. R., A. C., 413: 12 W. R., 329.	802, 811, 836, 1363, 1385, 1931, 5431
Subjan Ostagur. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 531: 6 W. R., Mis., 77.	5918
Suboorun *v.* Golam Nujee	2 W. R., 55	3253
Subramania Ayyan *v.* Venkata Rayar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 254	4140
Subramania Ghanapati *v.* Queen	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 261	5996
Subramanian Chetti *v.* Prince of Arcot	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 146	5676
Subramanian Pattar *v.* Panjamma Kunjiamma	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 324	1869
Subramaniya Ayyar *v.* Ramachandra Rau	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 335	3233
Subramaniyayyan *v.* Subramaniyayyan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 125	5387
Subramanya *v.* Appasami	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 355	218
——— *v.* Ponnusami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 92	2479

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Subramanya v. Gnanasambantha	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 374	5177
——— v. Rajaram	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 573	446, 5302
——— v. Sadasiva	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 75	2189, 4035
——— v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 361	2852
Subramanya Ayyar v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 396	4533, 5911 6184
Subramanyan. Ex parte	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 187	2072
——— v. Mandayan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 453	3971
Subraya Gounden v. Venkatagiri Aiyar	6 Mad., 22	5917
Subraya Pillai v. Srinivasa Pillai	3 Mad., 71	304
——— v. Subraya Mudali	4 Mad., 14	1102
Subupalayi Ammal v. Appakutti Aiyangar	3 Mad., 106	1033
Sudaburt Pershad Sahoo v. Lotf Ali Khan	14 W. R., 339	902, 1172 2346, 2991 2445, 2515 3160, 4254 4261
Suda Nund v. Dwarka Singh	2 N. W., 194	3042
Sudanund Mohapattur v. Bonomalee Doss	Marsh., 317 : 2 Hay, 205	2135, 2139
——— v. Soorjoo Monee Dayee	11 W. R., 436	2344, 2367 2369
——— v. Soorjoomonee Debee	8 W. R., 455	5026
Sudaram Majee v. Mirtunjoy Dey	12 W. R., 509	4959
Sudder Golab Singh v. Ram Buddul Singh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 1 : 4 W. R., Act X, 28.	5920
Suddurtonnessa v. Majada Khatoon	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 694 : 2 C. L. R., 308.	2229
Sudduruddeen Sircar v. Ramjoy Mojoomdar	14 W. R., Cr., 51	4435, 4627
Suddye Purira v. Boistub Purira	12 B. L. R., 84, note : 15 W. R., 261.	5206
Suderooddeen v. Huronath Sein	8 W. R., 87	5728
Sudharam Patar v. Sudharam	3 B. L. R., A. C., 91 : 11 W. R., 457.	2837, 2893
Sudindra v. Budan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 80	809
Sudisht Lal v. Sheobarat Koer	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 245 : L. R., 8 I. A., 39.	4233, 4593
Sudukhina Chowdhrain v. Raj Mohan Bose	3 B. L. R., A. C., 377	4591
Suduroodeen v. Bhetoo Pulee	5 W. R., Act X, 70	1642
Suduroodeen Mahomed. In the matter of	7 W. R., 316	4444
Sufatoollah. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 49	1318
Sufdar Reza v. Amzad Ali	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 703 : 10 C. L. R., 121.	4745, 4786 4791, 6115
Sufdur Ali v. Woolfut Ali	3 W. R., 232	4598
Sufdur Ali Khan v. Jeo Narain Singh	16 W. R., 161	3005
Sufeshun v. Mahomed Hubeeboollah Khan	8 W. R., 379	241, 3911
Sufeeoollah Sircar v. Begum Bibee	25 W. R., 219	2022, 6014
Suffer Ali v. Futteh Ali	W. R., 1864, Act X, 2	2930
Suffer Ali Khan v. Golam Hyder Khan	6 W. R., Cr., 60	3790
Sufferoonissa Beebee v. Gholam Hossein Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Mis., 2	1251
Sufferuddin v. Ibrahim	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 754	489
Suffuroonisa Bibee v. Noorul Hossein	17 W. R., 419	3158
Sufi Sufilla v. Official Trustee of Bengal	L. R., 8 I. A., 197	3326
Sufroo Shaikh Durjee v. Futteh Shaikh Durjee	15 W. R., 505	4562
Sufur Ali v. Mohesh Chunder Kaug	4 W. R., Mis., 16	836
Sufuroonissa v. Suree Dhoopee	8 W. R., 384	5304
Suganchand Shivdas v. Mulchand Joharimal	2 Bom., 270	2818

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Suganchand Shivdas v. Mulchand Joharimal	12 Bom., 113	1035, 2105 2576, 2819 3089
Sugeeram Begum v. Juddobuns Suhaye	9 W. R., 284	2206
Sugra Bibi v. Masuma Bibi	I. L. R., 2 All., 573	3649
Suhai v. Bishun Dyal	1 Agra, 269	1093
Suhodara Bibee v. Mohendro Nath Bose	2 W. R., 271	3397
Suhoodera v. Ram Rutton Tewaree	Marsh., 3 : 1 Hay, 24	1812
Suhuj Narain Sahee v. Ram Pershad Misser	21 W. R., 146	3776
Sujan Singh v. Gungaram	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 337 : L. R., 9 I. A., 58.	1091
Sujawut Ali v. Busheerooddeen	2 W. R., 189	2255
Sujeewun Singh v. Runpal Singh	10 W. R., 351	628
Sujjad v. Sahit Ali	3 Agra, 140	3278
Sujjad Ali v. Bholaram	5 N. W., 24	5745
Sujun Kooer v. Heitoo	1 N. W., 165 : Ed. 1873, 244	1187, 1755
Sujun Koonwer v. Pirbhoo Lall	2 Agra, Pt. II, 211	1394
Sukee Monee Debia v. Huree Mohun Mookerjee	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 6	5656
Sukeenahh Bancoo v. Huro Churn Buruj	6 W. R., 34	2235
Sukhai v. Daryai	I. L. R., 1 All., 374	5480
Sukhawut Ali v. Kesho Tewaree	6 N. W., 208	3872
Sukhawatoollah v. Puthoo Goldar	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7	5
Sukhawut Hossein v. Dhajah Roy	2 Hay, 597	5268
Sukhbasi Lal v. Guman Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 366	2148
Sukhdaik Misr v. Karim Chaudhri	I. L. R., 3 All., 521	2863, 5085
Sukhdeo Rai v. Sheo Ghulam	I. L. R., 4 All., 382	5446
Sukhee Monee Debia v. Brojoraj Mookerjee	17 W. R., 69	1933
Sukhimani Dasi v. Mahendra Nath Dutt	4 B. L. R., P. C., 16	1697
Sukhi Mani Haldar v. Gunga Gobind Mundle	W. R., 1864, Act X, 126	1641
Sukh Nandan v. Renniek	I. L. R., 4 All., 193	5902
Sukhnandan Lal. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 All., 163	269
Sukho v. Durga Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 448	5148
Sukho Bibi v. Ram Sukh Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 263	3339
Sukoomut Bibee v. Warris Ali	22 W. R., 400	3732
Sukram v. Kala Kahar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 105	1392, 4475
Sukrit Narain Lal v. Raghunath Sahai	I. L. R., 7 All., 445	2650
Sukry Kurdeppa v. Goondakull Nagireddi	6 Mad., 71	4772
Sule v. Dhundiraj Venayak	3 Bom., A. C., 55	56
Suleman Kadr v. Dorab Ali Khan	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 1 : L. R., 8 I. A., 117.	3679, 6218
Suleman Vadu v. Trikamji Velji	12 Bom., 10	6153
Sultan Ackeni Sahib v. Bava Malimiyar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 295	164
Sultan Ahmad v. Maula Bakhsh	I. L. R., 4 All., 21	5043
Sultan Chand v. Smyth	12 B. L. R., 358 : 21 W. R., 221.	5900
Sultanji T. Patil Sirvale v. Raghunath R. Marathe	2 Bom., 48 : 2nd Ed., 45	2396
Sultan Kuar v. Gulzari Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 290	411
Sumar Ahmed v. Ismail Haji Habib	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 158	1474, 4522
Sumarmal Joharimal v. Nahanubai	7 Bom., O. C., 183	424
Sumat Das. In the matter of the petition of	13 B. L. R., Ap., 27	203, 1883
Sumbhonauth Ghose v. Jodoonauth Chatterjee	Cor., 88	2111, 2574
Sumbhoo Chunder Chowdhry v. Naraini Debia	5 W. R., P. C., 100	2139
Sumbhoo Chunder Khan v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee	W. R., 1864, Mis., 24	663
Sumbhoo Chunder Shaha v. Baroda Soonduree Debea	5 W. R., 45	632
Sumbhoo Lall Girdhur Lall v. Collector of Surat	8 Moore's I. A., 1 : 4 W. R., P. C., 55.	4634

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sumboo Chunder Holder. In re	Bourke, O. C., 59	430, 1860, 5897
Sumboonauth Ghose v. Juddoonauth Chatterjee	2 Hyde, 259	1577, 2572
Sumeena Bebee v. Koylash Chunder Roy	6 W. R., Act X, 79	525
Sumeera Khatoon v. Gopal Lall Tagore	1 W. R., 58	4147
Sumeeroodeen Lushkur v. Huronath Roy	2 W. R., Act X, 93	1617
Summun Jha v. Bhooput Jha	18 W. R., 498	4311
Sumrun Thakur v. Chundermun Misser	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 17 : 9 C. L. R., 415.	2471
Sumundra Koonwar v. Kalee Churn Singh	13 W. R., 199	2447
Sundar v. Khuman Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 614	2870
Sundara v. Subbanna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 354	1493
Sundaram v. Sankara	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 334	1160, 3289, 4716
Sundaramurti Mudali v. Vallinayakki Ammal	1 Mad., 465	3591
Sundar Das v. Mansa Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 407	1886, 5957
Sundardas Jagjivandas v. Mohandas Ticumdas	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 454	665
Sundardas Mulji. Ex parte	10 Bom., 58	2665
Sundar Jagjivan v. Gopal Eshvant	4 Bom., A. C., 68	4828, 6144
Sundar Lal v. Yakub Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 362	5887
Sunder Bahu v. Monohur Lall Upadhya	10 C. L. R., 79	2464, 2471
Sunder Dass. In re	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 42	5423
Sundhya Mala v. Dabi Churn Dutt	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 715	5005
Sundhyamula v. Devi Churn Dutt	9 C. L. R., 216	5005
Sundram Chetti v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 203	4099, 5262, 5269
Sundraraja Ayyangar v. Jaganada Pillai	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 111	2169
Sundriah v. Queen	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 254	1320
Sungara Narayana Pillay v. Sandira Pillay	6 Mad., 13	810
Sungram Singh v. Juggun Singh	2 N. W., 18	5685
Sungut Lal v. Baijnath Roy	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 164	342, 2729
Sunj Baree v. Payag Patuk	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 5 : Ed. 1873, 65.	1678
Sunker Lall v. Juddoobuns Suhaye	9 W. R., 285	1407, 1751
Sunkur Bharti Swami v. Sidha Lingayah Charanti	6 W. R., P. C., 39 : 3 Moore's I. A., 198.	5244
Sunkur Dobey v. Obhoy Churn Mohaputtur	12 W. R., 385	4852
Sunkur Dyal Singh v. Purmessur Dyal Singh	6 W. R., 44	4991
Sunkur Lall Pattuck Gyawal v. Ramkalee Dhamin	18 W. R., 104	5669, 5701, 5747
Sunkur Pershad v. Goury Pershad	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 321	2352, 2374, 3292
Sunkur Sahoy v. Sheo Pershad Sookool	16 W. R., 270	4820
Sunkur Singh v. Huree Mohun Thakoor	22 W. R., 460	568
Sunnooburnessa Khanum v. Meher Chund	W. R., 1864, 313	403
Sunnud Ali v. Kurimoonissa	9 W. R., 124	3419, 4229, 5215
Sunoo Khan v. Oodea	2 Agra, 140	647
Sunraj Kuari v. Ambika Prasad Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 14	3499
Sunt Lall Misser v. Bhurosee Issur	18 W. R., 57	50
Suntokhee Thakoor v. Belassee Koonwur	10 W. R., 276	3395
Suntokh Rai v. East Indian Railway Company	2 Agra, 200	4707
Suntosh Ram Doss v. Geru Pattuck	23 W. R., 22	5696
Suppakon. Ex parte	1 Mad., 450	1982
Suppanachari v. Chakkara Pattan	1 Mad., 411	1145, 1146
Suput Singh v. Imrit Tewari	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 720 : 6 C. L. R., 62.	1147, 3227

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Surahutoonissa Khatoon *v.* Gyanee Buktoor	11 W. R., 142	1646
Suraj Bansi Kunwar *v.* Mahipat Singh	7 B. L. R., 669 : 16 W. R., 18.	1415
Suraj Bunsi Koer *v.* Sheo Persad Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 148 : 4 C. L. R., 226 : L. R., 6 I. A., 88.	2160
Suraj Din *v.* Chattar	I. L. R., 3 All., 755	4918
Surajpal Singh *v.* Jairamgir	I. L. R., 7 All., 855	5689
Suraneni Venkata Gopala Narasimha Roy *v.* Suraneni Lakshmi Venkama Roy.	3 B. L. R., P. C., 41 : 12 W. R., P. C., 40 : 13 Moore's I. A., 113.	2446, 2449
Suraneny Lakshmy Venkama Row *v.* Suraneny Venkata Gopala Narasimha Row.	3 Mad., 40	2446, 2449
Surat Dhobni. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 302	1832
Surayá *v.* Lakshminarasamma	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 291	2293, 2294
Surbanund Pandey *v.* Ruchia Pandey	4 W. R., Act X, 32	3810
Surbashur Mustofee *v.* Ram Lochun Chuckerbutty	2 Hay, 81	2352
Surbessur Dey *v.* Mahomed Sircar	7 W. R., 243	545
Surbessur Methoor *v.* Gossain Dops Methoor	17 W. R., 210	2358
Surbeswar Ghose *v.* Choto Arizollah Mandal	8 B. L. R., Ap., 78 : 17 W. R., 213.	5754
Surbhai Dayalji *v.* Raghunathji Vasanji	10 Bom., 397	3150
Surbo Chunder Doss *v.* Woomanund Roy	11 W. R., 412	530, 5687
Surbo Mohun Roy *v.* Surut Chunder Roy	16 W. R., 34	5812
Surbomongala Dassi *v.* Shashibhooshun Biswas	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 413	4670
Surbomungola Dabee *v.* Mohendronath Nath	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 508	6201
Surbomungula Dossee *v.* Suttish Chunder Roy	2 W. R., 231	1592
Surdar Khan *v.* Chundoo	1 Agra, 228	3281
Surdharee Lall *v.* Girindur Chunder Ghose	1 C. L. R., 475	3517
——— *v.* Laboo Moodee	25 W. R., 500	3720, 3722
Surdharee Loll *v.* Mansoor Ally Khan	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 298	2103
Surender Nath Pal Chowdhry *v.* Brojonath Pal Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 352	1737, 4967
Surendra Nath Banerjee *v.* Chief Justice and Judges of the High Court, Calcutta.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 109	1043
Surendranath Roy. In the matter of the petition of	5 B. L. R., 274 : 13 W. R., Cr., 27.	968, 6182
——— *v.* Hiramani Barmani	1 B. L. R., P. C., 26 : 10 W. R., 35 : 12 Moore's I. A., 81.	2230, 2284, 2285, 2537
Surfoji *v.* Kamakshiamba	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 452	671
Surfraz Khan *v.* Tasawur Ali	2 Agra, 253	1766
Surgee. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 281	3609
Suri Bhatta *v.* Sitarama Bhatta	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 195	13
Suriutollah Chowdhry *v.* Madur Bux Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 363	1380
Suriya Rau *v.* Raja of Pittapur	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 499 : L. R., 13 I. A., 97.	2118
Surjanarain Dass. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 88	2897
Surjan Chowdhry *v.* Imambandi Begum	6 B. L. R., 566, note : 12 W. R., 527.	3978
Surjan Singh *v.* Jagan Nath Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 313	4001
Surjokant Nundi *v.* Mohesh Chunder Dutt	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 70	2289
Surjo Narain Ghose *v.* Hurri Narain Mollo	1 C. L. R., 547	1781
Surjoo Pershad *v.* Kashee Rawut	21 W. R., 121	535, 6102
Surju Prasad *v.* Bhawani Sahai	I. L. R., 2 All., 481	4782
——— *v.* Mansur Ali Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 463	3903
Surju Prasad Singh *v.* Khwahish Ali	I. L. R., 4 All., 512	3164

Name of Case.	Volume and Page	Column of Digest.
Surjya Narain Singh *v.* Sirdhary Lall	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 825 : 12 C. L. R., 400.	2499
Surn Rae *v.* Ubhman Rae	2 N. W., 209	1030, 5785
Surmust Khan *v.* Kadir Dad Khan	1 Agra, F. B., 39 : Ed. 1874, 29.	1149
Surno Moyee *v.* Adoito Churn Roy	Marsh., 605	1583, 1659
——— *v.* Blumhardt	9 W. R., 552	1585, 1593
——— *v.* Bykunt Chunder Mustofee	25 W. R., 17	4290, 6275
——— *v.* Collector of Rungpore	W. R., F. B., 4 : Marsh., 13 : 1 Hay, 37.	3404, 5111
——— *v.* Dinonath Gir Sunnyasee	I. L. R, 9 Calc., 908 : 13 C. L R., 69.	2997
——— *v.* Doorga Monee Dossee	10 W. R., 335	4424
——— *v.* Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	20 W. R., 276	42
——— *v.* Luchmeeput Doogur	9 W. R., 388	476, 5754
——— *v.* ———	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 694 : 7 W. R., 52, 512.	3091
——— *v.* Poolin Behary Mundul	3 C. L. R., 15	4408
——— *v.* Ramchurn Singh	3 Agra, 251	4301
——— *v.* Shoshee Mokhee Burmonia	12 Moore's I. A., 244 : 11 W. R., P. C., 5.	550, 4657 6044
——— *v.* Shoshi Mookhi Burmonia	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 258	553
——— *v.* Singhroop Bebee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 134	2989, 3129
——— *v.* Suttees Chunder Roy	3 W. R., P. C., 14 : 8 Moore's I. A., 164, 165, 166.	4638
——— *v.* ———	10 Moore's I. A., 123	5356
——— *v.* Watson & Co.	20 W. R., 276	42
Surnomoyee Dabee *v.* Purresh Narain Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 576	5293
Surnomoyee Dassya *v.* Land Mortgage Bank of India	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 173 : 8 C. L. R., 341.	5322
Surnomoyee Debia *v.* Gunga Gobind Roy	2 W. R., 264	1701
Surnomoyee Dossee *v.* Khan Mundul	9 W. R, 270	1614
——— *v.* Kishen Koomaree	14 W. R, 324	2708
Surnomoyi *v.* Johur Mahomed Nashyo	10 C. L. R., 545	1639, 1745
Surpop Chunder Chowdhry *v.* Nimchand Chuckerbutty	13 W. R., 284	2752
Surobp Chunder Hazra *v.* Troyluckonath Roy	9 W R., 290	1144
Suroop Chunder Mitter *v.* Dhonaye Biswas	23 W. R., 103	3010
Suroop Chunder Mozoomdar *v.* Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	Marsh., 334 : 2 Hay, 468	1992
Suroop Chunder Nag *v.* Bonomalee Pundit	9 W. R, 116	4002
Suroop Chunder Patre *v.* Jadoo Moytee	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 7	5708
Suroop Chunder Roy *v.* Goluck Chunder Dhur	14 W. R., 477	3480
——— *v.* Mohender Chunder Roy	22 W. R., 539	3821
Suroop Manna *v.* Bonomalee Churn Mytee	15 W. R., 240	1646
Suroop Ram Deb *v.* Gobind Ram Deb	7 W. R, 13	353
Suroop Sirdar *v.* Beer Chunder Manikhya	25 W. R., 370	5056
Surossutty Dossee *v.* Umbika Nund Biswas	24 W. R., 192	5761
Suiot Soondry Dabee *v.* Onwar Persad Narain Dey	12 B. L. R., 207, note	811
Surratoolla *v.* Dasee Beebee	1 W. R., 66	1499
Surreshoollah *v.* Ram Coomar Goopta	25 W. R., 556	2989
Surroop Chunder Sircar Chowdry *v.* Ramrutton Mullick.	1 Moore's I. A., 358	4644
Surrut Soondery Dabea *v.* Watson	11 W. R, 25	1180
Surta *v.* Ganga	I. L. R, 7 All, 411	233, 1494 5950
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 7 All., 875	1494, 5950
Surubjeet Narain Singh *v.* Gouree Pershad Narain Singh.	7 W. R., 269	354, 360 376, 384

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Surub Narain Chowdhry *v.* Show Gobind Pandey	11 B. L. R., Ap., 28	2190
Surubsookh *v.* Usgur Ally Khan	2 Agra, Pt. II, 180	826
Suruj Mookhi Konwar *v.* Bhagwati Konwar	10 C. L. R., 377	142
Surut Chunder Chatterjee *v.* Ashootosh Chatterjee	24 W. R., 46	2077
Surutram Bhaya *v.* G. I. P. Railway Company	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 96	4709
Surut Soondari Debya *v.* Prangobind Moozoomdar	5 C. L. R., 262	1662
Surut Soonduree Debia *v.* Rajendur Kishore Roy Chowdhry.	9 W. R., 125	1736, 1810 5122
Surut Soonduree Debia *v.* Sumeeroodeen Talookdar	22 W. R., 530	5305
Surwan Hossein Khan *v.* Gholam Mahomed	9 W. R., 170	3378
Surwar Hossein Khan *v.* ———	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 879	3378
Surwun Singh. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 149	2033
Suryaji *v.* Tukaram	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 358	1518
Suryanna *v.* Durgi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 258	3261
Suryaprasaka Rau *v.* Vaisya Sannyasi Razu	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 401	5733, 5956
Sushee Mohun Shaha Chowdhry *v.* Tara Purshad Mojoomdar.	25 W. R., 478	1055
Sushteebur Mookerjee *v.* Mackenzie	2 W. R., Act X, 76	2794
Susman Gossain *v.* Ram Churn Bhukut	5 W. R., Mis., 48	685
Sustee Churn Dey *v.* Ishan Chunder	22 W. R., 383	4597
Sutees Chunder Roy *v.* Gunes Chunder	3 W. R., P. C., 14: 8 Moore's I. A., 164, 165, 166.	4638
Sutherland. In the matter of	9 W. R., 478	4743
——— In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 229	4488, 4489 4494, 4497
——— *v.* Crowdy	18 W. R., Cr., 11	4488, 4489 4494, 4497
——— *v.* Shaw	Bourke, A. O. C., 92	3789
——— *v.* Singhee Churn Dutt	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 808	2679
Sutrooghun Dutt *v.* Brojogopal Ghose	3 W. R., 143	144
Sutroogun Sutputty *v.* Sabitra Dye	5 W. R., P. C., 109	2109, 2147
Sutteeschunder Roy *v.* Modhoosoodun Paul Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 91	527, 5304
Sutti Churn Ghosal *v.* Mudden Kishore Indoo	5 Moore's I. A., 107	4841
Sutto Bhama Dossee *v.* Jameeruddy Khan	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 12	6082
Sutto Churn Ghosal *v.* Bhyrub Chunder Brohmo	9 W. R., 565	3507
——— *v.* Gouree Pershad Roy	13 W. R., 117	525
——— *v.* Mohesh Chunder Mitter	3 W. R., 178	4151, 5357
——— *v.* Obhoy Nund Doss	2 W. R., Act X, 31	2752, 3011 4866, 4892
——— *v.* Suroop Chunder Doss	12 W. R., 465	4409, 4433
——— *v.* Tarinee Churn Ghose	3 W. R., 178	4151, 5357
Sutto Surn Ghosal *v.* Dhone Kristno Sircar	1 W. R., 88	1567, 1736
Sutto Surrun Ghosal *v.* Mohesh Chunder Mitter	12 Moore's I. A., 263: 11 W. R., P. C., 10.	1581, 3067 5357
——— *v.* Tarinee Chunder Ghose		
——— *v.* Tarinee Churn Ghose	12 W. R., 154	5135
Suttya Ghosal *v.* Suttyanund Ghosal	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 388	3745
Suttya Krishna Ghosal. In the goods of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 556	3086
Suttyanund Ghosaul *v.* Huro Kishore Dutt	15 W. R., 474	1593
Suttya Nundo Ghosaul *v.* Suroop Chunder Doss	14 W. R., 76	3818
Sutyabhama Dassee *v.* Krishna Chunder Chatterjee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 55: 6 C. L. R., 375.	1679, 3032
Suyeedun *v.* Zuhoor Hossein	W. R., 1864, 44	4019
Svami Nayudu *v.* Subramania Mudali	2 Mad., 158	3769, 4069
Svamiyar Pillai *v.* Chokkalingam Pillai	1 Mad., 105	5268
Swarnamayi *v.* Gauri Prasad Das	3 B. L. R., A. C., 270	1641, 2934
——— *v.* Pratap Chandra Burua	4 B. L. R., F. B., 113: 13 W. R., F. B., 15.	3825

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Swarnamayi v. Shashi Mukhi Barmani	2 B. L. R., P. C., 10: 11 W. R., P. C., 5: 12 Moore's I. A., 244.	550, 4657 6044
Swarnamayi Raur v. Srinibash Koyal	6 B. L R., 144	2737, 4136 6002
Swayne v. Brojo Mohun Roy	1 Hay, 297	3792
Syam Singh v. Baidyanath Rai	13 C. L. R., 176	1107, 1941
Syedun v. Allah Ahmed	W. R., 1864, 327	3669, 6083
——— v. Velayet Ali Khan	17 W. R., 239	3689
Syfoollah v. Bhutton *alias* Buttessur	10 W. R., 68	34
Syefoollah Khan v. Forbes	25 W. R., 55	572
Syefoolla Khan v. Kalee Pershad Sahoo	20 W. R., 256	1626
Syefun v. Rudder Sohay	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 582	4170
Sylhet and Cachar Tea Co. In the matter of	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94	943
———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123	945
Syud Khan v. Jumal Bibee	5 W. R., Mis., 19	3509
Syudoonissa v. Feda Hossein	12 W. R., 182	5011

T

Tabbur Singh v. Motee Singh	8 W. R., 306	3495, 3526
——— v. ———	9 W. R., 443	3495, 3497 3526
Tabira v. Government	6 W. R., 123	40
Tadiya v. Hasanebiyari	6 Mad., 9	3650
Tafuzzal Hossein Khan v. Bahadur Singh	7 B. L. R., 706, note: 11 W. R., 205.	3542
Tahal v. Bisheshar	I. L. R., 8 All., 57	5814
Taig Ali v. Golab Chowdhree	3 Agra, 314	4015
Taija Mull v. Oomrao	1 Agra, Rev., 64	1643
Taij Singh v. Kousilla	1 Agra, 90	2340
Taj v. Gungapershad	2 Agra, 45	819
Tajammul Husain v. Uda	I. L. R., 3 All., 668	4554
Tajeh Mahomed Purdhan v. Jogendro Deb Roykut	8 W. R., 368	534
Tajim v. Wahed Ali	22 W. R., 118	3656
Tajoo Beebee v. Noorun Beebee	1 W. R., 31	3648
Tajubai v. Sub-Collector of Kolaba	3 Bom., A. C., 132	2936
Tajuddin Khan v. Ram Parshad Bhagat	I. L. R., 1 All., 217	2917
Taj Uldeen Khan v. Ghafoorul-nissa	3 N. W., 341	3141
Takee Ali Khan v. Gool Mahamed Khan	1 N. W., 69: Ed. 1873, 123	4440
Takhuroodeen Mahomed Eshan Chowdhry v. Kurimbux Chowdhry.	3 W. R., 20	3141, 5248
Taki Mahomed Mandal v. Krishna Nath Rai	7 B. L. R., 7: 15 W. R., Cr., 53.	975
Talan Bibi v. Tenu Bibi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 82: 15 W. R., 65.	5742
Taleb Hossein v. Ameer Baksh	22 W. R., 529	1063
Taleb Hossein Khan v. Gooroo Pershad Roy	20 W. R., 57	4951
Talemand Singh v. Rukmina	I. L. R., 3 All., 353	2259
Talim Mundal v. Watson & Co.	17 W. R., 94	1376, 4747
Talluri Venkayya v. Queen	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 130	6270
Talub Hossein v. Walker	7 W. R., 470	5623
Tamaoonnissa v. Woojjulmonee Dossee	20 W. R., 72	4247
Tamarasherri Sivithri Andarjanom v. Maranat Vasudevan Nambudripad:	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 215	1101, 4234
Tamaya v. Timapa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 262	3079

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tameez Begum v. Furhut Hossein	2 N. W., 55	3733
Tamiz Mandal v. Umid Karigar	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 215	5541
Tamizuddin Mirdha v. Gaffur Khan	7 B. L. R., Ap., 40	5672
Tamizuddin Mulla v. Nyanutulla Sirkar	5 B. L. R., Ap., 73, note: 11 W. R., 628.	5432
Tamizuddin Munshi v. Huro Nath Pal	9 C. L. R., 253	513
Tammirazu Ramzogi v. Pantina Narsiah	6 Mad., 301	3362
Tamor Sing v. Kalidas Roy	4 B. L. R., O. C., 51	751
Tamvaco v. Skinner	1 B. L. R., O. C., 39	275
——— v. ———	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 221	1064
Tandavarya Mudali v. Valli Ammal	1 Mad., 398	2374
Tanji v. Nagamma	3 Mad., 137	3441
Tanoof In the matter of	15 W. R., Cr., 11	1794
Tanuviyan v. Valaganada	1 Mad., 3	2984
Tapidas Gobindbhai v. B. B. and C. I. Railway Company	6 Bom., A. C., 116	1380
Taponidi Hordanund Bharati v. Mathura Lall Bhagat	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 499	3251, 5428
Tara Bibi v. Abdul Guffer Chowdhry	12 C. L. R., 486	3403
Tara Bebee v. Ghasiram	3 C. L. R., 247	2262
Tarachand v. Reeb Ram	3 Mad., 50	2216, 2340
——— v. Reebram	3 Mad., 177	1469
Tara Chand Banerjee v. Boistub Churn Bhudro	16 W. R., 196	781
Tara Chand Biswas v. Nafar Ali Biswas	1 C. L. R., 236	2697, 5340
——— v. Radha Jeebun Mustofee	24 W. R., 148	3094
——— v. Ram Gobind Chowdhry	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 778: 4 C. L. R., 20.	2970, 5340
——— v. Ram Jeebun Moostafee	22 W. R., 202	2777, 5331
Tarachand Bose v. Nobeen Chunder Mitter	3 W. R., 138	2535
Tara Chand Chuckerbutty v. Deb Nath Roy	10 C. L. R., 550	4665
Tarachand Coondoo Chowdhry. In the goods of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 10: Bourke, Test., 3.	4662
Tarachand Dutt v. Wakenoonissa Bibee	7 W. R., 91	2981, 5313
Tarachand Ghose v. Abdul Ali	8 B. L. R., 24: 16 W. R., O. C., 1.	3147, 3174
——— v. Anand Chandra Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., A. C., 110: 10 W. R., 450.	3290, 3306
——— v. Pudum Lochun Ghose	5 W. R., 249: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 207.	5622, 5727
——— v. Radhamonee Dossee	7 W. R., 469	2298
Tara Chand Hajrah v. Doorga Churn Hajrah	10 W. R., 205	5074
Tarachand Megraj v. Kashinath Trimbak	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 62	825
Tarachand Mookerjee v. Jadoonath Mookerjee	Marsh., 79: 1 Hay, 141	3404, 6229
Tarachand Mytee v. Nilambur Mundul	3 W. R., 227	1210
Tarachand Pirchand v. Lakshman Bhabani	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 91	5073
Tarachand Roy v. Keenaram Kurmokar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 118	4864
——— v. Nobin Chunder Roy	21 W. R., 132	1524
Taracharlu v. Konadala Ramachandra Reddi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 152	6094
Tarachunder Banerjee v. Ameer Mundul	22 W. R., 394	3529
Tarachund Mundul v. Bhyrub Chunder Chuckerbutty	15 W. R., 551	2992, 4280
Tarachurn Mookerjee v. Joynarain Mookerjee	8 W. R., 226	5919
Tarada Baladu v. Queen	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 112	2345
Taradoss Bhuttacharjee v. Bhaloo Sheikh	8 W. R., Cr., 69	2763, 5600
Tarafdi Mundul v. Chunder Bhoosun Banerjee	16 W. R., Cr., 74	100
Tarakant Bannerjee v. Puddmoney Dossee	5 W. R., P. C., 63: 10 Moore's I. A., 476.	4488, 4490
Tarakant Bhuttacharjee v. Luckhee Dabea	2 Hay, 8	2749, 4661
——— v. Wise		5404

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tarak Chandra Bhuttacharjee v. Baikantnath Sannial	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 107 : 10 C. L. R., 281 : L. R., 8 I. A., 65.	444, 5378
Taraknath Mookhopadhya v. Collector of Hooghly	4 B. L. R., A. C., 37 : 13 W. R., 13.	2787
Tara Kunwar v. Mangri Meeah	6 B. L. R., Ap., 114	3716
Taramani Dasi v. Radha Jiban Mustafi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 142 : 14 W. R., 485.	817, 3830
Tara Mohun Bhuttacharjee v. Kripa Moyee Debia	9 W. R., 423	2139, 2288
Taramonee Chowdhrain v. Rajlukhee Chowdhrani	2 Hay, 575	79
Taramonee Dassee v. Birressur Mozoomdar	1 W. R., 86	532, 5198, 5193
Taramonee Dassia v. Mackintosh	9 W. R., 272	2702
Tara Monee Debia v. Shibnath Tulapattur	6 W. R., 191	1813
Taramonee Dossee v. Kally Churn Surmah	W. R., 1864, Act X, 14	342
——— v. Punchanun Bose	18 W. R., 508	3007
Taramonee Gooptea v. Lukheemonee Dassee	Marsh., 29 : 1 Hay, 67, 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 22.	2290
Taramonee Koonwaree v. Jeebun Mundar	6 W. R., Act X, 98	560
Taramoney Dabee v. Hurro Mohun Chatterjee	11 B. L. R., Ap., 23	4340
Taranath Chuckerbutty v. Joy Soonduree Dabee	21 W. R., 93	689
Taranath Dutt v. Collector of Sylhet	5 W. R., Waste Land Court Ref., 1.	6188
Taranath Roy v. Rajbullub Bhunje	7 W. R., 464	5121
Taraporsad Roy Chowdhry v. Gopal Dass Dutt	Marsh., 72 : W. R., F. B., 24 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 79 : 1 Hay, 235.	1971
Tara Pershad Tangee v. Lukhee Narain Paurai	21 W. R., 6	1843
Taraprasad Mittra v. Ram Nrising Mittra	6 B. L. R., Ap., 5 : 14 W. R., 283.	5312
Taraprasad Mytee v. Nund Kishore Giri	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 842 ; 12 C. L. R., 448.	5414
Taraprasad Roy v. Surjokanto Acharjee Chowdhry	13 B. L. R., 281, note : 15 W. R., 152.	5192
Taraproshad Sahoo. In the matter of	15 W. R., Cr., 88	1048
Taraprosunno Mojoomdar v. Bishonath Sircar	23 W. R., 144	5751, 5756, 5762
Tara Prosunno Sircar v. Koomaree Bebee	23 W. R., 389	2752
Tarasingh v. Chaida Mull	2 Agra, 177	4179
Tara Soondaree Chowdhrain v. Collector of Mymensingh	13 B. L. R., 495 : 20 W. R., 446.	701, 6031
Tara Soondery Debya v. Shama Soondery Debya	4 W. R., 58	5268
Tarasoonduree v. Rash Munjuree	12 W. R., 78	1899, 2308
Tara Soonduree Burmonya v. Shibeshur Chatterjee	6 W. R., Act X, 51	1617
Tara Soonduree Dabee v. Oojul Monee Dossee	14 W. R., 111	485
Tara Soonduree Dabia v. Radha Soondur Roy	24 W. R., 63	5335
Tarasoonduree Kuloonee v. Bhoobun Chunder Ghose	23 W. R., 462	3204
Tara Sundari Burmoni v. Behari Lal Roy	1 B. L. R., A. C., 28	1929
Taree Mahtoon v. Ram Sahoy Singh	25 W. R., 110	325
Tareeneepersad Ghose v. Kallee Churn Ghose	Marsh., 215 ; 2 Hay, 90	4209
Tareeny Churn Bonnerjee v. Maitland	11 Moore's I. A., 317	1998, 4649
Tareeny Persaud Ghose v. Bengal Indigo Company	2 W. R., Act X, 9	4927
Tareknarain Singh v. Puncha Singh	W. R., 1864, 376	5239
Tarif Biswas v. Kalidas Banerjee	2 B. L. R., A. C., 223 : 11 W. R., 86.	842
Tarinee v. Bamundoss Moakhef	1 W. R., 331	5076
Tarinee *alias* Sawah Monee Debia v. Sreenath Mookerjee	25 W. R., 385	1120

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tarinee Churn Banerjee *v.* Nund Coomar Banerjee	1 W. R., 47	2192
Tarinee Churn Bose *v.* Kashinath Singh	W. R., 1864. Act X, 37	2930
——— *v.* Ramjee Pal	23 W. R., 298	3001
——— *v.* Shumbhoo Nath Panday	3 W. R., Act X, 139	577
Tarinee Churn Brohmo *v.* Roma Soonduree Dossee	20 W. R., 312	182
Tarineechurn Chuckerbutty *v.* Tarineechurn Chuckerbutty	1 Ind Jur., N. S., 6	5283
Tarinee Churn Ghose *v.* Hunsman Jha	20 W. R., 240	333
Tarinee Churn Mozoomdar. In the matter of the petition of.	19 W. R., Cr., 29	5542
Tarinee Churn Nyaba Chusputty *v.* Taranath Gooho	12 W. R., 449	1224, 5829
Tarinee Churn Roy *v.* Gopal Kisto Roy	2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5	5696
Tarinee Churn Sannyal *v.* Mohima Chunder Shaha	22 W. R., 426	4404
Tarinee Churn Shah *v.* Bonomali Nag	19 W. R., Cr., 33	4105
Tarinee Kant Bhuttacharjee *v.* Ganoda Soonduree Debee	24 W. R., 460	4024
Tarinee Kant Lahiree Chowdhry *v.* Rajkishore Tontry	24 W. R., 334	6279
Tarinee Kant Lahooree *v.* Koonj Beharee Awastee	12 W. R., 112	1596, 4910
Tarinee Kant Lahoory *v.* Hanee Mundul	7 W. R., 203	5985
Tarineekant Lahoory Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of.	8 W. R., Cr., 79	4725
Tarineepersad Ghose *v.* Sreegopal Paul Chowdhry	Marsh., 476 : 2 Hay, 593	1692, 2976
Tarinee Pershad Sein *v* Dwarkanath Rukheet	15 W. R., 451	137, 3746
Tarinee Prosaud Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	18 W. R., Cr., 8	19, 5162 5163
Tariney Churn Bose *v.* Municipal Commissioners of Serampore.	24 W. R., Cr., 25	4048
Tariney Churn Goho. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., Ap., 26	2656
Tariney Churn Nundy *v.* Abdur Rohoman	2 C. L. R., 346	3219, 3300 5840
Tarini Charan Bose *v.* Debnarayan Mitri	8 B. L. R., Ap., 69	4167
Tarini Charan Chowdhry *v.* Saroda Sundari Dasi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 145 : 11 W. R., 468.	2109, 2348 4153, 6243
Tarini Charan Ganguli *v.* Watson	3 B. L. R., A. C., 437 : 12 W. R., 413.	2507, 3397 4335
Tarini Charan Mookerjee *v.* Purna Chandra Roy	6 B. L. R., 717 : 15 W. R., 397.	5931
Tarinidas Bandyophadya *v.* Bishtoo Lal Mukhopadaya	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 608	3524
Tarini Kant Lahiri *v.* Krishna Moni Chowdraini	5 C. L. R., 179	4269
——— *v.* Nundkishore Patronovis	12 C. L. R., 588	1186
Tarini Pershad Misra *v.* Mahamud Chowdhry	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 376	5724
Tarini Prasad Ghose *v.* Khudumani Debi	5 B. L. R., 184; 13 W. R., 261.	4872
——— *v.* Raghab Chandra Bandopadhaya	5 B. L. R., 187, note : 13 W. R., 205.	4872
——— *v.* Ramkrishna Banerjee	6 B. L. R., 160 : 14 W. R., 224	3290, 3291
Tarini Prosad Misser *v.* Hurrish Chunder Chowdhry	8 C. L. R., 548	5724
——— *v.* Mahammad Chowdhry	6 C L. R., 555	219, 5637
Tariny Debi *v.* Shama Churn Mitter	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 954	5327
Tarokessur Roy *v.* Soshi Shikuressur Roy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 952 : 13 C. L. R., 62 : L. R., 10 I. A., 51.	2568
Tarruck Chunder Bhuttacharjee *v.* Divendro Nath Sanyal.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 831 : 12 C. L. R., 566.	838, 1931
Tarrucknath Paulit *v.* Gladstone	1 Hyde, 178	1395
Tarruck Nauth Ghose *v.* Kaleepershad Khettry	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 210	1060
Tarruck Nauth Paulit *v.* Sherbourne	Cor., 62	1051
Tarsanah *v.* Kadharey Lall	5 N. W., 1	529, 3874
Tarsi Ram *v.* Man Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 492	1493, 3489

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Taruck Chunder Bhuttacharjee *v.* Huro Sunkur Sandyal	22 W. R., 267	50, 2942
Taruck Chunder Chuckerbutty *v.* Huro Chunder Chuckerbutty.	15 W., 473	753, 3496
Taruck Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Panchu Mohini Debya	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 791 : 8 C. L. R., 297.	4893
Taruck Chunder Nundee *v.* Modhoosoodun Nundee	5 W. R., Act X, 80	1597
Taruck Chunder Poddar *v.* Jodeshur Chunder Koondoo	11 B. L. R., 193 : 19 W. R., 178.	2347
Taruck Chunder Sen *v.* Doorga Churn Sen	20 W. R., 2	3160
Tarucknath Mookerjee *v.* Gouresechurn Mookerjee	3 W. R., 147	910, 2699 6018
——— *v.* Meydee Biswas	5 W. R., Act X, 17	215, 3809
——— *v.* Mohendro Nath Ghose	13 W. R., 56	1769
——— *v.* Poorno Chunder Chatterjee	8 W. R., 137	459
Taruck Nath Mullick *v.* Jeamat Nosya	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 353	754, 1852
Tarucknath Poramanick *v.* McAllister	6 W. R., Act X, 34	1589
Tarucknath Roy *v.* Taboorunissa Chowdhrain	7 W. R., 39	247
Taruckuath Sirkar *v.* Prosunno Coomar Ghose	12 W. R., 48	2533
Tarukpodo Ghosal *v.* Shyama Churn Napit	8 C. L. R., 50	3046
Tarunginee Dassee *v.* Chowdry Dwarkanath Mussant	20 W. R., 196	2428
Taru Patur *v.* Abinash Chunder Dutt	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 76	1843
Tasaduk Hossain *v.* Beni Singh	13 C. L. R., 128	510, 4017
Tasouwar Ali *v.* Koonj Beharee Lal	3 N. W., 8, note	4155
Tasuduk Ali *v.* Mukaud Ali	6 N. W., 272	4540
Tata Chariar *v.* Singara Chariar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 219	1900
Tata Charlu *v.* Konadula Ramachandra Reddi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 152	1495
Tatia *v.* Sadashiv	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 40	2991, 3421
Tatur Khawas *v.* Jagannath Prasad	7 B. L. R., Ap., 14 : 15 W. R., 131.	2772
Tatya Vithoji *v.* Bapu Balaji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 330	1519
Taufik-un-nissa *v.* Ghulam Kambar	I. L. R., 1 All., 506	3650
Tavasi Telavar *v.* Palaniandi Telavar	3 Mad., 187	1453
Tawakkul Rai *v.* Lachman Rai	I. L. R., 6 All., 344	3992, 4202 4555
——— *v.* Sheo Ghulam Rai	I. L. R., 6 All., 344	3992, 4202 4555
Tawangar Ali *v.* Kura Mal	I. L R., 3 All., 394	3323
Tayammaul *v.* Sashachalla Naiker	10 Moore's I. A., 429	4656
Tayheb Ally. In the matter of	2 Hyde, 63	3686
Tayler *v.* Asmedh Koonwar	4 W. R., 86	456, 1040
Taylor. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 101	1157
——— *v.* Brooke	1 Bom., Ap., 48	5648
——— *v.* Nundee Jan	W. R., 1864, Mis., 25	680
——— *v.* Phillott	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 375	6216
——— *v.* Virasami	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 108	6012
Tayubunissa Bibi *v.* Sham Kishore Roy	7 B. L. R., 621 : 15 W. R., 228.	318, 1786 2252
Tayumana Reddi *v.* Perumal Reddi	1 Mad., 51	2218, 2533
Tazoonnissa *v.* Wassil	24 W. R., Cr., 64	982
Tazun Bibi *v.* Shib Chunder Dhur	19 W. R., 170	4003, 4008
Teacotta Shekdar. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 303 : 10 C. L. R., 239.	6027
——— *v.* Ameer Majee		
Teagaraya Mudali *v.* Mariyappa Pillai	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 264	3220
Teekadharee Singh *v.* Mohur Singh	7 W. R., 260	3714
Teekaram Kybutt *v.* Rajkishen Roy	Marsh., 495	1380, 5749
Teeknoo *v.* Mooniah	7 W. R., 440	2314
Teekram *v.* Doorga Pershad	1 Agra, 196	4562

Name of Case.	Volume and Page	Column of Digest.
Teekum Lall Dass v. Macarthur	1 W. R., 279	2799
Teekum Singh v. Dullo	2 Agra, 324	2004
Teelottuma Debee v. Brojo Lall Shamunt	8 W. R., 478	6318
Teeluck Chunder v. Shama Churn Prokash	1 W. R., 209	2340
Teeluck Chunder Oswal v. Gour Chunder Shaha	2 W. R., Act X, 100	531
Teeluckdharee Roy v Mooraleedhur Roy	13 W. R., 284	3557
Teelucko Kooer v. Nirban Singh	9 W. R., 439	1723
Teeluk Rai v. Ramjus Rai	5 N. W., 182	1178
Teenaram v. Ramrutton	2 Hyde, 181	427
Teencowree Chatterjee v Dinonath Banerjee	3 W. R., 49	2140, 2487, 2510
Teen Cowree Dossee v. Hureehur Mookerjee	8 W. R., 308	4667
Tegh Singh v. Amin Chand	I. L. R., 5 All., 269	824
Teil v. Gordon	2 Ind., Jur., N. S., 142	2641
Teil & Co. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., 37	436, 3779, 4716
——— v. Abdool Hye		
Teja Singh v. Pokhun Singh	10 W. R., 95	1881, 3536
——— v. Rajnarayan Singh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 62	1881, 3536
Tej Chund v. Sree Kanth Ghose	6 W. R., P. C., 48: 3 Moore's I. A., 261.	3062, 4133
Tej Narain Chatterjee v. Ram Tunoo Mojoomdar	12 W. R., 370	1926
Tejpal v. Kesri Singh	1 L. R., 2 All., 621	2724
Tej Protap Singh v. Champa Kalee Koer	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 96	2452
Tejram v. Harsukh	I. L. R., 1 All., 101	5928
——— v. Mooltan Mull	3 N. W., 70	2815
Tejram Jagrupaji v. Kusaji bin Gangji	7 Bom., A. C., 110	421
Tej Singh v. Gobind Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 850	4539
——— v. Ram Dass	Agra, F. B., 125: Ed. 1874, 94.	3028
Tekaet Bhao Narain Deo v. Court of Wards	15 W. R., 59	2891
Tekaet Khood Narain Singh v. Toolsee Roy	15 W. R., 9	5145
Tekaetne Goura Coomaree v. Saroo Coomaree	19 W. R., 252	2431, 5076
Tekait Chooramun Singh v. Dunraj Roy	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 56	1657
Tekait Megraj Singh v. Joy Mungul Singh	1 Ind Jur., N. S., 78	6118
Tekaitnee Goura Coomaree v. Bengal Coal Company	13 W. R., 129: 5 B. L. R., 667, note: 12 B. L. R., 282, note.	3118, 3431, 5076
Tekaitni Gowra Kumari v. Bengal Coal Company	5 B. L. R., 667, note: 12 B. L. R., 282, note: 13 W. R., 129.	3118, 3431, 5076
Telokdharee Sahoo v. Bissendro Narain Sahee	Marsh., 418: 2 Hay, 528	4980
Teluck Chand Baboo v. Soudaminee Dossee	23 W. R., 108	3836
Teluck Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Muddon Mohun Joogee.	15 B. L. R., 143, note: 12 W. R., 504.	333, 2517
Teluckdharee Sahie v. Rajender Protaub Sahie	W. R., F. B., 97	2228
Temmakal v. Subbamal	2 Mad., 47	2082
Temulji Rustamji v. Fardunji Kavasji	5 Bom., A. C., 137	2794
Teretput Singh v. Gossain Sudersan Das	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 46	6104
Tetai Abom v. Gagai Gura Chawa	3 B. L. R., Ap., 30	5822
Tetley v. Administrator General of Bengal	2 N. W., 418	1224
——— v. Jai Shankar	I. L. R., 1 Alk., 726	270
——— v. Peet Singh	Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 147	3506
Tewaree Loll v. Kasseenauth	W. R., F. B., 79	3955
Tewaree Raghoonath Sahai v. Mahomed Nazeer	4 N. W., 108	4034
Thackoor Doss Sett v. Hogg	Cor., 68	27, 4244
Thacoordass Nundee v. Shunkur Roy	3 W. R., Cr., 53	394
Thacoor Prosad v. Baluck Ram	12 C. L. R., 64	5143

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Thaker Madhavji. In re	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 460	4663
Thakersey Devraj *v.* Hurbhum Narsey	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 432	3170, 4272 5229
Thakoorain Sahiba *v.* Mohun Lall	7 W. R., P. C., 25: 11 Moore's I. A., 386.	2300, 2479
Thakooranee Dossee *v.* Bisheshur Mookerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 202: 3 W. R., Act X, 29.	1653, 2930 5184
——— *v.* ———	3 W. R., Act X, 142	1654
Thakoor Chunder *v.* Chowdhry Chotee Singh	Marsh., 261: 2 Hay, 112	3775, 3778
Thakoor Chunder Paramanick. In the matter of the petition of.	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 595: 6 W. R., 228.	1169, 3054
Thakoor Churn Roy *v.* Collector of 24-Pergunnahs	13 W. R., 336	2891, 5368 5370
Thakoor Dass Chuckerbutty *v.* Ram Jeebun Chuckerbutty.	14 W. R., 150	858
Thakoor Dass Goshamee *v.* Gopee Kristo Goshamee	15 W. R., 18	241
Thakoordass Roy Chowdhry *v.* Nubeen Kishen Ghose	15 W. R., 552	5108, 5352
Thakoor Dass Singh *v.* Luchmeeput Dossur	7 W. R., 10	434, 1927
Thakoor Dayhee *v.* Balack Ram	10 W. R., P. C., 3: 11 Moore's I. A., 139.	2264, 2495 2511
Thakoordeen Tewaree *v.* Ali Hossein Khan	8 W. R., 341	1424, 1811
Thakoordeen Tewarry *v.* ———	13 B. L. R., 427: 21 W. R., 340: L. R., 1 I. A., 192.	1247, 1456 1811, 4139 4233
Thakoor Deyhee *v.* Balukram	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106: 10 W. R., P. C., 3: 11 Moore's I. A., 139.	2264, 2495 2511
Thakoor Doss Acharjee Chuckerbutty *v.* Shoshee Bhoosun Chatterjee.	17 W. R., 208	3334, 3842
Thakoor Doss Gossain *v.* Kashee Nath Mundul	12 W. R., 73	3541
——— *v.* Pearee Mohun Mookerjee	22 W. R., 431	561
Thakoor Doss Mookerjee *v.* Ameer Mundul	14 W. R., 168	4520
Thakoor Doss Mozoomdar *v.* Radha Soonderee Dossee	2 W. R., Act X, 3	538
Thakoor Doss Roy *v.* Bhyrub Chunder Bhuttacharjee	11 W. R., 509	3043
——— *v.* Hurry Doss Roy	W. R., 1864, Mis., 21	382
Thakoor Doss Roy Chowdhry *v.* Nobin Kristo Ghose	22 W. R., 126	3335, 3835
Thakoor Dutt Singh *v.* Gopal Singh	14 W. R., 4	145, 1634
Thakoor Dyal Sen. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 51	6270
Thakooree *v.* Dhuleep Singh	2 N. W., 261	5636
Thakoor Kapilnath Sahai *v.* Government	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 142	277
Thakoor Kapilnauth Sahai Deo *v.* ———	10 B. L. R., 168	6020
——— *v.* ———	13 B. L. R., 445: 22 W. R., 17.	2003
Thakoor Lall Misser *v.* Brohmo Moyee Dabee	15 W. R., 253	778, 780
Thakoor Lall Missree *v.* Kanye Lall Tewaree	7 W. R., 510	839
Thakoor Luleet Narain Deo *v.* Juggurnath Misser	8 W. R., 476	1378
Thakoor Mahatab Deo *v.* Leelanund Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 613: 9 C. L. R., 398.	5466
Thakoor Pathuk *v.* Ram Soomrun Lal	2 N. W., 433	3323, 6081
Thakoor Patuck *v.* Ramsoomrun Lall	1 N. W., 17: Ed. 1873, 16	4428, 6081
Thakoor Pershad *v.* Bashmutty Koer	24 W. R., 428	1845
——— *v.* Kalika Pershad	2 Agra, 104	5070
——— *v.* Kalka Pershad	6 N. W., 210	4511
——— *v.* Mahomed Baker	8 W. R., 170	1582, 1616
Thakoor Pershad Singh *v.* Mahadeo Singh	5 N. W., 210	498
——— *v.* Mohesh Lall	24 W. R., 390	55, 1700 3292

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Thakoor Singh *v.* Sheoprshad Ojhar	5 N. W., 8	2843
Thakur Das *v.* Futteh Mull	7 B. L. R., 275 : 16 W. R., O. C., 3.	2579
——— *v.* Shadi Lal	I. L. R., 8 All., 56	3461
Thakurdas Manjhi *v.* Namdar Mundul	24 W. R., Cr., 12	1294
Thakur Doyal *v.* Ram Narain Singh	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 375	4197
Thakur of Musuda *v.* The Widows of the Thakur of Nandwara.	I. L. R., 2 All., 819	155
Thakur Prasad *v.* Ahsan Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 668	162
——— *v.* Partab	I. L. R., 6 All., 442	3297
Thakurya *v.* Sheo Singh Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 872	3303
"Thalatta." In the matter of the	Bourke, Ad., 1	5645
"Thalatta." The, *v.* The "Anne"	Bourke, A. O. C., 87	5645
Thama Sing *v.* Kalidas Roy	5 B. L. R., 386	415
Thambu Chetti Subraya Chetti *v.* Arundel	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 287	3574
Thamman Singh *v.* Ganga Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 342	1481
——— *v.* Jamal-ud-din	I. L. R., 7 All., 442	4558
Thanakoti *v.* Muniappa	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 495	5094
Thandraya Gounden *v.* Sith Alyan	4 Mad., 254	762
Thangathanni *v.* Ramu Mudali	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 358	2112, 2117
Than Singh *v.* Chundun Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 296	5731
Thathu Bapatty *v.* Chakayath Chathu	7 Mad., 179	3752
Thayammal *v.* Venkatarama	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 401	1411, 2142, 4271
Thayu Kunjlama *v.* Shungunni Valia Kymal	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 71	3762
Thekmee Beldar *v.* Ram Kishen Lall	15 W. R., 71	324, 1621
Thenju *v.* Chimmu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 413	3760
Theodorus *v.* Abool Burkut Ameenoollah	W. R., 1864, Act X, 106	1934
Thosikam Iyengar *v.* Ganapathy Iyer	4 Mad., 320	3075
Thewaram *v.* Empress	10 C. L. R., 187	1260, 1972
Thiagaraja *v.* Gnanasambantha	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 374	5177
Thiagaraja Mudali *v.* Ramanuja Charry	6 Mad., 151	6074
Thir Sing *v.* Venkataramier	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 92	3146, 3156, 3572
Thiruvengadathiengar *v.* Sangiliveerappa Pandya Chinnathumbiar.	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 65	1427
Thomas. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 192	4704
——— *v.* Manager of the Pioneer Press	2 Agra, Mis., 1	3795
——— *v.* Ogle	Bourke, A. O. C., 100	601
Thomas & Co. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 352	2664
Thompson *v.* Jehangir Hormasji	3 Bom., O. C., 66	4678
——— *v.* Thompson	Bourke, Mat., 1	2834
Thompson's Policy. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 347	5838
Thomson. In the matter of	Bourke, O. C., 421	5896
———	6 B. L. R., 180 : 14 W. R., 257	4743, 5938
——— In the matter of the petition of	6 N. W., 205	3739
Thookra *v.* Rampershad Tewarry	10 Moore's I. A., 490	31, 2314, 2351
Thorehill *v.* Taylor	1 Agra, 215	12, 747
Thornton *v.* Thornton	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 422	1546, 1547
Thucker Bhagvandas Harjivan. In the matter of	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 489	2662, 5120
Thukrain Sookraj Koowar *v.* Government	14 Moore's I. A., 113	1028
Thukree Roy *v.* Heeramun Singh	Marsh., 470 : 2 Hay, 597	577
Thumbusamy Moodelly *v.* Hoosain Rowthen	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 1 : L. R., 2 I. A., 241.	3896
Thumma *v.* Golab Rae	2 N. W., 89	329
Thurga Ramachandra Rau *v.* Appayya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 128	3580

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Thyila Kandi Ummatha v. Thyila Kandi Cheria Kunhamed.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 308	5036
Tiery v. Kristo Mohun Bose	L. R., 1 I A., 76	1567, 6033
Tietkins. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., O. C., 84	2656
Tikamdas Javahirdas v. Gangakom Mathursdas	11 Bom., 203	1072, 2731
Tika Ram v. Khuda Yar Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 191	2872
Tikaram Singh v. Sandes	22 W. R., 335	1645
Tikdey v. Lalla Huree Lall	W. R., 1864, 133	2129
Tilak Patak v. Mahabir Panday	7 B. L. R., Ap., 11; 15 W. R., 454.	4170, 4865
Tilkoer v. Roy Anundkishore	10 C. L. R., 547	2078
Tillakchand Hindumal v. Jitamal Sudaram	10 Bom., 206	1034, 1397, 1945
Tillessuree Kooer v. Asmedh Kooer	24 W. R., 101	1683, 2207, 4268
Tilook Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Gourmonee	2 Hay, 364	3009
Tilok Chand v. Sada Ram	7 N. W., 113	3242
Tiluck Chand v. Soudamini Dasi	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 566: 3 C. L. R., 456.	1724, 6280
——— v. Sowdaminee Dassee	25 W. R., 275	5613
Tiluck Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Muddun Mohun Jooree	12 W. R., 504: 12 B. L. R., 143, note.	333, 2517
Tiluck Chunder Dutt Chowdhry v. Brojo Soondur Mitter	24 W. R., 121	4909
Tiluck Chunder Gooho v. Gour Monee Debee	6 W. R., Mis., 91	3131, 3499
Tiluckdharee. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 1	6167
Tiluckdharee Singh v. Samoodra Singh	6 W. R., 9	2771
Tiluck Roy v. Phoolman Roy	7 W. R., 450	2200, 3395
Timal Kuari v. Ablakh Rai	I. L. R., 1 All., 254	3181
Timapa Shanbhog v. Maneshvar Kashi	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 181	864
Timmappa v. Lakshmamma	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 385	3951
Timmappa Bhat v. Parmeshriamma	5 Bom., A. C., 130	2424, 3871
Timmappa Heggade v. Mahalinga Heggade	4 Mad., 28	2454
Timmappaya v. Lakshminarayana	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 284	2171
Timmaraa Puranik v. Badiya	2 Bom., 70: 2nd Ed., 66	3031
Timmaya Mada v. Lakshmana Bhakta	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 215	246
Timmi Reddy v. Achamma	2 Mad., 325	2448
Timmu v. Deva Rai	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 265	4835, 5533
Tincowrie Chatterji v. Denonath Banerjee	W. R., 1864, 155	2110
Tincowrie Dossee v. Umbika Churn Roy Chowdhry	23 W. R., 41	3530
Tinnappa Chetti v. Murugappa Chetti	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 107	1407
Tinumoni Dasi v. Nibarun Chunder Gupta	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 154: 12 C. L. R., 376.	2306
Tippayya Holla v. Venkata	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 74	3581
Tipperah Loan Office v. Gour Chunder Barman	2 C. L. R., 349	2693
Tirbhobun Singh v. Jhono Lal	18 W. R., 206	565
Tirthanund Thakoor v. Herdu Jha	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 252	1607
——— v. Mutty Lall Misser	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 774	5200
——— v. Paresmon Jha	10 B. L. R., 142, note: 13 W. R., 449.	5411
Tirth Nund Thakur v. Mohur Mundul	17 W. R., 278	1631
Tiruchittambala Chetti v. Seshayyangar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 383	5421, 5869
Tiruchurna Perumal Nadan v. Sanguvien	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 118	2991
Tirukrishnama Chariar v. Krishnaswami Tatacharior	L. R., 6 I. A., 120	5264
Tirumala v. Lakshmi	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 147	4830
Tirumala Ran Sahib v. Pingala Sunkara Rau	1 Mad., 312	1558, 5056
Tirumalasami Reddi v. Rama Sami Reddi	6 Mad., 420	6006
Tirumalathamal v. Venkataramanaiyan	2 Mad., 378	1415

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tirumamagal Ammal v. Ramasvami Ayyangar	1 Mad., 214	2336
Tiruvalur Kirustnappa Mudali. In the matter of	1 Mad., 59	2835
Tiruvengada v. Rangasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 19	1830
——— v. Rangayyangar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 114	110
Tiruvengada Chari v. Vythilinga Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 418	411
Titooram Bose v. Tarineechurn Ghose	15 W. R., 127	3496
Titu Bibi v. Ibrahim Mollah	12 C. L. R., 304	5315
——— v. Mohesh Chunder Bagchi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 683	5315
Titu Maya v. Queen	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 618, note: 1 C. L. R., 1.	1014
Tiyagaraja Padyachi v. Ramanujam Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 422	4766
Tod v. Ponambalath Parapravan Kunnahod Hajee	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 169	3759, 3761
Todal Singh v. Thomson	2 N. W., 237	657
Todd. In the matter of the petition of	5 N. W., 237	3738
Todee Singh v. Gardner	2 Agra, 342	46
Tofail Ahmud v. Banee Madhub Mookerjee	24 W. R., 394	5690
Tohul Roy v. Mahadeo Dutt	W. R., 1864, 327	4930
Tokai Sherob v. Davod Mullick Fureedoon Beglar	4 W. R., P. C., 87: 6 Moore's I. A., 510.	5377
Tokee Bibee v. Abdool Khan	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 536: 5 C. L. R., 458.	2662
Tomminissa v. Nujeema Banoo	8 W. R., 340	4469
Tommy v. Soobha Kurim Lal	2 W. R., Act X, 73	2993
Tonoo Ram Gossain v. Mohessur Gossain	24 W. R., 302	3257
Toofanee Doss v. Mun Rakhun Roy	15 W. R., 202	4130
Toofeah v. Jussouda	2 Agra, 337	5100
Toog Oung v. British India Steam Navigation Company	24 W. R., 430	4529
Toolsee v. Mohadeb Raot	6 W. R., 197	2303
Toolsee Dossee v. Doorga Churn Paul	15 W. R., 175	196
Toolseedoss Kobeeraj v. Bhyrub Lall Tewaree	8 W. R., 311	6072
Toolsee Doss Seal. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 133: 7 W. R., 228.	3782, 4434
Toolsee Dutt Misser v. Brojo Mohun Thakoor	9 W. R., 332	4130, 4346
Tooleemoney Debee v. Jogesh Chunder Shaha	1 C. L. R., 425	5282
Tooleemoney Dossee v. Cornelius	11 B. L. R., 144	2585, 2591
Toolsee Ram v. Nahar Singh	3 N. W., 43	5630
——— v. Nahur Singh	3 Agra, 271	3367, 4459
——— v. Narain Singh	3 Agra, 265	5632
——— v. Nund Kishore Lall	12 W. R., 471	5738
Toolsee Sahoo v. Mohadeo Doss	10 W. R., 483	4851
Toolun v. Ooman Shunker	2 Agra, 117	5111
Toomy v. Durrup Singh	12 W. R., 473	1735
Toona v. Kureemun	6 W. R., Mis., 31	1487
Toonanjan v. Mehndee Begum	3 Agra, 13	3695
Toondun Singh v. Pokh Narain Singh	14 W. R., 205	1874
——— v. Pokhee Narain Singh	20 W. R., 54	1479
Toponidhee Dhirj Gir Gossain v. Sreeputty Sahanee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 832: 6 C. L. R., 305.	5068
Toppya Pillay v. Peddoo Pillay	5 Mad., 383	5679
Torab Ali v. Chooramun Singh Chowdhry	24 W. R., 262	5588
——— v. Mahomed Ameer Hossein	3 C. L. R., 105	3323, 6103
——— v. President of the Municipal Committee of Allahabad.	6 N. W., 170	5976
Torab Ali Khan v. Nilruttun Lal	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 155	3356
Torab Ally v. Mahomed Tukkee	19 W. R., 1	4656, 6008
Toral Komhar v. Auchhi	9 B. L. R., 253: 18 W. R., 401	3717

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Toree Mahomed v. Mahomed Mabood Bux	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 730 : 13 C. L. R., 91.	3216, 3497
Torit Bhoosun Bonnerjee v. Taraprosonno Bonnerjee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 756 : 4 C. L. R., 161.	2460, 4261
Tosodookh Hossein v. Meajan	W. R., 1864, 337	1353
Totakot Shangunni Menon v. Kurusingal Kaku Varid	4 Mad., 190	2214
Tota Ram v. Har Kishan	I. L. R., 7 All., 224	5079
——— v. Khub Chand	I. L. R., 7 All., 253	222
——— v. Mohun Lall	2 Agra, 120	1345, 1741
——— v. Sher Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 261	2700
Toulton v. Gwyther	Bourke, O. C., 273	4399, 4410
Toyab Shaikh. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 58	5570
Toyboon v. Mahomed Wajid	2 C. L. R., 504	806, 1475
Toyluck Nauth Doss v. Megnauth Doss	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245	2102
Toyluckomohun Tagore v. Gobind Chunder Sen	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 128 : 1 Hyde, 289.	3961
Toyluckonath Sirdar v. Baluckram Doss	W. R., 1864, Act X, 26	5919
Trailakhanath Roy v. Kashinath Roy	6 B. L. R., 633 : 14 W. R., 458.	1143
Trailokia Nath Nundi v. Shurno Chungoni	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 539	1848
Trailokya Nath Biswas v. Joykali Chowdhrain	11 C. L. R., 454	1372
Trailokya Nath Ghose v. Chundra Nath Dutt	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 424	2837, 5651
Tranquebar Sami Ayyan v. Nathambedu Ammai Ammal	6 Mad., 234	3190
Treelochun Chatterjee v. Radhamonee Dossee	6 W. R., Mis., 74	3497
Treelochun Roy v. Rajkishen Roy	5 W. R., 214	2343, 2358
Treepoorasoondery Dossee v. Debendronath Tagore	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 45	668, 3361, 6220
Tribhovan Gangaram v. Amina	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 516	3302
Tribhuvandas Jagjivandas v. Motilal Ramdas	1 Bom., 34	1069, 4587
Triccam Panachand v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company.	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 244	5532
Trikam Damodhar v. Lala Amirchand	8 Bom., A. C., 131	4681
Trikam Goverdhun v. Dullabh Kuber	2 Bom., 389 : 2nd Ed., 367	225
Trilochan Ghose v. Kailas Nath Sidhanto Bhowmik Bhattacharji.	3 B. L. R., A. C., 298 : 12 W. R., 175.	6002
Trilochun Chattapadhya v. Nobo Kishore Ghuttuck	2 C. L. R., 10	3428, 4977
Trilochun Chuckerbutty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty	25 W. R., 536	5348
——— v. Umesh Chunder Lahiri	7 C. L. R., 571	2490
Trilochun Doss v. Gugun Chunder Dey	24 W. R., 413	337
Trilochun Dutt v. Isben Chunder Chowdhry	3 W. R., 176	2772
Triloke Chunder Sen v. Aukhil Chunder Sen	21 W. R., 65	885
Trilokenath Jha v. Hur Dutt Khurhuree	9 W. R., 299	1455
Trilokinath. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 184 : L. R., 5 I. A., 171.	4657
Trimalrav Raghavendra v. Municipal Commissioners of Hubli.	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 172	6157
Trimbak Anant v. Gopalshet bin Mahadshet Mahadu	1 Bom., 27	2380
Trimbak Balkrishna v. Narayan Damoodar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 481	2181
Trimbak Bawá v. Narayan Bawa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 188	2257, 3259
Trimbak Dixit v. Narayan Dixit	11 Bom., 69	5236
Trimbak Ranu v. Nana Bhavani	12 Bom., 144	4196
Trimbak Ravji v. Nana	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 504	5466
Tripoorah Soondoree Chowdhranee v. Kali Chunder Roy Chowdhry.	18 W. R., 327	4299
Tripoora Soondaree v. Gopal Nath Roy	25 W. R., 358	1711, 4307
Tripoora Soondures. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., 45	678
——— v. Juggurnath Dutt	24 W. R., 321	4556

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tripoora Soonduree v. Koylash Chunder Bose	15 W. R., 265	5689
Tripoora Soonduree Debia v. Ijjutoonnissa Khatoon	24 W. R., 411	3245
——— v. Promothonath Roy	11 W. R., 533	3834
Tripp v. Kubeer Mundul	9 W. R., 209	3288
——— v. Nursing Chunder Mitter	W. R., 1864, Mis., 7	335
Tripura Sundari v. Durga Churn Pal	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 74	5464
——— v. Rasik Chandra Kanungui	6 B. L. R., Ap., 134: 15 W. R., 189.	4853, 5800
Triton Insurance Company v. The "Moorhill"	15 B. L. R., Ap., 3	5642
Troup v. East India Company	4 W. R., P. C., 111: 7 Moore's I. A., 104.	514
Troylochotaran Chowdhry v. Muthoora Mohun Dey	W. R., 1864, Act X, 41	1187
Troylokha Nath Biswas. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 742	1278
Troylokhyanath Mitter. ———	1 C. L. R., 82	5157
Troyluckhnath Chuckerbutty v. Jhabboo Shaikh	10 W. R., 334	3150
Troyluckhonath Banerjee v. Brindabun Chunder Sirkar Chowdhry.	18 W. R., 438	404, 699
Troyluckhonath Chowdhry v. Kaleema Bibee	2 W. R., Act X, 96	2931
Troyluckhonath Roy v. Collector of Beerbhoom	W. R., 1864, 338	353
Troylukho Tarinee Dossia v. Mohima Chunder Muttuck	7 W. R., 400	2991
Troylukhonath Bose. In re	5 W. R., Cr., 58	4104
Trunath Chowdry v. Manick Chunder Doss	14 W. R., 469	351, 368
Trustees and Mortgagees Act, 1886. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 381	6064
Tufani Singh. In re	6 B. L. R., Ap., 141	4424, 5138
——— v. Durgaban	4 B. L. R., Ap., 21	4461
Tuffazal Hossein Khan v. Raghunath Prasad	7 B. L. R., 186: 14 Moore's I. A., 40.	412
Tufuzzool Hossein v. Mahomed Hossein	2 Hay, 17	4015
Tukaram v. Satvaji Khanduji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 206	3460, 4464
——— v. Sujangir Guru	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 585	3415
Tukaram Ambaidas v. Ramchandra valad Bhimanna Dhugi.	6 Bom., A. C., 247	2305
Tukaram bin Atmaram v. Ramachandra Budharam	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 314	3943
Tukaram bin Ramkrishna v. Gunaji bin Mhaloji	8 Bom., A. C., 129	423
Tukaram Hari Atre. In re	10 Bom., 354	5832
Tukaram Vithal. In re	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 527	617
Tukaram Vithoji v. Khandoji Malharji	6 Bom., O. C., 134	4810
Tukee v. Khoshdel Biswas	6 W. R., 151	5650
Tukee Ali v. Saadut Ali	5 N. W., 14	5921
——— v. ———	5 N. W., 137	1194
Tukhea v. Deo Narain Singh	24 W. R., 248	5059
Tukhoya Rai v. Tupsee Koer	15 W. R., Cr., 23	5156, 6268
Tukoji Maharaj Holkar v. Titambardas Naranji	9 Bom., 427	748
Tukroonissa Begum v. Mogul Jan Bibee	8 W. R. 370	5812
Tularam v. Harjiwan Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 60	6017
Tuljaram Morarji v. Mathuradas	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 662	2302
Tulsee Monee Dassee v. Peary Mohun Baboo	25 W. R., 79	4131
Tulsha v. Gopal Rai	I. L. R., 6 All., 632	338, 1460, 4045
Tulshi Pershad Singh v. Ramnarain Singh	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 117: L. R., 12 I. A., 205.	3070
Tulshi Sahu v. Nursingram	12 C. L. R., 333	2211, 2575
Tulsidas Dhunji v. Virbasapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 624	1518
Tulsi Panday v. Buchu Lal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 596: 12 C. L. R., 223.	578
Tulsi Ram v. Gangaram	I. L. R., 1 All., 252	4875

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tulsiram Das *v.* Mohamed Afzal *alias* Mirza	1 B. L. R., A. C., 12 : 10 W. R., 48.	3280*
Tulsi Sahu *v.* Mahadeo Das	2 B. L. R., A. C., 105 : 10 W. R., 483.	4851, 5800
Tumeezooddeen Chowdhry *v.* Surwar Khan	7 W. R., 209	3024, 3406
Tumeezooddeen Khondkar *v.* Mohima Chunder Mookerjee.	11 W. R., 227	4914
Tumeezooddy *v.* Busarut	21 W. R., 76	772
Tundan Singh *v.* Pubh Narayan Sing	5 B. L. R., 546 : 13 W. R., 347.	482
Tunnoo *v.* Kureem Buksh	23 W. R., Cr., 2	661
Turibullah. In the matter of	4 C. L. R., 338	1155, 1269
Turif Biswas. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 455	5830
Turner, Morrison, & Co., *v.* Ralli, Mavrojani, & Co.	2 B. L. R., O. C., 127	1059
Tuaneef Hossein *v.* Sookhoo	14 W. R., 453	79, 91
Tvatat Svami *v.* Andanya Charanti	6 Bom., A. C., 132	4564
Tweedie *v.* Poonochunder Gangooly	8 W. R., 125	1073
——— *v.* Poono Chunder Gangoolee	12 W. R., 138	1757
——— *v.* Ram Narain Doss	9 W. R., 151	3802
Tyebunnessa *v.* Kaniz Fatima	13 C. L. R., 247	4512
U		
Ubilack Rai *v.* Dallial Rai	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 557	1847
Ublukh Rai *v.* Sheo Nundun Singh	3 Agra, 80	2444
Uchhuba Dossia *v.* Nittyanund Shaha	24 W. R., 463	667
Uda Begum *v.* Imamuddin	I. L. R., 1 All., 82	50, 54
——— *v.* ———	I. L. R., 2 All., 74	189
Udai Chand Mukhopadhya. In the matter of the petition of.	9 B. L. R., Ap., 31	4920
Udai Chandra Mandal *v.* Ahmedulla	7 B. L. R., 616, note	1410
Udai Ram *v.* Ghulam Husain	I. L. R., 3 All., 186	1176
Udai Singh *v.* Bharat Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 456	1871
——— *v.* Jagan Nath	I. L. R., 1 All., 135	5611
Udai Tara Chowdhrain *v.* Abdul Gani	3 B. L. R., Ap., 90	4199
Udaiya Tevar *v.* Katama Nachiyar	2 Mad., 131	4867, 4962 4988
Udaram Sitaram *v.* Ranu Panduji	11 Bom., 76	2235, 2409 5384
——— *v.* Sonkabai	10 Bom., 483	2421
Udaya Aditya Deb *v.* Jadab Lal Aditya Deb	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 199	2150
Udaya Chandra Rana *v.* Bhajahari Jana	2 B. L. R., Ap., 45	3953
Udaya Charan Dhur *v.* Kalitara Dasi	2 B. L. R., Ap., 52	1180
Udaya Varma *v.* Nayar Chambithu	1 Mad., 322	4430
Uddoy Adittya Deb *v.* Jadublal Aditiya Deb	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 113 : 4 C. L. R., 181.	5102
Uddoyto Churn Sahoo *v.* Ramdhun Roy	16 W. R., 296	3495
Udeyadeta Deb *v.* Gregson	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 624	229, 1940
Udey Kunwar *v.* Ladu	6 B. L. R., 283 : 15 W. R., P. C., 16 : 13 Moore's I. A., 585.	1680, 4745 4863
Udhar Singh *v.* Rance Koonwur	1 Agra, 234	2486, 4962
Udit Narain Singh *v.* Harogouri Prosad	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 590	14, 3454
Udit Singh *v.* Padarath Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 54	3354
Udoy Chand Biswas *v.* Panchoo Ram Biswas	11 C. L. R., 514	2365
Udoytara Chowdhrain *v.* Shib Nath Surma Bahadoori	9 C. L. R., 207	1629

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ufzool Begum *v.* Ladlee Begum	2 N. W., 325	3657
Ugarchand Manackchand *v.* Madapa Somana	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 324	6032, 6144
Uggrakant Chowdhry *v.* Hurro Chunder Shickdar	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 209	1847
Ugrah Nath *v.* Laganmali	I. L. R., 4 All., 83	3532
Ujagar Singh *v.* Pitam Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 120: L. R., 8 I. A., 190.	2154
Ujalamayi Dasi *v.* Chandra Kumar Neogi	4 B. L. R., F. B., 24	2844
Ujal Mundul *v.* Namdar Mundul	24 W. R., Cr., 12	1294
Uji *v.* Hathi Lala	7 Bom., A. C., 133	2221
Ujjala Bewa. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 52 3	966
Ujmuddin Khan *v.* Ziaul-nissa Begum	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 422: 5 C. L. R., 11: L. R., 6 I. A., 137.	5652
Ujolla Dasi *v.* Dhiraj Mahatab Chand	7 C. L. R., 215	5336
Ukanda Varriyar *v.* Ramen Nambudiri	1 Mad., 262	3754
Ukba *v.* Daga	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 182	4881
Ukhoy Sunkur Chuckerbutty *v.* Indro Bhusan Deb Roy	12 W. R., F. B., 27	1620, 2931
Ukoor Doss *v.* Chunder Sekhur Doss	3 W. R., 152	2250
Ulfatunnissa *alias* Elahijan Bibi *v.* Hosain Khan	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 520: 12 C. L. R., 209.	4807
Ulfutunnissa *v.* Mohan Lal Sukal	6 B. L. R., Ap., 33	1218, 2695
Ullman *v.* Justices of the Peace for Calcutta	7 B. L. R., Ap., 60	5531
——— *v.* ———	8 B. L. R., 265	650
Ulruk Singh *v.* Beny Persad	5 W. R., P. C., 77	4659
Ultaf Ali Khan *v.* Ram Lall	Agra, F. B., 83: Ed. 1874, 63	3308, 3529
Uluck Monee Chowdhrain *v.* Panchoo Coomar Chunder Chowdhry.	21 W. R., 124	757
Umabai *v.* Bhavu Padmanji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 557	2335
Umacharan Banerjee. In re	7 B. L. R., Ap., 3	6041
Umacharan Chowdhry *v.* Nitambini Debi	2 B. L. R., S. N., 11: 10 W. R., 359.	2420
Umacharan Sett *v.* Hari Prosad Missry	1 B. L. R., S. N., 7	528, 562
Umachurn Bag *v.* Ajadannissa Bibee	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 430	5294, 5877
Uma Churn Dutt *v.* Uma Tara Dabee	8 W. R., 181	1586
Uma Churn Mundle *v.* Joshein Sheikh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 84	2924
Uma Churn Santra *v.* Beni Madhub Roy	7 C. L. R., 352	4488, 4508
Uma Churn Sen *v.* Gobin Chunder Mozumdar	1 C. L. R., 460	5382
Umaid Bahadur *v.* Udoi Chand *alias* Munmun	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 119: 6 C. L. R., 500.	2288, 2299
Umaji valad Manaji Patil Dumale *v.* Hari Ram Chandra Kulkarni.	4 Bom., A. C., 143	4829
Uma Kishoree Dassi *v.* Huro Gobind Shaha	5 W. R., Act X, 95	532
Umameswara *v.* Singaperumal	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 376	5389
Umamoyi Burmonea *v.* Tarini Prasad Ghose	7 W. R., 225	3817, 4265
Umanath Mookhopadhya *v.* Nilmoney Sing	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 429	4670
Umanund Roy *v.* Browne	7 W. R., 352	5709
——— *v.* Sreekishen Banerjee	7 W. R., 248	1466
Umarkhan *v.* Mahomed Khan	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 41	1229
Uma Sankar Roy Chowdry *v.* Mansur Ali Khan	5 B. L. R., Ap., 6: 13 W. R., 327.	4214, 6076
Uma Shankar *v.* Kalka Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 75	3393
Uma Sundari *v.* Nittyanund Shaha Rai	8 C. L. R., 157	4944
Uma Sundari Dasi *v.* Birbul Mandal	3 B. L. R., A. C., 183	5315
——— *v.* Dwarka Nath Roy	2 B. L. R., A. C., 284: 11 W. R., 72.	3164, 3368
——— *v.* Ramji Haldar	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 242: 9 C. L. R., 13.	3561, 4279

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Uma Sundari Dasi *v.* Umacharan Sadkhan	6 B. L. R., Ap., 117	3912
Umasundari Debi. In the matter of the petition of	5 B. L. R., Ap., 29	5116, 5939
Uma Sunduri Dabee *v.* Sourobinee Dabee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 288 : 9 C. L. R., 83.	2145, 2340
Uma Sunker Moitro *v.* Kali Komul Mozumdar	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 256 : 7 C. L. R., 145.	2141, 2289
Uma Sunkur Sircar *v.* Tarini Chunder Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 571 : 11 C. L. R., 366.	6
Umatara Debia *v.* Krishna Kamini Dasi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 102	5005
Umatul Fatima *v.* Azhur Ali	9 B. L. R., Ap., 23, note : 15 W. R., 356.	1207, 2696
Umbica Churn Banerjee *v.* Digumburee Dabee	12 W. R., 429	4478
Umbica Churn Bhuttacharjee *v.* Prosonno Coomar Sen	9 C. L. R., 365	5061
Umbica Churn Dey *v.* Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., 596	1756
Umbica Churn Pottro *v.* Boidonath Pottro	1 W. R., 82	2931
Umbica Nundun Biswas. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 434 : 1 C. L. R., 561.	2663
Umbica Prosad Tewary *v.* Ram Sahay Lall	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 898 : 10 C. L. R., 505.	2163
Umbica Proshad. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 268	4722
Umbica Sunduri Chowdrain *v.* Ajitulla Mondul	8 C. L. R., 148	1313
Umbicka Churn Goopta *v.* Madhub Ghosal	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 870 : 4 C. L. R., 55.	4467
Umbika Churn Chuckerbutty *v.* Dwarka Nath Ghose	8 W. R., 506	803, 5433
Umbika Churn Dutt *v.* Nadir Hossein	11 W. R., 133	5790
Umbika Churn Koondoo *v.* Dhurmo Doss Koondoo	11 W. R., 129	4820
Umbika Churn Mundle *v.* Ramdhun Mohurir	11 W. R., 35	4151, 4890
Umbika Churn Shet *v.* Bhuggobutty Churn Shet	3 W. R., 173	3368
Umbika Dassia *v.* Chirunjeel Pershad Bose	13 W. R., 81	1480
Umbika Debia *v.* Pranhuree Doss	13 W. R., F. B., 1	5323
Umda Khanum. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 72	4732
Umdutoonissa *v.* Asloo	20 W. R., 297	26, 3695
Umed Ali *v.* Saffihan	3 B. L. R., A. C., 175	3656
——— *v.* Salima Bibi	I. L. R., 6 All., 383	4917
Umedchand Hukamchand *v.* Bulakidas Lalchand	5 Bom., O. C., 16	3300
Umed Dholchand *v.* Pir Saheb Jiva Miya	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 134	4889, 5657
Umed Kika *v.* Nagindas Narotamdas	7 Bom., O. C., 122	852, 2438, 5804
Umedmal Motiram *v.* Dava Bin Dhondiba	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 547	1822, 4777, 6123
Umed Ram *v.* Daulat Ram	I. L. R., 5 All., 564	5690
Umed Sangji *v.* Collector of Surat	7 Bom., A. C., 50	1562
Umer Ali *v.* Rumzan Ali	23 W. R., 347	4896
——— *v.* Safer Ali	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 334	965
Umes Chandra *v.* Shastidhar Mookerjee	3 B. L. R., Ap., 99	3815
Umes Chandra Roy *v.* Nabin Chandra Mazumdar	5 B. L. R., 327, note	5050
Umesh Chandra Mookerjee *v.* Sageman	5 B. L. R., 633, note	1814, 3202
Umesh Chunder Banerjee *v.* Mohini Mohun Dass	9 C. L. R., 301	1826
Umesh Chunder Roy *v.* Raj Bullub Sen	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 279 : 10 C. L. R., 204.	3269
Umiashankar Lakhmiram *v.* Chotalal Vajeram	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 19	3118, 3486
Umirto Lall Bose. In the matter of	18 W. R., 11, note	5451
Umjad Ally Khan *v.* Mohumdee Begum	10 W. R., P. C., 25 : 11 Moore's I. A., 517.	3674, 4251
Ummer Kutti *v.* Abdul Kadar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 165	3221
Umrai *v.* Ram Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 384	5688

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Umrao Begam v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	I. L. R., 1 All., 547	5200
——— v. ———	I. L. R., 2 All., 451	5200
Umrao Lal v. Behari Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 297	4999
Umrao Singh v. Fakir Chand. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 8 All., 749	3622
Umrao Thakur v. Gakul Mandal	8 B. L. R., Ap., 34: 16 W. R., 7.	5130
Umrit Chowdhry v. Hyder Ali	W. R., 1864, Act X, 63	1181
Umrith Nath Chowdhry v. Gouree Nath Chowdhry	15 W. R., P. C., 10: 13 Moore's I. A., 542.	2360
Umrithnauth Chowdhry v. Chunder Kishore Singh	21 W. R., 31	1918, 1927
——— v. Koonj Behary Singh	W. R., F. B., 34	523, 2980, 3025
Umrit Koweree v. Kidarnath Ghose	3 Agra, 182	2425
Umrit Lall Banerjee v. Bhoobun Mohinee Dossee	7 W. R., 24	537
Umr-un-nissa v. Muhammad Yar Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 24	3424
Uncovenanted Service Bank. In the matter of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 829: 3 C. L. R., 597.	5841
——— v. Duffin	3 N. W., 99	588
——— v. Khettermohun Ghose	6 N. W., 88	3310
——— v. Marshall	6 N. W., 306	3203
——— v. Palmer	2 N. W., 456	3006
Undhoob Narain v. Chutterdharee Singh	9 W. R., 480	3283, 3351
Unicha Kandyib Kunhi Kutti Nair v. Valia Pidigail Kunhamed Kutti Maraccar.	4 Mad., 359	3212, 6098
Unidi Rajaha Raje Venkatapurumal Rauze v. Pemmasamy Venkatadry Naidoo.	4 W. R., P. C., 121: 7 Moore's I. A., 128.	1785, 2064
Unjoor Singh v. Fuzboonnessa	2 Hay, 155	1173
Unkar Das v. Narain	I. L. R., 4 All., 24	3241
Unmole Singh v. Ram Bhunjun Misser	3 Agra, 407	4853
Unnian v. Rama	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 415	3765
Unniraman v. Chathan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 451	5953
Unnocool Chunder Chowdhry v. Hurry Nath Koondoo	2 C. L. R., 334	1895
Unnoda Churn Dass Biswas v. Mothura Nath Dass Biswas.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 860: 4 C. L. R., 6.	3360, 5315
Unnoda Gobind Chowdhry v. Surnomoyee	W. R., F. B., 163	3192
Unnoda Persad Roy v. Erskine	12 B. L. R., 370: 21 W. R., 68	1175
——— v. Koorpan Ally	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 518: 1 C. L. R., 408.	3470, 3528
Unnodapersaud Mookerjee v. Kristo Coomar Moitro	15 B. L. R., 60, note: 19 W. R., 5.	3129, 3337
Unnoda Pershad Banerjee v. Chunder Sekhur Deb	7 W. R., 394	3066, 4589
Unnoda Pershad Mookerjee v. Soorendronath Pal Chowdhry.	20 W. R., 105	5077
Unnoda Proshad Dutt v. Shama Soonduree	24 W. R., Cr., 20	4362
Unnoda Soonduree Dossee v. Oodhub Nath Roy	11 W. R., 125	2696
Unnopoorna v. Hurbullubh Singh	8 W. R., 465	6018
Unnopoorna Dassea v. Gunganarain Paul	2 W. R., 296	2236
Unnopoorna Dassee v. Nufur Poddar	21 W. R., 148	1833
Unnopoorna Debia v. Dossee	14 W. R., 254	34
——— v. Ram Lochun Ghose	5 W. R., 227	10
Unnopoorna Dossee v. Radha Mohun Pattro	19 W. R., 95	5176, 5195
Unnopoorna Dossia v. Ooma Churn Doss	18 W. R., 55	5198
Ununtoram Chatterjee v. Protab Chunder Shiromonee	16 W. R., 231	2570
Ununtoram Doss v. Ram Lochun Aitch	14 W. R., O. C., 15	5797
Ununt Ram Hazrah v. Kuralee Pershad Mistree	23 W. R., 441	3826

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Upendra Krishna Deb v. Nobin Krishna Bose	3 B. L. R., O. C., 113 : 17 W. R., 370, note.	227, 312 2268
Upendra Lal Mukhopadhya v. Collector of Rajshahye	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 113	3352
Upendra Lal Roy v. Prasannamayi	1 B. L. R., A. C., 221	2129
Upendra Mohun Tagore v. Takalia Bepari	2 B. L. R., A. C., 345	3531
——— v. Thanda Dasi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 349	2317, 3000 3011
Upendra Narain Myti v. Gopee Nath Bera	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 817 : 12 C. L. R., 356.	2456, 2479
Upendra Nath Dhal v. Soudamini Dasi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 535	3742
Upendro Lall Bose. In the matter of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 675 : 7 C. L. R., 413.	4407
Uporoop Tewary v. Lalla Bandhjee Suhay	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 749 : 8 C. L. R., 192.	6398
Uppalapati Ganakaya Garu v. Balavi Ramudu	2 Mad., 475	5088, 5698
Upper Assam Tea Company v. Thopoor	4 B. L. R., Ap., 1	99
Uppu Lakkshmi Bhayamma Garu v. Purvis	2 Mad., 167	2876
Uramkumarath Kannan Nayar v. Uramkumarath Tenju Nayar.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 1	3757, 5044
Urdhub Churn Debta v. Sookdeb Debta	24 W. R., 45	815
Urjoon Dutt Bonick v. Ram Nath Kurmokar	21 W. R., 128	3044
Urjoon Sahoy. In the matter of the petition of	20 W. R., 90	226
——— v. Nilmonee Singh Deo		
Urjun Singh v. Ghunsiam Singh	5 Moore's I. A., 169	2230
Urna Churn Chetty v. Gordon	1 Hyde, 212	5501
Urquhart v. Gilbert	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 224	5914
——— v. Nundeeput Mahaputtur	12 W. R., 492	5461
Ushrufoonnessa Begum v. Gridharee Lall	19 W. R., 118	1507, 1687
Usmut Koowar v. Tayler	2 W. R., 307	3791, 4439
Ussud Ali Khan v. Olfut Beebee	3 Agra, 237	3075
Usteorun v. Mohun Lal	21 W. R., 333	316, 1779
Usudoollah v. Imaman	5 W. R., P. C., 26 : 1 Moore's I. A., 19.	1722, 6004
Uthur Hossein v. Ramphal Roy	20 W. R., 75	6109
Utshab Narayan Chowdhry v. Chittra Raka Gupta	8 B. L. R., Ap., 92	4759, 5018
Uttam Chunder Chatterjee v. Ram Chunder Chatterjee	13 W. R., Cr., 72	4100
Uttamram Manikram v. Girdharlal Motiram	6 Bom., A. C., 45	3530
Uttamram Maniklal v. Damodhardas Maniklal	9 Bom., 39	1541
Uttom Chunder Gangooly v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee	22 W. R., Cr., 76	6010
Uttom Koondoo. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 634 : 10 C. L. R., 466.	2757
Uzhar Ali v. Ultaf Fatima	13 Moore's I. A., 232	476

V

Vadake Vatil Ismal v. Odakol Beyakutti Umah	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 347	3646
Vadali Ramakristnama v. Manda Appaiya	2 Mad., 407	2084, 4154
Vadamalai Thiruvana Tevar v. Caruppen Servai	4 Mad., 401	5703
Vadju v. Vadju	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 22	3977
Vadrevu Ranganaya Kamma v. Vadrevu Bulli Ramaiya	5 C. L. R., 439	5505
Vaimbilee v. Empress	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 826	718, 1009
Vairicharla Surya Narayana v. Nadiminti Bhagavat Patanjali Shastri.	3 Mad., 120	3383, 4406 5033
Vaithelinga v. Saminada	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 44	1112
Vakatchand Lakhmichand v. Advocate General	8 Bom., O. C., 96	4276
Vakaty Ramareddy v. Duvvuru Ayappareddy	7 Mad., 234	4786

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Vaku valad Ram Patil *v.* Pand valad Malji Patil	6 Bom., A. C., 243	1098
Valaji Isaji *v.* Thomas	1 L. R., 1 Bom., 190	4782
Valamaramayyan *v.* Virappa Kandian	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 145	3587
Valampudducherri Padmanabhan *v.* Chowakaren Pudiapurayil Kunhi Kolendan.	5 Mad., 320	1813
Valayet Hossein *v.* Maniran	5 C. L. R., 91	3680
Valiappa Ravuthan *v.* Mahommed Khasim	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 166	1774
Valia Tamburatti *v.* Vira Rayan	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 228	3220, 3235
Valimia Aliimia *v.* Gulam Kadar Mohidin	6 Bom., A. C., 25	3677
Valiya Kesava Vadhyar *v.* Suppen Nair	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 308	1229
Vallabh Bhula *v.* Rama	9 Bom., 65	4987
Vallabhdas Hirachand *v.* Gokaldas Tejiram	3 Bom., A. C., 89	2075
Valla bin Hataji *v.* Sidoji bin Kondaji	5 Bom., A. C., 87	1813
Vallabhram Jagjivan *v.* Woodhouse	1 Bom., 144	4051
Vallabhram Shibnarayan *v.* Bai Hariganga	4 Bom., A. C., 135	2336
Vallinayagam Pillai *v.* Pachobe	1 Mad., 326	2529, 2531
Valoo Chetty *v.* Sooryah Chetty	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 252: L. R., 4 I. A., 109.	2538, 4655
Valu *v.* Ganga	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 84	2427
Valu Mudali *v.* Sowerby	2 Mad., 246	1578, 6238
Vamanaji Konera. In the matter of	1 Bom., 136	4442
Vaman Janardan Joshi *v.* Collector of Thana	6 Bom., A. C., 191	5502
Vaman Shripad *v.* Maki	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 424	3064
Vana Jagannathji *v.* Hata Dipaji	11 Bom., 46	1364
Van Ingen *v.* Dhunna Lall Lallah	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 108	4683
Vanneri Purushottaman Nambudri *v.* Patanattil Kanju Menavan.	2 Mad., 382	3424
Varada Chetty *v.* Vaiyapury Mudali	4 Mad., 151	3497
Varadaraja *v.* Venkatachala Pillai	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 345	5177, 5186
Varadarajulu Nayudu. Ex parte	1 Mad., 66	3781
Varadha Reddi *v.* Venkata Subba Reddi	5 Mad., 213	5730
Varadiperumal Udaiyan *v.* Ardanari Udaiyan	1 Mad., 412	2329
Varajlal Shivlal *v.* Dalsukh Varajlal	12 Bom., 196	995
Varanakot Narayanan Namburi *v.* Varanakot Narayanan Namburi.	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 328	3758, 5048
Varathachari *v.* Balu Naicken	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 255	3590
Vardalaca Charri. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 15	2667
Vardon Seth Sam *v.* Luckpathy Royjee Lallah	Marsh., 461: 9 Moore's I. A., 303.	6133
——— *v.* ———	9 Moore's I. A., 303	1529, 1577, 4154
Varikara Vadaka Vittil Valia Parvatthi *v.* Varikara Vadaka Vittil Kamaran Nayar.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 341	3762
Varjivan Rangji *v.* Aji Daji	1 Bom., 165	2777
——— *v.* Ghelji Gokaldas	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 563	2196
Varma Valia *v.* Kottayath Kiyaki Kovilagath Revi Varma Mootha Rajah.	7 Mad., 210	3753
Vasanji Haribhai *v.* Lallu Akhu	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 285	321, 4392, 5592
Vassareddy Lutchmeputty Naidoo In re	5 Moore's I. A., 300	4658
Vasudev. *v.* Vamanji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 80	2839
Vasudeva *v.* Chinnasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 584	3151, 5955
Vasudeva Bhallu *v.* Narasamma	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 6	1829, 4163
Vasudevan *v.* Keshavan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 309	3767
Vasudev Anant *v.* Ramkrishna	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 529	70, 607
Vasudeva Patrudu *v.* Sanyasiraz Peddabaliyara Simhulu	3 Mad., 1	2992
Vasudeva Shanbhaga *v.* Kuleadi Narnapai	7 Mad., 290	4033

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Vasudeva Shanbog *v.* Naraina Pai	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 356	4115
Vasudev Balkrishna *v.* Salubai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 227	4288
Vasudev Bhat *v.* Narayan Daji Damle	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 131	2261
——— *v.* Venkatesh Sanbhav	10 Bom., 139	1084, 2395
Vasudev Daji *v.* Babaji Ranu	8 Bom., A. C., 175	1682
Vasudev Hari *v.* Tatia Narayan	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 387	6143
Vasudev Moreshvar Gunpule *v.* Rama Babaji Dange	11 Bom., 149	4765
Vasudev Pandit *v.* Collector of Puna	10 Bom., A. C., 471	70
——— *v.* Narayan Joshi	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 15	1521
Vasudev Sadashiv Modak *v.* Collector of Ratnagiri	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 99 : L. R., 4 I. A., 119.	4390
Vasudev Vishnu *v.* Narayan Jagannath	9 Bom., 289	3861
Vasudev Vishnu Dikshit *v.* Narayan Jagannath Dikshit	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 642, note	3859
Vasudev Vithal Samant *v.* Ramchandra Samant	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 129	2861
Vathiar. In the matter of the petition of	7 Mad., 121	5905
Vato Koer *v.* Rowshun Singh	8 W. R., 82	2445
Vaughan *v.* Heseltine	I. L. R., 1 All., 753	3081, 3889
——— *v.* Lallchand Ghose	15 W. R., 281	5707
Vayalil Pudia Madathemmil Moidin Kuttiayissa *v.* Udaya Varma Valia Rajah.	2 Mad., 315	3763
Vayangara Vadaka Vittil Manja *v.* Pariyangot Padingara Kuruppath Kadugochen Nayar.	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 89	1147
Vayidinada *v.* Appu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 44	2128, 2134
Vaythenatha Sastrial *v.* Sami Pandither	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 116	3587, 3593
Vedanta *v.* Kanniyappa	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 14	5988
Vedanta Chariar *v.* Ayyasami Mudali	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 332	3590
Vedanta Desikacharyulu *v.* Perindevamma	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 249	4342
Vedavalli *v.* Narayana	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 19	2364
Veerapah Chetty. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 37 : 14 W. R., 405.	5473
Velaet Ali Khan *v.* Azmun	11 W. R., 513	4161
——— *v.* Matadeen	10 W. R., 402	297
——— *v.* Matadeen Ram	13 W. R., 3	1365
Velaety Begum *v.* Rughonath Persad	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 747 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 263 : 8 W. R., 147.	274
Velayet Hossein *v.* Wulee Ahmed	23 W. R., 207	818
Velayuthan *v.* Laksmana	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 506	1603
Veliet Doss *v.* Bunarussee Roy	W. R., 1864, 262	2573
Vellai Mira Ravuttan *v.* Mira Moidin Ravuttan ——— *v.* Varissi Mira Ravuttan	2 Mad., 414	3696
Vellanki Venkata Krishna Rao *v.* Venkata Rama Lakshmi.	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 174 : L. R., 4 I. A., 1 : 26 W. R., 21.	2122
Vellaya *v.* Jaganatha	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 307	3518, 3521 5128
Vellayan Chetty *v.* Aiyan *alias* Thundavamurty Chetty	4 Mad., 374	1677
Vellayan Chetti *v.* Tiravakone	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 76	3586
Vellaya Padyachy *v.* Moorthy Padyachy	4 Mad., 174	4809
Velliappen Chetty *v.* Nootoo Thevan	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 11	3350
Velli Periya Mira Ravuthan *v.* Moidin Padsha Ravuthan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 332	3596, 5955
Velliyammal *v.* Kathk Chetti	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 61	2171, 2404
Vembakum Somayagee Janakee Ammal *v.* Mooneswamy Chetty.	4 Mad., 176	402, 2211
Vencama Shetty *v.* Pamoo Shetty	5 Mad., 323	5121
Vencata Chella Chetty *v.* Parvatham	8 Mad., 134	2318
Vencata Chella Kandian *v.* Panjanadien	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 213	4482
Vencata Chella Mudali *v.* Sashagherry Rau	7 Mad., 283	3134, 3306

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Venoata Niladry Row v. Enoogoonty Soorlah	5 W. R., P. C., 79	4653
——— v. Vutchavoy Vencatapatty Raj	5 W. R., P. C., 80	4211
Vencataramanier v. Manche Reddy	7 Mad., 298	3134
Vencataswara Naicker v. Alagoomoohoo Servacaren	4 W. R., P. C., 73: 8 Moore's I. A., 327.	1848, 3579
Vencataswara Yelliappah Naiker v. Alagoo Mootoo Servagaren.	8 Moore's I. A., 327: 4 W. R., P. C., 73.	1848, 3579
Vencatavarada Iyengar v. Vencata Luchmamal	23 W. R., 91	2722
Vengama Naikar v. Raghava Chary	2 Mad., 291	3779
Vengamuthu v. Pandaveswara Gurukal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 151	2839
Vengappayan v. Rajapayan	1 Mad., 208	627
Vengideswara Putter v. Chatu Aches	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 224	1212, 2727
Venithithan Chetty v. Moothiroolandi Chetty	6 Mad., 4	4757
Venkaji Lakshman Deshpande v. Yamunabai	7 Bom., A. C., 114	5692
Venkapa v. Chenbasapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 21	3244, 3266
Venkappa Chetti v. Akku	7 Mad., 219	3428
Venkata v. Kannam	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 184	3946
——— v. Muttusami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 314	1542
——— v. Rama	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 249	5265
——— v. Subhadra	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 548	2111, 2118 2129
Venkata Balakrishna Chetti v. Vijiaragunadha Valaji Krishna Gopaler.	4 Mad., 32	3175, 5732
Venkatabala Krishna Chettiyar v. Kaliyana Ramaiyangar	5 Mad., 48	109
Venkatachala v. Appathorai	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 134	3252
——— v. South Indian Railway Company	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 208	4707
Venkatachalam v. Thimma Naikan	5 Mad., 64	5702
Venkatachalam Chetti v. Andiappan Ambalam	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 232	6043
Venkatachala Reddiar v. Narayana Reddy	4 Mad., 393	5697
Venkatachari v. Anantachari	1 Mad., 69	6177
Venkatachella Chetti v. Parvatammal	2 Mad., 418	5769
Venkatachella Maniyakarer v. Thathammal	4 Mad., 460	1579, 2262
Venkatachellam Chetti v. Audian	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 358	4790
——— v. Kadumthusi	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 145	3589
Venkatachellam Pillay v. Tirumala Chary	2 Mad., 289	3991
Venkata Chella Pillay v. Chinnaya Mudaliar	5 Mad., 166	2393
Venkatachellasami Chettiar v. Kristnasawmy Iyer	8 Mad., 1	1058
Venkatagiri v. Raghava	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 142	4816
Venkatagiri Raja v. Mahommed Rahimtulla Sahib	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 13	223
——— v. Pitchana	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 27	3592
Venkatagopal v. Rangappa	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 365	3594
Venkatakrishna Charya v. Coelho	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 170	431
Venkata Krishnayyar v. Kaveri Shettati	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 201	2385
Venkata Kristnaiya v. Venkatachalaiyar	5 Mad., 1	3568
Venkatalaksmamma v. Narasayya	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 545	2123
Venkata Mahipati Gangadhara Rama Rau v. Buchi Sitayya.	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 219	5028
Venkatamma v. Chengalrayappa	I. L., R., 7 Mad., 555	666
Venkatammal v. Andyappa Chetti	6 Mad., 130	2415, 2458
Venkatanarasammah v. Ramiah	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 108	3928
Venkatanarasayya v. Achemma	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 3	4337
Venkata Narasiah v. Subbamma	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 178	3253
Venkata Narasimha Appa Row v. Court of Wards	L. R., 7 I. A., 38: 6 C. L. R., 153	5505
——— v. ———	L. R., 13 I. A., 155: I. L. R., 10 Mad., 73.	4657
——— v. Narayya Appa Row	L. R., 7 I. A., 38: 6 C. L. R., 153.	5505

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Venkata Narasimha Appa Row *v.* Venkatakristnia	5 Mad., 410	1935
Venkatanarayana *v.* Subbarayudu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 214	3580
Venkata Narsammah *v.* Ramiah	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 108	4827
Venkatanaru *v.* Akkamma	3 Mad., 139	3243
Venkatapathi *v.* Subramanya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 457	3261, 3329
Venkatappah *v.* Papammah	5 Mad., 132	1044, 4717, 6235, 6258
Venkata Ramalakshmi Garu *v.* Gopala Appa Row	L. R., 13 I. A., 155: I. L. R., 10 Mad., 73.	4657
Venkataraman *v.* Mahalingayyan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 508	5430, 5969
Venkataramana Hodai *v.* Bapanna Pai	7 Mad., 103	1400, 1911
Venkatarama Naik *v.* Chinnathambu Reddi	7 Mad., 1	4819
Venkataramanaiya *v.* Kuppi	3 Mad., 302	242
Venkataramanayya *v.* Srinivasa Rau	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 182	3911
Venkataramanier *v.* Ananda Chetty	5 Mad., 120	5196
Venkata Ramanjulu Nayudu *v.* Ramachandra Nayudu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 150	3590
Venkataramanna *v.* Chavela Atchiyamma	6 Mad., 127	1491, 4437
Venkata Rama Rao *v.* Venkata Suriya Rao	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 333: 8 C. L. R., 304.	2494, 2510
Venkata Rama Rau *v.* Venkata Suriya Rau	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 281	2510
Venkataramayyan *v.* Dikshatar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 358	2404
Venkata Rao *v.* Court of Wards	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 128	5505
Venkataratnamma *v.* Ramanujasami	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 312	2934
Venkatarayalu *v.* Narasimha	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 174	3484
Venkatarayar *v.* Srinivasa Ayyangar	7 Mad., 32	2257
Venkata Reddi *v.* Parvati Ammal	1 Mad., 460	3975
——— *v.* Venkataramaiya	1 Mad., 419	916
Venkata Reddy *v.* Lister	7 Mad., 342	4574
Venkatasami *v.* Queen	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 102	1025
Venkatasami Naik. In the matter of the petition of	4 Mad., 101	4795, 4796
——— *v.* Kuppaiyan	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 354	2402
——— *v.* Situpati Ambalam	7 Mad., 359	3591
Venkatasamy Naick *v.* Muthuvijia Ragunada Rani Kathama Natchiar *alias* Kalandapuri Natchiar.	5 Mad., 227	3077
Venkatasa Naidu *v.* Sadágopasamy Iyer	4 Mad., 404	109
Venkatasa Naiker *v.* Srinivassa Chariyar	4 Mad., 410	114, 1387, 6045
Venkatasubbaramayya *v.* Surayya	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 283	3353
Venkata Subba Pattar *v.* Giri Ammal	2 Mad., 113	3156
Venkata Subba Row *v.* Sesha Reddy	4 Mad., 243	3589
Venkata Subia *v.* Sivaramappa	4 Mad., 331	1898, 5829
Venkatasvami Nayakkan *v.* Subba Rau	2 Mad., 1	1741, 3427, 6084
Venkata Viraragavayyangar *v.* Krishnasami Ayyangar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 344	2801, 3329, 4889
Venkatesa *v.* Sengoda	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 117	1774
Venkatesaiya *v.* Venkatacharlu	3 Mad., 28	2126
Venkatesa Nayadu *v.* Shagatopa Shri Shagatopa Swami	7 Mad., 77	108
Venkatesh Narayan Pai *v.* Krishnaji Arjun	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 160	3036, 3038
Venkateshwara *v.* Kesava Shetti	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 187	3907
Venkateswara Iyan *v.* Shekhari Varma	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 384: L. R., 8 I. A., 143.	3755, 4655
Venkatramana *v.* Thir Singh	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 280	104
Venkatramanna *v.* Brammauna Sastrulu	4 Mad., 345	2150
Venkatramaya *v.* Viraya	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 4	5667
Venkatrav Bapu *v.* Bijesing Vithalsing	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 108	3206, 3476
Venkatrayudu *v.* Nagadu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 450	3153

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Venkatrayudu *v.* Papi	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 182	4810
Venkat Shrinivas *v.* Armstrong	3 Bom., A. C., 47	2783
Venkayya *v.* Subbarayudu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 283	3580
Venkayyar *v.* Venkatasubbayyar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 53	4785
Venkittarama Pattar *v.* Keshava Menon	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 349	1080
Venkopadhyaya *v.* Kavari Hengusu	2 Mad., 36	2414, 3233
Venu *v.* Coorya Narayan	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 376	3768
Verasami Nayadu *v.* Subba Rau	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 54	112
Verasut Hossein *v.* Jughdharee Sing	13 W. R., 59	4033
Vericherla Surya Narayana Raju *v.* Satracherla Jaganada Raju.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 253	4578
Vernede *v.* Abdul Giri Chinna Swami	2 Mad., 427	102
Verner *v.* Abdool Ali	23 W. R., 73, 239	2947, 2955
Vicar-General of the Portuguese Mission in Bengal	17 W. R., 188	1197
Vice-President of the Municipal Commission, Cuddalore, *v.* Nelson.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 129	3600
Vidyaram *v.* Chandra Shekharram	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 163	743, 1864
Vijaya *v.* Sripathi	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 94	2414
Vijaya Raganadha Bodha Gooroo Sawmy Periya Oodya Taver *v.* Katama Natchiar (Rajah of Shivagunga).	11 Moore's I. A., 50	4062, 4988
Vijaya Raganadha Bodha Gooroo Swamy Perria Woodia Taver *v.* Anga Mootoo Natchiar.	6 W. R., P. C., 50: 3 Moore's I. A., 278.	3583
Vijaya Ragava *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 466	3599
Vijayasami *v.* Periasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 242	3418
Vijiarangam *v.* Lakshuman	8 Bom., O. C., 244	2118, 2439 2491
Vijkor *v.* Jijibhai Vaji	9 Bom., 310	3860
Vilaetee Khanum *v.* Meher Ali	24 W. R., Cr., 4	6026
Villayet Ali Khan *v.* Ameenooddeen Ahmed	23 W. R., 19	4615
Vinayak Anandrav *v.* Lakshmibai	1 Bom., 117	2310
Vinayak Divakar *v.* Bai Itcha	3 Bom., A. C., 36	2783
Vinayak Govind *v.* Babaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 230	3133, 3306 3307
Vinayak Joshi *v.* Janardan Joshi	7 Bom., A. C., 23	6225
Vinayak K. Dhavle *v.* Bhau B. Samvat	4 Bom., A. C., 39	4338
Vinayak Narayan Jog *v.* Govindrav Chintaman Jog	6 Bom., A. C., 224	2531, 2536
Vinayak Raghunath *v.* Great Indian Peninsula Railway Co.	7 Bom., O. C., 113	1098, 1382 5253
Vinayakrav Ganpatrav *v.* Ransordas Pranjivandas	7 Bom., A. C., 90	1033
Vinayak Sadashiv Voze *v.* Raghi	4 Bom., A. C., 202	1075, 2711
Vinayak Vasudev *v.* Ritchie, Steuart, & Co.	4 Bom., O. C., 139	1815, 5641
Virabhadra Pillai *v.* Hari Rama Pillai	3 Mad., 38	2943, 6135
Virabhadra Rau *v.* Ramaiya *alias* Babpautula	4 Mad., 148	3492
Virabuddra Gaud. Ex parte	1 Mad., 199	1853
Virada Pratapa Raghunada Deo *v.* Brozo Kishoro Patta Deo.	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 69: 25 W. R., 291: L. R., 3 I. A., 154.	2121
Viramma *v.* Narayya	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 283	3743
Virammal *v.* Kasturi Rungayyangar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 381	4790
Virankutti *v.* Chiyamu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 557	1208
Viranna *v.* Nagayyah	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 6	3768
Vira Pillay *v.* Muruga Muttayan	2 Mad., 340	3157
Virappa Nayak *v.* Kathana Talavachi	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 428	5302
Viraragava *v.* Ramalinga	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 148	2127
——— *v.* Ramudu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 170	422
Viraragava Ayyangar *v.* Varada Ayyangar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 123	5424

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Columns of Digest.
Viraragavamma v. Samudrala	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 208	2406
Viraraghava v. Subbakka	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 397	822
Viraraghava Ayyangar v. Venkata Charyar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 217	814
Viraramuthi Udayan v. Singaravelu	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 306	2417
Vira Rayen v. Valia Rani (Rani of Calicut)	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 141	3753
Virasami v. Athi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 595	3478
Virasami Mudali v. Queen	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 144	4354
——— v. Ramasami Mudali	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 87	5802
Virasami Nayudu v. Arunachella Chetti	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 200	4274
Virasamy Mudali v. Manommany Ammal	4 Mad., 32	3175, 3480 3510, 5732
Virasangappa v. Rudrappa	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 440	2441
Virasvami Chetti v. Appasvami Chetti	1 Mad., 375	2434, 2212 2440, 5206
Virasvami Gramini v. Ayyasvami Gramini	1 Mad., 471	2393, 4858 5384
Virasvami Nayak v. Sayambabay Sahiba	2 Mad., 6	3288
Virdachala Nattan v. Ramasavami Nayakan	1 Mad., 341	2608, 5798
Viresa v. Tatayya	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 467	1995
Virgas. In the goods of	1 Bom., 103	3085
Virjivandas Madhabdas v. Mahomed Ali Khan	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 208	1509, 4265
Virsingappa bin Baslingappa v. Sadashivappa Appa Golkhandi.	7 Bom., A. C., 74	5433, 5453
Visalatchi Ammal v. Annasamy Sastry	5 Mad., 150	2421, 2430
——— v. Sivasankara Taker	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 202	794
Visalatchmi Ammal v. Subbu Pillai	6 Mad., 270	2263
Vishnubhat v. Babaji	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 345, note	3057
Vishnu Bhau Joshi v. Ravji Bhau Joshi	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 18	179
Vishnucharya v. Ram Chandra	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 253	1036, 4597
Vishnu Dikshit v. Narsinghrav	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 584	5892
Vishnu Keshav Sathe. In re application of	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 58	5857
Vishnu Shambhog v. Manjamma	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 108	1460, 1903 2427, 2527
Vishnu Trimbak v. Tatia *alias* Vasudev Pant	1 Bom., 22	5110
Vishvanath v. Mahadaji	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 147	2595
Vishvanath Atmanaram v. Bapu Narayan	1 Bom., 262	1815, 5796
Vishvanath Hari. In the matter of the petition of	7 Bom., A. C., 71	182
Vishvanathrav Kachesvar v. Narayan bin Gopal Khapo	9 Bom., 424	3285
Vissappa v. Ramajogi	2 Mad., 341	2594, 2850 5591, 5876
Visvanath Gungadhur v. Krishnaji Gunesh	3 Bom., A. C., 69	2299
Visvanath Maheshvar v. Virchand Panachand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 16	5418
Viswambhara Rajendra Devu Garu v. Saradhi Charana Samantaraya Garu.	3 Mad., 111	1361, 3275
Vithal *alias* Gopal Gonesh Bivalkar. Ex parte	4 Bom., A. C., 148	6083
Vithal Ambaram v. Dayabhai Murlidhar	10 Bom., 54	5662
Vithaldas Manickdas v. Jeshubai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 219	2308
Vithaldas Narottamdas v. Karsandas Keshavdas	5 Bom., O. C., 76	4259
Vithal Janardan v. Vithojirav Putlajirav	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 585	3459
Vithal Krishna v. Balkrishna Janardan	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 610	5970, 6078
Vithal Krishna Joshi v. Anant Ramchandra	11 Bom., 6	5264
Vithal Mahadev v. Daud valad Mahomed Husen	6 Bom., A. C., 90	1846, 3638 4027
Vithal Nilkanth Pinjale v. Vishvanrav bin Bapujirav	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 497	3966
Vithal Ramchandra v Gangaram Vithoji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 180	4051
Vithalrav Eshwantrav. Ex parte	4 Bom., A. C., 65	4387

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Vithal Vishvanath Prabhu *v.* Ram Chandra Sadashiv Kirkire.	7 Bom., A. C., 149	3117, 3165
Vithoba Bava *v.* Hariba Bava	6 Bom., A. C., 54	2453
Vithoba bin Chabu *v.* Gangaram bin Biramji	12 Bom., 180	3442
Vithoba bin Keshavshet *v.* Shabajirav	5 Bom., A. C., 122	5674
Vithoba Malhari *v.* Corfield	3 Bom., Ap., 1	772, 2784
Vithoba valad Ukba *v.* Chotalal Tukaram	7 Bom., A. C., 116	2214
Vithu *v.* Narayan Dabhalkar	5 Bom., A. C., 30	312, 4881
Vithu bin Manku *v.* Amrita bin Joti	7 Bom., A. C., 72	2849
Vitla Butten *v.* Yamenamma	8 Mad., 6	2394, 2532
Vizagapatam Municipality *v.* Walker	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 269	3602
Viziaramarazu *v.* Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 525: L. R., 12 I. A., 120.	3171
Viziamamarazu Virabahu Narendra Rau *v.* Secretary of State for India.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 91	3166
Vonlintzgy *v.* Narayan Sing	6 I. L. R., Ap., 64	4071
Vrandavandas Ramdas *v.* Yamunabai	12 Bom., 229	2265, 2266
Vrijavalabh Das Khushal Das *v.* Collector of Ahmedabad.	10 Bom., 190	613
Vurella Gangaia. Ex parte	2 Mad., 305	1911
Vurmah Valia *v.* Ravi Vurmah	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 235: L. R., 4 I. A., 76.	3754
Vyakunta Bapuji *v.* Government of Bombay	12 Bom., Ap., 1	2858, 2958 2963, 3796
Vyankatrav Anandrav Nimbalkar *v.* Jayavantrav bin Malharrav Ranadive.	4 Bom., A. C., 191	2130, 2138
Vyankatraya *v.* Shivrambhat	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 256	3078
Vyasrav Balaji *v.* Subhaji Narayan	5 Bom., A. C., 173	5090
Vydianadayyan *v.* Sitaramayyan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 52	4275
Vydianathyyan *v.* Minakshi Ammal	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 5	2520
Vydinatha *v.* Subramanya	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 235	6084
Vyricherla Raz Bahadoor *v.* Nadminti Bagavat Sastri	25 W. R., 3	3578
Vythelingum *v.* Government	21 W. R., 199	4422
Vythelinga Mudelly *v.* Cundasawmy Mudelly	8 Mad., 21	2768, 4521 4527
Vythilinga Mudali *v.* Ravana Sundarappayyar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 167	2728
Vythilinga Muppanar *v.* Vijayathammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 43	1694, 2127
Vythilinga Pillai *v.* Thetchanamurti Pillai	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 76	3346

W

Wafeah *v.* Saheeba	8 W. R., 307	3332, 5041
Waghji Thackersey *v.* Khatao Rowji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 167	4525
Wagji Korji *v.* Tharia Topan	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 58	1039
Wahed Ali *v.* Jumayee	2 B. L. R., F. B., 73: 11 W. R., F. B., 1.	827
——— *v.* Mullick Enayet Hossein Ali	12 B. L. R., 500: 20 W. R., 31.	1924, 3523
——— *v.* Sadiq Ali	12 B. L. R., 487, note: 17 W. R., 417.	5411
Wahedoollah *v.* Golam Akbur	25 W. R., 205	644, 2594
Waheeooddeen *v.* Jhungoree	2 N. W., 16	4468
Wahid Ali *v.* Ashruff Hossain	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 732: 10 C. L. R., 529.	3670
Wahidoonnissa *v.* Roy Mohabeer Pershad Sahoo	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 128	1916
Wahidunnessa *v.* Surga Dass	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 39	1691

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Wahidunnissa *v.* Shubrattun	6 B. L. R., 54: 14 W. R., 239.	3658
Waiganikar *v.* Wadekar	5 Bom., A. C., 194	5219, 5790
Waj Bibee *v.* Azmut Ali	8 W. R., 23	3645
Wajed Ali *v.* Chundrabutty Kooeree	22 W. R., 542	2983
Wajed Hossein *v.* Abdool Kadir	13 W. R., 418	4958
——— *v.* Ahmed Rezah	17 W. R., 480	898, 4445, 5400, 6150
Wajeed Ali *v.* Abdool Ali	W. R., 1864, 121	3678, 3683, 3686
Wajibun *v.* Kadir Buksh	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 292	3205
Wajid Ali Khan *v.* Lala Hanuman Prasad	4 B. L. R., A. C., 139: 12 W. R., 484.	309, 3726, 6076
Wajid Ali Shah *v.* Dianat-ullah Beg	I. L. R., 8 All., 31	1443, 5225
Wajid Hossein *v.* Nankoo Singh	25 W. R., 311	2765
Wajoodeen Hossein *v.* Madhoo Chowdry	17 W. R., 404	3061
Wala Hiraji *v.* Hira Patel	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 518	390
Walee Mahomed *v.* Kumur Ali	7 W. R., 428	1828
Wali Alam *v.* Nasran	3 B. L. R., Ap., 104: 12 W. R., 50.	180, 6089
Wali Muhammad *v.* Turab Ali	I. L. R., 4 All., 497	1900
Waliulla *v.* Ghulam Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 535	323, 391
——— *v.* Miran Saheb	2 Bom., 301, 2nd Ed., 285	3637
Walker *v.* Atmaram Mundur	14 W. R., 478	4191
Wallace. In re	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 24	2104
——— *v.* Jefferson	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 453	2679
Wallah Allee *v.* Golam Gous	10 B. L. R., Ap., 32: 19 W. R., 215.	4172
Waller. In re	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 430	5715
Wallis *v.* Taylor	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 37	5714
Waman Ramchandra *v.* Dhondiba Krishnaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 126	1575, 4778, 4783, 4803
Wannathan Kandile Chiruthal *v.* Keyakadath Pydel Kurup.	6 Mad., 194	3755
Ward *v.* Queen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 33	2905
Warden *v.* Warden	9 B. L. R., Ap., 39	1550
Waris Ali *v.* Muhammad Ismail	I. L. R., 8 All., 552	2876
Waris Meah *v.* Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 584	2007
Warrish Ally *v.* Lalla Ram Sahaye	1 Hay, 197	4896
Waselun Hak *v.* Gowhurunnissa Bibi	1 B. L. R., S. N., 7: 10 W. R., 105.	679
Watkins *v.* Dhunnoo Baboo	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 140: 8 C. L. R., 433.	3858
——— *v.* Roheenee Bullub	10 B. L. R., Ap., 11	2651
Watson *v.* Aga Mehedee Sherazee	L. R., 1 I. A., 346	917, 3318
——— *v.* Collector of Rajshahye	3 B. L. R., P. C., 48: 12 W. R., P. C., 43: 13 Moore's I. A., 160.	519, 6223
——— *v.* Dost Mahomed Khan	2 Hay, 4	3061
——— *v.* Gobind Chunder Mozoomdar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 46	4927
——— *v.* Golab Khan	1 B. L. R., S. N., 21: 10 W. R., Cr., 28.	1257
——— *v.* Gonesh Chunder Sahoo	3 C. L. R., 240	528, 5307
——— *v.* Government	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 182: 3 W. R., 73.	3394, 6187
——— *v.* Hedger	W. R., 1864, Act X, 25	2792
——— *v.* Jonmenjoy Coondoo	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 934	4514

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Watson v. Pokhur Doss Paul	4 W. R., 2	1676
——— v. Pyari Lal Shaha	7 B. L. R., 175	3839
——— v. Rutnokant Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 19	546
——— v. Sreemunt Lal Khan	5 Moore's I. A., 447	4637, 5350
Watson & Co. v. Anjunna Dossee	10 W. R., 107	1604
——— v. Bhoonya Koonwar Narain Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 105	3800
——— v. Blechynden	1 W. R., 368	5215
——— v. Brojo Soondures Dabee	10 W. R., 395	5627
——— v. Brojosoondures Debia	16 W. R., 225	5611
——— v. ———	17 W. R., 376	5627
——— v. Bykantnath Dass	14 W. R., Cr., 80	1255
——— v. Choto Joora Mundul	Marsh, 68 : 1 Hay, 232	1005
——— v. Dhonendra Chunder Mookerjee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 6	552
——— v. Doorgamonee Dossee	21 W. R., 410	5636
——— v. Dwarkanath Sircar	Marsh., 415 : 2 Hay, 533	5268
——— v. Hurgobind Sookul	22 W. R., 35	1214
——— v. Hurry Nath Sircar	7 W. R., 388	1057
——— v. Jhukroo Singh	1 W. R., 195	1599
——— v. Joggeshar Attah	Marsh., 330 : 2 Hay, 436	1599
——— v. Kunhye Bahadoor	9 W. R., 294	771, 4913
——— v. Mohendronath Paul	23 W. R., 436	581, 5634
——— v. Mohesh Narain Roy	24 W. R., 176	3066
——— v. Neel Kant Sircar	10 W. R., 330	1621
——— v. Nidhoo Digwar	10 W. R., 87	5773
——— v. Nistarini Gupta	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 544	7
——— v. Nobin Mohun Babu	10 W. R., 72	144
——— v. Nund Lal Sircar	21 W. R., 420	1617
——— v. Ram Dhun Ghose	17 W. R., 496	580, 1631
——— v. Sham Lall Pandah	10 W. R., 73	1604, 1782
——— v. Shurnomoyee	9 W. R., 259	905, 1568 4288
——— v. Shurut Soondures Debia	7 W. R., 395	3117, 5191
——— v. Sreedhur Mundle	10 W. R., 421	4126
——— v. Surnomoyee	8 W. R., Cr., 63	4493
——— v. ———	24 W. R., 414	1544
——— v. Tarinee Churn Gangooly	17 W. R., 494	2995
Wazeer Ali v. Dunne	1 Agra, Rev., 15	1587
——— v. Kaim Ali	5 N. W., 196	3688
Wazeer Mahton v. Chuni Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 727 : 9 C. L. R., 377.	4067
Wazir Mahton v. Lulit Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 166 : 8 C. L. R., 505.	174
——— v. ———	I. L R., 9 Calc., 100	3483
Wazir Muhammad Khan v. Gauri Dat	I. L. R., 4 All., 412	2920
Wazir Singh. In the case of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 65 : 12 W. R., Cr., 46.	5603
Weatherall v. Dillon	6 N. W., 200	3772
Webb v. Rinchiden	14 W. R., 214	3910, 5689
Webbe v. Lester	2 Bom., 55 : 2nd Ed., 52	1575, 5817 5986
Webor Ali v. Gaddai Behari	2 C. L. R., 165	3203
Webster v. Keena	9 W. R., Cr., 70	4112
Welsh. In re	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 280	3563
Wemyss. In the goods of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 721	6200
Wharton v. Moona Lall	1 Agra, 96	1356
Whateley v. Palanji Pestanji	3 Bom., O. C., 137	2622
White. In the goods of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 582	4666

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
White *v.* Bishto Chunder Bose	2 Hay, 567	2379
——— *v.* Tripora Sunkur Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 297	5744
Whymper & Co. *v.* Buckle & Co.	I. L. R., 3 All., 469	1058
Wilaiti Begam *v.* Nur Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 514	5032
Wilayat Husain *v.* Allah Rakhi	I. L. R., 2 All., 831	3651
Wilayatunnissa *v.* Najibunnissa	I. L. R., 1 All., 583	166
Wilkinson *v.* Abbas Sirkar	3 B. L. R., O. C., 96	460, 1676
——— *v.* Gangadhar Sirkar	6 B. L. R., 486	4248, 4715
Williams *v.* Brown	I. L. R., 8 All., 108	241, 741, 5950
——— *v.* Great Eastern Hotel Co.	Cor., 76 : 2 Hyde, 166	3791
——— *v.* Williams	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 688	1549
Williamson *v.* Arab Ismail Khan	11 B. L. R., 415 : 20 W. R., 349.	5721
Willis *v.* Willis	4 B. L. R., O. C., 52	1550
Willson. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 149	5904
——— *v.* Government	12 W. R., 122	403
——— *v.* Kanhya Sahoo	11 W. R., 143	1366, 1379
——— *v.* President, Municipal Commission, Madras	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 429	3574
Wingrove *v.* Wingrove	14 W. R., 416	1545
Winter *v.* Gartner	1 B. L. R., O. C., 79	2630
——— *v.* Round	1 Mad., 202	2820, 4681
——— *v.* Way	1 Mad., 200	656, 2813, 4509
Winterscale *v.* Gopal Chandra Seal	3 B. L. R., O. C., 90	4771
Wise. In the matter of the petition of	10 B. L. R., Ap., 20	5933
——— *v.* Abdool Ali	2 W. R., 127	38
——— *v.* ———	7 W. R., 136	1922
——— *v.* Ameeroonnissa Khatoon	3 W. R., 219	40, 1759
——— *v.* Ameerunissa Khatoon	2 W. R., 34	38
——— *v.* Ameerunnissa Khatoon	2 W. R., 132	43
——— *v.* ———	L. R., 7 I. A., 73	42, 3236
——— *v.* Bansee Shaha	16 W. R., 51	3806
——— *v.* Bhoobun Moyee Debia	3 W. R., P. C., 5 : 10 Moore's I. A., 165.	3434, 4128, 5630, 6000
——— *v.* Brojendro Coomar Roy	18 W. R., 91	4458
——— *v.* Burn	4 W. R., Rec. Ref., 1	4603
——— *v.* Collector of Backergunge	L. R., 7 I. A., 73	42, 3236
——— *v.* Gureeb Hossein Chowdry	13 W. R., 271	4035
——— *v.* Huro Lall Giree Gossain	16 W. R., 150	5125
——— *v.* Hurro Chunder Shaha	6 W. R., Act X, 90	540
——— *v.* Ishan Chunder Banerjee	14 W. R., 380	4907
——— *v.* Jagabandhu Bose	2 B. L. R., P. C., 69 : 12 Moore's I. A., 477.	510
——— *v.* Jugbundhoo Bose	7 Moore's I. A., 431	5536
——— *v.* Juggobundoo Bose	12 W. R., 229	33, 2749
——— *v.* Jugobundhoo Baboo	4 W. R., Mis., 10	3541
——— *v.* Kishen Koomar Bose	4 Moore's I. A., 201	510, 4637
——— *v.* Lakhoo Khan	16 W. R., 50	3804
——— *v.* Radhagobind Shaha	20 W. R., 181	1503
——— *v.* Rajender Coomar Roy	11 W. R., 200	3822
——— *v.* Rajkrishna Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 541 : 6 W. R., Mis., 84.	283
——— *v.* Rajnarain Chuckerbutty	1 B. L. R., F. B., 258 : 10 W. R., 30.	3540
——— *v.* Ram Chunder Byssack	7 W. R., 415	3799
——— *v.* Romanath Sen Luskhur	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 5	3117

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Wise *v.* Rubaa Khatoon	19 W. R., 299	136, 5762
—— *v.* Sunduloonissa Chowdranee	7 W. R., P. C., 13 : 11 Moore's I. A., 177.	1723, 3703
Wiseman *v.* Gopaul Doss Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 277	4618
Wishwambhar Pandit *v.* Prabhakar Bhat	I. L. R., 8, Bom., 269	167, 4266
Wodoy Tara Chowdhrain *v.* Abdool Jubbur Chowdhry	24 W. R., 339	3473, 3491 3515
Wolee Alum *v.* Misrun	12 W. R., 50	180, 6089
Wolfut *v* Nusrutoollah	25 W. R., 48	5123
Womda Khanum *v.* Rajroop Koer	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 335 : 1 C. L. R., 295.	3778
Womes Chunder Chatterjee *v.* Chundee Churn Roy Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 293	4901
Womesh Chunder Chatterjee *v.* Collector of 24-Pergunnahs.	8 W. R., 439	5363
Womesh Chunder Ghose *v.* Shama Sundari Bai	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 98 : 8 C. L. R., 489.	1777
Womesh Chunder Roy *v.* Deendyal Poramanick	2 Hay, 12	288
Wood. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 891 : 11 C. L. R., 357	651
—— Corporation of Town of Calcutta	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 322 : 9 C. L. R., 193.	651, 2767
—— *v.* ——	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 891 : 11 C. L. R., 357.	651
—— *v.* Wood	14 B. L. R., Ap., 6	1555
—— *v.* ——	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 485 : 1 C. L. R., 552.	1549
Woodhouse *v.* Calcutta and South Eastern Railway Co.	9 W. R., 73	4070
Woodit Singh *v.* Buldeo Singh	21 W. R., 12	4859
Woodoy-aditto Deb *v.* Makoond Narain Aditto Deb	22 W. R., 225	2061, 5102
Woodoy Chand Halder *v.* Gooroo Churn Mojoomdar	13 W. R., 148	5655
Woodoy Chand Jana *v.* Nitye Mundul	9 W. R., 111	4770, 4807
Woodoy Chand Mookhopadhya. In re	18 W. R., Cr., 31	4920
Woodoy Chunder Dhur *v.* Kaleetara Dassia	11 W. R., 393	1180
Woodoy Chunder Mundul *v.* Ahmedoollah	12 W. R., 467	1410
Woodoy Narain *v.* Durrisho Roy	W. R., 1864, 187	6108
Woodoy Narain Sein *v.* Tarinee Churn Roy	11 W. R., 496	1611
Woodoy Tara Chowdhrain *v.* Abdool Gunny	12 W. R., 16	4199
Woodrow *v.* Schiller	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 90	5216
Woodwant Mahtoon *v.* Hunooman Pershad Singh	22 W. R., 419	6187
Woolfut Hossein *v.* Jumoona Doss	W. R., 1864, Act X, 60	2930
Wooma Churn Banerjee *v.* Haradhun Mojoomdar	1 W. R., 347	3364, 4155
Wooma Churn Chatterjee *v.* Kadambini Dabee	3 C. L. R., 146	573, 3014 5266
Wooma Churn Chowdhry *v.* Beharee Lall Mookerjee	21 W. R., 274	3984
—— *v.* Kumolay Kaminee Dabee	25 W. R., 58	1895
—— *v.* Kurralee Churn Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 163	898
Wooma Churn Dhole *v.* Teil	14 B. L. R., Ap., 13	395
Wooma Churn Dutt *v.* Grish Chunder Bose	17 W. R., 32	325, 1631
Wooma Churn Mitter *v.* Bejoy Kishore Roy —— *v.* Mohamoya	W. R., 1864, 130	3181
Wooma Churn Mookerjee *v.* Hurry Churn Bose	11 W. R., 60	4757
—— *v.* Luckhee Narain Roy Chowdhry.	1 W. R., Mis., 10	1918
Wooma Churn Mozoomdar *v.* Chunder Kant Roy Chowdhry.	16 W. R., 255	494
Wooma Churn Roy Mozoomdar. In re	13 B. L. R., 215, note	494

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Wooma Churn Sett *v.* Huree Pershad Misser	10 W. R., 101	528, 562
Wooma Daee *v.* Gocoolanund Dass	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 587 : 2 C. L. R., 51. L. R., 5 I. A., 40.	2134, 2148 2304
Wooma Kant Bukshee *v.* Gunga Narain Chowdhry	20 W. R., 385	1852
Woomakant Sircar *v.* Gopal Singh	2 W. R., Act X, 19	5183
Woomamoyee Burmonya *v.* Bokoo Behara	13 W. R., 333	5178
——— *v.* Hills	11 W. R., 377	5361
——— *v.* Kunuck Chunder Mookerjee	17 W. R., 418	5752
——— *v.* Ram Bukhsh Chetlangee	16 W. R., 11	829, 5419
Woomanath Roy Chowdhry *v.* Ashumburee Biswas	12 W. R., 476	1647
——— *v.* Debnath Roy Chowdry	14 W. R., 471	1621, 5109
——— *v.* Roghoonath Mitter	5 W. R., Act X, 63	5175, 5313 5320
——— *v.* Sreenath Singh	15 W. R., 260	[illegible], 6221
Woomanath Tewaree *v.* Koondun Tewaree	19 W. R., 177	5178
Wooma Nundo Roy *v.* Browne	6 W. R., Cir. Ref., 80	2999
Woomapersad Shaw *v.* Shumsher Sirdar	4 W. R., S C. C. Ref., 10	5695
Woomapershad Roy *v.* Grish Chunder Prochundo	1. L R., 10 Calc., 639	2337
Wooma Soonduree Burmonia *v.* Gooroo Pershad Roy	15 W. R., 74	2702
Wooma Soonduree Dossee *v.* Biressur Roy	8 W. R., 289	3210
——— *v.* Dwarkanath Roy	11 W. R., 72	3164, 3368
——— *v.* Rajkisto Roy	21 W. R., 366	3074
Wooma Soonduree Dossia *v.* Beerbul Mundul	11 W. R., 563	5315
Wooma Soonduree Thakooranee *v.* Kishoree Mohun Banerjee.	8 W. R., 238	4210
Wooma Sunkory *v.* Ally Ashruff	W R., 1864, Act X, 96	538
Wooma Tara *v.* Bhurosa Ram Dass	24 W. R., 409	4269
Woomatara Dabee *v.* Unnopoorna Dossee	10 W. R., 426	5005
Woomatara Debea. In re	6 W. R., Mis., 120	5116
Woomatara Debia *v.* Unnopoorna Dassee	11 B. L. R., 158 : 18 W. R., 163	4659, 5005
Wooma Tara Goopta *v.* Kalee Tara Goopta	25 W. R., 93	667
Woomatool Fatima Begum *v.* Meerunmunnissa Khanum	9 W. R., 318	3332, 3658
Woomesh Chunder Bose *v.* Matungimee Debia	2 W. R., 170	877
——— *v.* Muddun Mohun Sircar	2 W. R., 44	5663
——— *v.* Soorjee Kanto Roy Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 713 : 6 C. L. R, 49.	548
Woomesh Chunder Chatterjee *v.* Isharutoollah	8 W. R., 439	5363
——— *v.* Kumuroodeen Luskur	7 W. R., 20	564
Woomesh Chunder Goopto *v.* Rajnarain Roy	10 W. R., 15	3392, 3813
Woomesh Chunder Mojoomdar *v.* Dwarkanath Roy	4 W. R., 80	4314
Woomesh Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Bama Dossee	7 W. R., 15	1753
Woomesh Chunder Mookerjee *v.* Sageman	12 W. R., O. C., 2	1814, 3202
Woomesh Chunder Roy *v.* Bidhoo Mookhee Dossee	11 W. R, 197	5935
——— *v.* Jonaidun Hajra	15 W. R., 235	295
——— *v.* Markund Mookerjee	12 W. R., 34	3815
——— *v.* Nobin Chunder Mozoomdar	10 W. R., 457	5050
Woomesh Chunder Sootee *v.* Ram Chunder Mowree	4 W. R., Act X, 40	5072
Woon Chit Pée *v.* Wee Chang	15 W. R., 185	5976
Woopendro Mohun Tagore *v.* Takalia Beparee	11 W. R, 570	3531
——— *v.* Thanda Dossia	12 W. R., 263	2317, 3000 3011
Woopendro Nath Sircar *v.* Brojendronath Mundul	I. L. R, 7 Calc., 346 : 9 C. L. R, 263	5474
Woosum Ali *v.* Jan Ali	11 W. R., 394	1428
Woozeer Ali *v.* Doorga Chum Roy	5 W. R, Act X, 79	554
Woozeerun *v.* Noorul Jan	9 W. R, 98	4472

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Woozeerunnissa Bibee *v.* Muhomed Hossein	15 B. L. R., 166, note	2837, 5650
Wosceun *v.* Byjnath Singh	25 W. R., 171	3941
Wozeer Begum *v.* Fuzloonissa	W. R., 1864, 373	5356
Wright *v.* Seetaram	2 Agra, 105	809
Wuheedun *v.* Wusee Hossein	15 W. R., 403	3632, 3636
Wuzeer Ali *v.* Kalee Coomar Chuckerbutty	11 W. R., 228	1770, 4895
Wuzeer Jemadar *v.* Noor Ali	12 W. R., 33	326, 3248
Wuzeer Mullick Sircar *v.* Nitumbinee Debee	18 W. R., 484	5671
Wuzeerooddeen *v.* Sheobund Lall	11 W. R., 285	1423, 5752
Wuzeerun Beebee *v.* Warris Ali	1 W. R., 51	3117
Wuzuroonnessa *v.* Saeedun	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 613 : 6 W. R., 240.	3820, 3969
Wyman *v.* Banks	10 B. L. R., 71 : 18 W. R., 516.	3103
Wyndham. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., 576	4764
Wynne. In the goods of	13 B. L. R., 392	6198

Y

Yachereddy Chinna Bassavapa *v.* Yachereddi Gowdapa	5 W. R., P. C., 114	2291
Yacoob. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 272	4580, 5158
——— *v.* Adamson		
Yacoob Ali *v.* Kooer Singh	2 N. W., 111	5341
Yakoob Ali *v.* Kaernoollah	8 W. R., 329	2929, 6108
——— *v.* Luchmun Doss	6 N. W., 80	340, 3704
——— *v.* Ubdoolrahman	3 Agra, 383 : Agra, F. D., Ed. 1874, 172.	5243
Yakoob Ali Chowdhry *v.* Ram Doolal	13 C. L. R., 272	797, 3953
Yakub *v.* Narasinga	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 572	3592
Yakub Sahib *v.* Jaffer Ali Sahib	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 167	3592
Yamunabai *v.* Narayan Moreshwar Pendse	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 164	5099, 5101
Yanamandram Venkaya *v.* Shillakuru Venkata Naraina Reddy.	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 131	3584
Yanumula Venkayamah *v.* Yanumula Boochia Venkondora.	13 W. R., P. C., 21 : 13 Moore's I. A., 333.	2325
Yarakalamma *v.* Anakala Naramma	2 Mad., 276	2780
Yar Ali. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., Cr., 70	5881
Yashavant Subaji Kulkarni *v.* Gopal Ladko Bhandarkar.	2 Bom., 202 : 2nd Ed., 194	1032, 4259
Yekeyamian *v.* Agniswarian	4 Mad., 307	2369
Yeknath Babaji *v.* Gulab Chand Kahangi	1 Bom., 85	139, 4141, 4403
Yeknath Ram Chandra *v.* Waman Brahmadev	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 241	3164
Yella Chetti *v.* Munisami Reddi	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 101	850
Yellapa valad Bhimapa *v.* Mankia	8 Bom., A. C., 27	5239
Yellappa bin Basappa *v.* Mantappa bin Basappa	3 Bom., A. C., 102	6155
Yenamandra Sitaramasami *v.* Midatana Sanyasi	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 400	2171
Yenkoba Balshet Kasar *v.* Rambhaji valad Arjun	9 Bom., 12	2826
Yenumula Gavuridevamma Garu *v.* Yenumula Ramandora Garu.	6 Mad., 93	2314, 2323
Yerrabalu Viraragava Reddi *v.* Abdul Khadir	4 Mad., 26	881
Yesaji Apaji Patil *v.* Yesaji Mhaloji	8 Bom., A. C., 35	1441
Yeshwant Baburav *v.* Govind Shankar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 453	5415
Yesvantrav Amritrav Jamin *v.* Ismail Ali Khan	2 Bom., 99 : 2nd Ed., 94	202

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Yettapa Naikar *v.* Venkateswara Yettia	5 Mad., 405	2417
Young *v.* Bank of Bengal	1 Moore's I. A., 87	2655
——— *v.* McCorkindale	19 W. R., 159	3142, 3817 3331, 3333
——— *v.* Mangalapilly Ramaiya	3 Mad., 125	1062, 2814 3200
Yusaf Ali Khan *v.* Chubbee Singh	5 N. W., 122	1170, 4472
Yusan Khatun *v.* Ramnath Sen	7 B. L. R., Ap., 26	4955
Yusoof Ali Chowdhry *v.* Fyzoonissa Khatoon Chowdrain.	15 W. R., 296	3700, 4520 4901
Yusuf Ali *v.* Collector of Tipperah	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 138	3680
Yusuf Khan *v.* Sirdar Khan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 83	3528

Z

Zadah *v.* Parmeshar Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 524	1464
Zafaryab Ali *v.* Bakhtawar Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 497	5226
Zahid *v.* Peeree	3 N. W., 92	5220
Zahoor Hossein *v.* Assud Ali	2 Agra, Pt. II, 178	4929, 5211
Zahrah *v.* Bhugwan Doss	16 W. R., 211	295
Zahur *v.* Nur Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 99	3716
Zahur Khan *v.* Bakhtawar	I. L. R., 7 All., 327	845, 3534
Zahuran *v.* Tayler	2 B. L. R., A. C., 86 : 10 W. R., 380.	6151
Zahurruddin *v.* Collector of Goruckpore	4 B. L. R., P. C., 36 : 13 W. R., P. C., 31.	2048
Zahurunnissa *v.* Khuda Yar Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 528	341, 6223
Zaib-oonnissa *v.* Adjoodhya Pershad	2 N. W., 198	908
Zaibulnissa Bibi *v.* Kulsum Bibi	I. L. R., 1 All., 250	3152
Zaibunnissa *v.* Elahee Begum	19 W. R., 268	1405
Zainab Begam *v.* Manawar Hussain Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 277	885
Zainul-Abdin Khan *v.* Ahmad Raza Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 67 : L. R., 5 I. A., 233.	760
Zaker Ali Chowdhry *v.* Jugdessuree	1 W. R., 323	4314
Zalem Misser *v.* Kundun Kooer	11 W. R., 194	6272
Zalem Roy *v.* Deb Shahee	Marsh., 167 : 1 Hay, 373	3983
Zalem Tewaree *v.* Gobindgeer Gossain	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 85	2802
Zalim *v.* Choonee Lall	3 Agra, 194	5375
Zalim Rai *v.* Doorga Rai	1 Agra, Rev., 69	2975, 4314
Zalim Singh *v.* Bisheshar Kandu	7 N. W., 181	3106
——— *v.* Ujagar Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 367	2872
Zameer Sirdar *v.* Asseemooddeen Sirdar	23 W. R., 257	815
Zamin Ali *v.* Hossein Ali	2 Agra, Pt. II, 187	4005
Zamir Husain *v* Daulat Ram	I. L. R., 5 All., 110	3709
Zamorin of Calicut *v.* Narayana	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 284	6086
——— *v.* Sitarama	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 405	3598
Zaukilal *v.* Jawahir Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 94	831
Zaynub *v.* Baba Cazranee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 54	1727
Zearuddin Howaldar. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 48	4739
Zebonnissa *v.* Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry	21 W. R., 352	1079, 1091 2718
Zebunnissa Bibee. In the matter of	12 W. R., 376	1001
Zeemut Ali *v.* Alimoonissa	10 W. R., 277	470
Zeemutoonissa Khanum *v.* Khutoo Begum	12 W. R., 236	676
Zeenut Bebee *v.* Rahatoonissa	7 W. R., 243	5301

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Zeenuttunissa v. Tombs	W. R., 1864, 251	1376
Zemindar of Chikati v. Peddakimedi Zemindar	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 569	907, 4231
Zemindar of Devaracota v. Vemuri Venkayya	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 389	3591
Zemindar of Hurupam v. Zemindar of Merangi	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 253	4578
Zemindar of Karvatinuggar v. Kanniappah	4 Mad., 149	3588, 4053
Zemindar of Kottam v. Zemindar of Pittapur	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 171	665
Zemindar of Kurapam v. Nademinti Bhagavat Pataizali Shastri.	3 Mad., 120	3383, 4406
Zemindar of Oodiarpalliam v. Balcosamy Chetty	3 W. R., P. C., 50 : 7 Moore's I. A., 224.	1506
Zemindar of Palakonda v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 91	3166
Zemindar of Pittapuram v. Proprietors of Kolanka	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 23 : L. R., 5 I. A., 200.	4989, 4985 5062
Zemindar of Ramnad v. Dorasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 341	3376
——— v. Periasayagaum Pillai	L. R., 1 I. A., 209	1758, 2219 2242
——— v. Ramamany Ammal	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 234	2058, 5302
——— v. Zemindar of Yettiapooram	7 Moore's I. A., 441	4127, 4647
——— v. ———	10 Moore's I. A., 47	6003
Zemindar of Saittur v. Caruppen Servai	4 Mad., 401	5703
Zemindar of Salur v. Pedda Pakir Raju	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 371	2062
Zemindar of Saptur v. Pandya Tevar	7 Mad., 53	2914
Zemindar of Shivagunga v. Muhammad Mira Ravutan	7 Mad., 150	6042
——— v. Subrarama Aiyan	4 Mad., 302	3834
Zemindar of Sivagiri v. Alwar Ayyangar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 42	198, 2234
——— v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 29	5525
——— v. Tiruvengada	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 339	801
Zemindar of Venkatagiri v. Raghava	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 142	4816
Zerkales Kooer v. Lalla Doorga Pershad	16 W. R., 149	5364
Zimutunnissa Bibee v. Muddun Mohun Pal	22 W. R., 537	766
Zinnut Bibee v. Jaffur Ali	14 W. R., 172	1457
Zinulabdin Rowten v. Vijien Virapatren	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 49	3586
Zohorooddeen Sirdar v. Baharoolla Sircar	W. R., 1864, 185	3672, 3679
Zohurutoollah Chowdry v. Asalooddeen Chowdry	15 W. R., 129	4296
Zoolfee Begum v. Ram Surun Roy	10 W. R., 80	3342
Zoolfekar Ali v. Mahomed Tukee	9 W. R., 438	484
Zoolfun Bibee v. Radhica Prosonno Chunder	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 560 : 1 C. L. R., 388.	5187
Zorawar Singh v. Jawahir Singh	3 Agra, 167	80
Zoynooddeen Hossein Khan. In the matter of the petition of.	11 B. L. R., 370	5864
——— v. Secretary to the Board of Revenue.	20 W. R., 106	5864
Zoynul Abdeen v. Phoolash Chunder Bothrah	15 W. R., 126	1474
Zuburdust Khan v. His wife	2 N. W., 370	1545, 5096
——— v. Indurmun	1 Agra, F. B., 71 : Ed. 1874, 55.	2236
Zuheerooden Paikar v. Campbell	4 W. R., 57	3016
Zuhoor Hossein v. Syedun	11 B. L. R., 367, note : 11 W. R., 142.	1488
Zuhoorun v. Nujubooddeen	11 W. R., 505	3775
Zuhoorun Begum v. Mahomed Wajed	18 W. R., 87	5023
Zuhuruddeen Hossein. In the matter of the petition of.	25 W. R., Cr., 8	4020, 6182
Zuleff Ali v. Yeshvadabai Saheb	9 Bom., 314	59
Zumeelooddeen Mahomed v. Russik Chand Addy	W. R., F. B., 85	2750

Name of Case.	Volume and Page	Column of Digest.
Zumeer Ali *v.* Doorgabun	1 W. R., 230	4560
Zumeerooduunissa Khanum *v.* Phillipe	1 W. R., 290	525, 1390
Zumeer Sirdar *v.* Assemooddeen Sirdar	23 W. R., 257	5447
Zumeerunnissa *v.* Gayer	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 26	2999
Zumurudoonissa *v.* Radha Churn Ghuttuck	9 W. R., 590	1731, 5813
Zyn-ood-deen *v.* Wright	3 Agra, 77	1374

Government of India Central Printing Office.—No. 56 L. D.—30-7-88.—5,000.—A. McL.